arXiv:math/0104152v2 [math.NT] 16 Aug 2001

Points of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type, p-divisible groups, and applications

Adrian Vasiu, U of Arizona

submitted for publ. in J. Astérisque on 4/9/01; up dated version 8/16/01
MSC 2000: Primary 11G10, 11G18, 11G25, 11G35, 14F30, 14G35, 14K10

(The first part out of three)

Contents of the first part

§1 Introduction 5

1.0. Quick overview 5
1.1. Introducing Shimura (filtered) σ-crystals 6
1.2. The core: some basic results 7
1.3. Standard Hodge situations 19
1.4. Main geometric concepts 21
1.5. Shimura (filtered) Lie σ-crystals attached to points 23
1.6. Stratifications 24
1.7. On the contents of 4.3 and 4.6 30
1.8. Crystalline coordinates 31
1.9. A Galois property 32
1.10. On the passage from the Hodge type to the preabelian type 33
1.11. Dense Hecke orbits and functorial aspects 34
1.12. Some important applications 35
1.13. The geometric picture of the case $p = 2$ 39
1.14. Afterthoughts, the Second Main Corollary and advices 42
1.15. On the contents of §5-14 45
1.16. Some history 49

§2 Preliminaries 51

2.1. Notations, conventions and some definitions 51
2.2. Fontaine categories and Shimura crystals 60
2.3. The standard Hodge situation 137
2.4. Some identifications 179

§3 The basic results 183

3.0. The starting setting 183
3.1. The first group of basic results 184
3.2. The outline of the proof of 3.1.0 187
3.3. About the proof of 3.2.5 191
3.4. Split Shimura Lie σ-crystals 191
3.5. The proof of 3.4.6 200
3.6. The second group of basic results: global deformations and some principles for different Fontaine categories 209
3.7. The proofs of 3.2.6-7 314
3.8. The non quasi-split context 315
3.9. Supplements to 3.1 and applications 316
3.10. Terminology and formulas 324
3.11. The case $k = \bar{k}$ and applications 332
3.12. Shimura F-crystals and generic points 342
3.13. Deviations of Shimura (filtered) (Lie) σ-crystals 342
3.14. The case $p = 2$ 373
3.15. Some conclusions 380

§4 Applications of the basic results to integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type, to p-divisible groups, and to abelian varieties 415

4.1. The Shimura-ordinary type 415
4.2. G-ordinary points 422
4.3. Cyclic factors and (refined) Lie stable p-ranks 426
4.4. G-canonical lifts 433
4.5. Different stratifications 444
4.6. Examples and main properties 466
4.7. Crystalline coordinates 482
4.8. The Galois property of G-ordinary points 502
4.9. The passage from Shimura varieties of Hodge type to Shimura varieties of preabelian type 505
4.10. Hecke orbits of G-ordinary points 520
4.11. The functorial behavior of G-ordinary points 521
4.12. Integral and generalized Manin problems and applications 525
4.13. The local form of the invariance principle 551
4.14. Final remarks 552

AE Addenda and errata to [Va2] 569
Appendix 583
References 589

Contents of the second part

§5 Shimura p-divisible groups, the proof of a conjecture of Milne, and automorphic vector bundles........ 00
§6 Integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type (resp. of Hodge type) with respect to primes dividing 3 (resp. with respect to primes dividing a prime $p \geq 3$ and non-hyperspecial subgroups)........ 00
§7 Twisted Shimura varieties 00
§8 Shimura varieties and the Mumford–Tate conjecture, part III 00
§9 The null strata and G-supersingular Shimura σ-crystals 00
§10 The number and dimensions of strata of the standard list of stratifications and Grothendieck's specialization categories 00

Contents of the third part

§11 An isogeny property 00
§12 Points with values in finite fields 00
§13 The Langlands–Rapoport conjecture 00
§14 Crystalline applications to the proof of the conjecture 00

§1 Introduction

1.0. Quick overview. Recently we managed to prove the existence of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type with respect to primes $p \geq 3$ (cf. [Va2, 6.4.1 and defs. of 3.2.6]; see [Va2, 6.8] and either 4.6.4 or [Va3] for the case of Shimura varieties of preabelian type which are neither of compact type nor of abelian type, and see §6 and [Va5] for the case $p = 3$). For the general theory of such integral models we refer to [Va2, §3]; for different types of Shimura varieties we refer to [Va2, 2.5]. With this paper, which is a natural continuation of [Va2], we start an extensive program of proving that many well known results for integral canonical models of Siegel modular varieties remain true (under proper formulation) for all integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type. Here we are concerned with points of these models with values in regular, formally smooth schemes over rings of Witt vectors of a given length (finite or infinite) of a perfect field. Special attention is paid to points with values in (the Witt ring of) a perfect field.

For the sake of generality and motivated by applications to many other geometric contexts, most of the things are stated in the context and language of Fontaine categories (see 1.2.4 and 1.2.9). So this paper (together with the ones continuing it) represents:

F1. *A foundation for integral aspects of Shimura varieties, and*

F2. *A foundation for Fontaine categories.*

For a faster publication of our main results pertaining to the program, we split them in three parts, to be published separately. The first part is the present paper and so contains §1-4, the second part will contain §5-10 and the third part will contain §11-14. The results mentioned in [Va2, 1.6-7] are proved in §2-14. In §1-4 we concentrate (this was the initial goal) in reobtaining in a new manner:

- the theory (due to Serre–Tate; see [Me, Appendix] for its first features) of ordinary abelian varieties and p-divisible groups (over perfect fields of characteristic p) and of their canonical lifts,
- the theory (due to Serre–Tate and Dwork; see [Ka1] and [Ka3-4]) of canonical coordinates of the formal moduli scheme of deformations of an ordinary abelian variety over an algebraically closed field,
- a proof of Manin's problem (first solved in [Ta, p. 98]),

and in extending these theories to the geometric context of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type and even more generally, to the abstract context (see 1.1) of Shimura σ-crystals. The case $p = 2$ is treated in maximal generality (like no restriction in the abstract context and, in the geometric context, we logically restrict to integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of abelian type in mixed characteristic $(0, 2)$ whose existence is proved here).

On the way we obtain many other results pertaining to p-divisible groups, to abelian varieties, to the mentioned integral canonical models and to Fontaine categories.

With $p \geq 2$, two things are worth being mentioned from the very beginning. First, we show that the number of isomorphism classes of p-divisible groups of a given rank over an algebraically closed field $\bar{k}$ of characteristic p which are definable over a fixed finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$ (with p^q elements; here $q \in \mathbb{N}$), is finite and does not depend on $\bar{k}$ (see 1.6.4). Second, we construct versal global deformations, i.e. versal deformations over the p-adic completion of (a particular type of) pro-étale schemes over smooth, affine schemes over Witt rings of perfect fields (of characteristic p), of p-divisible groups over such fields, cf. Theorem 1 of 3.15.1. Theorem 1 of 3.15.1 complements:

– Grothendieck's theorem of [Il, 4.4] (we get stronger forms than what the combination of loc. cit. and Artin's approximation theorem can produce);

– Raynaud's theorem of [BBM, 3.1.1] (it is effective, i.e. it has –cf. its proof– an explicit, concrete aspect and it offers in many regular contexts –see the application of its proof to the Theorem of 3.15.2– more information on embeddings of finite, flat, commutative group schemes of p-power order into p-divisible groups);

– Faltings's theorem of [Fa1, 7.1] (it is effective and works for $p = 2$ as well);

– de Jong's theorem of [dJ2, first main result] (it is effective; moreover it handles the case of truncations as well: for instance, see its application in the proof of Theorem of 3.15.2).

Over algebraically closed fields of characteristic p, the words "p-adic completion" and "pro-étale" can be dropped (cf. Theorem 13 of 1.12).

1.1. Introducing Shimura (filtered) σ-crystals. In what follows k is a perfect field of positive characteristic p, $W(k)$ is the ring of (infinite) Witt vectors of k and σ is the Frobenius automorphism of $W(k)$ or of $B(k) := W(k)[\frac{1}{p}]$. We denote by $\bar{E}$ the algebraic closure of a field E. The proof of the basic result 5.1 of [Va2] leads to the study of σ-crystals over k endowed with an extra structure (cf. [Va2, 5.6.5]). Moving from the geometric context of loc. cit. to a more general and abstract context, we start considering a σ-crystal (M, φ) over k (so M is a free $W(k)$-module of finite rank and φ is a σ-linear endomorphism of M producing a σ-linear automorphism of $M[\frac{1}{p}]$) and a quasi-split reductive subgroup G of $GL(M)$ satisfying the following axioms:

i) There is a family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ in spaces of the form $M^{\otimes n} \otimes_{W(k)} M^{*\otimes m}[\frac{1}{p}]$ (with M^* the dual of M and with $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$), such that $\varphi(t_\alpha) = t_\alpha$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, and G is the Zariski closure of the subgroup of $GL(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixing t_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, in $GL(M)$;

ii) There is a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ producing a direct sum decomposition $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$ such that $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acts through μ on F^i as the multiplication with β^{-i}, $i = \overline{0, 1}$. Moreover F^1 is a proper direct summand of M (this last condition is inserted just to avoid trivial cases, cf. 2.2.9 11));

iii) The triple (M, F^1, φ) is a filtered σ-crystal (i.e. we have $\varphi(\frac{1}{p}F^1 + M) = M$).

The triple (M, φ, G) (resp. quadruple (M, F^1, φ, G)) is called a Shimura (resp. a Shimura filtered) σ-crystal. The pair $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), \varphi)$ is called the Shimura Lie σ-crystal attached to (M, φ, G). Here $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ is the Lie algebra of G and we still denote by φ the resulting σ-linear Lie automorphism of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)[\frac{1}{p}]$ obtained via the logical inclusion $\mathrm{Lie}(G) \subset \mathrm{End}(M)$ and the natural action of φ on $\mathrm{End}(M)[\frac{1}{p}]$ that takes $m \in \mathrm{End}(M)[\frac{1}{p}]$ into $\varphi \circ m \circ \varphi^{-1} \in \mathrm{End}(M)[\frac{1}{p}]$. Axiom i) guarantees that φ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(G)[\frac{1}{p}]$; we have $\varphi(p\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \subset \mathrm{Lie}(G)$, cf. axiom iii). See 2.1 for the (standard) way φ acts on the spaces of i).

Fixing the group G, the family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ and the $G(W(k))$-conjugacy class $[\mu]$ of the cocharacter μ, every σ-linear endomorphism φ_1 of M corresponding to any other σ-crystal (M, φ_1) satisfying the logical analogues of axioms i) to iii), up to $G(W(k))$-conjugation, can be put in the form $\varphi_1 = g\varphi$, with $g \in G(W(k))$ (cf. the second paragraph of §3).

If $G = GL(M)$, then we can take the set $\mathcal{J}$ of indices to be the empty set and so we regain the classical context of Serre–Tate's (ordinary) theory.

1.2. The core: some basic results. The case $p = 2$ is treated as asides. So, in all that follows, without a special reference we assume $p > 2$. Our basic results are grouped in 3.1 and 3.6.

1.2.0. On the first group of basic results. In 3.1 we present the first group of basic results. For our (standard) conventions on Newton polygons see 2.1. We have:

Theorem 1. a) *Among all Shimura σ-crystals $(M, g\varphi, G)$ (with $g \in G(W(k))$), the ones which have the smallest Newton polygon (in the sense that all others have a Newton polygon strictly above it) are precisely the ones $(M, g_1\varphi, G)$ (called G-ordinary σ-crystals) for which there is a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ (as in the above axioms), such that the parabolic Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}_1$ of* $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ *corresponding to non-negative slopes of the Shimura Lie σ-crystal* $\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G), g_1\varphi\big)$ *(see 2.2.3 3)) is contained in the Lie algebra* $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ *of the parabolic subgroup of G normalizing the direct summand F^1 of M defined (as in 1.1 ii)) by μ.*

b) *If $(M, g_1\varphi, G)$ is a G-ordinary σ-crystal, then there is a unique Shimura filtered σ-crystal $(M, F^1, g_1\varphi, G)$ (called the G-canonical lift of $(M, g_1\varphi, G)$) such that for each $x \in \mathfrak{p}_1$, we have $x(F^1) \subset F^1$.*

c) *Among all Shimura σ-crystals $(M, g\varphi, G)$ (with $g \in G(W(k))$), the ones which have the smallest Newton polygon of their attached Shimura Lie σ-crystals* $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), g\varphi)$, *are precisely the G-ordinary σ-crystals.*

d) *Any Shimura σ-crystal $(M, g\varphi, G)$ is the specialization of a $G_{W(k_1)}$-ordinary σ_1-crystal $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), g_1(\varphi \otimes \sigma_1), G_{W(k_1)})$, with $g_1 \in G(W(k_1))$ (here σ_1 is the Frobenius automorphism of the Witt ring of a perfect field k_1 containing k; we can take k_1 to be the field of fractions of the perfection of $k[[X]]$, where X is an independent variable).*

Referring to a), the quadruple

$$(\mathrm{Lie}(G), g_1\varphi, F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G)), F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G))),$$

with $F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ as the unipotent radical of $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$, is called the Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal attached to (M, F^1, φ, G) and the inclusion $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subset F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ is interpreted as (cf. def. 2.2.12 a)): it is of parabolic type. See 3.1.1.2 for the interpretation of the mentioned inclusion (resp. of the uniqueness part of b)) in terms of (see def. of 2.2.8 7) and of 2.2.9 6)) G-endomorphisms (resp. of automorphisms); so 3.1.1.2 represents the generalization of the classical result that any endomorphism of an ordinary p-divisible group over k lifts to its canonical lift.

1.2.1. On the proof of Theorem 1. The proof of Theorem 1 is achieved by first (an idea suggested by G. Faltings) proving it for Shimura Lie σ-crystals $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), g\varphi)$. To achieve this and to complete the proof of Theorem 1 we construct (see 3.6.7 for the exact form needed) global deformations of such (as in 1.1) Shimura filtered σ-crystals; their goal is: to connect any Shimura σ-crystal $(M, g\varphi, G)$ (with $g \in G(W(k))$) with (the extension to $\bar{k}$ of) a G-ordinary σ-crystal $(M, g_1\varphi, G)$. Here by global we mean: deformations over the p-adic completion of pro-étale schemes over smooth, affine $W(k)$-schemes, whose special fibres are connected and have a dense set of $\bar{k}$-valued points. This takes care of (a stronger form of) d) of Theorem 1, as it can be checked easily starting from Grothendieck–Katz specialization theorem (see [Ka2, 2.3.1-2]) and from 1.2.1.0 2) and 3) below.

1.2.1.0. Tools from the Lie context. The proof of Theorem 1 for Shimura Lie σ-crystals $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), g\varphi)$ (with $g \in G(W(k))$), means three things:

1) there is $g_1 \in G(W(k))$ such that for any $x \in \mathfrak{p}_1$ (defined in a) of Theorem 1) we have $x(F^1) \subset F^1$ (cf. 3.2.3);

2) for any $g \in G(W(k))$, the Newton polygon of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), g\varphi)$ is strictly above or equal to the Newton polygon of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), g_1\varphi)$;

3) if in 2) we have equality of Newton polygons, then an explicit expression of g can be determined and the σ-crystal $(M, g\varphi)$ has the same Newton polygon as the σ-crystal $(M, g_1\varphi)$ (cf. 3.4.11 and 3.4.13).

Part 1) is easy (as G is a quasi-split reductive group), while 3) is implicitly obtained on the way –see below– of proving 2) (cf. 3.4.8-11 and 3.7.4). From 1) to 3) and 1.2.1 we get a) and c) of Theorem 1 (see 3.2.4). Based on the fact that $[\mu]$ is uniquely determined by (M, φ, G) (cf. Fact 2 of 2.2.9 3)), the uniqueness part of b) of Theorem 1 is just a simple property of parabolic subgroups of G (cf. 3.2.8).

For the proof of 2) we first show that for any $g \in G(W(k))$, the Newton polygon of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), g\varphi)$ can not be strictly below the Newton polygon of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), g_1\varphi)$ (cf. 3.4.12). This is achieved (cf. the proof of 3.4.6 needed to get 3.4.8, and so needed to get 3.4.12) by using Lie stable p-ranks of Shimura σ-crystals, via their interpretation (see 3.4.5.1 B; see also the proof of 3.9.2) in terms of multiplicities of (suitable –i.e. minimal in some relative sense– non-positive) slopes of Newton polygons of attached Shimura Lie σ-crystals.

The Lie stable p-rank of a Shimura σ-crystal (M, φ, G) is a non-negative integer associated to $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), \varphi)$: though it is defined just in 3.9.1 and 3.9.1.1, the very essence of its definition is already captured in 3.4.5 and 3.4.5.1. Here is a sample of how it can be computed. If the adjoint group G^{ad} of G is an absolutely simple group and μ of 1.1 ii) does not factor through the center of G, then it is the dimension over k of the stable image (i.e. of the image of the "sufficiently high" iterate) of the σ-linear endomorphism $FSHW$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_k) = \mathrm{Lie}(G)/p\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ defined by the reduction mod p of the σ-linear endomorphism $p\varphi$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ (warning: here φ is viewed as a σ-linear automorphism of $\mathrm{End}(M)[\frac{1}{p}]$ and so $p\varphi$ denotes its multiplication by p). As $p\varphi$ normalizes the Lie algebras of the derived group G^{der} of G as well as of the adjoint group G^{ad} of G (see 2.2.13 for the adjoint context), this Lie stable p-rank can be computed as well (see 3.9.6) using the resulting σ-linear endomorphism $FSHW^{\mathrm{der}}$ (resp. $FSHW^{\mathrm{ad}}$) of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{der}})/p\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{der}})$ (resp. of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})/p\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$).

3.4.6 is a theorem on such Lie stable p-ranks capturing the very essence behind 2), 3) and Theorem 2 below. Its proof is carried out in 3.5. It involves standard techniques pertaining to (Lie algebras of) parabolic subgroups of $G_{\bar{k}}$: the well known classification of Shimura varieties of Hodge type (see [De2]; see also [Sa] or the abstract context of [Se2, §3]), allows us to restate 3.4.6 in terms of

– possible dimensions of suitable intersections of Lie algebras of unipotent radicals of such parabolic subgroups, and of

– suitable inclusions between such intersections.

Accordingly, the language of root systems occupies a central stage in 3.4-5.

To conclude the proof of 2) we use standard specialization arguments in the context of the mentioned global deformations (cf. 1.2.1; see 3.7.1 and 3.7.6).

1.2.1.1. Variants and complements. For a simpler way of proving a) and c) of Theorem 1 see 3.4.14. See also the last sentence of 1.4.1 for a third approach. For the sake of completeness and of future references, 3.4-5 handle as well with full details the cases of Shimura Lie σ-crystals (see def. 2.2.11) which are not attachable to some Shimura σ-crystals (like the ones involving the E_6 and E_7 Lie types, etc.).

The first group of basic results and their proofs are presented in 3.1-5 and 3.7, while 3.8 shows that Theorem 1 remains true even if G is just a reductive group (i.e. it is not necessarily quasi-split). The construction of the global deformations mentioned in 1.2.1 is carried out in 3.6, being in fact part of the second group of basic results.

1.2.1.2. On the organization of 2.2. In 2.2 we present basic facts pertaining to Shimura (adjoint) (filtered) (Lie) (σ- or F-) crystals; most of them are indispensable for the reading of §3-4 (and so of 3.1-5). Special attention is paid to the Lie context (see the first paragraph of 2.2.3 4) for a justification): see 2.2.2-3, 2.2.4 B, 2.2.8 b), 2.2.11-13, 2.2.16.5, 2.2.23 B, etc. The notion of Shimura p-divisible groups (these are p-divisible groups endowed with a family of crystalline –de Rham– tensors and satisfying an axiom inspired from the axioms of 1.1) is introduced in 2.2.20. See 2.2.22 (resp. 2.2.23) for some complements on Shimura (Lie) σ-crystals (resp. on quasi-polarizations); in particular, 2.2.22 3) deals with different classification problems.

1.2.2. A supplement to 1.2.0. We have (cf. 3.9.2) the following important supplement to 1.2.0:

Theorem 2. *A Shimura σ-crystal (M,φ,G) is a G-ordinary σ-crystal iff for any $g \in G(W(k))$, the Lie stable p-rank of $(M,g\varphi,G)$ is smaller than or equal to the Lie stable p-rank of (M,φ,G).*

In particular, any Shimura σ-crystal $(M,g\varphi,G)$ having the same Lie stable p-rank as a G-ordinary σ-crystal $(M,g_1\varphi,G)$, is a G-ordinary σ-crystal itself. Theorem 2 represents the generalization of the characterization of ordinary p-divisible groups over k in terms of p-ranks of Hasse–Witt maps or matrices: we get Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt (reduced or adjoint) maps and matrices "encoding" the values of these Lie stable p-ranks (cf. 3.4.5, 3.9.1, 3.9.6, 4.3.7 and 4.3.9; see also 3.13.7.6 for their non-compact variant). The essence of its proof is 3.5. The σ-linear endomorphism $FSHW^{\mathrm{der}}$ (resp. $FSHW^{\mathrm{ad}}$) of 1.2.1.0, is the most elementary sample of a Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt map (resp. adjoint map); the dimension over k of its stable image is nothing else but the multiplicity of the slope -1 of the isocrystal $(\mathrm{Lie}(G)[\frac{1}{p}],\varphi)$. Such maps can be defined as well in global contexts (like when k gets replaced by some regular, formally smooth k-scheme S_k and we deal with a suitable F-crystal with tensors –see 2.2.10 for samples– over S_k).

We can refer to Lie stable p-ranks as well as Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt invariants. As we speak about Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt maps as well as (see 1.6.1) stratifications, and as in more general contexts (like of the class $\mathcal{CL}_3$ of 1.2.9), there is a demarcation between the two (see 3.13.7.9 for some explanations), to be short and to avoid confusion, in §1-14, in connection to Shimura Lie σ-crystals we refer just to Lie stable p-ranks of them.

1.2.2.1. A mod p interpretation. Theorem 2 implies: the fact that $(M,g\varphi,G)$ is or is not G-ordinary depends only on the expression of g mod p (cf. 3.9.3). See 3.13.7.1.2 for a more of a principle formulation of this, closer in spirit to the classical context of Serre–Tate's (ordinary) theory; so 3.13.7.1.2 represents the generalization of the following well known result: the fact that a p-divisible group D over k is ordinary or not can be read out from its maximal subgroup $D[p]$ annihilated by p.

1.2.3. The list of principles. In section 3.6 we start the presentation of twelve fundamental principles of the crystalline theory. These principles are:

PR1 the ∇ principle (cf. 3.6.1.3, 3.6.18.4 and 3.6.18.6 a); see also 3.6.18.4.4 1) and 3.6.18.9);

PR2 the moduli principle (cf. 3.6.1.3 1) and 3.6.18.4.2);

PR3 the surjectivity principle (cf. 3.6.1.3 5), 3.6.8.1.2 a), 3.6.8.2, 3.6.18.4.5 and 3.6.18.6 b));

PR4 the uniqueness principle (cf. 3.6.1.2, 3.6.18.8 and 3.6.18.8.1);

PR5 the (very) (weak) gluing principle (cf. 3.6.2.2 and 3.6.19);

PR6 the integrability principle (cf. 3.6.18.4.1 and 3.6.18.4.1.1);

PR7 the deformation principle (cf. 3.6.14 and 3.6.14.1-4);

PR8 the boundedness principle (cf. 3.15.7; see also 1.6.3);

PR9 the purity principle (cf. 3.6.8.1.4, 3.15.10 and 4.5.16.1);

PR10 the (local) integral Manin problems (cf. a great part of 4.12);

PR11 the invariance principle (cf. 4.13 and §9-10);

PR12 the slice principle (cf. §7).

These principles are accompanied by eight other useful properties:

- the constructibility property (cf. 3.6.8.1.2 b));
- the constancy property (cf. 3.6.8.9);
- the touching property (cf. 3.6.18.4.3);
- the inducing property (cf. 3.6.18.5);
- the liftability property (cf. 3.6.18.5.2, 3.6.18.5.4 1) and 3.6.18.5.9);
- the inductive property (cf. 3.9.7.2);
- the homomorphism property (cf. Corollary of 3.15.8);
- the rigidity property (cf. §7; see also 3.6.1.4 5) and 3.13.5.4).

3.6.18.4-6 can be extended to a relative situation (i.e. to a situation where a smooth group –not necessarily reductive– is involved, as in the context of 1.1): see the whole of 3.6.18.7. It would be too long to fully describe these principles (or properties) here. So we concentrate in outlying some of the main features.

1.2.4. The first three principles in a convenient Shimura σ-crystal context. For the definition of Fontaine categories $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(X)$ and $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$, of their p-divisible objects and for how (p-divisible) objects are pulled back through pro-étale, affine morphisms see 2.1, 2.2.1 c) and respectively 3.6.1.1.1 1), 2) and 5). Here X stands for a regular, formally smooth, affine $W(k)$-scheme such that either itself or its p-adic completion is endowed with an arbitrary (but fixed) Frobenius lift compatible (see 2.1) with σ; we always assume that the sheaf $\Omega_{X_k/k}$ on X_k of relative differentials is locally free of finite rank. Though in this introduction we deal just with such an X, it is worth pointing out that in many situations X can be non-affine. For instance, when we are dealing with $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$, X can be non-affine and moreover we do not need to assume that a Frobenius lift is specified (cf. 2.2.1 c)).

We come back to 1.1. Let $U = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be an open, affine subscheme of G such that the identity element a_0 of $G(W(k))$ belongs to $U(W(k))$. We assume the existence of an étale morphism $U \to \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[x_1, ..., x_{d(G)}])$, where $d(G)$ is the relative dimension of G, such that a_0 factors through the closed subscheme of U defined by $x_i = 0$, $i = \overline{1, d(G)}$; here we identify naturally each x_i with an element of R. Let $R^\wedge$ be the p-adic completion of R and let Φ_R be the Frobenius lift of $U^\wedge := \mathrm{Spec}(R^\wedge)$ which at the level of rings takes x_i (identified naturally with an element of R) into x_i^p, $\forall i \in \{1, ..., d(G)\}$, and is compatible with σ (for the case of a general type Frobenius lift Φ_R compatible with σ see 3.6.18.8.3). Let M_U be the p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(U)$ defined by the triple

$$(M \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge, \Phi_{M_U}).$$

Here Φ_{M_U} is the Φ_R-linear endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge$ which takes an element $m \in M$ into $u(\varphi(m))$, with $u \in G(R^\wedge)$ as the universal element naturally defined by the inclusion $U \hookrightarrow G$. So $\Phi_{M_U}(t_\alpha) = t_\alpha$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. m and t_α's are viewed naturally as tensors of the tensor algebra of $(M \oplus M^*) \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge[\frac{1}{p}]$ and Φ_{M_U} acts in the standard way (see 2.1) on this tensor algebra.

The ∇, the moduli and the surjectivity principles in their simplified and convenient form involving Shimura σ-crystals can be formulated as follows (cf. 3.6.1.3):

Theorem 3. *There is a pro-étale, affine morphism* $\ell : U_1 = \mathrm{Spec}(R_1) \to U$, *with the special fibre of* U_1 *a geometrically connected* k*-scheme, such that:*

a) *The pull back* M_{U_1} *of* M_U *to (the* p*-adic completion of)* U_1*, is a* p*-divisible object of* $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(U_1)$*. In other words (cf. 3.6.1.1.1 2)), there is a unique connection*

$$\nabla : M \otimes_{W(k)} R_1^\wedge \to M \otimes_{W(k)} \Omega_{R/W(k)} \otimes_R R_1^\wedge$$

for which the Frobenius endomorphism $\Phi_{M_{U_1}}$ *of* $M \otimes_{W(k)} R_1^\wedge$ *(defined by* Φ_{M_U}*; the Frobenius lift of* $R_1^\wedge$ *being the one naturally induced from* Φ_R *via* ℓ*) is* ∇*-parallel (in the usual sense);* ∇ *is integrable and nilpotent mod* p*. Moreover,* ∇ *respects the* G*-action (i.e. we have* $\nabla(t_\alpha) = 0$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$*);*

b) *There is a closed subscheme* $\mathcal{B}$ *of the special fibre* U_k *of* U *not containing the origin of* G_k*, with the property that the fibres of* ℓ *above points of* $U_k \setminus \mathcal{B}$ *are non-empty.*

From Theorem 3 and [Fa1, 7.1] we deduce the existence of a p-divisible group D_1 over $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1^\wedge)$ corresponding (via the antiequivalence of loc. cit. applied in the context of a suitable projective system) to this p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(U_1)$ (cf. 3.6.2.0; see also b) of the Fact of 3.6.19). As ∇ annihilates t_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, the pair $\mathcal{D}_1 := (D_1, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ is a Shimura p-divisible group.

In their full form, the above three principles in the context of M_U and Φ_R describe as well how we can choose ℓ to get an universal property and what extra properties it enjoys (subject to this special choice).

1.2.5. The essence of the second group of basic results: the first six principles. For the sake of generality, we now describe PR1-6 in wider contexts (then the one of 1.2.4). Let X be as in 1.2.4. The ∇ principle for an arbitrary object $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(X)$ shows the existence, in the étale topology of X (i.e. when we pass to an étale, affine morphism $X' \to X$, surjective mod p), of connections on the pull back $\mathfrak{C}_{X'}$ of $\mathfrak{C}$ to X'; such connections satisfy all equations except the ones referring to the integrability part (see 3.6.1.1.1 1), 2) and 4)) needed to be satisfied in order that $\mathfrak{C}_{X'}$ is obtainable from an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X')$ by forgetting its connection. It also computes the number $\nabla(\mathfrak{C})$ of connections on $\mathfrak{C}$ (in the case when the special fibre of X is a local strictly henselian scheme), cf. 3.6.18.4.4 1), and expresses upper bounds for this number (in the general case), cf. 3.6.18.9.

The ∇ principle for a p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(X)$ shows the existence of such connections in the pro-étale topology of X (i.e. when we pass to a pro-étale, affine morphism $X' \to X$, surjective mod p) and (under some conditions on X; see b) of Fact of 3.6.19 and see 3.15.4 for some extensions of it) asserts (via the integrability principle to be mentioned below) the existence of a p-divisible group $D_{X'}$ over the p-adic completion $X'^{\wedge}$ of X' whose associated filtered F-crystal, when viewed just as a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(X')$ (i.e. when viewed without connection), is the pull back of $\mathfrak{C}$ to X'; to be consistent with 1.2.4, $X'^{\wedge}$ is viewed here as an affine scheme. In many cases the uniqueness of $D_{X'}$ is implied by [Fa1, 7.1] (see b) of Fact of 3.6.19); when loc. cit. does not apply, we rely on the fully faithfulness results of [BM, §4] and the deformation theory of [Me, ch. 4-5] in order to get more general uniqueness statements (see 3.15.2-4).

Regardless of $\mathfrak{C}$ being an object or a p-divisible object, the ∇ principle also describes how such an X-scheme X' can be obtained: always X'_k is an affine X_k-scheme of a very particular type. To detail this we work locally in the Zariski topology of X_k. So we consider the context in which $\mathfrak{C}$ is a p-divisible object, $\Omega_{X_k/k}$ is a free sheaf on X_k and the underlying sheaf of $\mathfrak{C}$ (see defs. of 2.2.1 c)) is as well free. We have (cf. 3.6.18.4.2 b) for the mod p part):

Key Property. *X'_k is the projective limit of schemes X_m, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where X_m is obtained from X_{m-1} (with $X_0 := X_k$) by using a quasi Artin–Schreier system of equations (in a number of variables which does not depend on m), while X' itself is obtained as a projective limit of schemes by just lifting what we get mod p.*

These systems of equation (cf. the terminology of 3.6.18.4.6 A; they are also called of first type, cf. 3.6.8.9) are systems of n equations in n variables x_1,..., x_n with coefficients in an $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra $R(p)$, of the form

$$x_i = L_i(x_1^p, ..., x_n^p), \tag{1}$$

$i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, where L_i's are linear forms (not necessarily homogeneous). Here $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Any such system of equations defines naturally an étale, affine $\mathrm{Spec}(R(p))$-scheme (see 3.6.8.1.2 a)).

The uniqueness principle tells us when the number $\nabla(\mathfrak{C})$ is 1. There are two forms of it: one in terms only of the Frobenius lift of X (or of its p-adic completion) (see 3.6.18.8) and another one in terms only of the Frobenius endomorphism of (the underlying sheaf of) $\mathfrak{C}$ (see 3.6.18.8.1 a)). The integrability principle asserts that all connections, whose existence is asserted by the ∇ principle, are in fact integrable. The ideas involved in obtaining it rely on a natural algebraization process (which allows us –via Chinese Remainder Theorem– to perform suitable global deformations to a generic –i.e. ordinary– context) and on the touching property; they can be easily grasped from 3.6.18.4.1. These last two principles together with the inducing property of 3.6.18.5 form a natural extension of [Fa2, th. 10].

The moduli principle shows the existence of a moduli formal scheme of connections (satisfying the equations mentioned in the first paragraph of 1.2.5) on (pull backs of) an arbitrary object or p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(X)$. One form of the surjectivity principle asserts that, in the case of a p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}$, any connected component of the special fibre of a such resulting moduli formal scheme, maps onto an open, dense subscheme of a connected component of the special fibre of X (cf. 3.6.18.4.5).

The gluing principle has many variants (cf. 3.6.19). One such variant shows that in many cases two filtered F-crystals (which are "modeled" on Shimura p-divisible groups) over the (assumed to be connected) special fibre Y_k of a separated, smooth $W(k)$-scheme Y, under some conditions which make them to look similar "around" a k-valued point y of Y and modulo logical changes of filtrations, are isomorphic over a connected Y_k-scheme which is the special fibre of a pro-étale, affine morphism $Y_1 \to Y$ whose image contains an open, dense subscheme of Y_k and to which y lifts.

1.2.5.1. Some ideas. The guiding main new idea for obtaining these principles: we work inductively mod p^n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (even in the case of a regular ring of formal power series over $W(k)$). What we gain by doing this: the systems of equations we get (as in 1.2.5, by working locally in the Zariski topology of X_k) are of a very simple and practical form (for instance, see 3.6.8; see also the simple, independent Fundamental Lemma 3.6.8.1, whose proof is based on intersection theory).

Using a subclass of quasi Artin–Schreier systems of equations (i.e. the class of the so called Artin–Schreier systems of equations) with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras, we define Artin–Schreier fundamental groups (of different levels) of $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras whose spectra are connected (see 3.6.18.4.6 A and B). See 3.6.18.4.6 C and D for the Fundamental Lemma of the π_1-theory in positive characteristic.

The idea behind the surjectivity principle is the constancy property: the quasi Artin–Schreier systems of equations we get working with different powers of p are very close (in shape) one to another, i.e. they differ, cf. 3.6.8.9, only by adding some constants to the linear forms involved (so the abstract surjectivity principle of 3.6.1.8.2 a) applies). For an application of 3.6.8.1 to difference systems of equations see 3.6.8.1.1. We view 3.6.8.1.2 c) and part of 3.6.8.1 as the purely algebraic analogue of the well known theorem of S. Lang on connected algebraic groups over finite fields.

1.2.6. Some details on the contents of 3.9-10 and 3.12-14. In 3.9.4-5 we present the notion of refined Lie stable p-rank of a Shimura σ-crystal (M, φ, G) and a theorem for it similar to Theorem 2. The idea behind this is: for each factor of the adjoint group G^{ad} of G whose generic fibre has a Lie algebra normalized by φ, we can define a Lie stable p-rank; so the "refined" part refers to the fact that we keep track of all these Lie stable p-ranks and not only of a particular sum of them. Strictly speaking, in 3.4-5 we just deal with Lie stable p-ranks associated to a fixed such factor of G^{ad} (see their parts referring to I_0 of 3.4.0).

In 3.10 we introduce the terminology (and notion) of cyclic Shimura (adjoint) Lie σ-crystals to be constantly used, as well as logical formulas (see 3.10.6-7) for them.

In 3.12 we show that for many Shimura filtered F-crystals $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, H, \tilde{f})$ (defined in 2.2.10; so H is a smooth subscheme of G such that $H(W(k))$ contains a_0 of 1.2.4), the Shimura σ_ν-crystal over the algebraic closure ν of the field of fractions of the completion of the special fibre of H in the special fibre of a_0, is a $G_{W(\nu)}$-ordinary σ_ν-crystal (here σ_ν is the Frobenius automorphism of $W(\nu)$). 3.12.1 is an immediate consequence of d) of Theorem 1 and of (restatement 2.2.21 UP of) [Fa2, rm. iii) after th. 10].

By a lift of a Shimura σ-crystal (M, φ, G) we mean a direct summand F^1 of M such that the quadruple (M, F^1, φ, G) is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal. Our hopes for a good (understandable and useful) theory of lifts of Shimura σ-crystals (M, φ, G) which are not G-ordinary (or are not potentially cyclic diagonalizable; see defs. 2.2.1 d) and 2.2.22 1)) are gathered in 3.13: we define different (too many to be itemized) deviations of Shimura (filtered) (Lie) σ-crystals. Here deviations are thought as measures of how far (or close) a Shimura σ-crystal is from being G-ordinary or potentially cyclic diagonalizable. We draw attention to Conjecture 3.13.3.1 3) and to Problem 3.13.4 7).

The case $p = 2$ is treated in 3.14: with very few exceptions (all of them are explicitly itemized), everything we get for $p \geq 3$ remains true for $p = 2$ without any significant modification. In particular, Theorems 1, 2 and 3 remain true for $p = 2$. With $p \geq 3$, 3.5.4 a) is a well known statement on standard $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-subalgebras of the Lie algebra of a semisimple group SG over $\bar{k}$ which has a simple adjoint; it plays an important role in the proofs of 3.5. Unfortunately it is not true in general for $p = 2$. However, for $p = 2$ one gets around this problem by using any one (the choice depends on the context and so on SG) of the following three things (see 3.14 C; see also Step 2 of 3.13.7.3 and 3.14 J):

a) a slightly more involved argument pertaining to root systems;

b) reduced structures of some normalizing group schemes over $\bar{k}$ in order to make them smooth;

c) the classification of SG's for which 3.5.4 a) does not hold.

1.2.7. Some conclusions and Dieudonné's theories. Some conclusions (with $p \geq 2$) obtained by combining the basic results of 3.1 and 3.6, are included in 3.15 (see also 3.1.8); they are detailed below and in 1.6.3-4. Some of them are refined in §4 (like Theorem 1 of 3.15.1 is refined –see Theorem 13 of 1.12– in 4.12.12 and 4.12.12.2).

In different parts of §3, we reobtain many well known results of crystalline Dieudonné theories over (special fibres of) regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-schemes; moreover, in many situations we weaken –see below– the often used restriction on such special fibres to be locally of finite type, as well as in many situations we work in a context involving finite, flat, commutative group schemes of p-power rank instead of one of (truncations of) p-divisible groups. For samples, see 3.6.18.5.1, 3.6.18.5.3, 3.6.18.5.7-8, Fact of 3.6.19, 3.6.20 3), 3.14 B and 3.15.2-3. To our knowledge, some results are new; like 3.15.2 (and its variants referred to in 3.15.3 3) and 6) and in 3.15.4). The idea behind 3.15.2 is the moduli principle; so, though a major result, it is not stated explicitly in this introduction. Here, in connection to these theories we just mention:

- In 3.6.20 3) and 3.15.3 4) we show that (for $p \geq 3$) the results [Fa1, 7.1] and [Fa2, th. 10] can be deduced one from another (to our knowledge this is the first time when global Dieudonné theories –like [Fa1, 7.1]– are used to get local ones –like [Fa2, th. 10]–; usually the implications go the other way round, see [dJ2] and the proof of Theorem 2 of 3.15.1);

- In 3.6.20 3), in 3.14 B6-8, in the proofs of the two Theorems of 3.15.1 and in 3.15.3 3) and 6) we make the connection with [dJ1-2];

- The new ideas we introduce are based on (a more systematic use of) the theory of projective systems of (quasi-coherent sheaves on) schemes (see [EGA IV, §8]) and on some of the first six principles of 1.2.3. So some of the results are proved in wider contexts, like the ones involving pro-étale morphisms or finite, flat, commutative group schemes of p-power rank; moreover, we are stating everything in terms (i.e. in the language) of Fontaine categories (even when we deal with the special fibre of a regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-scheme; see 3.14 B6 for a sample): see 2.2.1.0 for Dieudonné's crystalline, contravariant functor in the language of such categories.

Many of the ideas presented in §1-4, can be easily adapted to wider contexts, which are either not necessarily regular, formally smooth (cf. 3.6.20 4)) or are involving a (non-perfect) field having a finite p-basis (cf. 3.15.3 6) and 3.15.4.1); as our work on p-divisible groups emerged from the smooth context of a standard Hodge situation (see 1.3), these extensions are just very partially exploited here.

1.2.8. On the context of 3.11. The special features of the case $k = \bar{k}$ and some of their applications are presented in 3.11. Using defs. 2.2.1 d), 2.2.22 1) and 2.2.12, we have (cf. also 2.2.9 6)):

Theorem 4. *If $k = \bar{k}$, then all G-canonical lifts $(M, F^1, g\varphi, G)$ $(g \in G(W(k)))$ are isomorphic to each other (under isomorphisms defined by elements of $G(W(k))$), are cyclic diagonalizable and their Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystals are cyclic diagonalizable and of Borel and parabolic type.*

In particular, if $k = \bar{k}$ there is a $W(k)$-basis $\{e_i | i \in B(M)\}$ of M and a permutation π of the set $B(M)$, such that

$$g\varphi(e_i) = p^{\varepsilon_i} e_{\pi(i)},$$

where ε_i is 1 or 0 depending on the fact that e_i is or is not in F^1. All proofs of Theorem 4 presented (or mentioned) in 3.11.1 are in essence based on 1.2.1.0 3) and on properties of parabolic subgroups of G which allow us to use the fact (see 3.6.8.1) that quasi Artin–Schreier systems of equations with coefficients in k have always solutions. If $G = GL(M)$ then we can assume π is the trivial permutation (cf. 3.1.1.1).

Using Theorem 4, we get (see 3.1.4 and 3.11.2 A) that for any G-ordinary σ-crystal (M, φ, G), there is a unique cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ as in 1.1 ii) and such that the elements of the parabolic Lie subalgebra of Lie(G) corresponding to non-negative (resp. to non-positive) slopes of (Lie$(G), \varphi)$ take F^1 (resp. F^0) into itself; it is referred as the canonical split of (M, φ, G). It is the inverse of the canonical split cocharacter of

(M, F^1, φ, G) as defined in [Wi], cf. 3.1.5. Useful direct sum decompositions (in the étale context with $\mathbb{Z}_p$-coefficients or in the integral crystalline context) can be found in 3.11.8.1 (see also 3.11.9).

1.2.9. General Fontaine categories. References to Fontaine categories $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(*)$ and $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,b]}(*)$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \geq a+2$, are made (besides some foundational material of parts of §2 and of 3.6.1 and some isolated remarks) in 3.6.8.9, 3.6.18.5.5, 3.6.18.7.1 b) and c), 3.6.18.7.1.1 b), 3.6.18.7.3, 3.6.18.7.4 4), 3.6.18.8, 3.6.18.8.1 b), end of 3.6.18.9, 3.13.7.8-9, 3.15.4-10, 4.5.4, 4.5.15.2.4 5), 4.5.18.1, 4.14.5 and in Appendix. Such categories were first used in [FL] (resp. in [Fa1]) for the case when $X = \mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ (resp. when X is smooth over $W(k)$ and either it is affine or we are in situations in which, based on gluings arguments as in [Fa1, proof of 2.3], we do not need to specify a Frobenius lift). Here we just point out four things.

First, we define a wide (and wild) variety of (subcategories of) Fontaine categories (of objects of or p-divisible objects): see 2.2.1.7 for a glimpse. Different tannakian considerations are gathered in 2.2.4. In particular, we draw attention to the list of π_1-groupoids of 2.2.4 J. They are of interest among themselves. Moreover, they also form the first attempt to:

– define (quotients of) crystalline fundamental groupoids (and so indirectly groups) with integral coefficients, and to

– (simultaneously) work as well in other contexts.

We recall that previous works of Deligne (see [De6]) and others (more recently see [Shi1-2] and [HK]) were centered on a rational, unipotent context. Here, by "other contexts" we mean contexts which do pay (at least partially) attention to reductive (group) aspects and by integral coefficients we mean $\mathbb{Z}_p$ or $W(k)$ coefficients (versus $\mathbb{Q}_p$ or $B(k)$). We go as far as:

i) to present some tools (which hopefully will be used later on and) which pertain to Fontaine categories (see all of 2.2.4);

ii) to define, without assuming that X or its p-adic completion has a Frobenius lift, a category $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}(X)$ which has most of the features one could think of (the still to be defined) category "$\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$" (see 2.2.4 C and D);

iii) to speak about tannakian categories and almost fibre functors over $W_n(k)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see ii) and 2.2.4 F);

iv) to define Fontaine's crystalline fundamental $W(k)$-groupoid of a proper, smooth, geometrically connected $W(k)$-scheme (see 2.2.4 K);

v) to define the crystalline fundamental $W(k)$-groupoid in crystals of the special fibre X_k of X (see 2.2.4.1 1));

vi) and to include general affine versions of iv).

Second, most of the properties and principles we mentioned for $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(*)$ in 1.2.4-5, are either not true (in general) or are true in a much weaker form for $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(*)$; this is so due to the fact that working as usual modulo powers of p and following the approach of 1.2.5, we get systems of equations in n variables which besides the equations of (1) of 1.2.5 do involve in general some extra equations (cf. 3.6.8.9; we refer to such systems of equations of being of third type).

Third, some of the results have to be stated not in terms of multiplicities of the slopes 0 and 1 or of the slope -1 (as we usually do in the context of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(*)$: see 3.6.18.4, 3.6.18.7.0, etc.) but in terms of suitable pseudo-multiplicities of the slope -1, as defined in 3.6.18.5.5 B. The reason is: the liftability property referred to in 1.2.3 does not hold (in general) in the context of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(*)$.

Fourth, in the study of (truncations of) p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}(W(k))$ (see 2.2.1 c) for defs.) endowed with some extra structures, we distinguish five major classes. Working (for simplicity of language) in a non-filtered context, they are listed below in such a way that the next ones contain the previous ones:

1) The class $\mathcal{CL}_1$ of Shimura σ-crystals;

2) The class $\mathcal{CL}_2$ of generalized Shimura p-divisible objects over k (see 2.2.8 3) and 4));

3) The class $\mathcal{CL}_3$ of p-divisible objects with a reductive structure over k (see 2.2.8 3a) and 4a));

4) The class $\mathcal{CL}_4$ of σ-$\mathcal{S}$-crystals (see 3.6.1.5);

5) The most general context (involving a non-necessarily smooth group scheme).

This division is based on degrees of complexities. A great part of the results of 1.2.1-8 (pertaining to $\mathcal{CL}_1$) extend automatically to $\mathcal{CL}_2$ and even to $\mathcal{CL}_3$ or to $\mathcal{CL}_4$. For instance, this paper "handles" entirely $\mathcal{CL}_2$ (i.e. everything we get here for $\mathcal{CL}_1$ is obtained as well for $\mathcal{CL}_2$). For the (local and global) deformation theory in the context of $\mathcal{CL}_2$ we refer to 3.6.18.7.1 c), 3.6.18.7.3 C and 3.15.6. One might wonder (based on the above use of the word entirely), why we do not treat $\mathcal{CL}_2$ and $\mathcal{CL}_1$ as just one class. We have two reasons for this:

– presently, in general, we do not have geometric interpretations for representatives of $\mathcal{CL}_2$ (like p-divisible groups, Verschiebung maps of them or the existence of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type);

– occasionally we prove results pertaining to $\mathcal{CL}_2$ by reduction to the context of $\mathcal{CL}_1$ (like the integrability principle; see 3.15.6 D).

Most of the ideas apply as well directly to $\mathcal{CL}_3$; however, in Step 1 of Appendix we state the things only as far as all details are obviously the same as for $\mathcal{CL}_2$. One think is worth mentioning: we do not present a deformation theory for $\mathcal{CL}_3$; however, such a theory can be substituted from many points of view (like "smallest Newton polygons") either by 3.6.6, 3.6.6.0 and Grothendieck–Katz' specialization theorem or by [RR] (see Step 1 of Appendix). For avoiding repetitions, some results pertaining to $\mathcal{CL}_2$ are stated just in Step 1 of Appendix, as refinements of results pertaining to $\mathcal{CL}_3$. In connection to $\mathcal{CL}_4$

(resp. to $\mathcal{CL}_5 \setminus \mathcal{CL}_4$) we refer to 3.6.1.6 and Appendix (resp. to 3.9.9). In 3.9.9 we construct quasi-versal (in the sense of 3.6.19 B) global deformations (over $W(k)$-schemes as in 1.2.1) in contexts provided by suitable quintuples (M, F^1, φ, H, G), with (M, F^1, φ, G) as in 1.1 and with H a closed (but not necessarily smooth), integral subgroup of G; in particular, we get such global deformations for quasi-polarized p-divisible groups over $W(k)$.

1.3. Standard Hodge situations. For a better presentation of geometric applications (see §4) of the basic results of §3, we introduce in 2.3 the standard Hodge situation; it generalizes [Ko2, ch. 5].

We start with an injective map $f : \mathrm{Sh}(G, X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sh}\big(\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S\big)$ of Shimura pairs. Here the pair $(\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$ defines a Siegel modular variety, cf. [Va2, 2.5]. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ be the localization of $\mathbb{Z}$ with respect to the rational prime $p \geq 3$.

Let v be a prime of the reflex field $E(G, X)$ dividing p and let $O_{(v)}$ be the localization of the ring of integers of $E(G, X)$ with respect to v. We assume the existence of a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-lattice $L_{(p)}$ of W which is crystalline well positioned for the map f with respect to v (cf. def. 2.3.4; see also below). The triple $\big(f, L_{(p)}, v\big)$ is called a standard Hodge situation.

Let $K_p := \{g \in \mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi)(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid g(L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p) = L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p\}$. The hypothesis on $L_{(p)}$ first implies: $L_{(p)}$ is a good $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-lattice with respect to f. This means (see def. [Va1, 5.8.3]) that ψ induces a perfect form $L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} L_{(p)} \to \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ (i.e. the induced map from $L_{(p)}$ into its dual $L^*_{(p)}$ is an isomorphism) and the Zariski closure $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ of G in $\mathrm{GSp}(L_{(p)}, \psi)$ is a reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. So K_p is a hyperspecial subgroup of $\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi)(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and the intersection

$$H := \mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi)(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap K_p$$

is a hyperspecial subgroup of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Let $e \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $2e = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(W)$. We choose a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $L_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of W such that ψ induces a perfect form $\psi : L_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ and $L_{(p)} = L_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Let $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ be a family of tensors in spaces of the form $W^{*\otimes n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} W^{\otimes n}$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and with W^* as the dual of W, such that G is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi)$ fixing v_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. The choice of the lattice $L_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and of the family $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ allows the interpretation of $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)(\mathbb{C})$ as the set of isomorphism classes of principally polarized abelian varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension e, carrying a family of Hodge cycles indexed by the set $\mathcal{J}$ and satisfying some additional conditions and level structures (cf. [Va2, 4.1]).

It is known (for instance, see [Va2, 3.2.9 and 4.1]) that the $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-scheme $\mathcal{M}$ parameterizing isomorphism classes of principally polarized abelian schemes of dimension e over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-schemes, having level-N symplectic similitude structure for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ relatively prime to p, together with the canonical action of $\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi)(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ on it, is an integral canonical model of $\mathrm{Sh}\big(\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S\big)/K_p$. These structures and this action are defined naturally –see [Va2, 4.1]– via L. See 2.1 for the $\mathbb{Q}$–algebras $\mathbb{A}_f^p$ and $\mathbb{A}_f$.

The normalization $\mathcal{N}$ of the Zariski closure of $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)/H$ in $\mathcal{M}_{O_{(v)}}$ is (cf. 2.3.3) the integral canonical model of $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)/H$ (or of Shimura quadruple (G, X, H, v); see

[Va2, 3.2.6] for the definition of these quadruples). Let $k(v)$ be the residue field of v. The universal principally polarized abelian scheme over $\mathcal{M}$ gives birth, by pull back, to a principally polarized abelian scheme $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ over $\mathcal{N}$, which we call special.

1.3.1. A basic assumption. The crystalline well positioned property of $L_{(p)}$ for the map f with respect to v also says: any point $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$ gives birth to a principally polarized abelian scheme (A, p_A) over $W(k)$ (obtained from $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ by pull back) endowed naturally with a family $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ of Hodge cycles such that the filtered σ-crystal (M, F^1, φ) (with $M := H^1_{\text{crys}}(A/W(k))$, with φ as the σ-linear endomorphism of M and with F^1 as the Hodge filtration of M defined by A) gets a natural structure of a "not necessarily quasi-split" Shimura filtered σ-crystal $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G}_{W(k)})$. In other words:

(*) *The subgroup of $GL(M)$ obtained by taking the Zariski closure of the subgroup of $GL\big(M[\frac{1}{p}]\big)$ fixing the perfect alternating form $p_A : M \otimes_{W(k)} M \to W(k)(1)$ (induced by the polarization p_A of the abelian variety A and still denoted by p_A) and the de Rham component t_α of w_α (this component is a tensor in the tensor algebra of $(M \oplus M^*)[\frac{1}{p}]$ fixed by φ, cf. the Corollary of 2.3.10), $\forall\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, is a reductive group (not a priori quasi-split) $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$ over $W(k)$.*

There is a finite, nilpotent Galois cover $\text{Spec}(W(k_1))$ of $\text{Spec}(W(k))$ of index of nilpotency at most two and of a very particular type (see 2.3.9 b) and d)) such that $\tilde{G}_{W(k_1)}$ is isomorphic to $G_{W(k_1)}$. Moreover, for many standard Hodge situations we can show (see 2.3.9 c)) that $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$ is in fact automatically isomorphic to $G_{W(k)}$. So, just for the sake of convenience, we make the convention (see 2.3.9.2) that, without any extra reference, we consider from now on only points $\text{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}$ with the property that for a (any) lift of it $\text{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}$, the reductive group over $W(k)$ we get as in (*), is (isomorphic to) $G_{W(k)}$ and so is quasi-split. The proof of 2.3.9 uses Fontaine's comparison theory, properties of reductive groups as well as a great part of [Va2, 6.4-6]. Based on this convention, the triple $(M, \varphi, G_{W(k)})$ (resp. the quadruple $(M, F^1, \varphi, G_{W(k)})$) is called the Shimura σ-crystal (resp. the Shimura filtered σ-crystal) attached to the point $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$ defined by z (resp. attached to z). When we want to emphasize p_A we also speak about the principally quasi-polarized Shimura (resp. Shimura filtered) σ-crystal attached to y (resp. to z). Notation: $(M, \varphi, G_{W(k)}, p_A)$ (resp. $(M, F^1, \varphi, G_{W(k)}, p_A)$).

1.3.1.1. Remark. (*) and the local deformation theory of [Va2, 5.4] imply (see 2.3.11): for any regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-scheme $\tilde{U}$ and for every morphism $\tilde{U} \to \mathcal{N}$, we get naturally (via pull back) a principally quasi-polarized Shimura p-divisible group over $\tilde{U}$.

1.3.2. The deformation principle. This principle in its essence says (cf. 3.6.14):

Theorem 5. *We consider a point $y : \text{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$. We assume that a starting assumption (see SA1 of 3.6.14) is satisfied for it. Then there is a smooth morphism $\mathcal{N}(y) \to \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$ and a pro-étale morphism $m^1 : \mathcal{N}(y)^1 \to \mathcal{N}(y)$, with $\mathcal{N}(y)^1$ and affine scheme having a geometrically connected special fibre $\mathcal{N}(y)^1_k$, such that:*

a) $\mathrm{Im}(m^1)$ *contains an open, dense subscheme of* $\mathcal{N}(y)_k$ *and* $y \in m^1(\mathcal{N}(y)^1(k))$, *and*

b) *the principally quasi-polarized Shimura p-divisible group* $\mathcal{D}^1$ *over the p-adic completion of* $\mathcal{N}(y)^1$, *obtained by natural pull back (see 1.3.1.1), has a very nice (down to earth) expression.*

What we mean by b): $\mathcal{D}^1$ *is obtained by pulling back (through the p-adic completion of a pro-étale morphism) the principally quasi-polarized Shimura p-divisible group* $\mathcal{D}_1$ *over* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1^\wedge)$ *produced entirely as in Theorem 3, but starting from the principally quasi-polarized Shimura* σ*-crystal attached to y (so here U is a suitable open subscheme of the subgroup of* $G_{W(k)}$ *fixing the perfect alternating form* p_A *on M).*

We get this principle by combining standard arguments with our approach of working inductively modulo powers of p; though lengthy, the proof of Theorem 5 is very instructive. The starting assumption refers to the fact that, working mod p, the variant of Theorem 5, obtained by working with henselizations of localizations of smooth $\mathcal{N}_k$-schemes in k-valued points lifting y, is a priori satisfied. In practice it is very hard to check if a starting condition is satisfied or not. However the proof of Theorem 5 involves some very important techniques and its variants modulo powers of p (see 3.6.14.4) involving no assumption, are also very useful for different local computations. Moreover, the fact that a starting condition is satisfied or not, is at the very root of many extremely important problems (see §5-8; see also 3.6.19 and the idea of 4.10.5).

There are variants of this principle: we work with a point $y_{H_0} : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ (here H_0 is a compact, open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ such that the quotient morphism $\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$ is a pro-étale cover and $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ descends to $\mathcal{N}/H_0$) or with an étale morphism $\mathcal{N}(y) \to \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$, cf. 3.6.14.1-3.

1.4. Main geometric concepts. Starting from Theorem 1, we are able to

– reobtain (see 4.6.1 3)) the well known results (pertaining to integral canonical models of Siegel modular varieties and to universal principally polarized abelian schemes over them) concerning the existence of an ordinary type and the existence of the canonical lift of a (principally polarized) ordinary abelian variety,

– as well as to extend them to any integral canonical model $\mathcal{N}$ of a Shimura variety $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)$ of Hodge type and to every special principally polarized abelian scheme $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ over $\mathcal{N}$, arising (as in the end of 1.3) from a standard Hodge situation $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$.

For instance, with the notations of 1.3 we get the following three things.

a) First we get a Shimura-ordinary type τ (cf. 4.1). It depends only on f and v and not on the choice of $L_{(p)}$. It can be described as follows.

The isomorphism $L_{(p)} \tilde{\to} L^*_{(p)}$ induced by ψ allows us to identify $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ with a subgroup of $GL(L^*_{(p)})$. Let $L^*_p := L^*_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p$; so $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is naturally a subgroup of $GL(L^*_p)$. Let T be a torus of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ such that:

1) there is a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to T_{W(k(v))}$ which over an embedding of $W(k(v))$ in $\mathbb{C}$ (extending the composite inclusion $O_{(v)} \subset E(G, X) \subset \mathbb{C}$) is $G(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to

the cocharacters $\mu_x^* : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_{\mathbb{C}}$, $x \in X$, which are the cocharacters μ_x mentioned in [Va2, 2.2], but viewed in the dual context (so they are the cocharacters of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ defining the Hodge $\mathbb{Q}$–structures on W^* associated to points $x \in X$);

2) there is a Borel subgroup B of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ containing T and whose Lie algebra is such that its elements take the F^1-filtration F^1 of $L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v))$ defined by μ into itself.

We recall that μ gives birth to a direct sum decomposition $L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v)) = F^1 \oplus F^0$, with $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k(v)))$ acting through μ on F^i as the multiplication with β^{-i}, $i = \overline{0,1}$; so 2) can be restated as: $b(F^1) \subset F^1$, $\forall b \in \mathrm{Lie}(B)$.

τ is the formal isogeny type associated to the σ-crystal over $k(v)$

$$(L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v)), \varphi)$$

defined by $\varphi := \sigma \circ \mu(\frac{1}{p})$. Here σ acts as identity on L_p^* and as Frobenius automorphism on $W((k(v))$, while $\frac{1}{p} \in \mathbb{G}_m\big(W\big(k(v)\big[\frac{1}{p}\big]\big)\big)$. Due to 1) and the expression of φ, the slopes of τ have as denominators divisors of the degree $[k(v) : \mathbb{F}_p]$ (see 4.6 P4).

b) Second we get G-ordinary (or Shimura-ordinary) points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ (cf. 4.2). One way to define them: they are those points, with values in fields, which have the property that the abelian varieties, obtained from $\mathcal{A}$ by pull backs via them, have τ as their formal isogeny type. They are dense in $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$.

c) Third we get G-canonical (or Shimura-canonical) lifts of G-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ with values in perfect fields (cf. 4.4); they are uniquely determined (see 1.5). These G-canonical lifts are points of $\mathcal{N}$ with values in Witt rings over perfect fields.

1.4.1. On the passage from abstract to geometric contexts. 1.4 b) and c) are a consequence of Theorems 1 and 2, via a natural algebraization process (see 2.3.15-16) of the local deformation theory of [Va2, 5.4]; this process is supported by:

– the natural interpretation of loc. cit. in terms of filtrations (see 2.3.17; see also 2.4 for an abstract presentation in the right context of PD-hulls);

– Fontaine's comparison theory (it is the essence behind 4.2.3, for instance, cf. [Va2, 5.2.17.2]);

– and by a general Lemma (see 3.6.6) on Shimura σ-crystals.

τ is a usual ordinary type (i.e. it is the formal isogeny type $e(1,0) + e(0,1)$; see 2.1) if and only if $k(v)$ is $\mathbb{F}_p$ (cf. 4.6 P1: it is an immediate consequence of how τ is defined). If $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_p$, then the abelian variety over $W(k)$ obtained from $\mathcal{A}$ by pull back through any $W(k)$-valued G-canonical lift of $\mathcal{N}$, is the canonical lift of an ordinary abelian variety (cf. 4.6 P2: it is a consequence of 4.6 P1 and of b) of Theorem 1; cf. also 2.3.17).

The proof of 3.6.6 relies heavily on the language of root systems and of the classification mentioned in 1.2.1.0. In fact 3.6.6 (in the form of the Exercise 3.6.6.0) is from some points of view equivalent to a) and c) of Theorem 1: see Step 1 of Appendix.

1.5. Shimura (filtered) Lie σ-crystals attached to points. Let $y:\mathrm{Spec}(k)\to\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. The Shimura Lie σ-crystal $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$ attached to the Shimura σ-crystal attached to y, is also referred as the Shimura Lie σ-crystal attached to y (cf. 2.3.10; see also 1.3.1); here $\mathfrak{g}:=\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)})$. Similarly (see 4.3.1), we speak about the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt (adjoint) map attached to y.

Any lift $z:\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))\to\mathcal{N}$ of y, makes $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$ to be a filtered Lie σ-crystal, i.e. it makes it to be a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(W(k))$ endowed naturally with a Lie structure. So we get a filtration

$$0=F^2(\mathfrak{g})\subset F^1(\mathfrak{g})\subset F^0(\mathfrak{g})\subset F^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})=\mathfrak{g}$$

such that

$$\varphi\bigl(\frac{1}{p}F^1(\mathfrak{g})+F^0(\mathfrak{g})+p\mathfrak{g}\bigr)=\mathfrak{g};$$

$F^0(\mathfrak{g})$ is a parabolic Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ having $F^1(\mathfrak{g})$ as its nilpotent radical, $F^1(\mathfrak{g})$ is abelian and $[\mathfrak{g},F^1(\mathfrak{g})]\subset F^0(\mathfrak{g})$. We refer to the quadruple $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi,F^0(\mathfrak{g}),F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ as the Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal attached to z. a) of Theorem 1 implies (via 2.3.17): y is a G-ordinary point iff there is a $W(k)$-valued point z of $\mathcal{N}$ lifting it and whose attached Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal is of parabolic type. Such a lift z, when exists, is unique (cf. b) of Theorem 1 and 2.3.17) and defines the G-canonical lift of y.

The G-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ (resp. the G-canonical lifts of G-ordinary points) with values in perfect fields have all usual properties of ordinary points (resp. of canonical lifts of ordinary points) of $\mathcal{M}$ with values in perfect fields, which can be read out from Shimura (resp. Shimura filtered) Lie σ-crystals attached to points of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ with values in perfect fields (resp. in Witt rings of perfect fields). As samples of this philosophy see 1.7-9 and 4.4.4. Of course, these properties have to be reformulated accordingly (if $k(v)\neq\mathbb{F}_p$).

1.5.1. A new, more general concept: U-ordinariness. A natural question arises: for which other k-valued point of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ we can (identify and) define naturally a lift of it to a $W(k)$-valued point of $\mathcal{N}$, which is uniquely determined by some conditions? In 4.4.13, as a digression, we answer partially this question: we define U-ordinary points and U-canonical lifts. The U-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ with values in k are those points whose attached Shimura σ-crystals have a unique lift of quasi CM type in the sense of 2.2.17 (and so in particular are potentially cyclic diagonalizable): these unique lifts define the U-canonical lifts. Any G-ordinary point is a U-ordinary point and any G-canonical lift is a U-canonical lift (see 4.4.13.3); but there are tremendously many examples of standard Hodge situations for which we have (plenty of) U-ordinary points which are not G-ordinary. These examples do not show up in the classical setting of $\mathcal{M}$: they typically show up when $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ has certain simple factors which are not absolutely simple. See 4.4.13.3.1 for first examples, in the abstract context of Shimura (Lie) σ-crystals. The simplest concrete geometric examples can be obtained starting from 4.12.12.6.6 3).

The passage from G-ordinary points to U-ordinary points is achieved via (see 4.4.13.2): we move from keeping track of parabolic Lie subalgebras of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)})$ corresponding to non-negative slopes, to keeping track of Lie subalgebras (they are automatically reductive in the potentially cyclic diagonalizable context, cf. 2.2.19.1-2) of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)})$ corresponding only to the slope 0; here slopes are with respect to some φ as in 1.3.1. When we are in the context of U-ordinary points and these last Lie subalgebras are abelian, we get a subclass of U-ordinary points which we call T-ordinary points. The G-ordinary points are just exceptionally T-ordinary points (see 4.6 P7).

Our hopes for good (i.e. unique in some sense) lifts for other k-valued points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ are expressed in question Q_3) of 4.5.15.

1.6. Stratifications. The Newton polygons of (isocrystals defined by) Shimura Lie σ-crystals attached to points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ with values in perfect fields, achieve (cf. 4.5) a stratification (called the canonical Lie stratification) of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ into $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant, reduced, locally closed subschemes. It is similar (in nature and properties) to the well known Newton polygon stratification of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$.

More generally, for any representation

$$\rho : G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \to GL(N),$$

with N a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of finite rank, we define (via Newton polygons, see 4.5.4) a ρ-stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ in $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant, reduced, locally closed subschemes. The intersection of all these ρ-stratifications defines the absolute stratification. This absolute stratification is the most refined stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ which can be obtained naturally (i.e. in an adequate Shimura context, involving representations of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$) with the help of Newton polygons. The ρ-stratification depends only on the restriction of ρ to $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (cf. 4.5.6 9)); however, there is a lot of data lost if we consider just such restrictions (see end of 4.5.4 for a glimpse and see 1.15.1 for a general principle).

In particular, we also define (see 4.5.2) the refined canonical Lie stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$: it is the intersection of those ρ-stratifications which have ρ as a subrepresentation of the adjoint representation of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ on $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$. If $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ has all its simple factors of C_n type or of some particular B_n type, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then this stratification coincides (see 4.5.6.1) with the absolute stratification; but this is not necessarily true in general (see 4.5.6.2). The G-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ are points of the generic (i.e. dense) open stratum (it does not matter which stratification we use, cf. 4.5.6 8)).

1.6.1. The (quasi-) ultra stratification. Warning: till 1.9.1 we assume $k = \bar{k}$. A long list of other types of stratifications of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is presented in 4.5.9 and 4.5.15. To explain them let us start mentioning some main points.

- Many of them are refinements of the absolute stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ (see question Q_2) of 4.5.15).

- The most useful ones are the pseudo-ultra, the quasi-ultra (or the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt) and the ultra stratification (see 4.5.15.1).

• The quasi-ultra stratification is defined purely in terms of inner isomorphism classes (over algebraically closed fields) of Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint maps attached to points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ with values in such fields, while the ultra stratification is defined as the intersection of the quasi-ultra and the absolute stratifications (it is 4.2.10 which allows us to speak about inner isomorphism classes; for instance, referring to the map $FSHW^{\mathrm{ad}}$ and notations of 1.2.1.0, we allow only those isomorphisms between $FSHW^{\mathrm{ad}}$ and $i_g \circ FSHW^{\mathrm{ad}}$, with i_g as the inner automorphism of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})/p\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ defined by $g \in G_k^{\mathrm{ad}}(k)$, which are defined as well by such inner automorphisms).

• In order to define these last two stratifications we either assume that the set of such inner isomorphism classes is finite (we expect –see 4.5.15.1; see also 1.6.2– that this set is always finite, under no restrictions) or allow stratifications (they are formalized in 2.1) with potentially an infinite number of strata.

• The quasi-ultra stratification can be studied without using any Verschiebung map; its main properties can be listed as follows (see 3.13.7.1-7, 4.5.15.2 and 4.5.15.2.1-5 for more details):

a) its strata are in one-to-one correspondence to a subset of the set of orbits of some algebraic group action $\mathcal{GA}$ over the algebraic closure $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{F}_p$: $\mathcal{GA}$ is constructed purely in terms of $G_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ and of the special fibre of the cocharacter μ of 1.4 1) (in the abstract context, see $\mathbb{T}^0$ of 3.13.7.1); more precisely, the number of its strata is conjectured to be the number of elements of the quotient set of the Weyl group WG of $G_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ which parameterizes orbits of $\mathcal{GA}$ defined naturally by elements of WG (this can be grasped from 1.6.2; see 4.12.12.6 for why all elements of WG do show up);

b) which stratum specializes to which stratum can be read out from $\mathcal{GA}$ (see end of 4.5.15.2.1);

c) all its strata are regular (this is a consequence of a) and of 2.3.15);

d) the dimensions of its strata can be read out from $\mathcal{GA}$; in particular, their codimensions are given by dimensions of automorphism groups of Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint maps attached to $\mathbb{F}$-valued points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ (again this is a consequence of 2.3.15);

e) if f is an isomorphism (i.e. if $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}$), it coincides with the canonical stratification as defined (for suitable quotients of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$) in [EO] (see also its published first preliminary version [Oo3]);

f) the quasi-affineness property of loc. cit. implies that all its strata are quasi-affine.

So the philosophy of the quasi-ultra stratification is:

Ph. *Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint maps are the adjoint Lie analogue (in any relative context pertaining to Shimura Lie σ-crystals) of truncations mod p of p-divisible groups over $\mathbb{F}_p$-schemes.*

The only thing we use in §1-14 from [Oo3] (cf. the quasi-affineness part of f)), can be in fact entirely avoided, cf. 4.9.17.0.0.

1.6.2. A main expectation. The main expectation on the precise number of orbits of $\mathcal{GA}$ can be formulated (with the def. of 2.2.14) in an abstract context as follows (the notations are as in 1.1-2):

Expectation (the CM level one property). *For any Shimura σ-crystal (M, φ, G) over k, there is a lift F^1 of it such that the truncation mod p of (M, F^1, φ, G) is isomorphic, under an isomorphism defined by an element of $G(k)$, to the truncation mod p of $(M, F^1, g\varphi, G)$, where $g \in G(W(k))$ is such that, for a maximal torus T of the parabolic subgroup of G normalizing F^1, the quadruple $(M, F^1, g\varphi, T)$ is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal.*

This should be provable by slightly refining the proofs of 3.4.6 and 3.6.6, cf. 3.5.3-4 and the way the group actions $\mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{T}^0$ of 3.13.7.1 are constructed. 3.13.7.2 makes the connection between this Expectation and inner isomorphism classes of Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint maps. In 3.13.7.1 we develop some steps towards the proof of this Expectation: it gets reduced to checking that a Bruhat decomposition (naturally associated to (M, φ, G)) holds for $G(k)$, see 3.13.7.1.3-4. We do believe that such decompositions can be also checked abstractly, in an adequate σ- or F-context pertaining to Tits systems: see 3) of 3.13.7.4 D for a precise statement on such decompositions in the F-context for reductive groups. Here “F-” is used as in the Steinberg's reformulation of Lang's theorem (see [Hu2, 8.3]).

For some extra reduction steps towards the proof of the existence of such decompositions (and so towards the proof of this Expectation), see 3.13.7.3-4, 3.13.7.6 and 4.6.7-8. For the case when we are dealing with a reductive group whose adjoint has all its simple factors of A_1 Lie type, such decompositions are proved to exist in 3.13.7.5. See also 3.13.7.6.0 for how they can be used in connection to inner isomorphism classes of Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint maps which are σ-linear endomorphisms of the Lie algebra of an adjoint group over k of whose simple factors are all of A_1 Lie type. In turns out that the mentioned A_1 Lie type case is just a particular case of the so called essentially Borel subgroup case: see Corollary 2 of 3.13.7.5 and 3.13.7.8.2 for precise statements and a description of such a case.

1.6.2.1. Some generalizations. A great part of the theories of 1.6.1-2 can be redone in terms of (inner isomorphism classes of) truncations mod p of Shimura σ-crystals (see 2.2.14 for defs.): see 4.5.15.2.4 3) for (geometric) justifications of why we still prefer the adjoint context. Despite this and the philosophy of 1.6.1, we view the refined canonical stratifications hinted at in 4.5.9 as the right generalization of the canonical stratification of [EO]. If one wants, the quasi-ultra stratifications are the generalization of the generalized canonical stratifications. Motivation: they provide the right context which can be immediately extended to any geometric context involving p-divisible objects with a reductive structure over k.

In other words, the abstract Expectation of 3) of 3.13.7.4 D has often significant and extremely important (adaptable to geometric) interpretations (similar to the ones of 1.6.1 and referring to isomorphism classes) in the much wider context of $\mathcal{CL}_3$: see 3.13.7.8 for details; see 3.13.7.8.1 for a very concrete example. See also [Va12] for geometric examples involving other classes of polarized varieties (like polarized K3 surfaces or cubic fourfolds, etc.). Here we will just mention that in 2.2.14.2 we introduce Fontaine truncations: they provide the right context for such extensions and interpretations, leading to the most general possible Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt stratifications. See 3.13.7.9 for the general form of Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt (all types of) maps. See also 3.13.7.8.3-4, 4.5.15.2.4 3), 4.14.5 and Appendix for some extra useful aspects and comments.

1.6.3. The boundedness principle and some new stratifications. In all that follows we speak only about stratifications of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ and we allow them to have an infinite number of strata. Accordingly, till the end of 1.6.3 we take $k = \mathbb{F}$ and we consider (see the conventions of 2.1) for the simplicity of language, only those strata which are locally closed subschemes of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. In 4.5.16 and 4.9.9.1 we start a deep study of the ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. We introduce two extra types of stratifications: the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné and the ultimate stratifications. Each one of these types has three variants: adjoint, principal or standard; warning: most common we drop the word standard.

The Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné principal stratification (resp. adjoint stratification) is the stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ defined by (warning!) inner isomorphism classes of principally quasi-polarized Shimura σ-crystals (resp. of Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystals) attached to k-valued points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. Related to the use of the word inner we mention two things. First, in the adjoint context it is 4.2.10 which allows us to speak about inner isomorphisms between Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystals attached to k-valued points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. Second, in the principally quasi-polarized Shimura σ-crystals, the fact that the subgroup of $G_{W(k)}$ fixing p_A of 1.3.1 is connected (see the part of 2.3.3 referring to $G^0_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$), we can speak about isomorphism classes of quadruples $(M, \varphi, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}, p_A)$ (of elements) as in 1.3.1; they are referred as inner isomorphism classes of principally quasi-polarized Shimura σ-crystals attached to k-valued points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. The Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné (standard) stratification is defined similarly to the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné principal stratification but without keeping track of principal quasi-polarizations. The Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné (standard or adjoint) stratification is $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant (see Fact 6 of 2.3.11) and (cf. 4.9.9) invariant under the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(G, X, H)$ (see 2.3.5.4 for a review on it). In many cases (like when the center of G is a torus and the connected components of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ are permuted transitively by $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$), the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné stratification and the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné adjoint stratification coincide but we are not able to list all cases when this happens. Also, if these last two stratifications coincide and if $(\frac{-1}{p}) = -1$, then they also coincide with the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné principal stratification; we do not know what to say if $(\frac{-1}{p}) = 1$. See 4.5.16.0 2) for the last two sentences. Warning: the principal quasi-polarizations (as p_A at the end of 1.3.1) are viewed here as fixed cycles and not up to $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-multiples (of such fixed cycles).

The ultimate stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is the $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant, $\mathrm{Aut}(G, X, H)$-invariant maximal refinement of the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné stratification obtainable by decomposing its strata into open closed subschemes. One can define as well the ultimate principal stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$: we just have to replace $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(G,X,H)$ by their maximal subgroups leaving invariant the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné principal stratification; as we do not know how to compute these groups, in §4 we do not mention it. For getting nice functorial purposes (see end of 1.10.1), the ultimate adjoint stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is obtained similarly (but slightly different) from the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné adjoint stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$; see 4.9.9.1.

So the ultimate adjoint stratification is a refinement of the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné adjoint stratification, which is a refinement of the ultra stratification, which at its turn is a refinement of the quasi-ultra or of the absolute stratification. Also, there is nothing we can refine to the ultimate stratification, from any point of view pertaining to Shimura p-divisible groups attached to points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. These new two types of stratifications have one disadvantage: in general their number of strata is infinite; however, see rm. 4.9.9.3. We have (cf. 4.5.16 and 4.5.16.1):

Theorem 6 (the third form of the purity principle and a geometric form of the boundedness principle). *The Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné (principal) stratification is well defined, i.e. its strata are indeed reduced, locally closed subschemes of (suitable pull backs of) $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. It satisfies the purity property (as defined in 2.1) and each stratum of it is regular, quasi-affine and all its connected components have the same dimension.*

We have versions of this (see 4.5.16, 4.5.16.1, 4.9.9 and 4.9.9.1) for the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné adjoint stratification or for the ultimate types of stratifications. The idea of the proof of Theorem 6 is very simple: its very essence is the Fundamental Lemma 3.6.15 B. The simplest form of 3.6.15 B asserts that for any Shimura σ-crystal (M,φ,G) and for any $h\in G(W(k))$, there is $n\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $(M,h\varphi,G)$ and $(M,gh\varphi,G)$ are isomorphic under an isomorphism defined by an element $e(h,g)$ of $G(W(k))$, $\forall g\in G(W(k))$ congruent to the identity mod p^n. Its proof involves some fundamental techniques: in general we can not choose $e(h,g)$ to be congruent to the identity mod p^n; so in order to be able to use the fact that any quasi Artin–Schreier system of equations with coefficients in k has a solution in k, we use the stairs method, i.e. we consider suitable $W(k)$-submodules of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ which (warning!) do not pay at all attention to the Lie structure but are obtained using Dieudonné's classification (see [Di] or [Man]) of isocrystals over k (so 3.6.15 B is true even in the context of $\mathcal{CL}_5$; see rm. 1) of 3.15.7 B). Simple estimates (see 3.15.7 C) show that n can be taken to be independent on h. This is the main ingredient needed to get (via standard techniques of algebraic geometry) that the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné (principal) stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is well defined. The estimates of 3.15.7 C handle the most general context of (see 2.1) latticed isocrystals over k.

The essence of the above paragraph is presented as the boundedness principle in 3.15.7. See 3.15.8 for the homomorphisms form of 3.6.15 B; it is based on the fact that we can always choose $e(h,g)$ to be congruent to the identity mod p^{n-m}, where (for n bounded below as in 3.6.15 B) $m\in\mathbb{N}$ does not depend as well on h. Using it, we get (see 3.15.8) a new proof of "Katz' open part" of the Grothendieck–Katz' specialization theorem.

The third form of the purity principle can be checked immediately using schemes of isomorphisms between two finite, flat, commutative group schemes over the same base. Even more, in 3.15.10 we show how the homomorphism form of 3.6.15 B can be used to get the second form of the purity principle, i.e. to get that all stratifications by Newton polygons defined by (see 2.1 for conventions on them) F-crystals in locally free sheaves of locally finite ranks over suitable $\mathbb{F}_p$-schemes S, satisfy the purity property. This was obtained previously under the hypothesis that S is locally noetherian in [dJO, 4.1]. The philosophy (which among other things allows a weakening of this local noetherian assumption; see 3.15.10 and 3.15.10.1 1) and 2)) is:

Ph. *Newton polygons are entirely "encoded" in the existence of suitable morphisms between truncations modulo effectively computable (positive, integral and high) powers of p of F-crystals in locally free sheaves of locally finite ranks.*

Our interest in Newton polygons is considerably reduced (cf. the Real Problem of 1.6.5); so this second form of the purity principle is presented at the very end of §3. It is worth pointing out that in 3.15.10 we use only tools which were already available in print in 1979 (i.e. we use besides 3.6.15 B –its proof uses the simplest type of quasi Artin–Schreier systems of equations with coefficients in k which obviously have solutions over k– just [Ka2, 2.7.4]). One might wonder why it took 21 years to get [dJ0, 4.1] (and that by using strong tools –like alterations, overconvergent F-crystals, etc.). We think the reason is: too little mathematical effort was put in connection to the Real Problem (according to us, most of what has been done previously from the rational point of view –like Newton polygons, etc.– has to be redone entirely –unfortunately, often starting from the very scratch– from the perspective of the Real Problem).

We came across Theorem 6 being motivated by the integral Manin problem (see 1.12), cf. 3.6.15 A. There is extremely few literature in connection to it. The most we can say: [LO] has some material which can be used to have more computations performed (unfortunately, very little of loc. cit. can be adapted to the context of Shimura p-divisible groups; moreover, loc. cit. can not be used in connection to $\mathcal{CL}_2$, provided we are in a context involving the Shimura Lie σ-crystals mentioned in 1.2.1.1). We refer to §9-10 for our computations involving different stratifications we mentioned above. Warning: we presently have no reason to think that in general the ultimate (adjoint) and the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné (adjoint) stratifications of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ coincide; [IKO, 2.10] is a nice result pertaining to isomorphism classes of principal polarizations on products of supersingular elliptic curves over k, which tells us to be cautious in trying to identify these last two (adjoint) stratifications.

1.6.4. The First Main Corollary. Theorem 6 and its abstract analogues represent, in our opinion, a turn in the study of (Shimura) p-divisible groups: we need to build up their "libraries" (i.e to list them). For instance, the following consequence of 3.6.15 B tells us that it is possible to build an "atlas" for isomorphism classes of Shimura p-divisible groups over $\mathbb{F}$ which are defined over a fixed finite field, similar to the well known "atlas" for finite groups or to [Cr]. We have:

First Main Corollary. *Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$ be the field with p^q elements. The number $D(r,d,q)$ of isomorphism classes of p-divisible groups of fixed rank $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and dimension $d \in \{0, ..., r\}$ over k which are definable over $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$ is finite and does not depend on k. Similarly, for any Shimura σ-crystal over k, the number $D(Cl(M,\varphi,G),q)$ of elements of the class $Cl(M,\varphi,G)$ (see 2.2.22 2); it is a set formed by isomorphism classes) definable over $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$ is finite and does not depend on k.*

See 3.15.7 G for concrete (though very gross) upper bounds for $D(r,q)$ or for $D(Cl(M,\varphi,G),q)$. For instance, we get $D(r,q) \le p^{qr^2h(r)}$, where $h : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is an effectively (and easily) computable function.

1.6.5. Grothendieck's specialization categories. Presently we have full control on the Expectation of 1.6.2 for Shimura varieties of A_n, C_n or $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ Lie types (cf. 4.6.7-8). So, based on this and the last sentence of 1.6.4, in 4.9.9.2 we already introduce Grothendieck's (adjoint) specialization category of $\mathcal{N}_k$ (or of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$) which keeps track of specializations between strata of the ultimate (adjoint) stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$; some of the most natural invariants associated to it are mentioned in 4.12.12.8. The philosophy behind this category can be roughly summarized as follows.

The Real Problem. *Let $p \ge 2$. The real problem of the theory of Shimura p-divisible groups over some convenient perfect field $\tilde{k}$ (usually finite or algebraically closed) of characteristic p, is to list (often using families) their isomorphism classes and decide which such isomorphism classes specialize to which other. The same applies to the theory of p-divisible objects with tensors over $\tilde{k}$ (we mostly have in mind the context of $\mathcal{CL}_3$ but not only).*

Not to make this paper too long, we include here extremely few applications of Theorem 6 (see §9-11 for many such applications, cf. also 1.15.6). A last think: we have a result similar to Theorem 6 in the abstract context of $\mathcal{CL}_2$ (see [Va6]; see also 3.15.6 D and E).

1.6.6. (Refined) Artin–Schreier stratifications. Each quasi Artin–Schreier system of equations with coefficients in a reduced $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra R, defines naturally (based on 3.6.8.1.2 a)) an Artin–Schreier and a refined Artin–Schreier stratification of Spec(R) in a finite number of strata, cf. 3.6.8.1.3. In 4.5.18, we extend these notions of types of stratifications to an arbitrary reduced $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme: examples (abstract as well as concrete ones pertaining to $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$) and comments are included. We draw attention to the Conjecture of 4.5.18.1. It is related to the first form (pertaining to quasi Artin–Schreier systems of equations; see 3.6.8.1.4) of the purity principle: it conjectures that the second and third form of this principle are particular cases of its first form.

1.6.7. Toric points. In 4.5.11 we enlarge the class of U-ordinary points: we introduce toric points; the k-valued toric points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ are defined by requiring that their attached Shimura σ-crystals have lifts of quasi CM type, without imposing the uniqueness of such lifts.

1.7. On the contents of 4.3 and 4.6. In 4.3 we restate 1.2.2 in the context of a standard Hodge situation as in 1.3. We use refined Lie stable p-ranks: each simple factor of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ can be used (cf. 4.2.10) to define (independent) Lie stable p-ranks of its corresponding cyclic adjoint factors (see 3.10.1 for their definition) of Shimura σ-crystals attached to points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ with values in k.

In 4.6 we include some examples to illustrate the general theory presented in 4.1-5 and to point out the complexities which are arising. One sample: in 4.6.1 1) we point out that it can happen that the formal isogeny type τ introduced in 1.4 does not have integral slopes. We also list the main properties of the general theory; some particular situations are also referred to (for instance, see 4.6 P11 for the case when the centralizer of G in $GSp(W,\psi)$ is a 1 dimensional torus). As a simple and straightforward application of 1.4 b) and of [Va2, 5.8.6] we get a general principle concerning non-ordinary reductions of an abelian variety A over a number field E, with respect to primes of E:

Theorem 7 (the non-ordinary reduction criterion). *If the reflex field of the Shimura variety defined naturally (see 2.1) by the Mumford–Tate group of A, is not $\mathbb{Q}$, then A has a non-ordinary reduction with respect to an infinite number of primes of E. Moreover, there are very precise recipes of how "to cook" such primes (see 4.6.2.1; see also 4.6.2.2).*

4.6.5-8 contain different complements meant to emphasize how complexities or simplifications arise depending on the type of f, on $k(v)$ and of the types of the simple factors of $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$. In connection to 4.6.4 see 1.14.3.

1.8. Crystalline coordinates. We consider a standard Hodge situation $\bigl(f, L_{(p)}, v\bigr)$ as in 1.3. Let v^{ad} be the prime of $E(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ divided by v and let d be the dimension of X as a complex manifold. Let $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$ be defined by a G-ordinary point $y_0 \colon \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ and let $(A_y, p_{A_y}) := y_0^*(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$. The principally quasi-polarized filtered F-crystal (associated to the natural pull back of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$) over the completion $k[[x_1, \ldots, x_d]]$ of the local ring of y in $\mathcal{N}_k$, can be put in a very practical form (cf. 4.7.2). Using this we get:

Theorem 8. *The moduli formal scheme $\mathcal{M}_y$ of G-deformations (i.e. of deformations factoring through $\mathcal{N}$) of (A_y, p_{A_y}) over spectra of artinian local $W(k)$-algebras having k as their residue field, is naturally isomorphic to the formal torus of the completion $\mathcal{T}_d$ of $\mathbb{G}_m^d$ (viewed over $W(k)$) in the special fibre of its identity element section. Under such an isomorphism, the principally polarized abelian scheme over* Spec$(W(k))$ *obtained by pull back via the G-canonical lift of y_0, corresponds to the identity element of $\mathcal{T}_d(W(k))$.*

Theorem 8 and its logical (abstract) variants in contexts without (principal) polarizations (see 4.7.11 6)) represents a generalization of the following three things:

- the main result of [No1] (in the less general case of a standard Hodge situation);
- [De3, 2.1.3];
- [Ka3, 3.7.1-3 and 4.3.1-2] (see 4.7.11 8); for $p = 2$ cf. also with 4.14.3 E).

So we obtain G-multiplicative (crystalline) coordinates of $\mathcal{M}_y$. When $k(v^{\mathrm{ad}}) = \mathbb{F}_p$, the situation is very close in spirit to the one in [De3, 1.4] (cf. 4.7.5-29; see also its abstract generalization of 4.7.11 4) and 8)): we obtain G-canonical multiplicative (crystalline) coordinates. In order to make the connection with previous works, the proofs of 4.7.5-22 follow the pattern of [De2, 1.4.2 and 1.4.7] (cf. 4.7.10).

Theorem 8 is a very particular case of 4.7.11 6) and so is part of a general theory of crystalline coordinates for Shimura p-divisible groups over k presented in 4.7.11. It is the inducing property of 3.6.18.5 –and its variants of 3.6.18.7 in the relative context– which allows significant simplifications and advancements in such a theory: our approach in 4.7.11 is "cook and pick". By this we mean: we consider a priori a p-divisible object with tensors of some (logical) Fontaine category $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(W(k)[[x_1,...,x_m]])$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and then we pick up a connection (see 3.6.18.4 and 3.6.18.7.0) which makes it to be viewed as a p-divisible object with tensors of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(W(k)[[x_1,...,x_m]])$ and whose Kodaira–Spencer map is injective (cf. a) and b) of 4.7.11 2)); the "cooking" is done in such a way that the "picking" is possible (it is enough to be able to "perform the picking" mod p, cf. the lifting property). This approach, in most cases (like the ones of the classical situation of [De2] and [Ka3-4] or like the ones pertaining to the case $k(v^{\text{ad}}) = \mathbb{F}_p$), avoids entirely any computation (even for $p = 2$, cf. 4.14.3 E).

As a particular case of this general theory we have (see 4.7.14.1 for arguments):

Corollary. *If A_k is an abelian variety over k of dimension d_0 having a lift A (over $W(k)$) of quasi CM type (see def. 2.2.17), then the moduli formal scheme of deformations of A_k is naturally isomorphic to the formal torus $\mathcal{T}_{d_0^2}$ obtained by completing $\mathbb{G}_m^{d_0^2}$ (viewed over $W(k)$) in its identity element section, with the abelian variety A corresponding to the identity element of $\mathcal{T}_{d_0^2}(W(k))$.*

This is the most general (abelian varieties) context in which we can (presently) prove the existence of "good" (i.e. useful and natural) crystalline coordinates. For variants of Theorem 8 and of this Corollary, including the additive case, see 4.7.14.2 and 4.7.11 5) and 6); here, for instance, in the context of Theorem 8, by the additive case we mean that the Frobenius lift of $W(k)[[x_1,...,x_d]]$ is as in 4.7.1 and we try to get even more practical forms of 4.7.2. The main merit of the Theorem of 4.7.11 6) is: its simple form works out in all cyclic diagonalizable cases.

1.8.1. Complements. For a list of the new types of equations (differential or not) showing up in the theory of crystalline coordinates when we move from a classical ordinary context to a cyclic diagonalizable one, see end of 4.7.11 1). For a digression on crystalline coordinates in the context of an ordinary K3 surface over k (including the case $p = 2$) see 4.7.11 2A). For a sample of the lifting process (to be fully elaborated in §7 and [Va12]) which allows the passage (of many properties) from a Shimura (filtered) σ-crystal context to the attached Shimura (filtered) Lie σ-crystal context, see 4.7.11 2B). In 4.14.2 we point out that all of 4.7.11 can be performed in the context of $\mathcal{CL}_2$, without any reference (even if possible via a lifting process) to p-divisible groups.

1.9. A Galois property. In 4.8 we present the following Galois property of G-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$; it answers a question of C. -L. Chai. We consider a standard

Hodge situation $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$. With the notations of 1.3, we consider a G-ordinary point $\mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ and a lift of it $z : \mathrm{Spec}(V) \to \mathcal{N}$, with V a DVR which is a finite, flat extension of $W(k)$. Let $K := V\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]$ and let $A_V := z^*(\mathcal{A})$. We have (see 4.8.2):

Theorem 9. *There is a finite field extension K_1 of K such that the Galois representation*

$$\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K_1) \to GL(H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p))(\mathbb{Z}_p) = GL(L^*_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p)(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

factors through the group of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points of an integral, solvable subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$.

The identification $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) = L^*_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ used here is not canonical, cf. [Va2, top of p. 473]. The proof of Theorem 9, as R. Pink pointed out to us, is a direct consequence of Theorem 4 and of 4.7.2 (and so of the proof of Theorem 8). For variants of this Galois property (including the case of a finite field) see 4.8.3 d) and e).

1.9.1. The abstract generalized Serre–Tate (ordinary) theory. a) to c) of Theorem 1, Theorems 2 and 4, the abstract form of Theorem 9 (see 4.8.3 e)) as well as 3.1.1.2, 3.1.4-5, 3.9.3, 3.9.4 and 3.13.7.1.2 form the abstract generalization of the Serre–Tate's (ordinary) theory for Shimura σ-crystals (or Shimura p-divisible groups) over k; see 1.13 for the case $p = 2$. See Step 1 of Appendix for the context of $\mathcal{CL}_2$.

1.10. On the passage from the Hodge type to the preabelian type. The phenomena of 1.4-8 involving $\mathcal{N}$ are an intrinsic "property" of $\mathcal{N}$ (and of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$), i.e. do not depend on the special principally polarized abelian scheme $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ we got over $\mathcal{N}$ (only τ of 1.4 a) does depend on it); so they "hold" for (i.e. can be transferred to) special fibres of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type. For instance, we have (cf. 4.9.8 and 4.9.8.2) the following combined version of the density property of Shimura-ordinary strata and of the existence of refined canonical Lie stratifications and of Shimura-canonical lifts.

Theorem 10. *Let $\mathcal{N}^1_{k(v^1)}$ be the special fibre of an integral canonical model $\mathcal{N}^1$ of a Shimura variety $\mathrm{Sh}(G^1, X^1)$ of preabelian type with respect to a prime v^1 of $E(G^1, X^1)$ dividing a rational prime $p > 2$. Then $\mathcal{N}^1_{k(v^1)}$ has a refined canonical Lie stratification in $G^1(\mathbb{A}^p_f)$-invariant, reduced, locally closed subschemes; it has precisely 1 open stratum (if $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X^1) \geq 1$ then its complement is of pure codimension 1). In the case of a standard Hodge situation we regain the refined canonical Lie stratification mentioned in 1.6. The points (with values in fields) of the open stratum are called G^1-ordinary (or Shimura-ordinary) points of $\mathcal{N}^1_{k(v^1)}$; any such point with values in k, has a uniquely determined (in the same –parabolic type– way as in 1.5 but expressed over $\bar{k}$) G^1-canonical (also called Shimura-canonical) lift $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}^1$.*

The refined canonical Lie stratifications and the Shimura-canonical lifts are functorial with respect to finite maps (see def. [Va2, 2.4 and 3.2.6]) between Shimura quadruples.

In 4.9 we present a proof of this for primes $p \geq 5$ (for the case $p = 3$ see §6). The proof relies on the following result.

The Existence Property. *We consider a Shimura quadruple* (G_0, X_0, H_0, v_0)*, with* (G_0, X_0) *defining a Shimura variety of adjoint, abelian type, with* v_0 *a prime of* $E(G_0, X_0)$ *dividing a rational prime* $p \geq 3$ *such that* G_0 *is unramified over* $\mathbb{Q}_p$*, and with* H_0 *a hyperspecial subgroup of* $G_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$*. Then there is a standard Hodge situation* $(f^0, L^0_{(p)}, v^0)$*, with*

$$f^0 : \mathrm{Sh}(G^0, X^0) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sh}(\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$$

an injective map such that $(G^{0\mathrm{ad}}, X^{0\mathrm{ad}}) = (G_0, X_0)$ *and* v^0 *divides* v_0*, and with* $G^{0\mathrm{der}}$ *as the maximal isogeny cover of* $G^{0\mathrm{ad}}$ *allowed by the abelian type (for* $p \geq 5$*, cf. [Va2, 6.4.2] and the translation of [Va2, 5.1, 5.6.5 and 5.6.9] –see 2.3.6– in the language of standard Hodge situations; for* $p = 3$*, cf. §6). Moreover, if we define a hyperspecial subgroup* H^0 *of* $G^0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ *as in 1.3, we can assume (cf. [Va2, 3.2.7.1]) that* $H^{0\mathrm{ad}} = H_0$*.*

In order to get Theorem 10 from this result, we need to use a diagonal trick (see 4.9.2): its goal is to “combine” (i.e. put together) two standard Hodge situations involving two Shimura quadruples having the same adjoint, in order to show the independence on which such standard Hodge situation we use to define (or get) some properties. The diagonal trick itself is supported:

a) by the density part of 1.4 b);

b) by a variant (see 4.9.2.0 A) of 2.3.13 in a non a priori reductive context;

c) by the direct sum decompositions of 3.11.8.1;

d) and by Fontaine's comparison theory (used –for $p = 3$– in a way similar to the detailed one of the review –with $p = 2$– 2.3.18.1 E but in the adjoint context).

For the $G^1(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant part of Theorem 10 we use the strong approximation theorem for adjoint $\mathbb{Q}$–groups (see 4.9.7.1 for a second possible approach).

1.10.1. Extension of terminology. Strictly speaking 1.10 a) to d) are not needed to prove Theorem 10, as in connection to Newton polygons we can work just rationally. However, they are indispensable for integral purposes. In other words, using 1.10 a) to d) and standard arguments on right translations by Hecke operators, we define (see 4.9.17) Shimura adjoint (resp. Shimura adjoint filtered) Lie σ-crystals attached to points of $\mathcal{N}^1_{k(v^1)}$ with values in algebraically closed fields (resp. with values in Witt rings of such fields); so we define also toric points (in particular, U-ordinary and T-ordinary points) of $\mathcal{N}^1_{k(v^1)}$ (see 4.9.17.2 and 4.9.17.5), as well as U-canonical lifts of U-ordinary points with values in perfect fields. Moreover, Theorem 10 has variants (see 4.9.9) in other contexts, like the one of the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné adjoint (or the quasi-ultra, or the ultimate adjoint, etc.) stratifications; again, for these variants 1.10 a) to d) are indispensable.

1.11. Dense Hecke orbits and functorial aspects. We do expect (cf. 4.10.1; cf. also [Ch2, p. 441]) that the open stratum of $\mathcal{N}^1_{k(v^1)}$ is the smallest $G^1(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant, open subscheme of $\mathcal{N}^1_{k(v^1)}$. This is proved for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ in [Ch2]. Using Theorem 10, we prove it for Shimura curves (cf. 4.10.3). For a more substantial approach to the general case see 4.10.5.

In 4.11 we prove the functorial behavior of G-ordinary points and of G-canonical lifts of them. Let $f_0 : (G, X, H, v) \hookrightarrow (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1)$ be an injective map between two Shimura quadruples of Hodge type, having integral canonical models $\mathcal{N}$ and respectively $\mathcal{N}_1$. We assume v is relatively prime to 2. We have a natural morphism (still denoted by) $f_0 : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}_1$ (cf. [Va2, 3.2.7 4)]). We also assume the existence of an injective map

$$f_1 : (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1) \hookrightarrow \bigl(\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S, \mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi)(L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p), p\bigr)$$

(with $L_{(p)}$ a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-lattice of W for which we have a perfect form $\psi : L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} L_{(p)} \to \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$) such that $(f_1, L_{(p)}, v_1)$ and $(f_1 \circ f_0, L_{(p)}, v)$ are standard Hodge situations. So the Zariski closure of G (resp. of G_1) in $\mathrm{GSp}(L_{(p)}, \psi)$ is a reductive group $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ (resp. $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$) over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Let $k(v)$ and $k(v_1)$ be the residue field of v and respectively of v_1. We have (cf. 4.11.1.1 and 4.11.2):

Theorem 11. *A G-ordinary point of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is mapped by f_0 into a G_1-ordinary point of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ iff there is a torus T of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ such that:*

1) there is a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow T_{W(k(v))}$, which over an embedding of $W(k(v))$ in $\mathbb{C}$, extending the composite inclusion $O_{(v)} \subset E(G, X) \subset \mathbb{C}$, is $G(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to the cocharacters μ_x^*, $x \in X$, defined as in 1.4 (see also 2.3.1);

2) there is a parabolic subgroup P_1 of $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$ containing T and a Borel subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and whose Lie algebra has the property that its elements take the F^1-filtration of $L_{(p)}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v))$ defined by μ (as in 1.4 a)) into itself.

Moreover, if these conditions hold, then a G-canonical lift of $\mathcal{N}$ is mapped by f_0 into a G_1-canonical lift of $\mathcal{N}_1$.

The equivalent conditions of Theorem 11 imply $k(v) = k(v_1)$ but the converse of this does not hold (see 4.11.4-6). The proof of Theorem 11 relies on 1.4 a), 4.4.13.2 and on standard arguments on reductive groups over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ or $W(k)$.

1.12. Some important applications. Till 1.13 we assume $k = \bar{k}$. In 4.12-13 we present two important applications inspired from the deformation principle of 3.6.14. First, in 4.12 we formulate the integral Manin problem for a standard Hodge situation as well as for integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type with respect to primes relatively prime to 2. For a standard Hodge situation $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$, with the notations of 1.3, the problem is to determine all principally quasi-polarized Shimura σ-crystals attached to k-valued points of (a connected component of) $\mathcal{N}_k$. A solution (cf. §11; see the proof of 4.12.12 for some particular cases) to this problem is provided by Milne's conjecture (see d) of 4.4.1 3) and 1.15.1) and by the completion property. The completion property is stated in 3.6.15 A as an expectation and will be proved in §11, starting from the isogeny property to be referred in 1.15.7 and from the strong form of the generalized Manin problem (see B) of Theorem 14); see the proof of 4.12.12 and 4.12.12.0 for samples (in contexts in which the isogeny property can be checked immediately).

For the initial motivations behind the completion property, see 3.6.15 B and 3.6.14.4.1. In the language of 1.6.5, the completion property (over $\mathbb{F}$) says: the Grothendieck's specialization category of $\mathcal{N}_k$ has as many objects as we can think of.

There is a second approach to the completion property of 1.12: see 4.12.12.7.

For different local (resp. global) integral Manin problems see 4.12.14-19 (resp. 4.12.21). For a new (though very close in spirit to [Ta]) and simple solution to the original Manin problem we refer to 4.12.12.4.

Second, in 4.13 we present (partially; to be continued in §9-10, cf. 1.15.6) the invariance principle. Let $p \geq 3$ be a rational prime. Let (G_i, X_i, H_i, v_i), $i = \overline{1,2}$, be two Shimura quadruples of preabelian type, with v_i dividing p. Let $\mathcal{N}_i$ be the integral canonical model of (G_i, X_i, H_i, v_i), $i = \overline{1,2}$. Let $H_{0i} \subset G_i(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ be a subgroup such that the subgroup $H_{0i} \times H_i$ of $G_i(\mathbb{A}_f)$ is smooth for the pair (G_i, X_i) (see def. [Va2, 2.11]) and an extra mild condition holds (satisfied for instance, if the image of H_{0i} in $G_i^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{Q}_l)$, for some prime l different from p, has no pro-p subgroup), $i = \overline{1,2}$. Let $y_i : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{ik}/H_{0i}$, $i = \overline{1,2}$, be points such that the non-trivial part of the Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystal attached to y_1 is isomorphic to the non-trivial part of the Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystal attached to y_2 (see 2.3.10 and 3.10.1 for definitions). Let O_{y_i} be the local ring of y_i (in $\mathcal{N}_{iW(k)}$) and let $O_{y_i}^{h\wedge}$ be the p-adic completion of its henselization, $i = \overline{1,2}$. The refined canonical Lie stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{ik(v_i)}$ makes $\mathrm{Spec}(O(p)_{y_i}^h) := \mathrm{Spec}(O_{y_i}^{h\wedge}/pO_{y_i}^{h\wedge})$ to be a stratified scheme. One variant of the local form of the invariance principle is (see 4.13.1; see 4.13.2 and 4.13.3 3) for other variants):

Theorem 12. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There is an isomorphism*

$$\rho : \mathrm{Spec}(O_{y_1}^{h\wedge}) \tilde{\to} \mathrm{Spec}(O_{y_2}^{h\wedge})$$

inducing an isomorphism

$$\rho(p) : \mathrm{Spec}(O(p)_{y_1}^h) \tilde{\to} \mathrm{Spec}(O(p)_{y_2}^h)$$

of stratified schemes, such that the pull back through ρ of the non-trivial part of the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie F-crystal over $\mathrm{Spec}(O(p)_{y_2}^h)$ defined by the natural morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(O_{y_2}^{h\wedge}) \to \mathcal{N}_2$, when taken mod p^n, is isomorphic to the non-trivial part taken mod p^n, of the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie F-crystal over $\mathrm{Spec}(O(p)_{y_1}^h)$ defined by the natural morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(O_{y_1}^{h\wedge}) \to \mathcal{N}_1$ (see 4.9.20 and 4.13 for the definition of these Lie F-crystals).

Theorem 12 is a consequence of variants modulo powers of p of Theorem 5.

As a direct application of what the completion property says in some particular cases in which G^{ad} is of A_n type, for future references, we state here the combined version of 4.12.12, 4.12.12.2 and 4.12.13 2). We have:

Theorem 13. *We assume $p \geq 2$. Let $(d, r-d)$ be a pair of non-negative integers. There is $q \in \mathbb{N}$, a geometrically connected, projective, smooth scheme $\mathcal{L}_{(r,d-r)}$ over*

$W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})$ and a p-divisible group $D_{\mathcal{L}_{(r,d-r)}}$ over $\mathcal{L}_{(r,d-r)}$ of rank r and dimension d such that:

a) *$D_{\mathcal{L}_{(r,d-r)}}$ is versal in each k-valued point of $\mathcal{L}_{(r,d-r)}$;*

b) *the relative dimension of $\mathcal{L}_{(r,d-r)}$ over $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})$ is exactly $d(r-d)$;*

c) *any p-divisible group over $W(k)$ of rank r and dimension d is obtained by pulling back $D_{\mathcal{L}_{(r,d-r)}}$ via a $W(k)$-valued point of $\mathcal{L}_{(r,d-r)}$.*

Moreover $\mathcal{L}_{(r,d-r)}$ is of general type.

Theorem 13 represents as well a second (totally independent) proof of the ordinary specialization result of 3.1.8.1. We refer to $\mathcal{L}_{(r,d-r)}$ as a complete Shimura envelope (or library). The word library is a more recent terminology suggested by [LO, §4] and [dJO, §5] which speak about catalogues of p-divisible groups. Warning: the catalogues of loc. cit. are "complete" in their specialized class of p-divisible groups (i.e. from the point of view c)) but not from the point of view of the projectiveness of $\mathcal{L}_{(r,d-r)}$.

1.12.1. Null strata and the generalized Manin problem. For some more applications of Theorem 13 and its proof we refer to:

– 4.12.12.5 and 4.12.13 4) (they represent a new –independent– approach to the deformation theory of p-divisible groups, in the complete, local, Noetherian case having a perfect residue field), and to

– 4.12.12.6 (it says that each integral canonical model $\mathcal{N}^1_{k(v^1)}$ mentioned in Theorem 10 has k-valued toric points of all possible, i.e. expected, types; this is the second part of B) below).

4.12.12.6 has itself many applications (see 4.12.12.6.1-3). Here we will just mention three of them. First, when combined with 3.13.7.6.0 it allows us (mainly see 4.12.12.6.2 A) to list all the main properties of the A_1 Lie type case of the quasi-ultra stratifications mentioned in 1.10.1; this (together with its $p=2$ version, see 4.14.3 J) represents the generalization of [GO]. Some properties are completely new, like the simple formula (see end of 4.12.12.6.6 3)) computing the number of strata of such a quasi ultra-stratification (in the A_1 Lie type case) having U-ordinary points.

Second (see 4.12.12.6.3-4 for proofs), we have:

Theorem 14. A) *The null stratum of the special fibre $\mathcal{N}^1_{k(v^1)}$ of an integral canonical model as in Theorem 10 has toric points (and so it is always non-empty).*

B) (the strong –Weyl– form of the generalized Manin problem) *Any stratum of the absolute stratification of $\mathcal{N}^1_{k(v^1)}$ has a toric point and all expected toric points do show up.*

C) *The number of strata of the absolute stratification of $\mathcal{N}^1_{k(v^1)}$ is at most equal to the number of elements of the Weyl group of $G^1_{\mathbb{C}}$.*

The null stratum of $\mathcal{N}^1_{k(v^1)}$ is the stratum of the refined canonical Lie (or absolute) stratification, which is "opposite" to the open, dense stratum and is the natural generalization of the supersingular stratum of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. With the notations of 1.3-5, a point

$y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is a point of the null stratum iff the Shimura Lie σ-crystal attached to it has all slopes 0. Obviously, the null stratum is closed. In the classical situation of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ one shows that its supersingular stratum is non-empty by taking products of supersingular elliptic curves. In the general context, for the proof of A) (as well as of 4.12.12.6) we use the following two things:

a) the proof of Theorem 10, to reduce the situation to the context of a standard Hodge situation (so in b) to e) below we use the notations of 1.3-5);

b) [Har, 5.5.3] and standard properties of Shimura subpairs of (G, X) (see [Va2, 3.2.11 and 3.3.3]; see also 4.12.12.6.0 2)); based on them we show the existence of suitable maximal $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-tori of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$.

See 4.12.12.6.4.3 for abstract (general) forms of A) (and so implicitly of b)). B) is a consequence of the following three things:

c) the logical abstract form of A) in the context of Shimura σ-crystals;

d) 4.12.12.6;

e) the (abstract) inductive property of 3.9.7.2.

The idea of 3.9.7.2 is: if $k = \bar{k}$ and if (M, φ, G) is a Shimura σ-crystal with the property that not all slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G)[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$ are zero, then from many points of view (including Newton polygons), the study of (M, φ, G) gets reduced to the study of a Shimura σ-crystal (M, φ_1, G_1) with G_1 a reductive subgroup of G different from G. This inductive approach (of dropping the relative dimension of G) applies to $\mathcal{CL}_2$ as well; however, the arguments of 3.9.7.2 do not apply (in general) to $\mathcal{CL}_3$, though one could hope to mimic them in many situations.

To our knowledge, the strong –Weyl– form of the generalized Manin problem was not known before even for Siegel modular varieties; implicitly, the Fact of 4.12.9 1) was not known previously. A weaker form of B) can be roughly formulated as: all Newton polygons "showing up" are the ones obtained using specializations of (see [Va2, 2.10]) special points of $\mathcal{N}$ with values in fields of fractions of DVR's of mixed characteristic; this was predicted implicitly by the Langlands–Rapoport conjecture (see the definition of admissible homomorphisms in [Mi1, §4]; see also [Ch3, rm. after Theorem 1] for a down to earth translation).

Third, the study of the orbit map of the group action $\mathcal{GA}$ of 1.6.1 a) splits up in terms of double cosets of suitable parabolic subgroups of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ (see Fact of Step 3 of 3.13.7.3 and 3.13.7.6.1 for the abstract context). 4.12.12.6 allows us to list out all cases when there is such a double coset consisting just of 1 orbit and corresponding to a stratum of the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ of dimension 0 (see 3.13.7.6.1-2 for the abstract context and see 4.12.12.6.2 B for the geometric context of special fibres of the integral canonical models of Theorem 10). We have:

Theorem 15. *In the listed cases, there is a unique stratum of the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ of dimension 0. All its points are toric points, called pivotal. Even more, the Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystals attached to k-valued points of it are all inner* *isomorphic (it is 4.2.10 which allows us to speak about inner; restricting to a connected component of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, we have a form of this in terms of Shimura σ-crystals attached to k-valued points of it).*

The toric part of Theorem 15 is an application of the reduction steps of 3.13.7.4 B and 3.13.7.6.0 and of a (significantly simplified form of) the inductive proof of 3.6.15 B (see 3.13.7.6.3 for the abstract context). Theorem 15 represents the generalization of the following classical result: if $k = \bar{k}$, then any σ-crystal (M, φ) over k whose truncation mod p is isomorphic to the truncation mod p of the σ-crystal (M, φ_1) of a product of supersingular elliptic curves over k, is in fact isomorphic to (M, φ_1). In the classical situation, one uses the fact that φ_1^2 is p times a σ^2-linear automorphism of M. This elementary trick does not work in the general context and this explains why we need all the "Weyl staff" of 3.13.7.1-6 for proving Theorem 15.

In the remaining (i.e. not listed) cases (involving most of Shimura varieties of A_n or $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type) it can be checked using relatively simple computations the existence of strata of the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ having dimension 0 and toric points: we refer to §9-10 for these computations (see also Corollary 2 of 4.6.7).

It is worth mentioning that 3.13.7.6.1 and 3.13.6.7.3 apply as well to the class $\mathcal{CL}_2$, cf. 3.13.7.7.

1.12.2. Degrees of definition. One of the things which comes again and again is: degrees of definition. To detail what we mean by this we refer to 1.6.2. 3.6.15 B implies: if $k = \mathbb{F}$, then (M, φ, G) is obtained from a Shimura σ-crystal over a finite field by extension of scalars. So roughly speaking the degree of definition of (M, φ, G) is the smallest possible degree over $\mathbb{F}_p$ of such a finite field. As this definition is too technical for practical computations, in most of the cases we allow k to be arbitrary and moreover:

– we either restrict (see 3.11.3) to specific Shimura σ-crystals for which we can define (using some logical lifts) immediately its degree of definition (later on we will check that the two definitions coincide; for instance, this is done implicitly in 3.11.8 for the Shimura-ordinary context), or

– we work with potentially cyclic diagonalizable Shimura filtered σ-crystals and we define (see 2.2.16.4 and 2.2.22 1)) their degrees of definition using their canonical split cocharacters (of [Wi]).

Similarly, in adjoint Shimura contexts we define A-degrees of definition. For samples, see 2.2.16.4-5, 3.11.3, etc.

1.13. The geometric picture of the case $p = 2$. For the case of a $p = 2$ standard Hodge situation introduced in 2.3.18, see 4.12.12.1 and 4.14.3. The needed well known facts from crystalline cohomology pertaining to the mixed characteristic $(0, 2)$ are reviewed (parts of it with new personal proofs) in 2.3.18.1. For instance, based on them and on 3.14, we get that all of 1.4-6 holds for a $p = 2$ standard Hodge situation $(f, L_{(2)}, v)$ modulo two modifications (cf. 4.14.3).

First, we do not prove that the U-canonical lifts of 1.5.1 (and so implicitly the G-canonical lifts of 1.4 c)) can be always uniquely identified, i.e. determined (we recall that to locally lift a 2-divisible group over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2 it is not enough to lift the filtration in the crystalline cohomology context; see 2.3.18.1). So presently, it is convenient to allow a finite number of U-canonical lifts of a k-valued U-ordinary point y of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$: a $W(k)$-valued point of $\mathcal{N}$ lifting y is a U-canonical lift iff its attached Shimura filtered σ-crystal is a U-canonical lift. Accordingly, 3.1.1.2 in geometric situations has to be slightly modified for $p=2$ (for instance, as in 4.14.3 B4). On the other hand, 4.14.3 B3 shows that we can define uniquely a U-canonical lift of y, provided the Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystal attached to y does not have slope -1.

Second, the part of 1.12.1 referring to 4.12.12.6 has to be weaken as suggested by 4.14.3 J (however, 4.14.3.2.5 points out variants where such a weakening can be considerably eliminated).

1.13.1. The D case of PEL type embeddings for $p=2$. Warning: as in [Mi1, 1.1], in the definition of Shimura varieties of PEL type –and so of PEL type embeddings– we allow $\mathbb{Q}$–semisimple algebras which are not necessarily simple. For the case $p=2$ of a PEL type embedding involving the D case (see [Ko2, ch. 5] for the meaning of this), left aside in loc. cit. and in [LR], see 2.3.18 B: it represents the first new instances of $p=2$ standard Hodge situations (while reobtaining all previous ones). 2.3.18 B finally completes the proof of the existence and uniqueness of integral canonical models in the integral context of PEL type embeddings. This proof was started in the original edition (dated 1965) of [MFK] and continued –as a direct application of loc. cit. and of Serre–Tate and Grothendieck–Messing deformation theories (see [Me])– in [LR] and [Ko2]. We formulate 2.3.18 B here, in a slightly different (and weaker) form, more convenient to make the passage from [Ko2] (without using too much of the language of this paper). Let f, $L_{(2)}$ and v (resp. $\mathbb{F}$) have the same significance as in 1.3 (resp. 1.6.1) but for $p=2$. We assume that ψ induces a perfect form $L_{(2)}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} L_{(2)}\to\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$, that the Zariski closure of G in $GL(L_{(2)})$ is a reductive group $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ and that all simple factors of G^{ad} are of some D_n Lie type. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(L_{(2)})$ such that:

– it is self dual with respect to ψ;

– over $W(\mathbb{F})$ is a product of matrix $W(\mathbb{F})$-algebras;

– G is the connected component of the origin of the subgroup of $GSp(W,\psi)$ fixing its elements.

Let H, K_2, $\mathcal{M}$ and $O_{(v)}$ be defined as in 1.3. We have:

Theorem 16. *The normalization $\mathcal{N}$ of the Zariski closure of* $\mathrm{Sh}(G,X)/H$ *in* $\mathcal{M}_{O_{(v)}}$ *is the integral canonical model of the Shimura quadruple* (G,X,H,v).

The new ideas needed to handle this left aside case (i.e. to prove Theorem 16), besides the ones of [Ko2, ch. 5] and [Va2, §5], consist in:

a) a slight refinement of parts of [Ko2] (for instance, we have in mind 7.1-2 of loc. cit.) in the $p=2$ context of the D case (see 2.3.18 B2-3);

b) a slight refinement of [Fa2, th. 10] (see iii) to v) of 2.3.18 B9);

c) performing a greater part of [Va2, 5.2-3] in the context of the $W(\mathbb{F})$-algebra Re instead of the $W(\mathbb{F})$-algebra $\tilde{Re}$ of loc. cit.

See below for why $\mathcal{N}$ is uniquely determined as an integral canonical model.

1.13.2. Uniqueness of integral canonical models in mixed characteristic $(0,2)$**.** 2.2.1.4 fulfills [Va2, 3.2.1.4 5)]. The new thing it brings: any regular, formally smooth scheme over a DVR of mixed characteristic $(0,2)$ and index of ramification 1 is healthy in the sense of [Va2, 3.2.1 2)]. 2.2.1.4 implies (see 2.2.1.5) the uniqueness of (local) integral canonical models of Shimura varieties in mixed characteristic $(0,2)$. This last result was not known previously, even for the Siegel modular variety of dimension 3 or 6 (i.e. of genus 2 or 3). What 2.2.1.4 brings new to Step B of [Va2, 3.2.17]: we alternate using an "analysis" mod p (with $p \geq 2$) with the approach of loc. cit. pertaining to mixed characteristic; so we can appeal to the language of 2.2.1.0 and so to the results of [Fa1, p. 31-3].

See 2.2.1.5.2 for a second approach to the uniqueness of projective (local) integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of compact type in arbitrary mixed characteristic.

1.13.3. The passage from the Hodge type to the abelian type in mixed characteristic $(0,2)$**.** 4.14.3.2 achieves the passage of the existence of integral canonical models in mixed characteristic $(0,2)$ from the Hodge type to the abelian type. [Va2, 6.2.2 a)] has a very restricted application in mixed characteristic $(0,2)$. So in order to achieve the mentioned passage, i.e. to get a $p=2$ version of [Va2, 6.2.2 b)], as we have 2.2.1.5, we just need to get $p=2$ variants of the corrected versions (see AE.4 and AE.4.1-2) of [Va2, 6.2.2.1]. They are developed along different parts of this paper (for instance, see 4.14.3.2.1-2) and involve mild conditions. For instance, the main assumption we need in order to achieve the mentioned passage refers to the fact that we start from a $p=2$ standard Hodge situation $(f, L_{(2)}, v)$ whose associated integral canonical model $\mathcal{N}$ is such that each connected component of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ has k-valued quasi-pivotal points (i.e. toric points whose attached Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystals do not have slope -1). The $p=2$ version of 4.12.12.6 implies that this holds, provided the connected components of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ are permuted transitively by $G(\mathbb{A}_f^2)$ (for instance, if the Shimura pair (G, X) involving f is of compact type, cf. 2.3.3.1 and its $p=2$ version). This assumption is related to the fact (it is just a natural extension of the part of 2.3.18.1 C referring to no slope 0 or no slope 1) that for k-valued quasi-pivotal points, the lifts of it to $W(k)$-valued points of $\mathcal{N}$ are in one-to-one correspondence to (logical) lifts of filtrations in the crystalline cohomology context, cf. 4.14.3 B3.

Warning: we still do not know how to show that [Va2, 6.8.2] applies as in [Va2, 6.8.3] for $p=2$, i.e. we still do not know how to achieve in mixed characteristic $(0,2)$ the passage from the abelian type to the preabelian type.

See 2.4.1-2 for abstract variants of 2.3.17 for $p=2$; the approach to them is based on [Fa2, th. 10] and the remarks after.

1.13.3.1. Generalized Serre lemma. 4.14.3.2.1-2 lead to a form of the generalized Serre lemma of [Va2, 6.4.6 6)] (see also AE.4.2) in mixed characteristic $(0, 2)$, see 4.14.4.1. Using this we regain the classical Serre lemma of [Mu, p. 207]: see 4.14.4.2. To our knowledge, this is the first proof of loc. cit. in a p-adic (and not l-adic) context.

1.13.4. Some samples of results with $p = 2$. It is worth mentioning, as samples, some of the things we get in connection to Theorem 16. For instance, we have (all these can be read out from different parts of 4.14.3 –see 4.14.3.3–, cf. also the above part of 1.13):

a) The special fibre $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ has a Zariski dense set of Shimura-ordinary points. For all such points with values in a perfect field k of characteristic 2 we identify a finite set of Shimura-canonical lifts via: their attached Shimura adjoint filtered Lie σ-crystals are of parabolic type. The $W(\mathbb{F})$-valued Shimura-canonical lifts give (by pulling back $\mathcal{A}$) to abelian varieties over $W(\mathbb{F})$ having complex multiplication.

b) If (G, X) is of compact type, then any Shimura quadruple of abelian type having the same adjoint as (G, X, H, v), has a uniquely determined integral canonical model.

c) The quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ has regular, quasi-affine strata whose codimensions can be easily computed. Its number of strata is at least as expected (i.e. predicted in terms of a quotient set of the Weyl group of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$).

d) If $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is absolutely simple of D_n Lie type and if either n is even and $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is split or n is odd and $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is non-split, then $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ has pivotal points to which the $p = 2$ version of Theorem 15 applies.

e) If $k = \bar{k}$ and the residue field of the prime of $E(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ divided by v is $\mathbb{F}_2$, then the completion of $\mathcal{N}$ in any k-valued Shimura-ordinary point y has a canonical structure of a formal torus, with the Shimura-canonical lifts of y corresponding to its 2-torsion points.

1.14. Afterthoughts, the Second Main Corollary and advices.

Here we include the Second Main Corollary and different specifications meant to ease the reading.

1.14.1. List of invariants. 4.14.6 contains the complete list of invariants of a given $(p = 2)$ standard Hodge situation, introduced along different parts of §2-4; the main class invariants (in the sense of 2.2.22 2)) are listed in 4.14.6.1, while 4.14.6.2 contains references to the types of points (with values in fields) defined in different parts of §4.

1.14.2. More on the overall organization. Following §4, there is an addenda and errata to [Va2]. We refer to it as AE. The reading of AE.4 is indispensable to different parts of 2.3.3-11 and 4.4-14. To AE.0-3 and AE.5-6, the reader ought to look only if needed. Some afterthoughts are presented in 4.14 and in Appendix. Appendix makes the connection and comparison with [RR] and so in particular contains some general forms of the results of §2-4 (see also the last paragraph of 1.2.9).

Two proofs of Theorem 1 are presented. The first one, less than 24 pages, starts in 3.1 and ends in 3.4.14, via 3.5; it completely avoids the use of 3.6. The second one is just a more elaborated form of the first one, in the sense that it leads to a much stronger form of d) of Theorem 1 (cf. Theorem 2 of 3.15.1). It starts in 3.1 and ends in 3.7.4; it uses from 3.6 just the part till 3.6.8 inclusive. Also for 4.1-8 and 4.11 which form a sequence continuing 2.3, we need from 3.6 just 3.6.6: 3.6.6 is independent of the other parts of 3.6 except of the first sentence of 3.6.0.

The parts 3.6.13-14 are needed just in 3.6.19, in 4.3.6 (as an exemplification) and in 4.12-14 (for $p = 2$ we need to add 3.14 E and 4.14.3 K). They are independent of what follows after them in 3.9 and 3.11-12; so we do not hesitate to use for their proofs results stated in 3.9 and 3.11-12 and so, their reading should proceed only after the reading of 3.9 and 3.11-12. We felt that it is appropriate to include them as part of 3.6, for having the deformation theory part (i.e. one of the most beautiful parts) of §1-4 presented fluently. 3.6.15-16 (resp. 3.6.17) are first used in 3.15.7-10 (resp. in 3.11.1).

The sequence 3.6.17-20 is very little related to what follows after it; so it can be read at any (needed) time after 3.6.1-14. To make §4 as little dependent as possible on §3 and to avoid repetitions, in few places of §2-3 we refer to §4: as a typical situation is the proof of 2.2.16; these references are made either as possible variants or in such a way that the reader will have no difficulty at all in looking in advance at one or two paragraphs of §4 for some independent arguments.

For the present work we were motivated by very concrete problems, emerging from the theory of Shimura varieties. Whenever we were aware from the very beginning that things work out in a more general context, we worked in such a general context; when not or when pedagogical reasons required, we stated (or presented) the things in the more general context as remarks following the "simpler" context. We tried to present the ideas in the most natural order. But we did not hesitate (in order to bring deeper understanding) to point out different connections between them, which somehow were going against this order.

1.14.3. Starred results. There are two facts stated (and referred) here whose proofs are postponed to future papers: 4.2.8.1 and d) of 4.4.1 3); they are labeled with a $*$. Whenever we use them we present a second proof entirely independent of them. The only two exceptions are:

– the starred Claim of the proof of 4.11.2, as its use is entirely avoidable, and

– the starred answer of 4.12.5, as it is easy to restate it in a way which does not rely on d) of 4.4.1 3) (see the paragraph following it); also we would like to point out that for most purposes (like ones involving Newton polygons), the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structures of 2.2.9 8) are "equally good" (though not as precise) as d) of 4.4.1 3).

Occasionally we mention other results to be proved in §5-14: they are never used here and so they are not starred. So §1-4 are self contained modulo the existence of integral canonical models of Shimura quadruples (G, X, H, v) of preabelian type with v dividing $p = 3$; warning: the limitations of [Va2, 6.8.6] are removed in 4.6.4.

In other words, in 4.6.4 we prove that the criterion [Va2, 6.8.2 b)] holds (with $p \geq 3$); the proof relies on the simple fact that, in the context of a standard PEL situation, the abelian varieties obtained by natural pull backs via G-canonical lifts of G-ordinary points with values in $\mathbb{F}$, are having complex multiplication (cf. 4.4.5; see also 1.15.8). As a conclusion we get (cf. 4.6.4 B):

Second Main Corollary. *Everywhere in [Va2] the single stars (i.e. all stars except the two ones of [Va2, 5.6.5 h)]) can be removed.*

So the reader, if desires, can everywhere we are dealing with:

– a standard Hodge situation $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ such that v divides 3 and not all simple factors of G^{ad} are of some A_n Lie type (cf. 2.3.5.1), can add the assumption that $\mathcal{N}$ a priori exists;

– a Shimura quadruple (G, X, H, v) such that v divides 3 and not all simple factors of G^{ad} are of some A_n Lie type, can add the assumption that there is a standard Hodge situation $(f^1, L^1_{(p)}, v^1)$ such that $(G^{1\mathrm{ad}}, X^{1\mathrm{ad}}, H^{1\mathrm{ad}}, v^{1\mathrm{ad}}) = (G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, H^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and $G^{1\mathrm{der}}$ is maximal among isogeny covers of G^{ad} allowed by the abelian type (i.e. can add that the analogue of [Va2, 6.4.2] holds; cf. also the Existence Property of 1.10).

1.14.4. Promises. 2.2.1.4, the Second Main Corollary and 4.9.8.2 are among the first places meant to fulfill (in due time) all promises and expectations of [Va2].

1.14.5. Some extra tips. Due to the fact that the results of §1-4 have been largely detailed above, most common we do no stop at the beginning of the other chapters §2-4 (or of their sections), to outline their contents. However, wherever the title of a section does not make its main goal entirely obvious, this main goal is stated explicitly in the opening paragraph.

At the first lecture, the reader can skip the case $p = 2$, 3.6.8.3 and Step 2 of the second way (solution) of 4.6.4 A. Moreover, the reader will benefit by having a general look on 3.6.8 from the very beginning; to avoid repetitions, in some more general contexts we still refer to it (even if it uses very concrete situations) (cf. also 3.6.8.4 1) to 3)). §1-4 is organized as a foundation: inevitably, in some places (very few in number), it contains material which seems isolated from the rest of it but which is used quite a lot in §5-14. For instance, many of the concepts of 2.2.4, 2.2.22 2), 3.13.1-6 and 3.13.8-9 are just very partially exploited (or referred) here. As a very wide variety of terminology is introduced and used, often, for convenience, we point backwards to (i.e. we remind) some definitions.

For us, techniques used for proving some results are equally important as these results. So thus, many results in this paper are getting two or more proofs. Moreover, we do not hesitate to include results which are particular cases of our future work, as long as their proofs are involving interesting techniques or are much simpler; as a sample: 2.3.18 B is a very particular case of the $p = 2$ theory of Shimura varieties of abelian type to be fully developed in §6, [Va5] and [Va3]. We strongly encourage the reader to peruse all techniques introduced in this paper. Motivation: we consistently strove to capture the very essence of the mathematical problems we dealt with here.

Warning: many (relatively well known) results pertaining to reductive groups are mentioned with proofs or appropriate references at different parts of §1-4; we felt this offers pedagogical advantages (in comparison with a gathering of them –in tens of pages– in one section) even if few and small repetitions are made.

Warning: based on the Second Main Corollary, in 4.9-14 we refer to results of [Va2] having a single star, without mentioning each time that all such stars have been removed by 4.6.4 B.

Besides the results mentioned in 1.2-15, this paper contains many other ideas, exercises and examples. The ideas we did not have time to deal with, are presented in the form of problems and questions or as remarks. 63 (4.5.6 itself counts as 10) instructive and simple exercises, almost all with full hints or solutions, are included in §2-4 and AE.4.2.

1.15. On the contents of §5-14. We present now in a very brief manner the contents of the other two parts continuing this paper. We recall that without stating the things otherwise we assume $p \geq 3$.

1.15.1. On §5. In §5 we elaborate on the notion of Shimura p-divisible group introduced in 2.2.20 and prove the conjecture of Milne stated in [Va2, 5.6.6], in the wider context of Shimura p-divisible groups; so we also prove d) of 4.4.1 3) and remove the two stars of [Va1, 5.6.5 h)].

As a corollary of Milne's conjecture we get the integrality theorem of Shimura p-divisible groups and so also the integrality theorem of Shimura varieties of Hodge type. Here we formulate it only for a $W(k)$-valued point of an integral canonical model of a Shimura variety of Hodge type (using 2.3.13.1 it can be stated for many other valued points; see also 4.4.12).

If $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ is a standard Hodge situation then, with the notation of 1.3, the p-component of the étale component of w_α is integral (i.e. it is an element of the tensor algebra of $H^1_{ét}(A_{\overline{K_0}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \oplus (H^1_{ét}(A_{\overline{K_0}}, \mathbb{Z}_p))^*$, where $\overline{K_0}$ is the algebraic closure of $B(k)$) iff t_α is integral (i.e. it is an element of the tensor algebra of $M \oplus M^*$). What is worth remarking: there is no restriction on the degree of w_α, $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, and it also holds for $p = 3$.

We use Milne's conjecture to show how, to a standard Hodge situation $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ and to a representation $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \to GL(N)$ (with N a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module, not necessarily free) it is naturally associated and object (if N as a set is finite) or a non-trivial almost p-divisible object (otherwise) $\mathfrak{C}_\rho$ of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(big-p+tens)}(\mathcal{N})$ (with $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(big-p+tens)}(\mathcal{N})$ as in 2.2.4.1 5); so the necessary precautions are taken in cases we do not get something to which the gluing arguments of [Fa1, proof of 2.3] apply). For almost p-divisible objects we refer to 2.2.1.7 1). If N is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of finite rank, then $\mathfrak{C}_\rho$ is a filtered F-crystal in locally free sheaves on $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ (cf. the conjecturally expected result mentioned in [Ch2, end of $(Q1)_D$]). The association $\rho \to \mathfrak{C}_\rho$ is functorial and respects the usual operations (tensor products, identity elements, duals when defined, etc.). It is uniquely determined by requesting two other natural properties to be satisfied.

In the last part of §5 we introduce the notion of automorphic vector bundle on an integral canonical model of a Shimura variety. We use the existence of $\mathfrak{C}_\rho$'s to prove the existence and uniqueness of automorphic vector bundles on $\mathcal{N}$ (associated to representations of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-free modules of finite rank or to representations of $G_{O_{(v)}}$ on $O_{(v)}$-free modules of finite rank) or on $\mathcal{N} \times_{O_{(v)}} O_{(v_1)}$ (with $O_{(v_1)}$ a local ring which is an étale $O_{(v)}$-algebra) (associated to representations of $G_{O_{(v_1)}}$ on $O_{(v_1)}$-free modules of finite rank). We also extend these results to Shimura varieties of preabelian type, under slight conditions (as in [Mi4, ch. III]) on the representations involved.

1.15.2. On §6. In §6 we prove that for any injective map $f : (G, X) \hookrightarrow \bigl(\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S\bigr)$, every $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-lattice $L_{(p)}$ (of W) good with respect to f, is automatically crystalline well positioned for the map f (with respect to any prime of $E(G, X)$ dividing p). We also prove the existence of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type with respect to primes dividing 3 and the existence of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of Hodge type with respect to primes dividing $p \geq 3$ and (plenty of) non-hyperspecial subgroups. Related to proofs we just mention: Milne's conjecture of 1.15.1 is the main new ingredient besides [Va2] needed to overcome the limitations of [Va2, 5.1] for $p = 3$.

1.15.3. On §7. In §7 we introduce a method by which, from particular Shimura σ-crystals, we construct integral, normal, faithfully flat schemes over local rings which are DVR's formally étale over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, with $p \geq 2$, which either have fibres having a dense set of formally smooth points or have the extension property (of [Va2, 3.2.3 3)]). By this method we recover all connected components of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of Hodge type. We (conjecturally) expect to get some other interesting integral models, even smooth ones; this expectation is a heuristic extrapolation of the existence of generalized Shimura p-divisible objects (M, φ, G) over k for which the pair $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \varphi)$ has factors of one of the special E_6, E_7 or D_n^{mixed} types (see 3.10.5-6 for the definition of these types). We call these normal schemes twisted connected Shimura schemes. These schemes and their variants (obtained using generalized Shimura p-divisible objects) are supposed to lead to the construction of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of special type. Also, they either lead to very beautiful mathematics or provide a negative answer (which we do not expect) to Oort's conjecture [Oo1, p. 6].

§7 contains as well many other complements on Shimura (Lie) σ-crystals (like the slice principle, the rigidity property, etc.). See also 2.2.6 4).

1.15.4. On §8. In §8 (as a continuation of [Va10]), we apply some of the ideas of §3, §5 and §7 to prove the Mumford–Tate conjecture for many abelian varieties over number fields. We also present a simple strategy meant to prove the following general criterion (it is known that it implies this conjecture):

Expectation (the split criterion). *Let A be an abelian variety over a number field E. There is $N(A) \in \mathbb{N}$ (very easily expressible in terms of A) such that, if ℓ is a prime greater or equal to $N(A)$ and the reductive group $G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$, defined as the connected component of the origin of the algebraic envelope of the Galois representation $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{E}/E) \to GL(H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{E}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, is a split reductive group, then the Mumford–Tate conjecture is true for A with respect to ℓ, i.e. we have $G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} = H_{A\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$. Here H_A is the Mumford–Tate group of A.*

1.15.5. On §9. In §9 we deal in great detail with the null stratum of the special fibre of an integral canonical model of a Shimura variety of preabelian type: influenced by properties (see [LO, 0.2]) of supersingular strata of special fibres of integral canonical models of Siegel modular varieties, we formulate our expectations about the properties enjoyed by these null strata and prove some of these expectations. In particular, we get a non-supersingular criterion similar to the non-ordinary one of 1.7. Warning: referring to 1.6, the null stratum of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is not always the pull back of the supersingular stratum of $\mathcal{M}_{k(v)}$ via the morphism $\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{M}$ (see 1.3), as the toric part $(G^{\text{ab}}, X^{\text{ab}})$ of (G, X) plays a role in the definition of this morphism.

1.15.6. On §10. The first goal of §10 is to show that the number $N(G, X, v)$ of strata of the refined canonical Lie stratification of the special fibre of an integral canonical model (of a Shimura variety $\text{Sh}(G, X)$ of preabelian type with respect to a prime v of $E(G, X)$ dividing $p > 2$) and the dimensions of the geometrically connected components of these strata are easily and effectively (algorithmically) computable. To achieve this first goal, the first philosophy is:

Ph. *The number $N(G, X, v)$, the mentioned dimensions, as well as the local geometry of strata (like the type of singularities in the étale topology of a given stratum, like which stratum specializes to which stratum, etc.) depend only on the pair $(G^{\text{adnc}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mu^{\text{ad}}_g)$, where $G^{\text{adnc}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is the smallest direct product factor of $G^{\text{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with the property that the generic fibre μ^{ad}_g of the cocharacter $\mu^{\text{ad}} : \mathbb{G}_m \to G^{\text{ad}}_{W(k(v^{\text{ad}}))}$ (with $k(v^{\text{ad}})$ as the residue field of the prime v^{ad} of $E(G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$ divided by v) factors through the extension of $G^{\text{adnc}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ to $B(k(v^{\text{ad}}))$. Here μ^{ad} is a cocharacter such that μ^{ad}_g becomes (under an embedding of $B(k(v^{\text{ad}}))$ in $\mathbb{C}$ extending the inclusion $E(G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}}) \subset \mathbb{C}$) $G^{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to the cocharacters μ_x, $x \in X^{\text{ad}}$, of [Va2, 2.2].*

This forms the global form of the invariance principle. The second philosophy, meant to express very concretely what we mean by "easily and effectively", is "captured" by 3.6.14.4, by the formulas of 4.5.15.2 and 4.5.15.2.1 computing the dimensions of the strata of the quasi-ultra stratifications, by the CM level one (expected to hold) property (of 1.6.2) and by the completion property (or by its weaker –intermediary– form 4.12.12.6).

We have versions of this Ph for the other stratifications of 1.10.1 as well as for the (presently abstract) context of $\mathcal{CL}_2$.

The second goal of §10 is to continue the study of the ultimate types of stratifications and to get progress with respect to the Real Problem of 1.6.5. Implicitly we include an extensive study of Grothendieck's (adjoint) specialization categories and of the invariants introduced in 4.12.12.8. In particular, starting from the methods of §1-4, we generalize [dJO, §5] from four points of view:

1) we include global forms of different isogeny properties (like of [dJO, 2.17]);

2) we work in a reductive context with cycles (warning: [dJO, §5] can not be adapted to such a context);

3) we do not restrict to the isocline context (i.e. to the context of Shimura p-divisible groups having only one slope);

4) we go beyond [dJO, 5.13] by being, in many situations, very specific on which p-divisible groups specializes to which p-divisible group (i.e. we introduce and solve in many situations Grothendieck's integral problem).

These generalizations are possible due to the fact that 3.6.15 B has logical global variants; for the constant Newton polygon context they are essentially obvious (cf. also 3.15.10.1 3)) and this takes care of 1) and 2) for this context. The main tools for 3) and 4) are: Theorems 3 and 6 and 3.15.7-9 (specifically, see 3.15.7 BP2). See [Va6] for extra generalizations of [dJO, §5] in the context of $\mathcal{CL}_2$.

1.15.7. On §11. The main purpose of §11 is to prove the isogeny property mentioned in [Va2, 1.7]. The idea is: we follow entirely the pattern of [FC, 4.3, p. 264] but in the crystalline context provided by Fontaine categories; this approach is supported by the fact that the isogeny property is trivial for G-ordinary points. The hard part is when we deal with points of the null strata; for such points we need to combine loc. cit. with ideas of [Mi1].

1.15.8. On §12. In §12 (and §6) we prove the following result. Let (G, X, H, v) be a Shimura quadruple, with (G, X) a Shimura pair of adjoint, abelian type and with $(v, 2) = 1$. Then there is an injective map $f : (G_0, X_0, H_0, v_0) \hookrightarrow (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1)$ such that:

1) $(G_0^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_0^{\mathrm{ad}}) = (G, X)$ and (under the natural inclusion $E(G, X) \subset E(G_0, X_0)$) v_0 divides v;

2) (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1) is the quadruple of a Shimura variety of PEL type, with all simple factors of G^{ad} of some A_ℓ Lie type ($\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ depends on the factor);

3) provided (G, X) has no simple factor of $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type ($n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 3$), the residue field $k(v_0)$ of v_0 is the same as the residue field $k(v_1)$ of v_1;

4) there is an injective map $f_1 : (G_1, X_1) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$ and a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-lattice $L_{(p)}$ of W (with p the rational prime divided by v), with the property that the triples $(f_1, L_{(p)}, v_1)$ and $(f_1 \circ f, L_{(p)}, v_0)$ are standard Hodge situations;

5) if (G, X) has no simple factor of $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type ($n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 3$) (so we have $k(v_0) = k(v_1)$) or if some other (simple to check) conditions hold, then we are in a context in which Theorem 11 applies.

As a consequence of this and its proof we prove the result concerning special points mentioned in [Va2, 1.6.1] as well as the following result.

1.15.8.1. A result. Let A be an abelian scheme over the ring $W(k)$ of Witt vectors of a finite field k of characteristic $p \geq 3$. Let $(s_\beta)_{\beta \in I}$ be a family of Hodge cycles of A, including a polarization of degree relatively prime to p. We assume the Zariski closure of the subgroup G_p of $GL(L_p[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixing the p-components of the étale components of the Hodge cycles of the family $(s_\beta)_{\beta \in I}$ in $GL(L_p)$, with $L_p := H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\overline{B(k)}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, is a reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Let π be the Frobenius endomorphism of A_k. Then a positive, integral power of π, as an automorphism of $H^1_{\text{crys}}(A_k/W(k))[\frac{1}{p}]$, fixes the de Rham component of s_β, $\forall \beta \in I$.

1.15.9. On §13-14. In §13-14, we prove the results (pertaining to Langlands–Rapoport conjecture) stated in [Va2, 1.7].

1.16. Some history. A small part of the results presented in §1-4 (essentially Theorems 1 and 2 in the context of a standard Hodge situation and their applications, presented in a) and b) of 1.4), is a revised and improved version of the second part of our thesis [Va1] (see also [Va2, 1.6]). Strictly speaking b) of Theorem 1 was not stated in [Va1]; however all ingredients needed for getting it can be found in loc. cit. In particular, the starting idea for getting a) and c) of Theorem 1, of using Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt shifts (see 3.4.5), which is the essence of all types of computations (for instance, like the ones involving some types of Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt maps), was first introduced in [Va1, 5.5.4] based on a suggestion of G. Faltings (and this explains our terminology). To our knowledge, Shimura-ordinary types were first defined in [Va1, 5.1.3] and the use of Weyl elements in connection to the truncation mod p of Shimura (Lie) σ-crystals was initiated in [Va1, 5.6.2-3]. Implicitly, cyclic factors over (truncation mod p) of Lie σ-crystals (see 3.10 for elaborated definitions) were first used in [Va1, §5]; see also [Va2, p. 495] and the part of AE.4 referring to it.

[Va13] was the initial draft (version) of this paper. Proposition 2.3.15, which is very useful for different local computations, was already part of [Va13] (being just the logical globalization of part of [Va2, 5.4]).

We came across quasi Artin–Schreier systems of equations (resp. integral Manin problems) on Oct. 1997 (resp. Feb. 1996): they were part of the version of this paper released on Dec. 1997.

1.16.1. Supplementary references. Many results of §1-4 are completely new; so, there is relatively very few literature to be mentioned in connection to most of them. The literature which influenced us and is directly related to the present work, is mentioned (directly or indirectly) at different moments along the manuscript. The most we can add to what was mentioned in 1.0-15 is as follows:

a) we do not know if weaker forms of Theorem 3 for ordinary elliptic curves are traceable in the literature;

b) Theorems 2 and 5 and the First and Second Main Corollaries are untraceable in the literature;

c) tiny bits of 1.9.1 and of 1.4 were known before (for instance, see references in 3.1.8.1 and 4.6.1 3));

d) in connection to Theorem 7 we refer to 4.6.2.5;

e) if $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_p$, then Theorem 9 is a consequence of Serre–Tate and Dwork theories of coordinates mentioned in 1.0;

f) except some very particular cases (basically pertaining to curves), there is no literature in connection to Theorem 10;

g) outside of the case $k(v_1) = k(v_2) = \mathbb{F}_p$ (which is trivial), there is no literature in connection to Theorem 11;

h) in connection to Theorem 12 we refer to 4.13.3 1);

i) Theorem 14 was not known before outside of the case of Siegel modular varieties and of trivial cases (like of Shimura curves).

Also we do not hesitate to make connections to the literature which did not influence us, even if this literature appeared later than the first versions of this paper.

1.16.2. Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Prof. Gerd Faltings for his encouragement to approach the topics of 1.2, 1.4 and 1.7, for his deep insights and for his suggestion mentioned in 1.2 and in 1.16. Here, as well as in [Va2], we are very much obliged to [Fa1, ch. II and VII] and [Fa2, §7]. Though most of the results from the mentioned two loc. cit. are restated in different parts of this paper (see 2.2.1.1 2), 2.2.21, etc.), we still often (as a homage) refer directly to them. Directly (for instance, see [Fo], [FL], [FI] and [CF]) or indirectly (via [Fa1-3] and [Wi]) we are very much obliged to the work of Prof. Jean-Marc Fontaine. We would like to thank Prof. Richard Pink for pointing out the thing mentioned in 1.9, and Prof. Arthur Ogus for some comments and questions, for the suggestion of 3.6.1.4 2) and for explaining us things in connection to the case $p = 2$ for ordinary elliptic curves (these things motivated us to include 2.3.18.1). We benefitted from two seminar talks of Prof. Minhyong Kim on [HK] and [Shi1-2]: for them and for some answers we thank him (2.2.4 I to K had them as the very starting point). Parts of AE.6 are based on discussions with Prof. James Stuart Milne: we thank him for them. We would like to thank Ms. Rahel Boller for the typing of [Va13]. We would like to thank FIM, ETH Zürich, UC at Berkeley, Univ. of Utah and Univ. of Arizona for providing us with very good conditions for the writing of this manuscript. This work was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS 97-05376.

§2 Preliminaries

2.1. Notations, conventions and some definitions. Reductive groups over fields are always assumed to be connected. So the fibres of a reductive group over a scheme are connected. For a reductive group G over a scheme X we denote by G^{der}, $Z(G)$, G^{ab} and G^{ad}, respectively, the derived group of G, the center of G, the maximal abelian quotient of G and the adjoint group of G (see [SGA3, Vol. III, p. 257] for derived groups and abelianizations). The group scheme over X parameterizing automorphisms of G is denoted by $Aut(G)$ (see [SGA3, Vol. III, p. 328]). G is called quasi-split, if it has a Borel subgroup.

We consider now an arbitrary affine group scheme G over X. The identity element of the group $G(X)$ is often referred as the origin; if X is connected, the connected component of G containing the origin is referred as the connected component of the origin. We denote by $Lie(G)$ the Lie algebra of G. For an approach to it via representable contravariant functors from the category of X-schemes into the category of Lie algebras over different rings of global sections, see [SGA3, Vol. I, Exp. II]. If G is smooth or if X is integral and the tangent space of the origin of any geometric fibre of G has the same dimension, then we always identify $Lie(G)$ with a quasi-coherent locally free sheaf $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ on X endowed with a Lie structure; if moreover $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is affine, we identify $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ with a Lie algebra over R whose underlying R-module is locally free of locally finite rank. Often we denote $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ by $\mathfrak{g}$. For any cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ and for any affine X-scheme X^1 we denote by $[\mu_{X^1}]$ the $G(X^1)$-conjugacy class of cocharacters defined by the extension μ_{X^1} of μ to X^1; if $X^1 = \mathrm{Spec}(R^1)$ is affine, we denote $[\mu_{X^1}]$ also by $[\mu_{R^1}]$.

Let p be a rational prime. We say that a reductive group G over $\mathbb{Q}$ is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ if $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, i.e. if $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is quasi-split and splits over the maximal unramified algebraic field extension $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathrm{un}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. The set of rational primes l such that G is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_l$ is denoted by $\mathcal{U}(G)$ (cf. [Va2, 6.7]). If G is a reductive group over S, where S is either a DVR of mixed characteristic $(0,p)$ or the field of fractions of such a DVR, we denote by $t(G) \in \mathbb{N}$ the biggest non-negative, integral power of p dividing the order of an element of $G(S)$ of finite order. If G is a reductive group over $\mathbb{Q}$, its small torsion number $\tilde{t}(G) \in \mathbb{N}$ is defined by

$$\tilde{t}(G) := \prod_{p\in\mathcal{U}(G)} t(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \prod_{p\notin\mathcal{U}(G),\, p\,\mathrm{a\,prime}} t(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}),$$

where, for $p \in \mathcal{U}(G)$, $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a reductive group ([Ti2] implies that it does not matter which one) over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ having $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ as its generic fibre (the existence of such numbers $t(*)$ can be easily deduced from the part of AE.4 referring to $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the Hints of the Exercise of AE.4.2). Here and in what follows we refer to the chapter "Addenda and Errata to [Va2]" as AE. We use freely the Definitions 1 and 2 of AE.4.

If X is a set endowed with an equivalence relation $R \subset X \times X$, then for any element $x \in X$, we denote by $[x] \in X/R$ the equivalence class of x. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. An $n+m$-tuple whose entries contain (in the proper order) the entries of an n-tuple t_n, is referred as an extension of t_n. We always look at an m-tuple $(n_1, ..., n_m)$ whose entries are (denoted by a lower right index to the same small letter and are) in $\mathbb{Z}$ from the circular point of view; so for $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ we refer, without extra comment, to $n_q := n_{q_1}$, where $q_1 \in \{1, ..., m\}$ is such that $m|q - q_1$. We denote by $|B|$ the number of elements of a finite set B. For a hermitian symmetric domain D which is a disjoint union of the same connected hermitian symmetric domain D^0, we denote by $\mathrm{mid}(D)$ the maximal (irreducible) dimension (as a complex manifold) of an irreducible factor of D^0, cf. [He, p. 381].

Our main source of references on Shimura varieties is [Va2]. The expression (G, X) always denotes a pair defining a Shimura variety, $E(G, X)$ denotes its attached reflex field and $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)$ denotes the Shimura variety defined by it, identified with the canonical model of the complex variety. For an arbitrary compact subgroup K of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$, we denote by $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)$ or $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)/K$, the quotient of $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)$ by K. Any $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ define a complex point $[x, \alpha]$ of $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G, X)$. As in this paper we encounter several quadruples and triples, the quadruples (resp. triples) introduced in [Va2, 3.2.6] are called Shimura quadruples (resp. triples). Warning: we use freely the notations and terminology of [Va2, 2.4 and 3.2.6]. For generalities on Shimura varieties of PEL type, we refer to [Ko2, ch. 5] and to [Mi1, p. 161]; warning in last loc. cit. one needs to add that the axiom [Mi1, (SV2)] holds. The embeddings in Siegel modular varieties defining Shimura varieties of PEL type used in [Mi1, p. 161] are referred as PEL type embeddings.

If A is a set and $f : A \to A$ is an endomorphism of it, we denote by f^m the m-times composite of f with itself; the identity bijection of A is denoted by 1_A. If $f : A \to B$ and $g : B \to C$ are morphisms in some category we refer to $g \circ f$ as the composite of f with g. If $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$ is any short exact sequence, we refer to B as the extension of C by A.

If k is a field we denote by $\bar{k}$ its algebraic closure. Let $\mathrm{Gal}(k)$ the group of automorphisms of $\bar{k}$ acting identically on (the perfection of) k. For a field k of characteristic p, $W(k)$ is the DVR of Witt vectors of k, while $B(k) := W(k)[\frac{1}{p}]$ is the field of fractions of $W(k)$. We denote $\mathrm{Gal}(B(k))$ by Γ_k. α_p is the finite, flat, commutative group scheme over k of rank p, defined as the kernel of the Frobenius endomorphism $F : \mathbb{G}_a \to \mathbb{G}_a$. For D a p-divisible group or a finite, flat, commutative group scheme over k, we denote by $a(D) := \dim_k(\mathrm{Hom}(\alpha_p, D))$ its a-number. Occasionally, we use the Witt ring $W(R)$, with R an arbitrary k-algebra, and $W_n(R) := W(R)/p^n W(R)$.

In all that follows we assume k is perfect. We denote by σ (resp. $\overline{\sigma}$) the Frobenius automorphism of $W(k)$ and $B(k)$ (resp. of $W(\bar{k})$ and $B(\bar{k})$). Often, to avoid repetitions and for the sake of preciseness, we also denote σ by σ_k.

By a σ-crystal (over k) we mean a pair (M, φ), with M a free $W(k)$-module of finite rank and with φ a σ-linear endomorphism of M which is a σ-linear automorphism of

$M[\frac{1}{p}]$. We refer to (M, φ^n) as a σ^n-crystal. Warning: by a filtered σ-crystal (over k) we mean a triple (M, F^1, φ), with (M, φ) a σ-crystal and with F^1 a $W(k)$-submodule of M which is a direct summand of M such that $\varphi(\frac{1}{p}F^1 + M) = M$; this convention is not standard but, as we often deal just with contexts involving p-divisible groups, it is very practical. We always denote by F^0 a direct supplement of F^1 in M. By a principal quasi-polarization of a σ-crystal (M, φ) we mean a perfect alternating form $\psi : M \otimes_{W(k)} M \to W(k)$ such that $\psi(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = p\sigma(\psi(x, y))$, $\forall x, y \in M$. We often write it as $\psi : M \otimes_{W(k)} M \to W(k)(1)$.

By a σ^n-isocrystal (over k) we mean a pair (M, φ), with M a finite dimensional $B(k)$-vector space and with φ a σ^n-linear automorphism of M. We still denote by φ the Frobenius endomorphism of any free $W(k)$-submodule or $B(k)$-vector subspace N of different tensor products (taken in any order) of a given number of copies of M with a given number of copies of its dual M^*, which is taken by φ into itself; here φ takes $f \in M^*$ into $\sigma^n \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1} \in M^*$ and acts in the usual tensor way on all such tensor products. Warning: if φ takes N into N, then $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ we make the convention that $p^m\varphi$ acts on N via the rule: $n \in N$ is mapped into $p^m\varphi(n)$; so $p^m\varphi$ is an abbreviation for $(p^m 1_N) \circ \varphi$. In particular, φ takes $e \in \mathrm{End}(M) = M \otimes_{B(k)} M^*$ into $\varphi \circ e \circ \varphi^{-1}$, while $p\varphi$ takes e into $p(\varphi \circ e \circ \varphi^{-1})$. By a latticed σ^n-isocrystal (over k) we mean a pair (M, φ), with M a free $W(k)$-module of finite rank, such that the pair $(M[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$ is a σ^n-isocrystal. A morphism between two latticed σ^n-isocrystals $(M_1, \varphi_1) \to (M_2, \varphi_2)$ is a $W(k)$-linear map $f : M_1 \to M_2$ such that by inverting p it is a morphism between σ^n-isocrystals. When $n = 1$, we speak simply about (latticed) isocrystals (over k).

Always V_0 denotes a Witt ring over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic and then K_0 automatically denotes its field of fractions. If v is a prime of a number field E, we denote by $k(v)$ the residue field of it and by $O_{(v)}$ the localization of the ring of integers of E with respect to it. The normalization of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ in E is denoted by $E_{(p)}$. If O is a local ring, we denote by O^{h}, O^{sh} and $\widehat{O}$ respectively its henselization, its strict henselization and its completion.

We usually write $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ instead of $O_{(p)}$. The ring of finite adèles $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is denoted by $\mathbb{A}_f$ and the ring of finite adèles with the p-component omitted is denoted by $\mathbb{A}_f^p$. So we have $\mathbb{A}_f = \mathbb{A}_f^p \times \mathbb{Q}_p$. We use freely different Tate-twists: $\mathbb{Q}(1), \mathbb{Q}_p(1), \mathbb{Z}_p(1), \mathbb{A}_f(1)$ etc. We denote by $\mathbb{F}_{p^d}$ the field with p^d elements ($d \in \mathbb{N}$) and by $\mathbb{F}$ its algebraic closure. If G is a reductive group over $\mathbb{Q}$, then its torsion number $t(G) \in \mathbb{N}$ is defined by

$$t(G) := \prod_{p \in \mathcal{U}(G)} t(G_{W(\mathbb{F})}) \prod_{p \notin \mathcal{U}(G),\, p\,\mathrm{a\,prime}} t(G_{B(\mathbb{F})}).$$

We have: $\tilde{t}(G)$ divides $t(G)$. An integral, separated $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme is called perfect if it has an open, affine cover by spectra of perfect $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras.

If R is a faithfully flat $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-algebra, we denote by $R^\wedge$ its p-adic completion. Similarly, if $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$, with R as before, we denote $X^\wedge := \mathrm{Spec}(R^\wedge)$.

By a p-adic formal scheme X we mean a formal scheme which locally can be obtained by completing a faithfully flat $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-scheme along its special fibre. By a morphism from X

into another p-adic formal scheme Y or into a scheme Y, we mean a morphism $f : X \to Y$ of locally ringed spaces; we refer to f as a p-adic formal scheme over Y. So we have a natural morphism $X \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. The fibre $X_{\mathbb{Z}_p/p^s\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a scheme, $\forall s \in \mathbb{N}$. If X is a flat $\mathbb{Z}_p$-scheme, we denote by $X^\wedge$ the p-adic formal scheme it defines naturally. Warning: if $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$, then without a special reference $X^\wedge$ denotes $\mathrm{Spec}(R^\wedge)$; accordingly, without a special reference, the p-adic completion of an affine scheme (resp. of a morphism f between affine schemes) is viewed as an affine scheme (resp. as a morphism $f^\wedge$ between affine schemes). The sheaf of local rings implicit in the definition of a scheme or of a formal scheme X, is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_X$. The category of locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$-sheaves of locally finite ranks (resp. of coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-sheaves) is denoted by $LF(X)$ (resp. by $(COH(X))$. By a geometric point of a scheme we mean a point of it with values in an algebraically closed field.

The category of p-divisible groups (resp. of finite, flat, commutative group schemes of p power order) over a (formal) scheme S is denoted by $p-DG(S)$ (resp. by $p-FF(S)$). The reduced scheme of a scheme S is denoted S_{red}. If S is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme, then without a special reference on their type, all crystals and F-crystals on S are in locally free sheaves which locally have finite ranks and, if they are filtered, the filtrations are given by direct summands. All F-crystals are such that by pulling them back through geometric points of S we get isocrystals over algebraically closed fields as defined above. If S is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-scheme (resp. $W(k)$-scheme) on which p is locally nilpotent, the crystalline site we use $CRIS(S/\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p))$ (resp. $CRIS(S/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))))$ is Berthelot's crystalline site as used in [Be, ch. III, §4] and [BM]. The PD-structure on the ideal (p) of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ (resp. of $W(k)$)) is the usual one from characteristic 0.

A morphism $X_1 \to X$ of formal schemes is called étale, if locally in their topology it is defined by a morphism $\mathrm{Spf}(A_1) \to \mathrm{Spf}(A)$, where A (resp. A_1) is complete with respect to the topology defined by an ideal I of itself (resp. with respect to the topology defined by its ideal IA_1), such that the morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(A_1/I^sA_1) \to \mathrm{Spec}(A/I^s)$ is étale, $\forall s \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly we define formally étale morphisms between formal schemes.

If G is an affine group scheme over $W(k)$, the $W(k)$-valued point of the p-adic completion of G or of the completion of G with respect to its origin, defined by the origin of G, is still referred as origin.

Let K be an arbitrary field. By a stratification $\mathcal{S}$ of a reduced K-scheme S in potentially an infinite number of strata, we mean that:

a) for any field L which is either K or an algebraically closed field containing $\bar{K}$ but different from $\bar{K}$, a set $\mathcal{S}_L$ of disjoint reduced, locally closed subschemes of S_L is given such that each $\bar{L}$-valued point of S factors through an element of it;

b) if $i_{12} : L_1 \hookrightarrow L_2$ is an embedding between two fields as in a), then the pull back to L_2 of any member of $\mathcal{S}_{L_1}$, is an element of $\mathcal{S}_{L_2}$ (so we have a natural transition injective map $\mathcal{S}(i_{12}) : \mathcal{S}_{L_1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{L_2}$).

Most of the time, we just mention how $\mathcal{S}_K$ is constructed (as the constructions of $\mathcal{S}_L$'s and of $\mathcal{S}(i_{12})$'s are automatic). The inductive limit of all maps $\mathcal{S}(i_{12})$ is a class which in general is not a set. We refer to it as the class of $\mathcal{S}$. Each element of some $\mathcal{S}_L$ is referred as a stratum of $\mathcal{S}$. $\mathcal{S}$ is said to satisfy the purity property if for each L as above, any element of $\mathcal{S}_L$ is an affine S-scheme; this is a more practical and general definition then any other one relying on codimension 1 statements on complements (of course, if $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies the purity property and S_L is locally noetherian, then the Zariski closure T_1 of any irreducible component T_0 of an element of $\mathcal{S}_L$ in S_L is such that $T_1 \setminus T_0$ is either empty or of pure codimension 1). Without a special reference, we always deal with stratifications of S such that all transition injective maps $\mathcal{S}(i_{12})$ are bijections and $\mathcal{S}_K$ is a finite set.

By a Frobenius lift of a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-scheme X (resp. of a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-algebra R) we mean an endomorphism of X (resp. of R) which mod p is the usual Frobenius endomorphism (for instance, for R/pR, it takes $x \in R/pR$ into x^p). If R and S are two arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-algebras having Frobenius lifts $\Phi_R : R \to R$ and respectively $\Phi_S : S \to S$, if M is a projective R-module endowed with a Φ_R-linear map $\varphi : M \to M$, and if $b : R \to S$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-homomorphism compatible with the mentioned Frobenius lifts, we denote by $\varphi \otimes 1$ the Φ_S-linear map on $M \otimes_R S$ induced from φ via b. As above, if R is a flat $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-algebra and if φ becomes an isomorphism by inverting p, we still denote by φ the Φ_R-linear endomorphism of any R-submodule or $R[\frac{1}{p}]$-submodule of a tensor product of a number of copies of $M[\frac{1}{p}]$ with a number of copies of its dual, taken by φ into itself. Moreover, we use the same convention on $p^m\varphi$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, as above (in the case $R = W(k)$).

Let $a,\ b \in \mathbb{Z},\ b \geq a$. Let $S(a,b) := \{a, a+1, ..., b-1, b\}$ and let $SS(a,b) := \{a-b, a-b+1, ..., b-a-1, b-a\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(*)$, $\mathcal{MF}(*)$, $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,b]}(*)$ and $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(*)$ the categories introduced in [Fa1, ch. II]; here $* \in \{R, X\}$. Whenever required (see loc. cit.), the p-adic completion of $*$ is equipped with a Frobenius lift. We refer to them as Fontaine categories (of objects); an arbitrary such category is often denoted as FC. We allow R (resp. X) to be (the p-adic completion of) a regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-algebra (resp. $W(k)$-scheme or p-adic formal scheme over $W(k)$). The objects of FC are denoted using tuples. For instance, fixing a Frobenius lift Φ_R of $R^{\wedge}$, an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,b]}(R)$ is denoted as a quadruple $(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, (\varphi_i)_{i \in S(a,b)}, \nabla)$: M is an R-module such that each element of it is annihilated by some power of p, $(F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}$ is a decreasing filtration of M, $\varphi_i : F^i(M) \to M$ is a Φ_R-linear map, and ∇ is a connection on M (the axioms being as in loc. cit.). Warning: in 2.2.4 we adopt the (naive) point of view that all Fontaine categories are small, i.e. its morphisms are forming a set. This can be fully formalized using the notion of universe as in [SGA4]; however, we prefer to keep the requirements to the minimum and so we never mention such a universe (i.e. we think that from the point of view of 2.2.4 J, the naive approach is "enough", as we can work as well with skeletons of categories).

We always assume, for the sake of simplicity of presentation, that the R/pR-module $\Omega_{R/pR/k}$ (resp. the $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}$-sheaf $\Omega_{X_k/k}$) of relative differentials is projective (resp. is locally free) of locally finite rank in each point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$ (resp. of X_k). In what follows we refer to [Fa1, 2.1], with R and X as in the above paragraph, without any other further comment: the proofs of loc. cit. apply entirely to the context we have allowed (working in the faithfully flat topology we can assume R is a complete, local ring having an algebraically closed residue field; for this context the inductive argument on dimensions of loc. cit. applies entirely). We refer to [Fa1, 2.3] as the standard gluing process (or arguments) in the context of Fontaine categories involving connections (the word "gluing" is used for the first time in the last sentence of the paragraph before [Fa1, e) of p. 35]).

We can speak as well about Fontaine categories for regular, formally smooth, affine formal schemes (when allowed just formal schemes) over $W(k)$, as well as we can replace $W(k)$ by $W_n(k)$ (in this last case we deal only with objects annihilated by p^n in the logical sense recalled in 2.2.1 c) below); the context of formal schemes (which are not necessarily p-adic formal schemes) shows up just in 3.15.4.

As a policy, besides some definitions, we always start with some Fontaine category involving a scheme X and, as the constructions force us, we move to some Fontaine categories involving a p-adic formal scheme over X. Just one exception: 3.6.18.4.2 is stated simultaneously in the context of schemes as well as of p-adic formal schemes.

We often refer to Φ_R as a Frobenius lift of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ and so we write as well $\Phi_R : \mathrm{Spec}(R) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$. If $r : W(k) \to R$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-homomorphism and $\Phi_R(r(x)) = r(\sigma(x))$, $\forall x \in W(k)$, we say Φ_R is compatible with σ. In what follows, we always assume that the Frobenius lifts of $W(k)$-algebras are compatible with σ. The same applies to the context of regular, formally smooth (p-adic formal) schemes over $W(k)$.

We use freely [Be, 1.6.5, p. 247] as well as its filtered version; accordingly, we have a natural functor $\mathcal{F}_{[0,b]}$ from the category $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,b]}(X)$ to the category of filtered F-crystals on X_k on coherent sheaves; here $b \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ or $b \in S(0, p-1)$ depending on the fact that $X^\wedge$ is or is not equipped with a Frobenius lift. For instance, if $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R^\wedge)$ and a Frobenius lift of X is fixed, then $\mathcal{F}_{[0,b]}$ takes an object $(M, (F^i(M))_{i\in S(0,b)}, (\varphi_i)_{i\in S(0,b)}, \nabla)$ of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,b]}(X)$ into the filtered F-crystal on X_k whose evaluation at X is the quadruple $(M, (F^i(M))_{i\in S(0,b)}, \varphi_0, \nabla)$ (the action of $\mathcal{F}_{[0,b]}$ on morphisms being the logical one).

For fitting the convention of [De2, 1.1.6] on Hodge structures, the canonical split (cocharacters) of objects of $p{-}\mathcal{MF}(W(k))$ (see 2.2.1 c) for the definition of this category) to be used are the inverses of the ones defined in [Wi, p. 512]. So whenever we refer to them we mean the inverses of the cocharacters of loc. cit., though they are still referred as canonical splits. We use freely (the functorial aspect of) loc. cit. in the formed mentioned.

The zero element of any module or ring is denoted by 0. For any finitely generated projective module M over a commutative ring R, we denote by M^* its dual. The R-span (i.e. the R-submodule of M) generated by a finite number of elements e_1,..., e_s of M, is denoted by $< e_1, ..., e_s >$. We denote by $M^{\otimes s} \otimes_R M^{*\otimes t}$, with $s, t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the tensor product of s-copies of M with t-copies of M^* taken in this order. If $v \in M^{\otimes s} \otimes_R M^{*\otimes t}$, we denote by $\deg(v) := s + t$ its degree, and we call it a homogeneous tensor of degree $s + t$. By the essential tensor algebra of $M \oplus M^*$ we mean

$$\mathcal{T}(M) := \oplus_{s,t\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}} M^{\otimes s} \otimes_R M^{*\otimes t}.$$

We do not use the natural structure of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ as an R-algebra (it is non-commutative if the rank of M is at least 2 in some point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$). We always identify $\mathrm{End}(M)$ with $M \otimes_R M^*$. So $\mathrm{End}(\mathrm{End}(M)) = \mathrm{End}(M \otimes_R M^*) = M \otimes_R M^* \otimes_R M^* \otimes_R M$ is always identified (by changing the order) with $M^{\otimes 2} \otimes_R M^{*\otimes 2}$. A family of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ is denoted in the form $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$, with $\mathcal{J}$ as the set of indices; if all its tensors are homogeneous of degree not greater than $u \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we say it is of degree at most u. Let M_1 be another finitely generated, projective R-module. Any isomorphism $f : M \tilde{\to} M_1$ extends naturally to an isomorphism $\mathcal{T}(M) \tilde{\to} \mathcal{T}(M)$ and so we often speak about f taking v_j into some specific element of $\mathcal{T}(M_1)$.

If M is endowed with a decreasing filtration by direct summands $F^i(M)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathcal{T}(M)$ gets naturally equipped with a filtration (called the tensor product filtration) by direct summands; moreover, whenever we have a direct summand N of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ such that its intersection with any direct summand $F^i(\mathcal{T}(M))$ of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ which is part of its tensor product filtration, is a direct summand of N, we endow it with this intersection (also called induced) filtration; so $F^i(N) := N \cap F^i(\mathcal{T}(M))$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We refer to $F^i(\mathcal{T}(M))$ (resp. to $F^i(M)$) as the F^i-filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ (resp. of M). In particular, we often refer to the F^0-filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ or of $\mathrm{End}(M)$ or to the F^1-filtration of $\mathrm{End}(M)$. If F^1 is a direct summand of M, by the F^0-filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ defined by it, we mean the F^0-filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ defined by the filtration of M defined by: $F^2(M) = \{0\}$, $F^1(M) = F^1$ and $F^0(M) = M$.

All filtrations of M to be considered are decreasing and such that $F^i(M) = M$ for $i << 0$ and $F^j(M) = \{0\}$ for $j >> 0$; so often we just mention those direct summands $F^i(M)$'s which are different from $\{0\}$ and M. Warning: this does not apply to Fontaine's rings of 2.3.18.1 E and of 4.9.2.0. By a range of a filtration of M we mean an interval $[a, b]$, with a, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $F^a(M) = M$ and $F^{b+1}(M) = \{0\}$. If $F^2(M) = \{0\}$ and $F^0(M) = M$, then we just mention the direct summand $F^1(M)$, and we usually denote it (in a simpler way) by F^1; moreover, a direct supplement of F^1 in M is denoted by F^0. If we use some lower right index j to denote the filtration of M (i.e. if we work with $F^i_j(M)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$), then we automatically use the same type of index for all other filtrations introduced in the above paragraph: we write $F^i_j(\mathcal{T}(M))$, $F^i_j(N)$, etc. Warning: we still refer to $F^i_j(\mathcal{T}(M))$ as the F^i-filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M)$, etc. Similarly, the right lower indices are transfered in the context of F^1 and F^0: we often consider F^1_j and a supplement of it F^0_j in M.

Similarly, we define the essential tensor algebra $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}^*$, for a locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of locally finite rank on a ringed space $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. If this ringed space is a scheme, then $GL(\mathcal{F})$ is the reductive group scheme over it of $\mathcal{O}_X$-linear automorphisms of $\mathcal{F}$.

A bilinear form on M is called perfect if it induces an isomorphism from M into its dual M^*. A pair (M, ψ) with M as above and $\psi : M \otimes_R M \to R$ a perfect alternating form on it, is called a symplectic space over R. $GL(M)$, $SL(M)$, $Sp(M, \psi)$, $GSp(M, \psi)$, etc., are viewed as group schemes over R; so, for an R-algebra R_1, $GL(M)(R_1)$ denotes the group of R_1-valued points of $GL(M)$ (i.e. the group of R_1-linear automorphisms of $M \otimes_R R_1$), etc. Warning: if G is a closed subgroup of $GL(M)$, we often identify a morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1) \to G$, with a matrix automorphism of $M \otimes_R R_1$; in particular, we often refer to 1_M as the identity element of $G(R)$. If f_1 and f_2 are two $\mathbb{Z}$-endomorphisms or automorphisms of M (or of the localization of M with respect to some element of R), most common we denote $f_1 \circ f_2$ by $f_1 f_2$. We usually use the same notation for two perfect bilinear forms if they are obtained one from another by an extension of scalars; the same applies to tensors of two essential tensor algebras obtained one from another by an extension of scalars. If (W, ψ) is a symplectic space over $\mathbb{Q}$, we denote by $\mathrm{Sh}\big(\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S\big)$ the Siegel modular variety associated to it (for instance, see [Va2, Example 2 of 2.5]).

For a finite field extension $k_0 \hookrightarrow k$ and for a reductive group G over k, $\mathrm{Res}_{k/k_0} G$ denotes the group scheme over k_0 obtained from G by Weil restriction of scalars (see [BLR, 7.6]). If $f : G \to G_1$ is a homomorphism of group schemes which is a closed embedding, then we identify G with its image (through f) in G_1.

We use freely the terminology of Hodge cycles of abelian varieties over a field of characteristic 0 used in [De4] and, by natural extension, this terminology is also used for abelian schemes over a reduced $\mathbb{Q}$–scheme S. Even more: if S is a reduced, flat $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-scheme and A is an abelian scheme over S, we speak about Hodge cycles of A, though strictly speaking, we should speak about Hodge cycles of the generic fibre of A. Any polarization of an arbitrary abelian scheme A is denoted by p_A (sometimes $\mathcal{P}_A$) and, by abuse of notation, we also denote by p_A the different maps on the cohomologies (homologies) of A induced by it. Pairs of the form (A, p_A) always denote a polarized abelian scheme. If $\mathcal{S}$ is a set of rational primes and if $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\mathcal{S}}]$ is the subring of $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by $\mathbb{Z}$ and the inverses of all primes of $\mathcal{S}$, then by a $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\mathcal{S}}]$-isogeny between two abelian schemes A_1 and A_2 defined over a scheme, we mean a $\mathbb{Q}$–isomorphism which induces an isomorphism $A_1[N] \tilde{\to} A_2[N]$, $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}$ which is relatively prime to all primes in $\mathcal{S}$.

We consider an abelian scheme or a p-divisible group A over a scheme S. We denote by A^t its dual and by $A[p^n]$ the kernel of its multiplication by p^n. We now assume S is the spectrum of a perfect field k_1 of positive characteristic p. By the σ_{k_1}-crystal (resp. by the isocrystal) of (or attached to) A we mean the σ_{k_1}-crystal defined by the first crystalline cohomology group of A with coefficients in $W(k_1)$ (resp. we mean the isocrystal over k_1 defined by such a σ_{k_1}-crystal). Similarly, if $S = \mathrm{Spec}(W(k_1))$, we speak about the filtered σ_{k_1}-crystal of (or attached to) A. If $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k_1)$, with k_1 arbitrary, by the formal isogeny type of A, we mean the formal isogeny type (see [Man]) defined by the isocrystal of $A_{\overline{k_1}}$. We use the notation (n, m) instead of the notation $G_{n,m}$ of loc. cit; so $(1, 1)$ is the formal isogeny type of (the isocrystal of) a supersingular elliptic curve, etc.

If S is the spectrum of a field of characteristic zero and if A is an abelian variety, then we speak about the Shimura variety $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)$ attached to A: choosing an arbitrary polarization p_A of A, the Shimura pair (G, X) is defined as in [Va2, 2.12 3)]; it is independent on the choice of p_A.

For Artin's approximation theorem we refer to [BLR, p. 91]. We use it freely as well as its intermediary form of [BLR, th. 12 of p. 83]. For classical Dieudonné theories over k or $W(k)$ we refer to [Fo]; mainly we need [Fo, p. 152 and 160 and §5 of ch. 4]. For Lang's theorem on (torsors of) connected algebraic groups over finite fields, we refer to [Bo2, 16.3-4 and 16.6]. For standard properties and terminology of involutions on semisimple algebras over fields we refer to [KMRT, ch. 1]. For the specialization theorem (of Grothendieck–Katz) we refer to [Ka2, 2.3.1-2]. For Grothendieck's algebraization theorem we refer to [EGA III, ch. 5]. For Serre–Tate's deformation theory we refer to [Me, ch. 5] and [Ka3, ch. 1]. For Zariski's Main Theorem we refer to [Ra, ch. IV]. For the ordinary conjecture concerning the ordinary reductions of an abelian variety over a number field, we refer to [Oo1, p. 11]. We use freely the fact that any regular, p-adically complete, formally smooth $W(k)$-algebra has Frobenius lifts.

All limits and colimits to be used are assumed to be filtered. Projective systems of (formal) schemes have affine transition morphisms. A projective limit of a projective system indexed in the standard manner by elements of $\mathbb{N}$, is referred as an $\mathbb{N}$-projective limit, while the projective system itself is called an $\mathbb{N}$-projective system; similarly we speak about an $\mathbb{N}$-inductive limit or system or about an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale scheme over another scheme. As the transition morphisms are affine, the projective limit of a projective system of formal scheme with étale transition morphisms, does exist as as formal scheme. So similarly, we speak about an ($\mathbb{N}$-) pro-étale formal scheme over another formal scheme.

Occasionally, we refer to the $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale topology of a scheme: this can be defined entirely similar to the étale topology. What we need: a property $\mathcal{P}$ pertaining to an "object" O over a scheme S is satisfied locally in the $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale topology of S, if for each point y of S with values in a field there is an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale morphism $S_1 \to S$ whose image contains y, such that $\mathcal{P}$ holds for the (assumed to make sense) pull back of O to S_1. The same applies to the context of formal schemes.

We often refer to a Newton polygon being below, above, strictly below or strictly above another one, in the usual sense (so the Newton polygon of a supersingular elliptic curve over k is strictly above the Newton polygon of an ordinary elliptic curve over k). The Newton polygon of a set of Newton polygons which is below all of them, is called the smallest Newton polygon of the set. We use freely the specialization theorem to define stratifications using Newton polygons, even in a context where we are not dealing with an F-crystal over an $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme S but with "modifications" of such an F-crystal, where the Frobenius endomorphisms of modules over flat $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebras associated naturally to it are divided by the same positive, integral power of p. This applies in particular to the context of Lie F-crystals as to be defined below (for instance, see 2.2.2). In particular, we allow Newton polygons which have negative slopes and so, we deviate from the initial approach suggested in [Va2, 1.6.2] of using Tate twists in order to get only non-negative slopes. By the Newton polygon of a latticed isocrystal (M, φ) we mean the Newton polygon of $(M[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$.

The set (often viewed as a matrix algebra over R) of $m \times m$ matrices with coefficients in R is denoted by $M_m(R)$; the group of invertible such matrices is denoted by $GL_m(R)$. If $pR = \{0\}$ and $B \in M_m(R)$, then $B^{[p]}$ denotes the matrix whose entries are p-powers of entries of B.

Most common we avoid using “an index to another index”; for instance, if G_1 (resp. G^1) is a reductive $\mathbb{Q}$-group, then its extension to $\mathbb{Q}_p$ (resp. its derived subgroup) is usually denoted by $G_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (resp. by $G^{1\mathrm{der}}$) and not by $G_{1_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ (resp. by $G^{1^{\mathrm{der}}}$).

For the theories of healthy schemes and of different extension properties (like EP, EEP, SEP, etc.) see [Va2, 3.2.1-3]. The expression “with respect to” is abbreviated as w.r.t. For minimal weights we refer to [Bou3, ch. 8, §7.3], to [Sa] and to [De2, p. 261]. For the theory of well positioned families of tensors we refer to [Va2, 4.3.4-17] (see also AE.3 for the correction of [Va2, 4.3.6 3)]); we use freely the terminology of [Va2, 4.3.4].

2.2. Fontaine categories and Shimura crystals. In what follows we introduce a language to be consistently used in §2-14 as well as in the subsequent papers (like [Va3-12]). It is an “interplay” between the general, abstract contexts of Fontaine categories and the particular, concrete contexts which have direct applications to the study of Shimura varieties. Let k be an arbitrary perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$.

2.2.1. Basic definitions and few comments. **a)** Let $r \in \mathbb{Q}$. An isocrystal (M, φ) over k is said to be r-symmetric, if $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $r - \alpha$ is a slope of it, $r + \alpha$ is also a slope of it of the same multiplicity.

b) We say that a k-scheme X_k:

– has the ALP property, if the only open subscheme of it containing all its $\bar{k}$-valued points is X_k itself;

– is an almost global (to be abbreviated as AG) k-scheme, if for any connected component $\mathcal{C}$ of it there is a partition of the reduced scheme $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{red}}$ in a finite number of reduced, locally closed subschemes, each stratum being an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale cover of a k-scheme of finite type.

ALP stands for “algebraic points”. The ALP property is stable under pro-étale covers; so any AG k-scheme has the ALP property.

c) Let R be a regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-algebra. We have in mind mainly the case when $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$ has the ALP property (like the case when $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$ is a local or an AG k-scheme); but for the sake of generality we do not assume we are dealing just with such a case. Let Φ_R be a Frobenius lift of R (resp. of $R^\wedge$).

A finitely generated projective R-module (resp. $R^\wedge$-module) M, together with a decreasing filtration $(F^i(M))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of it by direct summands and a Φ_R-linear endomorphism

$$\varphi : M[\frac{1}{p}] \to M[\frac{1}{p}],$$

is said to be a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ if for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, φ restricted to $F^i(M)$ is of the form $p^i\varphi_i$, with

$$\varphi_i : F^i(M) \to M,$$

and the R-linear (resp. $R^\wedge$-linear) map

$$\varphi_{\mathbb{Z}} : \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{F}^i(M) \otimes_{R\ \Phi_R} R \to M$$

(resp. $\varphi_{\mathbb{Z}} : \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{F}^i(M)\otimes_{R^\wedge}{}_{\Phi_R}R^\wedge \to M$), whose restriction to the summand $\tilde{F}^i(M)\otimes_R {}_{\Phi_R}R$ (resp. to $\tilde{F}^i(M)\otimes_{R^\wedge}{}_{\Phi_R}R^\wedge$) acts as $\varphi_i\otimes 1$, is an isomorphism; here, for $i\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{F}^i(M)$ is a direct supplement of $F^{i+1}(M)$ in $F^i(M)$. We denote such a p-divisible object by a triple

$$\mathfrak{C} := (M, (F^i(M))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}, \varphi).$$

For any $n\in\mathbb{N}$, M/p^nM together with the filtration $(F^i(M)/p^nF^i(M))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of it and the induced family of Φ_R-linear maps $(\varphi_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an object of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ (we recall, cf. 2.1, that $\tilde{F}^i(M) = \{0\}$ for i very big or very small); here we still denote by φ_i its reduction mod p^n. We denote this object by $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$ and we call it the truncation mod p^n of $\mathfrak{C}$; often, for the sake of convenience, as φ and $F^i(M)$'s determine φ_i's, we denote it also by the triple

$$(M/p^nM, (F^i(M)/p^nF^i(M))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}, \varphi)$$

instead of the triple $(M/p^nM, (F^i(M)/p^nF^i(M))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}, (\varphi_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}})$; warning: here we do not think of φ as some reduction modulo some power of p. We refer to M as the R-module (resp. the $R^\wedge$-module) underlying $\mathfrak{C}$ and to M/p^nM as the R/p^nR-module underlying $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$. We refer to $(F^i(M))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ as the filtration underlying $\mathfrak{C}$, etc. Similarly, we speak about the (filtered) module or sheaf (of modules) underlying an object of any Fontaine category and about the truncation mod p^n of any such object; if an object is isomorphic to its truncation mod p^n, we say it is annihilated by p^n. If $F^0(M) = M$, then φ takes M into M and we refer to it as a Frobenius endomorphism of M and we write $\varphi : M \to M$. If $F^0(M) \neq M$, then we refer to φ as the Frobenius endomorphism of $M[\frac{1}{p}]$.

The notations $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ and $\mathcal{MF}(R^\wedge)$ always denote the same category. Accordingly, if M is a projective R-module, we identify this p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}$ with the one obtained from it by the extension of scalars from R to $R^\wedge$; in other words, we identify $\mathfrak{C}$ with the p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}_{R^\wedge} := (M\otimes_R R^\wedge, (F^i(M)\otimes_R R^\wedge)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}, \varphi\otimes 1)$, the Frobenius lift of $R^\wedge$ being the p-adic completion of Φ_R. However, as we do not want to lose the information on $\mathfrak{C}$ of being "algebraizable", we always prefer to work with $\mathfrak{C}$ (resp. with $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$) instead of $\mathfrak{C}_{R^\wedge}$ (resp. of $\mathfrak{C}_{R^\wedge}/p^n\mathfrak{C}_{R^\wedge}$).

If $\mathfrak{C}_1 = (M_1, (F^i(M_1))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}, \varphi^1)$ is another such p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ with M_1 an R-module (resp. an $R^\wedge$-module), then by a morphism from $\mathfrak{C}$ to $\mathfrak{C}_1$, we mean an R-linear map $q : M \to M_1\otimes_R R^\wedge$ (resp., when M and M_1 are $R^\wedge$-modules, we mean an $R^\wedge$-linear map $q : M \to M_1$) respecting the filtrations and Frobenius endomorphisms. Notation:

$$\mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C}_1.$$

The $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of morphisms from $\mathfrak{C}$ to $\mathfrak{C}_1$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_1)$. We get the category $p-\mathcal{MF}(R)$ of p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$; it is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear category.

Warning: a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ is not a particular type of object of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ (of course, it is an object of $p-\mathcal{MF}(R)$); it is (i.e. it can be identified with) a suitable $\mathbb{N}$-projective system of objects of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$. In other words, we can identify (cf. definitions) $\mathfrak{C}$ with the naturally obtained $\mathbb{N}$-projective system defined by $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$. So the category $p-\mathcal{MF}(R)$ is equivalent to a full subcategory of the category $\mathbb{N}-pro-\mathcal{MF}(R)$

of $\mathbb{N}$-projective systems of objects of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$; we rarely use this equivalence, as we think the notations involving projective R-modules (resp. $R^\wedge$-modules) are much simpler than the ones involving $\mathbb{N}$-projective systems.

If moreover we fix a family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ of the F^0-filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixed by φ, then we speak about a p-divisible object with tensors of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$. Notation: a quadruple $(M,(F^i(M))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}},\varphi,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ or a pair $(\mathfrak{C},(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$.

We also speak about p-divisible objects (with tensors) of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$, of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[a,b]}(R)$ or of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla(R)$, or about p-divisible objects (with tensors) of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(X)$, etc., with $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$, $b\geq a$, and with X a regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-scheme (resp. a regular, formally smooth p-adic formal scheme over $W(k)$). Warning: in this last case (pertaining to X) the tensors are global sections of sheaves of the form $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}\mathbb{Q}$, with $\mathcal{F}$ a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X^\wedge}$-sheaf (resp. $\mathcal{O}_X$-sheaf) of locally finite rank. Without specific references, we always assume that either X itself or its p-adic completion is equipped with a Frobenius lift or we are in a situation where the standard gluing process of [Fa1, 2.3] applies, i.e. we are in the context of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[a,b]}(X)$, with $b-a\leq p-1$ (however, see 2.2.4 C and D for natural extensions). Warning: as the connections involving objects of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla(X)$ are p-nilpotent (see [Fa1, p. 34]), the restriction $p>2$ in (the proof of) [Fa1, 2.3] is not needed; so in what follows, we refer to loc. cit. and its proof without extra comment even for $p=2$. We also speak about $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear categories defined by such p-divisible objects (resp. by $\mathbb{N}$-projective systems of objects): $p-\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$ (resp. $\mathbb{N}-pro-\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$), etc. When we speak about a p-divisible object with tensors of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla(R)$, etc., we require the tensors to be (as well) horizontal, i.e. to be annihilated by the (natural extension to $\mathcal{T}(M)$ of the) connection involved. We speak as well about truncations of p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$, or of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla(R)$, etc.

We recall from 2.1, that whenever we deal with some (object or p-divisible object of a) Fontaine category involving a regular, formally smooth (p-adic formal) scheme Y over $W(k)$, we always assume $\Omega_{Y_k/k}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y_k}$-sheaf of locally finite rank. Warning: as we allowed R (or X) to be regular, formally smooth (and not only smooth) over $W(k)$, some precautions are in order. In the case of a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla(R)$, we work with the p-adic completion $\Omega^\wedge_{R/W(k)}$ of the R-module of relative differentials $\Omega_{R/W(k)}$ of R over $W(k)$; the same applies in the context of X. For instance, if $R=W(k)[[z_1,\ldots,z_m]]$, $m\in\mathbb{N}$, and if M is a free R-module of finite rank, then all connections

$$\nabla: M\to M\otimes_{W(k)}\Omega_{R/W(k)}$$

to be considered, factor through $M\otimes_R\bar{\Omega}_{R/W(k)}$, where $\bar{\Omega}_{R/W(k)}$ is the free R-submodule of $\Omega_{R/W(k)}$ generated by dz_1,..., dz_m; we have a natural identification $\bar{\Omega}_{R/W(k)}=\Omega^\wedge_{R/W(k)}$.

A p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla(R)$ is denoted as a quadruple $(M,(F^i(M))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}},\varphi,\nabla)$ or as a pair $(\mathfrak{C},\nabla)$, where $\mathfrak{C}$ is as above; warning: due to the conventions of the previous paragraph, we always consider M to be an $R^\wedge$-module.

We use freely tensor products of (p-divisible) objects of some Fontaine category, whenever they are defined (when we are dealing with a Fontaine category of objects involving filtrations in a fixed range, like $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$, then such tensor products might be defined just in a "larger" Fontaine category, like $\mathcal{MF}(R)$). For instance, if above M and M_1 are $R^\wedge$-modules, $\mathfrak{C}\otimes_{R^\wedge}\mathfrak{C}_1$ is the p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ whose underlying filtered $R^\wedge$-module is $M\otimes_{R^\wedge} M_1$ endowed with the tensor product filtration, the Frobenius endomorphism of $M[\frac{1}{p}]\otimes_{R^\wedge[\frac{1}{p}]} M_1[\frac{1}{p}] = M\otimes_{R^\wedge} M_1[\frac{1}{p}]$ being $\varphi\otimes\varphi^1$.

We speak about a principal quasi-polarization of a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ or of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$. For instance, if $\mathfrak{C}=(N,F^1,\varphi)$ is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ with N an $R^\wedge$-module, the dual $\mathfrak{C}^*$ of $\mathfrak{C}$ is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,0]}(R)$ and so $\mathfrak{C}^*(1)$ is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$; so by a principal quasi-polarization of $\mathfrak{C}$, we mean an isomorphism

$$i_{\mathfrak{C}}:\mathfrak{C}\tilde{\to}\mathfrak{C}^*(1)$$

producing a perfect alternating form

$$p_{\mathfrak{C}}:\mathfrak{C}\otimes_{R^\wedge}\mathfrak{C}\to R^\wedge(1)$$

($p_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a morphism in the category $p-\mathcal{MF}_{[0,2]}(R)$). Here, for $m\in\mathbb{Z}$,

$$R^\wedge(m):=R^\wedge\otimes_{W(k)}W(k)(m)$$

is the p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[m,m]}(R)$ defined by the $R^\wedge$-module $R^\wedge$ and the Φ_R-linear map of $R^\wedge[\frac{1}{p}]$ which takes 1 into p^m. Usually we identify canonically $i_{\mathfrak{C}}$ with $p_{\mathfrak{C}}$; we refer to the pair $(\mathfrak{C},p_{\mathfrak{C}})$ or to the quadruple (N,F^1,φ,p_N) (with $p_N:N\otimes_{R^\wedge}N\to R^\wedge$ defining $p_{\mathfrak{C}}$) as a principally quasi-polarized p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$. We often write $p_N:N\otimes_{R^\wedge}N\to R^\wedge(1)$. The truncation mod p^n of $R^\wedge(m)$ is also denoted as $R/p^nR(m)$.

Similarly, we speak about principally quasi-polarized objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ or of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$; most commonly we get such principally quasi-polarized objects by taking truncations (modulo some integral positive power of p) of principally quasi-polarized p-divisible objects (of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ or of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$). The last two paragraphs apply as well in the context of X.

d) A p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}=(M,(F^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)},\varphi)$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$ is said to be cyclic diagonalizable if $M\neq\{0\}$ and there is a $W(k)$-basis $\mathcal{B}=\{e_i|i\in A\}$ of M, with $A:=S(1,\dim_{W(k)}(M))$, such that the following two conditions hold:

i) $\forall i\in S(a,b)$, a subset of $\mathcal{B}$ is a $W(k)$-basis of $F^i(M)$;

ii) there is a permutation π of A with the property that $\varphi(e_i)=p^{n(i)}e_{\pi(i)}$, $\forall i\in A$, where $n(i)\in S(a,b)$ is uniquely determined by requesting $e_i\in F^{n(i)}(M)\setminus F^{n(i)+1}(M)$.

When $\pi=1_A$ we drop the word cyclic. If π is an $|A|$-cycle, then we often replace the word cyclic by circular.

Similarly, we speak about the truncation mod p^n of $\mathfrak{C}$ of being cyclic (or circular) diagonalizable; keeping i) we just need to replace in ii) the equality $\varphi(e_i)=p^{n(i)}e_{\pi(i)}$ by the requirement that $\varphi_{n(i)}(e_i)$ mod p^n is $e_{\pi(i)}$ mod p^n; here φ_i's are obtained from φ as in c).

e) Let O be a DVR and let u be a uniformizer of it. If S is an O-scheme, then an $\mathcal{O}_S$-sheaf is said to have the DC property (here DC stands for direct cyclic) if it is a finite direct sum of $\mathcal{O}_S$-sheaves of the form $\mathcal{O}_S/u^n\mathcal{O}_S$, with $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Similarly, if R is an O-algebra, then an R-module is said to have the DC property if as an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)}$-sheaf it has the DC property. If we allow n to be ∞ as well (with $\mathcal{O}_S/u^\infty\mathcal{O}_S := \mathcal{O}_S$), then we speak about the EDC property, with E standing for extended.

f) We refer to the morphism $\mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C}_1$ of c). We denote it by $\mathfrak{q}$. We assume M and M_1 are $R^\wedge$-modules. $\mathfrak{q}$ is called an epimorphism or a monomorphism if q is so. $\mathfrak{q}$ is called an isogeny if q becomes an isomorphism by inverting p and moreover there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q(M)$ contains p^mM_1. If q after inverting p is an isomorphism, then we define $\mathrm{Coker}(\mathfrak{q})$ as follows. We can assume X_k is connected. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $M_2 := M_1/q(M)$ is p-adically complete. Moreover, M_2/p^nM_2 locally has the DC property (being the underlying $R^\wedge$-module of $\mathrm{Coker}(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C}_1/p^n\mathfrak{C}_1)$, cf. [Fa1, p. 31-33]). So, as $M_2[\frac{1}{p}] = \{0\}$ and as X_k is connected, using a Teichmüller lift of $\mathrm{Spec}(R^\wedge)$ with values in the Witt ring of a perfect field, we get that we can assume $p^nM_2 = \{0\}$. So we take:

$$\mathrm{Coker}(\mathfrak{q}) := \mathrm{Coker}(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C}_1/p^n\mathfrak{C}_1);$$

it is well defined independently of the choice of n (subject to $p^nM_2 = \{0\}$). The same applies to all other Fontaine categories of p-divisible objects.

Similarly, if $\mathfrak{C}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{C}_1$) is a p-divisible object (resp. an object annihilated by p^n) of the same Fontaine category FC, then the torsion $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_1) := \mathrm{Hom}_{FC}(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_1)$$

is well defined independently of the choice of n (subject to the mentioned property) and any element $\mathfrak{q}$ of it is referred as a morphism $\mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C}_1$. If at the level of underlying modules $\mathfrak{q}$ is an epimorphism, we say $\mathfrak{q}$ is an epimorphism and we refer to $\mathfrak{C}$ as a lift of $\mathfrak{C}_1$; if moreover the resulting epimorphism $\mathfrak{C}/p\mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C}_1$ is an isomorphism, we refer to $\mathfrak{C}$ as a strict lift of $\mathfrak{C}_1$.

2.2.1.0. The crystalline, contravariant Dieudonné functor in the language of Fontaine categories. The initial motivation for using (p-divisible objects of) Fontaine categories, stems from the existence of the crystalline, contravariant Dieudonné functor $\mathbb{D}$. Let X, R and $\Phi_R : R^\wedge \to R^\wedge$ be as in 2.2.1 c).

We have a contravariant, $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear functor

$$p - DG(X) \to p - \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X).$$

Its existence is a particular case of Grothendieck–Messing theory (see [Me, ch. 4-5]), via a natural limit process; in other words, the functor associates to a p-divisible group D

over X the p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(X)$ defined naturally by the $\mathbb{N}$-projective system of objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(X)$, whose object corresponding to $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is annihilated by p^n and is naturally defined (via reduction mod p^n) by the dual of the Lie algebra of the universal vector extension of the pull back (warning!) of $D[p^{n+1}]$ to $X \times_{W(k)} W_{n+1}(k)$. Such a functor is also constructed in [BBM, ch. 3]. In [BM, ch. 3] it is shown the compatibility of the two constructions (warning: [BM, 3.2.11] applies here even for $p = 2$ due to the fact that we are not dealing with crystals on $\mathrm{CRIS}(X_{W_{n+1}(k)}/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$ but just with locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X_{W_{n+1}(k)}}$-sheaves obtained by evaluated them at $X_{W_{n+1}(k)}$). Following [BBM, ch. 3], this functor is denoted by $\mathbb{D}$.

We recall briefly the construction of $\mathbb{D}$, in the wider (in fact intermediary) context of $p - FF(X)$, i.e. of finite, flat, commutative group schemes of p-power order over X. Let G and $\tilde{G}$ be such group schemes. We first assume that $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R^\wedge)$, that G (resp. $\tilde{G}$) is a closed subgroup of an abelian scheme A (resp. $\tilde{A}$) over $R^\wedge$ and that there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that both G and $\tilde{G}$ are annihilated by p^m. Let $A_1 := A/G$. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{C}_1$) be the p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(R)$ naturally defined by A (resp. by A_1). We recall that the underlying $R^\wedge$-module of $\mathfrak{C}$ is $H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(A/R^\wedge)$ and is naturally equipped with a Φ_R-linear endomorphism, that the filtration of $H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(A/R^\wedge)$ is the Hodge filtration, and that the connection on $H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(A/R^\wedge)$ is the p-adic completion of the Gauss–Manin connection. Similarly, we get $\mathfrak{C}_1$.

Now (cf. [Fo] for the particular case $R = W(k)$ and cf. [BBM, 3.1.2] for the general case; see also [BM, p. 190-1])

$$\mathbb{D}(G) = (M, F^1, \Phi_0, \Phi_1, \nabla)$$

is defined as the cokernel (see 2.2.1 f)) of the isogeny $\mathfrak{C}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ associated to the natural isogeny $A \twoheadrightarrow A_1$. M is annihilated by p^m.

Similarly we define $\mathbb{D}(\tilde{G})$. If $f_G : G \to \tilde{G}$ is a homomorphism, then the homomorphism $(1_G, f_G) : G \to G \times \tilde{G}$ is a closed emebedding. So $\mathbb{D}(f_G) : \mathbb{D}(\tilde{G}) \to \mathbb{D}(G)$ is defined naturally via the epimorphism

$$\mathbb{D}(G) \oplus \mathbb{D}(\tilde{G}) = \mathbb{D}(G \times \tilde{G}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{D}(G)$$

associated naturally to $(1_G, f_G)$; here $\mathbb{D}(G \times \tilde{G})$ is computed via the product embedding of $G \times \tilde{G}$ in $A \times \tilde{A}_1$. One checks that $\mathbb{D}(G)$ and $\mathbb{D}(f_G)$ are well defined (i.e. do not depend on the choices made) in the standard diagonal way. For instance, if G is a closed subgroup of another abelian scheme B over $R^\wedge$, then by embedding G diagonally in $A \times_{R^\wedge} B$, we get (via standard arguments involving the snake lemma in the context of any one of the two projections of $A \times_{R^\wedge} B$ onto its factors) that $\mathbb{D}(G)$ defined via $A \times_{R^\wedge} B$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{D}(G)$ defined via A or B.

To define $\mathbb{D}(G)$ in general, we use Raynaud's theorem of [BBM, 3.1.1]: locally in the Zariski topology of X, G is a subgroup of an abelian scheme and is annihilated by p^m for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$; so $\mathbb{D}(G)$ is defined as above, via standard arguments of gluing (based on the previous paragraph).

Warning: in [BBM], [BM] or [dJ1-2] the language of Fontaine categories is not used. Referring to the case $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R^\wedge)$, the mentioned places (with [BBM, ch. 3] as the main reference) are using instead of the Φ_R-linear map $\Phi_1 : F^1 \to M$, a Verschiebung $R^\wedge$-linear map $V : M \to M \otimes_{R^\wedge\ \Phi_R} R^\wedge$; identifying Φ_1 with an $R^\wedge$-linear map $F^1 \otimes_{R\ \Phi_R} R^\wedge \to M$, we have

$$(VPHIONE) \qquad\qquad V \circ \Phi_1(x) = x,$$

$\forall x \in F^1 \otimes_{R^\wedge\ \Phi_R} R^\wedge$. Similarly, identifying Φ_0 with an $R^\wedge$-linear map $M \otimes_{R\ \Phi_R} R^\wedge \to M$, we have

$$(VPHIZERO) \qquad\qquad V \circ \Phi_0(x) = px,$$

$\forall x \in M \otimes_{R^\wedge\ \Phi_R} R^\wedge$. As M is generated by the images of Φ_1 and Φ_0, we get:

Fact 1. *V is uniquely determined by Φ_0 and Φ_1.*

So we feel it is appropriate to denote $(M, F^1, \Phi_0, \Phi_1, \nabla)$ by $\mathbb{D}(G)$; so in what follows, (the functor) $\mathbb{D}$ is used for $p - FF(X)$ as well as for $p - DG(X)$.

If now D is a p-divisible group over X, then $\mathbb{D}(D)$ is the $\mathbb{N}$-projective system defined naturally by $\mathbb{D}(D[p^n])$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$: one checks that the underlying $\mathcal{O}_X/p^n\mathcal{O}_X$-sheaf of $\mathbb{D}(D[p^n])$ is projective of rank equal to the rank of D and $\mathbb{D}(i_n)$ is an epimorphism, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$; here $i_n : D[p^n] \hookrightarrow D[p^{n+1}]$ is the natural inclusion. Argument: based on [Fa1, 2.1 ii)], using Teichmüller lifts (of open, affine subschemes of X endowed with a Frobenius lift) it is enough to consider the case when $X = \mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$. But this case is a consequence of the classical Dieudonné theory. We get the first sentence of:

Fact 2. *$\mathbb{D}(D)/p^n\mathbb{D}(D)$ is naturally identifiable with $\mathbb{D}(D[p^n])$. It depends only on the pull back of $D[p^{n+1}]$ to $X_{W_{n+1}(k)}$.*

The second sentence follows from the above part referring to [Me] or to the connection between V and Φ_1.

The language to be used in what follows: to any p-divisible group D over X (resp. over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ or $\mathrm{Spec}(R^\wedge)$) it corresponds (or it is associated) a p-divisible object $\mathbb{D}(D)$ of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(X)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(R)$); similarly, we say: to a finite, flat, commutative group scheme G of p-power order over X (resp. over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ or $\mathrm{Spec}(R^\wedge)$) it corresponds (or it is associated) an object $\mathbb{D}(G)$ of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(X)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(R)$).

Whenever D is uniquely determined by $\mathbb{D}(D)$, we also say D is associated to $\mathbb{D}(D)$; [BM, §4] and [Me, ch. 4-5] can be combined to give plenty of situations, with $p > 2$, in which D is uniquely determined by $\mathbb{D}(D)$.

2.2.1.1. Remarks. 1) One might wonder if the terminology p-divisible objects is the right one. Our justification is: the below philosophies of 2) and of 3.6.18.5.1. We do think that:

– the terminology "Barsotti–Tate objects" would have complicated very much the terminology (for instance, later on –see 2.2.8 3)– we introduce Shimura p-divisible objects);

– the terminology "formal objects" would have forced us to always be in a formal context and moreover it would have not allowed us to speak about p-adic formal schemes as a way of emphasizing the type of formal schemes we are mostly dealing with;

– the terminology "torsion free objects" offers some advantages (i.e. in some sense it is more accurate) but using it the results below would have been significantly less uniformly stated;

– the terminology of [FL, §1] used for the case of $W(k)$ is neither practical nor accurate for the general cases of R's and X's as in 2.2.1 c) (that is why [Fa1] speaks just about objects).

2) If $p > 2$ and X is the p-adic completion of a smooth $W(k)$-scheme, then [Fa1, 7.1] can be restated as follows. The contravariant, $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear functor

$$\mathbb{D} : p - FF(X) \to \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$$

is an antiequivalence of categories. This implies: $\mathbb{D} : p - DG(X) \to p - \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$ is an antiequivalence of categories. So occasionally, we refer to $\mathbb{D}^{-1}$.

3) In general, the categories of objects or p-divisible objects introduced in 2.2.1 c) do depend on the choice of Frobenius lifts. However, the category $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,p-1]}(X)$ does not depend (up to canonical isomorphisms) on such choices, cf. [Fa1, 2.3]. One of the main advantages of working with p-divisible objects instead of objects is:

Fact. *$p - \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,b]}(X)$ (as well as $p - \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$) does not depend (up to canonical isomorphism) on the choice of a Frobenius lift of X.*

This is a consequence of the fact that, when we have no p-torsion involved, canonical isomorphisms can be constructed as in loc. cit. Argument: we can assume $a = 0$ and so, to check that some gluing maps (constructed as in [De3, 1.1.3.4] using Taylor series) do define isomorphisms, we can work locally in the faithfully flat topology; for the case of $X = \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[[x_1, ..., x_m]])$ we refer to loc. cit.

We always identify $p - \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,b]}(X)$ with a full subcategory of the category of filtered F-crystals on X_k (to be compared with the case of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,b]}(X)$ of 2.1); here $b \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

4) Any cyclic diagonalizable p-divisible object is a direct sum of circular ones. Moreover, any circular diagonalizable p-divisible object has a unique slope.

5) $\mathcal{MF}(X)$ and $\mathcal{MF}(X^{\wedge})$ denote the same category of objects; the same applies to all other Fontaine categories of objects or of p-divisible objects. Moreover, we always identify $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,b]}(X)$) with a full subcategory of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a',b']}(X)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a',b']}(X)$) and of $\mathcal{MF}(X)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$), where $a' \leq a \leq b \leq b'$ are integers. Also, we always identify $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ with $\mathcal{MF}(\mathrm{Spec}(R))$, etc. Warning: the natural functor

$$FORG : \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,b]}(X) \to \mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(X)$$

which "forgets connections" is not full in general.

6) All Fontaine categories of 2.2.1 c) involving objects are abelian, cf. [Fa1, Cor. of p. 33] (it extends automatically to the context involving connections). This is not true for the context of p-divisible objects (the problem being with cokernels). However, it is trivial to see that all Fontaine categories of 2.2.1 c) involving p-divisible objects have kernels, images and arbitrary finite fibre products. Moreover, if a, b, R and $\Phi_R : R^\wedge \to R^\wedge$ are as in 2.2.1 c), we have:

Fact. *Locally in the Zariski topology of R, any object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$ is annihilated by p^n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and is the cokernel of an isogeny between two p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$.*

Proof: Let $\mathfrak{C}_1 := (M, (F^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)}, (\varphi_i)_{i\in S(a,b)})$ be an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$. We choose a direct summand $\tilde{F}^i(M)$ of $F^{i+1}(M)$ in $F^i(M)$, cf. [Fa1, 2.1 i)], $i \in S(a,b)$. Localizing, we can assume $M = \oplus_{j\in S(1,m)} R/p^{n_j}R$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$ and all n_j's in $\mathbb{N}$, cf. [Fa1, 2.1 ii)]. So we can take $n := \max\{n_j | j \in S(1,m)\}$.

Localizing further on we can assume all $\tilde{F}^i(M)$'s have the DC property. The argument goes as follows. $\tilde{F}^i(M)/p\tilde{F}^i(M)$'s are projective R/pR-modules and so localizing we can assume they are free. We work with a fixed $i \in S(a,b)$. By induction on n, we can assume $p\tilde{F}^i(M)$ has the DC property. Let $\{e_i^1, ..., e_i^{m_i}\}$, with $m_i \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, be a set of elements of $\tilde{F}^i(M)$ such that $p\tilde{F}^i(M)$ is a direct sum of the cyclic R-modules generated by pe_i^j, $j \in S(1,m_i)$, and the annihilator of each such pe_i^j is an ideal of R generated by a positive, integral power of p. This implies that the R/pR-submodule of $\tilde{F}^i(M)/p\tilde{F}^i(M)$ generated by the images of e_i^j's is free of rank m_i; it is easy to see that is as well a direct summand. So let $\{e_i^{m_i+1}, ..., e_i^{q_i}\}$ be a set of elements of $\tilde{F}^i(M)$ such that the images of e_i^j, $j \in S(1,q_i)$, in $\tilde{F}^i(M)/p\tilde{F}^i(M)$ are forming an R/pR-basis of this free R/pR-module. By replacing each e_i^j, with $j \in S(m_i+1,q_i)$, by a linear combination of e_i^s's, with $s \in S(1,m_i)$, we can assume $pe_i^j = 0$, $\forall j \in S(m_i+1,q_i)$. Let $s(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $p^{s(i,j)}R$ is the annihilator of e_i^j, $j \in S(1,q_i)$. We get: $\tilde{F}^i(M)$ is (isomorphic to) the direct sum $\oplus_{j\in S(1,q_i)}(R/p^{s(i,j)}R)e_i^j$.

We now consider the free $R^\wedge$-module M_R having as an $R^\wedge$-basis a set formed by elements f_i^j, $i \in S(a,b)$ and $j \in S(1,q_i)$. We consider the $R^\wedge$-epimorphism

$$q : M_R \twoheadrightarrow M$$

that takes each f_i^j into e_i^j. For $i \in S(a,b)$, let $F^i(M_R)$ be the $R^\wedge$-submodule of M_R generated by those $f_{i'}^j$, with $i' \in S(i,b)$ and $j \in S(1,q_{i'})$. $F^i(M_R)\cap \operatorname{Ker}(q)$ is a free $R^\wedge$-module having $\{p^{s(i',j)}f_{i'}^j | i' \in S(i,b) \,\text{and}\, j \in S(1,q_{i'})\}$ as an $R^\wedge$-basis. We consider a Φ_R-linear endomorphism φ of $M_R[\frac{1}{p}]$ that takes f_i^j into $p^i g_i^j$, where $g_i^j \in M_R$ is an arbitrary element with the property that $q(g_i^j) = \varphi_i(e_i^j)$. The elements g_i^j, $i \in S(a,b)$ and $j \in S(1,q_i)$, generate M_R, as this is so mod p (q mod p being an isomorphism). So the triple $(M_R, (F^i(M_R))_{i\in S(a,b)}, \varphi)$ is a p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$. Moreover, from the very construction q defines a morphism $\mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C}_1$ and so (cf. also on the above part on $\mathrm{Ker}(q)$) $\mathrm{Ker}(q)$ is the underlying $R^\wedge$-module of a p-divisible subobject $\mathfrak{C}_2$ of $\mathfrak{C}$. Obviously $\mathfrak{C}_1$ is the cokernel of the natural inclusion $\mathfrak{C}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$. This proves the Fact.

From the part of the proof referring to $\tilde{F}^i(M)$ having the DC property, we get:

Corollary. *An R-module has the DC property iff R/pR and pR have it.*

Exercise. Show that the kernel of a morphism from a p-divisible object into an object of the same Fontaine category FC is well defined and is a p-divisible object of FC. Hint: use the fact that FC is an abelian category and the above proof.

7) If FC is a Fontaine category of objects, we denote by $FC[p^n]$ (resp. by $p - FC$) its full subcategory formed by objects annihilated by p^n (resp. the category of p-divisible objects of FC). We refer to it as a Fontaine category of objects annihilated by p^n or as the truncation mod p^n of FC (resp. as the Fontaine category of p-divisible objects of FC). The operation of taking truncations mod p^n can be viewed as a functor

$$TR_n(FC) : p - FC \to FC[p^n].$$

Corollary. *If $FC = \mathcal{MF}(R)$ with R local, then $TR_1(FC)$ is essentially surjective.*

Proof: The proof of 6) implies $TR_1(FC)$ is surjective on objects; but its arguments can be used as well for morphisms. This ends the proof.

We assume X_k has a finite number of connected components. Let FC be any one of the following four categories: $\mathcal{MF}(X)$, $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla(X)$, $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(X)$ or $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[a,b]}(X)$. Let $\mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{C} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_1$ be a monomorphism of $p - FC$. We have:

Fact. *There is a canonical epimorphism $\mathfrak{e} : \mathfrak{C}_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_2$ of $p - FC$ such that $\mathfrak{e} \circ \mathfrak{q} = 0$ and the factorization $\mathfrak{C} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\mathfrak{e})$ is an isogeny.*

Proof: Based on the canonical aspect of the Fact, we can work locally and so we can assume $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$, with R local and as in 2.2.1 c). The proof in the context involving connections is entirely the same. So not to introduce extra notations we assume we are in the context of $\mathfrak{q}$ of 2.2.1 c), with M and M_1 as $R^\wedge$-modules and with q a monomorphism. Let $M_1' := \{x \in M_1 | p^n x \in q(M) \,\mathrm{for\,some}\, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The quotient module

$$M_2 := M_1/M_1'$$

is a locally free $R^\wedge$-module. The argument for this goes as follows. $M_1/q(M)$ is p-adically complete and so is the $\mathbb{N}$-projective limit of $M_1/p^nM_1 + q(M)$'s, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $M_1/p^nM_1 + q(M)$ is the underlying R-module of the kernel of the truncation mod p^n of $\mathfrak{q}$ and so it has the DC property. We write it as the direct sum of a free R/p^nR-module $M_1(n)$ and of an $R/p^{n-1}R$-module $N_1(n)$. There is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N_1(n) = N_1(n_0)$, $\forall n \geq n_0$: this can be seen using Teichmüller lifts (one for each connected component of $\mathrm{Spec}(R^\wedge)$; the Fact is trivial for Witt rings as we can argue at the level of lattices). So for $n \geq n_0$, we have $M_1(n+1)/p^nM_1(n+1) = M_1(n)$. So M_2 is the projective limit of $M_1(n)$'s and so is a free $R^\wedge$-module. We also get that we can identify $M_1/q(M)$ with $N_1(n_0) \oplus M_2$. The same arguments apply for filtrations: the image of $F^i(M_1)$ in $M_1/q(M) = N_1(n_0) \oplus M_2$ is a direct sum of a direct summand of $N_1(n_0)$ and of a direct summand $F^i(M_2)$ of M_2, $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Φ_R-linear endomorphism of the image of $F^i(M)$ in $M_1/q(M)$ naturally defined by $p^{-i}\varphi^1$ takes $F^i(M_2)$ into M_2 (as φ^1 becomes an isomorphism after inverting p). It is easy to see that this implies that M_2 together with $(F^i(M_2))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and the Φ_R-linear endomorphism of $M_2[\frac{1}{p}]$ defined naturally by φ^1 is an object $\mathfrak{C}_2$ of $p-FC$ and moreover the $R^\wedge$-epimorphism $M_1 \twoheadrightarrow M_2$ defines an epimorphism $\mathfrak{e} : \mathfrak{C}_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_2$ of $p-FC$ having the required properties. This proves the Fact.

From this Fact and 6) we deduce that the category

$$isog-p-FC := p-FC \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$$

of isogeny classes of p-divisible objects of FC is an abelian, $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear category.

2.2.1.2. A result of Wintenberger. We recall a simplified form of the main result of [Wi]. If $(M, (F^i(M))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}, \varphi, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ is a p-divisible object with tensors of $\mathcal{MF}(W(k))$, then (cf. loc. cit. and the convention of 2.1 pertaining to it) there is a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to GL(M)$ such that:

– the image of μ fixes t_α, $\forall\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$;

– it produces a direct sum decomposition $M = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{F}^i$, with $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acting through it on $\tilde{F}^i$ as the multiplication with β^{-i}, and with $\tilde{F}^i$ as a direct supplement of $F^{i+1}(M)$ in $F^i(M)$.

2.2.1.3. Pull backs. We consider a $W(k)$-morphism $m : X_1 \to X$, where each X or X_1 is either a regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-scheme or is a regular, formally smooth, p-adic formal scheme over $W(k)$; warning: if X is a formal scheme, then X_1 is also (automatically) a formal scheme.

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any object (resp. p-divisible object) $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,a+p-1]}(X)$, we can define its pull back $m^*(\mathfrak{C})$ (to X_1 or through m). The construction of the object (resp. p-divisible object) $m^*(\mathfrak{C})$ of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X_1)$ can be obtained by entirely following the pattern of [Fa1, p. 34-35] (for the case of p-divisible objects it is somehow more convenient to follow the pattern of the top of [Fa2, p. 135] referring to $\tilde{M}$).

To be shorter, we briefly recall the construction of $m^*(\mathfrak{C})$ under the extra assumption (automatically satisfied if m is a formally closed embedding or is formally smooth, or if it factors as a composite of such morphisms), that locally in the Zariski topology of X_k and X_{1k}, we can choose Frobenius lifts of the p-adic completions of X and X_1 which are compatible with m (these are the situations we need in what follows). So, due to [Fa1, 2.3], we can assume that $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ and $X_1 = \mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ are affine schemes, p-adically complete and endowed with Frobenius lifts Φ_R and respectively Φ_{R_1} which are compatible with the natural $W(k)$-homomorphism (still denoted by m) $m : R \to R_1$. We also assume $\mathfrak{C}$ is a p-divisible object $(M, (F^i(M))_{i\in S(a,a+p-1)}, \varphi, \nabla)$: the case of an object is entirely the same. We now take

$$m^*(\mathfrak{C}) := (M \otimes_R R_1, (F^i(M) \otimes_R R_1)_{i\in S(a,a+p-1)}, \varphi \otimes 1, \nabla_1),$$

where ∇_1 is the connection on $M \otimes_R R_1$ naturally induced by ∇.

In case X and X_1 are equipped with Frobenius lifts compatible with m, then we can define in the same manner the pull back $m^*(\mathfrak{C})$ (to X_1 or through m) of any object or p-divisible of $\mathcal{MF}(X)$ or of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla(X)$.

In case $X = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ and $X_1 = \operatorname{Spec}(R_1)$ are affine $W(k)$-schemes, we also refer to such an object or p-divisible object $m^*(\mathfrak{C})$ as the extension of $\mathfrak{C}$ to R_1 or to X_1 (through m) or as the extension of $\mathfrak{C}$ through m. We also say: $m^*(\mathfrak{C})$ is induced from $\mathfrak{C}$ via the $W(k)$-homomorphism $m : R \to R_1$.

2.2.1.4. An application. Let O be a DVR of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ having index of ramification 1. Referring to the end of 2.1, we have:

Proposition. *Any regular, formally smooth O-scheme is healthy and p-healthy.*

Proof: The case $p \geq 3$ is well known, see [Va2, 3.2.2 1) and 3.2.17]: loc. cit. proves even more for such primes; but the new proof below, under above restrictions on O, works for all primes. Following the Steps of [Va2, 3.2.17], only Step B of loc. cit. needs to be redone differently. We just need to show that any p-divisible group D over U extends to a p-divisible group over $Y := \operatorname{Spec}(W(\bar{k})[[T]])$, where U is the only open subscheme of Y different from Y and such that (Y, U) is an extensible pair (see def. [Va2, 3.2.1 1)]). Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the local ring of Y which is a DVR faithfully flat over $W(\bar{k})$. Let $\mathcal{O}_1$ be a complete DVR which has an algebraically closed residue field and index of ramification 1 and which is a faithfully flat $\mathcal{O}$-algebra. From [FC, 6.2, p. 181] we get that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $D[p^n]$ extends uniquely to a finite, flat group scheme G_n over Y. We just need to show that for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence

$$(SEQ) \qquad 0 \to G_n \to G_{n+m} \to G_m \to 0$$

naturally defined by the standard short exact sequence

$$0 \to D[p^n] \to D[p^{n+m}] \to D[p^m] \to 0,$$

is in fact a short exact sequence. Let

$$(DSEQ) \qquad 0 \to \mathbb{D}(G_m) \to \mathbb{D}(G_{n+m}) \to \mathbb{D}(G_n) \to 0$$

be the complex of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(Y)$ induced by (SEQ) via 2.2.1.0. Let M_m, M_{m+n} and M_n be the underlying $W(\bar{k})[[T]]$-modules of $\mathbb{D}(G_m)$, $\mathbb{D}(G_{n+m})$ and respectively of $\mathbb{D}(G_n)$. From the proof of 2.2.1.1 6) we get: they have the DC property. We claim that the complex

$$(MOD) \qquad 0 \to M_m \to M_{n+m} \to M_n \to 0$$

of $W(\bar{k})[[T]]$-modules defining (DSEQ) is a short exact sequence. To prove this, due to [Fa1, 2.1], it is enough to check that the sequence (MODT) obtained from (MOD) by inverting T is a short exact sequence. But (MODT) over $\mathcal{O}_1$ is nothing else but the short exact sequence defined by pulling back (SEQ) to $\mathcal{O}_1$ and applying $\mathbb{D}$ (for instance, cf. the classical Dieudonné theory over the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_1$). Another way to see this is to use the arguments of 2.2.1.0 on the independence of $\mathbb{D}(G)$ on the embedding of G in an abelian scheme; over $\mathcal{O}_1$ we can use as well embeddings into p-divisible groups over $\mathcal{O}_1$ (cf. also [BM, p. 190-1]).

We conclude (DSEQ) is a short exact sequence. Using the DC property and simple arguments involving lengths of artinian $W(\bar{k})$-modules, we get that (DSEQ) mod T is as well a short exact sequence. So from the classical Dieudonné theory we get that:

- if $p > 2$, (SEQ) mod T is a short exact sequence;
- if $p = 2$, (SEQ) mod $(2, T)$ is a sort exact sequence.

Regardless of the parity of p, using Nakayama's lemma we get that G_n is a closed subgroup of G_{n+m}. This implies: $G_m = G_{n+m}/G_n$. So (SEQ) is a short exact sequence. This ends the proof.

2.2.1.4.1. Corollary. *Let (Y, U) be an extensible pair, with Y a regular, formally smooth O-scheme of dimension two. Then any short exact sequence of finite, flat, commutative group schemes of p-power order over U extends to a short exact sequence of finite, flat group schemes over Y.*

Proof: We can assume Y is as in the proof of 2.2.1.4 and so the Corollary follows from this proof.

2.2.1.4.2. Corollary. *Any regular, formally smooth $\mathbb{Z}$-scheme is healthy.*

The case $p = 2$ of 2.1.4.1-2 answers a question of P. Deligne.

2.2.1.4.3. Remark. One might wonder if in the proof of 2.2.1.4, the condition O is of index of ramification 1 is needed or not. A great part of the proof of 2.2.1.4 can be adapted (even for $p = 2$) for an arbitrary index of ramification: however, (presently) there is one obstacle. We sketch what this "obstacle" is (see §6 for precise definitions and more details). We assume $k = \bar{k}$. If V is a finite, flat, totally ramified DVR extension of $V_0 := W(k)$, then following the pattern of [Fa2, §3] we define a category $p - \widetilde{\mathcal{MF}}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_V[[T]])$ of p-divisible objects, whose underlying modules are free $R_V[[T]]$-modules of finite ranks; here R_V is a $W(k)$-algebra obtained from V in the same way as Re was constructed in [Va2, 5.2.1], starting from the choice of a uniformizer π_V of V. Here the tilde on the top of $\mathcal{MF}$ refers to the fact that F^1-filtrations of underlying $R_V[[T]]$-modules are not defined by direct summands. There is a logical passage from p-divisible objects to objects (so we get the category $\widetilde{\mathcal{MF}}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_V[[T]])$ of objects) and a similar functor $\mathbb{D}$ as in 2.2.1.0. So the "obstacle" shows up as a question:

Q. *For which morphisms of $\widetilde{\mathcal{MF}}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_V[[T]])$ can [Fa1, 2.1] be adapted?*

One approach towards answering this question is to try to associate to any morphism of $\widetilde{\mathcal{MF}}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_V[[T]])$ "a pull back" of it (via the natural $W(k)$-epimorphisms

$R_V[[T]] \twoheadrightarrow V[[T]] \twoheadrightarrow k[[T]]$) to a morphism between two objects of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(W(k)[[T]])[p]$. Such "pull backs" can be constructed in many cases: it is easy to see that any object of $p-\widetilde{\mathcal{MF}}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_V[[T]])$ is obtained by extension of scalars from an object of $p-\widetilde{\mathcal{MF}}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(W(k)[[T_1,T]])$, where $W(k)[[T_1]]$ is the ring of formal power series "defining" R_V starting (see [Va2, 5.2.1]) from the $W(k)$-epimorphism $W(k)[[T_1]] \twoheadrightarrow V$, which takes T_1 into the chosen uniformizer of V.

The same method could be be used in connection to other regular O-schemes whose special fibres are having some properties (like are irreducible, etc.). Within these lines, we hope to achieve the classification of S-healthy schemes "tentatively defined" in [Va2, top of p. 430].

From 2.2.1.4, as in [Va2, 3.2.4], we get:

2.2.1.5. Corollary. *Any (local) integral canonical model $\mathcal{N}$ of a Shimura quadruple (G,X,H,v), with v dividing 2, has the SEP and so it is uniquely determined.*

This result was known previously just for zero dimensional Shimura varieties (see [Va2, 3.2.8]). However, if $\mathrm{Sh}(G,X)$ is the elliptic modular curve, then it can be easily checked that (G,X,H,v) has a uniquely determined smooth integral model having the SEP. If $\mathrm{Sh}(G,X)$ is a Siegel modular variety of genus at least 2, it was not known previously that (G,X,H,v) has a uniquely determined smooth integral model having either the SEP or the EP.

2.2.1.5.1. Corollary. *In [Va2, 3.2.7 4)], the conditions $(v,2)=1$ and $(p,2)=1$ can be removed. In particular, referring to 2.2.1.5, we get that the group $\mathrm{Aut}(G,X,H)$ of automorphisms of (G,X,H) (see [Va2, 3.2.7 9)]) acts naturally on $\mathcal{N}$. Moreover in [Va2, 3.2.12] the condition $e<p-1$ can be replaced by $e \le max\{1,p-2\}$.*

2.2.1.5.2. Uniqueness of projective integral canonical models: a second approach. It is worth pointing out that there is a second approach (besides the one based on 2.2.1.4) to the uniqueness of a projective (local) integral canonical model $\mathcal{N}$ of a Shimura quadruple (G,X,H,v) of compact type, with v dividing an arbitrary prime p. To present it, we work in a slightly more general context and as the arguments for the local context (over O_v) are the same, we concentrate just on integral canonical models. Let $\mathcal{N}_1$ be another integral canonical model of (G,X,H,v) which is quasi-projective. Let H_0 be a compact, open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ such that $\mathcal{N}_1$ (resp. $\mathcal{N}$) is a pro-étale cover of the $O_{(v)}$-scheme $\mathcal{N}_1/H_0$ (resp. $\mathcal{N}/H_0$) of finite type. We can assume H_0 is small enough so that the connected components of $\mathrm{Sh}_{H_0\times H}(G,X)$ are of general type.

To show that $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N}_1$, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{N}/H_0=\mathcal{N}_1/H_0$. It is enough to show that $\mathcal{N}_1/H_0$ is projective over $O_{(v)}$ (cf. [MM, Theorem 2]). Using Nagata's embedding theorem (see [Vo]) we deduce that each connected component $\mathcal{C}_0$ of $\mathcal{N}_1/H_0$ is embeddable as an open subscheme into an integral, proper $O_{(v)}$-scheme $\mathcal{C}$. We can assume $\mathcal{C}_{E(G,X)}=\mathcal{C}_{0E(G,X)}$. If $\mathcal{N}_1/H_0$ is not projective over $O_{(v)}$, then $\mathcal{C}_0$ is not $\mathcal{C}$. So let $m_{\mathcal{C}}:\mathrm{Spec}(V)\to\mathcal{C}$ be a morphism, with V a strictly henselian complete DVR of mixed characteristic $(0,p)$, such that the special point of $\mathrm{Spec}(V)$ maps into a point of $\mathcal{C}\setminus\mathcal{C}_0$.

Let $K_V := V[\frac{1}{p}]$. Let $m_V := \mathrm{Spec}(K_V) \to \mathrm{Sh}_{H_0\times H}(G,X)$ be the resulting morphism. It extends to a morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(V) \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$ (as $\mathcal{N}/H_0$ is proper over $O_{(v)}$). It lifts to a morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(V) \to \mathcal{N}$. As $\mathcal{N}_1$ has the EP, the generic fibre of this last morphism extends to a morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(V) \to \mathcal{N}_1$. This contradicts the choice of $m_{\mathcal{C}}$. We conclude: $\mathcal{N}_1$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}$.

2.2.1.6. The non-filtered context. Occasionally we speak about non-filtered Fontaine categories of p-divisible objects: $p-\mathcal{M}(*)$, $p-\mathcal{M}_{[a,b]}(*)$, $p-\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}(*)$ and $p-\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}_{[a,b]}(*)$, with $* \in \{R, X\}$, where R and X are as in 2.2.1 c), as well as about objects of them with tensors. Not to be long, as a variation, we detail the definition of an object with tensors of $p-\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}_{[a,b]}(R)$. A triple $(M, \varphi, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ is called an object with tensors of $p-\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}_{[a,b]}(R)$, if locally in the Zariski topology of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$, can be extended to a quadruple $(M, (F^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)}, \varphi, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ defining a p-divisible object with tensors of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,b]}(R)$. The morphisms in non-filtered Fontaine categories of p-divisible objects are the logical ones (for instance, in the context without connections, they are morphisms between underlying sheaves respecting –after inverting p– Frobenius endomorphisms). Warning: if $a < 0$ then $\varphi(M)$ is not necessarily included in M.

Moreover, we speak about pull backs of objects of $p-\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}(X)$ through points of X_k with values in perfect fields: we can assume X is local and that a Frobenius lift of $X^\wedge$ is fixed; considering Teichmüller lifts of $X^\wedge$ with values in the Witt rings of such fields, the pull backs are defined as in 2.2.1.3 (by just ignoring filtrations).

We could define as well non-filtered Fontaine categories of objects: as we do not use them at all in this paper, except if $(a,b) = (0,1)$ or if we are in the context of k-schemes S for which we do not know that $\Omega_{S/k}$ is free or of finite type, these excepted contexts are detailed just at appropriate places (see b) of 2.2.4 B, 3.15.2 and 3.15.8-10 below). However, we always identify $p-\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}_{[0,b]}(X)$ with a full subcategory of the category of F-crystals on X_k; so we speak about morphisms between truncations of objects of $p-\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}_{[0,b]}(X)$: we view them as morphisms between F-crystals on X_k in coherent sheaves.

2.2.1.7. Complements. 1) We refer to the category $\mathbb{N}-pro-\mathcal{MF}(R)$ of 2.2.1 c). Each object $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ can be identified with the projective limit of $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So we view $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ as a full subcategory of $\mathbb{N}-pro-\mathcal{MF}(R)$. By an almost p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ we mean an object $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathbb{N}-pro-\mathcal{MF}(R)$ which is the extension of a p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}_1$ of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ by an object $\mathfrak{C}_2$ of $\mathcal{MF}(X)$; when both $\mathfrak{C}_1$ and $\mathfrak{C}_2$ are not the zero object of $\mathbb{N}-pro-\mathcal{MF}(R)$, we speak about a non-trivial almost p-divisible object $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$. The usefulness of this notion springs from the fact that often the cokernel of a morphism of $p-\mathcal{MF}(R)$ is an almost p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$. Starting from 2.2.1.1 6) and 7), it is easy to see that the full subcategory $a-p-\mathcal{MF}(R)$ of $\mathbb{N}-pro-\mathcal{MF}(R)$ whose objects are almost p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}(X)$ is abelian and $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear. Its objects can be interpreted in a similar way to 2.2.1 c) (i.e. using finitely generated $R^\wedge$-modules which are direct sums of projective ones and of ones which locally in the Zariski topology have the DC property).

Similarly we define $a-p-FC$ for any Fontaine category FC of objects.

2) We always identify $\mathcal{MF}(W(k))$ with $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(W(k))$. An object of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ or of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(R)$ is called trivial, if it is either the zero object or it is the extension of scalars of some object of $\mathcal{MF}(W(k))$ of the form $W_q(k)(m)$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $m=0$ then we speak about a totally trivial object. A short exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{O}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_2 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_3 \to 0$ in $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ or in $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(R)$ is said to be of direct summand type, if the underlying R-module of $\mathcal{O}_1$ is a direct summand of the underlying R-module of $\mathcal{O}_2$.

An object of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ or of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(R)$ is called solvable (resp. topologically solvable) if it is obtained from trivial ones via a finite number of short exact sequences of direct summand type (resp. via a finite number of short exact sequences). An object of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ or of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(R)$ is called unipotent (resp. topologically unipotent) if it is obtained from totally trivial ones via a finite number of short exact sequences of direct summand type (resp. via a finite number of short exact sequences). An object of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ or of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(R)$ is called quasi-solvable (resp. quasi-unipotent), if locally in the $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale topology of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is solvable (resp. unipotent). Here, if $\mathrm{Spec}(Q) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is an étale morphism defined by a homomorphism $m : R \to Q$, then we endow $Q^{\wedge}$ with the unique Frobenius lift Φ_Q such that $\Phi_Q \circ m^{\wedge} = m^{\wedge} \circ \Phi_R$ and the pull back operations of objects of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ or of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(R)$ are as in 2.2.1.3. Similarly, an object of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$ or of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(R)$ is called topologically quasi-solvable (resp. topologically quasi-unipotent), if locally in the $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale topology of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is topologically solvable (resp. topologically unipotent).

Similarly we define (topologically) (quasi-) solvable and (quasi-) unipotent objects (resp. p-divisible objects) for any Fontaine category FC (resp. $p-FC$) of objects (resp. of p-divisible objects). We get the full subcategories $top-q-solv-FC$, $top-solv-FC$, $top-q-unip-FC$, $top-unip-FC$, $q-solv-FC$, $solv-FC$, $q-unip-FC$ and respectively $unip-FC$ of FC whose objects are topologically quasi-solvable, topologically solvable, topologically quasi-unipotent, topologically unipotent, quasi-solvable, solvable, quasi-unipotent and respectively unipotent. Similarly, we get $top-q-solv-p-FC$, $top-solv-p-FC$, $top-q-unip-p-FC$, $top-unip-p-FC$, $q-solv-p-FC$, $solv-p-FC$, $q-unip-p-FC$ and $unip-p-FC$. Of course, 1) and 2) can be combined. Moreover, the same applies to $FC[p^n]$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$): we speak about $top-q-solv-FC[p^n]$, $top-solv-FC[p^n]$, $q-solv-FC[p^n]$, $solv-FC[p^n]$, etc.; here, for instance, $solv-FC[p^n]$ is the full subcategory of $solv-FC$ formed by objects annihilated by p^n. An object of $FC[p]$ is solvable (resp. unipotent) iff it is topologically solvable (resp. topologically unipotent).

3) We summarize different connections between most of the categories we have defined so far. Let FC be a Fontaine category of objects. Denoting a full subcategory by a hook right arrow and a subcategory by the inclusion sign, we have

$$FC[p] \hookrightarrow FC[p^2] \hookrightarrow \ldots \hookrightarrow FC[p^n] \hookrightarrow \ldots \hookrightarrow p-FC \hookrightarrow a-p-FC \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}-pro-FC$$

$$unip-FC \hookrightarrow solv-FC \hookrightarrow q-solv-FC \hookrightarrow top-q-solv-FC$$

$$unip-FC \hookrightarrow q-unip-FC \hookrightarrow top-q-unip-FC \hookrightarrow top-q-solv-FC,$$

and, with X as in 2.2.1 c), we have

$$isog-p-\mathcal{MF}(X)\supset p-\mathcal{MF}(X)\subset p-\mathcal{M}(X)$$

$$isog-p-\mathcal{MF}(X)\supset p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)\subset p-\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}(X).$$

4) Let X (resp. R) be a flat scheme or algebra over $W(k)$. We assume a Frobenius lift of $X^\wedge$ (resp. of $R^\wedge$) is chosen. As in 2.2.1 c) (resp. in 2.2.1.6) we define $\mathcal{MF}(X)$, $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$, $p-\mathcal{MF}(X)$, $p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$, $p-\mathcal{M}(X)$, $p-\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}(X)$, etc. Warning: we do not claim that all properties of the smooth context extend as well. For instance, we do not know when $\mathcal{MF}(X)$ is abelian.

5) Let X_k be a regular, formally smooth k-scheme. We consider the full subcategory $UNIP(X_k)$ (resp. $TOP-UNIP(X_k)$) of the category of crystals on X_k on coherent sheaves with the property that each object Ob of it has the following three properties:

i) there is $n\in\mathbb{N}$ (depending on Ob) such that all sheaves of modules we get by evaluating Ob at different thickenings of X_k-schemes are annihilated by p^n;

ii) if $\tilde{X}$ is an arbitrary regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-scheme lifting an open subscheme $\tilde{X}_k$ of X_k, the $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_{W_n(k)}}$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ obtained by evaluating Ob at $\tilde{X}_{W_n(k)}$ (cf. also i)), locally in the Zariski topology of $\tilde{X}_{W_n(k)}$ has the DC property;

iii) the pair $(\mathcal{F},\nabla)$, with ∇ the connection on $\mathcal{F}$ defined by Ob, is unipotent, i.e. is obtained via short exact sequences of direct summand type in the same sense as of 2) (resp. via short exact sequences) from pull backs of $W_n(k)$-modules of finite type (and endowed with trivial connections).

We refer to $UNIP(X_k)$ (resp. to $TOP-UNIP(X_k)$) as the category of unipotent (resp. of topologically unipotent) objects on X_k. As in 1), 2.2.1 c) and 2.2.1.1 7) we define the following 5 categories $p-UNIP(X_k)$, $isog-p-UNIP(X_k)$, $\mathbb{N}-pro-UNIP(X_k)$, $UNIP(X_k)[p^n]$, $a-p-UNIP(X_k)$ (resp. $p-TOP-UNIP(X_k)$, $isog-p-TOP-UNIP(X_k)$, $\mathbb{N}-pro-TOP-UNIP(X_k)$, $TOP-UNIP(X_k)[p^n]$, $a-p-TOP-UNIP(X_k)$) as well as truncations mod p^m, $m\in\mathbb{N}$, of their objects. $UNIP(X_k)$ is a full subcategory of $TOP-UNIP(X_k)$ and the same remains true in the context of the mentioned 5 categories. To check ii) and iii) it is enough to deal with specific lifts of the members of an arbitrary open, affine cover of X_k.

2.2.1.8. Samples for 2.2.1 a) and d). The isocrystal of an abelian variety over k is 1/2-symmetric. If $k=\bar{k}$ then the filtered σ-crystal of the canonical lift of an ordinary abelian variety over k is diagonalizable and a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(W(k))$.

2.2.2. Definitions. 1) Let $a\in\mathbb{Z}$. An a-Lie σ-crystal (resp. a Lie isocrystal over k) is a pair $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$, with $\mathfrak{g}$ a Lie algebra over $W(k)$ (resp. over $B(k)$), which as a $W(k)$-module (resp. as a $B(k)$-vector space) is free of finite rank (resp. of finite dimension), and with φ a σ-linear Lie automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{W(k)}B(k)$ such that $\varphi(p^a\mathfrak{g})\subset\mathfrak{g}$ (resp. with φ a σ-linear Lie automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$). If $a=1$ we drop it.

2) A Lie σ-crystal is said to be ordinary or of ordinary type, if the slopes of the isocrystal $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{W(k)} B(k), \varphi)$ are (warning!) precisely -1, 0 and 1, with the multiplicity of the slope 1 equal to the multiplicity of the slope -1.

3) A filtered Lie σ-crystal is a quadruple $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ such that:

– the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ is a Lie σ-crystal;

– $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$ and $F^1(\mathfrak{g})$ are Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$, which as $W(k)$-submodules are direct summands of $\mathfrak{g}$;

– $F^1(\mathfrak{g})$ is abelian and $[\mathfrak{g}, F^1(\mathfrak{g})] \subset F^0(\mathfrak{g})$;

– the quadruple $(\mathfrak{g}, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$ is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(W(k))$, i.e. $\varphi\bigl(\frac{1}{p}F^1(\mathfrak{g}) + F^0(\mathfrak{g}) + p\mathfrak{g}\bigr) = \mathfrak{g}$ (we have $\mathfrak{g} = F^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $F^2(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$).

4) Let R and $\Phi_R : R^\wedge \to R^\wedge$ be as in 2.2.1 c). By a Lie p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(R)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[-1,1]}(R)$) we mean a p-divisible object $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(R)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[-1,1]}(R)$) together with a morphism

$$m_{\mathcal{L}} := \mathcal{L} \otimes_{R^\wedge} \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$$

between p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-2,2]}(R)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[-2,2]}(R)$) satisfying the usual axioms of a Lie algebra. In practice the Lie algebra structure of $\mathcal{L}$ is obvious (i.e. is in-built in the underlying $R^\wedge$-module $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathcal{L}$) and so we always omit mentioning $m_{\mathcal{L}}$; so the notations are as in 3) above. We also refer to a Lie p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[-1,1]}(R)$ as a filtered Lie F-crystal over R/pR (this matches to 3) above in the case $R = W(k)$); when we do not want to mention its filtration we refer to it as a Lie F-crystal over R/pR.

All these apply to the context when we are dealing with an X as in 2.2.1 c) instead of R or when we are dealing with objects instead of p-divisible objects.

2.2.3. Remarks. **1)** The slopes of a Lie (resp. filtered Lie) σ-crystal are rational numbers of the interval $[-1, \infty)$ (resp. of the interval $[-1, 1]$). Most common:

– for Lie σ-crystals $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$, $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of a reductive group and so $\bigl([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \otimes_{W(k)} B(k), \varphi\bigr)$ is a 0-symmetric isocrystal (i.e. the multiplicity of a slope α is the same as the multiplicity of the slope $-\alpha$; this is a consequence of the fact that the Killing form on $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \otimes_{W(k)} B(k)$ is non-degenerate and –as φ preserves the Lie bracket– fixed by φ; see also 3) below) whose slopes belong to the interval [-1,1];

– for filtered Lie σ-crystals $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$, $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of a reductive group and $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$ is a parabolic Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ having $F^1(\mathfrak{g})$ as its nilpotent radical.

We sometimes need to use Lie σ-crystals $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$, with $\mathfrak{g}$ as a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra of a reductive group over $W(k)$.

2) We introduce similarly to 2.2.2 3) the notion of an $[a, b]$-filtered Lie σ-crystal $\mathcal{L} := \bigl(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^{a+1}(\mathfrak{g}), \ldots, F^b(\mathfrak{g})\bigr)$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \geq a$, by requiring that it is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ has a natural Lie algebra structure such that the Lie bracket morphism $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{W(k)} \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ defines a morphism $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{W(k)} \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$ between objects of $p-\mathcal{MF}_{[2a,2b]}(W(k))$. So an $[-1,1]$-filtered Lie σ-crystal is the same as a filtered Lie σ-crystal. Forgetting the Lie structure, the pair $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$ becomes a latticed isocrystal (if $a\notin\mathbb{N}$ then it is a σ-crystal). As in 2.2.3 4), we define (filtered) $[a,b]$-Lie F-crystals. We always drop $[-1,1]$.

3) We recall the following well known result:

Lemma. *Any isocrystal* (V,φ_V) *over* k *is a direct sum of isocrystals having only one slope.*

Proof (slightly sketched): Dieudonné's classification (see [Di] or [Man]) of isocrystals over $\bar{k}$ implies that this holds over $\bar{k}$ and moreover $V\otimes_{B(k)}B(\bar{k})$ has a nice $W(\bar{k})$-lattice L. The word "nice" is used here in the following sense: there is n such that $p^n(\varphi_V\otimes 1)(L)\subset L$ and L is a direct sum of $W(\bar{k})$-lattices taken by $p^n(\varphi_V\otimes 1)$ into themselves in such a way that the Hodge numbers of the restriction of $p^n(\varphi_V\otimes 1)$ to any member of this direct sum, are all the same. But any such $W(\bar{k})$-lattice L is defined over the strict henselization of $W(k)$ and so over $W(k_1)$, with k_1 a finite field extension of k. Using (here is the sketched part) standard matrix computations over $W(k_1)$ (similar to the ones defining the F^1-filtrations of canonical lifts of ordinary p-divisible groups over k_1) the statement of the Lemma is true over k_1. Using standard Galois descent, the Lemma follows.

So if $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$ and if (N,φ_N) is an isocrystal (resp. a latticed isocrystal) over k, then we can speak about the $B(k)$-vector subspace (resp. $W(k)$-submodule which is a direct summand) $W_\alpha(N,\varphi_N)$ of N corresponding to slopes of (N,φ_N) greater or equal to α: $W_\alpha(N,\varphi_N)$ is maximal with the property that it is taken by φ_N (resp. by φ_N times some positive, integral power of p) into itself and all slopes of $(W_\alpha(N),\varphi_N)$ are greater or equal to α. Similarly, we define $W^\alpha(N,\varphi_N)$, by replacing "greater" by "smaller", and $W(\alpha)(N,\varphi_N)$ by deleting "greater or". So

$$W(\alpha)(N,\varphi_N)\supset W_\alpha(N,\varphi_N)\cap W^\alpha(N,\varphi_N)$$

and by inverting p we have an isomorphism.

Let $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$ be a Lie isocrystal over k. Then $\mathfrak{p}_{\ge 0}:=W_0(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. We call the (Lie) isocrystal $(\mathfrak{p}_{\ge 0},\varphi)$ (resp. the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}_{\ge 0}$) the (Lie) subisocrystal of $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$ (resp. the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$) corresponding to non-negative slopes of $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$. Similarly we define things for non-positive (or positive, or negative) slopes, or for the slope 0, or for slopes greater (resp. smaller) than some $r\in[0,\infty)$ (resp. than some $r\in(-\infty,0]$). In particular, $\mathfrak{p}_{\le 0}:=W^0(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{=0}:=W(0)(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$ are often used. Similarly, we denote by $\mathfrak{p}_{>0}$ (resp. by $\mathfrak{p}_{<0}$) the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to positive (resp. negative) slopes of $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$. Warning: similarly we define and denote things for a Lie σ-crystal.

Claim. *If* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is the Lie algebra of a semisimple group over* $B(k)$*, then* $\mathfrak{p}_{\ge 0}$ *is a parabolic Lie subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{g}$*.*

Proof: As this well known Claim is used a lot in what follows, we include a proof of it. Let (cf. Lemma)

$$\mathfrak{g} = \oplus_{\alpha \in SL} \mathfrak{c}_\alpha$$

be the slope decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ achieved by φ. So the isocrystal $(\mathfrak{c}_\alpha, \varphi)$ has only one slope α, $\forall \alpha \in SL$. Let $G_{B(k)}$ be the adjoint group over $B(k)$ having $\mathfrak{g}$ as its Lie algebra. $\mathfrak{c}_\alpha$ is perpendicular on $\mathfrak{c}_\beta$ w.r.t. the Killing form KIL on $\mathfrak{g}$, provided $\beta \in SL$ is different from $-\alpha \in SL$. Denoting $\mathfrak{C}_s = \{0\}$, if $s \notin SL$, this is a consequence of the following Lie bracket property

$$(LBP) \qquad [\mathfrak{c}_\alpha, \mathfrak{c}_\beta] \subset \mathfrak{c}_{\alpha+\beta},$$

$\forall \alpha$, $\beta \in SL$.

As the center of $\mathfrak{g}$ is trivial, $\mathfrak{p}_{=0}$ is the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ normalizing $\mathfrak{c}_\alpha$, $\forall \alpha \in SL$. So (see [Bo2, 7.1 and 7.4]) it is the Lie algebra of a connected subgroup $P_{=0}$ of $G_{B(k)}$. We know that the restriction of KIL to $\mathfrak{p}_{=0}$ is non-degenerate. From [Bou1, ch. 1, th. 4] we get that $P_{=0}$ is a reductive group.

We can assume $k = \bar{k}$. So we can assume there is a maximal torus T of $P_{=0}$ whose Lie algebra is stable under φ. The centralizer of T in $G_{B(k)}$ is a reductive group $C(T)$ (see [Bo2, 11.12]) whose Lie algebra is normalized by φ. The restriction of KIL to this Lie algebra is still non-degenerate and $T = C(T) \cap P_{=0}$; so to check that T is a maximal torus of $G_{B(k)}$ we just need to check that $C(T)^{\mathrm{der}}$ is trivial. It is enough to show: if $C(T)^{\mathrm{der}}$ is non-trivial, then $(\mathrm{Lie}(C(T)^{\mathrm{der}}), \varphi)$ has slope 0 with positive multiplicity. Shifting our attention from $C(T)^{\mathrm{der}}$ to $G_{B(k)}$ (in order to use previous notations), we need to show T is non-trivial. If T is trivial then $P_{=0}$ is trivial and then, for $\alpha \in SL \cap (0, \infty]$ and for the greatest element $\beta \in SL$ we get $[\mathfrak{c}_\beta, \mathfrak{c}_{-\beta}] = \{0\}$, $[\mathfrak{c}_\beta, \mathfrak{c}_\alpha] = \{0\}$ and $[\mathfrak{c}_{-\beta}, \mathfrak{c}_{-\alpha}] = \{0\}$; so (cf. Jacobi's identity) $\mathfrak{c}_\beta$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{-\beta}$ are perpendicular w.r.t. KIL and so are included in the annihilator of KIL. Contradiction. So T is a maximal torus of $G_{B(k)}$.

Let Φ be the set of roots of the action of $T_{\overline{B(k)}}$ via inner conjugation on $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{B(k)} \overline{B(k)}$, and let $\Phi^{\geq 0}$ be its subset corresponding to the action of $T_{\overline{B(k)}}$ on $\mathfrak{p}_{\geq 0}$. $\Phi^{\geq 0}$ is stable under addition inside Φ and moreover $\Phi = -\Phi^{\geq 0} \cup \Phi^{\geq 0}$. This last equality is a consequence of the fact that $\mathfrak{c}_\alpha$ is the dual of $\mathfrak{c}_{-\alpha}$ w.r.t. KIL, $\forall \alpha \in SL$. It is easy to see that this implies $\Phi^{\geq 0}$ contains a base of Φ. So the Claim follows from [Bo2, 14.17-18] applied over $\overline{B(k)}$.

Comments. As $\mathfrak{p}_{>0}$ is perpendicular on $\mathfrak{p}_{\geq 0}$ w.r.t. KIL and as $\mathfrak{p}_{=0}$ is reductive, from [Bou1, Prop. 6 b) of p. 81] we get that $\mathfrak{p}_{>0}$ is the nilpotent radical of $\mathfrak{p}_{\geq 0}$ and so it is included in a Borel Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{B(k)} \overline{B(k)}$ containing $\mathrm{Lie}(T) \otimes_{B(k)} \overline{B(k)}$. So, as T normalizes $\mathfrak{c}_\alpha$, from [Bo2, p. 184] applied over $\overline{B(k)}$, we get that $\mathfrak{c}_\alpha$ is the Lie algebra of a unipotent subgroup of $G_{B(k)}$, $\forall \alpha \in SL$. From [Bo2, 7.1] we get that all these hold for any (perfect) k.

We have a duality of language: positive versus negative, non-negative versus non-positive, etc. So the Claim implies as well that $\mathfrak{p}_{\leq 0}$ is the Lie algebra of a parabolic subgroup of $G_{B(k)}$. However, often in problems pertaining to Lie σ-crystals it is more convenient to work with a specific choice (like with $\mathfrak{p}_{\geq 0}$ instead of $\mathfrak{p}_{<0}$, etc.); warning: the choice depends on the problem.

The above Claim still makes sense over $W(k)$ due to the following general simple Fact:

Fact. *Let D be an integral Dedekind scheme such that all its closed points are of positive characteristic. Let G_D be a reductive group scheme over D. Then the Zariski closure in G_D of any parabolic (resp. Borel) subgroup of the generic fibre of G_D, is a parabolic (resp. Borel) subgroup P_D (resp. B_D) of G_D. In particular, G_D is a quasi-split reductive group iff its generic fibre is.*

Proof: As the operation of taking the Zariski closure is compatible with flat morphisms, we can assume D is the spectrum of a complete DVR V having an algebraically closed residue field. Let K_V be the field of fractions of V. We can restrict just to the part involving P_D. The special shape of D implies G_D is split; we deduce the existence of a parabolic subgroup P_D^1 of G_D whose generic fibre is $G_D(K_V)$-conjugate to the generic fibre of P_D (cf. also [Bo2, 21.11] applied over K_V). Using Iwasawa's decomposition (see [Ti2, 3.3.2]), we get that P_D and P_D^1 are $G_D(D)$-conjugate and so the Fact follows.

4) One may ask: why Lie σ-crystals? There are three reasons. First, this is the best way to keep track of the relative situation which involves tensors (independently of some fixed "embedding": see 2.2.8 for a sample; see also 4.9.8 below). Second, we have natural equivalences between different categories $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(*)$ and $\mathcal{MF}_{[a+c,b+c]}(*)$ (or $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,b]}(*)$ and $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a+c,b+c]}(*)$), with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \geq a$. When we take *End*'s of their objects (see 2.2.4 B below), c goes automatically away; so we have a better way to express our results (as samples see 3.6.18.7.0, 3.6.18.8.1 b), 4.7.11 4), etc.) and moreover, we do not want to lose track of the extra Lie structure of such *End*'s objects. Third, we can read very easily different versal conditions (involving deformations of –to be defined in 2.2.8 4a)– generalized Shimura p-divisible objects over k) by looking at attached Lie F-crystals.

In some sense, §1-4 grew out of a systematic exploitation of Lie σ-crystals. Accordingly, to always have a good way of keeping track (without always emphasizing the extra Lie structures) of when we are dealing with filtered Lie σ-crystals instead of just some p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(W(k))$, most of the time we use the ordering $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ instead of the standard one $(\mathfrak{g}, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$; the same applies to the situation when $W(k)$ is replaced by some R or X as in 2.2.1 c).

2.2.4. Operations with (p-divisible) objects. In this section we deal with different "tannakian" considerations. We mostly concentrate on what we need; however, some material which is not needed in the rest of this paper is as well included. In what follows we use the tannakian language as of [De5, ch. 2] (see also [Mi4, p. 288-9]) except that we allow the base scheme not to be necessarily the spectrum of a field. Till end of 2.2.4.1 we have $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A. Duals. No Fontaine category FC of objects has identity object with respect to tensor products. However, $FC[p^n]$, $p-FC$ and $isog-p-FC$ do have such identity objects.

For instance, referring to 2.2.1 c), $R/p^nR(0)$ is the identity element of $\mathcal{MF}(R)[p^n]$. So we can define duals of objects of $FC[p^n]$, of $p-FC$ and of $isog-p-FC$. For instance, if $\mathfrak{C}$ is an object of $FC[p^n]$, then its dual

$$\mathfrak{C}^* := Hom_{FC}(\mathfrak{C}, R/p^nR(0))$$

is computed as follows. Let us assume $FC = \mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(X)$ (the ∇ context is the same). If $\mathfrak{C} = (M, (F^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)}, (\varphi_i)_{i\in S(a,b)})$, then

$$Hom_{FC}(\mathfrak{C}, R/p^nR(0)) := (\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, R/p^nR), F^i(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, R/p^nR))_{i\in S^-}, (\tilde{\varphi}_i)_{i\in S^-}),$$

with $S^- := S(-b,-a)$, with

$$F^i(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, R/p^nR)) := \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, R/p^nR), \mathrm{Hom}_R(F^{1-i}(M), R/p^nR))$$

and with $\tilde{\varphi}_i$ defined by the formula

$$\tilde{\varphi}_i(f_i)(\varphi_j(x_j)) := p^{-i-j}f_i(x_j),$$

where $i \in S(-b,-a)$, $j \in S(a,b)$, $x_j \in F^j(M)$ and $f_i \in F^i(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, R/p^nR))$. This last equality makes sense as for $i+j > 0$ we have $f_i(x_j) = 0$. It does not depend on the choice of n such that $\mathfrak{C}$ is an object of $FC[p^n]$: the natural monomorphism $R/p^nR(0) \hookrightarrow R/p^{n+1}R(0)$ defined by the multiplication (inside the ring $R/p^{n+1}R$) with p, achieves a natural isomorphism

$$Hom_{FC}(\mathfrak{C}, R/p^nR(0)) \tilde{\to} Hom_{FC}(\mathfrak{C}, R/p^{n+1}R(0)).$$

If X_k has a finite number of connected components, then

$$FC = \cup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} FC[p^n]$$

(cf. [Fa1, 2.1] ii)). So, as the dual objects can be defined restricting to each connected component of $X^\wedge$, we get that, in general, each object of FC has a uniquely determined (up to isomorphism) dual.

Similarly, referring to 2.2.1.7 5) we have:

Lemma. *a) $TOP-UNIP(X_k)[p^n]$ and $UNIP(X_k)[p^n]$ are $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$-linear, abelian categories endowed with tensors products and duals.*

b) We assume that any object of $UNIP(X_k)[p]$ (resp. of $TOP-UNIP(X_k)[p]$) is the cokernel of an isogeny between two objects of $p-UNIP(X_k)$ (resp. of $p-TOP-UNIP(X_k)$). Then any object of $UNIP(X_k)$ (resp. of $TOP-UNIP(X_k)$) is the cokernel of an isogeny between two objects of $p-UNIP(X_k)$ (resp. of $p-TOP-UNIP(X_k)$).

Proof: We can assume X_k is connected. a) is just a variant of [Fa1, p. 31-3]: only the abelian part is not entirely trivial. As we have duals (this is as above), we just need to check that $UNIP(X_k)[p^n]$ and $TOP-UNIP(X_k)[p^n]$ have kernels and images. We first consider the case of $TOP-UNIP(X_k)[p^n]$. Its object $(\mathcal{O}_{X_k}, d)$, where d is just the d-operator viewed as a connection on $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}$, is simple (i.e. has no proper subobjects) and moreover, each object of $TOP-UNIP(X_k)[p^n]$ is obtained from it through a finite sequence of short exact sequences. Let $m : \mathcal{U}_1 \to \mathcal{U}_2$ be a morphism of $TOP-UNIP(X_k)[p^n]$. Using induction on the length of the underlying $W(k_1)$-module of the pull back of $\mathcal{U}_1 \oplus \mathcal{U}_2$ via a $W(k)$-morphism $z_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k_1)) \to X$, with k_1 a perfect field containing k, based on the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}$ is the only simple object of $TOP-UNIP(X_k)[p^n]$, we can assume $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{U}_2 = (\mathcal{O}_{X_k}, d)$. In this case m is either an isomorphism or it is the zero morphism. The passage from $TOP-UNIP(X_k)[p^n]$ to $UNIP(X_k)[p^n]$ is an immediate consequence of the following Sublemma, whose easy proof is left as an exercise.

Sublemma. *Let O and π be as in 2.2.1 e). Let R_O be a flat O-algebra. Let $0 \to M_1 \hookrightarrow M \twoheadrightarrow M_2 \to 0$ be a short exact sequence of direct summand type, with M, M_1 and M_2 as R_O-modules having the DC property. Let N be a R_O-submodule of M such that N, $N \cap M_1$ and $N/N \cap M_1$ have the DC property. Then $N \cap M_1$ is a direct summand of N.*

For b) we can restrict to the case $UNIP(X_k)$ (as the case of $TOP-UNIP(X_k)$ is entirely the same). Let now $\mathcal{U}$ be an object of $UNIP(X_k)$. To check b) for it, we use again induction on the length of the underlying $W(k_1)$-module of the pull back of $\mathcal{U}$ through z_1. If $\mathcal{U} = (\mathcal{O}_{X_k}, d)$, then $\mathcal{U}$ is the truncation mod p of $(\mathcal{O}_X, d)$. For the general case, we use a short exact sequence

$$0 \to (\mathcal{O}_{X_k}, d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{U}_1 \to 0.$$

By induction, we consider an epimorphism $e_1 : \mathcal{U}^1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{U}_1$, with $\mathcal{U}^1 = (\mathcal{F}_1, d_1)$ an object of $p-UNIP(X_k)$. Let $e_2 : \mathcal{U}^2 \to \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}^2 \to \mathcal{U}^1$ be the morphisms of $a-p-UNIX(X_k)$ defining the fibre product of $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}_1$ and e_1. $p\mathcal{U}_2$ is an object of $p-UNIP(X_k)$ (this can be checked easily by "evaluating" at $\tilde{X}^\wedge$'s as in 2.2.1.7 5)). Using the short exact sequence

$$0 \to p\mathcal{U}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_2 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{U}_2/p\mathcal{U}_2 \to 0,$$

and similar arguments involving pull backs, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{U}_2/p\mathcal{U}_2$ is the cokernel of an isogeny between objects of $p-UNIP(X_k)$. But this is or hypothesis. This ends the proof.

Remark. We assume X is proper and geometrically connected. We can assume (cf. Grothendieck's algebraization theorem) that all objects of $p-UNIP(X_k)$ involve locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$-sheaves and connections on them. The hypothesis of b) is satisfied if different cohomological conditions hold (one such condition could be: $H^1(X, \mathcal{F})$ is a torsion free $W(k)$-module and the restriction map $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \to H^1(X_k, \mathcal{F}/p\mathcal{F})$ is surjective, for all locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$-sheaves which are obtained via short exact sequences from $\mathcal{O}_X$).

B. Ends. Let R, $\Phi_R : R^\wedge \to R^\wedge$, a and b be as in 2.2.1 c). Let $\mathfrak{C} = (M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, (\varphi_i)_{i \in S(a,b)})$ be an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$. We can assume $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$

has a finite number of connected components; so we view M as an R-module (and not just as an $R^\wedge$-module). For $j \in SS(a,b)$, let

$$F^j(\mathrm{End}(M)) := \{m \in \mathrm{End}(M) | m(F^i(M)) \subset F^{i+j}(M),\ \forall i \in S(a,b)\}.$$

As $F^i(M)$'s (as R-modules) are direct summands of M (see [Fa1, 2.1 i)]), $F^j(\mathrm{End}(M))$'s are as well direct summands (as R-modules) of $\mathrm{End}(M)$. The object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a-b,b-a]}(R)$

$$End(\mathfrak{C}) := (\mathrm{End}(M), (F^j(\mathrm{End}(M)))_{j \in SS(a,b)}, (\tilde{\varphi}_j)_{j \in SS(a,b)})$$

is called the *End* object of $\mathfrak{C}$. $\tilde{\varphi}_j$'s are obtained from φ_i's in the logical way: if $m \in F^i(\mathrm{End}(M))$ and $x \in F^j(M)$, then

$$(ENDFR) \qquad\qquad \tilde{\varphi}_i(m)(\varphi_j(x)) := \varphi_{i+j}(m(x)).$$

So, if M is a projective R/p^nR-module for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\tilde{\varphi}_j$'s are obtained via the canonical identification $\mathrm{End}(M) = M \otimes_{R/p^nR} M^*$. The natural Lie bracket map $\mathrm{End}(M) \otimes_R \mathrm{End}(M) \to \mathrm{End}(M)$, defines a morphism

$$End(\mathfrak{C}) \otimes_R End(\mathfrak{C}) \to End(\mathfrak{C})$$

between objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[2a-2b,2b-2a]}(R)$.

Similarly, we define *End*'s of objects of FC or of $p-FC$, for an arbitrary Fontaine category FC of objects. In particular, if $\mathfrak{C}$ is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(W(k))$ (i.e. if $\mathfrak{C}$ is a filtered σ-crystal), then any Lie p-divisible subobject of $End(\mathfrak{C})$ is a filtered Lie σ-crystal.

Similarly one defines *Hom* objects (resp. p-divisible objects): they are direct summands of suitable *End* objects (resp. p-divisible objects). Moreover, we have a natural, functorial (bilinear) identification $Hom(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_1) = \mathfrak{C}^* \otimes \mathfrak{C}_1$.

If X or $X^\wedge$ is not equipped with a Frobenius lift, then for the definition of *End*'s of objects of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[a,b]}(X)$ we assume $b-a \le p-1$ and we think of $End(\mathfrak{C})$ as the collection of *End* objects indexed by pairs (U, Φ_U), where U is an open subscheme of X having a non-trivial special fibre and Φ_U is a Frobenius lift of $U^\wedge$, the *End* object corresponding to such a pair being $End(\mathfrak{C}_U)$, with $\mathfrak{C}_U$ as the pull back (restriction) of $\mathfrak{C}$ to U. The natural gluings (see [Fa1, p. 34-35]) between such restrictions result in natural gluings between $End(\mathfrak{C}_U)$'s. See C and D below for a fancier presentation of such gluings.

Warning: taking *End*'s is not a functorial process.

Exercise. a) We assume X_k has a finite number of connected components. Then for any 2 objects $\mathfrak{C}_1$ and $\mathfrak{C}_2$ of $\mathcal{MF}(X)$, the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{C}_1, \mathfrak{C}_2)$ is finite.

b) Let $b \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We assume $k = \bar{k}$. Let $\mathfrak{C}_1 = (M_1, \varphi_1)$ and $\mathfrak{C}_2 = (M_2, \varphi_2))$ be two objects of $p - \mathcal{M}_{[0,b]}(W(k))$. Then the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of morphisms between $\mathfrak{C}_1/p^n\mathfrak{C}_1$ and $\mathfrak{C}_2/p^n\mathfrak{C}_2$ which lift to morphisms between $\mathfrak{C}_1/p^{n+b}\mathfrak{C}_1$ and $\mathfrak{C}_2/p^{n+b}\mathfrak{C}_2$ is finite and by pull backs via the canonical morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k_1)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$, with k_1 an algebraically closed field containing k, it remains the same. Here morphisms are interpreted in terms of $\mathcal{O}_{X^\wedge}$-modules endowed with Frobenius endomorphisms.

Hints. For a), using Teichmüller lifts we can assume $X = \mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$; using devisage and the existence of *Hom*-objects the situation gets reduced to case when both $\mathfrak{C}_1$ and $\mathfrak{C}_2$ are annihilated by p. For b), as above we can speak about $Hom(\mathfrak{C}_1, \mathfrak{C}_2) = (\mathrm{Hom}(M_1, M_2), \varphi)$ and about the maximal $W(k)$-submodule M_0 of $\mathrm{Hom}(M_1, M_2)$ taken by φ into $\mathrm{Hom}(M_1, M_2)$; each morphism we are interested in can be interpretated defines uniquely an element of $M_0/p^n M_0$ fixed by φ.

C. Tensorial closures. The problem we face (see the last part of B) is: if $X^\wedge$ is not equipped with a Frobenius lift, then just $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,a+p-1]}(X)$ is presently well defined; from a tannakian point of view this is slightly inconvenient (see E and F below; see the proof of J below for the use of the word slightly). So it is desirable to define in some way $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,a+p+i]}(X)$, with $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. There are many ways to proceed: accordingly, as below we deal with four such ways, the first (resp. the second, the third and the fourth) one will have the upper index $\nabla(tens)$ (resp. $\nabla(loc-tens)$, $\nabla(p+tens)$ and $\nabla(p+loc-tens)$) instead of ∇. The constructions have to be performed for each connected component of $X^\wedge$; so we assume X_k is connected (i.e. is integral). Let

$$\mathbb{U}(X)$$

be the set of pairs (U, Φ_U) as in B. As in what follows we work with two or more Frobenius lifts of the p-adic completion of some fixed open subscheme of X, to emphasize which Frobenius lift we use to define Fontaine categories involving connections, we denote by $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,b]}(U, \Phi_U)$ and by $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(U, \Phi_U)$ the Fontaine categories defined by $(U, \Phi_U) \in \mathbb{U}(X)$ and which previously were defined just by $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,b]}(U)$ and respectively by $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(U)$. The pull back functor

$$\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,a+p-1]}(X) \to \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(U, \Phi_U),$$

is faithful; in general it is not fully and this motivates what follows. If Φ^1_U is a second Frobenius lift of $U^\wedge$, then (see [Fa1, proof of 2.3]) we have a canonical isomorphism of categories

$$i(U, a, \Phi_U, \Phi^1_U) : \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,a+p-1]}(U, \Phi_U) \tilde{\to} \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,a+p-1]}(U, \Phi^1_U)$$

and, if Φ^2_U is a third Frobenius lift of $U^\wedge$, we have the following cocycle condition

$$i(U, a, \Phi^1_U, \Phi^2_U) \circ i(U, a, \Phi_U, \Phi^1_U) = i(U, a, \Phi_U, \Phi^2_U).$$

Definition. If TC is a tensorial category which is small, then by the tensorial closure in TC of a subcategory SC of TC we mean the smallest tensorial subcategory (i.e. the intersection of all tensorial subcategories) of TC having SC as a subcategory.

Let

$$\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(U,\Phi_U)$$

be the tensorial closure of the union of the categories $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[c,c+p-1]}(U,\Phi_U)$ taken inside $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(U,\Phi_U)$. We will not include here a big ado about tensorial closures of arbitrary abelian categories. We just point out that there is a standard way to check that $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(U,\Phi_U)$ does not depend (up to canonical isomorphism) on the choice of Φ_U. We have:

Lemma. *There is a uniquely determined isomorphism*

$$i(U,\Phi_U,\Phi_U^1):\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(U,\Phi_U)\tilde{\to}\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(U,\Phi_U^1)$$

of tensorial categories which extends $i(U,c,\Phi_U,\Phi_U^1)$, $\forall c\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof: We consider the product category

$$TS:=\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(U,\Phi_U)\times\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(U,\Phi_U^1)$$

and the smallest tensorial subcategory SC of it containing the union of the categories $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[c,c+p-1]}(U,\Phi_U)$, $c\in\mathbb{Z}$, embedded into TS via the natural inclusion

$$i^c_{U,\Phi_U}:\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[c,c+p-1]}(U,\Phi_U)\hookrightarrow\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(U,\Phi_U)$$

and the composite of $i(U,c,\Phi_U,\Phi_U^1)$ with the similarly defined natural inclusion i^c_{U,Φ_U^1}. We consider the natural (projection) functors $F:SC\to\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(U,\Phi_U)$ and $F_1:SC\to\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(U,\Phi_U^1)$. From very definitions we get: they are surjective (on morphisms). We also consider the functor $FF:\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(U,\Phi_U)\to\mathcal{MF}(W(k_1))$ (resp. $FF_1:\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(U,\Phi_U^1)\to\mathcal{MF}(W(k_1))$) defined by pull back through a Φ_U-Teichmüller lift of $U^\wedge$ in a k_1-valued point of it, with k_1 an arbitrary perfect field. The fact that F and F_1 are injective (on morphisms), results from the following two Facts.

F1. $\forall c\in\mathbb{Z}$, the image of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[c,c+p-1]}(U,\Phi_U)$ in $\mathcal{MF}(W(k_1))\times\mathcal{MF}(W(k_1))$ defined naturally via FF and FF_1 factors through $\mathcal{MF}(W(k_1))$ diagonally embedded in $\mathcal{MF}(W(k_1))\times\mathcal{MF}(W(k_1))$.

F2. $\forall c\in\mathbb{Z}$, the image of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[c,c+p-1]}(U,\Phi_U)$ in $COH(U)\times COH(U)$ defined naturally via the functor that associates to an object Ob of TS the underlying $\mathcal{O}_U$-sheaves of $FF(Ob)$ and of $FF_1(Ob)$ factors through $COH(U)$ diagonally embedded in $COH(U)$.

Both these two Facts can be checked locally (so we can assume U is affine) and so are a consequence of [Fa1, proof of 2.3]. We get: F and F_1 are isomorphisms of categories and so we can take

$$i(U,\Phi_U,\Phi_U^1):=F_1\circ F^{-1}.$$

This ends the proof.

Corollary. *We have the following cocycle condition*

$$i(U,\Phi^1_U,\Phi^2_U)\circ i(U,\Phi_U,\Phi^1_U)=i(U,\Phi_U,\Phi^2_U).$$

We define $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}_{[a,a+p+i]}(X)$ to be the category whose objects are obtained from the ones of the categories $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[c,c+p-1]}(X)$, $c\in\mathbb{Z}$, through the following 4 operations:

– taking duals and tensor products as well as kernels and cokernels compatible with the standard gluings and whose underlying $\mathcal{O}_{X^\wedge}$-sheaves are having filtrations in the range $[a,a+p+i]$;

its maps (morphisms) are defined in the logical way. Similarly, by not specifying the range of filtrations, we define $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(X)$.

To fully formalize the definition of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(X)$, we recall (see [Fa1, p. 34-5]) that $\mathcal{MF}_{[c,c+p-1]}(X)$ is the subcategory of

$$\mathbb{F}(X):=\times_{(U,\Phi_U)\in\mathbb{U}(X)}\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(U,\Phi_U)$$

whose morphisms are families of morphisms $(m_{U,\Phi_U})_{(U,\Phi_U)\in\mathbb{U}(X)}$, with each m_{U,Φ_U} as a morphism of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[c,c+p-1]}(U,\Phi_U)$, such that for any functor $i(U,c,\Phi_U,\Phi^1_U)$ as above we have

$$i(U,c,\Phi_U,\Phi^1_U)(m_{U,\Phi_U})=m_{U,\Phi^1_U}.$$

So we define $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(X)$ as the tensorial closure of the union of $\mathcal{MF}_{[c,c+p-1]}(X)$'s ($c\in\mathbb{Z}$) in $\mathbb{F}(X)$.

Remarks. 1) Each morphism of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(X)$ defines a family of morphisms $(m_{U,\Phi_U})_{(U,\Phi_U)\in\mathbb{U}(X)}$, with each m_{U,Φ_U} as a morphism of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(U,\Phi_U)$, such that for any functor $i(U,\Phi_U,\Phi^1_U)$ as in the Lemma the following equality

$$(GLUE)\qquad i(U,\Phi_U,\Phi^1_U)(m_{U,\Phi_U})=m_{U,\Phi^1_U}$$

makes sense and holds.

We denote by $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(loc-tens)}(X)$ the subcategory of $\mathbb{F}(X)$ formed by all such families of morphisms. We do not stop to study when the natural inclusion of categories

$$\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(X)\subset\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(loc-tens)}(X)$$

is an isomorphism.

2) If $X^\wedge$ has a Frobenius lift, then $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(loc-tens)}(X)$ is naturally a subcategory of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$.

3) We consider an open cover $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ of X. In the definition of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(X)$ (or of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(loc-tens)}(X)$) we can restrict to the subset of $\mathbb{U}(X)$ whose elements are of the form (U,Φ_U), with U an affine, open subscheme of U_i, for some $i\in I$.

4) As the category $COH(X)$ is tensorial, following the pattern of F2 we get that for each object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(X)$ we can speak about its underlying $\mathcal{O}_X$-sheaf (it has the DC property) and about its filtration by direct summands. So the gluing performed by (GLUE) of 1) is just at the level of Frobenius endomorphisms.

D. Two other variants. The problem with $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(X)$ is: the category $p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$ is already well defined (see 2.2.1.1 3)). But there is nothing to guarantee that under the operation of taking truncations mod p^n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get a functor from $p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$ to $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(X)$. So as a second variant, we define $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}(X)$ to be the tensorial closure in $\mathbb{F}(X)$ of the union of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(X)$ and of the images of the truncation mod p^m functors from $p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$ to $\mathbb{F}(X)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. 4) of C still applies to it. Similarly, we define $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+loc-tens)}(X)$.

Exercise. a) We define $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}_{[a,a+p-1]}(X)$ to be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(X)$ whose objections are involving filtrations in the range $[a, a+p-1]$. Show that we have a canonical identification $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,a+p-1]}(X) = \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}_{[a,a+p-1]}(X)$. The same holds, with $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(X)$ being replaced by $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(loc-tens)}(X)$.

b) The category of p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}(X)$ (defined following the pattern of 2.2.1 c)) is naturally identified with $p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$.

c) Define $p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(X)$. Show that it is a subcategory of $p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$.

d) Perform c) as well for $\mathbb{N}-pro-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(X)$ and for $isog-p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(X)$.

Hint: use the proof of the Lemma of C.

E. Rigidity. All Fontaine categories involving filtrations (of sheaves) in a finite range are not stable under tensor products and so are not rigid. However, if X is as in 2.2.1 c) and $X^\wedge$ is equipped with a Frobenius lift (resp. is not equipped with a Frobenius lift) and if FC is any one of the following two (resp. four) categories $\mathcal{MF}(X)$ and $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}$, $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(loc-tens)}$, $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}$ and $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+loc-tens)}$), then $FC[p^n]$, $p-FC$ and $isog-p-FC$ are rigid.

F. Fibre functors. Let O be DVR and let $u \in O$ be a uniformizer. Let $\mathcal{TC}$ be a tensorial category over O/u^nO. [De5] and [Mi4] are stated over fields; however, the definition of a fibre functor as defined in [De5, 2.8] can be adapted for tensorial categories over (quotients of) O as follows.

Definitions. 1) Let X be a flat O/u^nO-scheme. A tensorial subcategory $\mathcal{SC}$ of $COH(\mathcal{O}_X)$ over O/u^nO is said to be a good subcategory on X if it has the property that each object of it, locally in the Zariski topology of X has the DC property.

2) By an almost fibre (resp. by a fibre) functor of $\mathcal{TC}$ we mean an O/p^nO-linear functor which respects tensor products, identity elements and the standard constraints A, U, and C (see [De5, 2.7]) from $\mathcal{TC}$ to $COH(X_1)$ (resp. to $LF(X_1)$), with X_1 an O/u^nO-scheme which is not necessarily flat, which is the composite of an O/p^nO-linear, exact, tensorial functor

$$\mathcal{TC} \to COH(X)$$

factoring through a good subcategory on X (resp. $\mathcal{TC} \to LF(X)$), with X a flat O/u^nO-scheme, with the natural pull back functor $m^* : COH(X) \to COH(X_1)$ (resp. $m^* : LF(X) \to LF(X_1)$) defined by some O/u^nO-morphism $m : X_1 \to X$.

3) $\mathcal{TC}$ is called tannakian if it has an almost fibre functor.

Fact. *Any almost fibre functor of* $\mathcal{TC}$ *is exact.*

Proof: Let $\mathcal{SC}$ be as in the Definition. We need to show that the restriction $m^*|\mathcal{SC}$ to it of any pull back functor $m^* : COH(X) \to COH(X_1)$, with $m : X_1 \to X$ an O/u^nO-morphism, is exact. We can assume X is connected. We consider a short exact sequence

$$(SES) \qquad\qquad 0 \to \mathcal{O}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_2 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_3 \to 0$$

in $\mathcal{SC}$. To show that the complex

$$(COM) \qquad\qquad 0 \to m^*(\mathcal{O}_1) \to m^*(\mathcal{O}_2) \to m^*(\mathcal{O}_3) \to 0$$

is exact, we proceed by induction on $q \in S(1,n)$ such that u^q annihilates $\mathcal{O}_2$. It is enough to show that the complex $0 \to m^*(\mathcal{O}_1) \to m^*(\mathcal{O}_2)$ is exact. If $q = 1$ then (SES) locally in the Zariski topology of X (or of X_k) splits and so (COM) is exact. The passage from $q-1$ to q is argued as follows. We use a second induction on the rank $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{O}_2)$ of the locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X_{O/uO}}$-module

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} u^{i-1}\mathcal{O}_2/u^i\mathcal{O}_2.$$

We can assume $\mathcal{O}_3$ is non-zero. We have a standard short exact sequence

$$0 \to u^{q-1}m^*(\mathcal{O}_1) \hookrightarrow m^*(\mathcal{O}_1) \twoheadrightarrow m^*(\mathcal{O}_1/u^{q-1}\mathcal{O}_1) \to 0.$$

Denoting by $\mathcal{O}_{12}$ the image of $\mathcal{O}_1$ in $\mathcal{O}_2/u^{q-1}\mathcal{O}_2$ and by $\mathcal{O}'_{12}$ the kernel of $\mathcal{O}_1 \to \mathcal{O}_{12}$, we get a short exact sequence

$$(SES1) \qquad\qquad 0 \to \mathcal{O}'_{12} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{12} \to 0.$$

As $\mathcal{O}_3$ is non-zero, we have $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{O}_1) < \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{O}_2)$. So by the induction statements, the pull back through m^* of $(SES1)$ and of the exact complexes $0 \to \mathcal{O}'_{12} \hookrightarrow u^{q-1}\mathcal{O}_2$ and $0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{12} \to \mathcal{O}_1/u^{n-1}\mathcal{O}_1$, are exact. These imply that the complex $0 \to m^*(\mathcal{O}_1) \hookrightarrow m^*(\mathcal{O}_2)$ is exact. This ends the proof.

For the language of groupoids we refer to [De5, p. 113-5 and §3] and [Mi4, Appendix A]; again we allow the base to be an arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra $R_{\mathbb{Z}}$. For simplicity, as we deal with very explicit groupoids, a groupoid acting on an $R_{\mathbb{Z}}$-scheme X is usually denoted just as an $R_{\mathbb{Z}}$-scheme. The definition of a representation of a O/u^nO-groupoid acting on an O-scheme X is as in [De5, 3.3] (so an arbitrary quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-sheaf V is allowed to be the target –see [De5, p. 114]– of such a representation).

Let X and FC be as in E. In all that follows, for simplicity of presentation, we assume X_k is connected. As the only global sections of $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}$ fixed by the Frobenius endomorphism of X_k are the ones defined by elements of $\mathbb{F}_p$, we have

$$\mathrm{End}_{FC}(X_{W_n(k)}(0)) = \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z};$$

here $X_{W_n(k)}(0)$ is the pull back of $W_n(k)(0)$ to X. Accordingly, we view as well $X_{W_n(k)}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$-scheme.

Fact. *$FC[p^n]$ and all its rigid full subcategories (like $q-unip-FC[p^n]$, $solv-FC[p^n]$, etc.) are tannakian categories over $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$.*

To see this we just need to point out (cf. the Fact) that there is a canonical almost fibre functor

$$CAFF_n(FC) : FC[p^n] \to COH(X_{W_n(k)});$$

it associates to any object of $FC[p^n]$ its underlying $\mathcal{O}_{X_{W_n(k)}}$-sheaf (the action on morphism being the logical one). We have the following compatibility property: identifying $COH(X_{W_n(k)})$ with a full subcategory of $COH(X_{W_{n+1}(k)})$, the restriction of $CAFF_{n+1}(FC)$ to $FC[p^n]$ is $CAFF_n(FC)$.

Similarly, if X is affine (resp. is proper over $W(k)$), then $isog-p-FC$ is a tannakian category over $\mathbb{Q}_p$: the canonical fibre functor

$$CAFF_\infty(FC)$$

of it takes values in $LF(X^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p)$ (resp. takes values, cf. Grothendieck's algebraization theorem, in $LF(X_{B(k)})$).

G. Pull backs. All pull back operations of 2.2.1.3 are functorial, $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear, respect tensor products and (when appropriate) identity objects. Moreover, they do extend to the context of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(X)$ and of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}(X)$ (for instance, cf. 3) and 4) of C).

H. Fontaine's comparison theory. We assume that $p > 2$ and that X is an integral, regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-scheme having a connected special fibre. We also assume that we can speak about Fontaine's comparison theory for objects of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[c,c+p-2]}(U)$, for any affine, open subscheme U of X which is faithfully flat over $W(k)$ and formally étale over $W(k)[x_1, ..., x_m][\frac{1}{\prod_{i\in S(1,m)} x_i}]$, with m equal to the relative dimension of X over $W(k)$ ([Fa1, 2.6] says that X smooth over $W(k)$ would do; this can be easily extended to pro-étale covers of smooth $W(k)$-schemes). By this we mean that there is a natural fully faithful, contravariant functor $\mathbb{D}_U$ from $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[c,c+p-2]}(U)$ to the category of representations of Γ_U on $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules of finite length which respects the type as defined in [Fa1, p. 37]; here Γ_U is a suitable (like in [Fa1, p. 38-40]) quotient of the fundamental group $\pi_1((U^\wedge)_{B(k)}, \eta_U)$, with η_U as the generic point of $U^\wedge$. We deduce the existence of a fully faithful, contravariant functor (still denoted by) $\mathbb{D}_U$ from $p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[c,c+p-2]}(U)$ to the category of representations of Γ_U on free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules of finite rank. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}_X$ be a suitable fundamental group obtained as in [Fa1, rm. of p. 41] such that we can "glue" the above functors $\mathbb{D}_U$, to get a fully faithful, contravariant functor $\mathbb{D}_X$ from $p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[c,c+p-2]}(X)$ to the category of representations of $\tilde{\Gamma}_X$ on $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules which are free of finite rank. For instance, if X is proper over $W(k)$, then we can take (see [Fa1, 2,6*]) $\tilde{\Gamma}_X = \pi_1(X_{B(k)}, \eta_X)$, with η_X as the generic point of X.

We consider the subcategory $FCT-isog-p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$ of $isog-p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$ which is obtained through the four operations of C but performed starting from the categories $isog-p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[c,c+p-2]}(X)$'s, $c\in\mathbb{Z}$. As in C, we can define it in a fancier way as the tensorial closure of the union of the categories $isog-p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[c,c+p-2]}(X)$'s, $c\in\mathbb{Z}$, in $isog-p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$. We have a natural fibre functor

$$\mathbb{D}_X : FCT-isog-p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X) \to \mathrm{Rep}(\tilde{\Gamma}_X;\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

into the category of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear representations of $\tilde{\Gamma}_X$: it is the tensorial extension of the restriction of $\mathbb{D}$ to the union of the categories $isog-p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[c,c+p-2]}(X)$'s, $c\in\mathbb{Z}$ (so it is obtained via the above operations –of taking kernels, cokernels, tensor products and duals– from $\mathbb{Q}_p$-representations of $\tilde{\Gamma}_X$ obtained by making p-invertible in the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-representations of it associated (via $\mathbb{D}_X$) to objects of $p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[c,c+p-2]}(X)$. Its existence can be checked as in (the proof of) C, using tensorial closures.

I. The quasi-solvable context. The category $isog-unip-p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,0]}(X)$ is a full, tensorial subcategory of $isog-q-unip-p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,0]}(X)$, as well as this last category is a full, tensorial subcategory of $FCT-isog-p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$. So in H, we can speak about the restriction of $\mathbb{D}_X$ to $isog-unip-p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,0]}(X)$ or to $isog-q-unip-p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,0]}(X)$ as being a fibre functor; we do not know if (or when) we can replace here *unip* by *solv*.

It is worth pointing out that:

Fact. *$isog-unip-p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,0]}(X)$ can be naturally identified with the category of unipotent F-isocrystals on X_k.*

Proof: We just need to show that any unipotent F-isocrystal $\mathfrak{C}$ on X_k is obtained from an object of $unip-p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,0]}(X)$ by making p-invertible. Let n be the rank of $\mathfrak{C}$. Let $\mathfrak{C}_1 \subset \mathfrak{C}_2 \subset ... \subset \mathfrak{C}_n = \mathfrak{C}$ be a filtration of it by F-subisocrystals such that $\forall i \in S(1,n-1)$, $\mathfrak{C}_{i+1}/\mathfrak{C}_i$ is a trivial F-isocrystal of rank 1. Let $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{int}}$ be an F-crystal on X_k having $\mathfrak{C}$ as its F-isocrystal. We view it as a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,0]}(X)$ (this can be checked using Teichmüller lifts: the slopes of any unipotent F-isocrystal over a perfect field k_1 are all zero and so any σ_{k_1}-crystal producing it can be viewed as a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,0]}(W(k_1))$). But now, based on this and on Fact of 2.2.1.1 7), we get directly that the intersection of $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{int}}$ with $\mathfrak{C}_i$ taken inside $\mathfrak{C}$ is a p-divisible subobject $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{int}}_i$ of $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{int}}$ and that the quotient $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{int}}_i/\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{int}}_{i-1}$ is a trivial object of $p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,0]}(X)$, $\forall i \in S(1,n)$. This ends the proof.

J. π_1-groupoids. What follows is not used at all in §2-4; however, we hope to come back soon to its important (unexploited) ideas. We refer to E. We assume X_k is connected. We consider the $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$-groupoid

$$\pi_1(FC, n)$$

acting on $X_{W_n(k)}$ and defined (as in [De5, 1.11]) by automorphisms of the almost fibre functor $CAFF_n(FC)$.

The case $n = 1$ is simpler as $CAFF_1(FC)$ is in fact a fibre functor; so (cf. [De5, 1.12]) $\pi_1(FC, 1)$ is faithfully flat over $X_k \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} X_k$ and the tannakian category $FC[p]$ is isomorphic (in the tannakian sense) to the tannakian category of representations of $\pi_1(FC, 1)$. Unfortunately loc. cit. deals only with the case of a base scheme BS which is the spectrum of a field and with (see [De5, 2.8]) locally free sheaves of locally finite rank on some BS-scheme. So we do not stop to check here that similar properties hold for $n \geq 2$. As $FC[p^{n-1}]$ is a full subcategory of $FC[p^n]$ as well as a quotient category of $FC[p^n]$ (via the functor truncation mod p^{n-1}), we have a canonical identification

$$\pi_1(FC, n)_{W_{n-1}(k)} = \pi_1(FC, n-1),$$

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$. So it makes sense to speak about the projective limit of $\pi_1(FC, n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$; it is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-groupoid

$$\pi_1(FC, n \to \infty)$$

on the p-adic formal scheme $X^\wedge$.

Similarly, in the $isog - p$ context, we will not try to work with groupoids over analytic spaces: whenever we refer to a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-context we assume X is either an affine or a proper $W(k)$-scheme. Let now X be affine (resp. proper $W(k)$-scheme). Starting from $isog - p - FC$, we similarly define

$$\pi_1(FC, \infty)$$

to be the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-groupoid acting on $X^\wedge[\frac{1}{p}]$ (resp. on $X_{B(k)}$) defined by the fibre functor $CAFF_\infty(FC)$. Loc. cit. implies $\pi_1(FC, \infty)$ is faithfully flat over $X^\wedge[\frac{1}{p}] \times_{B(k)} X^\wedge[\frac{1}{p}]$ (resp. over $X_{B(k)} \times_{B(k)} X_{B(k)}$).

We expect that in many cases $\pi_1(FC, \infty)$ is "algebraizable" in a natural sense. To exemplify here what we mean by natural sense, we restrict t the case X is affine. In such a case $\pi_1(FC, n \to \infty)$ is algebraizable, i.e. it is obtained naturally from a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-groupoid $\pi_1(FC, alg)$ on $X^\wedge$. Warning: $\pi_1(FC, alg)$ is not necessarily flat over $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

However, denoting by $\pi_1(FC, alg)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ the natural pull back of $\pi_1(FC, alg)$ to a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-groupoid on $X^\wedge[\frac{1}{p}]$, we have a natural homomorphism

$$m_{FC} : \pi_1(FC, alg)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \pi_1(FC, \infty);$$

it is defined by the natural "inverting p" functor $a-p-FC \to isog-p-FC$ which passes to isogeny classes. See 7) below for a study of it. Here we just point out that if X is a proper $W(k)$-scheme, one should similarly be able to construct a natural algebraization of $\pi_1(FC, n \to \infty)$ and a natural homomorphism m_{FC}. Warning: in this paragraph, in case $X^\wedge$ is not equipped with a Frobenius lift, we take $FC = \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}(X)$.

We have lots of variants and properties. 1) to 7) below are just samples of what one can do.

1) The unip and solv variants. Similarly we define $\pi_1^{\text{unip}}(FC, n)$, $\pi_1^{\text{unip}}(FC, \infty)$, $\pi_1^{\text{solv}}(FC, n)$ and $\pi_1^{\text{solv}}(FC, \infty)$, by working in the *unip* or *solv* context. For instance, if X is proper over $W(k)$, $\pi_1^{\text{unip}}(FC, \infty)$ is the groupoid acting on $X_{B(k)}$ defined by automorphisms of the restriction of $CAFF_\infty(FC)$ to $isog - unip - p - FC$.

In general, we have natural homomorphisms of groupoids on $X_{W_n(k)}$

$$\pi_1(FC, n) \to \pi_1^{\text{solv}}(FC, n) \to \pi_1^{\text{unip}}(FC, n)$$

and in case X is an affine or a proper $W(k)$-scheme

$$\pi_1(FC, \infty) \to \pi_1^{\text{solv}}(FC, \infty) \to \pi_1^{\text{unip}}(FC, \infty).$$

2) Cocharacters. One of the nice features: whenever we consider a $W(k_1)$-valued point of X, with k_1 a perfect field containing k, [Wi] allows us to define cocharacters of the resulting pull back groupoids. For instance (see 2.2.14.1 below), we get a cocharacter of the $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$-groupoid on $W_n(k_1)$ obtained by pulling back $\pi_1(FC, n)$ to a $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$-groupoid on $W_n(k_1)$. Such pull backs are extremely useful in studying the flatness of different $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$-groupoids we defined.

3) Fontaine's comparison theory variant. Let now X be as in H. Let $\pi_1(FCT(X))$ (resp. $\pi_1^{\text{unip}}(FCT(X))$) be the group scheme over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ defined as the automorphism of the fibre functor $\mathbb{D}_X$ of H (resp. of its restriction to $isog - unip - p - \mathcal{MF}_{[0,0]}(X)$). Similarly, let $\pi_1(\tilde{\Gamma}_X)$ be the group scheme over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ which is defined by automorphisms of the tautological fibre functor of $\mathrm{Rep}(\tilde{\Gamma}_X; \mathbb{Q}_p)$. We get natural homomorphisms

$$\pi_1(\tilde{\Gamma}_X) \to \pi_1(FCT(X)) \to \pi_1^{\text{unip}}(FCT(X)).$$

4) Pull backs. If $m : X_1 \to X$ is a morphism as in 2.2.1.3, then the above constructions of groupoids are compatible with pull backs. Just one example. We take $FC = \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}(X)$ and $FC_1 = \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}(X_1)$. Then, corresponding to the pull back operation of 2.2.1.3 (see also G) we have a natural morphism

$$\pi_1(FC_1, n) \to m^*(\pi_1(FC, n))$$

of $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$-groupoids on $X_{1W_n(k)}$.

5) The extra feature of the case $k = \mathbb{F}_p$. If $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ and if $z \in X(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, then the pull back of $\pi_1(FC, n)$ via z, is a group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ equipped naturally with a $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$-valued point defined naturally by the Frobenius automorphisms $\varphi_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of $\mathcal{MF}(\mathbb{Z}_p)[p^n]$) (they are obtained as in 2.2.1 c) starting from direct sum decompositions produced by canonical split cocharacters; see 2.2.14.1 below for the mod p^n version of such cocharacters).

6) Some extra features of the $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,0]}(*)$ case. We just include two samples. The Frobenius endomorphism of X_k gives birth, via the interpretation of Fact of I, to a "Frobenius" endomorphism of $\pi_1^{\text{unip}}(\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}(X),\infty)$.

The previous paragraph is just a property of the $[0,0]$ range. If FC is $\mathcal{MF}(X)$ (resp. any one of the Fontaine categories of E involving connections) let $FC_0[p^n] := \mathcal{MF}_{[0,0]}(X)[p^n]$ (resp. let $FC_0[p^n] := \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,0]}(X)[p^n]$). $FC_0[p^n]$ is a full tensorial subcategory of $FC[p^n]$. So the restriction of $CAFF_n(FC)$ to it is an almost fibre functor: we denote by $\pi_1^0(FC,n)$ the $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$-groupoid on $X_{W_n(k)}$ it defines. It is naturally equipped with a Frobenius lift. Moreover, we have a natural homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$-groupoids

$$\pi_1(FC,n) \to \pi_1^0(FC,n).$$

7) The $\mathbb{Z}_p$-context. If $X^\wedge$ is not equipped with a Frobenius lift, then below we work with $FC = \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}(X)$. We have a variant $\pi_1(FC(ESS),n)$ of $\pi_1(FC,n)$, obtained by replacing $FC[p^n]$ with the tensorial closure of the image $FC(ESS)[p^n]$ of $p-FC$ in $FC[p^n]$ via the truncation mod p^n functor. Here (ESS) refers to: essentialized. We have a similar natural identification (with $n \geq 2$)

$$\pi_1(FC(ESS),n)_{W_{n-1}(k)} = \pi_1(FC(ESS),n-1)$$

and a natural homomorphism (with $n \geq 1$)

$$\pi_1(FC,n) \to \pi_1(FC(ESS),n)$$

compatible with restrictions (from mod p^n to mod p^{n-1}, with $n \geq 2$). So passing to limit, we get a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-groupoids

$$m_{FC,n\to\infty} : \pi_1(FC,n\to\infty) \to \pi_1(FC(ESS),n\to\infty).$$

If X is affine, then $\pi_1(FC(ESS),n\to\infty)$ is as well algebraizable, i.e. is defined naturally by a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-groupoid $\pi_1(FC(ESS),alg)$ on $X^\wedge$. Moreover, $m_{FC,n\to\infty}$ is defined naturally by a homomorphism

$$m_{FC,alg} : \pi_1(FC,alg) \to \pi_1(FC(ESS),alg).$$

It seems to us that the pull back of it to a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-groupoid is an isomorphism. We have a natural factorization of m_{FC} through $m_{FC,alg_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$: we denote it by $m_{FC(ESS)}$. We have the following philosophy:

Ph. *$\pi_1(FC(ESS),alg)$ is the "standard $\mathbb{Z}_p$" part, while $\pi_1(FC,alg)$ "captures" the "exotic p-torsion" as well.*

Proposition. *We assume X is proper and geometrically connected over $W(k)$. Then there is a uniquely determined $\mathbb{Z}_p$-groupoid $\pi_1(FC(ESS), alg)$ on X having the properties:*

a) *Its p-adic completion is canonically identified with $\pi_1(FC(ESS), n \to \infty)$.*

b) *Its pull back to a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-groupoid on $X_{B(k)}$ is canonically identified with $\pi_1(FC, \infty)$.*

c) *For any affine, open subscheme U of X having a non-empty special fibre, the pull back to $\mathcal{U} := U^\wedge \times_{W(k)} B(k)$ of the identifications of a) and b) are compatible with the canonical homomorphism $m_{FC(ESS)_\mathcal{U}} : \pi_1(FC(ESS), n \to \infty)_\mathcal{U} \to \pi_1(FC, \infty)_\mathcal{U}$.*

Proof: In essence, this is obvious: so we go quickly through the main details. We use freely Grothendieck's algebraization theorem. We need the following obvious Fact.

Fact. *Any finite subcategory of $p - isog - FC$ is obtained from a finite category of $p - FC$ by making p-invertible.*

We recall that we adopted (see 2.1) the point of view that FC is a small category. To avoid using the notion of universes (which we do not mention any way), the reader if desires, for what follows can work with a skeleton of $p - FC$. We consider the set $S(FC)$ formed by finite subcategories of $p - FC$. We order it under the relation of inclusion: it is a filtered set. For each $\alpha \in S(FC)$, we consider the functor

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha : \alpha \to LF(X)$$

which associates to each object of α the algebraization of its underlying $\mathcal{O}_{X^\wedge}$-module and which acts on morphisms in the logical way (via algebraizations). Let G_α be the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-groupoid on X parameterizing automorphisms of $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$.

If α_1, $\alpha_2 \in S(FC)$ are such that $\alpha_1 \subset \alpha_2$, then the restriction of $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_2}$ to α_1 is $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_1}$. We deduce that we have a natural homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-groupoids

$$f_{\alpha_2,\alpha_1} : G_{\alpha_2} \to G_{\alpha_1}.$$

We take

$$\pi_1(FC(ESS), alg) := \text{proj.}\,\text{lim.}_{\alpha \in S(FC)} G_\alpha$$

via f_{α_2,α_1}'s. The Fact implies that its fibre over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is $\pi_1(FC, \infty)$. Similarly, the natural morphism

$$\pi_1(FC(ESS), n) \to \pi_1(FC(ESS), alg)_{W_n(k)} = \text{proj.}\,\text{lim.}_{\alpha \in S(FC)} G_{\alpha W_n(k)}$$

is an isomorphism. The equality part is due to the fact that tensor products commute with inductive limits. The fact that the arrow is an isomorphism is a consequence of the fact that $FC(ESS)[p^n]$ is the tensorial closure of the image of $TR_n(FC)$ (of 2.2.1.6 7)): from the point of view of groupoids of automorphisms of almost fibre functors, the operation of taking the tensorial closure is irrelevant (cf. the exactitude of almost fibre functors and the list of 4 operations of C). This takes care of a) and b). c) can be checked locally; it boils down to: if R is a flat $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra, then the only $\mathbb{Z}_p$-subalgebra R_1 of $R[\frac{1}{p}]$ such that $R_1[\frac{1}{p}] = R[\frac{1}{p}]$ and $R_1^\wedge = R^\wedge$, is R itself. This is a consequence of the fact that $R = R[\frac{1}{p}] \cap R^\wedge$, the intersection being taken inside $R^\wedge[\frac{1}{p}]$. This ends the proof.

K. Some comparisons. We will be brief. Whatever was done above in the context of Fontaine categories can be redone in the de Rham context (of X). One defines different tannakian categories of vector bundles on $X_{W_n(k)}$ (or on X or $X_{B(k)}$, etc.) which are or are not endowed with some specific type of connections. So we get, as above, plenty of π_1^{dR} groupoids. It is natural to try to "compare" them. The unipotent case is by far the easiest, cf. the following general Fact.

Fact. *Let O and u be as in F. Let $K := O[\frac{1}{u}]$. Let X be a proper, flat O-scheme whose ring of global sections is O. Then any pair $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$, with $\mathcal{F}$ a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X_K}$-sheaf of finite rank r and with ∇ a connection on it, which is obtained from the pair $(\mathcal{O}_{X_K}, d)$ (with d as the connection annihilating 1) via short exact sequences, is obtained, up to isomorphism, from a similar pair $(\mathcal{F}(X), \nabla)$ on X by making u invertible.*

Proof: We proceed by induction on r. The case $r = 1$ is trivial. We now consider a short exact sequence

$$(SES) \qquad 0 \to (\mathcal{F}_1, \nabla_1) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{F}, \nabla) \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{X_K}, d) \to 0,$$

such that $(\mathcal{F}_1, \nabla_1) = (\mathcal{F}_1(X), \nabla_1)_K$, with $(\mathcal{F}_1(X), \nabla_1)$ a pair obtained from $(\mathcal{O}_X, d)$ via short exact sequences. $M := \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{F}_1(X))$ is a finitely generated O-module. Let $\gamma \in M[\frac{1}{u}]$ be the class of (SES) viewed without connections. Considering the pull back of (SES) via the automorphism of $(\mathcal{O}_{X_K}, d)$ defined by u^m, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and big enough, we can assume that $\gamma \in M$ and that ∇ takes the $\mathcal{O}_X$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}(X)$ (which is the extension of $\mathcal{O}_X$ through $\mathcal{F}_1(X)$) defined by γ into $\mathcal{F}(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/O}$. This ends the proof.

The tannakian category $isog - unip - p - \mathcal{MF}(X)$ used here is different from the one used in [Shi1-2]: loc. cit. uses unipotent isocrystals and not unipotent F-isocrystals, i.e (cf. the below Lemma) uses $isog - p - UNIP(X_k)$.

Lemma. *Any object $\mathfrak{C}$ of $p - UNIP(X_k)$ is a unipotent crystal, i.e is obtained from short exact sequences of direct summand type from objects of $p - UNIP(X_k)$ which are crystals on X_k in invertible sheaves.*

Proof (slightly sketched): Let $\mathfrak{D}_n$ be the maximal subobject of $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$ which is obtained by pulling back a $W_n(k)$-module of finite type (and endowed with the trivial connection); its existence is implied by the fact that $UNIP(X_k)[p^n]$ is an abelian category having direct sums and of the fact that any quotient object of any such pull back is as well a pull back of a $W_n(k)$-module of finite type. By very definitions, the $\mathcal{O}_{X_{W_n(k)}}$-sheaf of the evaluation of $\mathfrak{D}_n$ at $X_{W_n(k)}$ is non-trivial. We consider the maximal subobject $\mathfrak{E}_n$ of $\mathfrak{D}_n$ with the property that the $\mathcal{O}_{X_{W_n(k)}}$-sheaf of its evaluation at $X_{W_n(k)}$ is free. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have a natural monomorphism $i_{n,m} : \mathfrak{E}_{n+m}[p^n] \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{E}_n$. Let $\mathfrak{F}_n$ be the intersection of the images of all $i_{n,m}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By reasons of ranks, we get a natural isomorphism $j_{n,1} : \mathfrak{F}_{n+1}[p^n] \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_n$. The projective limit of $j_{n,1}$'s define a subobject $\mathfrak{F}$ of

$\mathfrak{C}$. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{F}$ is the pull back of a free $W(k)$-module of positive rank and that $\mathfrak{C}/\mathfrak{F}$ is an object of $p-UNIP(X_k)$. So the Lemma follows by induction on the rank of $\mathfrak{C}$.

We can perform B to J in the context of $UNIP(X_k)$. As in E (resp. in F) we get that $UNIP(X_k)[p^n]$, $p-UNIP(X_k)$, $isog-p-UNIP(X_k)$ are rigid (resp. that $UNIP(X_k)[p^n]$ is tannakian over $W_n(k)$; its canonical almost fibre functor $CAFF_n(UNIP(X_k))$ is defined by evaluations at $X_{W_n(k)}$). As in G we speak about pull backs of objects of $UNIP(X_k)$, of $p-UNIP(X_k)$, etc., under morphisms $X_1 \to X$. b) of Fact of A holds as well for $unip-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$. So very often, for unipotent contexts we do not need to make distinction between some "essentialized" or not (see 7) of J). However, to simplify the things below we work in "essentialized" contexts.

From now on we assume X is proper. By replacing in the Proposition of J, $FC(ESS)$ by $unip-FC(ESS)$ and by $UNIP(X_k)(ESS)$ we speak respectively about $\pi_1^{\mathrm{unip}}(FC(ESS), alg)$ and about the unipotent, crystalline fundamental $W(k)$-groupoid $\pi_1^{\mathrm{unip,crys}}(X_k, X)$ on X of X_k; so

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{unip,crys}}(X_k, X_{W_n(k)}) := \pi_1^{\mathrm{unip,crys}}(X_k, W(k))_{W_n(k)}$$

is the groupoid of automorphisms of the canonical almost fibre functor obtained by restricting $CAFF_n(UNIP(X_k))$ to $UNIP(X_k)(ESS)[p^n]$. The combination of the Fact and of the Lemma implies that its fibre over $B(k)$ is the same as the $B(k)$-groupoid on $X_{B(k)}$ we get using vector bundles endowed with a flat connection and which are unipotent in the natural sense.

We have a natural forgetful functor (which forgets the Frobenius endomorphisms)

$$unip-p-\mathcal{MF}(X) \to p-UNIP(X_k)$$

and so, at the level of $W(k)$-groupoids we get a homomorphism

$$m_{\mathrm{unip}} : \pi_1^{\mathrm{unip,crys}}(X_k, X) \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{unip}}(\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}(X)(ESS), alg)_{W(k)}.$$

There are situations when m_{unip} is an isomorphism; however, in general we have to look at $\pi_1^{\mathrm{unip}}(\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}(X), alg)$ as a quotient (often trivial) of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{unip,crys}}(X_k, X)$.

If $X^{\wedge}$ is not (resp. is) equipped with a Frobenius lift, then the fibre product

$$\pi_1^F(X)$$

of m_{unip} with the natural homomorphism

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}(X)(ESS), alg)_{W(k)} \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{unip}}(\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}(X)(ESS), alg)_{W(k)}$$

(resp. with $\pi_1(\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)(ESS), alg)_{W(k)} \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{unip}}(\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}(X)(ESS), alg)_{W(k)}$) is called Fontaine's crystalline fundamental $W(k)$-groupoid of X; it is on X.

2.2.4.1. Complements. 1) $\pi_1^{\text{unip,crys}}(X_k, X)$ is a $W(k)$-groupoid on X and so it does depend on X. On the other hand $UNIP(X_k)$ depends only on X_k. To reconcile these two things, we can proceed in two ways. In the first way, we start with an arbitrary regular, formally smooth k-scheme Y_k. We define the unipotent crystalline fundamental crystal in $W(k)$-groupoids

$$\pi_1 := \pi_1^{\text{unip,crys}}(Y_k)$$

as follows. We work with $CRIS(Y_k/W(k))$. If (Z, i, δ) is an arbitrary object of it (i.e. a thickening of some Y_k-scheme S_k; so $i : S_k \hookrightarrow Z$ is a closed embedding and δ is a PD-structure on the ideal sheaf defining i), to define $\pi_1(Z, i, \delta)$ we can assume that Z is affine, that $p^n = 0$ in Z, and that there is a $W(k)$-morphism $m_{Z,\tilde{Y}}$ from Z to an affine, regular, formally smooth lift $\tilde{Y}$ of an open subscheme $\tilde{Y}_k$ of Y_k whose restriction to S_k is the k-morphism $S_k \to Y_k$. Then we take

$$\pi_1(Z, i, \delta) := m_{Z,\tilde{Y}}^*(\pi_1^{\text{unip,crys}}(\tilde{Y}_k, \tilde{Y}_{W_n(k)})).$$

As the objects of $UNIP(Y_k)$ are crystals in coherent sheaves, it is trivial to check that π_1 is indeed a crystal in $W(k)$-groupoids. For this definition of π_1, it is enough to have X_k such that locally in the Zariski topology, X_k has flat lifts to $W(k)$ which, when viewed naturally as thickenings of X_k, are final objects of $CRIS(X_k/W(k))$; in particular, the π_1 crystal in groupoids can be defined for arbitrary perfect k-schemes.

For the second way, we assume Y_k is connected. Then the pull back of π_1 via any $y \in Y_k(k_1)$, with k_1 a perfect field containing k, is a crystal on $\text{Spec}(k_1)$ in group schemes. So it can be identified with an affine group scheme $\pi_1(y) = \text{Spec}(R_y)$ over $W(k_1)$ (cf. also the Proposition of J; we view $\text{Spec}(W(k_1))$ as a proper scheme over itself). We will not stop to check if (or when) $\pi_1(y)$ does not depend on $y \in X(W(k_1))$. It is well known that the generic fibre $\pi_1(y)_{B(k_1)}$ does not depend on y. Argument: $\pi_1(y)_{B(k_1)}$ is a pro-unipotent group scheme and so $H^1(\Gamma_k, \pi_1(y)_{B(k_1)}(\overline{B(k)})) = 0$; on the other hand [De5, 1.12] and [Mi1, Cor. A.9] tell us that $\pi_1(y_1)_{B(k_1)}$ is an inner form of $\pi_1(y)_{B(k_1)}$, $\forall y_1 \in Y_k(k_1)$. However, this seems not to be enough to conclude that $\pi_1(y)$ does not depend on y.

Denoting, $I_y := \text{Ker}(R_y \to R_y \otimes_{W(k_1)} B(k_1)))$, the group scheme naturally defined by $\text{Spec}(R_y/I_y)$ is referred as the flat part $\pi_1^{\text{flat}}(y)$ of $\pi_1(y)$. We do not stop to check when $\pi_1^{\text{flat}}(y)$ is of finite type (over $W(k_1)$). Even if its generic fibre is of finite type (over $B(k_1)$), we can not conclude from the proof of Proposition of J that it is of finite type; however, referring to the Fact of 2.2.4 K, we can always choose $(\mathcal{F}(X), \nabla)$ to be maximal in some sense and this is our guarantee that at least in many cases $\pi_1^{\text{flat}}(y)$ is of finite type. However, π_1 is only one of the numerous possible variants: we can always restrict our attention to subcategories of $p - UNIP(X_k)$ of interest; for instance, referring to 2.2.4 J, we can work as well with some G_α having the property that its generic fibre is the group scheme (assumed to be of finite type) $\pi_1(y)_{B(k_1)}$.

2) We refer to 7) of 2.2.4 J. The study of $m_{FC,alg}$ is related to the study of objects of FC which have lifts. If $0 \to \mathcal{O}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_2 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_3 \to 0$ is a short exact sequence in FC, b) of 2.2.4 A points out that often $\mathcal{O}_2$ has a lift if $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_3$ do have. In general, $\mathcal{O}_1$ has a lift if $\mathcal{O}_2$ does. Using this one gets that often any morphism between objects of FC having a lift is the cokernel of a commutative (square) diagram in $p-FC$. Still this is not enough to get that $m_{FC,alg}$ has a section. The problem is with pairs of morphisms of FC of the form

$$\mathcal{O}_1 \to \mathcal{O} \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_2$$

where $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ have lifts while $\mathcal{O}$ does not. Usually we overcome this problem by considering only objects of FC which are self dual. Briefly, we denote by $SD-FC$ the category:

– whose objects are pairs $(\mathcal{O}, f)$, with $\mathcal{O}$ an object of FC and with $f : \mathcal{O} \tilde{\to} \mathcal{O}^t$ an isomorphism of FC;

– whose morphisms are the logical ones (if $(\mathcal{O}_1, f_1)$ is another object of $(\mathcal{O}, f)$, then the morphisms from $(\mathcal{O}, f)$ to $(\mathcal{O}_1, f_1)$ are given by morphisms $h : \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}_1$ of FC such that we have $f_1 \circ h = h^t \circ f$, with $h^t : \mathcal{O}_1 \to \mathcal{O}$ as the dual of h).

It is a tensorial, $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear category. Similarly, we get $SD-FC(ESS)$. $m_{FC,alg}$ has an analogue in the context of $SD-FC(ESS)$; it can be checked that it has a section. This points out that we can speak about self dual (or orthogonal or symplectic) fundamental groupoids (cf. also 2.2.23 below).

3) All above can be redone over finite, ramified, DVR extensions of $W(k)$ of index of ramification at most $p-1$ (cf. also 2.2.1.4.3). To us, based on the Fact of I and of a logical ramified version of it, the comparisons in the unipotent F-context (of $isog-unip-p-\mathcal{MF}(X)$) or of $FCT-isog-p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$ are all a consequence of Fontaine's comparison theory of [Fa2, th. 5*]. So to us the interesting cases of comparisons are the ones involving $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}(X)$ or $p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$ or an index of ramification which is greater than $p-1$. We hope to come back to them. We end by mentioning that the whole of 2.2.4 can be adapted to the log-smooth context (see [Shi1-2] in connection to $isog-p-UNIP(X_k)$).

4) Warning: in the almost p-divisible context (for instance, of $a-p-FC$), though we have an identity object, we do not have duals; so, working with EDC instead of DC, we do not get useful extensions of 2.2.4 F. However, we can work with almost p-divisible objects in order to construct directly different algebraizations of ($W(k)$-) $\mathbb{Z}_p$-groupoids; for instance, we have a variant of the Proposition of 2.2.4 J in the context of $\pi_1(FC, n \to \infty)$: we just need to replace in its proof $p-FC$ by $a-p-FC$.

5) We refer to 2.2.4 C. We have a variant

$$\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(big-tens)}(X)$$

(resp. $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(big-p+tens)}(X)$) of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(tens)}(X)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}(X)$) obtained by allowing besides the mentioned 4 operations (of 2.2.4 C) an extra one:

– taking subobjects compatible with all gluings (see (GLUE) of C) of objects obtained naturally by changes of Frobenius lifts of the p-adic completion of open, affine subschemes of X.

Warning: we do not know when we can define pull backs for these variants. However, see 1.15.1 and 2.2.20.1 9) for their usage. The same apply in the context of $\nabla(loc-tens)$.

6) All of 2.2.4 can redone in the topologically (q-) solvable (or unipotent) context. Though it might look premature to say, it seems to us that such topological contexts are right contexts for making important steps towards the understanding of "the whole" crystalline cohomology group of X_k's as above (and not only of their unipotent counterparts).

2.2.5. Definitions. Let X be a connected scheme.

1) A Shimura group pair over X is a pair $(G,[\mu])$, with G a reductive group over X and with $[\mu]$ a $G(X')$-conjugacy class of cocharacters $\mu:\mathbb{G}_m\to G_{X'}$ defined over a connected étale cover X' of X, such that the induced (via inner conjugation) action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{X'})$ is defined (warning!) precisely by the characters: the null, the identical and the inverse of the identical character of $\mathbb{G}_m$. So μ is automatically a closed embedding. If moreover G is an adjoint group, then we speak about a Shimura adjoint group pair.

2) Two such Shimura group pairs $(G,[\mu_1])$ and $(G,[\mu_2])$ over X (with $\mu_i:\mathbb{G}_m\to G_{X_i}$ defined over a connected étale cover X_i of X, $i=\overline{1,2}$) are said to be identical if there is a connected étale cover X' of X, dominating X_1 and X_2 and over which μ_1 and μ_2 define the same $G(X')$-conjugacy class of cocharacters of $G_{X'}$.

3) For any such Shimura group pair $(G,[\mu])$ over X, we denote by $[\mathrm{Lie}(\mu)]$ the $G^{\mathrm{ad}}(X')$-conjugacy class of the (rank one) direct summand $d\mu(\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_m))$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{X'})$. We refer to the pair $(\mathrm{Lie}(G),[\mathrm{Lie}(\mu)])$ as the Shimura Lie pair (over X) attached to the Shimura group pair $(G,[\mu])$.

4) Two such Shimura Lie pairs $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_1),[\mathrm{Lie}(\mu_1)])$ and $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_2),[\mathrm{Lie}(\mu_2)])$ over X (with μ_i as a cocharacter of G_{X_i}, for X_i a connected étale cover of X), are said to be isomorphic if:

a) there is an isomorphism of Lie algebras $\rho:\mathrm{Lie}(G_1)\tilde{\to}\mathrm{Lie}(G_2)$, taking the kernel of the natural Lie homomorphism (between locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$-sheaves endowed with a Lie structure) $q_1:\mathrm{Lie}(G_1)\to\mathrm{Lie}(G_1^{\mathrm{ad}})$ onto the kernel of the similarly defined natural Lie homomorphism $q_2:\mathrm{Lie}(G_2)\to\mathrm{Lie}(G_2^{\mathrm{ad}})$, and such that the resulting isomorphism $\mathrm{Im}(q_1)\tilde{\to}\mathrm{Im}(q_2)$ is obtained naturally from an isomorphism $\mathrm{Lie}(G_1^{\mathrm{ad}})\tilde{\to}\mathrm{Lie}(G_2^{\mathrm{ad}})$ induced by an isomorphism $G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}\tilde{\to}G_2^{\mathrm{ad}}$;

b) over a connected étale cover X' of X, dominating X_1 and X_2, ρ takes $[\mathrm{Lie}(\mu_{1X'})]$ onto $[\mathrm{Lie}(\mu_{2X'})]$.

2.2.6. Remarks. 1) Most common in 2.2.5 1), either:

a) G is split and X is local henselian, or

b) $X=\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (resp. $X=\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$) and G (resp. $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$) is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

In case a) we can take $X'=X$. Argument: we consider a cocharacter of G which over the spectrum of the separable closure k_X^{sep} of the residue field k_X of X is $G(k_X^{\mathrm{sep}})$-conjugate to $\mu_{k_X^{\mathrm{sep}}}$, and so we can assume X is strictly henselian. We just need to show that any two cocharacters μ_1 and μ_2 of G which over k_X are identical, are in fact $G(X)$-conjugate. Based on [SGA3, Vol. III, 6.1 of p. 32] (applied to the centralizers of the images of μ_1 and μ_2 in G) and [SGA3, Vol. III, 1.5 of p. 329], we can assume μ_1 and μ_2 factor through the same torus of G. This implies $\mu_1 = \mu_2$.

For future references we also point out that

$$(DER) \qquad G^{\mathrm{ad}}(X) = T_{\mathrm{ad}}(X)q(G(X)),$$

where T_{ad} is an arbitrary maximal torus of G^{ad} and $q : G \twoheadrightarrow G^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is the natural epimorphism (this formula can be obtained by simply using two short exact sequences $0 \to Z(G^{\mathrm{der}}) \hookrightarrow G^{\mathrm{der}} \twoheadrightarrow G^{\mathrm{ad}} \to 0$ and $0 \to Z(G^{\mathrm{der}}) \hookrightarrow T_{\mathrm{der}} \twoheadrightarrow T_{\mathrm{ad}} \to 0$, with T_{der} as the pull back of T_{ad} to G^{der}, in the cohomological context of the étale or flat topology of X).

In case b) there is a smallest unramified cover X' of X such that the Shimura group pair involved is definable by a cocharacter $\mu: \mathbb{G}_m \to G_{X'}$, cf. [Mi3, 4.6-7] (resp. cf. loc. cit., [Bo2, 15.14] and the fact –it can be deduced from [Ti2]– that $G_{X'}$ has a maximal split torus whose generic fibre is as well a maximal split torus).

2) We can work 2.2.5 in terms of the faithfully flat (or étale) topology of X but for this paper, the present form of 2.2.5 is all that we need.

3) Based on 2.2.5 1) and 2), we speak about morphisms (maps) between two Shimura group pairs $(G_1, [\mu_1])$ and $(G_2, [\mu_2])$ over X: these are homomorphisms $f : G_1 \to G_2$ such that for a simply connected pro-étale cover X' of X, $[\mu_{1X'} \circ f_{X'}] = [\mu_{2X'}]$. We denote such a morphism by

$$f : (G_1, [\mu_1]) \to (G_2, [\mu_2]).$$

It is called an injective map (resp. an isomorphism), if as a group homomorphism is a closed embedding (resp. is an isomorphism). We get the category $\mathrm{ShGp}(X)$ of Shimura group pairs over X.

4) A study of Shimura group pairs over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ together with their symplectic embeddings (i.e. injective maps into $\big(\mathrm{GSp}(W_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, \psi), [\mu]\big)$; here $(W_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, \psi)$ is a symplectic space over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, while $[\mu]$ is uniquely determined by requiring that $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to GSp(W_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, \psi)$ acts on $W_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ via the null and the inverse of the identical character of $\mathbb{G}_m$), is implicitly started in 3.10 and is fully developed in §7. See 4.6.7-8 below for samples.

5) Any Shimura variety $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)$ of dimension ≥ 1 gives birth to a Shimura group pair $(G, [\mu])$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})$. Here $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_{E^1(G,X)}$ is a cocharacter, with $E^1(G, X)$ a finite field extension of $E(G, X)$, which over $\mathbb{C}$ under an $E(G, X)$-monomorphism $E^1(G, X) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, is $G(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to anyone of the cocharacters μ_x, $x \in X$, def. in [Va2, 2.2]. The association: $(G, [\mu])$ to $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)$, is functorial.

2.2.7. Remark. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. If X is local henselian and if G is an X-simple, adjoint, split group, then the Shimura group pairs $(G, [\mu])$ are implicitly classified by [De3, 1.3.9] (cf. also [Sa]); it is [SGA3, Vol. III, 6.1 of p. 32 and 1.5 of p. 329] which allows us to treat the situation as if X is the spectrum of a field. We have $\left[\frac{\ell+1}{2}\right]$ isomorphism classes if G is of A_ℓ ($\ell \geq 1$) Lie type, 2 isomorphism classes if G is of D_ℓ Lie type ($\ell \geq 5$), and one such class if G is of D_4, E_6, E_7, B_ℓ or C_ℓ Lie type ($\ell \geq 1$). There are no Shimura group pairs $(\tilde{G}, [\tilde{\mu}])$ with $\tilde{G}$ an X-simple, adjoint group of E_8, F_4 or G_2 Lie type.

Moreover any Shimura group pair $(G_1, [\mu_1])$ over such an X, with G_1 an adjoint group, can be written uniquely (up to isomorphism) as a product of pairs $(G_2, [\mu_2])$ which are either having μ_2 as the trivial cocharacter or are Shimura group pairs having G_2 as an X-simple, adjoint group. This is a consequence of the classification of adjoint groups over a field (see [Ti1]).

2.2.8. Basic definitions and notations. 1) A Shimura filtered σ-crystal over k is a quadruple (M, F^1, φ, G), with (M, F^1, φ) a filtered σ-crystal such that F^1 is a proper direct summand of M and with G a quasi-split reductive subgroup of $GL(M)$, for which the following condition is satisfied:

a) There is a family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ of the F^0-filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ defined by F^1, such that $\varphi(t_\alpha) = t_\alpha$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, and $G_{B(k)}$ is the subgroup of $GL\big(M[\frac{1}{p}]\big)$ fixing t_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$.

The following two properties (introducing extra notations) are implied by this condition a):

b) The quadruple $\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G), \varphi, F^0\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G)\big), F^1\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G)\big)\big)$ is a filtered Lie σ-crystal; here

$$F^0\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G)\big) := \mathrm{Lie}(G) \cap F^0\big(\mathrm{End}(M)\big) = \big\{x \in \mathrm{Lie}(G) \bigm| x(F^1) \subset F^1\big\}$$

and

$$F^1\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G)\big) := \mathrm{Lie}(G) \cap F^1\big(\mathrm{End}(M)\big) = \big\{x \in \mathrm{Lie}(G) \bigm| x(F^1) = \{0\} \,\mathrm{and}\, x(M) \subset F^1\big\};$$

c) There is an injective cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow G$ producing a direct sum decomposition $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$, with $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acting through μ on F^i as the multiplication with β^{-i}, $i = \overline{0,1}$.

The argument for why a) implies b) and c) goes as follows. c) is a consequence of 2.2.1.2 and of the assumption that F^1 is a proper direct summand of M. From c) we get that $F^i\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G)\big) \otimes_{W(k)} k$ is the intersection of $F^i\big(\mathrm{End}(M)\big) \otimes_{W(k)} k$ with $\mathrm{Lie}(G) \otimes_{W(k)} k$. So $\varphi\big(p\mathrm{Lie}(G) + F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) + \frac{1}{p}F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G)\big)$ is a direct summand of $\mathrm{End}(M)$; as it is included in $\mathrm{Lie}(G)[\frac{1}{p}]$ (this is a consequence of a)), it is $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ itself. c) implies $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ is a parabolic Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ having $F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ as its unipotent radical and we have $[\mathrm{Lie}(G), F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G))] \subset F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$; so b) follows.

2) A Shimura σ-crystal over k is a triple (M, φ, G) which can be extended to a quadruple (M, F^1, φ, G) defining a Shimura filtered σ-crystal over k. F^1 itself or this quadruple, is called a lift of (M, φ, G).

3) A generalized Shimura p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$ is a quadruple

$$(M, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G),$$

where the triple $(M,(F^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)},\varphi)$ is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$ (so $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$, with $a\le b$) and G is a quasi-split reductive subgroup of $GL(M)$, for which the following three conditions hold:

a') The condition a) above holds under the modification that the F^0-filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ is defined (see 2.1) by the filtration $(F^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)}$ of M;

b') The condition b) holds but with $F^0\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G)\big)$ and $F^1\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G)\big)$ as direct summands of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ induced (see 2.1) from the tensor product filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M)$;

c') The cocharacter $\mu:\mathbb{G}_m\to G$ obtained by applying 2.2.1.2 to the quadruple $(M,(F^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)},\varphi,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ (cf. a')) and producing a direct sum decomposition $M=\oplus_{i\in S(a,b)}\tilde{F}^i$, with $\beta\in\mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acting through μ on $\tilde{F}^i$ as the multiplication with β^{-i}, $i=\overline{a,b}$, and with $F^i(M)=\oplus_{j\in S(i,b)}\tilde{F}^j$, is such that at least two of the $W(k)$-submodules $\tilde{F}^i$, $i=\overline{a,b}$, are non-zero, and so in particular it is injective.

3a) If in 3) we do not impose b') and we just assume that G is reductive, then we speak about a p-divisible object with a reductive structure of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$.

4) A generalized Shimura p-divisible object over k is a triple (M,φ,G) which can be extended to a quadruple $(M,(F^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)},\varphi,G)$, called a lift of (M,φ,G), defining a generalized Shimura p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$.

4a) Similarly to 4), starting from 3a) we speak about a p-divisible object with a reductive structure over k (in the range $[a,b]$) and about its lifts.

5) Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$. A Shimura σ^n-isocrystal over k is a triple $(M_{B(k)},\varphi,G_{B(k)})$, where $(M_{B(k)},\varphi)$ is a σ^n-isocrystal over k and $G_{B(k)}$ is a reductive subgroup of $GL(M_{B(k)})$, for which there is a family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ of $\mathcal{T}(M_{B(k)})$ such that $\varphi(t_\alpha)=t_\alpha$, $\forall\alpha\in\mathcal{J}$, and $G_{B(k)}$ is the subgroup of $GL(M_{B(k)})$ fixing t_α, $\forall\alpha\in\mathcal{J}$.

6) A Shimura filtered σ^n-isocrystal over k is a quadruple

$$(M_{B(k)},(F^i(M_{B(k)}))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}},\varphi,G_{B(k)}),$$

where $(M_{B(k)},\varphi,G_{B(k)})$ is a Shimura σ^n-isocrystal over k and $(F^i(M_{B(k)}))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a decreasing filtration of $M_{B(k)}$, such that, with the notations of 5), we can moreover choose the family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ to be in the F^0-filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M_{B(k)})$ defined by the filtration $(F^i(M_{B(k)}))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of $M_{B(k)}$. When $n=1$ we drop it.

7) By an endomorphism of a Shimura (resp. Shimura filtered) σ-crystal (M,φ,G) (resp. (M,F^1,φ,G)) we mean an element $f\in\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ (resp. $f\in F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$) fixed by φ. We denote it by $f:(M,\varphi,G)\to(M,\varphi,G)$ (resp. $f:(M,F^1,\varphi,G)\to(M,F^1,\varphi,G)$). The set of such endomorphisms has a natural structure of a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Similarly, we define endomorphisms for 3) to 6).

2.2.9. Remarks, facts and variants. 0) We often drop out mentioning "over k".

1) We refer to 2.2.8 1). We saw that condition a) implies $\varphi\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G)[\frac{1}{p}]\big)=\mathrm{Lie}(G)[\frac{1}{p}]$. It is "almost" implied by b), cf. [Fa2, rm. iii) after th. 10]: in loc. cit. we do have to consider Tate-twists as well; moreover, according to us, the first (warning: not the second) part of loc. cit. involving the existence of the line L_0 is not correct as it stands (this is why we used condition a) to define Shimura filtered σ-crystals).

To argue this, let $\mathfrak{C}_0 := (M_0, F_0^1, \varphi_0)$ be a filtered σ-crystal. Let $\rho_0 : \Gamma_k \to GL(N_0)(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the Galois representation defined by the dual of the Tate-module of any p-divisible group D_0 over $W(k)$ of whose associated filtered σ-crystal is $\mathfrak{C}_0$. If $p = 2$ we assume D_0 exists (it does exist after replacing k by an abelian extension of degree dividing a power of 2 which depends only on (M_0, F_0^1), cf. the Corollary of the review 2.3.18.1 D below). So N_0 is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of the same rank as M_0. If $p \geq 3$, then ρ_0 is uniquely determined by $\mathfrak{C}_0$ (for instance, cf. [Fa2, th. 7]). Let G_0 be the flat, closed subgroup of $GL(N_0)$ which is the algebraic envelope of ρ_0 (see [Se1]). Let G_1 be an arbitrary integral, closed subgroup of $GL(N_0)$ normalized by G_0. Let $\tilde{G}_1$ be the integral, closed subgroup of $GL(M_0)$ corresponding to G_1 via Fontaine's comparison theory (for $p = 2$ it is recalled in 2.3.18.1 E below). We assume it is smooth and its Lie algebra is the underlying module of a Lie p-divisible subobject of $End(\mathfrak{C}_0)$ (if $p \geq 5$, the second part of this assumption is implied by the first one, cf. [Fa1, 2.6]). It is very easy to adjust the situation such that $\tilde{G}_1$ has a connected special fibre as well. But if a line L_0 exists as in [Fa2, rm. iii) after th. 10], then G_0 is contained in a subgroup of $GL(N_0)$ which is the extension of $\mathbb{G}_m$ by G_1. This is not always so. So what is missing in loc. cit.? The mistake consists in: the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodules of $\mathcal{T}(N_0)$ of rank 1 and normalized by G_1, are not fixed by G_0 but just permuted (and the orbits under the resulting permutation are not necessarily finite). In other words, there are two ingredients missing in loc. cit.: condition c) as well as the passage to an algebraic field extension of k (see the Fact below).

We come back to our situation of 2.2.8 1). As already pointed out c) results from a). On the other hand, we have:

Fact. *If $k = \bar{k}$ and the conditions b) and c) hold, then a) holds automatically.*

Proof: We just need to use a standard argument involving $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structures (it is recalled in 8) below) and the fact that $G_{B(k)}$ is reductive: with the independent notations of 8), $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is the subgroup of $GL(M^a[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixing some tensors of $\mathcal{T}(M^a)$) (cf. [De4, 3.1 c)]); viewing these tensors as tensors of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ (via the natural identification $M = M^a \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$) we do get that a) holds automatically.

So no doubt, a great part of the essence of def. 2.2.8 1) is expressed by b). All above applies as well to the context of 2.2.8 3).

1') 1) suggests the introduction of pseudo Shimura (filtered) σ-crystals: instead of a) of 2.2.8 1) we assume just b) of 2.2.8 1). Similarly, if b) and c) hold and a) holds after a passage to $W(k_1)$, with k_1 a finite field extension of k (resp. with $k_1 = \bar{k}$), then we speak about quasi (resp. potentially) Shimura (filtered) σ-crystals. Warning: for these notions we still keep G to be a quasi-split reductive group over $W(k)$. The greatest part of the terminology to be introduced below for Shimura σ-crystals makes sense (and so it is used as well without extra comment) for these pseudo, quasi or potentially contexts.

We have the following Galois interpretations. Not to introduce extra notations, we refer to the context of a pseudo Shimura filtered σ-crystal $(M_0, F_0^1, \varphi_0, \tilde{G}_1)$ as in 1) (so $\tilde{G}_1$ is a quasi-split reductive group and G_0 normalizes G_1; for $p = 2$ we assume D_0 exists).

Fact. *$(M_0, F_0^1, \varphi_0, \tilde{G}_1)$ is a Shimura (resp. quasi Shimura) filtered σ-crystal iff G_0 (resp. the Zariski closure in $GL(N_0)$ of the connected component of the origin of $G_{0\mathbb{Q}_p}$) is a subgroup of G_1.*

Proof: Below we use freely the passage (via Fontaine's comparison theory) from tensors in the F^0-filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M_0[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixed by φ to tensors of $\mathcal{T}(N_0[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixed by $\rho_0(\Gamma_k)$. For instance, it implies (via arguments involving torsors) $G_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a reductive group. So loc. cit. applies again to the representation of $G_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}$ on $N_0[\frac{1}{p}]$. Based on this, the part "without quasi" is obvious. We deal now with the part "involving quasi". If $(M_0, F_0^1, \varphi_0, \tilde{G}_1)$ is a quasi Shimura filtered σ-crystal, then the image through ρ_0 of a compact, open subgroup of Γ_k is contained in $G_1(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ (see 2.2.8 a)); so the connected component of the origin of $G_{0\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a subgroup of $G_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

We assume now that the connected component of the origin of $G_{0\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a subgroup of $G_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}$. So the canonical split cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to GL(M_0)$ of (M_0, F_0^1, φ_0), factors through $\tilde{G}_1$. But, as $\tilde{G}_1$ is reductive, using an argument involving $\mathbb{Q}_p$-structures entirely similar to the one of the proof of the Fact of 1) but performed in the étale context, we get that the restriction of ρ_0 to $\Gamma_{\bar{k}}$ factors through $G_1(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. So, as the number of connected components of $G_{0\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is finite, we deduce the existence of a finite Galois extension k_1 of k, such that the restriction of ρ_0 to Γ_{k_1} factors through $G_1(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. So, based once more on a similar $\mathbb{Q}_p$-argument as in the proof of 1) but performed in the étale context, we get that the extension of (M_0, F_0^1, φ_0) to k_1 is a Shimura filtered σ_{k_1}-crystal. This ends the proof.

As in 2.2.8 7), we define endomorphisms of pseudo or quasi or potentially (filtered) Shimura σ-crystals.

2) If in 2.2.8 1) we have $F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \neq 0$, then the pair $(G, [\mu])$ defines a Shimura group pair over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$. Similarly, the cocharacter $\mu^{\mathrm{ad}} : \mathbb{G}_m \to G^{\mathrm{ad}}$ (induced naturally by the cocharacter μ of c') of 2.2.8 3)) is either trivial or gives birth (cf. 2.2.9 b')) to a Shimura adjoint group pair $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, [\mu^{\mathrm{ad}}])$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$.

3) We refer to 2.2.8 1). The $G(W(k))$-conjugacy class $\mathcal{CCP}$ of the parabolic Lie subalgebra $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$, is uniquely determined by the Shimura σ-crystal (M, φ, G). This is a consequence of the fact that the direct summand F^1/pF^1 of M/pM is uniquely determined by φ and of the following Fact:

Fact 1. *Two parabolic subgroups P_1 and P_2 of G having the same special fibre, are $G(W(k))$-conjugate.*

Proof: As this well known result plays an important role in many parts of §2-14, we include a proof of it. Let T_k be a maximal torus of $P_{1k} = P_{2k}$ and so of G_k (cf. [Bo2, 18.2 (i)]). From [SGA3, Vol. II, 3.6 of p. 48] we deduce that T_k lifts to a maximal torus T_i of P_i, $i = \overline{1,2}$. To loc. cit. we need to add that:

Subfact. *Any closed subscheme of $G^\wedge$ which mod p^m is a torus $\tilde{T}_m$, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, is obtained by completing p-adically a uniquely determined torus $\tilde{T}$ of G.*

The argument goes as follows. We can assume $k = \bar{k}$ and $G = GL(M)$. We consider the direct sum decomposition $M/p^mM = \oplus_{\beta \in CH_m} M_\beta(m)$, with CH_m as the set of characters of $\tilde{T}_m$, naturally defined by the action of $\tilde{T}_m$ on M/p^nM. As $\tilde{T}_{m+1}$ lifts $\tilde{T}_m$, CH_m does not depend on m and so we can denote it by CH; moreover, $\forall \beta \in CH$, there is (as M is p-adically complete) a direct summand $M(\beta)$ of M such that $M_\beta(m)$ is the reduction mod p^m of it, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$. So we get an action of the (abstract) torus $\tilde{T}$ whose reductions modulo positive, integral powers of p are $\tilde{T}_m$'s, on $\tilde{M}$: $\tilde{T}$ acts on $M(\beta)$ via β. It is a closed embedding (this is a matter of characters and so it follows from the fact that mod p we have a closed embedding). The Subfact follows.

This addition to loc. cit. is not repeated in the subsequent places relying on it.

Also from loc. cit. we deduce the existence of $g \in G(W(k))$ which mod p is the identity, such that under its inner conjugation T_1 is taken onto T_2. So we can assume $T_1 = T_2$. But $P_{1W(\bar{k})}$ and $P_{2W(\bar{k})}$ are determined by the set of roots (i.e. of characters of $T_{1W(\bar{k})}$) of the action (via inner conjugation) of $T_{1W(\bar{k})}$ on their Lie algebras. As these sets can be read out from this action mod p, we get $P_1 = P_2$. This ends the proof.

We call $\mathcal{CCP}$ as the filtration class of the Shimura σ-crystal (M, φ, G). About μ (or the $G(W(k))$-conjugacy class $[\mu]$ defined by μ) we say it defines $\mathcal{CCP}$.

Fact 2. *$[\mu]$ itself is uniquely determined by (M, φ, G).*

Proof: Let $\mu_1 : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ be another cocharacter producing (as in c) of 2.2.8 1)) a direct sum decomposition $M = F_1^1 \oplus F_1^0$ such that (M, F_1^1, φ, G) is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal. Based on Fact 1 we can assume $F_1^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) = F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$. Let P_0 be the parabolic subgroup of G having $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ as its Lie algebra; both μ and μ_1 factor through it. Let T be a maximal split torus of P_0: it is obtained by the usual lifting process (of loc. cit.), from a maximal split torus of P_{0k}. Applying [Bo2, 15.14] to P_{0k}, we can assume that the special fibres of μ and μ_1 factor through T_k. Based again on [SGA3, Vol. II, 3.6 of p. 48] (applied to P_0 and its cocharacters μ and μ_1) we can assume μ and μ_1 themselves factor through T. In particular, we get: their images are commuting to each other. As $F_1^1/pF_1^1 = F^1/pF^1$, this implies $F_1^1 = F^1$ and $F_0 = F_0^1$; so $\mu = \mu_1$. This ends the proof.

Corollary. *The $G^{\mathrm{ad}}(W(k))$-conjugacy class $[\mu^{\mathrm{ad}}]$ defined by the cocharacter $\mu^{\mathrm{ad}} : \mathbb{G}_m \to G^{\mathrm{ad}}$ induced by μ, is uniquely determined by (M, φ, G). Moreover, if G is a torus, then μ itself is uniquely determined.*

4) Generalized Shimura p-divisible objects over k show up in this paper in: 3.1.7, the beginning paragraph of 3.4 (and so implicitly in many parts of 3.4-5), 3.6.1.5-6, 3.15.6, 4.5.6 8), 4.4.13, 4.9.18, 4.12.12.0, 4.14.2 and Appendix. They will be used much more frequently in §5-10 (cf. also 4.5.4 below). Similarly, the "with a reductive structure" context of 2.2.8 3a) and 4a) shows up concretely just in 3.13.7.8-9 and in Appendix. The whole of 1), 1') and of 3) as well as the whole of 5), 6), 8), 9) and 10) below can be entirely adapted to this last context: as no modifications are needed (besides always assuming in 1) that ρ_0 exists), we do not restate the things. Warning: when we speak about pseudo (or quasi or potentially) p-divisible objects with a reductive structure, we do not assume that the reductive group involved is quasi-split.

We use freely the terminology to be introduced for Shimura (filtered) σ-crystals and which depends only on their attached (cf. 2.2.13 below) Shimura Lie σ-crystals, in the context of generalized Shimura p-divisible objects over k or of $\mathcal{MF}(W(k))$; as a sample see 2.2.22 2) below. Any context involving generalized Shimura p-divisible objects is often referred as a generalized Shimura context.

5) When we want to emphasize a family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ as in a) of 2.2.8 1), we denote a Shimura σ-crystal by a quadruple $(M,\varphi,G,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$. Similarly we denote a Shimura filtered σ-crystal by a quintuple $(M,F^1,\varphi,G,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$. Strictly speaking we should refer to $(M,\varphi,G,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ as a Shimura σ-crystal with an emphasized family of tensors but we rarely do so; the same about the filtered case.

If $m\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$ is such that all homogeneous components of t_α are of degree at most m, $\forall\alpha\in\mathcal{J}$, then we say (M,φ,G) is of degree at most m; by the degree

$$\deg(M,\varphi,G)\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$$

we mean the smallest such possible value m, where the family $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ is allowed to vary subject to the constraint of a) of 2.2.8 1). If $\deg(M,\varphi,G)=0$, then $G=GL(M)$.

6) If $(M,\varphi,G,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ and $(M_1,\varphi_1,G_1,(t_{1\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_1})$ (resp. if $(M,F^1,\varphi,G,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ and $(M_1,F^1_1,\varphi_1,G_1,(t_{1\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_1})$) are two Shimura (resp. two Shimura filtered) σ-crystals, then an isomorphism between them is given by an isomorphism $t: M\tilde{\to}M_1$ taking the triple $(\varphi,G,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ into $(\varphi_1,G_1,(t_{1\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_1})$ (resp. taking the quadruple $(F^1,\varphi,G,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ into $(F^1_1,\varphi_1,G_1,(t_{1\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_1})$). If $j:\mathcal{J}\tilde{\to}\mathcal{J}_1$ is a bijection and if the isomorphism t takes t_α into $t_{1j(\alpha)}$, then we call t a j-isomorphism. In particular, an $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-isomorphism between two Shimura σ-crystals $(M,\varphi,G,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ and $(M,\varphi_1,G,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ is given by an element $g\in G(W(k))$ such that $\varphi_1=g\varphi g^{-1}$. An isomorphism of (M,φ,G) does not need to fix the family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$; easy examples can be constructed if $k=\mathbb{F}_p$ and so, by natural extension, over any k.

Warning: the $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-automorphisms are not in general those endomorphisms which are invertible.

7) The condition G quasi-split, used in 2.2.8 1) to 4), is not really needed (cf. 3.8 below). It is inserted just to be able to be concrete in 3.2.3 below. Also Theorem 2.3.9 below makes it very convenient for applications to Shimura varieties of Hodge type. When we do not know that G is quasi-split or when it is not, we speak about a Shimura (filtered) σ-crystal of being not necessarily quasi-split.

8) If in 2.2.8 1) we have $k=\bar{k}$, then writing $\varphi=a\circ\mu(\frac{1}{p})$, a becomes a σ-linear automorphism of M and so of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ (and in particular of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$), and

$$M^a:=\{x\in M|a(x)=x\}$$

is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of rank $\dim_{W(k)}(M)$. Moreover,

$$\mathrm{Lie}(G)^a := \{x \in \mathrm{Lie}(G) | a(x) = x\} = \mathrm{Lie}(G) \cap \mathrm{End}(M)^a \subset \mathrm{End}(M^a)$$

is the Lie algebra of a reductive subgroup $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of $GL(M^a)$; the extension of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ to $W(k)$ is the reductive subgroup G of $GL(M)$. As μ and φ fix t_α, we have $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M^a)[\frac{1}{p}]$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$.

Let $B(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$ be the field of definition of the $G(B(k))$-conjugacy class of the cocharacter $\mu_{B(k)} : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_{B(k)}$ (cf. [Mi3, 4.6-7] applied to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$; $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ splits over a finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$). Here $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be a maximal torus of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ which over $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$ contains a maximal split torus of $G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}$. Let $\mu_0 : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}$ be a cocharacter which over $W(k)$ is $G(W(k))$-conjugate to μ and which factors through $T_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}$. The existence of μ_0 is guaranteed by loc. cit. and by the fact (see [Ti2]) that the generic fibre of a split torus of $G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}$ is a maximal split torus of $G_{B(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}$. We deduce the existence of an element $g \in G(W(k))$ such that the Shimura filtered σ-crystal $\big(M, F^1, g\varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}\big)$ is $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-isomorphic to the extension to k of a Shimura filtered σ-crystal

$$\big(M^a \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}), F^1_{\mu_0}, a \circ \mu_0(\frac{1}{p}), G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}\big)$$

over $\mathbb{F}_{p^d}$, where $F^1_{\mu_0} \subset M^a \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$ is the F^1-filtration associated as usual to μ_0 (here we still denote by a its restriction to $M^a \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$). If G is a torus, then $g = 1_M$.

So, from many points of view, for the study of Shimura σ-crystals over a perfect field k_0 of characteristic p, we can assume k_0 is a finite field (if $k_0 \neq \overline{k_0}$, then passing to a finite field extension k_1 of k_0, M^a, defined as above but working with $g(\varphi\otimes 1)$ instead of φ for a suitable $g \in G(W(k_1))$, is still a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of rank $\dim_{W(k)}(M)$).

9) Any filtered σ-crystal (M, F^1, φ), with F^1 a proper direct summand of M, is identified with the Shimura filtered σ-crystal $(M, F^1, \varphi, GL(M))$, and any σ-crystal (M, φ) which can be extended to a filtered σ-crystal (M, F^1, φ), with F^1 a proper direct summand of M, is identified with the Shimura σ-crystal $(M, \varphi, GL(M))$.

10) Any Shimura (filtered) σ-crystal over k gives birth to a Shimura (filtered) isocrystal over k, by making p invertible. But not any Shimura (filtered) isocrystal over k is obtained in this manner: for instance, the Shimura isocrystals over k can have slopes which do not belong to the interval $[0, 1]$ and the reductive groups involved are not necessarily quasi-split.

11) The condition (of 2.2.8 1)) F^1 is a proper direct summand of M as well as the condition (of 2.2.8 3)) at least two of the submodules $\tilde{F}^i$'s are different from $\{0\}$, are inserted just to avoid the trivial cases. So the cocharacter μ of c) of 2.2.8 1) (or of c') of 2.2.8 3)) does not factor through the center of $GL(M)$. When we have $F^1 = \{0\}$ or $F^1 = M$ in 2.2.8 1) (resp. we have $M = \tilde{F}^i$ for some $i \in S(a, b)$ in 2.2.8 3)), we speak about a trivial Shimura (filtered) σ-crystal (resp. about a trivial generalized Shimura p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}(W(k))$). Without a special reference, we always assume we are in a non-trivial situation.

12) For the sake of flexibility it is important in 2.2.8 1) not to assume that (M, φ) has a principal quasi-polarization or that $\dim_{W(k)}(F^1) = \dim_{W(k)}(F^0)$ (as we have in situations emerging from principally polarized abelian varieties over $W(k)$). So we completely ignore the phenomenon of Tate-twists. However, when we have a principal quasi-polarization

$$p_M : M \otimes_{W(k)} M \to W(k)(1)$$

normalized by G, we speak about a principally quasi-polarized Shimura (filtered) σ-crystal. As notation, we put p_M as part of some n-tuple at the very end; example: $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}, p_M)$. Moreover we do not assume $G_{B(k)}$ is the subgroup of $GL(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixing t_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$: we just assume it is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GSp}(M[\frac{1}{p}], p_M)$ fixing these tensors.

Let now $k = \bar{k}$. We consider a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of G obtained as in 8). As μ is injective, G does not fix p_M. So $Z(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$ contains a split torus of dimension 1 which normalizes p_M without fixing it. The elements of $Z(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p})(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ are naturally identified with $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-automorphisms of $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ but not of $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}, p_M)$.

2.2.10. Shimura filtered F-crystals. Let (M, F^1, φ, G) be a Shimura filtered σ-crystal. Let H be a smooth subscheme of G such that the origin of G factors through H. Let R be the $W(k)$-algebra of the completion of H at this factorization o. We consider a $W(k)$-isomorphism $\tilde{f} : R \tilde{\to} W(k)[[x_1, \ldots, x_d]]$, with d the relative dimension of H over $W(k)$ in o; we view it as an identification. Let Φ_R be the Frobenius lift of R taking (via this identification) x_i to x_i^p, $i = \overline{1, d}$. Let $\bar{\Omega}_{R/W(k)}$ be the free R-submodule of $\Omega_{R/W(k)}$ generated by dx_1,..., dx_d.

We consider the p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ defined by the following triple $(M \otimes_{W(k)} R, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R, \Phi)$, with

$$\Phi := h(\varphi \otimes id)$$

defined by the universal element $h \in H(R)$ of H. [Fa2, th. 10] guarantees that there is a unique connection

$$\nabla : M \otimes_{W(k)} R \to M \otimes_{W(k)} \bar{\Omega}_{R/W(k)}$$

such that Φ is ∇-parallel in the sense of [Fa2, ch. 7]; from [Fa2, th. 10] we also deduce it is integrable and nilpotent mod p. In 3.6.18.4 we reobtain in a completely new manner the existence and the uniqueness of ∇, while in 3.6.18.4.1 we reobtain in a completely new manner the fact that ∇ is automatically integrable and nilpotent mod p.

The quadruple

$$(M \otimes_{W(k)} R, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R, \Phi, \nabla)$$

is a filtered F-crystal over R/pR. We call it a Shimura filtered F-crystal over R/pR (defined by (M, F^1, φ, G)) and we denote it by a sextuple

$$(M, F^1, \varphi, G, H, \tilde{f}).$$

When $H = G$, we denote it by a quintuple $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, \tilde{f})$; when $d = 0$ we keep denoting it by (M, F^1, φ, G). Usually H is a smooth subgroup of G. When we want to emphasize the family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$, then we denote a Shimura filtered F-crystal also by a 7-tuple $\bigl(M, F^1, \varphi, G, H, \tilde{f}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}\bigr)$ and then, by abuse of notation (cf. 2.1), we still write $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ for this family of tensors, when viewed as being formed by tensors of $\mathcal{T}(M \otimes_{W(k)} R[\frac{1}{p}])$. We have:

Fact 1. *$\nabla(t_\alpha) = 0$, $\Phi(t_\alpha) = t_\alpha$ and t_α belongs to the F^0-filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M \otimes_{W(k)} R[\frac{1}{p}])$ defined by $F^1[\frac{1}{p}]$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$.*

The fact that $\nabla(t_\alpha) = 0$ is implied by [Fa2, rm. ii) after th. 10], while the other parts of Fact 1 are obvious. So the R-linear endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} R$ taking $m \in M$ into $\nabla(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})(m)$ belongs to $\mathrm{Lie}(G) \otimes_{W(k)} R$, $\forall i \in S(1, d)$. Accordingly, we still denote by ∇ the natural connection on $\mathcal{T}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} R$ or on $N \otimes_{W(k)} R$, with N a direct summand of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ normalized by G, induced by the connection ∇ on $M \otimes_{W(k)} R$; in particular, this applies to $\mathrm{Lie}(G) \otimes_{W(k)} R \subset (M \otimes_{W(k)} M^*) \otimes_{W(k)} R$.

Fact 1 implies directly the following two Facts:

Fact 2. *The quadruple*

$$(\mathrm{Lie}(G) \otimes_{W(k)} R, \Phi, F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \otimes_{W(k)} R, F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \otimes_{W(k)} R, \nabla)$$

is a filtered Lie F-crystal over R/pR.

Fact 3. *For any point of* $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$ *with values in a perfect field k_1, we get from $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, H, \tilde{f})$ by pulling back, a Shimura σ_{k_1}-crystal over k_1.*

Similarly to the end of 2.2.1 c) or to 2.2.9 12), we speak about principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered F-crystals. Moreover, the conventions of 2.2.9 12) apply as well. Warning: if $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, p_M)$ is a principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered σ-crystal, then whenever we want to keep p_M as the cycle of a principal quasi-polarization of $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, H, \tilde{f})$, we need to take H to be as well a subscheme of $\mathrm{Sp}(M, p_M)$.

2.2.10.1. Comments. 2.2.10 can be performed as well for the context of a $W(k)$-morphism $f_H : H \to G$ for which there is a $W(k)$-morphism $z_H : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to H$ such that $z_H \circ f_H$ is the origin of G, with H an arbitrary regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-scheme (so $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is now the completion of H in z_H). The resulting quadruple $(M \otimes_{W(k)} R, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R, \Phi, \nabla)$ is still referred as a Shimura filtered F-crystal over R/pR but the notation is $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, f_H \circ z_H, \tilde{f})$.

However, in such a generality we often prefer to speak about filtered F-crystals (with tensors) over the special fibre $S_H = \mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$ of the completion of H in z_H: we feel inclined to reserve the terminology Shimura filtered F-crystals just for contexts where we do have some closed embedding into the completion of G in its origin. Similarly, when we are in the context of a p-divisible object with tensors of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(H)$ which is modeled on 2.2.10, then we often prefer to use the alternating terminology filtered F-crystals (with tensors) instead of the one of Shimura filtered F-crystals.

Any Shimura (filtered) σ-crystal over k is a Shimura (filtered) F-crystal over k; we use this second terminology only when k is not specified.

2.2.11. Definitions. 1) A non-trivial Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal is a filtered Lie σ-crystal $\big(\mathfrak{g},\varphi,F^0(\mathfrak{g}),F^1(\mathfrak{g})\big)$, with $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of a reductive group G over $W(k)$, for which there is an injective cocharacter $\mu:\mathbb{G}_m\hookrightarrow G^{\mathrm{ad}}$ such that:

– $(G^{\mathrm{ad}},[\mu])$ is a Shimura group pair over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$;

– it defines $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$ and $F^1(\mathfrak{g})$; in other words, we have $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}^0\oplus\mathfrak{g}^1\oplus\mathfrak{g}^{-1}$, with $\mathfrak{g}^1\neq 0$, with $\beta\in\mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acting through μ on $\mathfrak{g}^i$ as the multiplication with β^{-i}, $i=\overline{-1,1}$, and with $F^1(\mathfrak{g})=\mathfrak{g}^1$ and $F^0(\mathfrak{g})=\mathfrak{g}^0\oplus\mathfrak{g}^1$.

2) A non-trivial Shimura Lie σ-crystal is a Lie σ-crystal $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$ which can be extended to a non-trivial Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal $\big(\mathfrak{g},\varphi,F^0(\mathfrak{g}),F^1(\mathfrak{g})\big)$. $\big(\mathfrak{g},\varphi,F^0(\mathfrak{g}),F^1(\mathfrak{g})\big)$ or just $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$ itself is called a lift of $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$; as $F^1(\mathfrak{g})$ is determined by $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$, being its nilpotent radical, we never refer to $(F^0(\mathfrak{g}),F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ as a lift of $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$.

Writing $\varphi=a\circ\mu(\frac{1}{p})$, a is a σ-linear automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$, whose class

$$[a]\in\mathrm{Aut}_{\sigma-\mathrm{lin}}(\mathfrak{g})/G^{\mathrm{ad}}(W(k))$$

(modulo inner automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$) does not depend (cf. Corollary of 2.2.9 3)) on the choice of μ. We call $[a]$ the automorphism class of $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$.

3) A Lie σ-crystal $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$, with $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of a reductive group G over $W(k)$ and with $\varphi(\mathfrak{g})=\mathfrak{g}$, is called a trivial Shimura Lie σ-crystal; in such a case the quadruple $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi,\mathfrak{g},\{0\})$ is referred as a trivial Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal. When we do not bother about trivial or non-trivial, we speak about Shimura (filtered) Lie σ-crystals.

4) If in 1) to 3), G is an adjoint group then we speak about a Shimura adjoint (filtered) Lie σ-crystal.

2.2.11.1. Remark. We refer to 2.2.11 1) and 2). From the existence of μ we get: $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$ is a parabolic Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, having $F^1(\mathfrak{g})$ as its nilpotent radical. Facts 1 and 2 of 2.2.9 3) apply entirely, cf. the below general Fact and the fact that $F^0(\mathfrak{g})/pF^0(\mathfrak{g})$ is uniquely determined by φ, being the kernel of the restriction to $\mathfrak{g}/p\mathfrak{g}$ of $p\varphi$ mod p. So we use the same terminology: the $G^{\mathrm{ad}}(W(k))$-conjugacy class of $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$ is called the filtration class of $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$ and we say that $[\mu]$ (or just μ or $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$) defines it.

Fact. *We consider two parabolic subgroups P_H^1 and P_H^2 of a reductive group H over a field L. The following four statements are equivalent:*

a) P_H^1 is a subgroup of P_H^2;

b) $\mathrm{Lie}(P_H^1)\subset\mathrm{Lie}(P_H^2)$*;*

c) The unipotent radical N_H^2 of P_H^2 is a subgroup of the unipotent radical N_H^1 of P_H^1;

d) $\mathrm{Lie}(N_H^2)\subset\mathrm{Lie}(N_H^1)$*.*

Proof: We can assume we are over an algebraically closed field. It is known that $P_H^1\cap P_H^2$ contains a maximal torus of H (see [Bo2, 14.22 (ii)]). So the fact that a) is equivalent to b) follows from [Bo2, 14.17-18]. Similarly we get that c) is equivalent to d). From loc. cit. we also get that a) implies c). We now assume c) holds. From [Bo2, 14.22 (i)] we get that $P_H^1 \cap P_H^2$ is a parabolic subgroup of H. Using [Bo2, 14.17-18] once more, we get that a) holds. This ends the proof.

2.2.12. Definitions. Let $\big(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g})\big)$ be a Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal. We use the notations of 2.2.3 3) for $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$. $\big(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g})\big)$ is said to be:

a) of parabolic type, if $\mathfrak{p}_{\geq 0} \subset F^0(\mathfrak{g})$;

b) of Borel type, if there is a Borel Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ contained in $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$ and such that $\varphi(\mathfrak{b}) \subset \mathfrak{b}$;

b′) of strong Borel type, if it is of Borel type and if the parabolic Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to non-negative slopes of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ is a Borel Lie subalgebra (so there is only one parabolic Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ contained in $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$ and taken by φ into itself);

c) cyclic diagonalizable (if the permutation π of ii) below is 1_A, then we drop the word cyclic), if there is a $W(k)$-basis $\{e_i | i \in A\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, with $A := S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{g}))$ assumed to be non-empty, such that the following three conditions are satisfied

i) for subsets $C \subset B \subset A$, $\{e_i | i \in C\}$ is a $W(k)$-basis of $F^1(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\{e_i | i \in B\}$ is a $W(k)$-basis of $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$;

ii) there is a permutation π of A for which we have $\varphi(e_i) = p^{\varepsilon_i} e_{\pi(i)}$, with $\varepsilon_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ equal to 1 if $i \in C$, equal to 0 if $i \in B \backslash C$ and equal to -1 if $i \in A \backslash B$;

iii) $\forall i,\ j \in A$, either $[e_i, e_j] \in W(k) e_{k(i,j)}$, for some $k(i,j) \in A$, or a power of φ acts trivially on $[e_i, e_j]$.

d) of toric type, if the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to the slope 0 of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ is contained in $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$.

2.2.12.1. Remarks. 1) We refer to the context of 2.2.12 a). As $\mathfrak{p}_{\geq 0} \subset F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$, at the level of their unipotent radicals we have $F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \subset \mathfrak{p}_{>0}$. We get a filtered σ-crystal

$$(\mathfrak{p}_{\geq 0}, F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G)), \varphi).$$

A p-divisible group D (resp. D_k) over $W(k)$ (resp. over k) whose associated filtered σ-crystal (resp. σ-crystal) is $(\mathfrak{p}_{\geq 0}, F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G)), \varphi)$ (resp. is $(\mathfrak{p}_{\geq 0}, \varphi)$), is called a p-divisible group of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ (resp. of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$). D_k is always uniquely determined (cf. [Fo, p. 152 and p. 160]). If $p \geq 3$, then D itself is uniquely determined (cf. loc. cit. and [Me, ch. 4-5], or cf. 2.2.1.1 2); see also [Fo, ch. 4, §5]). For $p = 2$, D still exists: we can take it to be the direct sum of an étale 2-divisible group with a 2-divisible group having all slopes in the interval $(0, 1)$ (cf. the fact that $F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \subset W_\varepsilon(\mathfrak{p}_{\geq 0}, \varphi)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough; see also 2.3.18.1 C below).

Similarly, in the context of 2.2.12 b), we get a filtered σ-crystal $(\mathfrak{b}, F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G)), \varphi)$. So the triple $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}, F^0(\mathfrak{g})/\mathfrak{b}, \varphi)$ is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,0]}(W(k))$. So $\mathfrak{p}_{>0}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{b}$ and so in $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$.

2) From many points of view, the study of Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystals of parabolic or Borel type, gets reduced to the study of suitable filtered σ-crystals. In general, the simplest type of p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(W(k))$, are those which are the extension of a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,0]}(W(k))$ (resp. of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(W(k))$) by a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(W(k))$ (resp. of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,0]}(W(k))$).

3) A Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal of parabolic type is of toric type; but the converse is not true (for examples see 4.4.13 below).

4) If $k = \bar{k}$, then in 2.2.12 c) it is enough to assume $\varphi(e_i)$ is $p^{\varepsilon_i} e_{\pi(i)}$ times an invertible element of $W(k)$. The same applies to 2.2.1 d).

5) Condition iii) of 2.2.12 c) is included in order to keep better track of the Lie structure (to be compared with 2.2.1 d)); we rarely make use of it. Warning: in iii) of 2.2.12 c) it is unreasonable to require $[e_i, e_j] \in \mathbb{Z} e_{k(i,j)}$ instead of $[e_i, e_j] \in W(k) e_{k(i,j)}$.

2.2.13. Remarks. Any Shimura σ-crystal (M, φ, G) has attached to it (cf. b) of 2.2.8 1)) a Shimura Lie σ-crystal $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), \varphi)$. It also has attached to it a Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystal $\bigl(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \varphi\bigr)$ (we always identify $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ with a $W(k)$-Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{der}})[\frac{1}{p}]$ and so of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)[\frac{1}{p}]$). Argument: if F^1 is a lift of (M, φ, G), then the quadruple

$$\bigl(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \varphi, F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})), F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}))\bigr),$$

with $F^i(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})) := \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}) \cap F^i(\mathrm{End}(M))[\frac{1}{p}]$, $i = \overline{0,1}$, is a Shimura adjoint filtered Lie σ-crystal (we can assume $k = \bar{k}$ and so we can refer to 2.2.9 8): $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$ is included in $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ and so is fixed by a; moreover $\mu(\frac{1}{p})$ normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})[\frac{1}{p}]$). Similarly, for any Shimura filtered σ-crystal we speak about the Shimura (adjoint) filtered Lie σ-crystal attached to it. The same applies to the generalized Shimura context.

We refer to 2.2.2 4). We assume $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of an adjoint group G over $R^\wedge$. Then we refer to $\mathcal{L}$ as a Shimura adjoint filtered Lie F-crystal over R/pR. The fact that this matches with 2.2.11 1) and 4) for $R = W(k)$ can be checked as follows. The composite homomorphism

$$G \overset{\mathrm{Ad}}{\to} Aut(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow GL(\mathfrak{g})$$

is a closed embedding (for the passage from $B(k)$ to $W(k)$, cf. [Va2, 3.1.2.1 c)]) and under it $G_{B(k)}$ is the connected component of the origin of $Aut(\mathfrak{g})_{B(k)}$. So the canonical split cocharacter of $(\mathfrak{g}, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$ factors through $Aut(\mathfrak{g})$ (cf. the functorial aspect of it and the Lie structure of $\mathcal{L}$) and so through G. We also get: for $R = W(k)$, G is uniquely determined.

2.2.13.1. An interpretation. We consider a Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystal $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$. Let G be as above. Then the triple $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, G)$ is a quasi p-divisible object with a reductive structure over k (starting from the above part referring to $Aut(\mathfrak{g})_{B(k)}$, this can be checked as in Fact of 2.2.9 1')). Also, if $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ is a lift of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$, then the quintuple $(\mathfrak{g}, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi, G)$ is a quasi p-divisible object with a reductive structure of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(W(k))$.

2.2.13.2. Terminology. Whenever we want to emphasize k in 2.2.11, we speak about a Shimura (adjoint) (filtered) Lie σ-crystal of being over k. If the reductive group

G is split, then we speak about split Shimura (adjoint) (filtered) (Lie) σ-crystals. If just G^{ad} is split then we speak about split Shimura (adjoint) (filtered) Lie σ-crystals. We also speak about Shimura (adjoint) (filtered) Lie isocrystals (over k), obtained from Shimura (adjoint) (filtered) Lie σ-crystals, by making p invertible. For instance, with the notations of 2.2.8 1), $(\mathrm{Lie}(G)[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$ is a Shimura Lie isocrystal.

2.2.13.3. Remark. Let (M, F^1, φ, G) be a Shimura σ-crystal. Let P be the parabolic subgroup of G having $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ as its Lie algebra. Let P^1 be its image in G^{ad}. From Fact 1 and Fact 2 of 2.2.9 3), as $P_{B(k)}$ is its own normalizer in $G_{B(k)}$, we get that the lifts of (M, φ, G) are in bijection with the $W(k)$-valued points of the completion of G/P in its $W(k)$-valued point defined by the origin of G. Similarly, from 2.2.11.1 we get that the lifts of the Shimura (adjoint) Lie σ-crystal attached to (M, F^1, φ, G) are in bijection with the $W(k)$-valued points of the completion of G^{ad}/P^1 in its $W(k)$-valued point defined by the origin of G^{ad}. As P contains $Z(G)$, G/P can be canonically identified with G^{ad}/P^1. We get:

Fact. *Any lift of the Shimura (adjoint) Lie σ-crystal attached to (M, φ, G) is defined uniquely (via the attachment process) by a lift of (M, φ, G).*

2.2.13.4. Variants. Referring to 2.2.8 3a) and 4a), we similarly define the (adjoint) filtered $[a-b, b-a]$-Lie σ-crystal attached to a p-divisible object with a reductive structure of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$ and the (adjoint) $b-a$-Lie σ-crystal attached to a p-divisible object with a reductive structure over k in the range $[a, b]$.

2.2.14. Truncations. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let (M, F^1, φ, G) be a Shimura filtered σ-crystal. By its truncation mod p^n we mean the quintuple

$$(M/p^nM, F^1/p^nF^1, \varphi, \varphi_1, G_{W_n(k)}),$$

where $(M/p^nM, F^1/p^nF^1, \varphi, \varphi_1)$ is the truncation mod p^n of (M, F^1, φ) (here as well as below we denote different reductions of φ still by φ and not by φ_0). As in 2.2.9 6) we define the notion of isomorphism between truncations mod p^n of two Shimura filtered σ-crystals over k.

For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $t_\alpha \neq 0$, let $m(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the smallest integer such that $p^{m(\alpha)} t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M)$; if $t_\alpha = 0$ let $m(\alpha) = 0$. Let t_α^n be the reduction mod p^n of $p^{m(\alpha)} t_\alpha$: it is an element of $\mathcal{T}(M/p^nM)$. The quintuple

$$(M/p^nM, F^1/p^nF^1, \varphi, \varphi_1, G_{W_n(k)}), (t_\alpha^n)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$$

is called the truncation mod p^n of $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$. Similarly, if V_M is the σ^{-1}-linear endomorphism of M such that $\varphi \circ V_M = p1_M$, the quadruple (resp. quintuple)

$$(M/p^nM, \varphi, V_M, G_{W_n(k)})$$

(resp. $(M/p^nM, \varphi, V_M, G_{W_n(k)}, (t_\alpha^n)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$) is called the truncation mod p^n of (M, φ, G) (resp. of $(M, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$). We refer to $(M/p^nM, F^1/p^nF^1, \varphi, \varphi_1, G_{W_n(k)})$ as a lift of

$(M/p^nM,\varphi,V_M,G_{W_n(k)})$; the same applies to the context with tensors. Above, we still write V_M for its reductions mod p^n.

As in 2.2.8 7) and 2.2.9 6) we define endomorphisms and automorphisms and (in the context of tensors $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-automorphisms) of these truncations mod p^n. Warning: in general, $G_{W_n(k)}$ is not the subgroup of $GL(M/p^nM)$ fixing t_α^n, $\forall \in \mathcal{J}$. So by an inner $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-automorphism of any of the mentioned truncations mod p^n involving tensors, we mean an automorphism defined by an element of $G(W_n(k))$.

We have variants of the above definitions, in the principally quasi-polarized context. Putting aside the part involving Verschiebung maps and tensors, we have a variant of truncations in the Shimura filtered Lie context. In particular, we speak (cf. end of 2.2.13) about inner automorphisms of Shimura adjoint (filtered) Lie σ-crystals.

2.2.14.0. Exercise. Let μ and F^0 be as in 2.2.8 c). Let a be the σ-linear automorphism of M such that $\varphi = a\circ\mu(\frac{1}{p})$. We still denote by a its reduction mod p. If g_1, $g_2 \in G(W(k))$ are such that the truncations mod p of $(M,g_1\varphi,G)$ and $(M,g_2\varphi,G)$ are identical, show that $g_2^{-1}g_1$ mod p belongs to the connected, smooth, unipotent subgroup N_k of G_k centralizing $a(F^0/pF^0)$ and $M/pM/a(F^0/pF^0)$ (so the Lie algebra of N_k is the image of the σ-linear endomorphism of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_k)$ defined by $p\varphi$). Hint: we can assume $G = GL(M)$.

2.2.14.1. Remark. Using the functorial aspect of the canonical split cocharacters of [Wi], 2.2.1.2 as well as such cocharacters make sense in the context of objects of $\mathcal{MF}(W(k))$. In detail: any such object Ob is the cokernel of an isogeny $m : Ob_1 \hookrightarrow Ob_2$ between two p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}(W(k))$ (cf. Fact of 2.2.1.1 6)); using standard properties (as in the part of 2.2.1.0 referring to A and B) of abelian categories (cf. 2.2.1.1 6)) the canonical cocharacter μ_{Ob} of the GL-group of the underlying $W(k)$-module of Ob obtained from the canonical split cocharacters of the GL-groups of the underlying $W(k)$-modules of Ob_1 and Ob_2 by passing to quotients, is independent of the choice of m.

In particular, working with $Ob := (M/p^nM, F^1/p^nF^1, \varphi, \varphi_1)$ we get a canonical cocharacter

$$\mu_{Ob} : \mathbb{G}_m \to GL(M/p^nM)$$

factoring through $G_{W_n(k)}$ and producing a direct sum decomposition

$$M/p^nM = F^1/p^nF^1 \oplus F_n^0,$$

with $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W_n(k))$ acting through μ_{Ob} trivially on F_n^0 and as the multiplication by β^{-1} on F^1/p^nF^1. F_n^0 is the reduction mod p^n of the maximal direct summand of M on which the canonical split cocharacter of (M,F^1,φ) acts trivially. Usually we use the canonical aspect of μ_{Ob} in the form: it does not depend on which Shimura filtered σ-crystal (M,F^1,φ,G) we use to define Ob.

2.2.14.2. Fontaine truncations. The above approach to truncations of (M,φ,G) modulo powers of p does not generalize to the context of p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}(W(k))$ or more generally of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla(X)$ or of $\mathcal{MF}(X)$, with X as in 2.2.1 c). So here we propose a second approach whose generalization is entirely trivial. Not to be long, we work directly with a p-divisible object $(M,(F^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)},\varphi,G)$ with a reductive structure of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$. As for $s\in S(a,b)$, we have

$$(p^{-s}\varphi)^{-1}(M)\cap M=\sum_{j=0}^{s-a}p^jF^{s-j}(M),$$

by decreasing induction on s we get that the filtration $(F^i(M)/pF^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)}$ of M/pM is uniquely determined by (M,φ,G). Based on this, following entirely the proofs of 2.2.9 3), we also get:

Fact. *Any other filtration $(F_1^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)}$ of M such that $(M,(F_1^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)},\varphi,G)$ is a p-divisible object with a reductive structure of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$, is uniquely determined by (M,φ,G) up to conjugation by elements of $G(W(k))$ which take $F^i(M)$ into $\sum_{j=0}^{i-a}p^jF^{i-j}(M)$, $\forall i\in S(a,b)$.*

Obviously, such elements of $G(W(k))$ normalize $(F^i(M)/pF^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)}$. Warning: if (M,φ,G) is a generalized Shimura p-divisible object over k, then any element of $G(W(k))$ normalizing $(F^i(M)/pF^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)}$ is such that, $\forall i\in S(a,b)$, it takes $F^i(M)$ into $\sum_{j=0}^{i-a}p^jF^{i-j}(M)$; but this does not hold in the general case.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of all filtrations $(F_1^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)}$ of M as in the Fact. By Fontaine truncation mod p^n of (M,φ,G) we mean the set

$$F_n((M,\varphi,G))$$

of isomorphism classes of truncations mod p^n of lifts of (M,φ,G) defined by elements of $\mathcal{F}$. Similarly we define $F_n((M,\varphi,G,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}))$ (resp. $F_n^{\text{inner}}((M,\varphi,G,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}))$) by speaking about isomorphism classes (resp. inner isomorphism classes) of truncations mod p^n of (arbitrary) lifts of $(M,\varphi,G,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$. Here inner isomorphisms refers as: isomorphisms between two such lifts defined by elements of $G(W_n(k))$.

A last thing: the Fact of 2.2.13.3 can be entirely adapted to the context of lifts of (M,φ,G) and of its attached adjoint.

2.2.14.3. Weak truncations. If $(M,\varphi,G,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ is a p-divisible object with a reductive structure of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,a]}(W(k))$, with $a\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, by its weak truncation mod p^n we mean the quadruple $(M/p^nM,\varphi,G_{W_n(k)},(t_\alpha^n)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$, with t_α^n's defined as in 2.2.14, and with φ still denoting its reduction mod p^n.

2.2.15. Comment. Though G. Shimura never dealt with the type of crystals we have introduced, we still feel that the terminology Shimura crystals used in 2.2-14 is appropriate, as G. Shimura was the first one (see the report [Sh]) to consider abelian varieties endowed with (algebraic) cycles whose adequate integral crystalline realization are providing the very first examples of the type of σ-crystals with extra structure defined in 2.2.8 2). Similarly we think the terminology Shimura group or Lie pair is justified. One could argue if defs. 2.2.8 5) and 6) are justified. We think it is a convenient terminology, very much related to the one introduced in 2.2.8 1) to 4); the study of such isocrystals, to our knowledge, was started (motivated by the context of Shimura varieties of Hodge type) implicitly in [Ko1].

2.2.16. Lemma. *We assume $k = \bar{k}$. Let (M, F^1, φ) be a filtered σ-crystal over k, with F^1 a proper direct summand of M. It is cyclic diagonalizable iff there is a torus T of $GL(M)$ such that (M, F^1, φ, T) is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal.*

Proof: If (M, F^1, φ) is cyclic diagonalizable, then we take T to be the maximal torus of $GL(M)$ which normalizes the $W(k)$-submodule of M generated by e_i, $\forall i \in S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(M))$, where $\{e_1, ..., e_{\dim_{W(k)}(M)}\}$ is a $W(k)$-basis of M as in 2.2.1 d). $\text{Lie}(T)$ is included in $F^0(\text{End}(M))$ and is normalized by φ; so it has a $W(k)$-basis formed by elements fixed by φ. So the canonical split cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to GL(M)$ of (M, F^1, φ) factors through T. From the Fact of 2.2.9 1), we get: (M, F^1, φ, T) is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal.

If there is a torus $T_{W(k)}$ of $GL(M)$ such that $(M, F^1, \varphi, T_{W(k)})$ is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal, then the proof of cyclic diagonalizability of (M, F^1, φ) is entirely as of 4.1.2 below. In other words, if T is the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure of $T_{W(k)}$ obtained as in 2.2.9 8), and if $W(k(v))$, with $k(v)$ a finite field, is the smallest Witt subring of $W(k)$ such that the cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to T_{W(k)}$ (obtained as in c) of 2.2.8 1)) is obtained from one of $T_{W(k(v))}$ by pull back, then we can move from "the over k" context to "the over $k(v)$" context: not to introduce extra notations we assume $k = k(v)$. So we can entirely copy (so this is not a forwarding but a convenient replacement) the notations of 4.1.1.1-4 which pertain just to the quintuple $(M, F^1, \varphi, T, k(v))$ to get as in 4.1.2, that (M, F^1, φ) is a cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ-crystal. The only difference: as we are not necessarily in a principal quasi-polarized context, the set $I^0_{\bar{\varphi}}$ (see 4.1.1.4) can have 1 element as well. This proves the Lemma.

2.2.16.1. Remark. In practice we take T to be the smallest torus of $GL(M)$ such that $\text{Lie}(T)$ is normalized by φ and contains $\mu(\text{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_m))$, where $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to GL(M)$ is the canonical split of (M, F^1, φ).

For the case $p = 2$ of 2.2.16.2-3 below see 2.3.18.2.

2.2.16.2. A Galois interpretation. We assume $p \geq 3$ and $k = \bar{k}$. Let (M, F^1, φ) be a filtered σ-crystal over k. Let

$$\rho : \Gamma_k \to GL(N)(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

be its attached Galois representation, obtained via Fontaine's comparison theory; so N is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of rank equal to the rank of M over $W(k)$. We have:

Corollary. *(M, F^1, φ) is cyclic diagonalizable iff ρ factors through the group of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points of a torus T_1 of $GL(N)$.*

Proof: We can assume F^1 is a proper direct summand of M. If (M, F^1, φ) is cyclic diagonalizable, then let T be a maximal torus of $GL(M)$ such that (M, F^1, φ, T) is a

Shimura filtered σ-crystal (cf. the proof of 2.2.16). Lie(T) is generated by elements fixed by φ. Any such element can be viewed as an endomorphism of (M, F^1, φ) and so (cf. the functorial aspect of Fontaine's comparison theory), as an endomorphism of N fixed by Im(ρ). The Lie subalgebra L of End(N) generated by such endomorphisms is the Lie algebra of a maximal torus T_1 of $GL(N)$: this can be seen moving from $GL(N)$ to $GL(N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k))$ and using the fact that $\mathrm{Lie}(T) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$ is generated by projectors of M onto $W(k)$-submodules of M of rank one. As T_1 is the centralizer of L in $GL(N)$, ρ factors through the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points of T_1.

The converse can be proved in entirely the same manner: we can assume T_1 is a maximal torus of $GL(N)$. This proves the Corollary.

2.2.16.2.1. Remark. Referring to the proof of 2.2.16.2, we have a natural identification of $T_{1W(k)}$ with T: both can be recovered as the invertible elements of $\mathrm{Lie}(T) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$ (viewed as endomorphisms of M or of $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$).

2.2.16.3. Corollary. *We assume $p \geq 3$ and $k = \bar{k}$. If we have a proper direct sum decomposition $(M, F^1, \varphi) = (M_1, F_1^1, \varphi_1) \oplus (M_2, F_2^1, \varphi_2)$, then (M, F^1, φ) is cyclic diagonalizable iff (M_1, F_1^1, φ_1) and (M_2, F_2^1, φ_2) are cyclic diagonalizable.*

Proof: This is implied by the Corollary of 2.2.16.2.

2.2.16.4. Degree of definition. Let (M, F^1, φ) be a cyclic diagonalizable σ-crystal. Let $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to GL(M)$ be the canonical split cocharacter of it. Let $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$ be the direct sum decomposition it produces (so $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acts through μ as the multiplication with β^{-i} on F^i, $i = \overline{0,1}$, cf. 2.1). Let h be the projector of M on F^1 associated to it. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest number such that $\varphi^d(h) = h$. The existence of d can be seen moving to $\bar{k}$ and applying the part of the proof of 2.2.16 referring to $k(v)$: from very definitions we have $d = [k(v) : \mathbb{F}_p]$. We refer to d as the degree of definition of (M, F^1, φ). Passing to a perfect field containing k, it remains the same. Let a be the σ-linear automorphism of M such that $\varphi = a \circ \mu(\frac{1}{p})$.

Definition. (M, F^1, φ) is called strongly cyclic diagonalizable, if the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule M^a of M formed by elements fixed by a, has rank equal to $\dim_{W(k)}(M)$.

It is easy to see that the fact that (M, F^1, φ) is strongly cyclic diagonalizable implies k contains $\mathbb{F}_{p^d}$. Moreover, d has the following (geometric) interpretation.

Fact. *We assume $k = \bar{k}$. We have:*

a) (M, F^1, φ) is the extension from $\mathbb{F}_{p^d}$ to k of a strongly cyclic diagonalizable filtered $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}}$-crystal;

b) if (M, F^1, φ) is the extension from $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ to k of a strongly cyclic diagonalizable filtered $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^m}}$-crystal $(\tilde{M}, F^1(\tilde{M}), \tilde{\varphi})$, then $d|m$.

Proof: a) is a consequence of the part of 2.2.9 8) referring to the case of a torus and of the above interpretations of d in terms of $k(v)$. For b), we first remark that $\tilde{\varphi}^m$ is a $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})$-linear endomorphism of $\tilde{M}$. Let T be as in the proof of 2.2.16 and let L

be as in 2.2.16.2.1; so we identify L with the set of elements of $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ fixed by φ. But, due to our hypothesis, any $e \in L$ belongs to $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})$ and is fixed by the $B(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})$-valued point of $GL(\tilde{M})$ defined by $\tilde{\varphi}^m$. So $\tilde{\varphi}^m$ is a $B(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})$-valued point of the torus of $GL(\tilde{M})$ whose extension to $W(k)$ is T; so it fixes the image of the canonical split cocharacter of $(\tilde{M}, F^1(\tilde{M}), \tilde{\varphi})$. We get: $\varphi^m(h) = \tilde{\varphi}^m(h) = h$ and so $d|m$. This ends the proof.

2.2.16.5. About the (adjoint) Lie case. All the above part of 2.2.16 can be adapted to the context of Shimura (adjoint) filtered Lie σ-crystals; the only difference: the restriction $p \geq 3$ encountered in 2.2.16.2-3 has to be replaced by the restriction $p \geq 5$. We do not present here the details: we just mention some new important features, in the larger context of filtered Lie σ-crystals.

We start with a filtered Lie σ-crystal $\bigl(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g})\bigr)$. We assume $p \geq 5$ and $\mathfrak{g} \neq \{0\}$ but we do not require k to be algebraically closed. Let $\rho : \Gamma_k \to GL(N)(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be its attached Galois representation (cf. [Fa1, 2.6] applied modulo positive, integral powers of p). $N[\frac{1}{p}]$ (resp. N) has –via Fontaine's comparison theory– a natural Lie algebra structure if $p \geq 5$ (resp. if $p \geq 7$). Let G_N be the Zariski closure in $GL(N)$ of the subgroup of $GL(N[\frac{1}{p}])$ formed by Lie automorphisms of $N[\frac{1}{p}]$. ρ factors through the group of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points of G_N. In general the structure of G_N can be very complicated. So for simplicity, in all that follows we assume $\bigl(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g})\bigr)$ is a Shimura adjoint filtered Lie σ-crystal. We have:

Fact. *In such a case* $\mathrm{Lie}(G_N)[\frac{1}{p}]$ *is isomorphic to* $N[\frac{1}{p}]$ *and so is a* $\mathbb{Q}_p$*-form of* $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{W(k)} \overline{B(k)}$.

Proof: The first (resp. second) part is a consequence of the fact that any semisimple Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 has no differentiations which are not inner, i.e. which are not defined by its elements (resp. of Fontaine's comparison theory).

It is worth pointing out: [Va2, 4.3.10 b)] can be used to get criteria when the Zariski closure in G_N of the connected component of the origin of the generic fibre of G_N is an adjoint group over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. However, from the point of view of cyclic diagonalizability this is not relevant: if $k = \bar{k}$ and moreover $\bigl(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g})\bigr)$ is cyclic diagonalizable, we consider the Zariski closure T_0 in G_N of the connected component of the origin of the generic fibre of the intersection of G_N with some maximal torus T_1 of $GL(N)$; so T_0 is (for instance, see [Va2, 4.3.9]) a subtorus of T_1 and so of G_N. Here T_1 is obtained as in the proof of 2.2.16.2 from a suitable maximal torus T of $GL(\mathfrak{g})$; so (cf. the proof of 2.2.16) T is a maximal torus of $GL(\mathfrak{g})$ whose Lie algebra is contained in $F^0(\mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{g}))$ and is mapped by φ onto itself.

We now assume that k is arbitrary (so $p \geq 2$). Let G be the adjoint group over $W(k)$ of whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}$, cf. end of 2.2.13. If $\bigl(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g})\bigr)$ is cyclic diagonalizable, we can work as well the previous paragraph in the crystalline context: we consider the Zariski closure T_G in G of the connected component of the origin of the generic fibre of the intersection of G with T. As above, T_G is a torus of G; as in the proof of 2.2.16 we get that the canonical split of $\bigl(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g})\bigr)$ is a cocharacter of $GL(\mathfrak{g})$ factoring through T_G. So, as in 2.2.16.4, if $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi)$ is non-trivial we define the degree of definition, to be referred as the A-degree of definition, of $\big(\mathfrak{g},\varphi,F^0(\mathfrak{g}),F^1(\mathfrak{g})\big)$.

Also, the above Fact still holds, provided we just state it rationally from the very beginning, i.e. we work with a Galois $\mathbb{Q}_p$-representation $\rho_{\mathbb{Q}_p}:\Gamma_k\to GL(N[\frac{1}{p}])$ (we do assume that such a representation does exist: in most cases pertaining to $p|6$ this is obvious; [CF] and [La, th. 3.2] imply that in fact it always exists provided we define it using the semistable version of Fontaine's ring of $W(k)$).

2.2.17. Definitions. Let (M,φ,G) be a Shimura σ-crystal over k. By a lift of it of quasi CM type we mean a direct summand (filtration) F^1 of M such that the quadruple (M,F^1,φ,G) is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal with the property that the intersection of $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}))$ with the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}_{=0}$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$ corresponding to the slope 0 of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}),\varphi)$, contains a Lie subalgebra of a maximal torus of $G_{B(k)}$ which is normalized by φ. We also say: (M,F^1,φ,G) is a lift of quasi CM type of (M,φ,G).

By a lift of an abelian variety A_k over k of quasi CM type we mean an abelian variety A over $W(k)$ lifting A_k and such that the filtered σ-crystal of A is a lift of quasi CM type of the σ-crystal of A_k (here we use the identifications of 2.2.9 9)). Above, the abbreviation CM refers to complex multiplication.

2.2.17.1. Remark. A Shimura σ-crystal can have more than one lift of quasi CM type. For instance, this is the case for the σ-crystal of (a product of) supersingular elliptic curves over $\bar{k}$. Instead of slope $\frac{1}{2}$ we can work equally fast and well with any other slope $\frac{a}{r}$, with $r\in\mathbb{N}$, $r\geq 2$, $(a,r)=1$, and with any σ-crystal of rank r and pure slope $\frac{a}{r}$ and which has a lift of quasi CM type: we get an infinite number of lifts of quasi CM type. These examples are very simple; but in some sense (see 4.4.13 below) they are typical.

2.2.18. Proposition. *We assume $k=\bar{k}$. Let F^1 be a lift of quasi CM type of a Shimura σ-crystal (M,φ,G). Then the filtered σ-crystal (M,F^1,φ) is cyclic diagonalizable.*

Proof: Let $\mu:\mathbb{G}_m\to GL(M)$ be the canonical split cocharacter of (M,F^1,φ). From its functoriality we deduce (cf. def. 2.2.8 1)) that μ factors through G. We consider (see 2.2.17) a $B(k)$-Lie subalgebra LIE of $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}))$ normalized by φ and which is the Lie algebra of a maximal torus $T_{B(k)}$ of $G_{B(k)}$. All slopes of (LIE,φ) are 0. So, as $k=\bar{k}$, we get: LIE is generated by elements fixed by φ. Again from the functoriality of μ we get: μ fixes such elements. So the generic fibre of μ factors through $T_{B(k)}$. Let $T^1_{B(k)}$ be the smallest subtorus of $T_{B(k)}$ such that the generic fibre of μ factors through it and its Lie algebra is normalized by φ. The Zariski closure of $T^1_{B(k)}$ in $GL(M)$ is a torus T^1 of G: it is generated by $\mathbb{G}_m$ subgroups of $GL(M)$, commuting among themselves and obtained from the image of μ via iterates of φ. So μ factors through T^1. We get a Shimura filtered σ-crystal (M,F^1,φ,T^1) (cf. Fact of 2.2.9 1)). Now everything results from 2.2.16. This ends the proof.

2.2.19. Remark. There are plenty of examples of filtered σ-crystals (M,F^1,φ), with $\dim_{W(k)}(M)=2\dim_{W(k)}(F^1)$, such that the σ-crystal (M,φ) does not have a lift of quasi CM type. The idea of constructing such examples is: if $k = \bar{k}$, then a σ-crystal (M, φ) which has a lift of quasi CM type is a direct sum of σ-crystals having only one slope (cf. 2.2.1.1 4) and 2.2.18). Moreover, the different invariants (like Hodge numbers, etc.) of such summands are of particular type, and so very easy computable. So we just have to pass to an adequate isogeny. Here is an example involving slopes having 3 as their denominators.

Example 1. Let M be of rank 6 over $W(k)$. Let φ be such that it sends the elements of a $W(k)$-basis $\{e_1, ..., e_6\}$ of M into the $B(k)$-basis $\{pe_2, pe_3, e_1, pe_5, e_6, e_4\}$ of $M[\frac{1}{p}]$, the ordering being preserved. Let M' be the $W(k)$-lattice of $M[\frac{1}{p}]$ generated by M and by $\frac{e_1+e_4}{p}$. If $F^1 :=< e_2, e_4, e_3 + e_6 >$, then the triple (M', F^1, φ) is a filtered σ-crystal; its slopes are $\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{2}{3}$. From 2.2.1.1 4) and 2.2.18 we deduce $\mathfrak{C} := (M', \varphi)$ has no lift of quasi CM type. Moreover, the σ-crystal $\mathfrak{C} \oplus \mathfrak{C}^*(1)$ has a principal quasi-polarization but (the argument is the same; see also 2.2.16.3 and its $p = 2$ version of 2.3.18.2 below) it has no lift of quasi CM type.

Also, it is worth pointing out that the Lie subalgebra of $\operatorname{End}(M')$ corresponding to the slope 0 (resp. to positive slopes) of $(\operatorname{End}(M'), \varphi)$ is $L_0 := \operatorname{End}(M_1) \oplus \operatorname{End}(M_2)$ (resp. is $L_{>0} := \operatorname{Hom}(M_2, M_1)$), where $M_1 :=< e_1, e_2, e_3 >$ and $M_2 :=< e_4, e_5, e_6 >$. But L_0/pL_0 and $L_{>0}/pL_{>0}$ have a non-zero intersection (inside $\operatorname{End}(M'/pM')$); so L_0 is not the Lie algebra of a Levi subgroup of the parabolic subgroup of $GL(M')$ whose generic fibre has $L_0[\frac{1}{p}] \oplus L_{>0}[\frac{1}{p}]$ as its Lie algebra. In particular L_0 is not the Lie algebra of a reductive subgroup of $GL(M')$.

Also, we would like to recall (see Example 2 below) that there are filtered σ-crystals (M, F^1, φ), with $\dim_{W(k)}(M) = 2\dim_{W(k)}(F^1)$, such that there is a lattice M' of $M[\frac{1}{p}]$ with the property that the pair (M', φ) is a σ-crystal which can not be extended to a filtered σ-crystal. So above, we have to be careful in choosing "adequate isogenies".

Example 2. Let M be of rank 4 over $W(k)$. Let φ be such that it sends the elements e_0, e_1, f_0 and f_1 of a $W(k)$-basis of M, into pe_0, e_1, pf_1 and respectively f_0. Let M' be the lattice of $M[\frac{1}{p}]$ generated by e_0, e_1, $\frac{e_0+f_0}{p}$ and f_1. The σ-crystal (M', φ) can not be extended to a filtered σ-crystal.

2.2.19.1. Definition. A Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystal $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ is said to be of reductive type, if $W(0)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ is the Lie algebra of a reductive subgroup of the adjoint group whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}$.

2.2.19.2. Exercise. Show that the Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystal attached to a Shimura σ-crystal (M, φ, G) having a lift of CM type, is of reductive type. Hint: if $G = GL(M)$, then this follows from 2.2.18, 2.2.16 and 2.2.1.1 4); if G is not $GL(M)$, use 2.2.3 3) and a relative argument of passing things from $GL(M)$ to G.

2.2.20. Shimura p-divisible groups. Let X be a regular, formally smooth, faithfully flat $\tilde{W}(k)$-scheme, where $\tilde{W}(k)$ is a DVR whose completion is $W(k)$. A Shimura p-divisible group over X is a pair $(D, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ comprising from a p-divisible group D over X and a family of sections $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ of the essential tensor algebra of $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Q}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is the first crystalline cohomology $\mathcal{O}_{X^\wedge}$-sheaf of $D \times D^t$, satisfying the following axiom:

AX. There is an open, affine covering of X, such that for any member $U = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ of it whose special fibre is non-empty, denoting $M_R := H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(D_U/U^\wedge)$, the mentioned sections produce sections (we keep the same notation) of $\mathcal{T}(M_R[\frac{1}{p}])$ satisfying:

i) they are crystalline sections, i.e. they are annihilated by the natural connection on M_R, are in the F^0-filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M_R[\frac{1}{p}])$ defined by the natural F^1-filtration $F^1(M_R)$ of M_R, and are fixed by every Φ_R-linear endomorphism Φ_{M_R} of M_R defined (via D_U) by any Frobenius lift Φ_R of $R^\wedge$;

ii) the Zariski closure of the subgroup of $GL(M_R[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixing t_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, in $GL(M_R)$ is a reductive group $\tilde{G}_R$ over $R^\wedge$;

iii) $F^1(M_R)$ is a proper direct summand of M_R in each geometric point of the special fibre of U.

2.2.20.1. Remarks. 1) Any p-divisible group whose associated filtered F-crystal has a structure of a Shimura filtered σ-crystal (or of a Shimura filtered F-crystal) with an emphasized family of tensors, gets naturally the structure of a Shimura p-divisible group.

2) The importance of this notion (of Shimura p-divisible groups) springs from 1.15.1. In §1-4 we need it mostly just to simplify the presentation.

3) As in 2.2.9 6), we speak about isomorphisms and $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-isomorphisms between two Shimura p-divisible groups over the same base X.

4) Sometimes we need to speak about principally quasi-polarized Shimura p-divisible groups. The extra thing we need for this is: D has a principal quasi-polarization p_D, which as a perfect form on M_R is normalized by $\tilde{G}_R$, for any U as above. Notation: $(D, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}, p_D)$. Moreover we allow 2.2.20 ii) to be modified as in 2.2.9 12).

5) If X_1 is a regular, formally smooth, faithfully flat $\tilde{W}(k)$-scheme, then the pull back of a Shimura p-divisible group over X through a $\tilde{W}(k)$-morphism $X_1 \to X$, is also a Shimura p-divisible group (over X_1).

6) Instead of crystalline sections we can use, as a variant, étale sections (in the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-context). But in the case when X is not (the p-adic completion of) a pro-étale scheme over a smooth $\tilde{W}(k)$-scheme, it is more convenient to work with crystalline sections: see the way [Fa1, 7.1] is stated and proved and see the easiness of expressing an integral reductiveness condition in 2.2.20 ii). Moreover, in §5 we define Shimura p-divisible groups over larger classes of schemes (including ones of characteristic p) and so often the étale $\mathbb{Q}_p$-context is not suited at all.

7) It is convenient to allow the family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ to vary under the constraint that the reductive groups $\tilde{G}_R$ of 2.2.20 ii) remain the same. In other words, by enlarging $\mathcal{J}$ or by passing to a subset of $\mathcal{J}$ subject to the mentioned constraint we consider that we have essentially the same Shimura p-divisible group. This leads to the notion of quasi-isomorphism: two Shimura p-divisible groups over X, $E^1 = (D^1, (t^1_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^1})$ and $E^2 =$

$(D^2, (t^2_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}^2})$, are said to be quasi-isomorphic if there is an isomorphism $t : D^1 \tilde{\rightarrow} D^2$ such that for any U as in 2.2.20, the reductive group $\tilde{G}^1_R$ over $R^\wedge$ we get via E^1 is mapped (via the isomorphism of H^1_{crys}'s groups induced by t_U) isomorphically into the reductive group $\tilde{G}^2_R$ over $R^\wedge$ we get via E^2. Similarly for the principally quasi-polarized context.

8) We would like to point out that the terminologies of Shimura p-divisible groups and crystals do not quite match: in 2.2.20 we do not require $\tilde{G}_R$ to become quasi-split over geometric points of $\operatorname{Spec}(R/pR)$, while in 2.2.8 the accent does not fall on tensors. The reason we adopted these terminologies is of purely practical matters: in the case of Shimura p-divisible groups we are (besides problems involving classifications, reductions and Newton polygons) very much interested in understanding the tensors and the refined structures of the reductive groups (like $\tilde{G}_R$) involved, while we mainly use Shimura σ-crystals as a tool for accomplishing these goals (see 1.15 for a sample).

The condition iii) of 2.2.20 AX is inserted to match 2.2.9 11). The conventions of 2.2.9 11) extend naturally to the context of Shimura p-divisible groups.

9) To simplify the notations, we assume $\tilde{W}(k) = W(k)$. Let $\mathcal{D} = (D, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ be a Shimura p-divisible group over X. We have:

Fact. *If $p \geq 3$ or if $p = 2$ and $X^\wedge$ is equipped with a Frobenius lift (resp. if $p = 2$ and $X^\wedge$ is not equipped with a Frobenius lift) then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{D}_n := \mathbb{D}(D[p^n])$ (see 2.2.1.0) is an object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(X)$ with the property that the Lie object $End(\mathcal{D}_n)$ of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[-1,1]}(X)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(big-tens)}_{[-1,1]}(X)$) has a natural Lie subobject $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{D}_n)$: the underlying R-module of the pull back of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{D}_n)$ to U is* $\operatorname{Lie}(\tilde{G}_R) \otimes_R R/p^nR$.

Proof: We first show that the induced filtration of $\operatorname{Lie}(\tilde{G}_R) \otimes_R R/p^nR$ is defined by direct summands and $\operatorname{Lie}(\tilde{G}_R) \otimes_R R/p^nR$ is generated by $\Phi_{M_R}(F^0(\operatorname{Lie}(\tilde{G}_R)) \otimes_R R/p^nR)$, $\Phi_{M_R}(\frac{1}{p}F^1(\operatorname{Lie}(\tilde{G}_R)) \otimes_R R/p^nR)$ and $p\Phi_{M_R}(\operatorname{Lie}(\tilde{G}_R))$. This is a local statement and so, by completing, we can assume R is noetherian. So (by multiplying by some power of p) we can assume $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M_R), \forall \in \mathcal{J}$. This implies that $\operatorname{Lie}(\tilde{G}_R)$ is the kernel of the evaluations of endomorphisms of M_R at these sections. As $\operatorname{End}(M_R)$ is a p-adically complete and noetherian $R^\wedge$-module, we get that $\operatorname{Lie}(\tilde{G}_R) \otimes_R R/p^nR$ is the image in $\operatorname{End}(M_R/p^nM_R)$ of the kernel of the reduction mod p^{n+m} of these evaluations, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough. As these last evaluations can be viewed as morphisms between objects of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla(R)$ (and so, by forgetting the connections, of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$), the statement follows from the strictness part of [Fa1, 2.1 iii)] (cf. also 2.2.1.1 6)).

To end the proof, we just need to add: if $p = 2$ and $X^\wedge$ is not equipped with a Frobenius lift, then we are dealing with objects of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[-1,1]}(U)$, with U as in 2.2.20, which are obtained via a natural process from ones of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(X)$, and so they canonically get glued together (cf. also 3) of 2.2.4 C).

We refer to the pair

$$(\mathcal{D}_n, \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{D}_n))$$

(resp. to $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{D}_n)$) as the crystalline counterpart (resp. as the Lie subobject) of the kernel of the multiplication by p^n of $\mathcal{D}$. Similarly, we use the same language in the principally quasi-polarized context. We also say: the pair $(\mathbb{D}(D), (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ is associated to $\mathcal{D}$.

2.2.21. A result of Faltings: universal deformations. We consider a Shimura filtered σ-crystal $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ with an emphasized family of tensors. Let P be the parabolic subgroup of G normalizing F^1. Let H be a smooth closed subscheme of G such that we get naturally an open embedding $H \hookrightarrow G/P$ (for instance, we can take H to be a nilpotent subgroup of G). We assume $H(W(k))$ contains the identity element of $G(W(k))$. Let R and $\tilde{f}$ be as in 2.2.10.

Let D be a p-divisible group over $W(k)$ such that its corresponding filtered σ-crystal is (M, F^1, φ); for $p = 2$ we assume it exists. We get a Shimura p-divisible group $\mathcal{D} := (D, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$. There is a uniquely determined Shimura p-divisible group $\mathcal{D}_R = (D_R, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ whose associated filtered F-crystal with tensors is $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, H, \tilde{f}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ and whose pull back through the closed embedding $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ defined by x_i's being made to be 0, is (identifiable with) $\mathcal{D}$ itself. This is just a restatement of part of [Fa2, th. 10]. In [Fa2, p. 136-7] the uniqueness of $\mathcal{D}_R$ is stated just in [Fa2, rm i) after th. 10]: however, going through the proof of [Fa2, th. 10] we do get the uniqueness part. Also it is worth pointing out that the uniqueness part follows as well from previous work: $D_{R/pR}$ is uniquely determined, cf. [BM, ch. 4] (or [dJ1, th. of intro.]). But the ideal of $p(x_1, ..., x_d)$ of R has nilpotent divided powers modulo any positive, integral power of $(x_1, ..., x_d)$. As R is complete w.r.t. the $(x_1, ..., x_d)$-topology, starting from D, $D_{R/pR}$ and $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, H, \tilde{f})$ we construct uniquely D_R (see [Me, ch. 4-5]). Moreover, [Fa2, rm. iii) after th. 10] can be restated as follows. We have (cf. also the comments of 2.2.9 1)) the following universal property.

UP. *For any Shimura p-divisible group $\mathcal{D}_{R_1}$ over* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1) = \mathrm{Spec}(W(k))[[y_1, ..., y_m]]$, *with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, whose pull back through the closed embedding z_1* : $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ *defined by y_j's being made to be 0, is (identifiable with) $\mathcal{D}$, there is a unique $W(k)$-morphism z_R* : $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ *such that $z_R \circ z_1 = z$ and we have an $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-isomorphism $z_R^*(\mathcal{D}_R) \tilde{\to} \mathcal{D}_{R_1}$ which in z_1 achieves (i.e. is compatible with) the mentioned identifications.*

In loc. cit., the above UP is (implicitly) stated in terms of filtered F-crystals with tensors. In what follows we refer to the UP above in both contexts: of Shimura p-divisible groups or of filtered F-crystals with tensors. To $\mathcal{D}_R$ we refer as a (or as the) universal Shimura p-divisible group (defined by $\mathcal{D}$); for $p \geq 3$ it is uniquely determined by the quadruple $(M, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ (see 2.3.18 why this is not always so for $p = 2$). There is a natural variant of this UP in a principally quasi-polarized context; in particular, we speak about universal principally quasi-polarized Shimura p-divisible groups.

2.2.21.1. Remark. 2.2.10 and 2.2.21 make sense for the context of not necessarily quasi-split Shimura filtered σ-crystals.

2.2.22. Complements on Shimura (Lie) σ-crystals. Below we deal with four such complements.

1) Extra terminology. A Shimura (resp. Shimura filtered) σ-crystal (M, φ, G) (resp. (M, F^1, φ, G)) over k is said to be:

– quasi cyclic diagonalizable, if there is a torus T of G such that (M, φ, T) (resp. (M, F^1, φ, T)) is a quasi Shimura (resp. quasi Shimura filtered) σ-crystal;

– cyclic diagonalizable, if there is a lift F^1 of it such that (resp. if) (M, F^1, φ) is cyclic diagonalizable in the sense of 2.2.1 d);

– strongly cyclic diagonalizable, if there is a torus T of G such that (M, φ, T) (resp. (M, F^1, φ, T)) is a cyclic diagonalizable Shimura (resp. Shimura filtered) σ-crystal and (M, F^1, φ) is strongly cyclic diagonalizable (here, for the non-filtered context, F^1 is the unique direct summand of M such that (M, F^1, φ, T) is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal, cf. Corollary of 2.2.9 3));

– potentially cyclic diagonalizable if over $\bar{k}$ it is cyclic diagonalizable.

We have:

Fact. *For Shimura (filtered) σ-crystals, the cyclic diagonalizability implies the quasi cyclic diagonalizability.*

Proof: Let $(M_0, F_0^1, \varphi_0, \tilde{G}_1)$ be a cyclic diagonalizable Shimura filtered σ-crystal. We use the notations of 2.2.9 1). We can assume ρ_0 is well defined; so G_1 contains G_0 (cf. Fact of 2.2.9 1')). In the first paragraph of the proof of 2.2.16, working with an arbitrary k, as the Weyl group of G is finite, the quadruple (M, F^1, φ, T) is a quasi Shimura filtered σ-crystal. So by passing to a finite field extension of k, we can assume we have a Shimura filtered σ-crystal $(M_0, F_0^1, \varphi_0, T_1)$ with T_1 a maximal torus of $GL(M_0)$. So the Fact follows from the Fact of 2.2.9 1') applied to the quadruple $(M_0, F_0^1, \varphi_0, T_2)$, with T_2 as the Zariski closure of the connected component of the origin of the intersection $T_{1B(k)} \cap \tilde{G}_{1B(k)}$ in $\tilde{G}_1$ (as in 2.2.16.5 we argue T_2 is a torus).

If $k = \bar{k}$, then the converse holds (cf. 2.2.16); this is not necessarily true if $k \neq \bar{k}$ (simple examples can be obtained by looking at ordinary elliptic curves over algebraic extensions of finite fields).

If $G = GL(M)$, it is easy to see that the above notion of cyclic (resp. strongly cyclic) diagonalizable filtered σ-crystal coincides (via 2.2.9 9)) to the previous one of 2.2.1 d) (resp. of Def. of 2.2.16.4). If $k = \bar{k}$, then the cyclic diagonalizability implies the strongly cyclic diagonalizability; this is not necessarily true if $k \neq \bar{k}$ (cf. the Fact of 2.2.16.4).

As in 2.2.16.4, we speak about the degree of definition of a potentially cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ-crystal; in particular, we speak about the degree of definition of a potentially Shimura σ-crystal (M, φ, T), with T a torus. As any maximal torus of G^{ad} is its own centralizer in G^{ad}, from the Fact of 2.2.9 1') we get:

Criterion. *Referring to (M, F^1, φ, G), we assume there is a maximal torus of G^{ad} of whose Lie algebra is contained in $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}))$ and is normalized by φ (resp. and is $W(k)$-generated by elements fixed by φ). Then (M, F^1, φ, G) is potentially cyclic diagonalizable (resp. there is a maximal torus T of G such that (M, F^1, φ, T) is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal).*

Following the above pattern, a Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal over k is called quasi (resp. potentially) cyclic diagonalizable, if its extension to k_1 is cyclic diagonalizable, with k_1 a finite field extension of k (resp. with $k_1 = \bar{k}$).

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The Shimura filtered σ-crystal (M, F^1, φ, G) is said to be:

– cyclic (resp. quasi, potentially or strongly cyclic) diagonalizable of level n, if there is a cyclic (resp. quasi, potentially or strongly cyclic) diagonalizable Shimura filtered σ-crystal $(M, F^1, g\varphi, T)$, with $g \in G(W(k))$ and with T of torus of G, such that the truncations mod p^n of (M, F^1, φ, G) and of $(M, F^1, g\varphi, G)$ are isomorphic.

Similarly, we speak about Shimura filtered σ-crystals $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ with an emphasized family of tensors which are cyclic (resp. quasi, potentially or strongly cyclic) diagonalizable of level n: above we just need to replace isomorphic by $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-isomorphic (see 2.2.9 6)).

Exercise. We assume $k = \bar{k}$. (M, F^1, φ, G) is cyclic diagonalizable of level n iff all iterates under σ of the canonical split (cocharacter of $G_{W_n(k)}$) of its truncation mod p^n (see 2.2.14.1) are commuting among themselves. Hint: just interpret 2.2.16 mod p^n (it is [SGA3, Vol. II, p. 48] which allows us to lift tori of $G_{W_n(k)}$ and their cocharacters to tori of G and respectively to their cocharacters).

If $G = GL(M)$, it is easy to see that the truncation mod p^n of (M, F^1, φ) is cyclic diagonalizable in the sense of 2.2.1 d) iff (M, F^1, φ, G) is cyclic diagonalizable of level n. Similarly, a Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal is said to be cyclic (resp. quasi or potentially cyclic) diagonalizable of level n if its truncation mod p^n is isomorphic (under an isomorphism respecting the Lie structures) to the truncation mod p^n of a Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal which is cyclic (resp. quasi or potentially cyclic) diagonalizable. As an application of 2.2.16.5 we have:

Corollary. *We assume $k = \bar{k}$. Then (M, F^1, φ, G) is cyclic diagonalizable of level n iff its attached Shimura adjoint filtered Lie σ-crystal $\mathfrak{LC}$ is so.*

Proof: One implication is easy, as in general, the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie σ-crystal attached to a strongly cyclic diagonalizable Shimura filtered σ-crystal is cyclic diagonalizable (see the passage from 4.1.2 to 4.1.4 below). For the other implication, we just need to show (cf. the Exercise) that the canonical split cocharacter of the truncation mod p^n of (M, F^1, φ, G) is such that its iterates under σ are commuting with each other. But to check this, it is enough to deal with their images in G^{ad} and so, cf. end of 2.2.13, with their images in $GL(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W_n(k)}))$. But the fact that these last images are commuting among themselves, is implied by the fact that $\mathfrak{LC}$ is cyclic diagonalizable of level n. This ends the proof.

Also, from Exercise we get: if $k = \bar{k}$, (M, F^1, φ, G) is cyclic diagonalizable of level n iff $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ is.

2) Classes and isogeny classes. Let (M, F^1, φ, G) be a Shimura filtered σ-crystal. By the class of (M, φ, G) we mean the set $Cl(M, \varphi, G)$ of isomorphism classes of Shimura

σ-crystals of the form $(M, g\varphi, G)$, with $g \in G(W(k))$. By the isogeny class of (M, φ, G) we mean the set $\mathrm{Iso}(M, \varphi, G)$ of isomorphism classes of Shimura σ-crystals obtained from the set of Shimura filtered σ-crystals of the form

$$(g(M), g(F^1), \varphi, G(g)),$$

with $g \in G(B(k))$ and with $G(g)$ as the Zariski closure of $G_{B(k)}$ in $GL(g(M))$, by forgetting the filtrations. Obviously $G(g)$ is a reductive group over $W(k)$ isomorphic to G (see also the general result of [Ti2, end of 2.5]). For any representative $(g(M), \varphi, G(g))$ of an isomorphism class of $\mathrm{Iso}(M, \varphi, G)$, we have $\mathrm{Iso}(g(M), \varphi, G) = \mathrm{Iso}(M, \varphi, G)$. If $\mathrm{Iso}(M, \varphi, G)$ has only 1 element, we say (M, φ, G) has constant isogeny class. As $(g(M), g(F^1), \varphi, G(g))$ is isomorphic to $(M, F^1, g^{-1}\varphi g, G)$, and as $g^{-1}\varphi g$ can be put in the form $g_1\varphi$, with $g_1 \in G(W(k))$, $Cl(M, \varphi, G)$ is a disjoint union of different isogeny classes. We say $\mathrm{Iso}(M, \varphi, G)$ is an isogeny class of $Cl(M, \varphi, G)$.

Similarly, we denote and define the class and the isogeny class of a Shimura (adjoint) Lie σ-crystal and, even more generally, of a p-divisible object with a reductive structure over k.

If a property $\mathcal{P}$ pertaining to Shimura σ-crystals defining the same class $Cl(M, \varphi, G)$, is enjoyed by any representative of an isomorphism class of an isogeny class of $Cl(M, \varphi, G)$ (resp. of an isomorphism class of $Cl(M, \varphi, G)$) once it is enjoyed by a Shimura σ-crystal (M_1, φ_1, G_1) defining this isogeny class (resp. defining $Cl(M, \varphi, G)$) then we refer to it as an isogeny invariant (resp. as a class invariant) property. For instance, the automorphism class of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), \varphi)$ is a class invariant.

All above extend to the context of an emphasized family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$; so we speak about $Cl(M, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ (its elements are isomorphism classes up to $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-isomorphisms) and $\mathrm{Iso}(M, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$, etc.

Definition. A class of Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystals is said to be torsionless, if any Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystal defining it has no automorphism of order p.

For instance, if p does not divide $t(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ then the Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystal associated to (M, φ, G) has no inner automorphisms of order p. In §13 we will see that there are examples (involving A_n Lie types) with $k = \bar{k}$, when $p | t(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and still $Cl(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \varphi)$ is torsionless.

3) Classification. Any isocrystal over $\bar{k}$ can be put in a cyclic diagonalizable form, cf. Dieudonné's classification of isocrystals over $\bar{k}$. So the simplest type of σ-crystals over k are those which can be put similarly in a cyclic diagonalizable form. Though def. 2.2.1 d) has a general form, for simplicity, in what follows we mostly focus our attention on filtered σ-crystals (see the conventions of 2.1). However, see L and 3.15.7 A below.

A. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $I_n := S(1, n)$. Till J below we consider only n-tuples $(e_1, e_2, ..., e_n)$ with $e_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $\forall i \in I_n$. We are interested in them (cf. 2.1) only from the circular point of view: for us $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ is "equally good" as $(e_j, e_{j+1}, ..., e_n, e_1, ..., e_{j-1})$, where $j \in S(2, n)$. By the dual of such an n-tuple $(e_1, e_2, ..., e_n)$ we mean the n-tuple $(1 - e_1, 1 - e_2, ..., 1 - e_n)$.

An n-tuple $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ is said to be in the standard form if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i 2^{n-i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i 2^{n-i}, \tag{1}$$

for any other n-tuple of the form $(d_1, ..., d_n) = (e_j, ..., e_n, e_1, ..., e_{j-1})$, for some $j \in S(2, n)$. We denote by $(e_1^*, ..., e_n^*)$ the n-tuple which is in the standard form, and which is obtained from the dual of $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ via a circular rearranging. If $(e_1, ..., e_n) = (e_1^*, ..., e_n^*)$ then we say $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ is self dual. If $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ is self dual then n is even and $\sum_{i \in I_n} e_i = \frac{n}{2}$.

B. In what follows (cf. 2.1) the right lower indices of entries of an n-tuple are considered mod n. So $e_1 = e_{n+1}$, etc. For any n-tuple $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ which is in the standard form we can construct a circular diagonalizable filtered σ-crystal (M, F^1, φ) as follows.

Construction. *M is a free $W(k)$-module of rank n, $\varphi(a_i) = p^{e_i} a_{i+1}$, where $\{a_i | i \in I_n\}$ is a $W(k)$-basis of M, while F^1 is the $W(k)$-submodule of M generated by all a_i's with $e_i = 1$.*

About (M, F^1, φ) we say: it is in the standard form associated to $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ or that it has type $(e_1, ..., e_n)$; about $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ we say: it is a type of (M, F^1, φ). From the very def. 2.2.1 d) we get: any filtered σ-crystal which is cyclic diagonalizable is a direct sum of circular diagonalizable ones; moreover, any filtered σ-crystal which is circular diagonalizable has a type.

We say $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ is indecomposable if the extension of (M, F^1, φ) to $\bar{k}$ does not have a proper direct summand. Otherwise we say $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ is decomposable. Warning: some of the types can be decomposed; example: the type $(1, 0, 1, 0)$ "corresponds" (over $\bar{k}$) to a "direct sum" of two copies of the type $(1, 0)$.

C. Proposition. *Any circular diagonalizable filtered σ-crystals has a unique type.*

Proof: Let $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ and $(d_1, ..., d_n)$ be two n-tuples in the standard form. If $(e_1, ..., e_n) = (0, ..., 0)$ then the statement is trivial. So we can assume $e_1 = 1$. Let (M_1, F_1^1, φ_1) and (M_2, F_2^1, φ_2) be respectively the circular diagonalizable filtered σ-crystals having these types. We can assume that inequality (1) above holds. We assume the existence of an isomorphism

$$f : (M_1, F_1^1, \varphi_1) \tilde{\to} (M_2, F_2^1, \varphi_2).$$

We use a $W(k)$-basis $\{a_1, ..., a_n\}$ of M_1 as above, as well as a similarly constructed $W(k)$-basis $\{b_1, ..., b_n\}$ of M_2. We write $f(a_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i b_i$, with $\alpha_i \in W(k)$, $\forall i \in I_n$. Let $j \in I_n$ be such that α_j is non-zero mod p. In what follows we do not need that f is an isomorphism: we just need that it is a morphism and that such a j does exist. By induction on $l \in I_n$ we prove that $(e_1, ..., e_l) = (d_j, ..., d_{j+l-1})$; due to (1) and the standard forms of $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ and $(d_1, ..., d_n)$, this implies $(e_1, ..., e_n) = (d_1, ..., d_n)$.

As $\varphi_1(a_1) = pa_2$ and f is a morphism, we get $d_j = 1$; so the case $l = 1$ holds. Let now $l \geq 2$. We assume $(e_1, ..., e_{l-1}) = (d_j, ...d_{j+l-2})$. This implies $f(a_l) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i,l-1} b_i$, with

$\alpha_{j+l-1,l-1}$ non-zero mod p (here $\alpha_{i,l-1} \in W(k)$, $\forall i \in I_n$). So if $e_l = 1$, then d_{j+l-1} must be also 1. If $e_l = 0$ and $d_{j+l-1} = 1$, then we reach a contradiction with the inequality (1). So we have $e_l = d_{j+l-1}$. This ends the inductive argument and so the proof.

From now on till the end of I below we assume $k = \bar{k}$; however, part of the arguments below (like the one of the below Corollary) just require that k contains a "sufficiently big" finite field.

Corollary. *(M, F^1, φ) has a principal quasi-polarization iff $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ is self dual.*

Proof: Let $\mathfrak{C} := (M, F^1, \varphi)$. Then $\mathfrak{C}^*(1)$ is in the standard form associated to $(e_1^*, ..., e_n^*)$. So if we have an isomorphism $\mathfrak{C} \tilde{\to} \mathfrak{C}^*(1)$, then (cf. Proposition) $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ is self dual.

If $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ is self dual, then $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{C}^*(1)$ are isomorphic. Let $\{a_1, ..., a_n\}$ be a $W(k)$-basis of M as above. Let $\{a_1^*, ..., a_n^*\}$ be the dual $W(k)$-basis of M^*. The underlying $W(k)$-module of $\mathfrak{C}^*(1)$ is M^* and the Frobenius endomorphism of it takes a_i^* into $p^{1-e_i} a_{i+1}^*$. Let $h \in I_n$ be such that $(e_1^*, ..., e_n^*) = (1 - e_h, 1 - e_{h+1}, ..., 1 - e_n, 1 - e_1, ..., 1 - e_{h-1})$. We take the smallest such h. We have $e_i + e_{i+h-1} = 1$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$. So $e_i = e_{i+2h-2}$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $d = (2h-2, n)$. As n is even, so d is. We get $e_i = e_{i+d}$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$. As h was taken to be the smallest possible value and as d can not be $h - 1$, we conclude $d = 2h - 2$. So $2h - 2 | n$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n = m(2h - 2)$.

If m is odd, we consider an isomorphism $i_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \tilde{\to} \mathfrak{C}^*(1)$ that takes a_1 into $u a_{1+\frac{n}{2}}^*$, with $u \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ such that $\sigma^{\frac{n}{2}}(u) = -u$. It is easy to see that starting from $i_{\mathfrak{C}}$ we get a perfect alternating form $\psi : M \otimes_{W(k)} M \to W(k)(1)$.

If m is even then $4|n$. Accordingly, for $j \in \{1, 2\}$, let $e_1^j := \sigma^{\frac{n}{2}}(v_j) e_1 + v_j e_{1+\frac{n}{2}}$, with $v_j \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ such that $\sigma^n(v_j) = v_j$, and $v_1 v_2^{-1}$ mod p is not fixed by $\sigma^{\frac{n}{2}}$. We get a direct sum decomposition $(M, F^1, \varphi) = (M_1, F_1^1, \varphi) \oplus (M_2, F_2^1, \varphi)$, such that $e_1^j \in M_j$. The type of (M_j, F_j^1, φ) computed starting from e_1^j is an $\frac{n}{2}$-tuple which is also self dual. So we can apply induction (the case $n = 2$ is well known). This proves the Corollary.

D. The uniqueness property. We assume now $p \geq 3$ (see 2.3.18.2 below for the case $p = 2$). From very definitions, any cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ-crystal is a direct sum of circular diagonalizable filtered σ-crystals which have (cf. 2.2.16.3) an indecomposable type. Moreover we have:

Proposition. *Such a decomposition is unique up to isomorphisms.*

Proof: We start with a cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ-crystal (M, F^1, φ). We can assume it has a unique slope $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Moreover, we can assume $\alpha \in (0, 1)$: otherwise the uniqueness part is trivial. This implies F^1 is a proper summand of M. Let T be a torus of $GL(M)$ obtained as in 2.2.16.1. Let ρ and N be as in 2.2.16.2. Let T_0 be the torus of $GL(N)$ corresponding to T via Fontaine's comparison theory. ρ factors through the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points of T_0 and in fact, due to the smallness property expressed in 2.2.16.1, T_0 is the algebraic envelope of ρ. So to decompose (M, F^1, φ) into direct summands is the same as to decompose N into direct summands normalized by T_0. Based on 2.2.16.2, the Proposition is equivalent to: N can be decomposed uniquely (up to isomorphism) into a direct sum of indecomposable T_0-subrepresentations. But this is a consequence of the following two well known facts:

– the representation of $T_{0\mathbb{F}_p}$ on N/pN is semisimple;

– any subrepresentation of the representation of $T_{0\mathbb{F}_p}$ on N/pN lifts to a subrepresentation of the representation of T_0 on N defined by a direct summand of N.

This proves the Proposition.

As in the above proof, the torus T_0 does not depend on which algebraically closed field k we use to define it, we get: the notion of indecomposable type is well defined, without specifying such a field.

Let $\mathcal{T}_n$ be the set of indecomposable n-tuples $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ which are in the standard form. Let

$$\mathcal{T}_\infty := \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{T}_n.$$

The above Proposition says that to any cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ-crystal (M, F^1, φ), with $M \neq \{0\}$, we can associate uniquely a formal sum

$$\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_\infty} m(\tau)\tau,$$

where $m(\tau) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ is the number of distinct factors having type τ in a direct sum decomposition of (M, F^1, φ) in circular diagonalizable filtered σ-crystals which have an indecomposable type. For all but finite $m(\tau) = 0$; moreover, at least 1 number $m(\tau)$ is non-zero. From the Corollary of C we get:

Corollary. *(M, F^1, φ) has a principal quasi-polarization iff $m(\tau) = m(\tau^*)$, $\forall \tau \in \mathcal{T}_\infty$.*

E. Examples. We come back to $p \geq 2$.

a) The quadruples $(1, 1, 0, 0)$ and $(1, 0, 1, 0)$ are producing (under the construction of B) non-isomorphic filtered σ-crystals, cf. C. Another way to see this: the Hodge numbers of their resulting σ^2-crystals are not the same. Also the degree of definition of a cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ-crystal having type $(1, 1, 0, 0)$ (resp. $(1, 0, 1, 0)$) is 4 (resp. 2), cf. def. 2.2.16.4.

b) There are precisely 10 isomorphism classes of cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ-crystals (M, F^1, φ), with M of rank 6, whose isocrystals are $\frac{1}{2}$-symmetric. Four of them do involve the slopes 0 and 1, one involves the slopes $\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{2}{3}$, while the other five are involving just the slope $\frac{1}{2}$. Among these 5, only 3 of them have principal quasi-polarizations: the types $(1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0)$ and $(1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0)$ are dual to each other and so are not self dual; so the cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ-crystals having any one of these two types do not have principal quasi-polarizations (cf. the Corollary of C or of D). So among these 10 classes, only 8 are principally quasi-polarizable.

c) An n-tuple $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ such that $(n, \sum_{i \in I_n} e_i) = 1$ is indecomposable.

F. Corollary. *We assume $p \geq 3$. The degree of definition of a cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ-crystal is equal to the least common multiple of the ranks of the circular filtered σ-crystals which are direct summands of it and have an indecomposable type.*

Proof: We just have to show (cf. D) that if $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ is an indecomposable type, then the cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ-crystal (M, F^1, φ) constructed in B, has n as its degree of definition. To see this, we can assume $k = \mathbb{F}$. Obviously, the degree of definition d of (M, F^1, φ) is a divisor of n. The fact that it is precisely n can be read out from 4.1.1.4 below: as $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ is indecomposable, the permutation $\bar{\varphi}$ (constructed as in 4.1.1.4) is a cycle of some length d_l, and the $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_l}})$-module ${}_pF_{\bar{f}_l}$ (constructed as in 4.1.1.4) has rank 1. So M has rank d_l. As $d_l|d$ (see 4.1.1.4), the conclusion follows. Warning: 4.1.1.4 can be read independently at any time, by just picking up the definition of $\bar{h}_i$'s in 4.1.1.1 below.

G. Let $p \geq 2$. We consider an n-tuple $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ in the standard form. Let (M, F^1, φ) be the circular diagonalizable filtered σ-crystal constructed in B. From the proof of 2.2.16 and from Corollary of 2.2.9 3), we deduce that the canonical split cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to GL(M)$ of it, is such that $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acts through μ trivially on all those a_i's for which $e_i = 0$. So, from the very def. 2.2.16.4, we get: the degree of definition of (M, F^1, φ) is equal to the smallest number $h \in I_n$ such that $e_i = e_{i+h}$, $\forall i \in I_n$. We have:

Corollary. *We assume $p \geq 3$. A type $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ is indecomposable iff the circular diagonalizable filtered σ-crystal having type $(e_1, ..., e_n)$, has n as its degree of definition.*

Proof: One implication follows from F. We assume now that the degree of definition is n. We can assume $e_1 = 1$. We assume we have a direct sum decomposition $(M, F^1, \varphi) = (M_1, F_1^1, \varphi_1) \oplus (M_2, F_2^1, \varphi_2)$, with M_1 and M_2 as proper $W(k)$-submodules of M and with (M_1, F_1^1, φ_1) an indecomposable cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ-crystal. Let $(d_1, ..., d_m)$ be its type. Its degree of definition is m and divides n. Let $d_{s+ml} := d_s$, where $l \in S(1, \frac{n}{m} - 1)$ and $s \in S(1, m)$. We get an n-tuple $(d_1, ..., d_n)$. If inequality (1) of A holds, then following the first proof of C in the context of the projection of (M, F^1, φ) on (M_1, F_1^1, φ_1) we get that $(e_1, ..., e_n) = (d_1, ..., d_n)$. This contradicts the fact that the degree of definition of (M, F^1, φ) is n. If the inequality (1) does not hold, then we can assume $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i 2^{n-i} > \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i 2^{n-i}$. Using the monomorphism $(M_1, F_1^1, \varphi_1) \hookrightarrow (M, F^1, \varphi)$, we similarly reach a contradiction. This ends the proof.

For the case $p = 2$ of Corollaries of paragraphs F and G see 2.3.18.2.

H. Combinatorics. There are many problems of combinatorial nature involving cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ-crystals. Usually such problems are either very elementary or too hard. In what follows, just to point out the flavor of the problems, we mention just two such problems of elementary nature.

From G above we get: the number $n\,|\mathcal{T}_n|$ can be interpreted as the number of functions $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \to \{0, 1\}$ which are not periodic. So we have the following recursive formula computing the number of elements of $\mathcal{T}_n$:

$$\sum_{q|n, q\in\mathbb{N}} q\,|\mathcal{T}_q| = 2^n.$$

In particular, $|\mathcal{T}_1| = 2$, $|\mathcal{T}_4| = 3$, $|\mathcal{T}_6| = 9$ and, for q a prime, $|\mathcal{T}_q| = \frac{2^q-2}{q}$.

The number of isomorphism classes of filtered σ-crystals (M, F^1, φ), with M of rank $2n$, which are cyclic diagonalizable and have a principal quasi-polarization, is 2^n. We do not explain this fact here; we just mention that this number 2^n can be easily obtained by combining [Oo3] and 4.12.4 below (see §9-10 for details). This second problem is a particular case (corresponding to $G_M = GSp(M, \psi_M)$, for some principal quasi-polarization $\psi_M : M \otimes_{W(k)} M \to W(k)(1)$) of the first of the following two general problems (to be analyzed in §9-10).

Problem 1. Let (M, F^1, φ, G_M) be a Shimura filtered σ-crystal. Find the number of isomorphism classes of cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ-crystals of the form $(M, F^1, g\varphi)$, with $g \in G_M(W(k))$.

Problem 2. Find the set $SDD(M, \varphi, G_M)$ of degrees of definitions of the cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ-crystals of the form $(M, F^1, g\varphi)$, with $g \in G_M(W(k))$.

Example. If $G_M = GSp(M, \psi_M)$, then $SSD(M, F^1, G_M)$ is the set

$$\{l.c.m.[ba_1, ..., ba_m] | m \in \mathbb{N},\, b \in \{1, 2\} \,\text{and}\, a_1, ..., a_m \in \mathbb{N},\, \text{with}\, 2\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j = \dim_{W(k)}(M)\}.$$

This is a consequence of the Corollaries of D and F (for $p = 2$ cf. also 2.3.18.2 below).

I. Classification and standard invariants. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$. Let $\mathbf{s} := (d_1, ..., d_m)$ be an arbitrary m-tuple, not necessarily in the standard form. We assume $p \geq 3$ (see 2.3.18.2 for $p = 2$). Let (M, F^1, φ) and $m(\tau)$'s be as in D. We refer to $m(\tau)$'s as the classification invariants. From them we can obtain many other invariants.

The number

$$FL(M, F^1, \varphi) := \sum_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}_\infty} m(\tau)$$

is referred as the factor length of (M, F^1, φ). The maximum $IL(M, F^1, \varphi)$ of the numbers $m(\tau)$'s is referred as the isotype length of (M, F^1, φ). The number $SKL(M, F^1, \varphi)$ of non-zero $m(\tau)$'s, is referred as the skeleton length of (M, F^1, φ). The greatest (resp. the number of) $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there is $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_n$ with $m(\tau) \neq 0$, is referred as the cyclic length (resp. the slope denominator length) of (M, F^1, φ) and is denoted by $CL(M, F^1, \varphi)$ (resp. by $SDL(M, F^1, \varphi)$). These five types of length, together with the degree of definition of (M, F^1, φ) (i.e. the least common multiple of all such n's), are the most useful simple types of invariants of (M, F^1, φ).

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $\tau_0 := (e_1, ..., e_n) \in \mathcal{T}_n$, let $\mathbf{s}(\tau_0)$ be the number of $i \in I_n$ such that $e_{i+j} = d_j$, for $j = \overline{1, m}$. By the $\mathbf{s}_q$-invariant of (M, F^1, φ) we mean the number

$$\mathbf{s}_q(M, F^1, \varphi) := \sum_{n=1}^{q} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_n} m(\tau)\mathbf{s}(\tau).$$

When $q = \infty$, we drop it as a right lower index of $\mathbf{s}$.

Many of these invariants have geometric interpretation (see §9-10 for plenty of examples). Here we just point out that: if $\mathbf{s} = (1)$ then $\mathbf{s}(M, F^1, \varphi) = \dim_{W(k)}(F^1)$, if $\mathbf{s} = (0)$ then $\mathbf{s}_1(M, F^1, \varphi)$ is the p-rank of (M, φ), and if $\mathbf{s} = (1, 0)$ or if $\mathbf{s} = (0, 1)$ then $\mathbf{s}(M, F^1, \varphi)$ computes the a-number of the p-divisible group over k of whose associated σ-crystal is (M, φ).

J. The adjoint Lie case. Parts A to D above can be adapted to some extend to the case of Shimura adjoint filtered Lie σ-crystals which are cyclic diagonalizable. There are two main new features. First, we have to consider n-tuples whose entries are elements of the set $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. Second, except for the trivial context (of 2.2.11 3)) we are never in a circular context (this can be checked starting from the part of 2.2.16.5 referring to T_G). So, starting from such an n-tuple, we can imitate the construction of B, to get a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(W(k))$; but it is not at all clear how to put some Lie structure on it. So in what concerns B to D, it is more appropriate to concentrate on analyzing particular cases showing up in geometric situations, rather than trying to present a whole general theory. Another approach: we totally forget the adjoint Lie structure and proceed as in L below.

K. Variant mod p^m. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. All of A to J above makes sense and remains true modulo p^m, i.e. for truncations mod p^m of cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ-crystals; no modifications of arguments are needed (besides using –see 2.2.1 c)– φ_0 and φ_1 instead of φ).

L. Extensions. The arguments of A to I and of K above are such that the motivated reader can easily extend them to the context of cyclic diagonalizable p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,m]}(W(k))$; the only modifications needed to be performed are as follows:

i) the role of 2 in A is just of a positive integer greater than m;

ii) the dual of an n-tuple $(e_1, ...e_n)$ formed by elements of $S(0, m)$, is defined to be the n-tuple $(m - e_1, ..., m - e_n)$;

iii) in the Corollaries of C and E above, we have to situate ourselves in the self dual context of 2.2.23 d) below;

iv) in paragraphs D, F and G we need $p \geq m + 2$ (this can still be weaken, as their references to 2.3.18.2 for $p = 2$ point out; see 2.3.18.3 below);

v) in H above, 2^n has to be replaced by $(m + 1)^n$.

Related to iv) we could add that 2.2.16-19 have analogues in the context of p-divisible objects $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,m]}(W(k))$ (for $p \geq m + 2$ no modifications of arguments are needed, just of language).

M. The non-filtered context. In the first proof of C, the fact that $f(F_1^1) = F_2^1$ played no role. So starting from this one can check that all invariants of (M, F^1, φ) defined in I above are in fact invariants of (M, φ) itself (in other words, if $k = \bar{k}$ and if (M, F_1^1, φ) and (M, F^1, φ) are both cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ-crystals, then they are isomorphic). More generally, we have:

Corollary. *We assume $k = \bar{k}$. Any object (N, φ_N) of $p - \mathcal{M}(W(k))$ which is obtained from a cyclic diagonalizable object of $p - \mathcal{MF}(W(k))$ by forgetting the filtration, is uniquely decomposed (up to isomorphism) as a direct sum of objects of $p - \mathcal{M}(W(k))$ which are obtained from circular diagonalizable objects of $p - \mathcal{MF}(W(k))$ by forgetting the filtrations and which can not be decomposed further on in non-trivial direct summands having this property.*

Proof: We just need to show the uniqueness part. Let

$$(N, \varphi_N) = \oplus_{i=1}^{n^1}(N_i^1, \varphi_{N_i^1}) = \oplus_{i=1}^{n^2}(N_i^2, \varphi_{N_i^2})$$

be two such maximal decompositions; so each $(N_i^j, \varphi_{N_i^j})$ is obtained from a circular diagonalizable object $\mathfrak{C}_{i^j}$ of $p - \mathcal{MF}(W(k))$ by forgetting the filtration and can not be decomposed into non-trivial direct summands which are obtainable in the same way, $j = \overline{1,2}$, $i \in S(1, n^j)$, with n^1, $n^2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\tau_i^j = (e_i^j(1), ..., e_i^j(a_i^j))$ be the type (defined as in A and B) of $\mathfrak{C}_{i^j}$, with $a_i^j \in \mathbb{N}$. We can assume all entries of τ_i^j's are non-negative; let a (resp. b) be the least common multiple (resp. the greatest) of a_i^j's, $j = \overline{1,2}$, $i \in S(1, n^j)$. The essence of the argument is the proof of G. So let $\tau_i^j(\text{ext})$ be the a-tuple obtained by putting $\frac{a}{a_i^j}$-copies of τ_i^j together. We are interested in them from the circular point of view and we order them w.r.t. base $b+1$ (in a way similar to inequality (1) of A involving base 2); let $\tau_{i_0}^{j_0} = (e_1, ..., e_a)$ be the greatest a-type among $\tau_i^j(\text{ext})$'s w.r.t. this ordering. We can assume $j_0 = 1$. We proceed by induction on $d := \dim_{W(k)}(N)$; so we assume that the Corollary holds for d smaller than some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. We now prove it for $d = r$.

Following the proof of G (and so the first proof of C) we get that $\tau_{i_0}^1(\text{ext}) = \tau_{i_1}^2(\text{ext})$, for some $i_1 \in S(1, n^2)$, and that $N_{i_0}^1$ projects surjectively onto $N_{i_1}^2$; here we do need to use the fact that $a_{i_0}^1$ is the smallest number $h \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $e_i = e_{i+h}$, $\forall i \in S(1, a)$ (if it would not be, then using similar arguments as the ones of the last paragraph of C we reach a contradiction to the "indecomposition" of $(N_{i_0}^1, \varphi_{N_{i_0}^1})$). This implies: we can assume that $N_{i_0}^1 = N_{i_1}^2$. By passing to quotients (defined by $N/N_{i_0}^1$) and using the inductive assumption, the Corollary follows.

Warning: the above proof does not apply to get an analogue of 2.2.16.3 in the context of $\mathcal{MF}(W(k))$.

N. Exercise. Show that the converse of 2.2.19.2 does not hold in general. Hint: first use the types $(1, 0)$ and $(1, 1, 0, 0)$ in the same way as in Example 1 of 2.2.19 and then use the non-filtered version of the proof of G, to argue that we do not get something which is cyclic diagonalizable.

4) The deformation dimension. By the deformation dimension of the Shimura σ-crystal (M, φ, G) we mean the number

$$dd((M, \varphi, G)) := \dim_{W(k)}(\mathrm{Lie}(G)/F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G))) = \dim_{W(k)}(F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G))).$$

Here $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ and $F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ are defined as in 2.2.8 1) starting from any (it does not matter which one, cf. Fact 1 of 2.2.9 3)) lift F^1 of (M, φ, G).

2.2.22.1. ***a*-invariants.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any n-tuple $\tau = (e_1, ..., e_n)$ of integers we denote by $a^+(\tau)$ (resp. by $a^-(\tau)$) the number of $j \in S(1, n)$ such that $(0, 1) = (e_j, e_{j+1})$ (resp. such that $(1, 0) = (e_j, e_{j+1})$). We refer to it as the a^+-invariant (resp. as the a^--invariant) of τ. The number

$$a(\tau) := \frac{a^+(\tau) + a^-(\tau)}{2} \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$$

is referred as the a-invariant of τ.

We assume $k = \bar{k}$. For any cyclic diagonalizable p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathcal{MF}(W(k))$ (and so implicitly for the object of $p - \mathcal{M}(W(k))$ obtained from it by forgetting its filtration) we define its a^+-invariant (resp. the a^--invariant and the a-invariant) by the following two rules (cf. Corollary of M of 2.2.22 3)):

a) they are additive with respect to direct sum decompositions;

b) if $\mathfrak{C}$ is circular and is not decomposable as a direct sum of two cyclic diagonalizable p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}(W(k))$, then it is the a^+-invariant (resp. the a^--invariant and the a-invariant) of the type (it is defined as in A and B of 2.2.22 3)) of $\mathfrak{C}$.

Exercise. Show that the a-invariant of a cyclic diagonalizable Shimura σ-crystal $(M, \varphi, GL(M))$ is the same as the a-number of the p-divisible group whose associated σ-crystal is (M, φ).

If $k \neq \bar{k}$, by the a^+-invariant (or the a^--invariant or the a-invariant) of any potentially cyclic diagonalizable Shimura (filtered) adjoint Lie σ-crystal we mean the a^+-invariant (or the a^--invariant or the a-invariant) of its extension to $\bar{k}$. It is easy to see that, under field extensions, all these invariants are the same.

Example. Let (M, F^1, φ) be a cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ-crystal. We use the notations of 2.2.1 d), with all $n(i)$'s belonging to $\{0, 1\}$. Let b_1 (resp. b_2, b_3 and b_4) be the number of $i \in A$ such that $(n(i), n(\pi(i)))$ is $(0, 0)$ (resp. is $(0, 1)$, $(1, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$). We have:

i) $a_1 := b_2 = b_3$ is the a-invariant of (M, φ);

ii) $b_1 + b_4 = \dim_{W(k)}(M) - 2a_1$;

iii) the a^+-invariant (resp. the a^--invariant) a_2^+ (resp. a_2^-) of $(\mathrm{End}(M), \varphi)$ is $b_1 b_2 + b_3 b_4$ (resp. is $b_1 b_3 + b_2 b_4$) and so $a_2^+ = a_2^-$;

iv) the a-invariant a_2 of $(\mathrm{End}(M), \varphi)$ is $a_1 \dim_{W(k)}(M) - 2a_1^2$.

So fixing the rank of M, a_2 is determined by a_1. Moreover: knowing a_2, we have at most two choices for a_1.

2.2.23. Complements on quasi-polarizations. In what follows we generalize the notion of principal quasi-polarization introduced in 2.2.1 c). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let R be a regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-algebra. Let Φ_R be a Frobenius lift of $R^\wedge$. Let $\mathfrak{C} := (M, (F^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)}, \varphi)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{C} := (M, (F^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)}, (\varphi_i)_{i\in S(a,b)}))$ be a p-divisible object (resp. an object) of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$, whose underlying module M is a projective $R^\wedge$-module (resp. projective R/p^nR-module).

A. Definitions. a) $\mathfrak{C}$ is said to be a symmetric p-divisible object (resp. object) if there is a morphism $\mathfrak{C}\otimes_{R^\wedge}\mathfrak{C} \to R^\wedge(a+b)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{C}\otimes_{R/p^nR}\mathfrak{C} \to R/p^nR(a+b)$) defined (at the level of underlying modules) by a perfect symmetric form $p_M : M\otimes_{R^\wedge} M \to R^\wedge$ (resp. $p_M : M\otimes_{R/p^nR} M \to R/p^nR$). p_M is referred as a symmetric principal quasi-polarization of $\mathfrak{C}$.

b) $\mathfrak{C}$ is said to be an alternating p-divisible object (resp. object) if there is a morphism $\mathfrak{C} \otimes_{R^\wedge} \mathfrak{C} \to R^\wedge(a+b)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{C} \otimes_{R/p^nR} \mathfrak{C} \to R/p^nR(a+b)$) defined (at the level of underlying modules) by a perfect alternating form $p_M : M \otimes_{R^\wedge} M \to R^\wedge$ (resp. $p_M : M \otimes_{R/p^nR} M \to R/p^nR$). p_M is referred as an alternating principal quasi-polarization or just as a principal quasi-polarization of $\mathfrak{C}$.

c) If M is a projective $R^\wedge$-module, then any morphism $\mathfrak{C}\otimes_{R^\wedge}\mathfrak{C} \to R^\wedge(a+b)$ defined by a symmetric form $p_M : M \otimes_{R^\wedge} M \to R^\wedge$ which becomes perfect after inverting p, is called a symmetric quasi-polarization of $\mathfrak{C}$. As in b) above, we have a variant where symmetric is replaced by alternating.

d) $\mathfrak{C}$ is said to be a self dual p-divisible object (resp. object) if there is a morphism $\mathfrak{C} \otimes_{R^\wedge} \mathfrak{C} \to R^\wedge(a+b)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{C} \otimes_{R/p^nR} \mathfrak{C} \to R/p^nR(a+b)$) defined (at the level of underlying modules) by a perfect bilinear form $p_M : M \otimes_{R^\wedge} M \to R^\wedge$ (resp. $p_M : M \otimes_{R/p^nR} M \to R/p^nR$). p_M is referred as a bilinear principal quasi-polarization of $\mathfrak{C}$ or as a perfect bilinear form of $\mathfrak{C}$.

e) In a) to d) above we have a variant where $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$ is replaced by an arbitrary Fontaine category of objects.

B. Examples. We just restrict to situations emerging from the context of a Shimura filtered σ-crystal (M, F^1, φ, G) over k. If there is a symmetric perfect form $p_M : M \otimes_{W(k)} M \to W(k)(1)$ such that G normalizes p_M, then we refer to the quintuple $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, p_M)$ as a symmetric principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered σ-crystal; this notion will play an important role in §5-14.

Let now Tr (resp. KIL) be the natural trace (resp. Killing) form on $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ (resp. on $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$). They are both fixed by φ and by inverting p they become perfect. Let $g_{R^\wedge} \in G(R^\wedge)$. We consider the Lie p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(R)$

$$\mathfrak{C} := (\mathrm{Lie}(G) \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge, g_{R^\wedge}(\varphi \otimes 1), F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge, F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{ad}} := (\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}) \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge, g_{R^\wedge}(\varphi \otimes 1), F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})) \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge, F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})) \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge).$$

We have symmetric quasi-polarizations

$$\mathrm{Tr}: \mathfrak{C} \otimes_{R^\wedge} \mathfrak{C} \to R^\wedge(0)$$

and

$$KIL: \mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{ad}} \otimes_{R^\wedge} \mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{ad}} \to R^\wedge(0).$$

If Tr (resp. KIL) is a perfect form, then $\mathfrak{C}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{ad}}$) is a symmetric p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(R)$ and $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{ad}}/p^n\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{ad}}$) is a symmetric object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(R)$.

Let now $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ be a Shimura adjoint filtered Lie σ-crystal over k. We assume all simple factors of $\mathfrak{g}$ are either of classical Lie type or of E_6 or E_7 Lie type. If none of these simple factors are of A_m or C_m Lie type with p dividing $2(m+1)$, or of B_m Lie type with p dividing $2(m-1)$, or of D_m Lie type with p dividing $2(2m-1)$, or of E_6 or E_7 Lie type with p dividing 6, then the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$ is perfect, cf. [Hu2, p. 48-9] for the E_6 and E_7 Lie types and cf. [Va2, 5.7.2 and 5.7.2.1] for the classical Lie types. Loc. cit. excludes the case $p=3$ just for the simple reason that [Va2] was focused on $p \geq 5$; but the argument for it is entirely the same (cf. also [Va2, 6.6.6]).

If $p>2$ and all simple factors of $\mathfrak{g}$ are of B_m or C_m Lie type with $m \geq 2$, or of D_m Lie type with $m \geq 4$, then the classical trace form on $\mathfrak{g}$ is perfect. To recall the definition of the classical trace form for the mentioned Lie types, we can assume all simple factors of $\mathfrak{g}$ are of the same Lie type. Then the classical trace form on $\mathfrak{g}$ is the multiple of the Killing form KIL on $\mathfrak{g}$ by some $r \in \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q})$, such that its extension to $W(\bar{k})$, when restricted to a simple factor $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k})$, is the trace form associated to the classical (it is uniquely determined up to isomorphism; for its complex version we refer to [He, §8 of ch. 3]) representation of $\mathcal{F}$ of dimension $d(m)$ (as $p>2$ $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of any semisimple group of whose adjoint is the adjoint group over $W(k)$ –it is uniquely determined, cf. end of 2.2.13– having $\mathfrak{g}$ as its Lie algebra); here $d(m)$ is $2m+1$ or $2m$ depending on the fact that $\mathfrak{g}$ is or is not of B_m Lie type.

Similarly, if $p=2$ and all factors of $\mathfrak{g}$ are of A_{2m} Lie type, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then the classical trace form (it is defined similarly to the above paragraph) on $\mathfrak{g}$ is perfect. So we get:

Fact. *If $p>3$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ has no simple factor of A_{pm-1} Lie type with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, or if $p=3$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ has no simple factor of E_6, E_7 or of A_{pm-1} Lie type with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, or if $p=2$ and all factors of $\mathfrak{g}$ are of some A_{2m} Lie type, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(\mathfrak{g}, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$ is a symmetric p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(W(k))$.*

C. Exercise. a) We assume Spec(R/pR) has the ALP property. Show that any bilinear principal quasi-polarization of an object $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)[p]$ whose pull backs via any $W(k)$-morphism Spec$(W(\bar{k})) \to$ Spec(R) is symmetric, is in fact symmetric.

b) We assume $p=2$. Show that any symmetric object $\mathfrak{C}$ of some category $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(*)[2]$ is alternating.

Hints. We can assume we are in the context of a) of 2.2.23 A, with $n=1$, $(a,b)=(0,1)$, $k=\bar{k}$ and $R=W(k)[[x_1,...,x_m]]$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$; moreover M is an R/pR-module. From very definitions, if $m^i = \varphi_i(n^i)$ with $n^i \in F^i(M)$, we have $p_M(m^i, m^i) = 0$,

$i \in \{0,1\}$. But any $m \in M$ can be written as $m = a_0 m^0 + a_1 m^1$, with a_0, $a_1 \in R$. So, in case b) as p_M is symmetric and $p = 2$, we have $p_M(m,m) = 0$. In case a) we can assume Φ_R takes x_i into x_i^p. Using the fact that $p_M(m^1, m^0) = \Phi_R(p_M(n^1, n^0))$, by induction on $q \in \mathbb{N}$ we get that p_M is symmetric modulo the ideal $(x_1, ..., x_m)^q$ of $R/2R$.

2.2.24. Semisimple elements. Let (M, φ, G) be a quasi p-divisible object with a reductive structure over a finite field k. Let k_1 be a finite field extension of k such that the extension of (M, φ, G) to k_1 is a p-divisible object with a reductive structure over k_1. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $k_1 = \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, φ^{mn} is a linear automorphism of $M[\frac{1}{p}]$ and so (as it can be seen by extension to $B(k_1)$) it is identifiable with an element $h_{mn} \in G(B(k))$. Let $h_{mn}^s \in G(B(k))$ be its semisimple part. The slopes of (M, φ) and their multiplicities are computed in the standard way from the p-adic valuations and the multiplicities of the eigenvalues of h_{mn}^s (see [Man]).

2.2.24.1. If k is arbitrary, then we can work as well with the semisimple element $h(\varphi)$ of $GL(M)(B(k))$ which acts on $W(\alpha)(M[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$ as the multiplication with $p^{\alpha \dim_{W(k)}(M)!}$. As the slope decompositions of 2.2.3 3) are functorial w.r.t. morphisms between (latticed) isocrystals, we get (cf. def. 2.2.8 3a) and 4a)) that $h(\varphi) \in G(B(k))$. The image of $h(\varphi)$ in $G^{\mathrm{ab}}(B(k))$ is a class invariant (i.e. by replacing φ by $g\varphi$, with $g \in G(W(k))$, it remains the same). We conclude:

Fact. *If the image of* $h(\varphi)$ *in* $G^{\mathrm{ad}}(B(k))$ *is trivial, then* $h(\varphi)$ *is uniquely determined by* $Cl(M, \varphi, G)$.

Proof: Any element of $Z(G^{\mathrm{der}})(B(k))$ is defined by an element $z \in Z(G^{\mathrm{der}})(W(k))$ by making p invertible; as $h(\varphi)$ and z commute, if z is non-trivial then the eigenvalues of $h(\varphi)z$ acting on $M[\frac{1}{p}]$ are not all integral powers of p. From this the Fact follows.

2.3. The standard Hodge situation. The whole of 2.3 is dedicated to the foundation of integral aspects of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of Hodge type: a language, a context and many general important features are presented. Implicitly, parts of what follows represent the generalization of different parts of [Ko2] (especially of ch. 5 of loc. cit.). However, the things are far more technical then loc. cit., due to the passage from tensors of degree 2 (endomorphisms, polarizations, etc.) to tensors of arbitrary degree; here "tensors" are thought as some realizations of Hodge cycles of abelian varieties. Later on (see 2.3.8 2)) it turns out that the context we introduce (see 2.3.4), though in the beginning might look unnatural or restricted, it is the most general one could think of in terms of Hodge embeddings in a reductive $\mathbb{Z}_p$-context. In Grothendieck's and Chevalley's spirit of foundations of algebraic geometry, we work in a context about which (at least for $p \geq 3$), in §6 we are able to show it is "free" of any restriction (assumption) on tensors. We rely heavily on [Va2]. In 2.3.1-17 (resp. 2.3.18) we deal with the case $p \geq 3$ (resp. $p = 2$).

2.3.1. The $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ setting. Let (W, ψ) be a symplectic space over $\mathbb{Q}$ and let

$$f : \mathrm{Sh}(G, X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sh}\big(\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S\big)$$

be an injective map. Let $p \geq 3$ be a rational prime. We assume the existence of a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-lattice $L_{(p)}$ of W such that ψ induces a perfect form

$$\psi : L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} L_{(p)} \to \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$$

and the Zariski closure $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ of G in $\mathrm{GSp}(L_{(p)}, \psi)$ is a reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ (if needed we can multiply ψ by an element of $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q})$, cf. [Va2, 4.1.6]). We call such a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-lattice good w.r.t. the map f (cf. [Va2, 5.8.3]). This assumption implies G is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let v be a prime of $E(G, X)$ dividing p. It is unramified over p, cf. [Mi3, 4.7]. We always view $\mathbb{C}$ as an $O_{(v)}$-algebra (cf. the definition of $E(G, X)$; for instance see [Va2, 2.6]). Let $K := \mathrm{GSp}(L_{(p)}, \psi)(L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and let $H := K \cap G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. K is a hyperspecial subgroup of $\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi)(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (as $\psi : L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} L_{(p)} \to \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is a perfect form) and H is a hyperspecial subgroup of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (as $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ is a reductive group and as $H = G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$). Let

$$e := \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(W)}{2} \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ be a family of homogeneous tensors of the essential tensor algebra $\mathcal{T}(W^*)$ of $W^* \oplus (W^*)^* = W^* \oplus W$, such that G is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi)$ fixing v_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ (cf. [De4, 3.1 c)]). Sometimes it is more convenient to work with an extended family

$$(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}$$

(so $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J}'$) of such tensors, such that G is the subgroup of $GL(W)$ fixing v_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$. Also it is convenient to consider that all elements of $\mathrm{Lie}(Z(G))$ are part of this extended family (here we identify canonically $\mathrm{End}(W)$ with $\mathrm{End}(W^*)$). As $G(\mathbb{Q})$ contains the group of scalar automorphisms of W, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$ we have $v_\alpha \in W^{*\otimes n_\alpha} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} W^{\otimes n_\alpha}$, with $n_\alpha := \frac{\deg(v_\alpha)}{2}$.

Let $G^0_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ be the maximal reductive subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ fixing ψ. So

$$G^0_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} = \mathrm{Sp}(L_{(p)}, \psi) \cap G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}},$$

as the center of $G^0_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has -1_W as a $\mathbb{Q}$–valued point: this is a consequence of the definition of X as a $G(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class of homomorphisms $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{G}_m \to G_{\mathbb{R}}$; so the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{R})$ is connected and, when viewed as a subgroup of $G(\mathbb{R})$, contains $-1_{W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}}$ and is contained in $G^0_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbb{R})$.

We have a natural short exact sequence $0 \to G^0_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \to 0$ and so a natural isogeny $\mathbb{G}_m \times G^0_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \to G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ whose kernel is μ_2.

To any $x \in X$ it corresponds an injective cocharacter $\mu_x : \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}$ (see [Va2, 2.2]). We often prefer to view G as a subgroup of $GL(W^*)$ or of $\mathrm{GSp}(W^*, \tilde{\psi})$, where $\tilde{\psi}$ is the perfect form on W^* induced naturally by ψ, and to emphasize this we denote μ_x by μ_x^*; so μ_x^* defines, as in [Va2, 2.2], the Hodge structure on W^* corresponding to $x \in X$. μ_x^* achieves a direct sum decomposition $W^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} = F_x^1 \oplus F_x^0$, with $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C})$ acting through it on F_x^i as the multiplication with β^{-i}, $i = \overline{0, 1}$.

2.3.2. The moduli setting for the Siegel modular variety. We get an injective map

$$f : (G, X, H, v) \hookrightarrow \big(\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S, K, p\big)$$

of Shimura quadruples. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the extension to $O_{(v)}$ of the integral canonical model of the Shimura quadruple $\big(\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi),\ S, K, p\big)$. It is known (for instance see [Va2, 3.2.9 and 4.1]) that $\mathcal{M}$ parameterizes isomorphism classes of principally polarized abelian schemes of dimension e over $O_{(v)}$-schemes having, in a compatible way, level-N symplectic similitude structure for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ relatively prime to p; the choice of a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice L of W such that $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} = L_{(p)}$ and $\psi : L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L \to \mathbb{Z}$ (makes sense and) is a perfect form is implicit here, cf. [Va2, 4.1]. Here, as well as everywhere else, the level structures and their compatibilities are as in [Va2, paragraph before 4.1.0].

2.3.3. The integral canonical model. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the integral canonical model of (G, X, H, v) (for $p \geq 5$ cf. [Va2, 6.4.1] and the defs. of [Va2, 3.2.6]; for $p = 3$ cf. §6). As $\mathcal{M}$ has the extension property (see [Va2, 2.3.3 3) and 6)]), we have (cf. also [Va2, 3.2.7 4)]) a natural $O_{(v)}$-morphism

$$i_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{M}.$$

[Va2, 3.2.12] implies: $\mathcal{N}$ is the normalization of the Zariski closure of $\mathrm{Sh}_H(G, X)$ in $\mathcal{M}$. From the proof of [Va2, 3.4.1] we deduce: $i_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a finite morphism and not just pro-finite.

The universal principally polarized abelian scheme over $\mathcal{M}$ gives birth, by pull back, to a principally polarized abelian scheme $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ over $\mathcal{N}$. $\mathcal{A}$ is naturally endowed with a family $(w_\alpha^{\mathcal{A}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}$ of Hodge cycles. Argument: due to the existence of level structures, this can be read out from an étale $\mathbb{Q}_l$-context, with l a prime different from p; in other words, all Hodge cycles of the pull back of $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)$ are already Hodge cycles of $\mathcal{A}$ and so [Va2, 4.1.1-2] applies. So the points of $\mathcal{N}$ with values in fields $\mathcal{K}$ of characteristic 0, give birth to principally polarized abelian varieties (obtained from $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ by pull back), having a family $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}$ of Hodge cycles (obtained from $(w_\alpha^{\mathcal{A}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}$ by pull back) and compatible level-N symplectic similitude structures, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(N, p) = 1$, such that some additional conditions are satisfied (cf. loc. cit.).

We denote by H_0 an arbitrary compact, open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ such that:

a) the quotient morphism $\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$ is a pro-étale cover;

b) there is a principally polarized abelian scheme $(\mathcal{A}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{H_0}})$ over $\mathcal{N}/H_0$, with $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ obtained from it by pull back via the pro-étale cover $\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$.

$\mathcal{N}/H_0$ is a quasi-projective, smooth $O_{(v)}$-scheme, cf. [Va2, 6.4.1]: this is a consequence of the fact that the morphism $i_{\mathcal{N}}$ is finite. Warning: we do not assume that the family of Hodge cycles $(w_\alpha^{\mathcal{A}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}$ is definable over $\mathcal{N}/H_0$ (i.e. is obtained by pulling back a family of Hodge cycles of $\mathcal{A}_{H_0}$); also we do not assume $H_0 \times H$ is included in (some specific compact, open subgroup of) $GSp(\tilde{L}, \psi)(\tilde{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ for some $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $\tilde{L}$ of W such that we have a perfect form $\psi : \tilde{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{L} \to \mathbb{Z}$ (however, in many cases, the proof of [Va2, 6.7.2] can be used to show that we can assume that such an inclusion does exist). Also for the sake of future study (involving twists), we do not impose any restriction (besides b)) on $(\mathcal{A}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{H_0}})$; in particular, even if we have

$$(INCL) \qquad H_0 \times H \subset K(N) := \{g \in GSp(L,\psi)(L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}) | g \equiv 1_{L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}} \bmod N\},$$

for some $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1,2\}$, with $(N,p) = 1$, we do not assume it is the pull back of the universal principal polarized abelian scheme over $\mathcal{M}/K^p(N)$ having level-N symplectic similitude structure. Here $K^p(N) \subset GSp(W,\psi)(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ is a subgroup such that we have a natural identification $K(N) = K^p(N) \times K$. However, by passing to a normal, open subgroup of H_0, $(\mathcal{A}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{H_0}})$ becomes isomorphic to such a pull back, under an isomorphism which over $\mathcal{N}$ it becomes the one of b). Warning: despite the notation $(\mathcal{A}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{H_0}})$, this pair does not depend only on H_0.

Let $\tilde{H}_0$ be an arbitrary compact, open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$. We denote by $c_p(\tilde{H}_0)$ (resp. by $c_p(\tilde{H}_0, v)$) the number of connected components of the generic (resp. special) fibre of $\mathcal{N}/\tilde{H}_0$. From [Va2, 6.4.6 3)] (see also [Va2, 3.2.7 2)]) we get: this number is independent of which hyperspecial subgroup H of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ we are dealing with and so the notations are justified.

2.3.3.1. The compact type case. If (G,X) is of compact type, then $\mathcal{N}/H_0$ is a projective, smooth $O_{(v)}$-scheme, cf. [Va2, 6.4.1.1 2)] (the proof of loc. cit. applies to the case $p = 3$ as well).

2.3.3.2. The abelian and adjoint counterparts. We denote by $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ (resp. by $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ab}}$) the integral canonical model of the adjoint (resp. toric) Shimura quadruple $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, H^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ (resp. $(G^{\mathrm{ab}}, X^{\mathrm{ab}}, H^{\mathrm{ab}}, v^{\mathrm{ab}})$) of (G,X,H,v). In connection to the existence of $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ for $p = 3$ (resp. of $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ for $p \geq 3$) we refer to §6, cf. also [Va2, 6.2.2 b)] and AE.4.1 (resp. we refer to [Va2, 3.2.8]). Let H_0^{ad} (resp. H_0^{ab}) be a compact, open subgroup of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ (resp. of $G^{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$) such that under the natural epimorphism $G \twoheadrightarrow G^{\mathrm{ad}}$ (resp. $G \twoheadrightarrow G^{\mathrm{ab}}$) it contains the image of H_0. Warning: we do not assume $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ad}}/H_0^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is smooth over $O_{(v^{\mathrm{ad}})}$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ab}}/H_0^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is always an étale cover of $\mathrm{Spec}(O_{(v^{\mathrm{ab}})})$, cf. [Va2, 3.2.8]. In the same way we got the existence of $i_{\mathcal{N}}$, we get the existence of an $O_{(v)}$-morphism

$$q_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{O_{(v)}} \times_{O_{(v)}} \mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ab}}_{O_{(v)}}.$$

From [Va2, 6.4.5 b)] (cf. also [Va2, 6.2.2-3] and AE.4.1) we get that it is a pro-finite, pro-étale morphism (for $p = 3$ cf. also §6). If $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is a pro-étale cover of the smooth $O_{(v^{\mathrm{ad}})}$-scheme $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ad}}/H_0^{\mathrm{ad}}$, then the similarly defined $O_{(v)}$-morphism

$$q_{\mathcal{N}}(H_0) : \mathcal{N}/H_0 \to \mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{O_{(v)}}/H_0^{\mathrm{ad}} \times_{O_{(v)}} \mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ab}}_{O_{(v)}}/H_0^{\mathrm{ab}}$$

is an étale cover of its image.

2.3.4. Definition. The $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-lattice $L_{(p)}$ of W is said to be crystalline well positioned for the map f w.r.t. v, if, besides the property mentioned in 2.3.1 (i.e. it is good w.r.t. f), it also satisfies the following property:

($*$) *for any perfect field k of characteristic p and for every $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$, the triple $(A, (w_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}, p_A) := z^*(\mathcal{A}, (w_\alpha^{\mathcal{A}})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is such that the Zariski closure in $GL(M)$ (with $M := H^1_{\text{crys}}(A/W(k)) = H^1_{dR}(A/W(k))$) of the subgroup of $GL(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixing the perfect alternating form $p_A : M \otimes_{W(k)} M \to W(k)(1)$ (induced by the polarization p_A) and the crystalline (de Rham) component t_α of w_α (t_α is a homogeneous tensor of $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$), $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, is a reductive group $\tilde{G}$ over $W(k)$.*

If $L_{(p)}$ is crystalline well positioned for the map f w.r.t. any prime v of $E(G, X)$ dividing p, then we say $L_{(p)}$ is crystalline well positioned for the map f.

2.3.5. Basic definition. A triple $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ as in 2.3.1, with $L_{(p)}$ a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-lattice of W which is crystalline well positioned for the map f w.r.t. v, is called a standard Hodge situation, abbreviated SHS. If moreover there is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ of $\text{End}(L_{(p)})$, which over $W(\mathbb{F})$ is a product of matrix $W(\mathbb{F})$-algebras, which is self dual w.r.t. ψ, and is such that the subgroup of $\text{GSp}(W, \psi)$ fixing its elements has G as its connected component of the origin, then the quadruple $(f, L_{(p)}, v, \mathcal{B})$ is called a standard PEL situation (we recall that PEL stands for polarization, endomorphisms and level structures).

2.3.5.1. Exercise. Let (G_0, X_0) be an adjoint Shimura pair such that all its simple factors are of A_n type for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $p > 2$ be a prime such that G_0 is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Show that there is an injective map $f\colon (G, X) \hookrightarrow (\text{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$, with $(G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}}) = (G_0, X_0)$, and a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-lattice $L_{(p)}$ of W good w.r.t. f, such that for any prime v of $E(G, X)$ dividing p we get a standard PEL situation $(f, L_{(p)}, v, \mathcal{B})$, for a suitable $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ of $\text{End}(L_{(p)})$. Hint: [Va2, 6.5.1] allows us to assume G_0 is $\mathbb{Q}$–simple and for such a case see [Va2, 6.5.1.1 and Case 2 of 6.6.5.1]; in other words, loc. cit. handles the case $p \geq 5$ and so, for $p = 3$ we just have to literally copy the arguments for $p \geq 5$.

2.3.5.2. The factors. Let $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ be a SHS. Let

$$(G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}}) = \prod_{j\in\mathcal{F}(G^{\text{ad}})} (G_j, X_j)$$

be the direct factor decomposition in simple, adjoint Shimura pairs. Here $\mathcal{F}(G^{\text{ad}})$ is a finite set of indices, indexing the $\mathbb{Q}$–simple factors of G^{ad}. We have:

Fact 1. *For any $j \in \mathcal{F}(G^{\text{ad}})$ there is an injective map $f_j : (G^j, X^j) \hookrightarrow (G, X)$ such that:*

a) $G^{j\text{der}}$ is the semisimple subgroup of G naturally isogeneous to G_j (so $(G^{j\text{ad}}, X^{j\text{ad}}) = (G_j^{\text{ad}}, X_j^{\text{ad}})$);

b) $(f \circ f_j, L_{(p)}, v_j)$ is a SHS, for any prime v^j of $E(G^j, X^j)$ dividing v.

Proof: [Va2, 3.2.7 11)] takes right away care of a) and to get b) we just need to use simple arguments. Following loc. cit., we can assume G_j is generated by $G^{j\text{der}}$ and by a maximal torus of G whose Zariski closure in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ is a maximal torus of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$; so (cf. [Va2, 3.1.6]) the Zariski closure of G^j in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ is a reductive subgroup $G^j_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$. Let $H^j := H \cap G^j(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Let $\mathcal{N}^j$ be the integral canonical model of the Shimura quadruple (G^j, X^j, H^j, v^j). In the same way we got $i_{\mathcal{N}}$, we get naturally a finite $O_{(v^j)}$-morphism

$$i^j_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{N}^j \to \mathcal{N}_{O_{(v^j)}}.$$

The fact that the triple $(f \circ f_j, L_{(p)}, v_j)$ is a SHS, can be deduced immediately from [Va2, 3.1.6] via [Va2, 4.3.13] (to be compared with the proof of [Va2, 5.7.1]). To recall the details, we refer to 2.3.4, with z factoring through $\mathcal{N}_j$. Defining $\tilde{G}_j$ in the similar way, [Va2, 4.3.13] "takes care" of the maximal subtorus of $Z(G^j_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}})$ (i.e. it implies that the Zariski closure in $GL(M)$ of the connected component of the origin of $Z(\tilde{G}_{jB(k)})$ is a torus), while the fact that $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ is a SHS "takes care" of the derived part of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ (i.e. it implies that the Zariski closure in $GL(M)$ of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{der}}_{jB(k)}$ is a semisimple group); it is [Va2, 3.1.6] which "takes care" of combining the toric part with the derived part. This proves Fact 1.

So from many points of view (for instance, see Fact 2 below), for the study of a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$, we can assume G^{ad} is a simple $\mathbb{Q}$–group; however, for the sake of generality, we never assume this a priori.

Let $q_{G^{\mathrm{ad}}}$ be the order of the center of the simply connected group cover of $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We have:

Fact 2. *A connected component* $\mathcal{C}_0$ *of* $\mathcal{N}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ *is a quotient of the product* $\times_{j\in\mathcal{F}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})}\mathcal{C}^j_0$, *with* $\mathcal{C}^j_0$ *a connected component of* $\mathcal{N}^j_{W(\mathbb{F})}$, *through a pro-finite,* $q^2_{G^{\mathrm{ad}}}$*-torsion abelian group.*

Proof: First, it is irrelevant which connected components we choose, cf. [Va2, 3.3.2]. Second, we need to apply [Va2, 3.2.16] to the Shimura quadruple

$$(\prod_{j\in\mathcal{F}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})} G_j, \prod_{j\in\mathcal{F}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})} X_j, \prod_{j\in\mathcal{F}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})} H^j, w),$$

where w is the prime of the composite field of all reflex fields $E(G^j, X^j)$'s dividing all v^j's. Third, we need to consider a cover $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{X}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{v}) \to (G, X, H, v)$, such that $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{der}} = \prod_{j\in\mathcal{F}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})} G^{\mathrm{der}}_j$, cf. [Va2, 3.2.7 10)]. So, Fact 2 follows by combining [Va2, 6.2.3 and 6.2.3.1].

The mentioned torsion abelian group is trivial if $G^{\mathrm{der}} = \times_{j\in\mathcal{F}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})} G^{j\,\mathrm{der}}$, cf. [Va2, 6.2.3]; in fact here the word if can be replaced by iff, as it can be easily deduced from [Va2, 3.3.1] and [De2, 2.1.7].

2.3.5.3. PEL-envelopes. The PEL-envelope $f_1 : (G_1, X_1) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W, \psi), S)$ of f was introduced in [Va2, 4.3.12]: G_1 is the connected component of the origin of the subgroup of $GSp(W, \psi)$ centralizing the Lie algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of endomorphisms of W fixed by G, while X_1 is uniquely determined by requiring f to factor through f_1. Let

$$\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathrm{End}(L_{(p)}).$$

Let v_1 be the prime of $E(G_1, X_1)$ divided by v. If the quadruple $(f_1, L_{(p)}, v_1, \mathcal{B})$ is a standard PEL situation, then we refer to it as the PEL-envelope of $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$.

2.3.5.4. Automorphisms. The group of automorphisms of the Shimura triple (G, X, H) (or quadruple (G, X, H, v)) is a subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}((G, X, H))$ of $Aut(G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}})(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$, cf. [Va2, 3.2.7 9)] and AE.0. We consider the natural homomorphism

$$a_{(G,X,H)} : \mathrm{Aut}((G, X, H)) \to Aut(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}})(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}).$$

If G^{ab} itself is the smallest subtorus of G^{ab} through whose extension to $\mathbb{R}$ the homomorphism $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{G}_m \to G^{\mathrm{ab}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ defining X^{ab} factors, then $a_{(G,X,H)}$ is injective and its image (identifiable with $\mathrm{Aut}((G, X, H))$) is a subgroup of finite index of $Aut(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}})(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$. So, (cf. also [De1, 1.6]) we have

$$\mathrm{Aut}((GSp(W, \psi), S, K)) = Aut(GSp^{\mathrm{ad}}(L_{(p)}, \psi))(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}).$$

The subgroup of this last group normalizing f (i.e., under this identification, normalizing G and –over $\mathbb{R}$– taking X onto itself) is called the automorphism group of $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ and is denoted by $\mathrm{Aut}(f, L_{(p)}, v)$.

Let now $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(f, L_{(p)}, v)$. We denote by a_g the $O_{(v)}$-automorphism of $\mathcal{M}$ it defines naturally (cf. 2.2.1.5.1 and natural extension of scalars). It leaves invariant the closed subscheme $\mathrm{Sh}_H(G, X)$ of $\mathcal{M}_{E(G,X)}$ and so it gives birth to an $O_{(v)}$-automorphism b_g of $\mathcal{N}$; we have:

$$i_{\mathcal{N}} \circ b_g = a_g \circ i_{\mathcal{N}}.$$

2.3.5.5. Standard covers. We consider a reductive subgroup G_1 of $GL(W)$ containing G and such that $G_1^{\mathrm{der}} = G^{\mathrm{der}}$. Let X_1 be the $G_{1\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class of any element of X. We get a Shimura pair (G_1, X_1) (see the axioms of [Va2, 2.3]). We have $E(G, X) = E(G_1, X_1)$. If $G_1 \neq G$ (resp. if $G_1 \neq G$ and G_1 is a subgroup of $GSp(W, \psi)$), then (G_1, X_1) is an enlargement of (G, X) (resp. of (G, X) in $(GSp(W, \psi), S)$) in the sense of [Va3, 4.3.1 and 4.3.1.1].

In practice G_1 is generated by G and by a maximal torus of the centralizer of G in $GL(W)$. In such a case $Z(G_1)$ is a torus: it is the group of invertible elements of the semisimple, commutative $\mathbb{Q}$–subalgebra $\mathrm{Lie}(Z(G_1))$ of $\mathrm{End}(W)$. So we get:

Fact 1. *The adjoint map $a_1 : (G_1, X_1) \to (G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_1^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is a cover (in the sense of [Va2, 2.4]). So $X_1 = X^{\mathrm{ad}}$ (cf. [Mi1, 4.11]).*

We refer to a_1 as a standard cover defined by f. We can assume G_1 is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, cf. [Va2, 3.1.4]. If moreover the Zariski closure $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ of G_1 in $GL(L_{(p)})$ is a reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, then we refer to a_1 as a standard cover defined by $(f, L_{(p)})$; the Shimura quadruple (G_1, X_1, H_1, v), with $H_1 := G_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap GL(L_{(p)})(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$, is referred as a standard extension of (G, X, H, v) obtained via $(f, L_{(p)})$.

Fact 2. *If the centralizer $C_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ of $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ in $GL(L_{(p)})$ is a reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, then standard covers defined by $(f, L_{(p)})$ do exist.*

Proof: We consider (see [Har, 5.5.3]) a maximal torus of $C_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ and we take G_1 to be generated by its generic fibre and by G^{der}. The fact that $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ is a reductive group, is implied by [Va2, 3.1.6]. This ends the proof.

We assume now that a_1 is a standard cover defined by $(f, L_{(p)})$. Let $\mathcal{N}_1$ be the integral canonical model of (G_1, X_1, H_1, v), cf. [Va2, 6.2.3]. Based on [Va2, 3.2.7 4) and 3.2.15], we have a natural open closed $O_{(v)}$-embedding $i_1 : \mathcal{N} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_1$. Moreover the natural $O_{(v)}$-morphism

$$q_{\mathcal{N}_1} : \mathcal{N}_1 \to \mathcal{N}^{\text{ad}}_{O_{(v)}}$$

is a pro-étale cover (cf. [Mi1, 4.13] and [Va2, 6.4.5.1 b)]; for $p = 3$ cf. also §6). From the very definition of X_1, we have:

Fact 3. *The monomorphisms* $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow G_{1\mathbb{R}}$ *defined by elements of* X_1*, factor through* $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ *and so through* $GSp(W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}, \psi)$*.*

2.3.5.6. Remark. Fact 3 allows the interpretation of $\mathcal{N}_{1E(G,X)}$ as a moduli scheme of principally $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-polarized abelian varieties over $E(G, X)$-schemes of dimension e, endowed with a family of Hodge cycles (still indexable by $\mathcal{J}'$) and having compatibly level-N structures (not necessarily symplectic similitude ones), $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(N, p) = 1$, and satisfying some axioms. As this is very much the same as [Va2, 4.1 and 4.1.0] (we just need to allow principal $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-polarizations as well as level-N structures which are not necessarily symplectic similitude ones), we will not repeat the details here.

2.3.5.6.1. Variants. A. We refer to 2.3.5.5. We assume G_1 is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ but the Zariski closure of G_1 in $GL(L_{(p)})$ is not a reductive group. From [Va2, 3.1.1 and 3.2.7.1] we deduce the existence of a hyperspecial subgroup H_1 of $G_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ containing H and which is the group of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points of a reductive group $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ having G_1 as its generic fibre and having $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ as a closed subgroup. So, we can still speak about i_1 and $q_{\mathcal{N}_1}$. Based on [Va2, 3.3.1-2], 2.3.5.6 still makes sense in such a situation.

We consider a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-lattice $L_{1(p)}$ of W such that the Zariski closure of G_1 in $GL(L_{1(p)})$ is $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$, cf. [Ja, 10.4 of Part I] and [Va2, 3.1.2.1 c)]. We refer to a_1 as a standard cover defined by $(f, L_{1(p)})$. Even better, we consider the standard monomorphism

$$\text{sm} : SL(L_{1(p)}) \hookrightarrow GSp(L_{1}{}^2_{(p)}, \psi_1)$$

(as in [Va2, 6.6.5 d1)]; to be compared with [Va2, 6.5.1.1 v)]), with ψ_1 a suitable perfect alternating form on $L_{1}{}^2_{(p)} := L_{1(p)} \oplus L_{1(p)}$. Let $W_1 := L_{1}{}^2_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Q}$. We get naturally an injective map

$$\tilde{f} : (G, X) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W_1, \psi_1), S_1)$$

(the restriction of $\tilde{f}$ to G^0 is the composite of the monomorphism $G^0 \hookrightarrow SL(W)$ with the generic fibre of sm) such that $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ is naturally a subgroup of $GL(L_{1}{}^2_{(p)})$.

B. We have a variant of 2.3.5.5-6 and A, where G_1 is generated by G and by the center of the centralizer of G in $GL(W)$. What we gain in this case: the torus $Z(G_1)$ is the group of invertible elements of a semisimple, commutative $\mathbb{Q}$–subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(W)$ left invariant by the involution of $\mathrm{End}(W)$ defined by ψ. So we have the following logical two extensions of the interpretation of 2.3.5.6.

(INTR1) If moreover G^{der} is the derived subgroup of the connected component of the origin G' of the centralizer $C(G_1)$ of $Z(G_1)$ in $GSp(W,\psi)$, then a similar interpretation as in 2.3.5.6 holds for $\mathcal{N}_1$ itself: we just need to replace Hodge cycles by $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-endomorphisms and to use Serre–Tate's deformation theory (to be compared with [Ko2, ch. 5]). Warning: if $C(G_1)\neq G'$, then we still do not need to mention Hodge cycle which are not $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-endomorphisms, as $C(G_1)$ is a subgroup of G_1.

(INTR2) If G^{der} is not the derived subgroup of G', then denoting by X' the $G'(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class of homomorphisms $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{G}_m \to G'_{\mathbb{R}}$ generated by X, we get a PEL type embedding $f' : (G',X') \hookrightarrow (GSp(W,\psi),S)$. Let v' be the prime of $E(G',X')$ divided by v. As $Z(G_1)$ is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ (it splits over $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathrm{un}}$ as G_1 does), G' is as well unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. If G'_1 and respectively $\mathcal{N}'_1$ have (by working with v') the same meaning for G' as G_1 and $\mathcal{N}_1$ have for G, we get naturally (see $i_{\mathcal{N}}$ of 2.3.3) a finite $O_{(v')}$-morphism $\mathcal{N}_1 \to \mathcal{N}'_1$ and so to any $\mathbb{F}$-valued point of $\mathcal{N}_1$ it is attached naturally (cf. (INTR1)) a principally $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-polarized abelian variety over $\mathbb{F}$ of dimension e, endowed with a family of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-endomorphisms (indexed by the elements of $\mathrm{Lie}(Z(G_1))\cap \mathrm{End}(L_{(p)})$) and having compatibly level-N structures (not necessarily symplectic similitude ones), $\forall N\in\mathbb{N}$ with $(N,p)=1$.

2.3.5.7. Remark. We refer to the Existence Property of 1.10. From [Va2, 6.5.1.1 i) and the first part of 6.6.5.1] we deduce that we can moreover assume that the representation of $G^{0\mathrm{der}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ on $GL(L_{(p)}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} W(\mathbb{F}))$ is a direct sum of irreducible representations such that:

– each simple (irreducible) direct factor of it is a representation associated to a minimal weight of a product factor of $G^{0\mathrm{der}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ having a simple adjoint, and so its special fibre is an irreducible subrepresentation of $G^{0\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ (cf. also [Bo1, 6.4 and 7.3]).

So in such a case $C_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ is a reductive group, and so Fact 2 applies. Moreover, referring to 2.3.5.6.1 B, we have: as the Zariski closure of $Z(G_1)$ in $GL(L_{(p)})$ is a torus of $GL(L_{(p)})$ whose Lie algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is self dual w.r.t. ψ, the triple $(f', L_{(p)}, v', \mathcal{B})$ is a standard PEL situation.

2.3.5.8. An application: the proof of AE.4.2 a). The case $p=3$ is very much the same (see §6). So, to be simpler in references, we assume $p\geq 5$. Let (G_1,X_1,H_1,v_1) be a Shimura quadruple of preabelian type, with v_1 dividing p. Let $\mathcal{N}_1$ (resp. $\mathcal{N}_1^{\mathrm{ad}}$) be its integral canonical model (resp. be the integral canonical model of its adjoint), cf. [Va2, 6.4.2] and AE.4.1. Let H_{01} be a subgroup of $G_1(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ such that $H_{01}\times H_1$ is a subgroup of $G_1(\mathbb{A}_f)$ smooth for (G_1,X_1). If p does not divide $t(G_1^{\mathrm{ad}})$, the fact that $\mathcal{N}_1$ is a pro-étale cover of $\mathcal{N}_1/H_{01}$ is implied by the Proposition of AE.4. We now assume $p|t(G_1^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and $H_{01}\times H_1$ is p-smooth for (G_1,X_1). We need to show that $\mathcal{N}_1$ is a pro-étale cover of $\mathcal{N}_1/H_{01}$. As this is a problem of connected components of $\mathcal{N}_{1W(\mathbb{F})}$, we can assume (cf. Definition 1 of AE.4), that there is a prime l different from p and such that the image of H_{01} in $G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ is contained in a compact, open subgroup H_{01l}^{ad} having no pro-p subgroups. Let $H_{01}^{l\mathrm{ad}}$ be a compact, open subgroup of $G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{A}_f^{p,l})$ containing the image of H_{01} in it; here $\mathbb{A}_f^{p,l}$ denotes the ring of finite adèles whose both p- and l-components are omitted.

As the natural $O_{(v_1)}$-morphism $\mathcal{N}_1 \to \mathcal{N}_{1O_{(v_1)}}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is pro-étale (see [Va2, 6.4.5 b)]), and as the quotient $O_{(v_1)}$-morphism $\mathcal{N}_1 \to \mathcal{N}_1/H_{01}$ factors through the natural morphism $\mathcal{N}_1 \to \mathcal{N}_{1O_{(v_1)}}^{\mathrm{ad}}/\tilde{H}_{01}^{\mathrm{ad}}$, with

$$\tilde{H}_{01}^{\mathrm{ad}} := H_{01}^{l\mathrm{ad}} \times H_{01l}^{\mathrm{ad}},$$

we can assume G_1 is adjoint. Based on [Va2, 3.4.5.1], it is enough to show that the morphism $\mathcal{N}_1^{\mathrm{ad}} \to \mathcal{N}_1^{\mathrm{ad}}/H_{01}^{l\mathrm{ad}}$ is a pro-étale cover; here the role of $H_{01}^{l\mathrm{ad}}$ is that of an arbitrary compact subgroup of $G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{A}_f^{p,l})$. Based on this and on [Va2, 3.2.16], we can assume G_1 is $\mathbb{Q}$–simple. So based on 2.3.5.7, not to introduce extra notations, (by moving back to a G_1 which is not adjoint but has a $\mathbb{Q}$–simple adjoint) we can assume that:

– we have a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ and we are in the context of a standard cover $a_1 : (G_1, X_1) \to (G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_1^{\mathrm{ad}}) = (G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ defined by $(f, L_{(p)})$;

– with the notations of 2.3.5.5, we are dealing with a subgroup $\tilde{H}_{01}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ of $G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ which has the above shape and properties (so in particular, $\tilde{H}_{01}^{\mathrm{ad}} \times G_{1\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is p-smooth for $(G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_1^{\mathrm{ad}})$).

A connected component of $\mathcal{N}_{1W(\mathbb{F})}^{\mathrm{ad}}/H_{01}^{l\mathrm{ad}}$ is a quotient of a connected component $\mathcal{C}^0$ of $\mathcal{N}_{1W(\mathbb{F})}$ through a group of automorphisms GA of $\mathcal{N}_{1W(\mathbb{F})}$ leaving invariant $\mathcal{C}^0$ and defined by translations by a subgroup of $G_1(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ whose image in $G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ is trivial, cf. [Mi1, 4.13] and [Va2, 3.3.1]. If $h \in GA$ fixes $y \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{F})$, then as in AE.4.1 we get that h acts trivially on $\mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{F})$ (we need to work precisely with l). Warning: here we dot need to bother about Hodge cycles which are not defined by endomorphisms, i.e. in connection to the $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-automorphism a we get (as in AE.4.1) we are bothered just about $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-polarizations, level structures (and if one desires, about $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-endomorphisms), cf. 2.3.6.1 (INTR2). So $\mathcal{C}^0$ is a pro-étale cover of $\mathcal{C}^0/GA$. This ends the proof of AE.4.2 a).

2.3.5.8.1. Variant. What follows is a natural extension of AE.4.1.1 and so provides a variant of the last paragraph of 2.3.5.8; so we can assume G^{ad} is $\mathbb{Q}$–simple. If it is of some A_n Lie type with $p|n+1$, then we can proceed as in AE.4.1.1 to get that we can assume that moreover $h \in G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$. If G^{ad} is not of A_n Lie type with $p|n+1$, then $q_{G^{\mathrm{ad}}}$ (see 2.3.5.2) is relatively prime to p and so for any $\tilde{h} \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ there is $\tilde{q} \in \mathbb{N}$, with $(\tilde{q}, p) = 1$, such that $\tilde{h}^{\tilde{q}}$ belongs to the image of $G^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ in $G^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$. So, as in AE.4.1.1, we can assume that $h \in G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$; we conclude:

Regardless of how G^{ad} is, in the last paragraph of 2.3.5.8, the use of "$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-" in front of polarizations (and isogenies) can be entirely avoided.

2.3.6. Examples. We refer to 2.3.1. If the family of tensors $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-well positioned w.r.t. ψ for the group G, if it is enveloped by $L_{(p)}$ (see [Va2, 4.3.4] for the meaning of these), and if it is of degree at most $2(p-2)$, then the triple $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ is a SHS (cf. [Va2, 5.1 and 5.6.5]). More generally, if there is a family of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(L_{(p)}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} W(\mathbb{F}))$ which is $W(\mathbb{F})$-well positioned for $G_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ and of degree at most $2(p-2)$, then the triple $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ is a SHS, cf. [Va2, 5.6.9].

In particular, if f is a good embedding w.r.t. p (see def. [Va2, 5.8.1]), then there is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-lattice of W which is crystalline well positioned for the map f. From this the Existence Property of 1.10 follows.

2.3.6.1. The d-invariants. Let $\tilde{W}$ be a finite dimensional vector space over a field $\tilde{k}$. We assume $\tilde{k}$ is either of characteristic 0 or it is perfect of arbitrary positive characteristic. We consider a faithful representation $\tilde{f}:\tilde{G}\hookrightarrow GL(\tilde{W})$, with $\tilde{G}$ a reductive group over $\tilde{k}$. We assume it is not an isomorphism. If $\tilde{G}$ contains the 1 dimensional torus $\tilde{T}_1$ of scalar automorphisms of $\tilde{W}$, then we also assume the existence of a non-degenerate bilinear form $\tilde{\psi}$ on $\tilde{W}$ or on its dual normalized by $\tilde{G}$. The existence of the numbers introduced by the following definition is a consequence of [De4, 3.1 c)] and of the finite dimensionality of $\tilde{W}$.

Definition. Let $d(\tilde{f})\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$ (resp. $d_0(\tilde{f})\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$) be the smallest number such that there is a family of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(\tilde{W})$ of degree at most $d(\tilde{f})$ (resp. at most $d_0(\tilde{f})$) such that the subgroup of $GL(\tilde{W})$ fixing all its members and, in case $\tilde{G}$ contains $\tilde{T}_1$, normalizing $\tilde{\psi}$, is $\tilde{G}$ (resp. has $\tilde{G}$ as the connected component of its origin). We refer to $d(\tilde{f})$ (resp. to $d_0(\tilde{f})$) as the d-invariant (resp. as the d_0-invariant) of $\tilde{f}$.

We have $d(\tilde{f})\geq d_0(\tilde{f})$. By enlarging $\tilde{k}$, these numbers remain the same. So let $d_1(\tilde{f})$ (resp. $d_2(\tilde{f})$) be the d-invariant (resp. the d_0-invariant) of the natural representation of an arbitrary maximal torus of $\tilde{G}$ on $\tilde{W}$. Let $d_3(\tilde{f})$ (resp. $d_4(\tilde{f})$) be the d-invariant (resp. the d_0-invariant) of the natural representation of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{der}}$ on $\tilde{W}$. Let $d_5(\tilde{f})$ (resp. $d_6(\tilde{f})$) be the d-invariant (resp. the d_0-invariant) of the natural representation of the maximal subtorus of $Z(\tilde{G})$ on $\tilde{W}$.

Example. We refer to 2.2.9 5). We assume G does not contain the 1 dimensional split torus of scalar automorphisms of M. Then the d-invariant of the representation of $G_{B(k)}$ on $M[\frac{1}{p}]$ is $\deg(M,\varphi,G)$. The proof of this is very much the same as the proof of the Fact of 2.2.9 1').

If $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ is a SHS, we refer to the numbers $d(f)$, $d_0(f)$, $d_1(f)$,..., $d_6(f)$, $d(f_p)$, $d_0(f_p)$, $d_3(f_p)$ and $d_4(f_p)$ as its d-invariants. Here f is identified with a representation of G on W and

$$f_p : G_{\mathbb{F}_p}\hookrightarrow GL(L_{(p)}/pL_{(p)})$$

is the (alternating) faithful representation defined naturally by the closed embedding $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}\hookrightarrow GL(L_{(p)})$. Warning: simple arguments involving representations of tori show that we have $d_i(f_p)=d_i(f)$ for $i\in\{1,2,5,6\}$; but we have no a priori reason to think that in general this automatically holds for $i\in\{0,3,4\}$ or that $d(f)=d_p(f)$. From the second paragraph of 2.3.1, we get: $d(f)$, $d_0(f)$, $d_1(f)$, $d_2(f)$, $d_5(f)$ and $d_6(f)$ are even numbers. Any one of the conditions $d(f) = 0$ or $d_0(f) = 0$ are equivalent to f being an isomorphism.

Using the language of [Va2, 4.3.1], we have: if (G, X) is saturated in $(GSp(W, \psi), S)$, then $d_0(f) \leq 4$. In general we do not know when $d_0(f) = 4$. If we have a standard PEL situation $(f, L_{(p)}, v, \mathcal{B})$, then $d_0(f) \in \{0, 2\}$ but (see the D case in [Ko2, §5]) it can happen that $d(f) \geq 4$.

2.3.7. Convention. Whenever we consider a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$, we use freely the notations of 2.3.1-3 and of 2.3.5.2.

2.3.8. Remarks. 1) We do not know when the converse of 2.3.6 is true. That is, we do not know for which SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ there is a family $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ of homogeneous tensors of the essential tensor algebra of $W^* \oplus W$, which is $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-well positioned w.r.t. ψ for G, is enveloped by $L_{(p)}$, and is of degree at most $2(p-2)$. It is not hard to see, that for $p = 3$ we do need that at least one of the following two conditions is satisfied:

– $d_0(f)$ is 0 or 2;

– there is a saturated enlargement (G_1, X_1) (see def. [Va2, 4.3.1]) of (G, X) in $(GSp(W, \psi), S)$ such that the d_0-invariant of the representation of G_1 on W is 2.

2) A major result of §6 states that any $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-lattice $L_{(p)}$ of W good w.r.t. f is automatically crystalline well positioned for the map f. In particular, we will see in §6 that in 2.3.5.6.1 A we get a SHS $(\tilde{f}, L^2_{1(p)}, v)$.

3) Usually when we mention the polarizations we use the set of indices $\mathcal{J}$ (i.e. we would like to "carry" as few Hodge cycles as possible); when we do not, then we use $\mathcal{J}'$. If this is confusing to the reader, the reader can assume $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}'$.

4) We consider a quadruple $(f, L_{(p)}, v, \mathcal{B})$ which satisfies all requirements of a standard PEL situation, except that $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ is a SHS. Then such a quadruple is a standard PEL situation. For the case when $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Q}$ is a simple $\mathbb{Q}$–algebra, cf. [LR] and [Ko2, ch. 5] (cf. also [Va2, 5.6.3] and AE.1 for very detailed proofs in the spirit of arbitrary SHS's). Warning: in the way we defined a standard PEL situation, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Q}$ is not necessarily a $\mathbb{Q}$–simple algebra and so G^{ad} does not necessarily have all its simple factors of the same Lie type. However, [Va2, 4.3.11] and its slight correction of AE.1, still apply (versus [Va2, 5.6.3]) to such a general $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-algebra $\mathcal{B}$: just the third paragraph of [Va2, p. 469] has to be modified (we need to define separately a number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as in loc. cit. for each simple factor of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}$).

2.3.8.1. Exercise. Let (G, X, H, v) be a Shimura quadruple of Hodge type, with v dividing $p \geq 3$. Assuming 2.3.8 2), show that there is a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ for which we do have $H = G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Hint: just restate the proof of [Va2, 6.7.2].

2.3.9. Theorem. *Let $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ be a SHS. We consider the context and notations of 2.3.4. We have:*

a) *$\tilde{G}$ is an inner form of $G_{W(k)}$; moreover $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is a split torus.*

b) *The reductive group $\tilde{G}$ splits over a finite Galois cover* $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k_1))$ *of* $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ *of order dividing a fixed power of $q_{G^{\mathrm{ad}}}$. Moreover, the Galois group* $\mathrm{Gal}(k_1/k)$ *is nilpotent of index of nilpotency at most two. If for each simple factor (G_j, X_j) of $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ of $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type with $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 6$, the semisimple subgroups of $G_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{der}}$ naturally isogeneous to a simply factor of $G_{j\mathbb{C}}$, are simply connected, then this Galois group is abelian.*

c) *If all factors of G^{ad} are of C_n or A_n Lie type for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and if for any simple factor $G'_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ of A_n Lie type, the semisimple subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{der}}$ naturally isogeneous to it is a simply connected semisimple group, then $\tilde{G}$ is isomorphic to $G_{W(k)}$, regardless of the choice of the $W(k)$-valued point z of $\mathcal{N}$.*

d) *If G^{der} is a simply connected semisimple group, then the Galois group of b) is abelian of exponent 2.*

Proof: For the sake of future references, we index the main paragraphs by capital letters.

A. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(N, p) = 1$. As $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ has level-N symplectic similitude structure, so does (A_k, p_{A_k}). So the N-th roots of unity are contained in k. We conclude: $k \supset \mathbb{F} = \overline{k(v)}$. So also $B(k) \supset B(\mathbb{F})$. The Proposition is a consequence of this last inclusion and of Fontaine's comparison theory. We present the instructive details. We recall that:

Theorem. *Let q be a prime. Any Hodge cycle of an abelian variety over the field of fractions FR of a complete DVR of mixed characteristic $(0, q)$ having a perfect residue field, is a de Rham cycle, i.e. under the isomorphism of the de Rham conjecture, its de Rham component is mapped into the q-component of its étale component.*

The case when the abelian variety is definable over a number field contained in FR, this result was known since long time (for instance, see [Bl, (0.3)]). This extra hypothesis was removed in [Va2, 5.2.16] (in the part of [Va2, 5.2] preceding [Va2, 5.2.16] an odd prime is used; however the proof of loc. cit. applies to all primes).

B. As G is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, $G_{B(\mathbb{F})}$ is a split group. So $G_{W(k)}$ is a split group. Fontaine's comparison theory implies $\tilde{G}_{B(k)}$ is an inner form of $G_{B(k)}$ (cf. Theorem of A). But $\tilde{G}$ splits over a finite étale extension of $W(k)$. So over this extension it becomes isomorphic to $G_{W(k)}$, cf. the uniqueness of a split reductive group associated to a given root datum (see [SGA3, Vol. III, p. 313-4]). As $G_{W(k)}^{\mathrm{ad}}(W(k))$ is that maximal bounded subgroup of $G_{W(k)}^{\mathrm{ad}}(B(k))$ (see [Ti2, 3.2]) which normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)}^{\mathrm{ad}})$, we get: any automorphism of $G_{W(k)}$ which generically is inner, is inner. We deduce: $\tilde{G}$ is an inner form of $G_{W(k)}$. So $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is a split torus. This takes care of a).

C. Now we prove simultaneously the other parts. Any element of $\mathcal{T}(L_{(p)}^*[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixed by $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ gives birth (cf. the identification of [Va2, top of p. 473]) through Fontaine's comparison theory to an element of $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixed by $\tilde{G}$ (again cf. Theorem of A; as we are over $W(k)$ we can use as well Fontaine's comparison theory in a purely crystalline context). As $B(k) \supset B(\mathbb{F})$, we can use $B(\mathbb{F})$-linear combinations of such elements. We denote by

$$\mathrm{Fix}(G_{B(\mathbb{F})})$$

the set of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(L^*_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} B(\mathbb{F}))$ fixed by $G_{B(\mathbb{F})}$. So any element of $\mathrm{Fix}(G_{B(\mathbb{F})})$ has a counterpart in $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$. As $G_{B(\mathbb{F})}$ is a split group and all simple Lie factors of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{B(\mathbb{F})})$ are classical, split, simple Lie algebras over $B(\mathbb{F})$, we can often "capture" the fact that $G_{B(\mathbb{F})}$ is split by just using the set $\mathrm{Fix}(G_{B(\mathbb{F})})$. The most useful elements of $\mathrm{Fix}(G_{B(\mathbb{F})})$ are its projectors: they single out different subrepresentations of the representation of $G_{B(\mathbb{F})}$ on $\mathcal{T}(L^*_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} B(\mathbb{F}))$ which can "encode" useful information on $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{B(\mathbb{F})}$.

D. We first assume that:

(*) for any simple factor AD of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{B(\mathbb{F})}$ "coming" from a simple factor of $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ of A_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$) or $D^{\mathbb{R}}_n$ type ($n \in 2\mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 6$), the semisimple subgroup SSS of $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{B(\mathbb{F})}$ naturally isogeneous to it, is simply connected and the representation of $G_{B(\mathbb{F})}$ on $L^*_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} B(\mathbb{F})$ does contain a subrepresentation W_{SSS} (not necessarily irreducible) on which the connected component of the origin of $Z(G_{B(\mathbb{F})})$ acts as multiplication with scalars and on which $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{B(\mathbb{F})}$ acts through a quotient of it which is naturally isomorphic to SSS.

The image of $G_{B(\mathbb{F})}$ in $GL(W_{SSS})$ is a reductive group $GSSS$ which has a quotient QG which is either a GL_{n+1}-group or is a $GSO(2n)$-group. From [De4, 3.1 (a)] we deduce that the classical representation of QG (defined as in 2.2.23 B) "shows up" as a subrepresentation of $GSSS$ on $\mathcal{T}(W_{SSS})$ factoring through QG. From this, Weyl's complete reductibility theorem, the structure of the irreducible representations of a direct sum of absolutely simple, split, semisimple Lie algebras over $B(\mathbb{F})$, and the fact that the irreducible subrepresentations of the representation of a simple Lie factor of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{B(\mathbb{F})})$ on $L^*_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} B(\mathbb{F})$ are given by minimal weights (see [Sa] or [De2, p. 261]) we deduce:

Fact. *For any simple factor* $\mathfrak{g}_0$ *of* $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{B(\mathbb{F})})$, *we can single out a subrepresentation of the representation of* $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{B(\mathbb{F})})$ *on* $\mathcal{T}(L^*_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} B(\mathbb{F}))$ *which is the tensor product* $\mathcal{TP}$ *of the classical faithful representation (viewed as a monomorphism)* $\mathfrak{g}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}(W_0)$ *(over* $B(\mathbb{F})$*; for its complex version we refer to [He, §8 of ch. 3]) with other representations of the same type of the other simple factors of* $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{B(\mathbb{F})})$, *just by using (a projector of)* $\mathrm{Fix}(G_{B(\mathbb{F})})$*; moreover, the bilinear form (if it is not zero, then it is non-degenerate and unique up to a non-zero scalar)* b_0 *on* W_0 *annihilated by* $\mathfrak{g}_0$ *(if* $\mathfrak{g}_0$ *is of* A_n *Lie type,* $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, *then this bilinear form is zero), gives birth to a bilinear form on* $\mathcal{TP}$ *fixed by* $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{B(\mathbb{F})}$ *and normalized by* $G_{B(\mathbb{F})}$. *If* $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathrm{Lie}(AD)$, *then* $\mathcal{TP} = W_0$.

As $\tilde{G}$ is an inner form of $G_{W(k)}$, all simple factors of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ are absolutely simple factors. From the Fact and Fontaine's comparison theory, we get the existence of an absolutely simple factor $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{B(k)})$, which is an inner form of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and which has a faithful representation on $\tilde{W}_0 := W_0 \otimes_{B(\mathbb{F})} B(k)$, annihilating a bilinear form $\tilde{b}_0$ on $\tilde{W}_0$. $\tilde{b}_0$ is a non-degenerate form iff the Lie type of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is not A_n, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$. We recall that the dual of a tensor product of representations is the tensor product of the dual representations.

We now treat the case when all factors of G^{ad} are of some A_n or C_n Lie type ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). By reasons of dimensions (resp. due to the existence of the alternating non-degenerate form $\tilde{b}_0$) in case $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of A_n (resp. of C_n) Lie type, we get: $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes_{B(\mathbb{F})} B(k)$. We deduce $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ is a split Lie algebra over $B(k)$. So $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{der}}$ is a split group. So (cf. a)) $\tilde{G}$ is a split group. As $\tilde{G}_{B(k)}$ and $G_{B(k)}$ are both split and inner forms of each other, they are isomorphic. We conclude (as in B): $\tilde{G}$ is isomorphic to $G_{W(k)}$. This ends the proof of c) under the assumption (*).

E. Coming back to the general situation (of the assumption (*)), it is enough to show: if $\tilde{b}_0$ is a non-degenerate symmetric form (i.e. if $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of B_n or D_n Lie type), then $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes_{B(\mathbb{F})} B(k)$ become isomorphic to each other over a finite, unramified abelian extension $B(k_1)$ of $B(k)$ of order a divisor of $2^{N(W_0)}$, where $N(W_0) \in \mathbb{N}$ depends only on $m := \dim_{B(\mathbb{F})}(W_0)$.

To see this, let $\tilde{G}_0$ be a semisimple group over $W(k)$ whose generic fibre is the connected component of the origin of $O(\tilde{W}_0, \tilde{b}_0) = Aut(\tilde{W}_0, \tilde{b}_0)$. Its existence is implied by the fact that $\tilde{G}$ is a reductive group. It is enough to show that $\tilde{G}_{0k}$ splits over a finite abelian extension k_1 of k whose Galois group is a 2-torsion group of whose order is bounded in terms of m. We consider a $W(k)$-lattice $\tilde{L}_0$ of $\tilde{W}_0$ such that $\tilde{G}_0$ is a closed subgroup of $GL(\tilde{L}_0)$, cf. [Ja, 10.4 of Part I] and [Va2, 3.1.2.1 c)]. The existence of $\tilde{b}_0$ implies (very easy exercise; see also the general result in [Ja, 10.9 of Part I]) the existence of a non-degenerate, symmetric bilinear form on $\tilde{L}_0/pL_0$ fixed by $\tilde{G}_{0k}$. With respect to some k-basis of $\tilde{L}_0/pL_0$, the quadratic form of this new bilinear form, can be written as a sum $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i x_i^2$, where a_i's are non-zero elements of k. We get: $\tilde{G}_{0k}$ splits over the abelian extension k_1 of k obtained by adjoining square roots of not more than $[\frac{m}{2}]$ elements of k. So $[k_1 : k] | 2^{[\frac{m}{2}]}$. This ends the proof of b) and d) under the assumption (*).

F. To prove b), c) and d) in general we use the standard techniques of [Va2, 6.2.3.1, 6.2.3, 6.4.2 and 6.4.5.1] (for the case $p = 3$ cf. §6). In other words, cf. 2.3.6 and loc. cit., there is another SHS $(f^1, L^1_{(p)}, v^1)$ such that:

– the adjoint quadruples of Shimura quadruples (G^1, X^1, H^1, v^1) and (G, X, H, v) are isomorphic;

– (*) is satisfied for it (for its last part pertaining to subrepresentations cf. also [Va2, 6.5.1.1 and 6.6.5]: they rely on [De2, proof of 2.3.10] and for loc. cit. it is obviously satisfied);

– there is a natural isogeny $G^{1\mathrm{der}} \to G^{\mathrm{der}}$.

For this new SHS we use the standard notations, except that we put an upper right index 1 everywhere. As k is an $\mathbb{F}$-algebra, from [Va2, 6.2.3 and 3.2.7 10)] we get: we can assume that each connected component of $\mathcal{N}^1_{W(k)}/H^1_0$ is an abelian cover of a connected component of $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$. Moreover, we can assume that the degree $d(H_0, H^1_0)$ of this cover divides a fixed power of $q_{G^{\mathrm{ad}}}$ (cf. [Va2, 6.2.3.1]) and that all Hodge cycles of $\mathcal{A}^1$

are as well Hodge cycles of $\mathcal{A}^1_{H^1_0}$. So the standard trick of using Segre's embeddings as in [Va2, Example 3 of 2.5] (it is fully recalled in 4.9 below; here we need just the rational version of 4.9.3-4 below and so from 4.9.2.0 only its C part is needed), allows us to shift our attention to the new SHS; by doing this we might need to replace $W(k)$ by $W(k_1)$, where k_1 is an abelian extension of k of degree a divisor of $d(H_0, H^1_0)$. But for the new situation we can apply the last paragraph of D and E. So we get b), c) and d), as the part of b) involving nilpotency is entirely trivial. This ends the proof of the Theorem.

2.3.9.1. Remark. We do not know precisely for which SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ the reductive group $\tilde{G}$ of 2.3.4 is automatically isomorphic to $G_{W(k)}$. The fixed power of $q_{G^{\mathrm{ad}}}$ referred in 2.3.9 b) is effectively computable, as the degree $d(H_0, H^1_0)$ is effectively computable (over $\mathbb{C}$).

If G^{der} is a simply connected semisimple group, then the Galois group of 2.3.9 b) is the product of at most $r(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Here $r(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is a sum indexed by the simple factors of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of B_n or D_n Lie type (like the term of the sum corresponding to a $SO(2,m)^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ factor is $[\frac{m+2}{2}]$, etc.).

2.3.9.2. Convention. From now on, for the sake of simplifying the notations, we assume that whenever we consider a point $\mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}$ or a point $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}$, the reductive group $\tilde{G}$ we get as in 2.3.4 is (isomorphic to) the split group $G_{W(k)}$. This convention is motivated by 2.3.9 and 2.3.9.1. It does not apply to the similar type of points of a quotient of $\mathcal{N}$ (like $\mathcal{N}/H_0$).

2.3.10. Shimura crystals attached to points of $\mathcal{N}$. Let $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ be a SHS. We use the notations of 2.3.4 (with $\tilde{G} = G_{W(k)}$). Let $\sigma := \sigma_k$. Let φ be the Frobenius endomorphism of $M = H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(A/W(k))$. For future references, we state here explicitly as a Corollary, part of 2.3.9 A and C.

Corollary. *We have* $\varphi(t_\alpha) = t_\alpha$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$.

Warning: once we know $\mathcal{N}$ is smooth, the Corollary follows as well from the previous forms of the Theorem of 2.3.9 A, as one can check using a density argument of $O^{\mathrm{sh}}_{(v)}$-valued points of $\mathcal{N}$.

Moreover, t_α is a tensor of the F^0-filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ defined by the Hodge filtration F^1 of M defined by A. So the triple $(M, \varphi, G_{W(k)})$ (resp. the quadruple $(M, F^1, \varphi, G_{W(k)})$) is (cf. 2.2.8 1) and 2)) a Shimura (resp. a Shimura filtered) σ-crystal, called the Shimura (resp. the Shimura filtered) σ-crystal attached to the point $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ defined by z (resp. attached to z).

We deduce (see c) of 2.2.8 1)) the existence of an injective cocharacter $\tilde{\mu} : \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow G_{W(k)}$ such that we have a direct sum decomposition $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acting through $\tilde{\mu}$ on F^i as the multiplication with β^{-i}, $i = \overline{0,1}$. Another proof of the existence of such a cocharacter $\tilde{\mu}$ can be obtained by entirely following the construction of a cocharacter in [Va2, 5.3.1], as 2.3.9.2 tells us that $G_{W(k)}$ is split.

Also, either from loc. cit. or from the proof of Fact 2 of 2.2.9 3), we deduce that if the cardinality of $W(k)$ as a set is not greater than the one of $\mathbb{C}$, then for any $O_{(v)}$-

monomorphism $W(k) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\tilde{\mu}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is $G(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to the cocharacters μ_x^*, $x \in X$, of 2.3.1.

When we want to emphasize the family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}$ then we also write down $(M,\varphi,G_{W(k)},(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'})$ and $(M,F^1,\varphi,G_{W(k)},(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'})$. We also speak about the Shimura (adjoint) Lie σ-crystal attached to y, about the Shimura (adjoint) filtered Lie σ-crystal attached to z, about the Shimura (adjoint) (Lie) isocrystal attached to y, and about the Shimura (adjoint) filtered (Lie) isocrystal attached to z (cf. 2.2.13 and 2.2.13.2).

When we want to emphasize the principal polarization p_A, we speak about the principally quasi-polarized Shimura (resp. Shimura filtered) σ-crystal attached to y (resp. to z) and denote it by $(M,\varphi,G_{W(k)},p_A)$ or by $(M,\varphi,G_{W(k)},(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'},p_A)$ (resp. by $(M,F^1,\varphi,G_{W(k)},p_A)$ or by $(M,\varphi,G_{W(k)},(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'},p_A)$).

We consider now a morphism $z : \operatorname{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$, with k a perfect field. Let $(A,p_A) := z^*(\mathcal{A}_{H_0},\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{H_0}})$. Over a finite, étale extension $W(k_1)$ of $W(k)$, $A_{W(k_1)}$ gets naturally a family $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}$ of Hodge cycles (see 2.3.3). As the set of all Hodge cycles of $A_{W(k_1)}$ is invariant under the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(W(k_1)/W(k)) = \operatorname{Gal}(k_1/k)$, we get that the principally quasi-polarized filtered σ-crystal (M,F^1,φ,p_A) attached to (A,p_A) has a natural structure of a principally quasi-polarized quasi Shimura filtered σ-crystal $(M,F^1,\varphi,\tilde{G}_{W(k)},p_A)$ which is not necessarily quasi-split. Argument: we take $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$ to be the Zariski closure in $GL(M)$ of the subgroup of $GL(M\otimes_{W(k)} B(k))$ whose extension to $B(k_1)$ is the subgroup of $GL(M\otimes_{W(k)} B(k_1))$ fixing the de Rham component of w_α, $\forall\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'$; b) and c) of 2.2.8 1) hold, as they hold after this extension, and moreover a) holds (cf. Corollary) after this extension. Warning: we have no reason to consider $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$ to be an inner form of $G_{W(k)}$. However, if it is an inner form and if k is finite, then we have $\tilde{G}_{W(k)} = G_{W(k)}$ (cf. Lang's theorem applied over k).

This allows us to speak about the not necessarily quasi-split Shimura σ-crystal or about the Shimura (adjoint) Lie σ-crystal or isocrystal attached to a point of $\mathcal{N}/H_0$ with values in a perfect field, and about the not necessarily quasi-split Shimura filtered σ-crystal or Shimura (adjoint) filtered Lie σ-crystal or isocrystal attached to a point of $\mathcal{N}/H_0$ with values in the Witt ring of such a field. Warning: all of them depend on the choice of $\mathcal{A}_{H_0}$.

2.3.10.1. Comment. Shimura Lie σ-crystals attached to points $y : \operatorname{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ play a central role in §1-14. We can not refrain from commenting why they have not been used before. We think that mainly due to two reasons. The first reason is: in the case of special fibres of integral canonical models of Siegel modular varieties, all the information provided by the Shimura Lie σ-crystal attached to such a point, can be read out directly from the principally quasi-polarized Shimura σ-crystal "producing it". The second reason is: the way of thinking of points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ was mainly rational, i.e. was involving an analysis of the Shimura isocrystals defined by Shimura σ-crystals attached to these points, even though for standard PEL situations (see [Ko2, ch. 5] and 2.3.5) was obvious (cf. [Va2, 4.3.11]; see also [LR] and [Ko2]) that "we get" Shimura σ-crystals.

2.3.10.2. Example. We consider a special point $\operatorname{Spec}(B(k)) \to \mathcal{N}$ (see def. [Va2, 2.10]); as $\mathcal{N}$ has the extension property (see [Va2, 3.2.3 3) and 6)]), we get that it extends to a morphism $z_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}$. As $\mathbb{F}$ is a subfield of k (see 2.3.9 A), and as we are dealing with special points, we can assume $k = \mathbb{F}$. So, following the proof of 2.2.18 we get: the Shimura filtered σ-crystal attached to z_1 is cyclic diagonalizable.

2.3.11. Some simple properties. Let $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ be a SHS. All the local deformation theory presented in [Va2, 5.4] can be applied for it (for $\mathcal{N}$), cf. the reductiveness property expressed in 2.3.4. In particular, the fact that $\mathcal{N}$ is the normalization of the Zariski closure of $\mathrm{Sh}_H(G,X)$ in $\mathcal{M}$, results also from [Va2, 5.4-5] (see [Va2, 5.4.8 and 5.5]).

We have variants of [Va2, 5.4]: we work over an arbitrary perfect field k (instead of $\mathbb{F}$), or we work with $G^0_{W(k)}$ (instead of $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{W(k)}$), or we work with $\mathcal{N}/H_0$ (instead of $\mathcal{N}$, i.e. we work with a finite level symplectic similitude structure, instead of all level-N symplectic similitude structures, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $(N,p)=1$). This is so due to the fact that [Fa2, th. 10] is true for any perfect field. Here we just state one such form of these variants. We consider a point $z \in \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}(W(k))$. Let $(M, F^1, \varphi, G_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}, p_M)$ be its attached principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered σ-crystal. We have:

Theorem. *There are formally smooth $W(k)$-morphisms from the completion $\tilde{G}$ of $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{W(k)}$ in its origin into $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$ such that:*

– the origin of $\tilde{G}$ is mapped into z;

– the principally quasi-polarized filtered F-crystal with tensors over $\tilde{G}_k$ defined naturally (via pull back) by $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and its natural family of (de Rham components of) Hodge cycles, is isomorphic to a principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered F-crystal of the form

$$(M, F^1, \varphi, G_{W(k)}, G^{\mathrm{der}}_{W(k)}, \tilde{f}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}, p_M)$$

under an isomorphism which in z is the natural identification of (M, F^1, φ, G) with itself.

From [Va2, 5.4.8] we get (as in [Va2, 5.5]):

Corollary. *The finite $W(k)$-morphism $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)} \to \mathcal{M}_{W(k)}$ defined by $i_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a formal embedding in each k-valued point of $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$.*

We consider now the locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}/H_0}$-sheaf

$$\mathcal{L}_{H_0} := R^1_{dR}(\mathcal{A}_{H_0}/\mathcal{N}/H_0)$$

of rank $2e$. $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{H_0}}$ makes it to be naturally equipped with a perfect alternating form $\psi_{\mathcal{L}_{H_0}}$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the pull back of $\mathcal{L}_{H_0}$ to $\mathcal{N}$. We have:

$$\mathcal{L} = R^1_{dR}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}).$$

The essential tensor algebra $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_{E(G,X)})$ of the direct sum of the pull back of $\mathcal{L}$ to $\mathcal{N}_{E(G,X)}$ and of the dual of it, comes equipped with a family of sections $(t^{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}$ defined by the de Rham components of the family of Hodge cycles $(w^{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}$ of $\mathcal{A}$. Let

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{N}}$$

be the Zariski closure in $GL(\mathcal{L})$ of the subgroup of $GL(\mathcal{L}_{E(G,X)})$ fixing these sections. Working in the faithfully flat topology, from Theorem we get:

Fact 1. *$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a reductive subgroup of $GL(\mathcal{L})$.*

Let now H_1 be a normal, open subgroup of H_0 such that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is obtained from a subgroup of $GL(\mathcal{L}_{H_1})$ by pull back via the quotient morphism $\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}/H_1$; here $\mathcal{L}_{H_1}$ is the pull back of $\mathcal{L}_{H_0}$ via the quotient morphism $i_{10} : \mathcal{N}/H_1 \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$. We assume that all the sections of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_{E(G,X)})$ we have considered are obtained by pull back from sections of the pull back of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_{H_1})$ to the generic fibre of $\mathcal{N}/H_1$ (i.e. we assume H_1 is small enough). As the quotient morphism $\mathcal{N}/H_1 \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$ is an étale cover and we can assume that the finite group H_0/H_1 permutes the (pull back to generic points of $\mathcal{N}/H_1$ of the) last family of sections, we get (see also 2.3.10):

Fact 2. *$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is obtained by pull back from a reductive subgroup $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{N}/H_0}$ of $GL(\mathcal{L}_{H_0})$.*

We consider the principally quasi-polarized p-divisible object

$$(\mathfrak{L}_{H_0}, p_{\mathfrak{L}_{H_0}})$$

of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(\mathcal{N}_{W(k(v))}/H_0)$ corresponding to the principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group

$$(\mathcal{D}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_{H_0}})$$

of $(\mathcal{A}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{H_0}})$. The underlying $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathcal{N}_{W(k(v))}/H_0)^\wedge}$-sheaf of $\mathfrak{L}_{H_0}$ is the p-adic completion of $\mathcal{L}_{H_0}$, while its connection is the p-adic completion of the Gauss–Manin connection on $\mathcal{L}_{H_0}$ defined by $\mathcal{A}_{H_0}$. From Fact 2 and 2.2.20.1 9) applied to $i_{10}^*(\mathfrak{L}_{H_0})$ and from Corollary of 2.3.10 applied generically (in the context of $i_{10}^*(\mathcal{L}_{H_0})$, i.e. in the context of $\mathcal{N}/H_1$), we get: the *End* p-divisible object $End(\mathfrak{L}_{H_0})$ of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[-1,1]}(\mathcal{N}_{W(k(v))}/H_0)$ has a natural Lie p-divisible subobject

$$\mathfrak{G}_{H_0},$$

whose underlying Lie $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathcal{N}_{W(k(v))}/H_0)^\wedge}$-sheaf is the p-adic completion of the Lie algebra of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{N}/H_0}$. We conclude:

Fact 3. *For any morphism $U \to \mathcal{N}$ (resp. $U \to \mathcal{N}/H_1$), with U a regular, formally smooth scheme over a faithfully flat $O_{(v)}$-algebra which is a DVR having a perfect residue field and index of ramification 1, we get naturally a principally quasi-polarized Shimura p-divisible group*

$$(D_U, (t_\alpha^D)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}, p_{D_U})$$

over U.

Here (D_U, p_{D_U}) is the principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group over U obtained by pulling back $(\mathcal{D}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_{H_0}})$ via the composite morphism $U \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$, while the family of crystalline sections $(t_\alpha^D)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ is naturally obtained from the mentioned sections of the generic fibre of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_{H_1})$. The change of the original family of tensors $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ (for instance, if we work with $\mathcal{J}'$ instead of $\mathcal{J}$), corresponds to a passage to quasi-isomorphic principally quasi-polarized Shimura p-divisible groups, cf. 2.2.20.1 7). We have a logical version of Fact 3 in terms of F-crystals: such a version encompasses the part of 2.3.10 referring to 2.3.4.

From 2.2.21 and the above first two paragraphs (referring to [Va2, 5.4-5]), we get:

Fact 4. *If the morphism $U \to \mathcal{N}/H_1$ identifies U with the completion of $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_1$ in a $W(k)$-valued point of it, then $(D_U, (t_\alpha^D)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}, p_{D_U})$ is a universal principally quasi-polarized Shimura p-divisible group.*

The pull back of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ via an automorphism of $\mathcal{N}$ defined by the right translation with an element of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$, gives birth to a principally polarized abelian scheme over $\mathcal{N}$ which is naturally $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-isogeneous to $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ (see [Va2, p. 454]); strictly speaking, cf. loc. cit., for this statement we have to consider principal polarizations up to $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$-multiples. So we have a natural continuous right action of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ on $\mathcal{L}$, viewed as an affine scheme over $\mathcal{N}$. This implies that $\mathcal{L}$ does not depend on the choice of a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice L of W as in 2.3.2. We can assume that under this action the considered global sections of $\mathcal{L}_{E(G,X)}$ are permuted. We get (cf. also AE.4.2 a)):

Fact 5. *Let $\tilde{H}_0$ be a compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ such that either $\tilde{H}_0 \times H$ is p-smooth for (G, X) or $p \not| t(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and $\tilde{H}_0 \times H$ is smooth for (G, X). Let $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{H}_0}$ be the quotient of $\mathcal{L}$ under the action of $\tilde{H}_0$ on it. Then in Fact 2 we can replace H_0 by $\tilde{H}_0$.*

Fact 6. *Under right translation by elements of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$, the Shimura (resp. Shimura filtered) σ-crystals attached to k-valued (resp. to $W(k)$-valued) points of $\mathcal{N}$ are the same. If $k = \bar{k}$ and if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = -1$, then the same holds in the principally quasi-polarized context.*

For the principally quasi-polarized context, we just need to add: if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = -1$, then (as $p \geq 3$) $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, either β or $-\beta$ is the square of an element of $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. So if $k = \bar{k}$ and if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = -1$, then $\forall \alpha_N \in \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, a principally quasi-polarized Shimura σ-crystal (N, φ_N, G_N, p_N) over k is isomorphic to $(N, \varphi_N, G_N, \alpha_N p_N)$ under a scalar automorphism of N defined by an element of $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Let now O_1 be a local, formally étale, faithfully flat $O_{(v)}$-algebra such that the representation of G_{O_1} on $L_{(p)}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} O_1$ is a direct sum of two non-zero subrepresentations. This can be codified in terms of projectors which are O_1-linear combinations of Betti components of Hodge cycles which are defined by endomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$. So, if these endomorphisms are obtained from endomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}_{H_0}$ via pull back, we get:

Fact 7. *The pull back of $\mathcal{L}_{H_0}$ to $\mathcal{N}_{O_1}/H_0$ gets naturally a direct sum decomposition into two non-zero summands.*

Fact 7 just points out a first property of the general theory of automorphic vector bundles in mixed characteristic, whose elaboration will be started in §5 (cf. end of 1.15).

2.3.12. Some extra standard notations. We start with a closed point $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$. Let $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_k/H_0$ be defined by z. We use the notations of 2.3.10. So F^1 is the Hodge filtration of M defined by A. For simplifying the presentation, we assume that the family of Hodge cycles $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}$ of $A_{W(k_1)}$ is definable over $W(k)$ and that the group $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$ of 2.3.10 is in fact (isomorphic to) $G_{W(k)}$. We can always achieve this by replacing k by a finite field extension k_1 of it. Let O_y be the local ring of y. So O_y^{h} and $\widehat{O_y}$ are its henselization and respectively its completion. Let $(A_y^{\mathrm{h}}, p_{A_y^{\mathrm{h}}})$ be the principally polarized abelian scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(O_y^{\mathrm{h}})$ obtained from $(\mathcal{A}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{H_0}})$ by pull back through the natural morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(O_y^{\mathrm{h}}) \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$. Let

$$M_y^{\mathrm{h}} := H^1_{dR}(A_y^{\mathrm{h}}/O_y^{\mathrm{h}}),$$

and let $F_y^{1\mathrm{h}}$ be its Hodge filtration defined by A_y^{h}.

As $\mathcal{N}$ is formally smooth over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, O_y^{h} is an integral domain. Let K_y^{h} be the field of fractions of O_y^{h}. Let $(w_\alpha^{\mathrm{h}})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}$ be the family of Hodge cycles with which $A^{\mathrm{h}}_{yK_y^{\mathrm{h}}}$ is naturally endowed: as we assumed that the family $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}$ is defined over $W(k)$, the family $(w_\alpha^{\mathrm{h}})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}$ is defined over O_y^{h} and not only over its strict henselization. This is a consequence of the following obvious fact:

Fact. *A Hodge cycle of a deformation which is* 0 *in a point is* 0 *(everywhere).*

Let t_α^{h} be the de Rham component of w_α^{h}. It is a homogeneous tensor of $\mathcal{T}(M_y^{\mathrm{h}} \otimes_{O_y^{\mathrm{h}}} K_y^{\mathrm{h}})$.

2.3.13. Lemma. *There is an isomorphism $f_y : M_y^{\mathrm{h}} \tilde{\to} M \otimes_{W(k)} O_y^{\mathrm{h}}$ taking $F_y^{1\mathrm{h}}$ onto $F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} O_y^{\mathrm{h}}$, $p_{A_y^{\mathrm{h}}}$ into p_A, and t_α^{h} into t_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$ (here we view $p_{A_y^{\mathrm{h}}}$ and p_A as perfect forms on M_y^{h} and respectively on $M \otimes_{W(k)} O_y^{\mathrm{h}}$).*

Proof: Such an isomorphism exists over $\widehat{O_y}$, cf. Fact 4 of 2.3.11. The obstruction to the existence of f_y is measured by an element $\gamma \in H^1_{ff}(O_y^{\mathrm{h}}, P^0_{O_y^{\mathrm{h}}})$; here P^0 is the parabolic subgroup of $G^0_{W(k)}$ normalizing F^1, while the lower right index ff refers to the faithfully flat topology. So γ becomes 0 over $\widehat{O_y}$. As O_y^{h} is the henselization of the excellent ring O_y, using Artin's approximation theorem we get $\gamma = 0$. This proves the Lemma.

2.3.13.1. Corollary. *There is an étale morphism $a : Y = \mathrm{Spec}(R) \to \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ to which the point y lifts, and there is an isomorphism $M_R \tilde{\to} M \otimes_{W(k)} R$ taking F^1_R onto $F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R$, p_{A_Y} into p_A, and t_α^R into t_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$ (here M_R, F^1_R, $(t^R_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}$, p_{A_Y} have a meaning similar to the one in the case of O_y^{h}; for instance, $M_R := H^1_{dR}(A_Y/R)$, where $A_Y := \mathcal{A}_{H_0} \times_{\mathcal{N}/H_0} Y$, the morphism $Y \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$ being naturally induced by a).*

2.3.13.2. Corollary. *To check that 2.3.4 (*) holds, we just need to consider $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(\mathbb{F}))$.*

2.3.14. Warning: Sp **or** GSp? Once for all we have to decide if we use $\mathrm{GSp}(W,\psi)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(W,\psi)$ (and so $G_{W(k)}$ or $G^0_{W(k)}$). The use of $\mathrm{Sp}(W,\psi)$ corresponds to a permanent mentioning of polarizations (and so to a very precise presentation but more complicated notations). The use of $\mathrm{GSp}(W,\psi)$ corresponds to a loose treatment of polarizations (and so to a less precise presentation but simpler notations). We decided to go along with $\mathrm{Sp}(W, \psi)$: this explains the form of 2.3.15 below.

The idea behind this comment is: we can work out [Va2, 5.4.4-5] using not only (the $W(k)$-versions of) $\mathrm{Sp}(W, \psi)$ and G^{der} (or of G^0) but also (the $W(k)$-versions of) GSp and G. The use of $\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi)$ means that the cycle of the polarization is not exactly p_A but p_A times some invertible element u_p. To exemplify what we mean by this, we consider a particular case: denoting by $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ the completion of $GSp(M, p_A)$ in its origin, the natural universal element $g_{R_1} \in GSp(M, p_A)(R_1)$ takes p_A into $\gamma_p p_A$, where $\gamma_p \in \mathbb{G}_m(R_1)$ is congruent to the identity modulo the ideal I_1 of R_1 defining the origin of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$. If Φ_{R_1} is a Frobenius lift of R_1 as in 2.2.10 (so it takes I_1 into I_1^p), then $u_p \in \mathbb{G}_m(R_1)$ and γ_p are related through the formula $\Phi_{R_1}(u_p)\gamma_p = u_p$. It is easy to see that there is a unique such u_p which mod I_1 is the identity.

In general, this element γ_p can be made to be the identity, by considering a square root x of γ_p (as $p > 2$, $x \in R_1$); in our example involving R_1, the automorphism $g_{x^{-1}}$ of $M \otimes_{W(k)} R_1$ defined by scalar multiplication with x^{-1}, "brings back" $\gamma_p p_A$ into p_A. So, to keep p_A as the cycle of a principal quasi-polarization, we have to replace g_{R_1} by $g_{x^{-1}} g_{R_1} \in Sp(M, p_A)(R_1)$.

In fact, due to the existence of the cocharacter $\tilde{\mu}$ of 2.3.10, in general, we can make γ_p to be the identity element without using that p is odd; for instance in the example involving R_1, instead of $g_{x^{-1}}$ we can use $\tilde{\mu}(\gamma_p)$ in order to bring back $\gamma_p p_A$ into p_A.

So indeed the difference in the mentioned two choices is mostly of notations and of preciseness.

2.3.15. Proposition. *There is a smooth morphism* $a : Y = \mathrm{Spec}(R) \to \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ *such that:*

a) The scheme $a^{-1}(z)$ *contains a* $W(k)$*-valued point* z_1*;*

b) We have an isomorphism $M_R \tilde{\to} M \otimes_{W(k)} R$ *taking* F^1_R *onto* $F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R$*,* p_{A_Y} *into* p_A*, and* t^R_α *into* t_α*,* $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$ *(here* $M_R := H^1_{dR}(A_Y/R)$*, with* A_Y *defined as in 2.3.13.1, etc.; the logical notations);*

c) There is a Frobenius lift Φ_R *of* $R^\wedge$ *of the form* $z_i \to z_i^p$*,* $i \in I$*, where the elements of the subset* $\{z_i | i \in I\}$ *of* R *are forming a regular system of parameters of* z_1 *in* Y*;*

d) Based on b), the p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}$ *of* $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$ *associated to the p-divisible group of* A_Y*, can be put in the form* $\big(M \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge, g_Y(\varphi \otimes 1), \nabla_{R^\wedge}\big)$*, with the perfect alternating form* p_A *on* $M \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge$ *corresponding to* p_{A_Y}*, with* $\nabla_{R^\wedge}$ *a connection on* $M \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge$ *annihilating* t_α*,* $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$*, and with* $g_Y \in G^0_{W(k)}(R^\wedge)$ *defined by a morphism (still denoted by* g_Y*)*

$$g_Y : Y^\wedge \to G^{0\wedge}_{W(k)}.$$

Moreover, we can assume the special fibre of g_Y *is étale (so* $|I| = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(G^0_{\mathbb{Q}})$*) and the composite of (the p-adic completion of)* z_1 *with* g_Y *defines the origin of* $G^{0\wedge}_{W(k)}$*.*

Proof: Let $\hat{G}^0 = \mathrm{Spec}(\hat{R}^0)$ be the completion of $G^0_{W(k)}$ in its origin. As in [Va2, 5.4.4] (see 2.3.11) we construct a formally smooth morphism

$$\hat{G}^0 \xrightarrow{\hat{a}} \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$$

such that z factors through the origin of $\hat{G}^0$ and the principally quasi-polarized filtered F-crystal with tensors $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}^0$ associated to the principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group over $\hat{G}^0$ obtained naturally from $(\mathcal{D}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_{H_0}})$ (by pull back through the composite of $\hat{a}$ with the natural morphism $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0 \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$) and its natural family of de Rham sections (see Fact 3 of 2.3.11 and 2.3.12), is a principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered F-crystal $(M, F^1, \varphi, G_{W(k)}, G^0_{W(k)}, \tilde{f}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}, p_A)$ (so $z^*(\hat{\mathfrak{C}}^0)$ is naturally identified with $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'})$). Here $\tilde{f} : W(k)[[x_1, ..., x_{|I|}]] \tilde{\to} \hat{R}^0$ is a $W(k)$-isomorphism. For the part referring to formal smoothness, cf. also [Va2, 5.4.7-8].

Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and let I be the ideal of $\hat{R}^0$ defining the origin of $\hat{G}^0$ (so $\hat{R}^0/I = W(k)$). Let (cf. Artin's approximation theorem) $Y = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be a smooth $W(k)$-scheme such that:

i) there is a smooth morphism $a : Y \to \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ such that the point z lifts to a point $z_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to Y$;

ii) if I_1 is the ideal of R defining z_1, the natural morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R/I_1^n) \to \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ defined by a is obtained from the natural morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{R}^0/I^n) \to \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ defined by $\hat{a}$ via a $W(k)$-isomorphism $i_n : \hat{R}^0/I^n \tilde{\to} R/I_1^n$.

Replacing if needed Y with $Y' = \mathrm{Spec}(R')$, where $Y' \to Y$ is an étale morphism such that z_1 lifts to a $W(k)$-valued point of Y', we can achieve (cf. 2.3.13.1) that the morphism a endows the abelian scheme A_Y with a family of Hodge cycles $(w^R_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}$ and that b) and c) are satisfied (here $z_i \in R$ is such that $i_n(\tilde{f}(x_i))$ is congruent to z_i modulo I_1^n). This takes care of a), b) and c).

Now the principally quasi-polarized p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}_R$ of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$ associated to the principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group of (A_Y, p_{A_Y}) can be put (cf. b)) in the form $\big(M \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge, g_Y(\varphi\otimes 1), \nabla_{R^\wedge}, p_A\big)$, with $g_Y \in G^0_{W(k)}(R^\wedge)$ (as p_{A_Y} is fixed by g_Y and as the tensors t^R_α, $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$, are fixed –this is a consequence of Corollary of 2.3.10 applied generically– by the Φ_R-linear endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge$ defined by $\mathfrak{C}_R$) and with $\nabla_{R^\wedge}$ a connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge$ annihilating t_α, $\forall\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$.

Let P^0 be as in the proof of 2.3.13. Due the smoothness of P^0 over $W(k)$, any element of $P^0(R/I_1^n)$ congruent to the identity mod I_1, lifts, potentially after a replacement of Y with an Y' as above, to an element of $P^0(R)$ and so to an element h_{corr} of $P^0(R^\wedge)$. From property ii), by replacing $g_Y(\varphi\otimes 1)$ by $h_{\mathrm{corr}} g_Y(\varphi\otimes 1)h^{-1}_{\mathrm{corr}}$ for a suitable such correction element h_{corr}, we get that we can assume (simple argument at the level of tangent spaces) that g_Y is defined by a $W(k)$-morphism $g_Y : Y^\wedge \to G^{0\wedge}_{W(k)}$, étale mod p and having the property that the composite of the p-adic completion of z_1 with g_Y defines the origin of $G^{0\wedge}_{W(k)}$. This takes care of d) and ends the proof.

From the proof of 2.3.15, by removing extra regular parameters we get:

2.3.15.1. Corollary. *There is an étale morphism* $\tilde{a} : \tilde{Y} = \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}) \to \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ *such that:*

a) The scheme $\tilde{a}^{-1}(z)$ *contains a* $W(k)$*-valued point* $\tilde{z}_1$*;*

b) We have an isomorphism $M_{\tilde{R}} \tilde{\to} M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{R}$ *taking* $F^1_{\tilde{R}}$ *onto* $F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{R}$*,* $p_{A_{\tilde{Y}}}$ *into* p_A*, and* $t^{\tilde{R}}_\alpha$ *into* t_α*,* $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$ *(here* $M_{\tilde{R}} := H^1_{dR}(A_{\tilde{Y}}/\tilde{R})$*, with* $A_{\tilde{Y}}$ *defined as in 2.3.13.1, etc.; the logical notations);*

c) There is a Frobenius lift $\Phi_{\tilde{R}}$ *of* $\tilde{R}^\wedge$ *of the form* $z_i \to z_i^p$*,* $i \in \tilde{I}$*, where the elements of the subset* $\{z_i | i \in \tilde{I}\}$ *of* $\tilde{R}$ *are forming a system of regular parameters of* $\tilde{z}_1$ *in* $\tilde{Y}$ *(so* $|\tilde{I}| = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = \dim_{E(G,X)}(Sh(G,X))$*);*

d) Based on b), the p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(\tilde{R})$ *associated to the p-divisible group of* $A_{\tilde{Y}}$*, can be put in the form* $\big(M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{R}^\wedge, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{R}^\wedge, g_{\tilde{Y}}(\varphi \otimes 1), \nabla_{\tilde{R}^\wedge}\big)$*, with the alternating form* p_A *on* $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{R}^\wedge$ *corresponds to* $p_{A_{\tilde{Y}}}$*, with the connection* $\nabla_{\tilde{Y}^\wedge}$ *on* $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{R}^\wedge$ *annihilating* t_α*,* $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$*, and with* $g_{\tilde{Y}} \in G^0_{W(k)}(\tilde{R}^\wedge)$ *defined by a morphism (still denoted by* $g_{\tilde{Y}}$*)* $g_{\tilde{Y}} : \tilde{Y}^\wedge \to G^{0\wedge}_{W(k)}$*. Moreover, we can assume that:*

- *the composite of (the p-adic completion of)* $\tilde{z}_1$ *with* $g_{\tilde{Y}}$ *defines the origin of* $G^{0\wedge}_{W(k)}$*;*
- *modulo the ideal* $J := p((z_i)_{i \in \tilde{I}})^2$ *of the completion* $\widehat{\tilde{R}}$ *of* $\tilde{R}$ *w.r.t. its ideal* $((z_i)_{i \in \tilde{I}})$*,*

$g_{\tilde{Y}}$ *defines an isomorphism* ISO *from* $\mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{\tilde{R}}/J)$ *into the completion* $\hat{N}$ *of a commutative, unipotent subgroup* N *of* $G^0_{W(k)}$ *in its origin, taken modulo the ideal defined by p times the second power of the ideal sheaf of* $\hat{N}$ *defining its origin;* N *can be any such subgroup achieving an open embedding* $N \hookrightarrow G_{W(k)}/P$ *(so* $g_{\tilde{Y}}$ *mod p is a formal closed embedding in the special fibre* $\tilde{y}_1$ *of* $\tilde{z}_1$*) and even more, if a Frobenius lift of* $\hat{N} = \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[[\omega_1, ..., \omega_{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)}]])$ *taking* ω_l *to* ω_l^p*,* $l = \overline{1, \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)}$*, is chosen, we can also assume that such an isomorphism* ISO *is compatible with the Frobenius lifts;*

e) The special fibre of $\tilde{Y}$ *is connected.*

The c) of 2.3.15 (resp. of 2.3.15.1) is automatically satisfied by passing to a suitable open, affine subscheme of Y (resp. of $\tilde{Y}$); it is included in order to state its following d) accurately.

2.3.16. Corollary. *There is an open, dense subscheme* U_k *of* G_k *such that: for any geometric point* $\mathrm{Spec}(k_1) \xrightarrow{y_1} U_k$ *(with* $k_1 = \overline{k_1} \supset k$*), there is a point* $z_1 \in \mathcal{N}/H_0(W(k_1))$*, lifting a* k_1*-valued point of the same connected component of* $\mathcal{N}_{\bar{k}}/H_0$ *through which the point* $y \circ i_k$ *factors, with* $i_k : \mathrm{Spec}(\bar{k}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ *as the natural morphism, and having the property that the Shimura filtered* σ_{k_1}*-crystal attached to it is isomorphic to* $\big(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), g_1(\varphi_0 \otimes 1), G_{W(k_1)}\big)$*, where* $g_1 \in G_{W(k)}\big(W(k_1)\big)$ *mod p is (i.e. defines) the point* y_1*.*

Proof: Working with G^0 instead of G, this is a direct consequence of 2.3.15: we can take as U^0_k the image of the special fibre of $Y^\wedge$ through the formally étale morphism

$g_Y : Y^\wedge \to G^{0\wedge}_{W(k)}$ of 2.3.15; this can be read out from the existence of Teichmüller lifts $\mathrm{Spec}(W(\overline{k_1})) \to Y^\wedge$. Now, as U_k, we can take (cf. the Subfact of 2.3.11) an open subscheme of G_k contained in the image of $U^0_k \times \mathbb{G}_m$ through the natural isogeny (see 2.3.1) $G^0_k \times \mathbb{G}_m \to G_k$.

The argument for this (to be compared with 2.3.14) goes as follows: for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$, there is $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ such that $\alpha = \sigma(\beta)\beta^{-1}$; accordingly a Shimura σ-crystal (N, φ_N, G_N) over k is isomorphic to the Shimura σ-crystal $(N, \alpha_N\varphi_N, G_N)$, where α_N is the automorphism of N defined by scalar multiplication with α. This proves the Corollary.

2.3.16.1. Comment. Warning: by working with $G_{W(k)}$ and not with $G^0_{W(k)}$, we have to give up mentioning the principal quasi-polarizations; so the isomorphism of 2.3.16 is just of Shimura filtered σ-crystals. For applications to problems involving Newton polygons or truncations of Shimura Lie F-crystals this is equally good. If we want to keep track of the principal quasi-polarizations, we have to restate 2.3.16, with U_k being replaced by U^0_k of its proof.

2.3.17. Proposition. *With the notations of 2.3.12, the Shimura filtered σ-crystal $(M, F^1_1, \varphi, G_{W(k)})$ attached to another lift $z_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ of y, satisfies*

$$F^1_1 = g(F^1),$$

with $g \in G_{W(k)}(W(k))$ such that mod p it normalizing F^1/pF^1. Conversely, for any such g there is a unique lift $z_g : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$ of y, whose attached Shimura filtered σ-crystal is $(M, g(F^1), \varphi, G_{W(k)})$.

Proof: As the parabolic subgroups of $G_{W(k)}$ normalizing F^1 and respectively F^1_1 are having the same special fibre, the first statement follows from Fact 2 of 2.2.9 3) applied in the context of the canonical split cocharacters (they factor through $G_{W(k)}$) of (M, F^1, φ) and of (M, F^1_1, φ). Also we would like to point out, that the approach of [Va2, 5.3.1] represents another way to see that these two parabolic subgroups are $G_{W(k)}(W(k))$-conjugate.

The converse is a direct consequence of the smoothness of $G_{W(k)}$ and of $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$, of the Corollary of 2.3.11 (or of Fact 4 of 2.3.11) and of the local deformation theory of abelian varieties (over k) (see also the existence of the isomorphism FIL_0 of 2.4 below). This proves the Proposition.

2.3.17.1. Corollary. *Let $g \in G_{W(k)}(W(k))$ be such that mod p it normalizes $F^1/pF^1 \subset M/pM$. Then the principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered σ-crystal $(M, g(F^1), \varphi, G_{W(k)}, p_A)$ is induced from the principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered F-crystal*

$$(M, F^1, \varphi, G_{W(k)}, G^0_{W(k)}, \tilde{f}, p_A)$$

(of the proof of 2.3.15) through a $W(k)$-epimorphism $\hat{R}^0 \twoheadrightarrow W(k)$.

The 2.2.21 UP suggests (to be compared with 3.12 below) variants of this Corollary, where we replace $G^0_{W(k)}$ by suitable smooth subschemes of $G_{W(k)}$ containing the origin.

2.3.17.2. Exercise. Show that 2.3.17.1 is a property of (principally quasi-polarized) Shimura σ-crystals over a perfect field k of characteristic $p \geq 3$. Hint one: just look at 2.4 below and use 2.2.21 UP. Hint two: if we feel more comfortable with the context of principally polarized abelian schemes, first assume $k = \bar{k}$ and follow the (independent) ideas of 4.12.12 and 4.12.12.0 or just 4.12.12.5 below, and then use Galois descent.

2.3.18. The $p = 2$ SHS. The case $p = 2$ presents some particular features and so we felt it is appropriate to single it aside.

A. Working with $p = 2$, we can keep 2.3.1-2. But for 2.3.3 we have to assume $\mathcal{N}$ exists: the proof of [Va2, 3.2.12] still applies (via [Va2, 3.4.1]) to give us that $\mathcal{N}$ is the Zariski closure of the normalization of $\mathrm{Sh}_H(G, X)$ in $\mathcal{M}$ (cf. 2.2.1.5.1). 2.3.3.1 needs no modification. In connection to $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ (resp. to $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ab}}$) of 2.3.3.2 we refer to 4.14.3.2.3 below (resp. to [Va2, 3.2.8]).

We keep 2.3.4-5 but we prefer to abbreviate the things differently: the resulting triple $(f, L_{(2)}, v)$ (resp. quadruple $(f, L_{(2)}, v, \mathcal{B})$) is referred to as a $p = 2$ SHS (resp. as a $p = 2$ standard PEL situation). All of 2.3.6.1 makes sense for $p = 2$. 2.3.5.1 remains true for $p = 2$ as its hint does, while 2.3.5.3 makes sense for $p = 2$ as well (cf. also B below). Related to Fact 1 of 2.3.5.2, we define $\mathcal{N}^j$ to be the normalization of the Zariski closure of $\mathrm{Sh}_{H^j}(G^j, X^j)$ in $\mathcal{N}$. The fact that $\mathcal{N}^j$ is smooth, $\forall j \in \mathcal{F}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$, is proved in the same manner as for the A and C cases of a $p = 2$ standard PEL situation (this is reviewed in B below): $G^j_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}}$ is the centralizer of a torus T of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}}$ and so we have a relative PEL situation (in the sense of [Va2, 4.3.16]; see also [Va2, 4.3.14]); so one just needs to combine [Va2, 4.3.13] (applied in the context of the monomorphisms $T \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} \hookrightarrow GL(L_{(2)})$), with the Steps B4-10 of B below (i.e. with the fact that $(f, L_{(2)}, v)$ is a $p = 2$ SHS and with the deformation theory of endomorphisms of abelian schemes). Related to Fact 2 of 2.3.5.2 and to the part of 2.3.5.5 pertaining to $q_{\mathcal{N}_1}$, we refer to 4.14.3.2.3. 2.3.5.4 needs no modifications (as 2.2.1.5.1 handles the case $p = 2$ as well). Except the mentioned part of 2.3.5.5, 2.3.5.5-6 and 2.3.5.6.1 A need no extra comment; the fact that 2.3.5.6.1 B holds for $p = 2$ is implied by the Theorem of B below. However, we postpone to §6 and [Va5] (resp. to 4.14.3.2) for a $p = 2$ analogue of 2.3.5.7 (resp. of 2.3.5.8 and of 2.3.5.8.1).

B. The fact that 2.3.8 4) has a $p = 2$ analogue is just partially documented in the literature; for instance, in [Ko2] (see end of p. 391 of loc. cit.) the so called D case is avoided for $p = 2$. The following Theorem fills out this gap in the literature and so it provides (via Lemma 2 of 4.6.4 below) the first new instances of $p = 2$ SHS's.

Theorem. *We consider a quadruple $(f, L_{(2)}, v, \mathcal{B})$ which satisfies all properties of a $p = 2$ standard PEL situation, except the fact that $(f, L_{(2)}, v)$ is a $p = 2$ SHS. Then the triple $(f, L_{(2)}, v)$ is a $p = 2$ SHS, and so the quadruple $(f, L_{(2)}, v, \mathcal{B})$ is a $p = 2$ standard PEL situation.*

Proof: If G is a torus, then the Theorem is easy: it is a consequence of [Va2, 4.3.13] (cf. also [Va2, 3.2.8 and 3.3.2]). From now on we assume G is not a torus. We itemize the ideas by numbers attached to B.

B1. We define $\mathcal{N}'$ (resp. $\mathcal{N}$) to be the Zariski closure (resp. the normalization of the Zariski closure) of $\mathrm{Sh}_H(G,X)$ in $\mathcal{M}$. Let $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ be defined as usual. In what follows, not to complicate the notations we still denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the set of $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$-endomorphisms with which $\mathcal{A}$ is naturally endowed and so, with which any abelian scheme obtained from $\mathcal{A}$ by pull back is endowed. Let $\mathcal{B}_1$ be the centralizer of $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathrm{End}(L_{(2)})$. Let $*$ be the involution of $\mathcal{B}$ or of $\mathcal{B}_1$ defined by ψ. Till the end of 2.3.18, we use orderings of the form $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}},\mathcal{B})$ instead of usual orderings of the form $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B},\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$.

B2. Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $n\geq 4$. We consider the group scheme $\mathcal{D}_n^1$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ fixing a quadratic form $QF:=x_1x_2+...+x_{2n-1}x_{2n}$ in $2n$ independent variables. It is known (see [Bo2, 23.6] for the picture over $\mathbb{F}_2$) that the group $\mathcal{D}_n$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ defined as the Zariski closure of the connected component of the origin of the generic fibre of $\mathcal{D}_n^1$ in $\mathcal{D}_n^1$, is a split, semisimple group of D_n Lie type. So we get a $2n$ dimensional faithful representation

$$\rho_n:\mathcal{D}_n\hookrightarrow GL(\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}^{2n});$$

it is associated to the minimal weight ω_1 (see [De2] and [Bou2, planche IV]). Its special fibre is absolutely irreducible (simple argument at the level of dimensions) and (cf. [Bo2, 23.6]) it is alternating; so the perfect, symmetric bilinear form BF on $L_n:=\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}^{2n}$ fixed by $\mathcal{D}_n$ (and naturally defined by QF; it is unique up to multiplication with an invertible element of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$), when taken mod 2 is alternating. We have:

Corollary. *Let R be a reduced, faithfully flat $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$-algebra. Let M_R be a free R-submodule of $L_n\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}}R[\frac{1}{2}]$ of rank $2n$ and such that we get a perfect bilinear form $BF: M_R\otimes_R M_R\to R$ (so $M_R[\frac{1}{2}]=L_n\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}}R[\frac{1}{2}]$). If the Zariski closure $\mathcal{D}_n(R)$ of $\mathcal{D}_{n\,R[\frac{1}{2}]}$ in $GL(M_R)$ is a semisimple group, then BF restricted to $M_R/2M_R$ is alternating.*

Proof: Localizing in the étale topology, we can assume $\mathcal{D}_n(R)$ is split and R is local. We consider a Borel subgroup B_R of $\mathcal{D}_{n\,R}$ and a maximal torus T_R of B_R. Applying [SGA3, Vol. III, 1.5 of p. 329] over $R[\frac{1}{2}]$, we get that we can assume $T_{R[\frac{1}{2}]}$ and $B_{R[\frac{1}{2}]}$ extend to a torus and respectively to a Borel subgroup of $\mathcal{D}_n(R)$. Based on [SGA3, p. 313-4 and 1.3 of p. 328] we deduce that we can assume $\mathcal{D}_n(R)=\mathcal{D}_{n\,R}$. But this implies that $2^{q(M_R)}M_R=L_n\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}}R$, for some $q(M_R)\in\mathbb{Z}$ (this can be checked immediately starting from the decompositions of M_R and of $L_n\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}}R$ in irreducible T_R-modules and the fact that ρ_n mod 2 is irreducible). As BF restricted to M_R and to $L_n\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}}R$ are perfect, we get $q(M_R)=0$ and the Corollary follows.

We also get that the involution of $\mathcal{B}_1\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}}\mathbb{F}$ has all its simple factors (i.e. the involutions restricted to simple factors of $\mathcal{B}_1\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}}\mathbb{F}$ left invariant by $*$ or to products of two such simple factors permuted by $*$) are either of second type or of alternating first type. We refer to this property as the *ALT* property.

Parts a) and b) of the following Exercise complement parts of [Ko2] (like 7.2-3 of loc. cit., etc.). a) can be viewed as a converse of the Corollary (in our geometric context).

B3. Exercise. Let R be a local $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$-algebra such that $\mathcal{B}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}}R$ is a product of matrix R-algebras. Let m_R be the maximal ideal of R; we assume R/m_R has characteristic 2. Let $\psi_1: L_{(2)}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}}L_{(2)}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}}R\to R$ be a perfect alternating form such that

$\mathcal{B}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} R$ is self dual w.r.t. it and the resulting involution of $\mathcal{B}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} R$ is the same as the one defined by ψ. We assume the involution of $\mathcal{B}_1\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} R/2R$ induced by ψ_1 has all its factors (in the same sense as above) either of second type or of alternating first type. We have:

a) We assume R is a reduced, flat $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$-algebra. Then, the Zariski closure $\tilde{G}_R$ in $GL(L_{(2)}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} R)$ of the connected component of the origin of the subgroup of $GSp(L_{(2)}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} R[\frac{1}{2}],\psi_1)$ fixing the elements of $\mathcal{B}$, is reductive.

b) We assume R is a complete DVR of mixed characteristic (0,2) and with an algebraically closed residue field. We also assume the triples $(L_{(2)}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} R,\psi_1,\mathcal{B}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} R)$ and $(L_{(2)}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} R,\psi,\mathcal{B}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} R)$ become isomorphic by inverting 2. Then there is an isomorphism of triples

$$i_1:(L_{(2)}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} R,\psi_1,\mathcal{B}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} R)\tilde{\to}(L_{(2)}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} R,\psi,\mathcal{B}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} R).$$

c) We assume R is an artinian algebra of perfect residue field. Let I be an ideal of R. Then any two representations of $\mathcal{B}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} R$ isomorphic modulo I, are isomorphic.

d) Referring to c), if ψ_1 is congruent to ψ modulo I, then there is a reductive subgroup of $GSp(L_{(2)}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} R,\psi_1)$ fixing each element of $\mathcal{B}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} R$ and of the same dimension as G.

e) We assume all simple factors of G^{ad} are of some A_n or C_n Lie type ($n\in\mathbb{N}$). Then $\mathcal{B}$ is a family of tensors strongly $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$-very well positioned w.r.t. ψ for G (in the sense of [Va2, 4.3.4]).

Hints and proof of a) in the orthogonal case. For the a) part use the splitness property in the context of the classification of the possible Cases (A, C or D) of [Ko2, top of p. 375 and p. 395]. The fact that the same classification holds over R, can be deduced from the splitness part by using geometric points of $\mathrm{Spec}(R[\frac{1}{2}])$.

Related to the orthogonal case, we can assume we are dealing with an involution of a matrix algebra $\mathrm{End}(M_R)$ of rank $4n^2$ over R which is defined (in the usual sense; see [KMRT, ch. 1]) by a perfect, symmetric bilinear form (still to be denoted BF) on the free R-module M_R of rank $2n$. We can assume R is local and 2-adically complete. BF restricted to $M_R/2M_R$, being alternating, has the same standard diagonal form: its blocks are formed by 2×2 matrices whose entries are 0 on the diagonal and 1 otherwise. But if u, $v\in M_R/4M_R$ are such that $BF(u,v)=1$, $BF(u,u)=2b$ and $B(v,v)=2a$, with a, $b\in R/4R$, for any $x\in R/4R$ we have $BF(u+xv,u+xv)=2(b+x+x^2a)$ (here we still denote by BF its reduction mod 4).

We get immediately that by passing to an étale extension of R which mod 2 is defined by at most n equations of the form

$$x+cx^2+d=0,$$

with c, $d\in R/2R$, and by completing 2-adically, we can assume BF restricted to $M_R/4M_R$ has the standard diagonal form. But, as R is 2-adically complete, by induction we get that we can assume that BF itself has the standard diagonal form. So, as the operation of taking the Zariski closure is well behaved w.r.t. flat extensions, we can assume (by giving up the requirement that R is 2-adically complete) that R is $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ itself, that $M_R = L_n$ and that we are dealing with BF of B2. But $QF(v) := \frac{BF(v,v)}{2}$, $v \in L_n$, is exactly the quadratic form we considered in B2 and so the first paragraph of B2 applies.

b) follows from a): using an arbitrary maximal split torus of $\tilde{G}_R$ and the description of possible Cases in [Ko, p. 395], the situation gets reduced to an abstract one, in a context where $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} R$ is a matrix algebra and we are dealing with a direct sum of at most two copies of its standard representation. c) is trivial. d) follows from a), by using a natural lifting process. For e), just combine a) with the standard reduction steps of [Va2, 4.3.7 5')].

B4. We start with a morphism $y : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}) \to \mathcal{N}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$. Let

$$(A, p_A) := y^*\big((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})_{\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}}\big).$$

The problem we face is: the formal deformation space DS of the triple $(A, p_A, \mathcal{B})$ is formally smooth over $W(\mathbb{F})$ of relative dimension equal to $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ iff G^{ad} has all its simple factors of some A_n or C_n Lie type; if G^{ad} has factors of some D_n Lie type ($n \geq 4$), then $DS_{\mathbb{F}}$ has a tangent space of dimension greater then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ (for instance, if G^{ad} is an absolutely simple $\mathbb{Q}$–group of D_n Lie type then the tangent space of $DS_{\mathbb{F}}$ has dimension $n + \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$; this can be deduced from B2 and from the dimensions of hermitian symmetric domains denoted in [He, p. 518] as D III or as C I). To overcome this problem, let (as in [Va2, 5.1.2])

$$z : \mathrm{Spec}(V) \to \mathcal{N}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$$

be a lift of y, with V a finite, faithfully flat, DVR extension of $W(\mathbb{F})$; it is not a priori a closed embedding. Let

$$(A_V, p_{A_V}) := z^*((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})_{W(\mathbb{F})}),$$

and let $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}$ be the family of de Rham components of the family of Hodge cycles with which A_V is (as in 2.3.3) naturally endowed. Let $\tilde{G}_V$ be the subgroup of $GL(H^1_{dR}(A_V/V))$ obtained as the Zariski closure of the subgroup of $GL(H^1_{dR}(A_V/V)[\frac{1}{2}])$ fixing t_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$. Let F^1 be the Hodge filtration of $H^1_{dR}(A_V/V)$ defined by A_V.

B5. From Fontaine's comparison theory with $\mathbb{Q}_2$ coefficients (used as in 2.3.9 and in [Va2, 5.2.17.2]), and the determinant condition of [Ko2, p. 389-90], we deduce that the extra condition of B3 b) (pertaining to making 2 invertible) is satisfied for $R = V$ and for the context $CONT$ of the following two triples: $(L_{(2)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} V, \psi, \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} V)$ and $((H^1_{dR}(A_V/V))^*, p_{A_V}, \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} V)$; here we still denote by p_{A_V} the perfect alternating form on $(H^1_{dR}(A_V/V))^*$ defined naturally by p_{A_V} (via Fontaine's comparison theory or via de Rham cohomology). Strictly speaking, in order to appeal to B3, we need to choose an isomorphism $(L_{(2)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} V, \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} V) \tilde{\to} ((H^1_{dR}(A_V/V))^*, \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} V)$; in what follows we will not mention it.

However, in order to apply B3 b) we need to check that, modulo 2, in the context of the second triple of CONT, involutions of orthogonal first type do not show up.

B6. Exclusion of involutions of orthogonal first type. We consider a simple factor $\mathcal{B}_2$ of $\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} \mathbb{Z}_2$ left invariant by $*$ and such that the resulting involution of $\mathcal{B}_2[\frac{1}{2}]$ is of orthogonal first type. As a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-algebra, it is a matrix algebra $M_{2n}(W(\mathbb{F}_{2^m}))$ of a $2n$ dimensional free $W(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$-module V_{2n}, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and with n as in B2 (the Brauer group of a finite field is trivial). To be consistent with the usual cohomological aspect of this paper, it is more convenient to view $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}_1$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_2$) as being semisimple subalgebras of $\mathrm{End}(L^*_{(2)})$ (resp. of $\mathrm{End}(L^*_{(2)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} \mathbb{Z}_2)$). Let Γ be the Galois group of $V[\frac{1}{2}]$ and let

$$\rho : \Gamma \to GL_{2n}(W(\mathbb{F}_{2^m}))$$

be the Galois representation obtained naturally from the Galois representation on the dual of the Tate-module of $A_{V[\frac{1}{2}]}$, by "concentrating" only on the part corresponding to $\mathcal{B}_2$ (viewed in the dual context); the identifications of [Va2, top of p. 473] (performed in our present $p = 2$ context) tell us that this makes sense.

ρ is a symmetric representation. Moreover, from ALT we get that ρ mod 2 is alternating. We consider the 2-divisible group D_V of A_V. The $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra $M_{2n}(W(\mathbb{F}_{2^m}))$ splits over $W(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$. So $D_V[2] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} W(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$ gets naturally decomposed (using a $W(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$-endomorphism which is a projector) as a direct sum $E_1 \oplus E_2$ in such a way that $E_1[\frac{1}{2}]$ is naturally associated to $\rho_{W(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})}$ mod 2. So E_1 comes equipped naturally with a bilinear principal $W(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$-quasi-polarization. Here "bilinear principal" is used as in d) of 2.2.23 A. Looking at $E_1[\frac{1}{2}]$, as ρ mod 2 is alternating, we get that the word "bilinear" can be dropped. If one wants to avoid using tensor products of the form $D_V[2] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} W(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$, then one needs to restate everything in terms of symmetric quasi-polarizations (bilinear forms) on $W(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})^{2n}$ viewed as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module, which are moreover $W(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$-bilinear.

Using the fact that the Dieudonné's functor $\mathbb{D}$ on $p - FF(\mathrm{Spec}(V/2V))$ is faithfully flat (cf. the complete intersection situation of [BM, 4.3.2]), all these can be transferred into the crystalline cohomology (and so homology) context (for the convenience of the reader, a proof of this –which can be read at any time– is included in B9 below). In particular, we get that the involution of each simple factor of $\mathcal{B}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} V/2V$ (viewed as a factor of the centralizer of $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} V/2V$ in $\mathrm{End}(H^1_{dR}(A_V/V) \otimes_V V/2V)$)) is alternating. This ends the argument of the exclusion process.

B7. So the conditions of B3 a) are satisfied for $CONT$. We deduce: $\tilde{G}_V$ is a reductive group over V; as V is complete having $\mathbb{F}$ as its residue field, it is split and so we (can) identify it with G_V. So, (cf. also the $p = 2$ analogue of 2.3.13.2) to show that $(f, L_{(2)}, v))$ is a SHS, we just have to show that $\mathcal{N}$ is formally smooth over $O_{(v)}$.

As in [Va2, 5.3.1] we deduce the existence of a cocharacter $\mu_V : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_V$ giving birth to a direct sum decomposition $H^1_{dR}(A_V/V) = F^1 \oplus F^0$, with $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(V)$ acting through μ_V on F^i as the multiplication with β^{-i}, $i = \overline{0,1}$.

For future references, we point out that from B3 b) applied to the two triples of CONT we also get:

f) *They are in fact isomorphic.*

B8. To show that $\mathcal{N}$ is formally smooth over $O_{(v)}$ we follow very closely the approach of [Va2, 5.2-5]. We recall that some parts of loc. cit. were dealing just with odd primes. However, as we are dealing essentially just with Hodge cycles which are coming from endomorphisms, we can go around the limitations of loc. cit; here the use of "essentially" has to do with the fact that the connected component of the origin of the subgroup G_1 of $GSp(W,\psi)$ fixing the elements of $\mathcal{B}$, is G. To explain this "roundaboutness", we need quite a lot of preliminaries.

Let $e(V) := [V : W(\mathbb{F})]$. Let $\tilde{R}e(V)$ (resp. $Re(V)$) be the subring of $B(\mathbb{F})[[T]]$ (with T an independent variable) which is formed by formal power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n T^n$, with $a_n \in B(\mathbb{F})$ such that $b_n := a_n[\frac{n}{e(V)}]! \in W(\mathbb{F})$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ (resp. such that the sequence $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is formed by elements of $W(\mathbb{F})$ and converges to 0). For $q \in \mathbb{N}$, let $I(q)$ be the ideal of $\tilde{R}e(V)$ formed by formal power series with $a_0 = a_1 = ... = a_{q-1} = 0$. $Re(V)$ and $\tilde{R}e(V)$ have natural Frobenius lifts $\Phi_{Re(V)}$ and respectively $\Phi_{\tilde{R}e(V)}$: they take T to T^2.

We follow closely [Va2, 5.2.1]. We choose a uniformizer π_V of V. Let $f_{e(V)} \in W(\mathbb{F})[[T]]$ be the Eisenstein polynomial of degree $e(V)$ having π_V as a root of it. So $V = W(\mathbb{F})[[T]]/(f_e(V))$. Let $S_{e(V)}$ be the subring of $B(\mathbb{F})[[T]]$ generated by $W(\mathbb{F})[[T]]$ and by $\frac{f^n_{e(V)}}{n!}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As $f_{e(V)}$ is an Eisenstein polynomial, $S_{e(V)}$ can be defined also as the subring of $B(\mathbb{F})[[T]]$ generated by $W(\mathbb{F})[[T]]$ and by $\frac{T^{en}}{n!}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Its 2-adic completion is $Re(V)$. Warning: we do not have an interpretation for $\tilde{R}e(V)$, similar to the one of loc. cit. pertaining to odd primes.

By mapping T to π_V, as V is 2-adically complete, we get a $W(\mathbb{F})$-epimorphism

$$q(\pi_V) : Re(V) \twoheadrightarrow V.$$

We denote by $q_n(\pi_V)$ the $W_n(\mathbb{F})$-epimorphism obtained from $q(\pi_V)$ by tensoring with $W_n(\mathbb{F})$. $\mathrm{Ker}(q_n(\pi_V))$ is an ideal of $Re(V)/2^n Re(V)$ endowed with a natural structure of divided powers (induced from the natural one of $\mathrm{Ker}(q(\pi_V))$); unfortunately we do not have nilpotent divided powers. Due to this and the fact that $q(\pi_V)$ does not factor through a $W(\mathbb{F})$-epimorphism from $\tilde{R}e(V)$ to V, [Va2, 5.2-5] needs substantial "adjustments". We need the following obvious statement:

Fact. *$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $Re/2^n Re$ is the inductive limit of its local artinian $W_n(\mathbb{F})$-subalgebras taken by $\Phi_{Re(V)}$ mod 2^n into themselves (and ordered under the relation of inclusion).*

B9. Preliminary assumption. We first assume the triple $(A_V, p_{A_V}, \mathcal{B})$ lifts to a (similar) triple $(A_{Re(V)}, p_{A_{Re(V)}}, \mathcal{B})$ over $Re(V)$. Let $M := H^1_{dR}(A_{Re(V)}/Re(V))$, let ψ_M be the perfect form on M defined by $p_{A_{Re(V)}}$ and let ∇_M be the 2-adic completion of the Gauss–Manin connection on M defined by $A_{Re(V)}$. Let Φ_M be the $\Phi_{Re(V)}$-linear endomorphism of M defined by $A_{Re(V)}$. $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}} Re(V)$ acts naturally on M. Let $F^0(\mathrm{End}(M))$ be the maximal direct summand of $\mathrm{End}(M)$ taking the Hodge filtration of M defined by $A_{Re(V)}$ into itself.

As $\mathrm{Ker}(q(\pi_V))$ has a natural divided structure, from the existence of the Dieudonné's functor $\mathbb{D}$ as a crystal (see [BM]), we get that the quintuple $(M, \Phi_M, \nabla_M, \psi_M, \mathcal{B})$ is well defined without mentioning (i.e. assuming the existence of) $(A_{Re(V)}, p_{A_{Re(V)}}, \mathcal{B})$. As the elements of $\mathcal{B}$, viewed as endomorphisms of M are fixed by Φ_M, we get that the involution of $\mathrm{End}(M)$ defined by ψ_M leaves invariant $\mathcal{B}$, producing the same involution of it as $*$. In other words, it is easy to see that two elements of $F^0(\mathrm{End}(M))$ fixed by Φ_M and which coincide mod $\mathrm{Ker}(q(\pi_V))$, are the same; one just needs to use the fact that

$$\mathrm{Ker}(q(\pi_V)) \cap \cap_{s\in\mathbb{N}} \Phi^s_{Re(V)}(Re(V)) = \{0\}.$$

Moreover, the last paragraph of B6 extends automatically to the whole crystalline site $\mathrm{CRIS}(\mathrm{Spec}(V/p^nV)/\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_2))$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. We get that each simple factor of the involution of the reduction mod 2 of the centralizer of $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathrm{End}(M)$ induced by ψ_M is either of second type or of alternating first type. We present an argument (in the spirit of this paper) for this well known fact (stated first in B6). We can assume we are in the context of a truncation mod 2 E of a 2-divisible group over $V/2V$ which has a principal quasi-polarization $p_E : E\tilde{\to}E^t$. We have a natural involution

$$INV : SP\tilde{\to}SP$$

on the space SP of lifts of E to (truncations mod 2 of 2-divisible groups) over some fixed artinian $W(\mathbb{F})$-algebra AL_2 lifting $AL_1 := V/2V$ in such a way that the kernel of the $W(\mathbb{F})$-epimorphism $AL_2 \twoheadrightarrow AL_1$ is annihilated by the maximal ideal of AL_2: it takes such a lift $\tilde{E}$ into the lift $\tilde{E}^t$, viewed via p_E, as a lift of E. The elements of SP fixed by INV are in one-to-one correspondence to pairs $(\tilde{E}, p_{\tilde{E}})$, with $\tilde{E}$ as mentioned before and with $p_{\tilde{E}} : \tilde{E}\tilde{\to}\tilde{E}^t$ an isomorphism lifting p_E. But any $\mathbb{F}$-linear automorphism of order 2 of a non-trivial $\mathbb{F}$-vector space, has non-zero fixed points. Based on this and on Grothendieck's deformation theorem of [Il, 4.4 c) and e)] (applied repeatedly to a projective system $(AL_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$) we get easily that the pair (E, p_E) lifts to a pair (E_1, p_{E_1}) over $W(\mathbb{F})[[T]]$, with E_1 as the truncation mod 2 of a 2-divisible group over $W(\mathbb{F})[[T]]$ and with p_{E_1} an isomorphism $E_1\tilde{\to}E_1^t$. So first a) and then b) of 2.2.23 C apply entirely to $\mathbb{D}(E_1)$ and to its bilinear principal quasi-polarization defined naturally by p_{E_1}. Using the natural $W(k)$-monomorphism $W(\mathbb{F})[[T]] \hookrightarrow Re(V)$, we get that the bilinear form on the extension to $Re(V)$ of the underlying module of $\mathbb{D}(E_1)$ is alternating.

So from B3 a) we deduce that we have a natural reductive subgroup of $GL(M)$; as in [Va2, 5.2.2.1 and 5.2.17] we get that it is isomorphic to $G_{Re(V)}$.

From the Fact of B8 we get that the level-N symplectic similitude structures of (A_V, p_{A_V}), with $(N, 2) = 1$, lift compatibly to level-N symplectic similitude structures of $(A_{Re(V)}, p_{A_{Re(V)}})$.

Next we apply [Va2, 5.3.3]. As in loc. cit., to $(A_{Re(V)}, p_{A_{Re(V)}})$ and its symplectic similitude structures, it corresponds a morphism

$$m_{Re(V)} : \mathrm{Spec}(Re(V)) \to \mathcal{M}.$$

The affine transformation

$$\tilde{g}_0 : Re(V) \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F})} V \to Re(V) \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F})} V$$

which is V-linear and takes T into $\pi_V^{e(V)-1}T + \pi_V$ is still well defined. Warning: this is not so if we replace $Re(V)$ by $\tilde{R}e(V)$. We consider an $O_{(v)}$-monomorphism $i_V : V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. So, as in [Va2, 5.3.3.1] we construct a $W(\mathbb{F})$-monomorphism

$$\tilde{g} : Re(V) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[T]],$$

which, when composed with the $\mathbb{C}$-epimorphism $\mathbb{C}[[T]] \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by T goes to 0, becomes $i_V \circ q_{\pi_V}$. Warning: the naive way of defining (here or in loc. cit.) $\tilde{g}$ directly by T goes to $T + i_V(\pi_V)$ leads into problems of convergence of formal power series with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$ and evaluated at $i_V(\pi_V)$.

As G is the connected component of the origin of G_1 and as $Re(V)$ is an integral ring of characteristic 0, ∇_M respects the $G_{Re(V)}$-action. We explain what we mean by this. The triple $(M, \psi_M, \mathcal{B})$ is obtained from a triple $(M_0, \psi_0, \mathcal{B})$ over $W(\mathbb{F})$ by extensions of scalars. This can be proved in many ways: one way relies on the hint for b) of B3; another way can be deduced easily from the approach of B10 below. However, here we adopt a slightly different approach: denoting by $*|$ the restriction of the involution of $\mathrm{End}(M)$ to the centralizer of $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathrm{End}(M)$, the triple $(M, *|, \mathcal{B})$ is obtained from a (similar) triple $(M_0, *|, \mathcal{B})$ over $W(\mathbb{F})$ by extensions of scalars. Argument: the only non-trivial (i.e the D) case is a consequence of the proof of a) of B3 ($Re(V)$ is strictly henselian and 2-adically complete, cf. B8). So identifying $M = M_0 \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F})} Re(V)$, (regardless of the fact that we use $(M_0, \psi_0, \mathcal{B})$ or $(M_0, *|, \mathcal{B})$) ∇_M is of the form $\delta + \beta_{\mathrm{end}}$, with δ as the connection on M annihilating M_0 and with (this is what we mean by ∇_M respects the $G_{Re(V)}$-action) $\beta_{\mathrm{end}} \in \mathrm{Lie}(G_{Re(V)}) \otimes dT$.

So, as in [Va2, 5.3.3.1] we get that $m_{Re(V)}$ factors through $\mathcal{N}'$. There is one detail which needs to be pointed out, as G is not always the subgroup of $GSp(W, \psi)$ fixing the elements of $\mathcal{B}$; so in order to apply loc. cit. we need to add any one of the following two things:

i) [Va2, 5.2.2.1 and 5.2.15] make sense for $p = 2$ as well (being just rational statements) and so we can use all de Rham components of the Hodge cycles with which A is naturally endowed, in order to fully use [Va2, 4.1.5] exactly as mentioned in [Va2, 5.3.3.1];

ii) $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ is the Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(GSp(W, \psi))$ annihilating the elements of $\mathcal{B}$ and so we can still apply [Va2, 4.1.5] without mentioning the extra Hodge cycles of i), as loc. cit. relies (as [Fa2, end of rm. iii) after th. 10] does) just on facts involving Lie algebras.

The arguments below show that (the $p = 2$ analogue of) [Va2, 5.3.4] can be skipped.

Let $(A_0, p_{A_0}, \mathcal{B})$ be the triple we get over $W(\mathbb{F})$ by pulling back $(A_{Re(V)}, p_{A_{Re(V)}}, \mathcal{B})$ via the $W(\mathbb{F})$-monomorphism $z_0 : \mathrm{Spec}(W(\mathbb{F})) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(Re(V))$, defined at the level of rings by: T goes to 0.

With its construction we are essentially done, as [Fa2, th. 10 and the remarks after] treat as well the case $p = 2$. In other words, as in [Va2, 5.4.5] (see also 2.3.11) we construct a versal deformation $(A_R, p_{A_R}, \mathcal{B})$ of the triple $(A_0, p_{A_0}, \mathcal{B})$ over $R := W(\mathbb{F})[[x_1, ..., x_m]]$, with $m := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$. We consider the triple $(A_{\tilde{R}e(V)}, p_{A_{\tilde{R}e(V)}}, \mathcal{B})$ over $\tilde{R}e(V)$ obtained from the triple $(A_{Re(V)}, p_{A_{Re(V)}}, \mathcal{B})$ via the $W(\mathbb{F})$-morphism

$$i_{e(V)} : \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}e(V)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Re(V))$$

defined by the logical $W(\mathbb{F})$-monomorphism $Re(V) \hookrightarrow \tilde{R}e(V)$. It is easy to see that it is obtained from $(A_R, p_{A_R}, \mathcal{B})$ by pull back via a $W(\mathbb{F})$-morphism

$$z_{\tilde{R}e(V))} : \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}e(V)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$$

such that $z_{\tilde{R}e(V))} \circ \tilde{z}_0$ at the level of rings is defined naturally by: x_i's go to 0; here we denote by $\tilde{z}_0$ the natural factorization of z_0 through $i_{e(V)}$. To see this, we work in the crystalline cohomology context and mimic entirely [Fa2, th. 10 and rm. iii) after it]. Though $\tilde{R}e(V)$ is not isomorphic to $W(\mathbb{F})[[T]]$, it has all ring properties of $W(\mathbb{F})[[T]]$ needed in order to be able to mimic loc. cit.:

iii) $\tilde{R}e(V)$ is the projective limit of the quotients $\tilde{R}e(V)/I(q)$ (the transition $W(\mathbb{F})$-epimorphisms being the logical ones);

iv) $\forall q \in \mathbb{N}$, the quotient $I(q)/I(q+1)$, as a $W(\mathbb{F})$-module, is free of rank one;

v) $\Phi_{\tilde{R}e(V)}$ takes $I(q)$ into $I(q+1)$, $\forall q \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is the freeness part of iv) which guarantees that we have no obstructions from the fact that G_1 is not necessarily connected: as $\mathrm{Lie}(G_1) = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$, the part of [Fa2, rm. iii) after th. 10] involving strictness for filtrations applies entirely in the same manner.

To conclude that $\mathcal{N}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ is formally smooth over $W(\mathbb{F})$ in y, we just have to remark that in the same way we applied [Va2, 4.1.5] in [Va2, 5.4.5], we can apply it in the present situation. In other words, the morphism $m_R : \mathrm{Spec}(R) \to \mathcal{M}$ corresponding to (A_R, p_{A_R}) and its natural symplectic similitude structures (lifting those of (A_0, p_{A_0})), factors through $\mathcal{N}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ and (as in [Va2, 5.4.7-8]) the resulting $W(\mathbb{F})$-morphism is formally étale. We just need to add: the morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(W(\mathbb{F})) \to \mathcal{M}$ defined by (A_0, p_{A_0}) and its natural symplectic similitude structures factors through $\mathcal{N}'$ as $m_{Re(V)}$ does, and so m_R factors through $\mathcal{N}'$ and so through $\mathcal{N}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$. This proves the Theorem modulo the checking that the preliminary assumption of the beginning of this B9 always holds.

B10. Argument for the preliminary assumption. It goes in two main steps: first we work mod 2 and then we use induction modulo (higher) powers of 2.

The mod 2 part. First of all we need to show that the triple $\mathcal{TRI}_1$ obtained from $(A_V, p_{A_V}, \mathcal{B})$ by taken it mod 2, lifts to a triple $(A_{Re(V)/2Re(V)}, p_{A_{Re(V)/2Re(V)}}, \mathcal{B})$ over $Re(V)/2Re(V)$. $V/2V$ can be identified with $\mathbb{F}[[T]]/(T^{e(V)})$ and so $q_1(\pi_V)$ factors through $\tilde{R}e(V)/2\tilde{R}e(V)$; we denote by

$$z_{V/2V} : \mathrm{Spec}(V/2V) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}e(V)/2\tilde{R}e(V))$$

this factorization, viewed at the level of schemes. We recall from B9, that the quintuple $(M, \Phi_M, \nabla_M, \psi_M, \mathcal{B})$ is well defined (without any assumption) and that we have naturally a reductive subgroup $G_{Re(V)}$ of $GL(M)$. We have:

Lemma. *The cocharacter μ_V lifts to a cocharacter $\mu_{Re(V)}$ of $G_{Re(V)}$.*

The proof of this is very much the same as of [Va2, 5.3.2]. The only difference: we can not be so explicit in writing done some ideals and in fact we have to deal as well with $W(\mathbb{F})$-monomorphisms (between $W(\mathbb{F})$-algebras) and not just with $W(\mathbb{F})$-epimorphisms. So, based on the Fact of B8, we consider a sequence

$$(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}},$$

with A_n a $W_n(\mathbb{F})$-subalgebra of $Re(V)/2^nRe(V)$, such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have:

P1 A_n is included in A_{n+1} mod 2^n;

P2 under $q_n(\pi_V)$, A_n is mapped surjectively onto $V/2^nV$;

P3 $G_{Re(V)/2^nRe(V)}$ is obtained from a reductive group G_{A_n} over A_n by extension of scalars and the reduction of $G_{A_{n+1}}$ mod 2^n is naturally identified with the logical pull back (see P1) of G_{A_n}.

By induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we get (as in loc. cit.) a cocharacter μ_n of G_{A_n} lifting (see P2) the reduction of μ_V mod 2^n and such that μ_{n+1} mod 2^n is obtained by natural pull back (see P3) from μ_n. So to get the Lemma we just need to take $\mu_{Re(V)}$ such that its reduction mod 2^n is obtained from μ_n by natural pull back (see P3), $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We consider the direct sum decomposition $M = F^1_M \oplus F^0_M$ produced by $\mu_{Re(V)}$ (so $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(Re(V))$ acts through $\mu_{Re(V)}$ on $F^i(M)$ by multiplication with β^{-i}). F^1_M lifts the direct summand F^1 of $H^1_{dR}(A_V/V) = M/\mathrm{Ker}(q(\pi_V))$.

So, without having $(A_0, p_{A_0}, \mathcal{B})$ we can construct its filtered σ-crystal endowed with a family of endomorphisms by just pulling back $(M, F^1_M, \Phi_M, \mathcal{B})$ via z_0. So, as in [Va2, 5.4.5] (see also 2.2.21 UP) we can construct (without having $(A_R, p_{A_R}, \mathcal{B})$) a versal, principally quasi-polarized filtered F-crystal $\mathfrak{C}_R$ over $R/2R$ endowed with a family $\mathcal{B}$ of endomorphisms; here R is as in B9. From [dJ1, th. of intro.] (the form of it we need is reproved in 3.14 B6 below) and from Serre–Tate's deformation theory we deduce the existence of a unique triple $(A_{R/2R}, p_{A_{R/2R}}, \mathcal{B})$ lifting the special fibre $(A_V, p_{A_V}, \mathcal{B})_{\mathbb{F}}$ of $(A_V, p_{A_V}, \mathcal{B})$ and whose F-crystal over R is obtained from $\mathfrak{C}_R$ and its family of endomorphisms by forgetting the filtration of the underlying R-module of $\mathfrak{C}_R$.

The extension of $(M, F^1, \Phi_M, \nabla_M, \mathcal{B})$ to $\tilde{R}e(V)$ is obtained from $(\mathfrak{C}_R, \mathcal{B})$ by pull back via a $W(\mathbb{F})$-morphism $z_{\tilde{R}e(V)} : \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}e(V)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$: this is nothing else but the corresponding part of B9, performed just in terms of principally quasi-polarized filtered F-crystals endowed with endomorphisms and not in terms of principally polarized abelian schemes with endomorphisms.

As $V/2V$ is a complete intersection, again based on [BM, 4.3.2], we get that the pull back of $(A_{R/2R}, p_{A_{R/2R}}, \mathcal{B})$ via the composite of $z_{V/2V}$ with $z_{\tilde{R}e(V)}$ mod 2, is nothing else but $\mathcal{TRI}_1$. But from the Fact of B8, we get that the resulting $\mathbb{F}$-morphism $R/2R \to V/2V$ lifts to an $\mathbb{F}$-morphism $R/2R \to Re(V)/2Re(V)$. This ends the mod 2 part.

The inductive part. The second step is to lift, by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the triple $\mathcal{TRI}(1)$ over $Re(V)/2Re(V)$ lifting $\mathcal{TRI}_1$ to a triple $\mathcal{TRI}(n+1)$ over $Re(V)/2^{n+1}Re(V)$ lifting naturally (i.e. in a compatible way) the reduction $\mathcal{TRI}_{n+1}$ mod 2^{n+1} of the triple $(A_V, p_{A_V}, \mathcal{B})$. We can assume F^1_M mod 2 is the filtration of $M/2M$ defined by the lift of $A_{V/2V}$ to $Re(V)/2Re(V)$ we got (we just have to redo the Lemma once more). Accordingly, we want $\mathcal{TRI}(n+1)$ in such a way that the filtration of $M/2^{n+1}M$ it defines is nothing else but F^1_M mod 2^{n+1}.

As the ideal $4Re(V)$ of $Re(V)$ has a natural divided power structure, nilpotent modulo any ideal $2^m Re(V)$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 3$, we get that we can assume $n = 1$. We consider two ideals of $Re(V)/4Re(V)$: I_1 is the ideal generated by 2, while I_2 is $\mathrm{Ker}(q_2(\pi_V))$. Their intersection is nothing else but $2I_2$ and so its induced divided power structure is nilpotent. There is a unique triple

$$(A_{(Re(V)/4Re(V))/2I_2}, p_{A_{(Re(V)/4Re(V))/2I_2}}, \mathcal{B})$$

lifting $\mathcal{TRI}(1)$ and $\mathcal{TRI}_2$ at the same time. So, due to the just mentioned nilpotent part, there is a unique way of lifting $(A_{(Re(V)/4Re(V))/2I_2}, p_{A_{(Re(V)/4Re(V))/2I_2}}, \mathcal{B})$ to $Re(V)/4Re(V)$ in the way prescribed by F^1 mod 4. This takes care of $n = 1$ and so ends the inductive part.

Conclusion. Due to the mentioned compatibility the triple $(A_V, p_{A_V}, \mathcal{B})$ lifts to a triple over $\mathrm{Spf}(Re(V))$; as we are in a principally polarized context, we can replace (cf. Grothendieck's algebraization theorem) "Spf" by "Spec". So indeed the triple $(A_V, p_{A_V}, \mathcal{B})$ lifts to a triple $(A_{Re(V)}, p_{A_{Re(V)}}, \mathcal{B})$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(Re(V))$. This ends the argument for the preliminary assumption and so the proof of the Theorem.

B11. Remarks. 1) It is worth pointing out that the above proof does not use Fontaine's comparison theory (if in B9 we go ahead with ii) and not with i) and if we are not bothered to get f) of B7; warning: B5 can be worked out as well in the de Rham context). To be compared with the proof of [Va2, 5.1] which relies on such a theory.

2) B3 a) points out that the theory of [Va2, 4.3] of O-well positioned families of tensors (with O a DVR) can be "extended":

a) we use tensors which are not necessarily linear (like the function $QF(v)$ of the proof of it: we have $QF(\alpha v) = \alpha^2 v$, $\forall \alpha \in M_R$);

b) we impose restrictions on tensors modulo the maximal ideal of O.

However, such theories are not easily adaptable to (i.e. usable in) the crystalline context of [Fa2, §4].

3) We refer to [Va2, 4.1] for notations. B9 ii) points out that [Va2, 4.1.3-5] have versions, where we replace the set $\mathcal{J}$ of loc. cit., with a subset $\mathcal{J}_0$ of it such that the subgroup of $GSp(W, \psi)$ fixing s_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0$, has G as its connected component of the origin. For these versions, we just need to replace in [Va2, 4.1.3] "becomes a quadruple of $\mathcal{A}(G, X, W, \psi)$" by "can be extended (by adding tensors indexed by $\mathcal{J} \setminus \mathcal{J}_0$) to a quadruple of $\mathcal{A}(G, X, W, \psi)$".

4) $\tilde{Re}(V)$ is the simplest example of the class of GD-rings (here GD stands for good deformation) to be axiomatized in §6 (starting from iii) to v) of B9).

5) Using [Va2, 5.6.4] we get immediately (cf. also B4) that $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}'$, provided G^{ad} does not have factors of some D_n Lie type ($n \geq 4$). However, loc. cit. does not apply to the case of such factors.

C. 2.3.8 3) and conventions 2.3.7 and 2.3.9.2 apply but not 2.3.8 1). However, if we replace $2(p-2)$ by $\max\{2, 2(p-2)\}$ in 2.3.8 1) (in order to accommodate the case $p = 2$), then the $p = 2$ analogue of (the modified) 2.3.8 1) is the Theorem of B. 2.3.6 makes no sense for $p = 2$. It is premature to state a morally supportive variant of 2.3.8.1; however, the proof of [Va2, 6.7.2] can be used with the same purpose for $p = 2$ as well. Similarly, it is premature to deal with the $p = 2$ analogue of 2.3.8 2).

D. The parts a) and c) of 2.3.9 remain valid without any modification as (cf. A) 2.3.5.1 holds for $p = 2$. Moreover, 2.3.9 d) applies as well provided (*) of 2.3.9 D holds: the part of the proof of 2.3.9 referring to [Ja, 10.4 of Part I] applies as well. Briefly, this goes as follows. The difference is that, with the notations of the proof of 2.3.9, $\tilde{G}_{0k}$ is the subgroup of $GL(L_0/pL_0)$ fixing a non-degenerate quadratic form $\tilde{Q}_0$ in m variables (argument: this is so over $\bar{k}$, cf. [Bo2, 23.6] and its lift version to $W(\bar{k})$; but such a quadratic form is unique modulo an invertible element and so, using Hilbert's Theorem 90, we can assume it is defined over k). Now after a finite (computable) number $n(m)$ of Galois extensions (the first one of k) of degree 2 we can bring $\tilde{Q}_0$ in the standard form $x_0^1 + x_1x_2 + ... + x_{2n-1}x_{2n}$ (resp. $x_1x_2 + ... + x_{2n-1}x_{2n}$) if $m = 2n + 1$ is odd (resp. if $m = 2n$ is even). We postpone to refer to any $p = 2$ equivalent of 2.3.9 b).

E. 2.3.10-16 remain valid: this is so due to the fact that [Fa2, th. 10] and the remarks following it are still true for $p = 2$; so [Va2, 5.4] and its variants (see 2.3.11) remain true for $p = 2$. But in connection to 2.3.17 we have to be more careful: for $p = 2$ the second paragraph of the proof of 2.3.17 has to be modified slightly, as a 2-divisible group over $W(k)$ is not determined by its associated filtered σ-crystal; however it applies to 2.3.17 in the same way (see 2.3.18.1 B and C and 2.4.1 below), provided:

– for the uniqueness part of 2.3.17 we restrict to the case when (M, φ) does not have slope 0;

– for the existence part of the whole of 2.3.17 (inclusive 2.3.17.2) we restrict to the case when k has no abelian extensions of degree 2 or to the case when (M, φ) does not have slope 0 or does not have slope 1.

2.3.18.1. A review. Here we recall some known facts on 2-divisible groups D over a perfect field k of characteristic 2, for which we could not find a good reference.

A. We write D as a product

$$D = D_1 \times D_2$$

such that D_1 is ordinary, while D_2 has all its slopes in the interval $(0,1)$. Let (M,φ) be its σ-crystal and let $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ be the corresponding direct sum decomposition. For simplifying the presentation, we choose a lift of D to a 2-divisible group $D(2)$ over $W_2(k)$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be such that k^n is the k-linear space parameterizing lifts of D to $W_2(k)$, with $D(2)$ corresponding to the origin. Let T_{def} be the vector group scheme it defines naturally. Let k^n be the k-linear space which parameterizes lifts of the F^1-filtration of $M/2M$ (defined as the kernel of φ mod 2) to F^1-filtrations of $M/4M$, with the F^1-filtration of $M/4M$ defined by $D(2)$ as the origin; let T_{fil} be the vector group scheme it defines naturally.

In what follows we assume $n > 0$: if $n = 0$ all results below are trivial. There is a natural morphism (of schemes):

$$m_D : T_{\text{def}} \to T_{\text{fil}}.$$

Its existence can be seen easily using crystalline cohomology theory (i.e. using the $\mathbb{D}$ functor in the context of the universal deformation space of D over $W(k)[[x_1, ..., x_n]]$; see 2.2.1.0 and [Il, 4.8]); see also 2.4 below for a second approach via PD-hulls and crystals. We would like to point out that the role of $D(2)$ is irrelevant here: above, instead of k-vector spaces, we can consider as well affine spaces (in the classical sense of Euclidean Geometry); a change of $D(2)$ corresponds to a change of the origins of T_{def} and of T_{fil}. We have:

B. Fact. *m_D is a dominant, finite morphism. Generically, the field extension of the field of fractions FF of T_{fil} we get, is the composite of at most n extensions of degree 2 of FF.*

Proof: We first mention the case when D is an ordinary 2-divisible group over k and its lift $D(2)$ to $W_2(k)$ is modeled on (i.e. lifts to) its canonical lift. But in such a case this Fact can be checked without difficulty, starting from the theory of canonical crystalline coordinates. In other words, [Ka3, 4.3.1] and the standard formulas of pulling back F-crystals (see [De3, 1.1]; for mod 4 versions of loc. cit. see also [Fa1, p. 36-37] and the reference to it in 2.2.1 c)) reduces the situation to the case when D is the 2-divisible group of an ordinary elliptic curve. For this last case we refer to [Og, 3.14] (from loc. cit. we get: m_D can be identified with a morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(k[t]) \to \mathrm{Spec}(k[t])$ which at the level of rings takes t into $t^2 - t$). We conclude: m_D is a Galois cover of whose Galois group is $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$.

To treat the general case without appealing to heavy computations, we use a specialization argument. So let $V(k)$ be the normalization of $k[[x]]$ in the algebraic closure of $k((x))$. Let $D_{V(k)}$ be a 2-divisible group over $V(k)$ lifting D and whose generic fibre is ordinary (for instance, cf. 3.1.8.1 below; it can be read at any time). Let $D_{V(k)}(2)$ be a lift of it to $W_2(V(k))$ which also lifts $D(2)$ (cf. [Il, 4.4]). Let $M_{V(k)} := H^1_{\text{crys}}(D_{V(k)}/W(V(k)))$. As in A, we denote by $T_{\text{def}}^{V(k)}$ (resp. by $T_{\text{fil}}^{V(k)}$) the n dimensional affine $V(k)$-scheme whose $V(k)$-valued points parameterize lifts of $D_{V(k)}$ to $W_2(V(k))$ (resp. lifts. of the F^1-filtration of $M_{V(k)}/2M_{V(k)}$ defined naturally by $D_{V(k)}$ to an F^1-filtration of

$M_{V(k)}/4M_{V(k)}$), with $D_{V(k)}(2)$ (resp. with the F^1-filtration of $M_{V(k)}/4M_{V(k)}$ defined by $D_{V(k)}(2)$) corresponding to its origin. We get (easy argument at the level of $V(k)$-valued points): m_D is the special fibre of a natural morphism

$$m_{D_{V(k)}} : T_{\mathrm{def}}^{V(k)} \to T_{\mathrm{fil}}^{V(k)}$$

(whose generic fibre is constructed as m_D is).

It is easy to see that m_D has finite fibres (see also E below). So m_D is a dominant morphism. From Zariski's Main Theorem, we get that T_{def} is an open subscheme of the normalization of T_{fil} in the field of fractions of T_{def}. But $\mathbb{A}_k^n$ can not be an open subscheme of an integral, affine k-scheme S such that $S \setminus \mathbb{A}_k^n$ is non-empty: otherwise we would get that the polynomial ring $k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ has invertible elements which do not belong to k. So m_D is also a finite morphism. As the generic fibre of $m_{D_{V(k)}}$ is a Galois cover of whose Galois group is $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$, the Fact follows.

C. From B we get that the natural map

$$m_D(\bar{k}) : T_{\mathrm{def}}(\bar{k}) \to T_{\mathrm{fil}}(\bar{k})$$

defined by m_D is surjective.

In general, the fibres of $m_D(\bar{k})$ are related to the passage to some particular isogenies (involving the 2-torsion). So using (see E below) Fontaine's comparison theory with integral coefficients in the context of 2-divisible groups over $W(k)$, such a fibre $m_D(\bar{k})^{-1}(\alpha)$ can have more than 1 element precisely in the case when M_1 has both slopes 0 and 1 with positive multiplicity. So, if (M_1, φ) has only the slope 0 or only the slope 1 or if $M_1 = \{0\}$, then $m_D(\bar{k})$ is a bijection.

D. On the other hand, to lift a 2-divisible group $D(m)$ over $W_m(k)$ lifting D, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$, to a 2-divisible group over $W_{m+q}(k)$, with $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we just have to lift the F^1-filtration of $M/2^m M$ defined by $D(m)$ to an F^1-filtration of $M/2^{n+m}M$. This is a consequence of [Me, ch. 4-5], as the ideal $2^m W_{m+q}(k)$ of $W_{m+q}(k)$ is equipped naturally with a nilpotent divided power structure (which is not the case for the ideal $2W_{m+q}(k)$ of $W_{m+q}(k)$). Combining this with the Fact of B, we get:

Corollary. *For any direct summand F^1 of M such that the triple $\mathfrak{C} := (M, F^1, \varphi)$ is a filtered σ-crystal, there is an abelian extension k_1 of k of Galois group a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}^n$, such that the extension of $\mathfrak{C}$ to k_1 is associated to a 2-divisible group over k_1.*

E. We now recall how Fontaine's comparison theory with integral coefficients in the context of 2-divisible groups over $W(k)$ applies in C. We follow [Fa2, ch. 4 and ch. 6]. Warning: in [Fa2, ch. 1] it is stated that in [Fa2, ch. 2-6] an odd prime is used. However, in [Fa2, ch. 8] (especially see the ending paragraph of loc. cit.) it is explained why the things are fine for $p = 2$ as well; so below we do refer to [Fa2, ch. 4 and ch. 6] even for $p = 2$. Let $B^+(W(k))$ be the Fontaine's ring constructed as in [Fa2, ch. 4 and ch. 8], using the 2-adic topology (for completing); the reason we do not use the PD topology (for completing) is that we could not convince ourselves that we still get a natural Frobenius lift (see also [Fa2, p. 125] where the arguments refer only to odd primes). We recall: $B^+(W(k))$ is an integral, local $W(k)$-algebra, endowed with a separated, decreasing filtration $\left(F^i(B^+(W(k)))\right)_{i\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}$, with a Frobenius lift FR, and with a natural Galois action by Γ_k (cf. also [FI, 1.3]). Moreover we have a natural $W(k)$-epimorphism compatible with the natural Galois actions by Γ_k

$$s_{W(k)} : B^+(W(k)) \twoheadrightarrow \overline{W(k)}^{\wedge},$$

where $\overline{W(k)}^{\wedge}$ is the 2-adic completion of the normalization of $W(k)$ in $\overline{B(k)}$. Its kernel is $F^1(B^+(W(k)))$ and so we can identify

$$gr^0 := F^0(B^+(W(k)))/F^1(B^+(W(k)) = B^+(W(k))/F^1(B^+(W(k))$$

with $\overline{W(k)}^{\wedge}$. So

$$gr^1 := F^1(B^+(W(k))/F^2(B^+(W(k))$$

is naturally a $\overline{W(k)}^{\wedge}$-module: it is free of rank one; let u be a generator of it. Let $\beta_0 \in F^1(B^+(W(k)))$ be defined as in [Fa2, p. 125] (in [FI, 1.2.3 and 1.3.3] it is denoted by t); its image in gr^1 is $2u$ times an invertible element i_{β_0} of $\overline{W(k)}^{\wedge}$ and, even more,

$$\frac{\beta_0}{2} \in F^1(B^+(W(k)))$$

and

$$FR(\beta_0) = 2\beta_0$$

(cf. any one of the last two loc. cit.).

Let D_0 be a 2-divisible group over $W(k)$ lifting D. Let $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(D_0)$ be the dual of its Tate-module. Let F^1 be the F^1-filtration of M it defines naturally. Let F^0 be a direct supplement of F^1 in M. We have a $B^+(W(k))$-monomorphism

$$i_M(D_0) : M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k)) \hookrightarrow H^1_{\acute{e}t}(D_0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} B^+(W(k))$$

respecting the tensor product filtrations (the filtration of $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(D_0)$ is defined logically by: $F^1(H^1_{\acute{e}t}(D_0)) = \{0\}$ and $F^0(H^1_{\acute{e}t}(D_0)) = H^1_{\acute{e}t}(D_0)$), the Galois actions, and the Frobenius; all these Frobenius endomorphisms of modules are still denoted by FR.

Its existence is just a particular case of [Fa2, th. 7] (cf. end of [Fa2, ch. 8]). From the way loc. cit is stated, clearly the prime 2 is also treated. However, we need to point out that the ring R_V used in loc. cit., as $p = 2$, is not definable as in [Fa2, ch. 2] (for instance, if $k = \mathbb{F}$, then the ring R_V of loc. cit. is not the ring $\tilde{R}e(V)$ used in 2.3.18 B8 but the ring $Re(V)$ of the mentioned place). Moreover, our case is very simple: $W(k)$ has no ramification and so, as $B^+(W(k))$ is a $W(k)$-algebra, we can trivially define the crystalline cohomology group of D_0 relative to $B^+(W(k))$, using (cf. end of [Fa2, ch. 8])

$CRIS(\mathrm{Spec}(k)/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$. It can be checked that, working with the mentioned site, the homology and the cohomology of $\mathbb{Q}_2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ can still be computed similarly to [Fa2, p. 132-3] (see [BM, ch. 2]).

The part of [Fa2, th. 7] expressing the fact that the cokernel of $i_M(D_0)$ is annihilated by the element β_0, in its simpler form, can be restated as (cf. also the strictness part of [Fa2, th. 5] and the above part pertaining to i_{β_0}):

Key Property. *The* $\overline{W(k)}^{\wedge}$*-linear map*

$$j_M(D_0) : F^0 \otimes_{W(k)} gr^1 \oplus F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} gr^0 \to H^1_{\acute{e}t}(D_0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} gr^1,$$

induced naturally from $i_M(D_0)$ *at the level of one gradings, is injective and its cokernel is annihilated by* 2.

If now D_0^1 is another 2-divisible group over $W(k)$ lifting D, producing the same F^1-filtration F^1 of M, then via the similarly constructed $B^+(W(k))$-monomorphism $i_M(D_0^1)$, $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(D_1^0)$ is (cf. the Key Property) a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-lattice of $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(D_0)[\frac{1}{2}]$ containing $2H^1_{\acute{e}t}(D_0)$ and contained in $\frac{1}{2}H^1_{\acute{e}t}(D_0)$. Using a well known theorem of Tate, we get that D_0^1 is determined by such a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-lattice, and this takes care (cf. also paragraph D) of the finiteness part of the fibres of m_D. If $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(D_0) \neq H^1_{\acute{e}t}(D_0^1)$, i.e. if $D_0 \neq D_0^1$ as lifts of D, let

$$H^1 := H^1_{\acute{e}t}(D_0) \cap H^1_{\acute{e}t}(D_0^1).$$

Let H_0^1 be a direct summand of H^1 contained in $2H^1_{\acute{e}t}(E)$, with $E \in \{D_0, D_0^1\}$: we do have non-zero such summands; warning: we do not require H_0^1 to be Γ_k-invariant. So, via $j_M(E)$, $H_0^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} gr^1$ is a direct summand of $F^0 \otimes_{W(k)} gr^1 \oplus F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} gr^0$. We deduce the existence of an element

$$a \in FF^1 := F^0 \otimes_{W(k)} F^1(B^+(W(k)) \oplus F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))$$

such that the following three things hold:

a) $FR(a) = 2a$;

b) its image in $F^0 \otimes_{W(k)} gr^1 \oplus F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} gr^0$ is non-zero modulo the maximal ideal m_{big} of $\overline{W(k)}^{\wedge}$;

c) its image in $H^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} gr^1$ belongs to $H_0^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} gr^1$ and moreover its image in $H_0^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} gr^1/m_{\mathrm{big}}gr^1$ is non-zero.

For instance, we can take $a := i_M(E)(\frac{b}{2}\beta_0)$, where $b \in H_0^1$ generates a direct summand of it and moreover $\frac{b}{2} \in H^1_{\acute{e}t}(E)$; as $\frac{b}{2}\beta_0 = b\frac{\beta_0}{2}$, we get that a) to c) hold.

We assume now that (M, φ) does not have integral slopes, i.e. we assume $M_1 = \{0\}$. We want to reach a contradiction. We can assume $k = \bar{k}$. We choose a $W(k)$-basis $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{e_1, ..., e_{\dim_{W(k)}(M)}\}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{f_1, ..., f_{\dim_{W(k)}(M)}\}$) of an arbitrary $W(k)$-submodule N of M (resp. of M) such that $\varphi^l(e_i) = 2^{n_i}e_i$, $\forall i \in S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(M))$, with $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and with all n_i's belonging to the set $S(1, l-1)$ (resp. such that it is formed by elements of F^0 and of F^1). Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $p^q M \subset N$. We write

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim_{W(k)}(M)} \alpha_i f_i,$$

with α_i belonging to $F^1(B^+(W(k))$ if $f_i \in F^0$ and to $B^+(W(k))$ if $f_i \in F^1$. Let $m := l(q+1)$. We have $(l-1)(q+1)+q < m$. As $\{\varphi^m(f_i) | i \in S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(M))\}$ is a $B(k)$-basis of $M[\frac{1}{p}]$ formed by elements of $N \setminus p^{(q+1)(l-1)+q}M$, and as $FR^m(a) = 2^m a \in 2^m M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))$, we get that $\forall i \in S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(M))$ such that $f_i \in F^1$, α_i is not an invertible element. So the image of a in $FF^1/m_{\text{big}}FF^1$ belongs to the image of $F^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} gr^1$ into this last $\overline{W(k)}^{\wedge}/m_{\text{big}}$-module (i.e. k-vector space).

From this, c) and the structure of $B^+(W(k))/F^2(B^+(W(k))$ (as an extension of gr^0 by gr^1), we deduce the existence of an element $b^0 \in F^0$ such that $i_M(E)(b^0) \in H^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k))$ is non-zero modulo the maximal ideal of $B^+(W(k))$. This implies (M, φ) has slopes 0. Contradiction. So M_1 is non-zero.

We assume now that (M, φ) does not have slope 1. So (M_1, φ) has pure slope 0. Let H_0 be the direct summand of $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(D_0)$ and of $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(D^1_0)$ such that $H_0[\frac{1}{2}]$ corresponds (via Fontaine's comparison theory) to the filtered isocrystal $(M_1[\frac{1}{2}], 0, \varphi_1)$. It is also a direct summand of H^1. So we can choose H^1_0 such that $H^1_0 \oplus H_0$ is still a direct summand of H^1. Based on the same arguments as above, we can assume $b^0 \in M_2$. So (M, φ) has the slope 0 with multiplicity greater than the rank of M_1. Contradiction. So (M, φ) has the slope 1 with positive multiplicity. Using the standard Cartier duality, we get that (M, φ) has as well the slope 0 with positive multiplicity. This takes care of the bijectiveness part of C.

2.3.18.1.1. The fibres of m_D. We assume now that D_0 is the product of the canonical lift of D_1 with an arbitrary lift D^2 of D_2. The same arguments of 2.3.18.1 E can be used to give that any lift D^0_1 of D as in the mentioned place is a product of a lift of D_1 with D^2 (we can also use the fact that the Galois representation associated to $D^2[2]$ does not fix any non-zero element and that D^1_0 is the extension of a 2-divisible group by D^2). In general we have:

Fact. *All fibres of* $m_D(\bar{k})$ *(of 2.3.18.1 B) have exactly* $2^{s(-1)}$ *points, where* $s(-1)$ *is the multiplicity of the slope* -1 *for* $(\mathrm{End}(M), \varphi)$.

Proof: Let D_0 and F^1 be as in 2.3.18.1 E. D_0 is the extension of an étale 2-divisible group D_{01} by another 2-divisible group D_{02} over $W(k)$ whose special fibre does not have slope 0. D_{02} is uniquely determined by F^1, cf. 2.3.18.1 C. D_{02} itself is the extension of a 2-divisible group D_{03} which does not have integral slopes by a 2-divisible group D_{04} of multiplicative type. We have a short exact sequence of k-vector spaces
(EXT)
$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(D_{01W_2(k)}, D_{04W_2(k)}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(D_{01W_2(k)}, D_{02W_2(k)}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(D_{01W_2(k)}, D_{03W_2(k)}) \to 0$

(the surjectivity part is checked by reasons of dimension). $D_{0W_2(k)}$ is given by an element $\gamma \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(D_{01W_2(k)}, D_{02W_2(k)})$; its image in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(D_{01W_2(k)}, D_{02W_2(k)})$ is determined by $F^1/4F^1$ (again cf. 2.3.18.1 C). So (cf. also 2.3.18.1 D) the number of lifts D_0 of D giving birth to F^1 are in one-to-one correspondence to the number of elements in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(D_{01W_2(k)}, D_{04W_2(k)})$ giving birth to the same F^1-filtration of $H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(D_{04k} \times D_{01k}/W_2(k))$. So, as $s(-1)$ is the product of the ranks of D_{01} and D_{04}, the Fact follows from the first paragraph of the proof of 2.3.18.1 B.

2.3.18.1.2. Exercise. Using 2.3.18.1.1 show that the morphism m_D of 2.3.18.1 B is a Galois cover. Hint: the situation gets reduced to the ordinary case.

2.3.18.2. The case $p = 2$ for some parts of 2.2. We assume k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2. First we refer to 2.2.16.2. Any cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ-crystal (M, F^1, φ) is a direct sum of circular diagonalizable filtered σ-crystals. From 2.3.18.1 C and E we deduce that if the Newton polygon of (M, φ) does not have slope 0 or 1, then we have attached to it a uniquely determined Galois representation with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_2$; so in such a context the Corollary of 2.2.16.2 still holds, as the part of its proof involving endomorphisms still holds.

Accordingly, as we can always isolate aside the slopes 0 and 1 (as in 2.3.18.1 A), 2.2.16.3 remains true for $p = 2$ as well. Similarly, we get that the Proposition of D of 2.2.22 3) remains true for $p = 2$. This implies that the whole of D, F, G, H, I, K and M of 2.2.22 3) remains true for $p = 2$.

2.3.18.3. Remark. Let now p be an arbitrary prime. 2.3.18.1 E can be adapted to p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,p-1+a]}(W(k))$ as follows. Performing a Tate twist we can assume $a = 0$. [Fa1, p. 35-36] explains how to associate Galois representations to truncations of such p-divisible objects; using projective limits we can associate a Galois representation ρ to a p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,p-1]}(W(k))$. Of course, one needs to see when it involves a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module N of the same rank as the underlying module of $\mathfrak{C}$. We will not stop to argue this (it is a consequence of [CF]); we will just mention that in the case $\mathfrak{C}$ is cyclic diagonalizable, it is easy to see based on loc. cit. that indeed N is free and has the right rank. Moreover, following the pattern of 2.3.18.1 E it can be checked that, if $\mathfrak{C}$ either does not have direct summands which are p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,0]}(W(k))$ or does not have direct summands which are p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[p-1,p-1]}(W(k))$, then $\mathfrak{C}$ is uniquely determined by its associated Galois representation. So referring to iv) of L of 2.2.22 3), we can replace $p \geq m + 2$ by $p \geq m + 1$.

2.4. Some identifications. What follows is thought as an appendix of §2: we detail [Va2, 5.5.1] (slightly reformulated) in the abstract context of Shimura σ-crystals; moreover the case $p = 2$ is included as well. Based on the end of 2.2.10 and of 2.2.21, there is no need to restate all things below in a principally quasi-polarized context. So, based on Fact 4 of 2.3.11 (and its $p = 2$ version), everything below applies to the context of a ($p = 2$) SHS.

We start with a Shimura p-divisible group $(D, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ over $W(k)$, with k an arbitrary perfect field. Let $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ be its attached non-necessarily quasi-split

Shimura filtered σ-crystal. Let $R := W(k)[[x_1, ..., x_m]]$, with $m := dd((M, \varphi, G))$. Let $\mathcal{D}_R := (D_R, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ be a universal Shimura p-divisible group over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ which in the $W(k)$-valued point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ defined by making all x_i's to be 0, is $(D, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$. Let P (resp. P_M) be the parabolic subgroup of G (resp. of $GL(M)$) normalizing F^1. Let $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ be the completion of G/P in its $W(k)$-valued point defined by the origin of G. As a $W(k)$-algebra, R_1 is isomorphic to R (cf. 2.2.22 4)).

Let R^{PD} (resp. R_1^{PD}) be the p-adic completion of the PD-hull of the maximal ideal of R (resp. of R_1). The pull back to $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{PD}/pR^{PD})$ of the F-crystal with tensors over R/pR defined by $\mathcal{D}_R$ is trivial, i.e. it can be identified with $(M \otimes_{W(k)} R^{PD}, \varphi \otimes 1, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ (see [BM]). So, corresponding to the F^1-filtration of $M \otimes_{W(k)} R^{PD}$ defined by the pull back of $\mathcal{D}_R$ to $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{PD})$, we get naturally a $W(k)$-morphism

$$FIL : \mathrm{Spec}(R^{PD}) \to GL(M)/P_M.$$

It factors through G/P as it can be checked using $W(k)$-valued points of $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{PD})$ (see Fact 2 of 2.2.9 3)). As $R^{PD}/p^n R^{PD}$ is an inductive limit of its artinian local $W_n(k)$-subalgebras (this is the same as the Fact of 2.3.18 B), $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get that FIL factors through $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ and so through R_1^{PD}. The resulting $W(k)$-morphism

$$FIL_0 : \mathrm{Spec}(R^{PD}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_1^{PD}),$$

is an isomorphism if $p \geq 3$ or if $p = 2$ and each $W(k)$-valued point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1^{PD})$ lifts uniquely to a $W(k)$-valued point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{PD})$, as it can be checked immediately at the level of tangent spaces, starting from the fact that $\mathcal{D}_R$ is universal. By the identification of [Va2, 5.5.1] we meant such $W(k)$-isomorphisms as FIL_0 (with $p \geq 3$).

Any $W(k)$-automorphism $g_R : \mathrm{Spec}(R) \tilde{\to} \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ extends to a $W(k)$-automorphism g_R^{PD} of $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{PD})$. We assume now that there is $g \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}$ which mod p normalizes $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}))/pF^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}))$ and such that:

– the F^0-filtration $F^0_{g_R^*(z)}$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ defined by the pull back through g_R of any $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$-section z of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ whose attached Shimura adjoint filtered Lie σ-crystal is defined by an F^0-filtration F^0_z of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$, is nothing else but $g^{-1}(F^0_z)$.

Denoting still by g, the $W(k)$-automorphism of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ (resp. of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1^{PD})$) defined by inner conjugation by g (resp. defined by inner conjugation by g and passage to the p-adic completion of PD-hulls), we get:

Fact. $g \circ FIL_0 = FIL_0 \circ g_R^{PD}$.

Proof: It is enough to check this equality at the level of $W(k)$-valued points of $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{PD})$; but for such points, the equality holds, cf. the assumption.

Corollary. *We assume that either $p \geq 3$ or $p = 2$ and each $W(k)$-valued point of* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1^{PD})$ *lifts uniquely to a $W(k)$-valued point of* $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{PD})$. *Let V be a faithfully flat $W(k)$-algebra which is a complete DVR of index of ramification at most $p-1$. If g_R acts freely on* $\mathrm{Spec}(R[\frac{1}{p}])$, *then g acts freely on the set of V-valued points of* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1[\frac{1}{p}])$.

Proof: We just have to add that any V-valued point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ (resp. of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$) lifts uniquely to a V-valued point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{PD})$ (resp. of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1^{PD})$).

2.4.1. The case $p = 2$. We assume now $p = 2$. Let T^G_{def} (resp. T^G_{fil}) be the tangent space of R/pR (resp. of R_1/pR_1), viewed as a $dd((M, \varphi, G))$ dimensional affine space over k. Its k-valued points are in one-to-one correspondence to lifts of the maximal point $\mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ to a $W_2(k)$-valued point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ (resp. of lifts of $F^1/2F^1$ to a F^1-filtration F^1_4 of $M/4M$ defined as usual by a cocharacter of $G_{W_2(k)}$), with the lift provided by all x_i's being 0 (resp. by $F^1/4F^1$) corresponding to the origin. FIL_0 gives birth naturally to a k-morphism

$$m^G_D : T^G_{\mathrm{def}} \to T^G_{\mathrm{fil}}.$$

From 2.3.18.1 B we get that m^G_D is dominant and finite, generically obtained by using a composite of extensions of degree 2.

Let $\mathfrak{C}_R$ be the Shimura filtered F-crystal with tensors over $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$ associated to $\mathcal{D}_R$. We have:

Claim. *If $k = \bar{k}$, then the induced map at the level of k-valued points $m^G_D(k) : T^G_{\mathrm{def}}(k) \to T^G_{\mathrm{fil}}(k)$ is surjective and all its fibres have the same number of elements which is a non-negative, integral power of 2.*

Proof: This is a consequence of 2.3.18.1.1.2. We now include a more useful second proof, based on 2.2.21 UP. It is more convenient to work with $W(k)$-valued points instead of $W_2(k)$-valued points (cf. 2.3.18.1 D).

So let $z_i : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$, $i = \overline{1, 2}$, be two different $W(k)$-morphisms giving birth to the same filtration $F^1_0 := F^1_{z_1} = F^1_{z_2}$ of M (if such $W(k)$-morphisms do not exist we have nothing to prove). From 2.2.21 UP we deduce the existence of a unique $W(k)$-isomorphism $z_{12} : \mathrm{Spec}(R) \tilde{\to} \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ such that:

i) $z_{12} \circ z_2 = z_1$, and

ii) $z^*_{12}(\mathfrak{C}_R)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{C}_R$, under an isomorphism i_{12} lifting (in z_2) the canonical identification $(M_0, F^1_{z_2}, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) = (M_0, F^1_{z_1}, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$.

As $\mathfrak{C}_R$ has no automorphism whose pull back through z_2 is the identity, the isomorphism i_{12} is canonical. So from 2.2.21 UP and from i) and ii) we get:

a) z_{12} does not fix any $W(k)$-valued point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$;

b) For any $z \in \mathrm{Spec}(R)(W(k))$, the F^1-filtrations of M defined by z and $z_{12} \circ z$ are the same;

c) If we have a third point z_3 (not necessarily different from z_1 or z_2) such that $F^1_{z_3} = F^1_0$, then $z_{12} \circ z_{23} = z_{13}$ (the convention being that z_{13} or z_{23} is the identity automorphism of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ if respectively $z_1 = z_3$ or $z_2 = z_3$).

In other words, if $\alpha \in T^G_{\mathrm{fil}}(k)$ is defined by $F^1_{z_1}/4F^1_{z_1}$, we have an injective map (thought as a monodromy map)

$$m_{z_1} : m^G_D(k)^{-1}(\alpha) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{W(k)}(\mathrm{Spec}(R)),$$

which takes the element of $m_D(k)^{-1}(\alpha)$ defining z_3 into z_{13}. The image of m_{z_1} does not depend on z_1 (cf. a) and b)). So all fibres of $m_D^G(k)$ have the same number of elements. So the Claim follows from the sentence on extensions of degree 2 before it.

2.4.2. Corollary. *z_{12} is an automorphism of order 2.*

Proof: The fact that z_{12} is of finite order is implied by the finiteness of the fibres of $m_D^G(k)$ and by its uniqueness. From the fact that the special fibre of D_R is a versal deformation, [dJ1, th. of intro.] (the form of it we need is reproved in 3.14 B6 below) implies z_{12} mod 2 is the trivial automorphism of $\mathrm{Spec}(R/2R)$. So z_{12}^2 mod 4 is the trivial automorphism of $\mathrm{Spec}(R/4R)$ and so (being of finite order) is the trivial automorphism of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$.

§3 The basic results

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic a prime $p \geq 2$. Let σ be the Frobenius automorphism of $W(k)$. Let (M, φ, G) be a Shimura σ-crystal over k (cf. 2.2.8 2)). From its very definition, we deduce (see 2.2.8 1) and 2)) the existence of a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ which produces a direct sum decomposition $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$ (with $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acting through μ on F^i as the multiplication with β^{-i}, $i = \overline{0,1}$) such that (M, F^1, φ, G) is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal. We also deduce that F^0 and F^1 are proper direct summands of M. The $G(W(k))$-conjugacy class $[\mu]$ of the cocharacter μ is uniquely determined by (M, φ, G) (cf. Fact 2) of 2.2.9 3)). We consider a family $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(M)[\frac{1}{p}]$ such that $\varphi(t_\alpha) = t_\alpha$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, and $G_{B(k)}$ is the subgroup of $GL\big(M[\frac{1}{p}]\big)$ fixing t_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. If convenient, one can assume that all tensors t_α are homogeneous. Let

$$\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Lie}(G).$$

Fixing the group G, the family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ and the $G(W(k))$-conjugacy class $[\mu]$, any other Shimura σ-crystal (M, φ_1, G), with φ_1 fixing t_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, and with $[\mu]$ defining its filtration class, up to isomorphism (cf. 2.2.9 6)), can be put in the form $\varphi_1 = g\varphi$, with $g \in G(W(k))$. To see this, we consider two Shimura filtered σ-crystals $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ and $(M, F^1_1, \varphi_1, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ having the same filtration class. Changing the second one by an isomorphism, we can assume $F^1 = F^1_1$. But then $\varphi_1 = g\varphi$ with $g \in GL(M)(W(k))$. As φ_1 and φ are both fixing t_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, we get that actually $g \in G(W(k))$. Let

$$d_M := \dim_{W(k)}(M).$$

3.0. The starting setting. We consider Shimura σ-crystals (M, φ_1, G) and Shimura filtered σ-crystals (M, F^1, φ_1, G), with φ_1 fixing $t_\alpha, \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, and with $[\mu]$ defining their filtration class. In other words, we consider Shimura σ-crystals with an emphasized family of tensors whose class is $Cl(M, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ (cf. 2.2.22 2)) as well as their lifts. Occasionally, for the sake of accuracy, we repeat this. We use freely 2.2.3 3).

In 3.1-13 we assume $p \geq 3$; see 3.14 for the modifications needed to be made for $p = 2$. In 3.1-5, 3.7-9 and 3.11 we mostly deal with the generalization of the classical Serre–Tate (ordinary) theory to the context of $Cl(M, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$; in particular, we also deal with problems involving Newton polygons. In 3.6 (resp. 3.12) we mostly deal with global (resp. local) deformations in the context of $Cl(M, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$. In 3.10 we introduce a language and formulas pertaining to Shimura (adjoint) Lie σ-crystals. In 3.13 we mostly deal with truncations mod p in the context of $Cl(M, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$. Often, in 3.1-14 we deal as well with more general contexts then the one of $Cl(M, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$.

In 3.15 we gather different refinements which can be obtained by combining different parts of 3.1-14.

Warning: from now on, without a special reference, we assume $p \geq 3$.

3.1. The first group of basic results.

3.1.0. Theorem. a) *Among all σ-crystals $(M, g\varphi)$ with $g \in G(W(k))$ (i.e. among all Shimura σ-crystals $(M, \varphi_1, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ whose filtration class is defined by $[\mu]$), the ones which have the smallest Newton polygon $\mathcal{P}$ (in the sense that all others have a Newton polygon strictly above it) are precisely the ones $(M, g_0\varphi)$ for which there is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal $(M, F_0^1, g_0\varphi, G)$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_0 := W_0(\mathfrak{g}, g_0\varphi)$ is contained in the parabolic Lie subalgebra $F_0^0(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ formed by elements which take F_0^1 into itself.*

b) *For any Shimura σ-crystal $(M, g_0\varphi, G)$ having $\mathcal{P}$ as its Newton polygon, there is a unique filtration F_0^1 of M, such that $(M, F_0^1, g_0\varphi, G)$ is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal satisfying (with the notations of a)) $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subset F_0^0(\mathfrak{g})$.*

c) *Among all σ-crystals $(M, g\varphi)$ with $g \in G(W(k))$ (i.e. among all Shimura σ-crystals $(M, \varphi_1, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ whose filtration class is defined by $[\mu]$), the ones which have the smallest Newton polygon $Lie_G(\mathcal{P})$ (in the sense that all others have a Newton polygon strictly above it) of their attached Shimura Lie σ-crystals $(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi)$, are precisely the ones having $\mathcal{P}$ as their Newton polygon.*

d) *There is a Shimura filtered F-crystal $\mathfrak{C}_F = (M, F^1, \varphi, G, \tilde{f})$ such that the Shimura F-crystal we get (cf. Fact 3 of 2.2.10) over a geometric point over the generic point of the scheme over which this $\mathfrak{C}_F$ is, has $\mathcal{P}$ as its Newton polygon.*

3.1.1. Definitions. a) Any Shimura σ-crystal $\big(M, \varphi_1, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}\big)$ of whose filtration class is defined by $[\mu]$ and has $\mathcal{P}$ as its Newton polygon, is called a G-ordinary σ-crystal (or a Shimura-ordinary σ-crystal or, even better, a $(G, [\mu], [a])$-ordinary σ-crystal, as $\mathcal{P}$ depends not only on the faithful representation $G \hookrightarrow GL(M)$ but also on the filtration class defined by $[\mu]$ and on the automorphism class [a] of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_1)$ as defined in 2.2.11 2)).

b) The formal isogeny type of a G-ordinary σ-crystal $(M, g_0\varphi, G)$ (resp. such a G-ordinary σ-crystal), is called the G-ordinary type or the Shimura-ordinary type (resp. is called a G-ordinary σ-crystal) produced by (or attached to) the Shimura σ-crystal (M, φ, G) we started with.

c) A Shimura filtered σ-crystal (M, F_1^1, φ_1, G) such that $W_0(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_1)$ is contained in the parabolic Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ formed by elements taking F_1^1 into itself, is called the G-canonical lift (or the Shimura-canonical lift) of (the $(G, [\mu], [a])$-ordinary σ-crystal) (M, φ_1, G). Occasionally, we refer to F_1^1 itself as the G-canonical lift of (M, φ, G). Pairs of the form $(\mathbb{D}^{-1}(M, F_1^1, \varphi_1), (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ are called Shimura-canonical p-divisible groups over $W(k)$, while pairs of the form $(\mathbb{D}^{-1}(M, F_1^1, \varphi_1)_k, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ are called Shimura-ordinary p-divisible groups (over k).

3.1.1.1. Exercise. If $G = GL(M)$, then the G-ordinary type we get is an ordinary type (i.e. its associated Newton polygon has only the slopes 0 and 1). Hint: this should be obvious; if it is not, then look at 3.1.8.1 or at 3.2.3 below.

From 3.1.0 a) and b) and from defs. 2.2.8 7) and 2.2.9 6) we get:

3.1.1.2. Corollary. *Any endomorphism (resp. automorphism or* $1_{\mathcal{J}}$*-automorphism) of a Shimura-ordinary* σ*-crystal over* k*, is as well an endomorphism (resp. automorphism or* $1_{\mathcal{J}}$*-automorphism) of its canonical lift.*

3.1.2. Remarks. 1) The terminology G-ordinary σ-crystals and G-canonical lifts was suggested to us by F. Oort and R. Pink in June 1995. It is a convenient terminology for the abstract context; in geometric situations we will use gradually more and more the terminology Shimura-ordinary σ-crystals and Shimura-canonical lifts.

2) The class of Shimura-ordinary σ-crystals is stable under perfect field extensions (cf. 3.1.0 b)). So, we speak about a Shimura-ordinary (resp. a Shimura-canonical) p-divisible group over k even if by chance in 3.1.1 c) we do not have a quasi-split reductive group G.

3.1.2.1. Convention. Whenever we pass to a perfect field k_1 containing k, for not overloading the notations, we still use the terminology G-ordinary, instead of $G_{W(k_1)}$-ordinary (σ_{k_1}-crystals).

3.1.3. Reformulation of 3.1.0 in terms of sets. 3.1.0 asserts the following five things:

a) Among all Newton polygons of σ-crystals $(M, g\varphi)$ with $g \in G(W(k))$, there is one $\mathcal{P}$ which is the smallest; let A_G be the set of $g \in G(W(k))$ such that the Newton polygon of $(M, g\varphi)$ is $\mathcal{P}$.

b) Among all Newton polygons of Shimura Lie σ-crystals $(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi)$ with $g \in G(W(k))$, there is one $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\mathcal{P})$ which is the smallest; let B_G be the set of $g \in G(W(k))$ such that the Newton polygon of $(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi)$ is $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\mathcal{P})$.

c) $A_G = B_G = C_G$, where C_G is the set of $g \in G(W(k))$ such that $(M, g\varphi)$ has the property that there is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal $(M, F^1_g, g\varphi, G)$ for which $W_0(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi)$ is contained in the parabolic Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ formed by elements taking F^1_g into itself (i.e. having the property that there is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal $(M, F^1_g, g\varphi, G)$ whose attached Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal $\big(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi, F^0_g(\mathfrak{g}), F^1_g(\mathfrak{g})\big)$ is of parabolic type in the sense of def. 2.2.12 a)).

d) If $g \in C_G$, then there is a unique lift F^1_g of $(M, g\varphi, G)$ as in c).

e) Any Shimura σ-crystal can be deformed (using specific Shimura filtered F-crystals) so that it is the specialization of a G-ordinary σ_{k_1}-crystal over a (suitable) perfect field k_1 containing k.

3.1.4. Proposition. *For any* G*-ordinary* σ*-crystal* $(M, \tilde{\varphi}, G)$*, there is a unique cocharacter* $\tilde{\mu} : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ *producing a direct sum decomposition* $M = \tilde{F}^1 \oplus \tilde{F}^0$ *(with* $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ *acting through* $\tilde{\mu}$ *on* $\tilde{F}^i$ *as the multiplication with* β^{-i}*,* $i = \overline{0, 1}$*) such that* $(M, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\varphi}, G)$ *is a* G*-canonical lift and all elements of* $W_0(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\varphi})$ *(resp. of* $W^0(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\varphi})$*) take* $\tilde{F}^1$ *(resp.* $\tilde{F}^0$*) into itself.*

For a first proof of this Proposition see 3.11.1-2 below. A second proof of it can be obtained by just copying the proof of a) of 4.4.1 3) below (where we work in the context of Shimura varieties of Hodge type) and so, for making §4 as little dependent on §3 as possible, it is reproduced only there.

3.1.5. Exercise. The cocharacter $\tilde{\mu}$ of 3.1.4, when viewed as a cocharacter of $GL(M)$, is the canonical split of $(M, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\varphi})$.

Solution. We can assume $k = \bar{k}$. From 3.11.1 a) or c) below we deduce that we can assume G is a torus (cf. also Fact of 2.2.22 1)). So Exercise follows from the functoriality of canonical splits (to be compared with 2.2.1.2) and from Corollary of 2.2.9 3).

A second solution can be obtained using the proof of b) of 4.4.1 3) below. Warning: 3.1.4-5 are not referred before 4.5, so no vicious circle is created (the terminology of 3.1.6 below is used in 3.11.2 just to introduce some extra terminology in 3.11.3-4 and so in 4.3.8.3). Also 3.1.5 can be deduced from 3.1.4, using just the functorial aspect of canonical split cocharacters (cf. 3.1.1.2 and the Claim of 2.2.3 3)).

The uniqueness part of 3.1.4 implies:

3.1.5.1. Corollary. *$\tilde{\mu}$ is fixed by any automorphism of $(M, \tilde{\varphi}, G)$.*

3.1.6. Definition. The cocharacter $\tilde{\mu}$ of 3.1.4 is called the canonical split (cocharacter) of $(M, \tilde{\varphi}, G)$ (or of $(M, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\varphi}, G)$, cf. [Wi]).

3.1.7. Remark. The whole of 3.1.0-6 (as well as the greatest part –i.e. the part which does not mention p-divisible groups or Shimura varieties– of this chapter §3) remains valid in the context of generalized Shimura p-divisible objects over k. See 3.15.6 and Appendix for reformulation of some of the results in this extended context; one exception: 3.4-5 below are organized in such a way that this generalized context is handled simultaneously with the context of (M, φ, G). The extension of 3.2.1.1, 3.2.2, 3.2.3, 3.2.8, 3.3.1-3 below to generalized Shimura p-divisible objects over k is trivial. But this is not quite so for the deformation theory of 3.6: occasionally the deformation theory in the generalized Shimura context requires some additional arguments (see 3.6.1.6, 3.6.18.7.1 c), 3.6.18.7.3 C and 3.15.6 below).

From 3.1.0 d) and 2.2.9 9) we get (cf. 3.1.1.1):

3.1.8. Corollary. *Any p-divisible group D over a perfect field $\tilde{k}$ of characteristic $p > 2$ is the specialization of an ordinary p-divisible group.*

3.1.8.1. Comment. 3.1.8, for the case when D has a quasi-polarization over a field extension of $\tilde{k}$ was first obtained in [NO]. For the case when the a-number of D is at most 1 (resp. when the Newton polygon of D has only one slope) see [Oo2] (resp. see [dJO, 5.15]). The last three loc. cit. handle the case $p = 2$ as well. The general case, including the case $p = 2$, though considered to be well known, it is untraceable in the literature. It can be easily deduced from [Fa2, th. 10] through a simple algebraization process (see 3.6.18.4.1 below for another form of it), based on an elementary analysis of Hasse–Witt invariants. This goes as follows.

We can assume we are in a context involving Shimura $\sigma_{\tilde{k}}$-crystals, cf. 2.2.9 9). So we use the previous notations of the beginning paragraph of §3, with $G = GL(M)$ and $\tilde{k} = k$, but with $p \geq 2$. If $p = 2$ we need to choose F^1 such that (M, F^1, φ) is associated to a 2-divisible group over $W(k)$. Writing $GL(M) = \mathrm{Spec}(R_{GL}) = \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[x_{11}, ..., x_{d_M d_M}][\frac{1}{\mathrm{DET}}]$, with DET as the determinant of the matrix of whose entries are the variables x_{ij}'s, we choose a Frobenius lift of $R_{GL}^{\wedge}$ taking x_{ij} into x_{ij}^p if $i \neq j$, and taking $x_{ii} - 1$ into $(x_{ii} - 1)^p$, $\forall i, j \in S(1, d_M)$.

We work with the Frobenius endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} R_{GL}^{\wedge}$ defined by $\mathrm{gl}(\varphi \otimes 1)$, with gl as the universal element of $GL(M)(R_{GL}^{\wedge})$. Let $g \in GL(M)(W(k))$ be such that $g\varphi(F^1) = pF^1$. So the multiplicity of the slope 0 (resp. 1) for $(M, g\varphi)$ is $\dim_{W(k)}(M/F^1)$ (resp. is $\dim_{W(k)}(F^1)$). But gl mod p specializes to g mod p. So the Hasse–Witt invariant of $\mathrm{gl}(\varphi \otimes 1)$ over the generic point of the completion of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_{GL})$ in its origin is as well $\dim_{W(k)}(M/F^1)$. So, based on the construction of 2.2.10 (involving a Shimura filtered F-crystal $(M, F^1, \varphi, GL(M), \tilde{f})$), the conclusion follows from 2.2.21 UP.

The same proof works to show that any principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group over $\tilde{k}$ is the specialization of a principally quasi-polarized, ordinary p-divisible group.

3.2. The outline of the proof of 3.1.0. As the proof of 3.1.0 involves a couple of pages, we start outlying its main features.

3.2.1. Lemma. *If (N, φ_i), $i = \overline{1,2}$, are two σ-crystals over k such that $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi_1^m = h_m \varphi_2^m$, with $h_m \in GL(N)(W(k))$, then they have the same Newton polygon. Moreover, $\forall \alpha \in [0, \infty)$ we have $W_\alpha(N, \varphi_1) = W_\alpha(N, \varphi_2)$.*

Proof: The condition $\varphi_1^m = h_m \varphi_2^m$, with $h_m \in GL(N)(W(k))$, implies that the Hodge numbers of the σ^m-crystals (N, φ_1^m) and (N, φ_2^m) are the same. But the Newton polygon of a σ-crystal is determined by the Hodge numbers of its iterates [Ka2, 1.4.4]. This takes care of the first part.

To see the second part we can assume $k = \bar{k}$. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that φ_i^r acts diagonally w.r.t. a $B(k)$-basis $\{e_1^i, ..., e_n^i\}$ of $N \otimes_{W(k)} B(k)$ formed by elements of $N \setminus pN$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$; here $n := \dim_{W(k)}(N)$. Let $i_N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be such that, $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}$, $p^{i_N} N$ is contained in $< e_1^i, ..., e_n^i >$. We assume that if $l, s \in S(1, n)$, with $l < s$, then $\varphi_i^r(e_l^i) = p^{n_l^i} e_l^i$ and $\varphi_i^r(e_s^i) = p^{n_s^i} e_s^i$, with n_l^i and n_s^i non-negative integers such that $n_l^i \geq n_s^i$. Let $\mathcal{SL}$ be the finite set of slopes of (N, φ_1) or of (N, φ_2). Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{SL}$. Let $W_i(\alpha) := W_\alpha(N, \varphi_i)$. We use mathematical induction on the decreasing values of elements of $\mathcal{SL}$ to show that $W_1(\alpha) = W_2(\alpha)$.

We assume that $\forall \beta \in \mathcal{SL}$, with $\beta > \alpha$, we have $W_1(\beta) = W_2(\beta)$. We need to show $W_1(\alpha) = W_2(\alpha)$. Let $t \in S(0, n)$ be such that $\{e_1^i, ..., e_t^i\}$ is a $B(k)$-basis of $W_i(\beta_0)$, with β_0 as the smallest element of $\mathcal{SL} \cap (\alpha, \infty)$ ($t = 0$ iff this last intersection is the empty set). Let $s \in S(1, n)$ be such that $\{e_1^i, ..., e_s^i\}$ is a $B(k)$-basis of $W_i(\alpha)$, $i = \overline{1,2}$; we have $s > t$. For $q \in \{t + 1, ..., s\}$ we write

$$e_q^1 = \sum_{l=1}^{n} c_{l,q} e_l^2,$$

with $c_{l,q} \in B(k)$. We apply the hypothesis with m running through all positive multiples of r; so let $m = rm_0$, with $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. We get

$$(HYP) \qquad p^{m_0 n^1_q} h_m^{-1}(e^1_q) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sigma^m(c_{l,q}) p^{m_0 n^2_l} e^2_l.$$

So $p^{i_M}\sigma(c_{l,q})p^{m_0(n^2_l - n^1_q)} \in W(k)$, $\forall l \in S(1,n)$. Taking $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough (we need $m_0 - i_M$ to be greater than the greatest p-adic valuation of all these coefficients $c_{l,q}$), we get: if $c_{l,q} \neq 0$, then $n^2_l \geq n^1_q$. But

$$\frac{n^2_u}{r} < \alpha = \frac{n^1_{t+1}}{r} = \frac{n^1_s}{r},$$

if $u \in S(s+1,n)$. So $c_{l,q} = 0$ for $l \in S(s+1,n)$. We deduce $e^1_q \in W_2(\alpha)$. We conclude $W_1(\alpha) \subset W_2(\alpha)$. By reasons of dimensions we get $W_1(\alpha) = W_1(\alpha)$. This proves the Lemma.

3.2.1.1. Corollary. *If* (N, φ_i, G_N), $i = \overline{1,2}$, *are two Shimura* σ*-crystals such that* $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi_1^m = h_m \varphi_2^m$, *with* $h_m \in G_N(W(k))$, *then,* $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$, $W_\alpha(\mathrm{Lie}(G_N), \varphi_i)$, *does not depend on* $i \in \{1,2\}$*; so the Newton polygon of* $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_N), \varphi_i)$, *does not depend on* $i \in \{1,2\}$.

Proof: We just need to apply 3.2.1 to the σ-crystals $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_N), p\varphi_i)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$.

3.2.2. The trivial situation. We come back to the Shimura filtered σ-crystal (M, F^1, φ, G) considered in the beginning of §3. For the meaning of $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$ and $F^1(\mathfrak{g})$ see 2.2.8 1). The following assertions are (obviously) equivalent:

a) $F^1(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$;
b) $F^0(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}$;
c) the cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ factors through the center of G;
d) the $G(W(k))$-conjugacy $[\mu]$ of μ has a unique representative $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$.

If these four assertions are true (for instance, this is so if G is a torus), then for any $g \in G(W(k))$, $\varphi \circ g = g_1 \circ \varphi$, with $g_1 \in G(W(k))$. By induction, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $(g \circ \varphi)^m = g_m \circ \varphi^m$, with $g_m \in G(W(k))$. So in this case, 3.1.0 is a direct consequence of 3.2.1 and 3.2.1.1.

3.2.2.1. Convention. In all that follows till the end of §3, we assume the assertions a) to d) of 3.2.2 are not true; the only exceptions: in 3.11.1 and 3.11.2 A to C we do not assume this. So $(G, [\mu])$ is a Shimura group pair over $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ (cf. def. 2.2.5). However, we point out that all results of 3.6, 3.11 and 3.14 below are valid without this assumption (for G a torus, these results are either trivial or are not of any real use).

3.2.3. A special example. Let P be the parabolic subgroup of G having $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$ as its Lie algebra. As G is quasi-split, it has a Borel subgroup B. We can assume B is a subgroup of P (cf. [Bo2, 21.12] applied to $G_{B(k)}$ and the Fact of 2.2.3 3)). Let

T be a maximal torus of B. It contains a maximal split torus of G. As any two such maximal split tori are $G(W(k))$-conjugate (following the proof of Fact 1 of 2.2.9 3), this is a consequence of [Bo2, 15.14]), we can assume μ factors through T; so μ can be also viewed as a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to T$.

Let $\mathfrak{t}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{b}$) be the Lie algebra of T (resp. of B). We have inclusions $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{b} \subset F^0(\mathfrak{g})$, and $F^1(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{b}$. We get $\varphi(\mathfrak{t}) \subset \varphi(\mathfrak{b}) \subset \mathfrak{g}$. As $\mathfrak{t}/p\mathfrak{t}$ does not intersect $F^1(\mathfrak{g})/pF^1(\mathfrak{g})$, $\varphi(\mathfrak{t})$ is a direct summand of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let T_0 be a maximal torus of G having $\varphi(\mathfrak{t})$ as its Lie algebra. The existence of T_0 as a maximal torus of G can be checked as follows. A regular element of $\mathfrak{t}[\frac{1}{p}]$ is mapped through φ into a regular element of $\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}]$. We deduce the existence of a maximal torus of $G_{B(k)}$ having $\varphi(\mathfrak{t})[\frac{1}{p}]$ as its Lie algebra; we define T_0 as its Zariski closure in G. If it is a torus of G, then its Lie algebra is automatically $\varphi(\mathfrak{t})$, as $\varphi(\mathfrak{t})$ is a direct summand of $\mathfrak{g}$. But to verify that indeed T_0 is a torus of G we can assume $k = \bar{k}$. As μ factors through T, it is appropriate to use 2.2.9 8). Writing $\varphi = a \circ \mu(\frac{1}{p})$, a becomes a σ-linear automorphism of M and so of $\mathcal{T}(M)$. We have $\varphi(\mathfrak{t}) = a(\mathfrak{t})$. As $a(t_\alpha) = t_\alpha$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, a induces a σ-linear Lie automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ as well. a takes the Lie algebra of a torus of $GL(M)$ into the Lie algebra of a torus of $GL(M)$ (easy argument at the level of projectors of M onto direct summands of it of rank 1). So (cf. also the part of [Va2, 4.3.9] involving tori) T_0 is a torus of G.

As φ is a σ-linear automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}]$, there is a Borel subgroup of $G_{B(k)}$ having $\varphi(\mathfrak{b})[\frac{1}{p}]$ as its Lie algebra. Its Zariski closure in G is (cf. the Fact of 2.2.3 3)) a Borel subgroup B_0 of G. $\mathrm{Lie}(B_0)$ contains $\varphi(\mathfrak{b})$. We recall that any two Borel subgroups of G are $G(W(k))$-conjugate: this can be deduced from [Bo2, 15.14] (applied to G_k) and Fact 1 of 2.2.9 3). So there is an element $g_0 \in G(W(k))$ such $g_0 B_0 g_0^{-1} = B$. Let $T_1 := g_0 T_0 g_0^{-1} \subset B$. Let k_1 be an algebraic field extension of k such that G_k splits over k_1; we usually take k_1 to be $\bar{k}$ or a finite field extension of k. So T and T_1 split over $W(k_1)$. So similarly, we deduce the existence of $g_1 \in B(W(k_1))$ such that $g_1 T_{1W(k_1)} g_1^{-1} = T_{W(k_1)}$.

Let $\varphi_0 := g_0\varphi$ and let τ_0 be the formal isogeny type of the σ-crystal (M, φ_0). We consider the following Shimura σ_{k_1}-crystal $\big(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), \varphi_1, G_{W(k_1)}\big)$ defined by

$$\varphi_1 := g_1(\varphi_0 \otimes 1).$$

We have $\varphi_0(\mathfrak{b}) \subset \mathfrak{b}$ and $\varphi_0(\mathfrak{b}[\frac{1}{p}]) = \mathfrak{b}[\frac{1}{p}]$. Similarly, we have

$$\varphi_1(\mathfrak{b} \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)) \subset \mathfrak{b} \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)$$

and

$$\varphi_1(\mathfrak{t} \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)) = \mathfrak{t} \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1).$$

3.2.4. Implications. Theorem 3.1.0 is a direct consequence of the results 3.2.5-8 below and of the specialization theorem:

– 3.2.6 and 3.2.7 b) imply 3.1.3 b) and that $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\tau)$ is the Newton polygon of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_0)$;

– 3.2.6 implies 3.1.3 a) and e) and that $\mathcal{P}$ is the Newton polygon attached to τ_0;

– 3.2.5 implies (via the above interpretation of $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\tau)$) $B_G \subset A_G$;

– 3.2.7 b) implies $A_G \subset C_G \cap B_G$;

– 3.2.7 c) implies $C_G \subset A_G$ (so we get $A_G = B_G = C_G$, i.e. we get 3.1.3 c));

– 3.2.8 implies 3.1.3 d).

In these implications, in order to benefit from the deformation aspect of 3.2.6, we used implicitly 3.2.5-7 for other perfect fields containing k.

3.2.5. Claim. *Among all Shimura Lie σ-crystals $(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi)$ with $g \in G(W(k))$, there is no one whose Newton polygon is strictly below the Newton polygon of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_0)$. Moreover, any σ-crystal $(M, g\varphi)$, with $g \in G(W(k))$, having the property that $(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_0)$ have the same Newton polygon, has the formal isogeny type τ_0.*

3.2.6. Lemma. *For any $g \in G(W(k))$ there is a Shimura filtered F-crystal $(M, F^1, g\varphi, G, \tilde{f})$ such that the resulting F-crystal over the algebraic closure of the field of fractions of the generic point of the special fibre of the completion of G in its origin, has the formal isogeny type τ_0.*

3.2.7. Proposition. a) $\mathfrak{p}_0 := W_0(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_0)$ *is contained in* $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$.

b) *The Shimura σ-crystals $(M, g_2\varphi, G)$ (with $g_2 \in G(W(k))$) having τ_0 as the formal isogeny type are precisely the ones which, up to isomorphisms defined by elements of $G(W(k))$, can be extended to Shimura filtered σ-crystals of the form $(M, F^1, \tilde{p}\varphi_0, G)$, with $\tilde{p}$ a $W(k)$-valued point of the parabolic subgroup P_0 of G satisfying Lie$(P_0) = \mathfrak{p}_0$. For any $\tilde{p} \in P_0(W(k))$, $W_0(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{p}\varphi_0)$ is $\mathfrak{p}_0$ (and so it is included in $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$), and $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_0)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{p}\varphi_0)$ have the same Newton polygon.*

c) *Any Shimura filtered σ-crystal (M, F^1_2, φ_2, G) with the property that $W_0(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_2)$ is contained in $F^0_2(\mathfrak{g})$, is such that (M, φ_2) has the formal isogeny type τ_0.*

3.2.8. The uniqueness part. The uniqueness of the filtration mentioned in 3.1.0, results from the fact that any two such filtrations are conjugate by an element of $G(W(k))$ (cf. Fact 2 of 2.2.9 3)) and from the fact that two $G(B(k))$-conjugate parabolic subgroups of $G_{B(k)}$, containing the same parabolic subgroup, are the same (cf. [Bo2, 14.22 (iii)]).

3.2.9. Warning. We present two proofs of 3.2.5-7. The first one ends in 3.4.14 (via 3.5); from many points of view it is just the generalization of the last two paragraphs of 3.1.8.1. The second one ends in 3.7.1-5 for 3.2.6-7 and (cf. 3.3) in 3.4.13 for 3.2.5; it relies on 3.6.0-8.

3.2.10. An extra set. Let D_G be the set of $g \in G(W(k))$ such that

$$(FORM) \qquad g\varphi_0 = g_3 p_0 \varphi_0 g_3^{-1},$$

with $p_0 \in P_0(W(k))$ and with $g_3 \in G(W(k))$ normalizing F^1/pF^1. Let $D^1_G \subset G(W(k_1))$ be defined similarly but with φ_0 replaced by φ_1.

3.3. About the proof of 3.2.5. The statement of 3.2.5 is such that we can replace k by a finite field extension k_1 of it. So by a suitable passage from $W(k)$ to $W(k_1)$, with k_1 as mentioned, we can assume G is split. As G is split, we can choose T in 3.2.3 to be split, and so T_0 and T_1 are as well split. So we can take $g_1 \in B(W(k))$ to define φ_1 as in 3.2.3. We recall that any Borel subgroup of G is its own normalizer in G.

The claim 3.2.5 is a direct consequence of the following 4 items.

3.3.1. There is $b \in B(W(k))$ such that $\varphi_0 = b\varphi_1$: we can take $b = g_1^{-1}$. Even more, $\forall b_1 \in B(W(k))$ we have $\varphi_1 b_1 = b_1'\varphi_1$, with $b_1' \in B(W(k))$. Argument: as $\varphi_0(\mathfrak{b}[\frac{1}{p}]) = \varphi_1(\mathfrak{b}[\frac{1}{p}]) = \mathfrak{b}[\frac{1}{p}]$, b_1 normalizes $\mathfrak{b}[\frac{1}{p}]$ and so $b_1 \in B(B(k)) \cap G(W(k)) = B(W(k))$. So by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get: $\varphi_0^n = b(n)\varphi_1^n$, for some $b(n) \in B(W(k))$.

3.3.2. We use freely the Fact of 2.2.11.1 and of 2.2.3 3). B is a subgroup of P_1, where P_1 is the parabolic subgroup of G defined by the equality $\text{Lie}(P_1) = W_0(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_1)$. Argument: as $\mathfrak{b} \subset F^0(\mathfrak{g})$, we have $\varphi_1(\mathfrak{b}) \subset \mathfrak{b}$; so $(\mathfrak{b}, \varphi_1)$ is a σ-crystal having only non-negative slopes and so $\mathfrak{b} \subset W_0(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_1)$.

Moreover, from 3.2.1.1 and 3.3.1 we get $\text{Lie}(P_1) = \mathfrak{p}_0$. So $P_1 = P_0$.

3.3.3. 3.3.1 implies that $g_1 \in D_G$ and that D_G^1 is the right translation of D_G by $b_1^{-1} = g_1$. Let $g_2 \in G(W(k))$. The Newton polygon of $(\mathfrak{g}, g_2\varphi)$ is strictly below or equal to the one of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_0)$ iff $g_2 \in D_G$. Warning: this holds without the assumption G is split. The first (resp. second) assertion is proved in 3.4.11 (resp. in 3.4.13).

3.3.4. If $g \in D_G$, then $(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi_0)$ (resp. $(M, g\varphi_0)$) has the same Newton polygon as $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_0)$ (resp. as (M, φ_0)). Argument: we can assume that in 3.2.10, g_3 is the identity; then, as in 3.3.1, we have $(p_0\varphi_0)^n = p_{n-1}\varphi_1^n$, with $p_{n-1} \in P_0(W(k)) = P_1(W(k))$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, and so 3.2.1 and 3.2.1.1 apply. Here we can replace φ_0 and D_G respectively by φ_1 and D_G^1, cf. 3.3.3.

3.4. Split Shimura Lie σ-crystals. This section and the next one (3.5) are the very heart of the proof of 3.1.0. As a secondary goal of it, we introduce some notations and language to be consistently used in the rest of this paper and in the subsequent ones. Warning: not all cases to be considered below in 3.4-5 can show up in our context of Shimura σ-crystals; we have in mind the so called special cases: cases e6) and e7) of 3.4.3.2, as well as the case d) of 3.4.3.2 with the set A to be introduced in 3.5.1 having three elements or having two elements which via outer automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ are not identifiable (cf. [Sa, p. 458-60] and its obvious passage from $\mathbb{R}$ to an arbitrary field of characteristic 0; see also [De2, 1.3.9-10] or [Se2, §3]). However, all such cases do show up in the generalized Shimura context. So, for the sake of completeness and of future references, in 3.4-5 we treat these cases as well, without any extra comment. The only two modifications needed to be made in the whole of 3.4-5 in order to accommodate this generalized Shimura context:

– the element $\bar{h}$ of 3.4.0 has to be modified accordingly;

– the g_3 elements of 3.2.10 (this is in connection to 3.4.11), 3.4.9 and 3.4.13 have to be modified accordingly (see the part of 2.2.14.2 referring to a generalized Shimura context).

So, the reader interested just in the context of Shimura p-divisible groups (i.e. in what we considered till now in 3.2-3), can entirely ignore these cases.

We refer to the situation of the beginning of 3.3: G and T are split and $g_1 \in G(W(k))$. We have a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}] = \mathfrak{z}[\frac{1}{p}] \bigoplus \oplus_{i\in I}\mathfrak{g}_i[\frac{1}{p}]$$

of Lie algebras over $B(k)$. Here $\mathfrak{z}$ is the Lie algebra of the maximal subtorus $Z(G)^0$ of $Z(G)$, while $\mathfrak{g}_i$ is the Lie algebra of a semisimple subgroup G_i of G, such that

$$G^{\mathrm{ad}} = \prod_{i\in I} G_i^{\mathrm{ad}}$$

(cf. [Ti1, p. 46] applied over k and logically lifted to $W(k)$), with G_i^{ad} an absolutely simple, split, adjoint group over $W(k)$, $\forall i \in I$. It is defined by a Lie monomorphism

$$i_G : \mathfrak{z} \oplus \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathfrak{g}_i \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

which becomes an isomorphism by inverting p. i_G is not always surjective; as we assumed $p \geq 3$, the failure of surjectivity can happen only when $p = 3$ and there are factors G_i of E_6 Lie type or when p is arbitrary and there are factors G_i of A_ℓ Lie type, with p dividing $\ell + 1$.

Moreover, we have a natural isogeny

$$Z(G)^0 \prod \prod_{i\in I} G_i \to G$$

which at the level of Lie algebras defines i_G.

3.4.0. Notations. φ_1 normalizes $\mathfrak{z}$ and permutes the factors $\mathfrak{g}_i[\frac{1}{p}]$, $i \in I$, producing a permutation γ of I. We write

$$\gamma = \prod_{s\in\mathcal{J}_0} \gamma_s$$

as a product of disjoint cyclic permutations γ_s. Warning: trivial permutations are allowed, i.e. each $i \in I$ fixed by γ defines an element $s_i \in \mathcal{J}_0$ such that γ_{s_i} is the trivial permutation of I and so of $\{i\}$; for such an i, we still say γ_{s_i} permutes cyclically the subset $\{i\}$ of I. As $\mathfrak{z} \subset F^0(\mathfrak{g})$, the σ-crystal $(\mathfrak{z}, \varphi_1)$ has all slopes 0. We start working with just one cycle γ_0 of γ (so we consider that $0 \in \mathcal{J}_0$) permuting cyclically a subset I_0

of I. We can assume that $I_0 = S(1,n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and that γ_0 is the permutation: $i \to i+1$ (with $n+1=1$). Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 := \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} \mathfrak{g}_i.$$

We fix an element $g_2 \in G(W(k))$; let

$$\varphi_2 := g_2 \circ \varphi_1.$$

Let $\bar{h} : M \to M$ be the endomorphism defined by: $\bar{h}(x) = 0$ if $x \in F^0$ and $\bar{h}(x) = x$ if $x \in F^1$. We recall that the cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ (of the beginning of §3) produces a direct sum decomposition $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$, with $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acting through μ on F^i as the multiplication with β^{-i}, $i = \overline{0,1}$; so

$$(HBAR) \qquad \bar{h} \in d\mu(\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_m)) \subset \mathrm{Lie}(T) = \mathfrak{t} \subset \mathrm{End}(M).$$

Let $\bar{h}_i$ be the component of $\bar{h}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_i\bigl[\frac{1}{p}\bigr]$ (corresponding to the direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}] = \mathfrak{z}[\frac{1}{p}] \bigoplus \oplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{g}_i[\frac{1}{p}]$). If $\bar{h}_i = 0$ then $\mathfrak{g}_i \subset F^0(\mathfrak{g})$. If $\bar{h}_i \neq 0$ then $\bar{h}_i$ is a semisimple element of $\mathfrak{g}_i\bigl[\frac{1}{p}\bigr]$. When viewed as an endomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}_i[\frac{1}{p}]$ (via $z_i \in \mathfrak{g}_i$ is mapped into $[\bar{h}_i, z_i]$), its eigenvalues are precisely -1, 0 and 1.

We have $F^1(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigl\{x \in \mathfrak{g} \bigm| [\bar{h}, x] = x\bigr\}$; so

$$F^1(\mathfrak{g}_i) := F^1(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i = \bigl\{x \in \mathfrak{g}_i \bigm| [\bar{h}_i, x] = x\bigr\}.$$

Similarly, $F^0(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigl\{x \in \mathfrak{g} \bigm| [\bar{h}, x] = 0 \text{ or } x\bigr\}$ and so

$$F^0(\mathfrak{g}_i) := F^0(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i = \bigl\{x \in \mathfrak{g}_i \bigm| [\bar{h}_i, x] = 0 \text{ or } x\bigr\}.$$

Let $F^j(\mathfrak{g}_0) := \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} F^j(\mathfrak{g}_i)$, $j = \overline{0,1}$. We get:

3.4.1. Lemma. *The quadruple $\bigl(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_j, F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0), F^1(\mathfrak{g}_0)\bigr)$ is a Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal, $j = \overline{1,2}$.*

Proof: As μ is a cocharacter over $W(k)$, we have $F^s(\mathfrak{g}_i)/pF^s(\mathfrak{g}_i) = \mathfrak{g}_i/p\mathfrak{g}_i \cap F^s(\mathrm{End}(M))/pF^s(\mathrm{End}(M))$, $\forall s \in \{0,1\}$. So $\varphi_j\bigl(\frac{1}{p}F^1(\mathfrak{g}_i) + F^0(\mathfrak{g}_i) + p\mathfrak{g}_i\bigr)$ is a direct summand of $\mathfrak{g}$ of rank $\dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{g}_i) = \dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{g}_{i+1})$; it is included in $\mathfrak{g}_{i+1}\bigl[\frac{1}{p}\bigr]$ and so it is $\mathfrak{g}_{i+1}$ ($\forall i \in I_0$ and $\forall j \in \{1,2\}$). So $\bigl(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_j, F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0), F^1(\mathfrak{g}_0)\bigr)$ is a Lie p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(W(k))$. It is a Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal, as the cocharacter of $\prod_{i \in I_0} G_i^{\mathrm{ad}}$, obtained by composing μ with the natural epimorphism $G \twoheadrightarrow \prod_{i \in I_0} G_i^{\mathrm{ad}}$, shows that the conditions of 2.2.11 1) are satisfied. This ends the proof.

3.4.1.1. Remark. We can work equally well 3.4.1 (as well as all that follows) with $\mathrm{Lie}\bigl(\sum_{i \in I_0} G_i\bigr)$ (we have $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathrm{Lie}(\sum_{i \in I_0} G_i) \subset \mathfrak{g}_0[\frac{1}{p}]$) or with $\mathrm{Lie}\bigl((\sum_{i \in I_0} G_i)^{\mathrm{ad}}\bigr) = \oplus_{i \in I_0} \mathrm{Lie}(G_i^{\mathrm{ad}})$ instead of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ (cf. 2.2.13); here $\sum_{i \in I_0} G_i$ is the semisimple subgroup of G generated by all G_i's, $i \in I_0$.

3.4.1.2. The non-trivial context assumption. We assume $F^1(\mathfrak{g}_0) \neq 0$; otherwise there is nothing to be done (to be compared with 3.2.2): the Newton polygons of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ and of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_2)$ have only one slope 0 and so are the same.

3.4.1.3. A convenient context. Let $\tilde{G}_0$ be the subgroup of G generated by the torus T and by the semisimple subgroups G_i, $i \in I_0$. So $\tilde{G}_0^{\mathrm{ad}} = \prod_{i \in I_0} G_i^{\mathrm{ad}}$. In the same way we got i_G, we get that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is (identifiable with) a Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_0)$. As the cocharacter μ of 3.2.3 can be viewed as well as a cocharacter of $\tilde{G}_0$ (still denoted by μ), we get (cf. also 2.2.9 1) and 1')) that the triple $(M, \varphi_1, \tilde{G}_0)$ is a potentially Shimura σ-crystal. Moreover, we get a Shimura group pair $(\tilde{G}_0, [\mu])$ over $W(k)$.

3.4.2. Slopes (w.r.t. I_0). Let $\mathfrak{H}_2$ (resp. $\mathfrak{H}_1$) be the set of slopes of the isocrystal $\big(\mathfrak{g}_0[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi_2\big)$ (resp. of the isocrystal $\big(\mathfrak{g}_0[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi_1\big)$). The sets $\mathfrak{H}_2$ and $\mathfrak{H}_1$ are subsets of the real interval $[-1, 1]$. We have slope type direct sum decompositions

$$\mathfrak{g}_0[\frac{1}{p}] = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_j} g_j(\alpha),$$

with $g_j(\alpha) := W(\alpha)(\mathfrak{g}_0[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi_j)$, $j = \overline{1,2}$ (cf. 2.2.3 3)). As φ_1^n and φ_2^n normalize any one of $\mathfrak{g}_i[\frac{1}{p}]$, $i \in I_0$, we get:

$$g_j(\alpha) = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} g_j(\alpha) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i\big[\frac{1}{p}\big], \tag{3.4.2.1}$$

$\forall j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{H}_j$. As φ_j maps $g_j(\alpha) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i[\frac{1}{p}]$ bijectively onto $g_j(\alpha) \cap \mathfrak{g}_{i+1}[\frac{1}{p}]$, we have:

3.4.2.2. Fact. $\forall j \in \{1, 2\}$, *the dimension of* $g_j(\alpha) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i[\frac{1}{p}]$ *does not depend on* $i \in I_0$.

3.4.3. Roots. Let $\mathfrak{t}_i$ (resp. $\mathfrak{b}_i$) be the component of $\mathfrak{t}$ (resp. of $\mathfrak{b}$) in $\mathfrak{g}_i$; so $\mathfrak{t}_i := \mathfrak{g}_i \cap \mathfrak{t}\big[\frac{1}{p}\big]$ (resp. $\mathfrak{b}_i := \mathfrak{g}_i \cap \mathfrak{b}\big[\frac{1}{p}\big]$). Let Δ_i be the base of roots defined by the action (via inner conjugation) on $\mathfrak{g}_i$ of the image $T_{i\mathrm{ad}}$ in G_i^{ad} of the maximal torus T_i of G_i having $\mathfrak{t}_i$ as its Lie algebra, corresponding to the Borel Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_i$ of $\mathfrak{g}_i$. Let Φ_i be the set of roots of $\mathfrak{g}_i$ relative to the torus $T_{i\mathrm{ad}}$. So Φ_i is a finite set of characters of $T_{i\mathrm{ad}}$. Then Φ_i^+ (the set of positive roots) and Φ_i^- (the set of negative roots) are defined w.r.t. Δ_i. So $\Delta_i \subset \Phi_i^+$. Here $i \in I$.

3.4.3.0. Weyl's decompositions. We assume here $k = \bar{k}$. Let

$$\mathfrak{z} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{t}_i \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{i \in I \\ \alpha \in \Phi_i}} \tilde{g}_i(\alpha) \subset \mathfrak{g}$$

be the Weyl direct sum decomposition of $\mathrm{Lie}(Z(G)^0 \times \times_{i \in I} G_i)$ associated to the natural action (via inner conjugation) of the image $\prod_{i \in I} T_{i\mathrm{ad}}$ of the maximal torus T of G in

G^{ad}. As $\varphi_1(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathfrak{t}$, φ_1 permutes the $B(k)$-vector subspaces $\tilde{g}_i(\alpha)[\frac{1}{p}]$ of $\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}]$. We get a permutation γ_Φ of the disjoint union $\cup_{i\in I}\Phi_i$. We have

$$\varphi_1\big(\tilde{g}_i(\alpha)\big) = p^{s_i(\alpha)}\tilde{g}_{\gamma(i)}\big(\gamma_\Phi(\alpha)\big), \tag{1}$$

where $s_i(\alpha) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ is such that the cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to T$ has the property that $\mu(\beta)$ acts on elements of $\tilde{g}_i(\alpha)$ as the multiplication with $\beta^{-s_i(\alpha)}$, $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$. As γ_Φ takes $\cup_{i\in I}\Phi_i^+$ onto $\cup_{i\in I}\Phi_i^+$ and $\cup_{i\in I}\Phi_i^-$ onto $\cup_{i\in I}\Phi_i^-$, and as $s_i(\alpha) \le 0$ (resp. $s_i(\alpha) \ge 0$) if $\alpha \in \Phi_i^-$ (resp. if $\alpha \in \Phi_i^+$) (these two facts are a consequence of end of 3.2.3), we deduce that $\mathrm{Lie}(P_1) \subset F^0(\mathfrak{g})$. So, forgetting the Lie structure, the pair $(\mathrm{Lie}(P_1), \varphi_1)$ is a σ-crystal.

3.4.3.1. The number e (w.r.t. I_0). φ_1^n normalizes $\mathfrak{g}_1[\frac{1}{p}]$, $\mathfrak{b}_1$ and $\mathfrak{t}_1$ and so it permutes the 1-dimensional $B(k)$-vector subspaces of $\mathfrak{b}_1[\frac{1}{p}]$ normalized by $\mathfrak{t}_1[\frac{1}{p}]$; let π_1 be the resulting permutation of Δ_1. Let e be the order of π_1. It is very convenient to work simultaneously with the Shimura Lie σ-crystal

$$(\mathfrak{g}_0^e, \varphi_j^{(e)}),$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_0^e := \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0$ (e summands) and $\varphi_j^{(e)}$ is the σ-linear automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}_0^e[\frac{1}{p}]$ acting like φ_j on any direct summand $\mathfrak{g}_i$, $i \in I_0$, of a $\mathfrak{g}_0$ copy of $\mathfrak{g}_0^e$, but sending the $\mathfrak{g}_n$ direct summand of the s-th $\mathfrak{g}_0$ copy to the $\mathfrak{g}_1$ direct summand of the $(s+1)$-th $\mathfrak{g}_0$ copy, $\forall s \in \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$. The proof of the fact that the pair $(\mathfrak{g}_0^e, \varphi_j^{(e)})$ is a Shimura Lie σ-crystal is the same as of 3.4.1. The set of slopes of $(\mathfrak{g}_0^e, \varphi_j^{(e)})$ is the set of slopes of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_j)$, all multiplicities being e-times more, $j = \overline{1,2}$.

The permutation of Δ_1 defined by $(\varphi_1^{(e)})^{ne}$ is the trivial one.

e can be 1, 2 or 3. It can be 3 only in the case when $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is of D_4 Lie type; it can be to 2 only when $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is of A_ℓ ($\ell \ge 2$), D_ℓ ($\ell \ge 4$) or E_6 Lie type (and then π_1 is a usual involution of Δ_1; outside of the D_4 Lie type we can replace here "a" by the). All these are a consequence of the structure of the group of automorphisms of the Dynkin diagram of Φ_1: it is trivial or isomorphic to S_3 or to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. The value of e does not depend on the choice of $i \in I_0$ used to define it (above we chose $1 \in I_0$, i.e. we worked with Δ_1).

Let $\tilde{I}_0 := S(1, en)$. We like to think of $\mathfrak{g}_0^e$ as a direct sum $\oplus_{i\in\tilde{I}_0}\mathfrak{g}_i$. Here for $s \in S(1, e-1)$ and $i \in I_0$, $\mathfrak{g}_{i+sn} := \mathfrak{g}_i$; similarly $\mathfrak{t}_{i+sn} := \mathfrak{t}_i$, $\Delta_{i+sn} := \Delta_i$, $\mathfrak{b}_{i+sn} := \mathfrak{b}_i$ and $\bar{h}_{i+sn} := (\varphi_1^{(e)})^{sn}(\bar{h}_i) \in \mathfrak{g}_{i+sn}[\frac{1}{p}]$.

3.4.3.2. Simple roots (w.r.t. I_0). Let ℓ be the rank of $\mathfrak{g}_1$. For $i \in \tilde{I}_0$, let $\alpha_1(i), \ldots, \alpha_\ell(i)$ be the simple roots of Δ_i, numbered in such a way that

– $\varphi_1^{(e)}$ sends the (1 dimensional) $B(k)$-vector subspace of $\mathfrak{g}_i[\frac{1}{p}]$ corresponding to $\alpha_s(i)$ (i.e. on which $T_{i\mathrm{ad}}$ acts via its character defining $\alpha_s(i)$), $s \in S(1, \ell)$, to the (1 dimensional) $B(k)$-vector subspace of $\mathfrak{g}_{i+1}[\frac{1}{p}]$ corresponding to $\alpha_s(i+1)$ (with $en + 1 = 1$), and

– the numbering for $i = 1$ is the one from [Bou2, planche I-VI].

The maximal root of Δ_1 (dropping the lateral right index (1) from now on) depends on the Lie type of $\mathfrak{g}_1$. It is (cf. loc. cit.):

a) $\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\cdots+\alpha_\ell$ if $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is of A_ℓ Lie type ($\ell\geq 2$);

b) $\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\cdots+2\alpha_\ell$ if $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is of B_ℓ Lie type ($\ell\geq 1$);

c) $2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\cdots+2\alpha_{\ell-1}+\alpha_\ell$ if $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is of C_ℓ Lie type ($\ell\geq 2$);

d) $\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\cdots+2\alpha_{\ell-2}+\alpha_{\ell-1}+\alpha_\ell$ if $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is of D_ℓ Lie type ($\ell\geq 4$);

e6) $\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3+3\alpha_4+2\alpha_5+\alpha_6$ if $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is of E_6 Lie type;

e7) $2\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+4\alpha_4+3\alpha_5+2\alpha_6+\alpha_7$ if $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is of E_7 Lie type.

$\mathfrak{g}_1$ is one of these Lie types, cf. 2.2.7 and 3.4.1.2-3. We usually prefer to consider $B_\ell(\ell\geq 1)$ and $A_\ell(\ell\geq 2)$, i.e. we prefer to consider the Lie type B_1 instead of A_1; but we are not bothered if we are dealing with B_2 or with C_2. In what follows, depending on the Lie type of $\mathfrak{g}_1$, we refer to case a), to case b), etc.

3.4.3.3. Possibilities for $\bar{h}_1$. Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_1=\mathfrak{t}_1\oplus\bigoplus_{\beta\in\Phi_1}\mathfrak{g}_\beta$$

be the Weyl direct sum decomposition associated to the action of the maximal split torus $T_{1\mathrm{ad}}$ of G_1^{ad} on $\mathfrak{g}_1$ defined by inner conjugation. So $T_{1\mathrm{ad}}$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$ through the character defining the root β.

In cases b), c) and e7), $\bar{h}_1\in\mathfrak{t}_1[\frac{1}{p}]$ is either 0 or is defined as follows:

– in case b), $\bar{h}_1$ acts as zero on $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_s}$, $s\in S(2,\ell)$, and as identity on $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1}$;

– in case c), $\bar{h}_1$ acts as zero on $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_s}$, $s\in S(1,\ell-1)$, and as identity on $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_\ell}$;

– in case e7), $\bar{h}_1$ acts as zero on $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_s}$, $s\in S(1,6)$, and as identity on $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_7}$.

In case a), $\bar{h}_1\in\mathfrak{t}_1[\frac{1}{p}]$ is either 0 or there is $s_1\in S(1,\ell)$ such that it acts as zero on $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_s}$, $s\in S(1,\ell)\setminus\{s_1\}$, and as identity on $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_{s_1}}$ (we have ℓ non-zero possibilities for $\bar{h}_1$).

In case d), $\bar{h}_1\in\mathfrak{t}_1[\frac{1}{p}]$ is either 0 or there is $s_1\in\{1,\ell-1,\ell\}$ such that it acts as zero on $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_s}$, $s\in S(1,\ell)\setminus\{s_1\}$, and as identity on $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_{s_1}}$ (we have 3 non-zero possibilities for $\bar{h}_1$).

In case e6), $\bar{h}_1\in\mathfrak{t}_1[\frac{1}{p}]$ is either 0 or there is $s_1\in\{1,6\}$ such that it acts as zero on $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_s}$, $s\in S(1,6)\setminus\{s_1\}$, and as identity on $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_{s_1}}$ (we have 2 non-zero possibilities for $\bar{h}_1$).

3.4.3.4. The ε's (w.r.t. I_0). For $i\in\tilde{I}_0$, we define: $\varepsilon_i=0$ if $\bar{h}_i=0$ and $\varepsilon_i=s$ if $\bar{h}_i$ acts as identity on the rank 1 direct summand of $\mathfrak{g}_i$ corresponding to the root $\alpha_s(i)$; here $s\in S(1,\ell)$. So, fixing i, ε_i can take more than 1 non-zero value only if we are in the cases a), d) or e6).

The assumption $F^1(\mathfrak{g}_0)\neq 0$ implies that the set

$$I_1:=\{i\in I_0\mid\varepsilon_i\neq 0\}$$

is not empty. Let

$$\tilde{I}_1 := \{i \in \tilde{I}_0 \mid \varepsilon_i \neq 0\}.$$

As $I_0 \subset \tilde{I}_0$, we have $I_1 \subset \tilde{I}_1$.

3.4.4. The smallest slope δ (w.r.t. I_0). We have:

Fact. *The sets $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ are subsets of the interval $\left[-\frac{|I_1|}{n}, \frac{|I_1|}{n}\right]$; they are symmetric w.r.t. its mid-point 0. Moreover, the smallest element of $\mathcal{H}_1$ is*

$$(MIN) \qquad \delta := -\frac{1}{n}\,|I_1| < 0.$$

Proof: The first part is trivial, cf. the definition of I_1 and 2.2.3 1). For the second part, we just have to point out that $\mathfrak{g}_{-\beta}$, with $\beta \in \Phi_1^+$ as the maximal root w.r.t. the base Δ_1, is included in $g_1(\delta)$.

3.4.5. Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt shifts and maps (w.r.t. I_0). Let $j \in \{1,2\}$. Let $\Psi_j^0 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathfrak{g}_0$ be the σ-linear map defined by: if $x \in \mathfrak{g}_i$, then

i) $\Psi_j^0(x) := \varphi_j(x)$ if $\varepsilon_i = 0$;

ii) $\Psi_j^0(x) := p\varphi_j(x)$ if $\varepsilon_i \neq 0$.

Taking everything mod p, we get σ-linear maps

$$\bar{\psi}_j^0 : \mathfrak{g}_0/p\mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathfrak{g}_0/p\mathfrak{g}_0.$$

We have $\Psi_2^0 = g_2\Psi_1^0$ and $\bar{\psi}_2^0 = g_2\bar{\psi}_0^0$.

Denoting $\tilde{F}^{l+1}(\mathfrak{g}_i) := F^l(\mathfrak{g}_i)$ if $\varepsilon_i \neq 0$ and $\tilde{F}^{l+1}(\mathfrak{g}_i) := \{0\}$ if $\varepsilon_i = 0$, $l \in \{1,2\}$, the quadruple

$$\big(\mathfrak{g}_0, \oplus_{i\in I_0}\tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g}_i), \oplus_{i\in I_0}\tilde{F}^2(\mathfrak{g}_i), \Psi_j^0\big)$$

is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,2]}(W(k))$; warning: Ψ_j^0 does not preserve the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{g}_0$, cf. 3.4.1.2. We call it (resp. just the pair $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \Psi_j^0)$) the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt shift of $\big(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_j, F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0), F^1(\mathfrak{g}_0)\big)$ (resp. of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_j)$). We also refer to $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \Psi_j^0)$ as the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt shift of (M, φ_j, G) corresponding to I_0, and to Ψ_j^0 as a Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt shift. Similarly, we refer to $\bar{\psi}_j^0$ as the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt map of $\big(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_j, F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0), F^1(\mathfrak{g}_0)\big)$ or of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_j)$, or as the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt map of (M, φ_j, G) w.r.t. I_0, or just as a Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt map.

We can assume (in accordance to A of 2.2.22 3)) that $\varepsilon_1 \neq 0$. Let

$$N_2 := g_2(\delta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1.$$

For any $i \in \tilde{I}_1$, let $\mathfrak{p}_{\varepsilon_i}$ be the parabolic Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ containing $\mathfrak{b}_1$ and such that its nilpotent radical $\mathfrak{s}_{\varepsilon_i}$ is the $W(k)$-submodule of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ generated by all $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$'s, with $\beta \in \Phi_1^+$

having $+\alpha_{\varepsilon_i}$ in its expression. Let $\mathfrak{q}_{\varepsilon_i}$ be the parabolic Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_1$, opposite to $\mathfrak{p}_{\varepsilon_i}$ (w.r.t. $\mathfrak{t}_i$ and Δ_i) and let $\mathfrak{r}_{\varepsilon_i}$ be its nilpotent radical. The multiplicity

$$m_\delta := \dim_{B(k)} g_1(\delta)$$

of the slope δ for $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is (cf. the choice of numberings in 3.4.3.2) n times the rank of the intersection

$$\bigcap_{i\in\tilde{I}_1} \mathfrak{r}_{\varepsilon_i}.$$

The multiplicity $\dim_{B(k)} g_2(\delta)$ of the slope δ for $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_2)$ is n times the rank of N_2 (cf. 3.4.2.2). We denote by $\mathfrak{p}_{\varepsilon_i}(i)$, $\mathfrak{q}_{\varepsilon_i}(i)$, $\mathfrak{r}_{\varepsilon_i}(i)$ and respectively $\mathfrak{s}_{\varepsilon_i}(i)$ the intersection of $\mathfrak{g}_i$ with $\varphi_1^{i-1}\big(\mathfrak{p}_{\varepsilon_i}[\frac{1}{p}]\big)$, $\varphi_1^{i-1}\big(\mathfrak{q}_{\varepsilon_i}[\frac{1}{p}]\big)$, $\varphi_1^{i-1}\big(\mathfrak{r}_{\varepsilon_i}[\frac{1}{p}]\big)$ and respectively with $\varphi_1^{i-1}\big(\mathfrak{s}_{\varepsilon_i}[\frac{1}{p}]\big)$, $i \in \tilde{I}_1$. So $\mathfrak{p}_{\varepsilon_i}(i) = F^0(\mathfrak{g}_i)$, $\forall i \in I_1$; in particular, $\mathfrak{p}_{\varepsilon_i}(1) = \mathfrak{p}_{\varepsilon_i}$.

3.4.5.1. On multiplicities of δ. The pair $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \Psi_2^0)$ is a σ-crystal. We have $W^0(\mathfrak{g}_0, \Psi_2^0) = g_2(\delta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $W_{-2\delta}(\mathfrak{g}_0, \Psi_2^0) = g_2(-\delta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$. We get:

Fact. $\big(g_2(-\delta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1\big) \otimes_{W(k)} k \subset \mathfrak{s}_{\varepsilon_1}$.

Proof: We can assume $k = \bar{k}$. Let $L := \Psi_2^0$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be a multiple of en such that

$$s := -2m\delta \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We consider the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule $M_2(s)$ of $g_2(-\delta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$ generated by elements on which L^m acts as scalar multiplication with p^s. Its rank is $\dim_{W(k)}(g_2(-\delta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1)$. Let $N_2(s)$ be the $W(k)$-submodule of $g_2(-\delta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$ generated by $M_2(s)$. We can assume $L^m(g_2(-\delta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1) \subset g_2(-\delta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$.

It is easy to see (based on 3.4.1 and the definition of ε_i's) that for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \setminus p\mathfrak{g}_1$, $L^m(x) \notin p^{s+1}\mathfrak{g}_1$; moreover, if $L^m(x) \in p^s\mathfrak{g}_1$, then x mod p belongs to $\mathfrak{s}_{\varepsilon_1}$ (if m is big enough we also get that $x \in g_2(-\delta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$). In particular, all Hodge numbers of the σ^m-linear endomorphism L^m of $g_2(-\delta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$ are at most s. As the length of $g_2(-\delta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1/L^m(g_2(-\delta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1)$ and of $N_2(s)/L^m(N_2(s))$ as $W(k)$-modules are the same, we get that all these Hodge numbers must be s and so the Fact follows.

A. Corollary. *We have* $N_2(s) = g_2(-\delta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$.

B. As $L^m(N_2) \subset N_2$ and the slopes of (N_2, L^m) are all 0, as in the above proof we get $L^m(N_2) = N_2$. So, if $k = \bar{k}$, N_2 is generated by elements fixed by L^m. On the other hand, it is well known that N_2/pN_2 is $\cap_{q\in\mathbb{N}}\tilde{L}^q(\mathfrak{g}_1/p\mathfrak{g}_1)$, where $\tilde{L}$ is the truncation mod p of the restriction of L^m to $\mathfrak{g}_1$.

3.4.6. Theorem. *If $\delta \in \mathcal{H}_2$, then the multiplicity of the slope δ of the Shimura Lie σ-crystal $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_2)$ is less or equal to m_δ. If it is m_δ, then $\forall i \in I_1$, we have*

$$\textbf{(SUB)} \qquad \Big(\Big(\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{H}_2\cap[0,1]} g_2(\alpha)\Big) \cap \mathfrak{g}_i\Big) \otimes_{W(k)} k \subset \mathfrak{p}_{\varepsilon_i}(i) \otimes_{W(k)} k.$$

3.4.7. Corollary. *The fact that this multiplicity is m_δ or not depends only on the expression of g_2 mod p.*

Before proving 3.4.6, we first mention some of its immediate implications. Not to complicate the notations by introducing extra indices, in 3.4.8-12 below we allow g_2 to vary as needed.

3.4.8. Proposition. *The Newton polygon of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is not strictly above the Newton polygon of any other Shimura Lie σ-crystal of the form $(\mathfrak{g}_0, g_2\varphi_1)$, with $g_2 \in \tilde{G}_0(W(k))$.*

Proof: We assume 3.4.8 is false; let $g_2 \in \tilde{G}_0(W(k))$ for which 3.4.8 is not true. As $\delta \in \mathcal{H}_1$, from 3.4.4 and 3.4.6 we deduce that the multiplicity of the slope $\delta \in \mathcal{H}_2$ for $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_2)$ is also m_δ.

Let P_2 be the parabolic subgroup of $\tilde{G}_0$ whose Lie algebra is $W_0(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_0), \varphi_2)$. $p\varphi_2$ takes $\mathrm{Lie}(P_2)$ into itself. (SUB) implies that P_{2k} is included in P^0_k (cf. also the Fact of 2.2.11.1); here P^0 is the parabolic subgroup of $\tilde{G}_0$ having $F^0(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_0)$ as its Lie algebra. We deduce the existence of an element $g_3 \in \mathrm{Ker}\big(\tilde{G}_0(W(k)) \to \tilde{G}_0(k)\big)$ such that $P_3 := g_3^{-1}P_2g_3$ is a subgroup of P^0 (to be compared with Fact 1 of 2.2.9 3)). As 3.4.8 is a statement involving Newton polygons, by replacing φ_1 by $\tilde{a}\varphi_1\tilde{a}^{-1}$, with $\tilde{a} \in P^0(W(k))$, we can assume $\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_0)$ is included in $\mathrm{Lie}(P_3)$.

Let $\varphi_3 := g_3^{-1}\varphi_2 g_3$. We can write $\varphi_3 = g_4\varphi_1$, with $g_4 \in \tilde{G}_0(W(k))$. $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_3)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_2)$ have the same Newton polygon. Let B_0 be the Borel subgroup of $\tilde{G}_0$ whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_0)$. We have $B_0 \subset P^0$, cf. 3.2.3. Repeating the argument of 3.2.3, we deduce the existence of an element $g_5 \in P_3(W(k))$ such that $\varphi_4 = g_5\varphi_3$ takes $\mathfrak{t}$ onto $\mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(B_0)$ into $\mathrm{Lie}(B_0)$. For $s \in \mathbb{N}$ we get $\varphi_4^s = p_{3,s}\varphi_3^s$, with $p_{3,s} \in P_3(W(k))$ and $p_{3,1} = g_5$; also $\varphi_4^s = b(s)\varphi_1^s$, with $b(s) \in B_0(W(k))$ and $b(1) = g_5g_4$ (all these are as in 3.3.1 and 3.3.4; the fact that in 3.4.1.3 we are just in a potentially context does not represent an obstruction, as we can see moving to $\bar{k}$). This implies $\mathrm{Lie}(P_3) = \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_0 \cap P_1)$, cf. 3.2.1.1; so $P_3 = P_{10} := \tilde{G}_0 \cap P_1$. This implies: $(\mathfrak{g}_0, g_2\varphi_1)$ has a lift of parabolic type.

From 3.2.1 and 3.2.1.1 we also get that $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_2)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ have the same Newton polygon. Contradiction. This ends the proof of the Proposition.

3.4.9. Corollary. *If $g_2 \in \tilde{G}_0(W(k))$ is such that the Shimura Lie σ-crystals $(\mathfrak{g}_0, g_2\varphi_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ have the same Newton polygon, then $(M, g_2\varphi_1)$ and (M, φ_1) have the same Newton polygon and $g_2\varphi_1$ is of the form $g_3p_1\varphi_1g_3^{-1}$, with $p_1 \in P_{10}(W(k))$ (P_{10} is as in the proof of 3.4.8) and with $g_3 \in \tilde{G}_0(W(k))$ such that mod p it normalizes F^1/pF^1.*

3.4.10. Corollary. *If $h_0 \in \tilde{G}_0(W(k))$, then the multiplicity of the slope δ for $(\mathfrak{g}_0, h_0\varphi_1)$ is less or equal to m_δ. If we have equality, then $(\mathfrak{g}_0, h_0\varphi_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ have the same Newton polygon.*

3.4.9-10 are consequences of 3.4.6 and of the proof of 3.4.8. 3.4.11-12 below result from 3.4.6-10, by working with all cyclic permutations γ_s, $s \in \mathcal{J}_0$, at once (i.e. we work with the direct sum of σ-linear maps $\oplus_{s\in\mathcal{J}_0}\Psi_j^s$, where Ψ_j^s is defined as Ψ_j^0 of 3.4.5 but for an arbitrary $s \in \mathcal{J}_0$).

3.4.11. Corollary. *If* $g_2 \in G(W(k))$ *is such that the Shimura Lie* σ*-crystals* $(\mathfrak{g}, g_2\varphi_1)$ *and* $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_1)$ *have the same Newton polygon, then the* σ*-crystals* $(M, g_2\varphi_1)$ *and* (M, φ_1) *have the same Newton polygon and* $g_2 \in D^1_G$ *(see 3.2.10). Moreover the fact that* $(\mathfrak{g}, g_2\varphi_1)$ *has the same Newton polygon as* $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_1)$ *depends only on the expression of* g_2 *mod* p*.*

3.4.12. Corollary. *The Newton polygon of the Shimura Lie* σ*-crystal* $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_1)$ *is not strictly above the Newton polygon of any other Shimura Lie* σ*-crystal of the form* $(\mathfrak{g}, g_2\varphi_1)$*, with* $g_2 \in G(W(k))$*.*

3.4.13. Remark. 3.4.11-12 remain true if we replace φ_1 and D^1_G by φ_0 and respectively by D_G and we do not assume G is split (assumption made at the beginning of 3.4). This is an easy consequence of 3.3.1, of the translation part of 3.3.3 and of the fact that two parabolic subgroups of G which over $W(\bar{k})$ are $G(W(\bar{k}))$-conjugate are in fact $G(W(k))$-conjugate (this fact results from [Bo2, 20.9] applied over $B(k)$ and Iwasawa's decomposition of [Ti2, 3.3.2]). So if $g_2 \in G(W(k))$ is such that $g_2(\varphi \otimes 1) = g_3 p_0(\varphi_0 \otimes 1) g_3^{-1}$, with $p_0 \in P_0(W(\bar{k}))$ and $g_3 \in G(W(\bar{k}))$ normalizing $F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \bar{k}$, as every parabolic subgroup is its own normalizer, we get that we can assume $g_3 \in G(W(k))$; this implies $p_0 \in G(W(k)) \cap P_0(W(\bar{k})) = P_0(W(k))$. This proves 3.3.3 and ends the proof of 3.2.5.

3.4.14. Exercise. Using just the ideas and results of 3.1.8.1 and 3.4.6-13 prove the whole of 3.1.0 (i.e. end the first proof of 3.2.6-7).

This Exercise ends (cf. 3.2.4) the first proof of 3.1.0. For a solution of it, which can be read out at any time, see 3.7.6.

3.5. The proof of 3.4.6. With the notations of 3.4.4-5 it is enough to show that

$$\dim_{W(k)}(N_2) \leq \dim_{W(k)}\Big(\bigcap_{i \in \tilde{I}_1} \mathfrak{r}_{\varepsilon_i}\Big) = \frac{m_\delta}{n}, \tag{0}$$

and, if equality holds, then (SUB) is true, with $i = 1$.

For $i \in \tilde{I}_0$, let $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_i := \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes_{W(k)} k$. For $i \in \tilde{I}_1$, let Π_{ε_i} be the projector of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ of whose kernel is $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{\varepsilon_i} := \mathfrak{p}_{\varepsilon_i} \otimes_{W(k)} k$ and of whose image is $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_{\varepsilon_i} := \mathfrak{r}_{\varepsilon_i} \otimes_{W(k)} k$; also we define $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_{\varepsilon_i} := \mathfrak{s}_{\varepsilon_i} \otimes_{W(k)} k$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{\varepsilon_i} := \mathfrak{q}_{\varepsilon_i} \otimes_{W(k)} k$.

Following the image of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ under the s-th iterate of $\bar{\psi}^0_2$, and identifying $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_i$ with $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_i \otimes_k k$ (the homomorphism $k \to k$ being the Frobenius automorphism σ) with $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ (this is allowed as we are dealing here just with images), we come across k-vector spaces of the form

$$^{h_s}\Pi_{\varepsilon_{i_s}}\big(^{h_{s-1}}\Pi_{\varepsilon_{i_{s-1}}}\big(\cdots\big(^{h_2}\Pi_{\varepsilon_{i_2}}(^{h_1}\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_{\varepsilon_1})\cdots\big)\big)\big), \tag{1}$$

where $h_1, \ldots, h_s \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}_1(k)$. Here and in what follows the left upper index ^{h_l}, $l = \overline{1, s}$, means inner conjugation by h_l: it is used for conjugating groups as well as Lie subalgebras or elements of $\mathfrak{g}_1$; except for elements we do not use parentheses, i.e. we write $^{h_1}\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_{\varepsilon_1}$ instead of $^{h_1}(\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_{\varepsilon_1})$, etc. Also, in what follows, to simplify the presentation, we consider $k = \bar{k}$: the statements of 3.4.6 are such that this is allowed. So the homomorphism $G_1(k) \to G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}(k)$ is surjective; accordingly, we always assume $h_1, \ldots, h_s \in G_1(k)$.

3.5.0. Computation of $N_2 \otimes_{W(k)} k$. Taking $s \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough and a multiple of $\left|\tilde{I}_1\right|$, the k-vector space of (1) becomes nothing else but $N_2 \otimes_{W(k)} k$ (cf. 3.4.5.1 B). We allow such an s to vary. We first prove (0), i.e. that the dimension of the k-vector spaces of (1) computing $\dim_k(N_2 \otimes_{W(k)} k)$ is less or equal to $\dim_{W(k)}(\bigcap_{i\in\tilde{I}_1} \mathfrak{r}_{\varepsilon_i})$.

3.5.1. The set A. Let

$$A := \{\varepsilon_i | i \in \tilde{I}_1\}.$$

So $\cap_{i\in\tilde{I}_1}\mathfrak{r}_{\varepsilon_i} = \cap_{a\in A}\mathfrak{r}_a$. The set A can have more than 1 element only when $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is in case a), d) or e6). In case d) A has at most 3 elements. In case e6) A has at most 2 elements. In case a) A can have even more than 3 elements but in this case a), regardless of the value $|A|$ we have

$$\bigcap_{a\in A} \mathfrak{r}_a = \mathfrak{r}_{a_0} \cap \mathfrak{r}_{a_1},$$

with a_0 (resp. a_1) as the smallest (resp. as the greatest) element of A. This is so because any positive root containing α_{a_0} and α_{a_1} in its expression as sums of elements of Δ_1, automatically contains α_{a_2} in its expression, for any $a_2 \in S(a_0, a_1)$ (see [Bou2, planche I]).

3.5.2. Notations. Let $\bar{G}_1 := G_{1k}$, $\bar{T}_1 := T_{1k}$ and $\bar{T}_{1\mathrm{ad}} := T_{1\mathrm{ad}k}$ (see 3.4.3). We call an element $w \in \bar{G}_1(k)$ normalizing $\bar{T}_1$, a Weyl element. For any $\varepsilon_i \in A$, let $\bar{Q}_{\varepsilon_i}$ (resp. $\bar{P}_{\varepsilon_i}$) be the parabolic subgroup of $\bar{G}_1$ having $\bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{\varepsilon_i}$ (resp. $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{\varepsilon_i}$) as its Lie algebra. It contains $\bar{T}_1$ as it can be checked immediately starting from the Fact of 2.2.11.1.

3.5.3. The first properties. We study closer a k-linear endomorphism of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ of the form $\Pi_a\, {}^g\Pi_b$, with $a, b \in A$. We have the following main property:

$$\mathrm{Im}(\Pi_a\, {}^g\Pi_b) = \mathrm{Im}({}^{\tilde{g}}\Pi_a\, {}^{\tilde{w}}\Pi_b), \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{g} \in \bar{G}_1(k) \quad \text{and with} \quad \tilde{w} \quad \text{a Weyl element.} \tag{2}$$

We also have the following inversion property:

$$\Pi_a\, {}^w\Pi_b = {}^w\Pi_b\, {}^w\Pi_a{}^{w^{-1}}, \tag{3}$$

for any Weyl element $w \in \bar{G}_1(k)$.

For any $g \in \bar{G}_1(k)$ we have the following elimination property

$$\mathrm{Im}(\Pi_a\, {}^g\Pi_a) \subset \mathrm{Im}(\Pi_a). \tag{4}$$

Proofs: The elimination property is trivial. The inversion property can be checked easily, using Weyl's decomposition of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ w.r.t. $\bar{T}_{1\mathrm{ad}}$. The proof of (2) is more involved: it needs some preliminaries.

Let $w_0 \in \bar{G}_1(k)$ be a Weyl element that takes Φ_1^+ into Φ_1^- (i.e. which takes the Borel subgroup of $\bar{G}_1$ having $\mathfrak{b}_1 \otimes_{W(k)} k$ as its Lie algebra into its opposite $\bar{B}_1^{\mathrm{opp}}$ w.r.t. $\bar{T}_1$). Let $\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(a)}$ be the projector of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ of whose kernel is $w_0^{-1}(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a)$ and of whose image is $w_0^{-1}(\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_a)$. So we have

$$\Pi_a = {}^{w_0}\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(a)}{}^{w_0^{-1}}.$$

The Bruhat decomposition of $\bar{G}_1$ (see [Bo2, 14.12]) allows us to write $w_0^{-1}g = b_a w q_b$, with $q_b \in \bar{Q}_b(k)$, with $w \in \bar{G}_1(k)$ a Weyl element and with $b_a \in \bar{B}_1^{\mathrm{opp}}(k)$. $\mathrm{Lie}(\bar{B}_1^{\mathrm{opp}})$ is included in the kernel of $\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(a)}$. As $\bar{B}_1^{\mathrm{opp}}$ is the semidirect product of $\bar{T}_1$ and of its unipotent radical, using [Bo2, 14.5 (2)] applied to this unipotent radical (and $\bar{T}_1$), we can write

$$b_a = b_a^1 b_a^2$$

where $b_a^1 \in \bar{B}_1^{\mathrm{opp}}(k)$ normalizes the image of $\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(a)}$ and b_a^2 is a k-valued point of the smooth, connected, unipotent subgroup of $\bar{B}_1^{\mathrm{opp}}$ generated by $\mathbb{G}_a$ subgroups normalized by $\bar{T}_1$ and whose Lie algebra is the opposite of the image of $\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(a)}$ w.r.t. the action of $\bar{T}_{1\mathrm{ad}}$. Obviously

$$\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(a)}{}^{b_a^1} = {}^{b_a^1}\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(a)}.$$

Also we have

$$\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(a)}{}^{b_a^2} = \Pi_{w_0^{-1}(a)}.$$

In other words, for any $x_a \in w_0^{-1}(\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_a)$, we have

$$(5) \qquad {}^{b_a^2}(x_a) = x_a + y_a,$$

where $y_a \in w_0^{-1}(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a)$. To check this, we can assume (cf. loc. cit.) that b_a^2 is a k-valued point of a mentioned $\mathbb{G}_a$ subgroup as well as $x_a \in \mathfrak{b}_1 \otimes_{W(k)} k$ is normalized by $\bar{T}_1$. So formula (5) is an immediate consequence of the formulas of [BT, 4.2]. Besides loc. cit., we just need to remark that this formula (5) is trivial if $\bar{G}_1$ is of A_1 Lie type; the reason we have to remark this is: loc. cit. deals only with pairs of roots whose sum is non-zero.

We conclude

$$\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(a)}{}^{b_a} = {}^{b_a^1}\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(a)}.$$

To compute the image of $\Pi_a{}^{g}\Pi_b$, we can assume q_b is the identity elements. We have:

$$\mathrm{Im}(\Pi_a{}^{g}\Pi_b) = \mathrm{Im}({}^{w_0}\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(a)}{}^{w_0^{-1}g}\Pi_b) = \mathrm{Im}({}^{w_0}\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(a)}{}^{b_a w}\Pi_b) = \mathrm{Im}({}^{w_0 b_a^1 w_0^{-1} w_0}\Pi_{w_0^{-1}(a)}{}^{w}\Pi_b).$$

But this last Im can be rewritten as $\mathrm{Im}({}^{w_0 b_a^1 w_0^{-1}}\Pi_a{}^{w_0 w}\Pi_b)$. So we can take $\tilde{w} := w_0 w$ and $\tilde{g} := w_0 b_a^1 w_0^{-1}$. This proves (2).

3.5.3.1. The proof of inequality (0). We are now ready to prove, in four steps, the first statement of 3.4.6 (i.e. to prove 3.5 (0)). We can assume that any two consecutive ε_{i_j} and $\varepsilon_{i_{j+1}}$ of 3.5 (1) are distinct (cf 3.5.3 (4)).

1) If $|A| = 1$, we have nothing to prove (i.e. 3.5 (0) is a consequence of the Fact of 3.4.5.1 and of 3.5.0).

2) If $|A| = 2$, then in any sequence ${}^{h_s}\Pi_{\varepsilon_{i_s}} \ldots {}^{h_1}\Pi_{\varepsilon_1}$ "computing" $N_2 \otimes_{W(k)} k$, we get a subsequence $\Pi_a\, {}^{g}\Pi_b$, with $A = \{a, b\}$ and $g \in \bar{G}_1(k)$. So, we get that $\dim_{W(k)}(N_2) \leq \dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{r}_a \cap \mathfrak{r}_b)$, provided the inequality

$$\dim_k(\Pi_a({}^{g}\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b)) \leq \dim_k(\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_a \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b)$$

is checked. This last inequality can be proved in many ways. Using property (2), we are reduced to the case when g is a Weyl element and so

$$\dim_k(\Pi_a({}^{g}\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b)) = \dim_k(\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b) - \dim_k({}^{g}\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a) = \dim_k(\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b) - \dim_k(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a) + \dim_k({}^{g}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a)$$

(the second equality due to the fact that g is a Weyl element). This proves the first part of the following Claim:

Claim. *The dimension of* $\Pi_a({}^{g}\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b)$ *is maximal precisely when the dimension of the intersection* ${}^{g}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a$ *of parabolic Lie subalgebras of* $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ *is maximal, i.e. when the intersection* ${}^{g}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a$ *contains a Borel Lie subalgebra of* $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$*.*

Proof: The Fact of 2.2.11.2 points out that it is irrelevant if we work with inclusions between parabolic subgroups of $\bar{G}_1$ or between inclusions of their Lie algebras: this will be used freely in what follows. If the intersection ${}^{g}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a$ contains a Borel Lie subalgebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$, then this intersection has the same dimension as the intersection $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a$. Argument: we can assume $\mathrm{Lie}(\bar{B}_1) \subset^{g} \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a$ and so the statement follows from [Bo2, 14.22 (iii)]. So if $\dim_k({}^{g}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a) < \dim_k(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a)$, then ${}^{g}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a$ does not contain the Lie algebra of a Borel subgroup of $\bar{G}_1$. Based on this, the part of the Claim pertaining to "i.e." can be checked easily, case by case, starting from the fact that g is a Weyl element and from [Bou2, planche I, IV and V].

The case $A = \{1, \ell-1\}$, with $\mathfrak{g}_1$ of D_ℓ Lie type, is treated for the sake of convenience in the fourth paragraph of 4) below. The case e6) is left as an exercise: though in [Bou2, planche V] the structure of the Weyl group associated to the E_6 Lie type is not given, this exercise can be solved in the same manner as the two cases below, by working not in terms of vectors ε_i's (of loc. cit.) but directly in terms of roots; however see [Bou2, p. 219 and Exc. 2) of §4 of ch. VI] (also the case e6) can be checked immediately using computers). We are left with the following two cases.

Case 1: $\mathfrak{g}$ is of A_ℓ Lie type. So $A = \{i_0, i_1\}$ with $1 \leq i_0 < i_1 \leq \ell + 1$. We can assume $b = i_0$ and $a = i_1$, cf. 3.5.3 (3). For this paragraph we use the notations of [Bou2, planche I]: so ε_i's are not any more some integers in the set $S(0, \ell)$ but some vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{\ell+1}$. The roots $\beta \in \Phi_1^-$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_\beta \subset \mathfrak{r}_c$, with $c \in A$, are of the form $-\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_s$, with $i \leq c < s \leq \ell + 1$. We consider a Weyl element $h \in \bar{G}_1(k)$. By abuse of notation, we identify it with an element of the Weyl group of loc. cit.; so we identify it with a permutation h of the set $S(1, \ell + 1)$ via the formula $h(e_i) = e_{h(i)}$.

So the intersection ${}^{h}\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_{i_0} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_{i_1}$ has as many elements as the number of pairs (i, j) such that $i \leq i_0 < j$ and $h(i) \leq i_1 \leq h(j)$. Obviously the number of such pairs is of the form

$\tilde{i}_0(\ell+1-\tilde{i}_1)$, where $\tilde{i}_0 \in S(1,i_0)$ and $\tilde{i}_1 \in S(i_1,\ell+1)$, and so it is at most $i_0(\ell+1-i_1)$. The equality holds precisely when h maps the set $S(1,i_0)$ into the set $S(1,i_1)$ and maps a subset of the set $S(i_0+1,\ell+1)$ onto the set $S(i_1+1,\ell+1)$. But it is easy to see that any such permutation can be written as a product $h = h_1h_2$, where h_2 (resp. h_1) is the permutation of $S(1,\ell+1)$ leaving invariant each one of the sets $S(1,i_0)$ and $S(i_0+1,\ell+1)$ (resp. each one of the sets $S(1,i_1)$ and $S(i_1+1,\ell+1)$). As any Weyl element defining h_2 (resp. h_1) normalizes $\mathfrak{p}_{i_0}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{p}_{i_1}$), ${}^h\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{i_0} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{i_1}$ contains the Lie algebra of a Borel subgroup of $\bar{G}_1$ and we are through.

Case 2: $A = \{\ell-1,\ell\}$, with $\mathfrak{g}_1$ of D_ℓ Lie type. For this paragraph we use the notations of [Bou2, planche IV]. We have:

– the roots of Φ_1^- corresponding to the action (via inner conjugation) of $\bar{T}_{1\mathrm{ad}}$ on $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_{\ell-1}$ are either of the form $-\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_\ell$, with $1 \leq i < \ell$, or of the form $-(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j)$, with $1 \leq i < j < \ell$;

– the roots of Φ_1^- corresponding to the action (via inner conjugation) of $\bar{T}_{1\mathrm{ad}}$ on $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_\ell$ are of the form $-(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j)$, with $1 \leq i < j \leq \ell$.

We assume $b = \ell$. We consider a Weyl element $h \in \tilde{G}_1(k)$; we identify it with an element of the Weyl group W_{D_ℓ} of loc. cit. If h sends ε_ℓ into ε_ℓ, then the intersection ${}^h\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_a$ has at most $\frac{(\ell-2)(\ell-1)}{2}$ elements, with equality iff h leaves invariant the set $\{\varepsilon_1,...,\varepsilon_{\ell-1}\}$; in such a case h normalizes both $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_b$.

If h sends ε_ℓ into $-\varepsilon_\ell$, then the intersection ${}^h\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_a$ has $s+\frac{(s-1)s}{2}$ elements, where s is the number of elements $i \in S(1,\ell-1)$ such that $h(\varepsilon_i) \in \{\varepsilon_1,...,\varepsilon_{\ell-1}\}$; as $s \leq \ell-2$ (cf. the structure of W_{D_ℓ} as a semidirect product mentioned in loc. cit.), this number is less or equal to $\frac{(\ell-2)(\ell-1)}{2}$, with equality iff $s = \ell-2$. If $s = \ell-2$, let $h_2 \in W_{D_\ell}$ be the involution $(\varepsilon_\ell, \varepsilon_{i_0})$, where $i_0 \in S(1,\ell-1)$ is the unique element such that $h(\varepsilon_{i_0}) \in \{-\varepsilon_1,...,-\varepsilon_{\ell-1}\}$ (so $h(\varepsilon_{i_0}) = -\varepsilon_{i_0}$). We have: h_2 (resp. hh_2^{-1}) normalizes $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_b$ (resp. $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a$).

If h sends ε_ℓ into an element of the set $\{-\varepsilon_1,...,-\varepsilon_{\ell-1}\}$, then the intersection ${}^h\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_a$ has $s+\frac{s(s-1)}{2}$ elements, where s is the number of elements of the set $\{\varepsilon_1,...,\varepsilon_{\ell-1}\}$ mapped through h into this set. As $s \leq \ell-2$, this number is less or equal to $\frac{(\ell-2)(\ell-1)}{2}$. If $s = \ell-2$, then h normalizes $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a$. If h sends ε_ℓ into ε_j, with $j \in S(1,\ell-1)$, then the intersection ${}^h\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_a$ has $s+\frac{(s-1)s}{2}$ elements, where s is the number of $i \in S(1,\ell-1)$ such that $h(\varepsilon_i) \in \{\varepsilon_1,...,\varepsilon_{j-1},\varepsilon_{j+1},...,\varepsilon_{\ell-1}\}$; as $s \leq \ell-2$, this number is less or equal to $\frac{(\ell-2)(\ell-1)}{2}$, with equality iff $s = \ell-2$. If $s = \ell-2$, then h normalizes $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_b$.

So in all cases ${}^h\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a$ contains the Lie algebra of a Borel subgroup of $\bar{G}_1$ and we are through.

This proves the Claim.

From Claim and the first paragraph of its proof we get: $\dim_k({}^g\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a) \leq \dim_k(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_a)$.

3) If $|A| \geq 3$, then using repeatedly properties (2) and (3), we can bring together a short sequence of the form $\Pi_a\,{}^g\Pi_b$, if $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is in case a), with a the smallest element of A

and with b the greatest element of A, or of the form $\Pi_a\, {}^g\Pi_b\, {}^h\Pi_c$ if $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is in case d), with $A = \{a, b, c\}$. This proves 3.5 (0) if $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is in case a) (cf. 2)).

4) We assume we are in case d) with $|A| = 3$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_1$ be of D_ℓ Lie type ($\ell \geq 4$). So $A = \{1, \ell - 1, \ell\}$. Using property (2), we can assume $\Pi_a\, {}^g\Pi_b\, {}^h\Pi_c$ is such that h is a Weyl element. Due to the circular form of the expressions of 3.5 (1), we can assume $c = 1$ and $b = \ell - 1$. But in this case the dimension of the image of $\Pi_a\, {}^g\Pi_b\, {}^h\Pi_c$ is less or equal to

$$\ell - 2 = \dim_k(\bar{r}_a \cap \bar{r}_b \cap \bar{r}_c).$$

To check this, we use the notations of loc. cit. We have:

– *the roots of Φ_1^- corresponding to the action (via inner conjugation) of $\bar{T}_{1\mathrm{ad}}$ on $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_1$ are of the form $-\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_j$, with $2 \leq j \leq \ell$.*

So if the element h of the Weyl group of loc. cit. sends ε_1 into an element of the set $\{-\varepsilon_1, -\varepsilon_2, \ldots, -\varepsilon_{\ell-1}, \varepsilon_\ell\}$ then we have $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_{\ell-1} \cap {}^h\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_1 = \{0\}$ and we are done. If h sends ε_1 into an element $\varepsilon_{i_0} \in \{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots \varepsilon_{\ell-1}, -\varepsilon_\ell\}$, then $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_{\ell-1} \cap {}^h\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_1$ has dimension exactly $\ell - 1$. If $\dim_k(\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_{\ell-1} \cap {}^h\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_1) = \ell - 1$, then $h = h_1 h_2$ where h_1 is the transposition $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_{i_0})$ and where $h_2 := h_1^{-1} h$ fixes ε_1. As h_2 (resp. h_1) normalizes $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_1$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1$ (resp. $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_{\ell-1}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{\ell-1}$) the intersection $\bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{\ell-1} \cap {}^h\bar{\mathfrak{q}}_1$ contains a Borel subalgebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$.

The arguments of the proof of (2) show that we can assume g is a Weyl element as well. So we can apply the same arguments as in the proof of Case 2 of the Claim of 2), in order to conclude that $\dim_k\big(\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_\ell \cap {}^g(\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_{\ell-1} \cap {}^h\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_1)\big)$ is at most $\ell - 2$. Briefly, this goes as follows. We can assume h is the identity element. If g sends ε_1 into $\{-\varepsilon_1, ..., -\varepsilon_\ell\}$, then $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_\ell \cap {}^g(\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_{\ell-1} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_1) = \{0\}$. If g sends ε_1 into $\{\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_\ell\}$, then $\dim_k\big(\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_\ell \cap {}^g(\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_{\ell-1} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_1)\big)$ is equal to the number of elements of the set $\{-\varepsilon_2, ..., -\varepsilon_{\ell-1}, \varepsilon_\ell\}$ sent by g into the set $\{-\varepsilon_1, ..., -\varepsilon_{\ell-1}, -\varepsilon_\ell\}$. Based on the structure of the Weyl group W_{D_ℓ} we get that the number of such elements is at most $\ell - 2$. If it is $\ell - 2$, we distinguish two situations. If ε_1 is sent by g into ε_ℓ, then g normalizes $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_\ell$. If ε_1 is sent by g into ε_s, with $s \in S(1, \ell - 1)$, we write g as $g_1 g_2$, with g_2 as the Weyl element which leaves invariant each ε_i, $i \in S(1, \ell - 1) \setminus \{s_0\}$ and which sends ε_ℓ into the same element $-\varepsilon_{s_0}$ as g does. g_1 (resp. g_2) normalizes $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_\ell$ (resp. $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{\ell-1} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1$).

This ends the proof of 3.5 (0) and so of the first statement of 3.4.6.

3.5.4. The proof of (SUB). We assume now $\dim_{W(k)}(N_2) = \frac{m_\delta}{n}$. To prove (SUB) we first remark:

Fact. *The Lie subalgebra $\big(g_2(-\delta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1\big) \otimes_{W(k)} k$ of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ is of the form ${}^g\big(\cap_{a \in A} \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_a\big)$, with $g \in \bar{P}_{\varepsilon_1}(k)$, and so its normalizer in $\bar{G}_1$ is ${}^g\big(\bigcap_{a \in A} \bar{P}_a\big) \subset \bar{P}_{\varepsilon_1}$.*

Proof: We start pointing out: if the Lie subalgebra $\big(g_2(-\delta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1\big) \otimes_{W(k)} k$ of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ is of the form ${}^g\big(\cap_{a \in A} \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_a\big)$, with $g \in \bar{G}_1(k)$, and if its normalizer in $\bar{G}_1$ is ${}^g\big(\bigcap_{a \in A} \bar{P}_a\big)$, then automatically $g \in \bar{P}_{\varepsilon_1}(k)$. To see this, we first remark that $\big(g_2(-\delta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1\big) \otimes_{W(k)} k$ is included in $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_{\varepsilon_1} = F^1(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1)$ (cf. the Fact of 3.4.5.1) and so the Lie algebra $F^1(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1)$ being abelian is included in the normalizer of $\big(g_2(-\delta)\cap\mathfrak{g}_1\big)\otimes_{W(k)}k$ in $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$. Using Fact of 2.2.11.1 and [Bo2, 14.22 (i)] we get that ${}^g\big(\bigcap_{a\in A}\bar{P}_a\big)$ contains a Borel subgroup of $\bar{P}_{\varepsilon_1}$; using $\bar{P}_{\varepsilon_1}(k)$-conjugates, we can assume this Borel subgroup is also included in $\cap_{a\in A}\bar{P}_a$ and so [Bo2, 14.22 (iii)] implies $\cap_{a\in A}\bar{P}_a={}^g\big(\cap_{a\in A}\bar{P}_a\big)$. As any parabolic subgroup of $\bar{G}_1$ is its own normalizer, we conclude: $g\in\bar{P}_{\varepsilon_1}$. So we are left to check:

Claim. *The subspace* $\big(g_2(-\delta)\cap\mathfrak{g}_1\big)\otimes_{W(k)}k$ *of* $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ *is of the form* ${}^g\big(\cap_{a\in A}\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_a\big)$ *and its normalizer in* $\bar{G}_1$ *is* ${}^g\big(\bigcap_{a\in A}\bar{P}_a\big)$.

To prove this Claim we need some preliminaries. Let $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha:=\mathfrak{g}_\alpha\otimes_{W(k)}k$. We recall the following three well known properties:

a) $[\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha,\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{-\alpha}]$ *is a* 1 *dimensional* k*-vector subspace of* $\mathrm{Lie}(\bar{T}_1)=\mathfrak{t}_1/p\mathfrak{t}_1$ *(this is the first place where we need* $p>2$*);*

b) *If* $|A|\geq 2$ *and if* $\alpha,\beta,\alpha+\beta\in\Phi_1$*, then* α *and* β *generate a root system of rank 2 of* A_2 *Lie type (the inequality* $|A|\geq 2$ *implies that all roots of* Φ_1 *have the same length, cf. [Bou2, planche I, IV, and V]);*

c) *The differential map of the commutator map* $c_a:\bar{G}_1\to\bar{G}_1$*, defined by* $c_a(g)=gag^{-1}a^{-1}$ *is given by the formula* $dc_a=1_{\mathfrak{g}_1}-Ad(a)$ *(for instance, cf. [Bo2, 3.16]).*

If $|A|\geq 2$, from [BT, 4.2-3] and b) we get (via c)) the following formula

$$[\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha,\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\beta]=\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha+\beta}, \tag{6}$$

where $\alpha,\beta,\alpha+\beta\in\Phi_1$. It can be as well checked directly, inside the SL-group of a 3 dimensional k-vector space; it is true even if $p=2$ (for an arbitrary simple, adjoint group over k). Also, regardless of the value of $|A|$, from [BT, 4.2-3] we get (again via c)) the following inclusion

$$[\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha,\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\beta]\subset\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha+\beta}, \tag{7}$$

where $\alpha,\beta\in\Phi_1$ are such that $\alpha+\beta\neq 0$; if $\alpha+\beta\notin\Phi_1\cup\{0\}$ then $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha+\beta}:=\{0\}$.

We are now ready to prove the Claim. We first consider the case when $A=\{a\}$ has only 1 element. Let

$$\Lambda_1:=\{\alpha\in\Phi_1^-|\mathfrak{g}_\alpha\subset\mathfrak{r}_a\}.$$

In this case, the first part of the Claim is a consequence of the Fact of 3.4.5.1, while for the second part we just need to remark: if $x_a\in\oplus_{\alpha\in\Lambda_1}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha$ normalizes $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_a$, then $\forall\alpha\in\Lambda_1$, from the inclusion $[\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{-\alpha},x_a]\subset\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_a$, we get, cf. a) and (7), that the component of x_a in $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha$ is 0; so $x_a=0$.

If $|A|\geq 2$, in order to benefit from the previous notations, we prove this Claim working with notations involving negative roots: the reductions to Weyl elements performed in 3.5.3 allows us to shift from positive to negative roots, as we like. We need to consider three cases.

Case 1. If $|A| = 2$, with $A = \{a, b\}$, we just have to show:

Subfact. *If the image of the endomorphism* $\Pi_a {}^w\Pi_b$*, with* $w \in \bar{G}_1(k)$ *a Weyl element, has dimension* $\dim_k(\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_a \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b)$*, then* $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b \cap {}^{w^{-1}}\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_a$ *is* $\bar{G}_1(k)$*-conjugate to* $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_a \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b$*, and the normalizer of* $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_a \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b$ *is* $\bar{Q}_a \cap \bar{Q}_b$*.*

The first part of the Subfact is easy. Argument: $\bar{Q}_a$ and ${}^w\bar{Q}_b$ must contain a Borel subgroup of $\bar{G}_1$ (cf. Claim of 3.5.3.1 2) and Fact of 2.2.11.1); as any two Borel subgroups of $\bar{G}_1$ are $\bar{G}_1(k)$-conjugate, we can assume this Borel subgroup is $\bar{B}_1^{\mathrm{opp}}$ and so, based on [Bo2, 14.22 (iii)], we can assume ${}^w\bar{Q}_b = \bar{Q}_b$. For the second part of it, as any parabolic subgroup of a reductive group over k is connected, it is enough to show that the Lie subalgebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ normalizing $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_a \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b$ is $\mathrm{Lie}(\bar{Q}_a \cap \bar{Q}_b)$. To check this, let

$$\Lambda_2 := \{\alpha \in \Phi_1^+ | \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{s}_a \cup \mathfrak{s}_b\}.$$

Let $x_{ab} \in \oplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda_2} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha$ normalizing $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_a \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b$. Let $\alpha \in \Lambda_2$. Let $\beta \in \Phi_1^-$ be such that:

i) $\mathfrak{g}_\beta \subset \mathfrak{r}_a \cap \mathfrak{r}_b$;

ii) either $\beta + \alpha \in \Phi_1$ or $\beta = -\alpha$.

The existence of such a root can be read out from [Bou2, planche I, IV and V]. We include a discussion case by case, in a way needed to be used in 3.14 C (in connection to the $p = 2$ case).

If we are in case a) with $a < b$ and $\alpha = \sum_{s=m}^{q} \alpha_s$, where either $m \leq a$ and $q \geq a$ or $m \leq b$ and $q \geq b$, then we take respectively either $\beta = \sum_{s=m}^{\max\{q,b\}} -\alpha_s$ or $\beta = \sum_{s=\min\{m,a\}}^{q} -\alpha_s$.

If we are in case e6) with $1 = a < b = 6$ and $\alpha = \sum_{s=1}^{6} b_s\alpha_s$, where $b_s \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and either b_1 or b_6 is 1, then we can assume $b_1 = 1$ and so we take $\beta = -\alpha_1 - \alpha_6 - (\sum_{s=2}^{5} c_s\alpha_s)$ where:

– $c_3 = c_4 = c_5 = 1$ and $c_2 = 0$ if $b_2 = b_6 = 0$;

– $c_i = 1$, $i = \overline{2,5}$, if $b_2 = 1$ and $b_6 = 0$;

– $c_i = b_i$, $i = \overline{2,5}$, if $b_6 = 1$.

For an exemplification in 3.14 C below, we also point out that we can also take:

– $c_i = 1$, $i = \overline{2,5}$, if $b_2 = 0$ and $b_6 = 1$;

– $c_2 = 1$ and $c_3 = c_4 = c_5 = 2$ if $b_2 = b_6 = 1$ and b_3, b_4, $b_5 \in \{1, 2\}$ are such that either only one of them is 1 or all three of them are 1;

– $c_2 = c_3 = c_5 = 2$ and $c_4 = 3$, if $b_6 = b_2 = 1$ and either $b_4 = 3$ or $b_4 = 2$ and $b_3 = b_5$;

– $c_2 = 1$, $c_3 = c_4 = c_5 = 2$, if $b_2 = 2$ and $b_6 = 1$.

If we are in case d), we need to consider two situations. First we assume $A = \{1, \ell - 1\}$. If α does not contain α_ℓ in its expression, we take $\beta = -\sum_{s=1}^{\ell-1} \alpha_s$; if $\alpha = \sum_{s=1}^{\ell-1} \alpha_s$ then we can also take $\beta = -\alpha - \alpha_\ell$. If α does not contain $\alpha_{\ell-1}$ in its expression but it does contain α_1, then we take $\beta = -\sum_{s=1}^{\ell}\alpha_s$. If $\alpha = \sum_{s=s_0}^{\ell}\alpha_s$, with $s_0 \geq 2$, or if α is the maximal root, then we take $\beta = -\sum_{s=1}^{\ell}\alpha_s$. If α contains α_ℓ, $\alpha_{\ell-1}$ and α_1 in its expression but is not the maximal root, then we take $-\beta$ to be this maximal root. If α contains α_ℓ, $\alpha_{\ell-1}$ and α_{s_0} in its expression, with $s_0 \geq 2$, and does not contain α_{s_1}, for any $s_1 \in S(1, s_0-1)$, then we take $\beta = -\alpha - \sum_{s=1}^{s_0-1}\alpha_s$.

We consider now the case $A = \{\ell-1, \ell\}$. We can assume α contains $\alpha_{\ell-1}$ in its expression. If α does not contain α_ℓ in its expression and it is different from $\alpha_{\ell-1}$, then we take $\beta = -\alpha - \alpha_\ell$. If $\alpha = \alpha_{\ell-1}$, then we take $\beta = -\sum_{s=\ell-2}^{\ell}\alpha_s$. If α contains α_ℓ in its expression, then, not to introduce an s_0 as above, we can assume α contains as well α_1 in its expression; so the choice of the previous paragraph of β in such a situation applies as well in the context of $A = \{\ell-1, \ell\}$.

We now come back to x_{ab}. From the inclusion $[\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\beta, x_{ab}] \subset \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_a \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b$, from formulas (6) and (7), from property a) and from i) and ii) we get that the component of x_{ab} in $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha$ is 0. So $x_{ab} = 0$.

Case 2. If $|A| \geq 3$ and $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is of A_ℓ Lie type, then we have to work with $\Pi_a {}^{w}\Pi_b$, with a and b the smallest and respectively the greatest element of A and with $w \in \bar{G}_1(k)$ a Weyl element. So this case gets reduced to the case $|A| = 2$.

Case 3. If $|A| = 3$ and $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is of D_ℓ Lie type, then we have to work with $\Pi_a {}^{w}\Pi_b {}^{w_0}\Pi_c$, where $A = \{a, b, c\}$ and $w, w_0 \in G_1(k)$ are both Weyl elements (cf. end of 3.5.3.1 4)). The same argument at the level of Lie algebras as in Case 1 applies: based on 3.5.3.1 4), we just need to show that the normalizer of $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_a \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_c$ is $\bar{Q}_a \cap \bar{Q}_b \cap \bar{Q}_c$. To get this we just need to replace everywhere (in Case 1) $\mathfrak{r}_a \cap \mathfrak{r}_b$ by $\mathfrak{r}_a \cap \mathfrak{r}_b \cap \mathfrak{r}_c$, $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_a \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b$ by $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}_a \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_b \cap \bar{\mathfrak{r}}_c$, $\bar{Q}_a \cap \bar{Q}_b$ by $\bar{Q}_a \cap \bar{Q}_b \cap \bar{Q}_c$, $\bar{s}_a \cup \bar{s}_b$ by $\bar{s}_a \cup \bar{s}_b \cup \bar{s}_c$ and x_{ab} by x_{abc}. The existence of a root β in this case is argued entirely as in the d) case of Case 1. To see this, let $\alpha \in \Phi_1^+$ be such that $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \in \mathfrak{s}_1 \cup \mathfrak{s}_{\ell-1} \cup \mathfrak{s}_\ell$. If α does not contain $\alpha_{\ell-1}$ and α_ℓ in its expression, we take $\beta = -\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\alpha_i$. If $\alpha = \sum_{i=s}^{\ell-1}$, with $s \in S(1, \ell-1)$, then we take $\beta = -\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\alpha_i - \sum_{i=s}^{\ell-2}\alpha_i$. If α contains α_1, $\alpha_{\ell-1}$ and α_ℓ in its expression, then we take β as in Case 1 (for when we are in case d)). If α contains just α_s's in its expression, with $s \in S(s_0, \ell)$, with $s_0 \in S(1, \ell-1)$, then we take $\beta = -\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^{s_0-1}\alpha_i$. This ends the proof of the Claim and so of the Fact.

3.5.4.1. End of the proof of 3.4.6. We are now ready to prove (SUB). The parabolic subgroup of $\bar{G}_1$ having $\big(\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{H}_1\cap[0,1]} g_0(\alpha) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1\big) \otimes_{W(k)} k$ as its Lie algebra normalizes $\big(g_2(-\delta) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1\big) \otimes_{W(k)} k$. So the Fact of 3.5.4 implies that it is included in $\bar{P}_{\varepsilon_1}$. This ends the proof of (SUB) and so of 3.4.6.

3.5.5. Variants. It is easy to see that 3.4.1-8, 3.4.10, the logical variants of 3.4.9 and 3.4.11 (involving, cf. the beginning paragraph of 3.4.0, a generalized Shimura context), as well as the whole of 3.5 remain true if, instead of $g_2 \in G(W(k))$ (resp. $h_0 \in \tilde{G}_0(W(k))$ for 3.4.10) we work with any inner automorphism of $G_{W(k)}$ (resp. of $\tilde{G}_0$). Warning: in general this is not so if we use an outer automorphism, as such an automorphism can change the automorphism class of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$, "producing" a different G-ordinary type τ. In particular, based on 3.4.11 and 3.4.13 we get:

Corollary. *Let $g \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}(W(k))$.*

1) *If $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_0)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi_0)$ have the same Newton polygon, then at the level of σ-linear Lie automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$ we have $g\varphi_0 = g_3p_4\varphi_0 g_3^{-1}$, where g_3 is a $W(k)$-valued point of G^{ad} which mod p defines a k-valued point of the parabolic subgroup of G^{ad} having $F^0(\mathfrak{g})[\frac{1}{p}] \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ as its Lie algebra, and where p_4 is a $W(k)$-valued point of the parabolic subgroup of G^{ad} having $\mathrm{Lie}(P_0)[\frac{1}{p}] \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ as its Lie algebra. Moreover, $(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi_0)$ has a lift of parabolic type.*

2) *The fact that $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_0)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi_0)$ have the same Newton polygon or not depends only on the expression of g mod p.*

3) *1) and 2) remain true if instead of $\mathfrak{g}$ we work with $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$. Moreover, we have variants of these for when we work with $\mathfrak{g}_0$ instead of $\mathfrak{g}$ or with $\oplus_{i \in I_0} \mathrm{Lie}(G_i^{\mathrm{ad}})$ instead of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$.*

In the above Corollary, the role of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_0)$ is precisely of an arbitrary Shimura Lie σ-crystal having a lift of Borel type.

3.5.6. An expectation. We expect that the following statement is true:

Expectation. *Let H be a split, semisimple group over an arbitrary field $\tilde{k}$. Let $P_H(1)$,..., $P_H(n)$ be n parabolic subgroups of it ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Then the dimension of the intersection of the Lie algebras of n parabolic subgroups $\tilde{P}_1$,..., $\tilde{P}_n$ of H which are respectively $H(\tilde{k})$-conjugate to $P_H(1)$,..., $P_H(n)$, is maximal precisely in the case when there is a Borel subgroup of H contained in the intersection $\cap_{i=1}^n \tilde{P}_i$.*

The case $n = 2$ is easy (to be compared with 3.5.3.1 2)). For $n > 2$ we can prove it in many situations but we do not have a proof which works in the general case.

3.6. The second group of basic results: global deformations and some principles for different Fontaine categories. We start constructing global deformations: 3.6.0-11 form a sequence; they deal with global deformations in the context of Shimura σ-crystals. However, along this sequence some digressions are as well included; they are 3.6.1.1.1 4) and 5), 3.6.1.1.2, 3.6.1.5-6, 3.6.6.2, 3.6.8.1 till 3.6.8.1.5 inclusive, 3.6.8.3 and 3.6.8.9; these digressions can be looked at just at the needed moments. As mentioned in 1.14.5, the readers should look at 3.6.8 from the general point of view from the very beginning. In 3.6.12-14 we deal with the geometric context of a SHS. 3.6.15-17 contain complements. 3.6.18-19 deal with different principles of Fontaine categories; warning: the global deformations in the generalized Shimura context are constructed in 3.15.6 (cf. also the relative moduli principle of 3.6.18.7.3 C). Some conclusions are gathered in 3.6.20.

We preserve the previous notations of 3.0-3. Let $G = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ and let $g_2 \in G(W(k))$. Let $e_M := \dim\bigl(GL(M)\bigr) = d_M^2$. Let d be the relative dimension of G. We have $d \in S(4, e_M)$, cf. 3.1.2.1.

Let $U_0 = \mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$ and $U_2 = \mathrm{Spec}(R_2)$ be open, affine subschemes of G through which the origin a_0 of G factors (we still denote by a_0 this factorization) and respectively through which g_2 factors (for the sake of symmetry, this factorization is denoted by a_2), and having the following two properties:

i) There are étale, affine $W(k)$-morphisms $U_0 \overset{b_0}{\to} Y := \mathrm{Spec}\big(W(k)[z_1, \ldots, z_d]\big)$ and $U_2 \overset{b_2}{\to} Y$, such that the $W(k)$-morphisms $b_0 \circ a_0$ and $b_2 \circ a_2$ are defined at the level of rings by: z_i goes to 0, $i = \overline{1, d}$;

ii) There are étale, affine $W(k)$-morphisms $W_0 \overset{c_0}{\to} Z := \mathrm{Spec}\big(W(k)[z_1, \ldots, z_{e_M}]\big)$ and $W_2 \overset{c_2}{\to} Z$, with $W_j = \mathrm{Spec}(S_j)$ an open, affine subscheme of $GL(M)$ containing U_j, $j \in \{0, 2\}$, such that $\tilde{d} \circ b_0 = c_0 \circ i_0$ and $\tilde{d} \circ b_2 = c_2 \circ i_2$, with $i_0 : U_0 \hookrightarrow W_0$ and $i_2 : U_2 \hookrightarrow W_2$ as the natural inclusions and with $\tilde{d} : Y \hookrightarrow Z$ as the $W(k)$-monomorphism which at the level of rings takes z_i into z_i (resp. into 0) if $i \in S(1, d)$ (resp. if $i \in S(d + 1, e_M)$).

3.6.0. Some preliminaries. From now on, till the end of 3.6.8, we assume k is an infinite field. For the case of a finite field see 3.6.9 3).

R/pR is an integral domain (cf. [Ti2, 3.8.1]). So the intersection $U_0 \cap U_2$ is nonempty. Moreover, [Bo2, 18.3] guarantees the existence of a dense set of k-valued points of $(U_0 \cap U_2)_k$. We choose a $W(k)$-monomorphism

$$h : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow U_0 \cap U_2$$

such that the two $W(k)$-epimorphisms $W(k)[z_1, \ldots, z_d] \twoheadrightarrow W(k)$ associated to $b_0 \circ i_{02} \circ h$ and $b_2 \circ i_{20} \circ h$ (with i_{02} and i_{20} as the inclusions of $U_0 \cap U_2$ into U_0 and respectively into U_2) send z_i, $i = \overline{1, d}$, into elements of $\mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$. Composing the $W(k)$-morphisms c_0 and c_2 with $W(k)$-automorphisms of Z of the form $z_i \to u_i z_i$, with $u_i \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$, $i = \overline{1, e_M}$, we can assume that both these two $W(k)$-epimorphisms send z_i to 1, $i = \overline{1, d}$.

Let U_0^0, U_2^0, W_0^0 and W_2^0 be the right translations of U_0, U_2, W_0 and respectively W_2 by h^{-1}. So the $W(k)$-monomorphism $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow GL(M)$ defining 1_M factors through U_0^0, U_2^0, W_0^0 and W_2^0. Let Φ be the Frobenius lift of Y and Z taking $z_i - 1$ to $(z_i - 1)^p$, $i = \overline{1, e_M}$. Let Φ_{R_0}, Φ_{R_2}, Φ_{S_0} and Φ_{S_2} be the Frobenius lifts of $U_0^{0\wedge}$, $U_2^{0\wedge}$, $W_0^{0\wedge}$ and respectively of $W_2^{0\wedge}$ such that the two diagrams below:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U_j^{0\wedge} \arrow[r, "\overset{r_h^\wedge}{\sim}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{R_j}"] & U_j^\wedge \arrow[r, "b_j^\wedge"] & Y^\wedge \arrow[d, "\Phi^\wedge"] \\
U_j^{0\wedge} \arrow[r, "\overset{r_h^\wedge}{\sim}"] & U_j^\wedge \arrow[r, "b_j^\wedge"] & Y^\wedge,
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W_j^{0\wedge} \arrow[r, "\overset{r_h^\wedge}{\sim}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{S_j}"] & W_j^\wedge \arrow[r, "c_j^\wedge"] & Z^\wedge \arrow[d, "\Phi^\wedge"] \\
W_j^{0\wedge} \arrow[r, "\overset{r_h^\wedge}{\sim}"] & W_j^\wedge \arrow[r, "c_j^\wedge"] & Z^\wedge,
\end{tikzcd}$$

$j \in \{0,2\}$, are cartesian; here we denote by r_h any isomorphism defined by the right translation by h via restrictions, while $b_j^\wedge$, $r_h^\wedge$, $c_j^\wedge$ and $\Phi^\wedge$ refer to morphisms defined by the p-adic completion of b_j, r_h, c_j and respectively of Φ. The isomorphisms r_h allow us to identify $U_j^0 = \mathrm{Spec}(R_j)$ and $W_j^0 = \mathrm{Spec}(S_j)$, $j \in \{0,2\}$. Let $t_i(j) \in S_j$ be such that under the identification $W_j^0 = \mathrm{Spec}(S_j)$, the $W(k)$-morphism $c_j^\wedge \circ \tilde{r}_h^\wedge$, at the level of rings, takes $z_i - 1$ into $t_i(j)$, $\forall j \in \{0,2\}$ and $\forall i \in S(1, e_M)$. So

$$\Phi_{S_j}(t_i(j)) = t_i(j)^p.$$

We also regard $t_i(j)$, $i \in S(1,d)$, as an element of R_j.

3.6.1. Some initial p-divisible objects. Let

$$M_{R_j} := \big(M \otimes_{W(k)} R_j^\wedge, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R_j^\wedge, \Phi_j^r\big)$$

and

$$M_{S_j} := \big(M \otimes_{W(k)} S_j^\wedge, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} S_j^\wedge, \Phi_j^s\big),$$

with $\Phi_j^r := r_j(h\varphi_0 \otimes 1)$ and $\Phi_j^s := s_j(h\varphi_0 \otimes 1)$. Here $r_j \in G(R_j^\wedge) = G^\wedge(R_j^\wedge)$ (resp. $s_j \in GL(M)(S_j^\wedge) = GL(M)^\wedge(S_j^\wedge)$) is the universal elements of $G^\wedge$ (resp. of $GL(M)^\wedge$), defined by the p-adic completion of the inclusion $U_j^0 \hookrightarrow G$ (resp. $W_j^0 \hookrightarrow GL(M)$). M_{R_j} (resp. M_{S_j}) is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R_j)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(S_j)$). So for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $M_{R_j}/p^n M_{R_j}$ is an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R_j)$ and $M_{S_j}/p^n M_{S_j}$ is an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(S_j)$. Above $j \in \{0,2\}$.

3.6.1.1. Their pull backs. For any formally étale, affine $W(k)$-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}_j^\wedge) \xrightarrow{d_j} \mathrm{Spec}(R_j^\wedge)$, with $\tilde{Q}_j$ a $W(k)$-algebra, we denote by

$$M_{\tilde{Q}_j} := \big(M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_j^\wedge, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_j^\wedge, r_j \circ d_j(h\varphi_0 \otimes 1)\big)$$

the pull back of M_{R_j} through d_j, for $\Phi_{\tilde{Q}_j}$ the only Frobenius lift of $\tilde{Q}_j^\wedge$ satisfying

$$d_j \circ \Phi_{\tilde{Q}_j} = \Phi_{R_j} \circ d_j.$$

It is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(\tilde{Q}_j)$. We call it the extension of M_{R_j} to $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}_j)$ or to $\tilde{Q}_j$ (through d_j or through the $W(k)$-homomorphism $R_j^\wedge \to \tilde{Q}_j^\wedge$ defining d_j).

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $M_{\tilde{Q}_j}/p^n M_{\tilde{Q}_j}$ is an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(\tilde{Q}_j)$.

In particular, if $\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{R}_j^0)$ is the completion of U_j^0 in the origin, we denote by

$$M_{\hat{R}_j^0} := (M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}_j^0, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}_j^0, \hat{\Phi}_j^r)$$

the extension of M_{R_j} through the canonical $W(k)$-monomorphism $i_{R_j} : R_j \hookrightarrow \hat{R}_j^0$, for $\Phi_{\hat{R}_j^0}$ the natural Frobenius lift of $\hat{R}_j^0$ induced from Φ_{R_j} by completion, $j \in \{0,2\}$. Here

$$\hat{\Phi}_j^r := \hat{r}_j(h\varphi_0 \otimes 1),$$

with $\hat{r}_j \in G(\hat{R}_j^0)$ defined by r_j via i_{R_j}. $M_{\hat{R}_j^0}$ is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(\hat{R}_j^0)$.

Similarly, if k_1 is a perfect field containing k, we denote by $M_{R_{j\,W(k_1)}}$ its pull back through the canonical affine $W(k)$-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R_{j\,W(k_1)}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_j)$, the Frobenius lift of $R_{j\,W(k_1)}$ being the logical one. We also call it the extension of M_{R_j} to $W(k_1)$; we view $R_{j\,W(k_1)}$ as a $W(k_1)$-algebra.

In a similar manner we define the pull back of any object (or p-divisible object) of $\mathcal{MF}_{[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}]}(R_3)$, with R_3 a regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-algebra for which a Frobenius lift of $R_3^\wedge$ is chosen (fixed), through a formally étale, affine $W(k)$-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}_3^\wedge) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_3^\wedge)$, or through the natural affine $W(k)$-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R_{3W(k_1)}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_3)$, with k_1 as above.

All the above terminology conforms to 2.2.1.3.

3.6.1.1.1. Definitions and notations. 1) Let $d_j : \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}_j^\wedge) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_j^\wedge)$ be a formally étale, affine $W(k)$-morphism, with $\tilde{Q}_j$ a $W(k)$-algebra. We recall that (cf. beginning of §3) we have a direct sum decomposition $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$. Let

$$\Phi(\tilde{Q}_j)^0 := r_j \circ d_j(h\varphi_0 \otimes 1)$$

be the $\Phi_{\tilde{Q}_j}$-linear endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_j^\wedge$ defined by $r_j \circ d_j$ and let

$$\Phi(\tilde{Q}_j)^1 : (F^1 + pM) \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_j^\wedge \to M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_j^\wedge$$

be the $\Phi_{\tilde{Q}_j}$-linear map defined by the formula

$$p\Phi(\tilde{Q}_j)^1(m) = \Phi(\tilde{Q}_j)^0(m),$$

where $m \in (F^1 + pM) \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_j^\wedge$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we still denote by $\Phi(\tilde{Q}_j)^i$ its reduction mod p^n $(i \in \{0,1\})$.

2) We say $M_{\tilde{Q}_j}/p^n M_{\tilde{Q}_j}$ potentially can be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(\tilde{Q}_j)$ if there is a connection

$$\nabla : M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_j/p^n\tilde{Q}_j \to M \otimes_{W(k)} \Omega_{(\tilde{Q}_j/p^n\tilde{Q}_j)/W_n(k)}$$

such that we have:

$$(E_1) \qquad \nabla \circ \Phi(\tilde{Q}_j)^0(m) = p\Phi(\tilde{Q}_j)^0 \circ d\Phi_{\tilde{Q}_j*}/p \circ \nabla(m),$$

if $m \in F^0 \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_j/p^n\tilde{Q}_j$, and

$$(E_2) \qquad \nabla \circ \Phi(\tilde{Q}_j)^1(m) = \Phi(\tilde{Q}_j)^0 \circ d\Phi_{\tilde{Q}_j*}/p \circ \nabla(m),$$

if $m \in F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_j/p^n\tilde{Q}_j$. Here $d\Phi_{\tilde{Q}_j *}/p$ denotes the differential of the Frobenius lift $\Phi_{\tilde{Q}_j}$ of $\tilde{Q}_j^\wedge$ divided by p and then taken mod p^n. About ∇ we say it makes (or allows) $M_{\tilde{Q}_j}/p^nM_{\tilde{Q}_j}$ potentially to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(\tilde{Q}_j)$; often (to be short) we also say ∇ is a connection on $M_{\tilde{Q}_j}/p^nM_{\tilde{Q}_j}$. Warning: the system of equations obtained by putting (E_1) and (E_2) together, does not depend on the choice of the direct supplement F^0 of F^1 in M; moreover, as we chose $\Phi(\tilde{Q}_j)^0$ and $\Phi(\tilde{Q}_j)^1$ to be Frobenius maps (i.e. $\Phi_{\tilde{Q}_j}$-linear maps) and not $\tilde{Q}_j^\wedge$-linear maps, we got $m \in F^i \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_j/p^n\tilde{Q}_j$ and not in $F^i \otimes_{W(k)} {}_\sigma\tilde{Q}_j/p^n\tilde{Q}_j$.

We say ∇ respects the G-action, if $\forall i \in S(1,d)$, the $\tilde{Q}_j/p^m\tilde{Q}_j$-endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_j/p^n\tilde{Q}_j$ that takes $m \in M$ into

$$\nabla(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i(j)})(m) \in M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_j/p^n\tilde{Q}_j,$$

is an element of $\mathrm{Lie}(G) \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_j/p^n\tilde{Q}_j$.

We say $M_{\tilde{Q}_j}/p^nM_{\tilde{Q}_j}$ potentially is an object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(\tilde{Q}_j)$ if there is precisely one connection ∇ on it; about this connection ∇ we say it makes (or allows) $M_{\tilde{Q}_j}/p^nM_{\tilde{Q}_j}$ potentially to be an object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(\tilde{Q}_j)$.

We use the same language for the case of p-divisible objects, i.e. when $n = \infty$. Warning: in such a case

$$\nabla : M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_j^\wedge \to M \otimes_{W(k)} \Omega^\wedge_{\tilde{Q}_j/W(k)},$$

and we also say that $\Phi(\tilde{Q}_j)^0$ is ∇-parallel.

If in any of the above cases the connection ∇ is integrable, then we drop the word potentially. We prove later on (cf. 3.6.18.4.1), that any connection ∇ which makes $M_{\tilde{Q}_j}/p^nM_{\tilde{Q}_j}$ potentially to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(\tilde{Q}_j)$, is automatically integrable and so the word potentially is just for the time being.

3) Let $\mathcal{Z}_k$ be the closed subscheme of U_0^0, U_2^0, G, W_0^0, W_2^0 and of $GL(M)$, defining the origin of the special fibre of G_k. So $\mathcal{Z}_k = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$.

4) For an arbitrary regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-algebra R_3 as in the end of 3.6.1.1, and for any (p-divisible) object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}]}(R_3)$, with $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b}$, we use the same language as in 2) but always working with connections satisfying the Griffiths transversality condition. The equations needed to be satisfied are similar to the ones of (E_1) and (E_2) of 2) (for instance, see [Fa1, p. 33]). Warning: in such a generality, the word potentially is not for the time being (cf. 3.6.18.5.5 below).

As a quick exemplification, we refer to the context of $\mathfrak{C}$ of 2.2.1 c), with M a projective $R^\wedge$-module. We assume $F^{\tilde{a}}(M) = M$ and $F^{\tilde{b}+1}(M) = \{0\}$. The set of equations needed to be satisfied in order that a connection $\nabla : M \to M \otimes_{R^\wedge} \Omega^\wedge_{R^\wedge/W(k)}$ makes $\mathfrak{C}$ potentially to be viewed as a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}]}(R)$ are

$$(E_i) \qquad \nabla \circ \varphi_i(m_i) = \varphi_{i-1} \circ d\Phi_{R^\wedge *}/p \circ \nabla(m_i),$$

with $m_i \in F^i(M)$, for $i \in S(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$, and

$$(GT) \qquad \nabla(F^i(M)) \subset F^{i-1}(M) \otimes_{R^\wedge} \Omega^\wedge_{R^\wedge/W(k)},$$

$i \in S(2 + \tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$. Taken them mod p^n, we get the set of equations needed to be satisfied in order that a connection $\nabla : M/p^nM \to M/p^nM \otimes_{R/p^nR} \Omega_{R/p^nR/W_n(k)}$ makes $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$ potentially to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}]}(R)$. Warning: we always look at (GT) as an equation (it can be expressed in terms of some morphisms of $R^\wedge$-modules being 0).

5) Let X and X_1 be regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-schemes or p-adic formal schemes over $W(k)$. We consider a formally étale morphism $m_X : X_1 \to X$ and we assume X (or $X^\wedge$ in case X is a scheme) is equipped with a Frobenius lift Φ_X. We denote by Φ_{X_1} the Frobenius lift of X_1 (or of $X_1^\wedge$, in case X_1 is a scheme) defined naturally by Φ_X via m_X. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be an arbitrary (p-divisible) object of $\mathcal{MF}(X)$. As in 4) we speak about connections on $m_X^*(\mathfrak{C})$, i.e. about connections on the underlying module of $m_X^*(\mathfrak{C})$ which make $m_X^*(\mathfrak{C})$ potentially to be viewed as a (p-divisible) object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla(X_1)$, or about $m_X^*(\mathfrak{C})$ potentially to be a (p-divisible) object $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla(X_1)$, etc. Warning: we always assume that these connections satisfy the Griffiths transversality condition.

3.6.1.1.2. Digression: the nilpotency mod p. We refer to 3.6.8.1.1 4) with $n = 1$. Let $\tilde{M} := M/pM$ be endowed with the reduction mod p of the filtration of M. We assume $R = W(k)[[x_1, ..., x_m]]$ is a ring of formal power series. Let N_i be the R/pR-submodule of $\tilde{M}$ generated by $\varphi_i(F^i(\tilde{M}))$. Let $j \in S(1, m)$. We consider the k-endomorphism of $\tilde{M}$ defined by $D_j := \nabla(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j})$. We have

$$(INCL) \qquad D_j(\varphi_i(F^i(\tilde{M})) \subset N_{i-1},$$

cf. (E_i) and (GT). We get

$$(NIL1) \qquad D_j^p(N_i) \subset \oplus_{s \in S(\tilde{a}, i-1)} N_s.$$

As $M = \oplus_{i \in S(\tilde{a},\tilde{b})} N_i$, we also get

$$(NIL2) \qquad D_j^{p^{\tilde{b}-\tilde{a}+1}} = 0.$$

In general we get: if $s \in \mathbb{N}$, if $j_1, ..., j_s \in S(1, m)$ and $i_1, ..., i_s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ are such that $i_1 + i_2 + ... + i_s \geq p^{\tilde{b}-\tilde{a}+1}$, then (cf. (INCL))

$$(NIL3) \qquad D_{j_1}^{i_1} D_{j_2}^{i_2} ... D_{j_s}^{i_s} = 0.$$

We conclude:

Corollary. *All connections on some object of some Fontaine category of objects not involving connections, are nilpotent mod p, regardless of the fact that they are or are not integrable.*

3.6.1.1.3. The convenience assumption. Sometimes it is convenient to make the assumption that the closed subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_j^0/pR_j^0)$ defined by $t_i(j)=0$, i running through the elements of an arbitrary subset of $S(1,d)$, is connected (so in particular, $\mathcal{Z}_k$ is the closed subscheme of $U^0_{j\,k}$ defined by $t_i(j)=0$, $\forall i\in S(1,d)$).

This can always be achieved by removing a closed subscheme of U_j^0 which is not U_j^0 itself. This should be done before the choice of h so that we do not lose track of the morphisms a_0 and a_2. It can be done as follows: we choose an open, affine subscheme $\mathcal{U}=\mathrm{Spec}(R_{\mathcal{U}})$ through which the origin of G factors and an étale morphism $b_{\mathcal{U}}:\mathcal{U}\to Y$, such that the closed subscheme $\mathrm{Spec}(R_{\mathcal{U}}/(p,(z_i)_{i\in I_d}))$ of $\mathcal{U}$, with I_d an arbitrary subset of $S(1,d)$, is connected and the logical $W(k)$-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R_{\mathcal{U}}/((z_i)_{i\in I}))\to G$ is the origin. We can take now $U_0=\mathcal{U}$ and $U_2=g_2\mathcal{U}$; as the morphisms b_0 and b_2 we take $b_{\mathcal{U}}$ and respectively the composite of the left translation by g_2^{-1} isomorphism $U_2\tilde{\rightarrow}U_0$ with $b_{\mathcal{U}}$.

Warning: unless specifically stated we do not work under above assumption.

3.6.1.2. Lemma (the uniqueness principle in a convenient Shimura σ-crystal context). *For any formally étale, affine $W(k)$-morphism $l_j:\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}_j^{\wedge})\to\mathrm{Spec}(R_j^{\wedge})$, with $\tilde{Q}_j$ a $W(k)$-algebra such that all connected components of* $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}_j/p\tilde{Q}_j)$ *have a non-empty intersection with $\ell_j^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k)$, and for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $M_{\tilde{Q}_j}/p^nM_{\tilde{Q}_j}$ potentially can be viewed in at most one way as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(\tilde{Q}_j)$; similarly, $M_{\tilde{Q}_j}$ potentially can be viewed in at most one way as a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(\tilde{Q}_j)$.*

3.6.1.3. Theorem (the ∇, the moduli, and the surjectivity principle in a convenient Shimura σ-crystal context). 1) *For any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ there is a formally étale, affine $W(k)$-morphism*

$$\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{j,n}^{\wedge})\overset{\ell_{j,n}}{\to}\mathrm{Spec}(R_j^{\wedge}),$$

$j\in\{0,2\}$, with $Q_{j,n}$ a smooth $W(k)$-algebra, such that:

i) $\ell_{j,n}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k)=\mathrm{Spec}(k)$;

ii) $M_{Q_{j,n}}/p^nM_{Q_{j,n}}$ is an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(Q_{j,n})$, i.e. there is a unique connection

$$\nabla_j^n:M\otimes_{W(k)}Q_{j,n}/p^nQ_{j,n}\to M\otimes_{W(k)}\Omega_{(Q_{j,n}/p^nQ_{j,n})/W_n(k)}$$

which makes $M_{Q_{j,n}}/p^nM_{Q_{j,n}}$ potentially to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(Q_{j,n})$; ∇_j^n is integrable (so the word potentially can be disregarded), nilpotent mod p, and respects the G-action;

iii) for any other formally étale, affine $W(k)$-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}_j^\wedge) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\ell}_j} \mathrm{Spec}(R_j^\wedge)$ *(with $\tilde{Q}_j$ a $W(k)$-algebra) such that $M_{\tilde{Q}_j}/p^nM_{\tilde{Q}_j}$ potentially can be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(\tilde{Q}_j)$ and all connected components of* $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}_j/p\tilde{Q}_j)$ *have a non-empty intersection with $\tilde{\ell}_j^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k)$, there is a unique morphism* $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}_j^\wedge) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\ell}_j^n} \mathrm{Spec}(Q_{j,n}^\wedge)$ *such that $\tilde{\ell}_j = \ell_{j,n} \circ \tilde{\ell}_j^n$ and the connection (it is unique cf. 3.6.1.2) on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_j/p^n\tilde{Q}_j$ which makes $M_{\tilde{Q}_j}/p^nM_{\tilde{Q}_j}$ potentially to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(\tilde{Q}_j)$ is the pull back of ∇_j^n through $\tilde{\ell}_j^n$ mod p^n;*

iv) the special fibre of $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{j,n})$ *is a geometrically connected k-scheme.*

2) *The unique $R_j^\wedge$-morphism (cf. ii) and iii))*

$$\ell_j^n : \mathrm{Spec}(Q_{j,n+1}^\wedge) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q_{j,n}^\wedge)$$

such that $\ell_{j,n+1} = \ell_{j,n} \circ \ell_j^n$ and the pull back of ∇_j^n through the morphism ℓ_j^n mod p^n is ∇_j^{n+1} mod p^n, when taken mod p (i.e. the resulting morphism at the level of special fibres) is: étale, quasi-finite, and generically an étale cover of degree $p^{d(n)}$, with $d(n) \in [0, d^2]$. Similarly, the morphism $\ell_{j,1}$ mod p has all these properties.

3) *The construction of* $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{j,n}^\wedge)$ *commutes with (perfect) base field extensions, i.e. if k_1 is a perfect field containing k, then the p-adic completion of the extension of $\ell_{j,n}$ to $W(k_1)$ is the morphism of 1) obtained for the same $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for the situation where G is replaced by $G_{W(k_1)}$, R_j is replaced by ${R_j}_{W(k_1)}$ and M_{R_j} is replaced by its extension to $W(k_1)$.*

4) *Let* $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_j)$ *be the p-adic completion of the $\mathbb{N}$-projective limit of* $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{j,n}^\wedge)$ *under the transition morphisms ℓ_j^n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let*

$$\ell_j : \mathrm{Spec}(Q_j) \to U_j^0 = \mathrm{Spec}(R_j)$$

be the resulting $W(k)$-morphism. There is a unique $W(k)$-morphism a^j : $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q_j)$ *which composed with l_j is a_0. M_{Q_j} is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(Q_j)$. The k-scheme* $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_j/pQ_j)$ *is geometrically connected. Moreover, l_j has a universal property similar to the one described in iii) of 1):*

UP. *For any formally étale, affine $W(k)$-morphism* $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}_j^\wedge) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\ell}_j} \mathrm{Spec}(R_j^\wedge)$ *(with $\tilde{Q}_j$ a $W(k)$-algebra) such that $M_{\tilde{Q}_j}$ potentially can be viewed as a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(\tilde{Q}_j)$ and all connected components of* $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}_j/p\tilde{Q}_j)$ *have a non-empty intersection with $\tilde{\ell}_j^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k)$, there is a unique morphism* $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}_j^\wedge) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\ell}_j^\infty} \mathrm{Spec}(Q_j)$ *such that $\tilde{\ell}_j = \ell_j \circ \tilde{\ell}_j^\infty$ and the connection $\tilde{\nabla}_j$ (it is unique cf. 3.6.1.2) on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_j^\wedge$ which makes $M_{\tilde{Q}_j}$ potentially to be viewed as a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(\tilde{Q}_j)$, when taken mod p^n, is the pull back of ∇_j^n through the composite of $\tilde{\ell}_j^\infty$ with the natural $W(k)$-morphism* $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_j) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q_{j,n})$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

5) *There is a reduced, closed subscheme $\mathcal{B}_j(k)$ of G_k, not containing the origin, depending on $h\varphi_0$ and on Φ_{R_j} but not on how small or big U_j^0 is, and such that the morphism ℓ_j has non-empty fibres over (geometric) points of* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_j/pR_j)$ *which (when viewed as points of G_k) do not factor through $\mathcal{B}_j(k)$. For any perfect field k_1 containing k, we get similarly (cf. 3)) a reduced, closed subscheme $\mathcal{B}_j(k_1)$ of G_{k_1} having similar properties as $\mathcal{B}_j(k)$; in fact we can take $\mathcal{B}_j(k_1) := \mathcal{B}_j(k)_{k_1}$.*

6) $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_j/pQ_j)$ *is an AG k-scheme.*

The proofs of 3.6.1.2-3 are presented in 3.6.8, after we include some remarks (see 3.6.1.4-6), some direct applications (see 3.6.2-5), and some extra applications (see 3.6.7) which rely on some independent results of 3.6.6.

3.6.1.4. Remarks. 1) Based on 3.6.18.4.2 below, it can be checked that in many situations we can take $Q_{j,n} = R_j$ (so $d(n) = 0$), $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. All these situations will be listed in §7, after we present (in §7) the classification of Shimura group pairs over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and introduce (see 3.10) some extra terminology. See also 3.11.4 below.

2) The $W(k)$-morphism $\ell_{j,n}$ is an étale cover iff $Q_{j,n}^\wedge = R_j^\wedge$ (cf. i) of 3.6.1.3 1)). A simple example when $\ell_{j,1}$ is not an étale cover was suggested by A. Ogus.

We take $d_M = 2$ and $G = GL(M)$. We assume $(M, F^1, h\varphi_0)$ is the filtered σ-crystal of the canonical lift of an ordinary elliptic curve over k. We also assume the existence of a $W(k)$-basis $\{x, y\}$ of M such that $h\varphi_0$ takes x and y respectively into x and py. We choose a Frobenius lift of $G^\wedge$ such that under the canonical identification $G = \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22}][\frac{1}{x_{11}x_{22} - x_{21}x_{12}}])$ defined by the above ordered basis of M, x_{12} goes to x_{12}^p, x_{21} goes to x_{21}^p, while $x_{ii} - 1$ goes to $(x_{ii} - 1)^p$, $i = \overline{1,2}$. We can take $U_0 = U_2 = G$.

To see that $l_{j,1}$ is not an étale cover, it is enough to see that any natural pull back of M_{R_j}/pM_{R_j} to a pro-étale cover of $\mathbb{G}_a^\wedge$, with $\mathbb{G}_a$ viewed as the subgroup of G acting trivially on x and on the quotient module $M/W(k)x$, has no connection on it. This is easy: we can assume $k = \bar{k}$; identifying $\mathbb{G}_a = \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[t])$, with $t := x_{12}$, the unique connection we get on $M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k)[[t]]$ making the pull back of M_{R_j} through the natural $W(k)$-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[[t]]) \to G$ to be a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[[t]]))$, annihilates x and takes y into $\alpha(t)xdt$, where

$$\alpha(t) = -\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^{p^i - 1}.$$

The same holds mod p. But $\alpha(t)$ mod p satisfies the equation (in u)

$$t^{p-1}u^p = u + 1.$$

This equation defines an étale scheme over $\mathbb{G}_{a\,k} = \mathrm{Spec}(k[t])$ which is not an étale cover: it is obtained from the étale cover $\mathrm{Spec}(k[t, v]/(v^p - v - t))$ of $\mathbb{G}_{a\,k}$ by removing its closed subscheme (is a disjoint union of $p - 1$ copies of $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$) defined by $t = 0$, $v \neq 0$.

In this example, coming back to the general notations, the k-morphism

$$\ell'_j : \ell_j^{-1}(\mathrm{Spec}(R_j/pR_j[\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{d} t_i(j)}]) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_j/pR_j[\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{d} t_i(j)}]) := \mathcal{W}_j$$

is an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale k-morphism of infinite degree. Here $d = 4$, $t_i(j) = x_{ii} - 1$ and $t_{i+2}(j) = x_{i,3-i}$, with $i \in \{1, 2\}$. It defines an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale cover above the open subscheme of $\mathcal{W}_j$ defined by $t_1(j) \neq -1$, as it can be easily checked starting from 3.6.18.4 B) below.

3) The estimate $d(n) \leq d^2$ is not the best possible. For instance if $G = GL(M)$ it can be checked (this is explained in 3.11.4 below) that we can replace d^2 by $\frac{d^2}{4}$. For better estimates of the real numbers $d(n)$, see 3.11.4. For the time being, we just remark that the bound d^2 is independent on n. We do not know (if or) when $d(n) = d(1)$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

4) We do not know if (or when) the k-morphism defined by the special fibre of ℓ_j is surjective. Similarly about the k-morphisms defined by the special fibres of $\ell_{j,n}$ and ℓ_j^n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \{0, 2\}$. 3.6.18.5.2 below implicitly motivates why it is natural to expect (under some conditions) such k-morphisms to be surjective.

5) $M_{Q_{j,m}}/p^n M_{Q_{j,m}}$ and $(M_{Q_{j,m}}/p^n M_{Q_{j,m}}, \nabla_j^m \bmod p^n)$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \leq m$, are rigid objects in the sense that they have few endomorphisms. For instance, their endomorphisms (called G-endomorphisms) which as elements of $\mathrm{End}(M/p^n M) \otimes_{W_n(k)} Q_{j,m}/p^n Q_{j,m}$ belong to $\mathfrak{g}/p^n\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{W_n(k)} Q_{j,m}/p^n Q_{j,m}$, are often such that these elements are the reduction mod p^n of those elements of the Lie algebra of the maximal subtorus of $Z(G)$ which are fixed by $h\varphi_0$. This remains true in many cases for the set of all endomorphisms, the group $Z(G)$ being replaced by the centralizer of G in $GL(M)$. This important phenomenon is elaborated in §7, being an essential tool in the strategy of proving part of the split criterion of 1.15.4. There are variants of it when we pass to étale, affine $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{j,m})$-schemes. In these variants extra endomorphisms can show up: like the ones springing from direct factors of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k_1)})$ contained in $W(0)(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k_1)}), h\varphi_0 \otimes 1)$; here k_1 is a finite field extension of k.

6) iii) and 3.6.1.3 UP can be formulated for arbitrary formally étale $R_j^{\wedge}$-schemes, not necessarily affine (cf. also 3.6.18.4.2 below).

3.6.1.5. ***σ*-*𝒮*-crystals.** Let $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $\tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b}$. Let $(\tilde{M}, (F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i \in S(\tilde{a}+1,\tilde{b})}, \tilde{\varphi})$ be a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}]}(W(k))$. Let $\tilde{G}$ be a smooth, closed subgroup of $GL(\tilde{M})$ having connected fibres and such that:

– $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})[\frac{1}{p}]$ is stable under the action of $\tilde{\varphi}$;

– $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})$ together with the induced filtration (from the natural induced filtration of $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})$; see 2.1) and Frobenius endomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})[\frac{1}{p}]$, is a p-divisible subobject of the p-divisible object $(\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M}), (F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i \in SS(\tilde{a},\tilde{b})}, \tilde{\varphi})$ of $\mathcal{MF}(W(k))$ (adding the Lie structure it is an $[\tilde{a} - \tilde{b}, \tilde{b} - \tilde{a}]$-filtered Lie σ-crystal in the sense of 2.2.3 2)).

The quadruple

$$(\tilde{M}, (F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i \in S(\tilde{a}+1,\tilde{b})}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$$

is called a filtered σ-$\mathcal{S}$-crystal (over k); here $\mathcal{S}$ stands for subobject and refers to the second of the above two conditions. As in 2.2.8 2) or 4) we get σ-$\mathcal{S}$-crystals. As examples we mention the pseudo Shimura σ-crystals (cf. 2.2.9 1')) and the p-divisible objects with a reductive structure over k (cf. 2.2.8 4a)). We would like to point out that we did not assume the existence of a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to \tilde{G}$, normalizing $F^i(\tilde{M})$, $\forall i \in S(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$, with $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acting through it on $F^i(\tilde{M})/F^{i+1}(\tilde{M})$ as the multiplication with β^{-i} (to be compared with 2.2.8 3), where this is a consequence of the hypothesis involved). When such a cocharacter does exist, we speak about (filtered) σ-$\mathcal{S}$-crystals for which the W-condition holds (the letter W stands to honor [Wi]). It is easy to see that the Facts of 2.2.9 1) and 1') apply to the present context of (filtered) σ-$\mathcal{S}$-crystals (in connection to 2.2.9 1') for $p \leq 1 + \tilde{b} - \tilde{a}$ we need to assume that a corresponding Galois representation does exist); keeping in mind what $\mathcal{S}$ stands for, we felt it is appropriate not to use the word "pseudo" in front of the above terminology if the W-condition does not hold.

One could allow the fibres of $\tilde{G}$ not to be connected; but this can create problems. First, the Lie algebra of a smooth group $\tilde{H}$ over $W(k)$ is determined by its connected component of the origin. Second, if the special fibre is not connected, then we can get into trouble with problems involving Newton polygons, as for such problems it is important only how the p-adic completion of $\tilde{H}$ looks like. On the other hand: in [Fa2, rm. ii) after th. 10] the group involved does not necessarily have a connected special fibre.

3.6.1.6. Remark. It is expected that everything in 3.6.1.3 (when properly formulated; see the paragraphs below) remains true in the context of σ-$\mathcal{S}$-crystals.

This is true for $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) = (0, 1)$ as well as for the context of generalized Shimura p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}(W(k))$. The proof in the case when $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) = (0, 1)$ is entirely the same (cf. also 3.6.8.4 1) and 3) below).

In the other case we have to interpret 3.6.1.3 as a property of Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystals. For instance, in many cases, we can construct a Shimura filtered σ-crystal whose Shimura adjoint filtered Lie σ-crystal is isomorphic to the one of our Shimura p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}(W(k))$; for details see 4.6.7. The general case for this interpretation is explained in 3.6.18.7.3 C and 3.15.6. There is a second approach to this interpretation: the duality aspects of 2.2.23 B are the very root of it. In other words, the philosophy (to be elaborated in §7) is:

Ph. *A great part of this 3.6 pertaining to p-divisible objects (or just objects) of some category* $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(*)$ *can be extended to symmetric or alternating objects and to p-divisible objects which have symmetric or alternating quasi-polarizations, of some category* $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(*)$.

In the above two cases we can introduce as in 2.2.10 and 2.2.10.1 the notion of filtered F-$\mathcal{S}$-crystal and respectively of generalized Shimura (filtered) F-crystal or p-divisible object of some category $\mathcal{MF}_{[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}]}(*)$; here $*$ stands for regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-algebras or (when possible) (p-adic formal) $W(k)$-schemes.

What we meant above by "properly formulated": following the discussion of 3.6.8.9 below, we might have to work with a different Frobenius endomorphism of the module underlying the p-divisible objects involved (i.e. we do not use a natural equivalent $e_j \in \tilde{G}(*^\wedge)$ of r_j of 3.6.1 but some other element $\tilde{e}_j \in \tilde{G}(*^\wedge)$, very carefully chosen; warning: we do have in mind the requirement that $\tilde{e}_j$ mod p is e_j mod p).

3.6.2. First consequences. We now look at some immediate implications of 3.6.1.2-3. It is known (see 2.2.1.1 2); here –as well as in all subsequent places relying on it– is the second place where we need $p \geq 3$) that the category $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(Q_{j,n})$ is antiequivalent (via the $\mathbb{D}^{-1}$ functor) to $p-FF(\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{j,n}^{\wedge}))$, $j \in \{0,2\}$. So corresponding to $M_{Q_{j,n}}/p^n M_{Q_{j,n}}$ and ∇_j^n, we get a finite, flat, commutative group scheme

$$D_{j,n}$$

over $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{j,n}^{\wedge})$ of rank p^{nd_M}. Due to the uniqueness of ∇_j^n, $(\ell_j^n)^*(D_{j,n})$ can be naturally identified with $D_{j,n+1}[p^n]$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \{0,2\}$. So the objects $M_{Q_j}/p^n M_{Q_j}$ together with the connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} Q_j/p^n Q_j$ obtained from $\nabla_{j,n}$ by extension of scalars, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, when put together (i.e. when we consider the limit of the $\mathbb{N}$-projective system they define naturally) "give birth" under the mentioned antiequivalence to a p-divisible group D_j over $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_j)$: we have $D_j[p^n] = D_{j,n_{\mathrm{Spec}(Q_j)}}$. Let ∇_j be the connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} Q_j$ which makes M_{Q_j} to be a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(Q_j)$. As the connections ∇_j^n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, respect the G-action, ∇_j respects as well the G-action; so $\nabla_j(t_\alpha) = 0$, $\forall \in \mathcal{J}$. We conclude:

Corollary. *The pair*

$$D(j) := (D_j, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$$

is a Shimura p-divisible group over Q_j.

3.6.2.0. A fact. Let $\tilde{\ell}_j$ be as in 3.6.1.3 4). From the UP of 3.6.1.3 4) we get:

$$(M_{\tilde{Q}_j}, \tilde{\nabla}_j) = \mathbb{D}((\tilde{\ell}_j^{\infty})^*(D_j)).$$

3.6.2.1. Some properties. For simplifying the notations we assume now, till the end of 3.6.5, that $k = \bar{k}$. We also assume h^{-1} (resp. $g_2 h^{-1}$) mod p does not define a point of $\mathcal{B}_0(k)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{B}_2(k)$). So we have the following properties (the first three, i.e. a) to c), are a consequence of the shape of the Frobenius lift Φ_{R_j} of $R_j^{\wedge}$ of 3.6.0).

a) $a_j^*(D(j))$ is the Shimura p-divisible group $(\mathbb{D}^{-1}(M, F^1, h\varphi_0), (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}))$, $j \in \{0,2\}$.

b) The pull back of $D(0)$ through any $W(k)$-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q_0)$ which composed with ℓ_0 is the $W(k)$-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to U_0^0$ defining h^{-1}, is the Shimura p-divisible group $(\mathbb{D}^{-1}(M, F^1, \varphi_0), (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}))$.

c) The pull back of $D(2)$ through any $W(k)$-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q_2)$ which composed with ℓ_2 is the $W(k)$-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to U_2^0$ defining $g_2 h^{-1}$, is the Shimura p-divisible group $(\mathbb{D}^{-1}(M, F^1, g_2\varphi_0), (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}))$.

d) We denote by

$$O_0 := W(k)[[w_1, \ldots, w_d]]$$

the $W(k)$-algebra of the completion of G (or of U_0^0 or U_2^0) in a_0. It is the same as the $W(k)$-algebra of the completion of $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_j)$ in a^j, $j \in \{0,2\}$; so we have $O_0 = \hat{R}_0^0 = \hat{R}_2^0$. The pull backs of $D(0)$ and $D(2)$ to $\mathrm{Spec}(O_0)$ are denoted by D_0^0 and respectively by D_2^0. The p-divisible objects with tensors of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(O_0)$ they define are both Shimura filtered F-crystals: $(M, F^1, h\varphi_0, G, \tilde{f}_0, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ and respectively $(M, F^1, h\varphi_0, G, \tilde{f}_2, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$. Warning: the Frobenius lift of O_0 corresponding to D_0^0 (i.e. defined by $\tilde{f}_0$) is different from the Frobenius lift of O_0 corresponding to D_2^0 (i.e. defined by $\tilde{f}_2$).

But these two Shimura filtered F-crystals are induced one from each other, cf. [Fa2, i) and iii) after th. 10] (the mentioned two Frobenius lifts of O_0 are as needed for applying loc. cit., cf. the way we defined Φ_{R_j} in 3.6.0). This means that there are $W(k)$-homomorphisms

$$O_0 \underset{\omega_2}{\overset{\omega_0}{\rightrightarrows}} O_0$$

such that the Shimura filtered F-crystal with tensors associated to the pull back of D_j^0 through the morphism of schemes associated to ω_j, is $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-isomorphic (in the same sense as in 2.2.9 6)) to $(M, F^1, h\varphi_0, G, \tilde{f}_{2-j}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$, under an isomorphism lifting the identity automorphism of the Shimura p-divisible group of a), $\forall j \in \{0,2\}$.

3.6.2.2. Terminology. We call this last fact, that D_0^0 and D_2^0 are induced one from each other, the very weak gluing principle. It is trivial to check, using 2.2.21, that we can actually choose ω_j, $j \in \{0,2\}$, to be isomorphisms of $W(k)$-algebras, and we call this fact the weak gluing principle.

3.6.3. Pull backs to Witt rings. We consider (cf. 3.6.2) the following Lie p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}^{\nabla}(Q_j)$:

$$End(M_{Q_j}) := \big(\mathrm{End}(M)\otimes Q_j, r_j \circ \ell_j(h\varphi_0 \otimes 1), F^0(\mathrm{End}(M))\otimes Q_j, F^1(\mathrm{End}(M))\otimes Q_j, \nabla_j\big)$$

(all tensors products are over $W(k)$). Here, as in 2.2.10, we still denote by ∇_j the natural connection on $\mathrm{End}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} Q_j$.

Similarly, we define $\mathfrak{g}_{Q_j}$ by working with $\mathfrak{g}$ instead of $\mathrm{End}(M)$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $End(M_{Q_j}/p^n Q_j) := End(M_{Q_j})/p^n End(M_{Q_j})$ is a Lie object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}^{\nabla}(Q_j)$, having (as ∇_j respects the G-action and $r_j\ell_j \in G(Q_j)$) $\mathfrak{g}_{Q_j}/p^n\mathfrak{g}_{Q_j}$ as a Lie subobject, $j \in \{0,2\}$. As in Fact 3 of 2.2.10 (see also the general principle of 2.2.20 5)), we get:

Fact. *For any $W(k)$-morphism $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k^1)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q_j)$, with k^1 a perfect field containing k, the pull back of $(M_{Q_j}, \nabla_j, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ through z is a Shimura filtered σ_{k^1}-crystal with an emphasized family of tensors*

$$\big(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k^1), F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k^1), \varphi_j^1, G_{W(k^1)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}\big),$$

where $\varphi_j^1 = g_j^1\varphi_0$ for some element $g_j^1 \in G(W(k^1))$.

So we can speak about the Shimura filtered Lie σ_{k^1}-crystal attached to it: its underlying $W(k^1)$-module is $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k^1)}) = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{W(k)} W(k^1) \subset \mathrm{End}(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k^1))$.

3.6.4. Generic pull backs. Considering an arbitrary point $z^j : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k_j)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q_j)$, with k_j a perfect field containing k, such that the induced point $\mathrm{Spec}(k_j) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q_j/pQ_j)$ sits over the generic point of $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_j/pQ_j)$, we get (cf. 3.6.3) a Shimura filtered σ_{k_j}-crystal

$$\mathfrak{C}_j := \bigl(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_j), F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_j), \varphi^j, G_{W(k_j)}\bigr),$$

with $\varphi^j = g^j\varphi_0$ for some element $g^j \in G(W(k_j))$. The Shimura filtered Lie σ_{k_j}-crystal

$$Lie(\mathfrak{C}_j) := \bigl(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_j), \varphi^j, F^0(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_j), F^1(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_j)\bigr)$$

has a Newton polygon which does not depend on $j \in \{0,2\}$ (cf. 3.6.2 d)) and is below the Newton polygon of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_0)$ (as $\mathfrak{C}_0$ specializes to (M, F^1, φ_0), cf. 3.6.2 b)). Moreover $\mathfrak{C}_2$ specializes to $(M, F^1, g_2\varphi_0)$ and so $Lie(\mathfrak{C}_2)$ specializes to $(\mathfrak{g}, g_2\varphi_0)$ (cf. 3.6.2 c)).

3.6.5. Remarks. 1) We could have worked to achieve the connection of the Shimura σ-crystal $(M, g_2\varphi_0, G)$ to a Shimura σ-crystal expected to be G-ordinary working with just one deformation, cf. 3.6.7 below. We preferred to work with two global deformations (over Q_0 and Q_2) as we hope that this method will be useful in other contexts: we have in mind applications to the construction of integral models of Shimura varieties of special type by using moduli schemes of p-divisible groups when possible and when not of generalized Shimura p-divisible objects, as well as applications to p-adic uniformizations of Shimura varieties, cf. also 3.6.19 below.

2) Theoretically it can happen that h^{-1} mod p belongs to $\mathcal{B}_0(k)$ or that g_2h^{-1} mod p belongs to $\mathcal{B}_2(k)$ (cf. the constructions of 3.6.8.2 below). Then we can not go ahead with 3.6.2-4. So we have to proceed more carefully, cf. 3.6.7 below.

3) We denote the p-divisible group D_j as well as the Shimura p-divisible group $D(j)$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_j)$ introduced in 3.6.2 by

$$\mathcal{D}(U_j^0, \Phi_{R_j}, M, F^1, h\varphi_0, G),$$

in order to emphasize the data needed to construct them.

3.6.6. Lemma. *We consider the set $\mathcal{L}$ of k-valued points of G obtained from the set D_G of 3.2.10 by reduction mod p. We have: it is dense in G_k.*

Proof: Let H be the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{L}$ in G_k. Writing $g = p_1n_1$, with p_1 normalizing F^1 and with $n_1 \in \mathrm{Ker}(G(W(k)) \to G(k))$, we get that $\mathcal{L}$ is the image at the level of k-valued points of a k-morphism

$$m_H : \tilde{H} \to H$$

of varieties: we take $\tilde{H} := P_{0k} \times_k P_k \times U_k$, with P_k as the parabolic subgroup of G_k normalizing F^1/pF^1 and with U_k as the affine k-variety defined naturally by the k-vector space underlying $\mathfrak{g}/p\mathfrak{g} + F^0(\mathfrak{g})$; the morphism m_H is easily describable starting from

3.2.10 (FORM) by working mod p. As $\tilde{H}(k)$ is Zariski dense in $\tilde{H}$ (see [Bo2, 18.3]) we get that m_H is a dominant k-morphism.

For a Galois extension k_1 of k, we denote by $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset G(k_1)$ and $H_1 \hookrightarrow G_{k_1}$ the analogues of $\mathcal{L}$ and H obtained by working over $W(k_1)$ instead of $W(k)$. $\mathcal{L}_1$ is stable under the natural action of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(k_1/k)$ on $G(k_1)$ and moreover $\mathcal{L}$ is a subset of the subset of $\mathcal{L}_1$ formed by elements fixed by it. In fact the similarly constructed k_1-morphism m_{H_1} is nothing else but the extension of m_H to k_1 (see the end of the previous paragraph). So if $H_1 = G_{k_1}$ then $H = G_k$. So we can assume $k = \bar{k}$ (in fact for most of below arguments it is enough to assume G is split). This allows us to replace (in the definition of $\mathcal{L}$ and H) φ_0 by φ_1 (cf. 3.2.3, 3.3.1-2 and the translation part of 3.3.3).

We use the notations of 3.4.0-3. H is $Z(G_k)$-invariant and $Z(G_k) \subset H$. It is enough to work with a subset I_0 of I corresponding to a cycle γ_0 of γ; we just need to show that H contains the subgroup of G generated by G_i, $i \in I_0$.

For $i \in I_0$, let N_i^+ be the integral, connected, smooth, unipotent, abelian subgroup of G_i having $F^1(\mathfrak{g}_i)$ as its Lie algebra and let N_i^- be the integral, connected, smooth, unipotent, abelian subgroup of G_i which is the opposite of N_i^+ w.r.t. the action of T_i (via inner conjugation) on G_i. So we have

$$\mathrm{Lie}(N_i^-) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in \Phi_i^+ \\ \tilde{g}_i(\alpha) \subset \mathrm{Lie}(N_i^+)}} \tilde{g}_i(-\alpha).$$

We consider the following two cases:

1) $\left|\tilde{I}_0\right| = 1$, and

2) $\left|\tilde{I}_0\right| \geq 2$.

We first treat the case 1). So $\tilde{I}_0 = I_1 = I_0 = \{1\} = \{i\}$. Let exp be the exponential map which takes $x \in p\mathrm{Lie}(N_i^-)$ into the $W(k)$-valued point of G defined by the automorphism $1_M + x$ of M. Let $P_0(i) := G_i \cap P_0$. Working with an arbitrary element $p_0 \in P_0(i)(W(k))$ and with

$$g \in \exp\bigl(p\mathrm{Lie}(N_i^-)\bigr),$$

we deduce (as $\varphi_1(p\mathrm{Lie}(N_i^-)) = \mathrm{Lie}(N_i^-)$, cf. 3.4.3.2 and the fact that $\tilde{I}_0$ has only 1 element) that H contains the product of $P_0(i)_k$ and of $N_i^-{}_k$; from [Bo2, 14.14] we get that H contains G_{ik}.

The case 2) is very much the same. For $i \in \tilde{I}_0$, we define $G_i := G_{i'}$, where $i' \in I_0$ is such that $n = |I_0|$ divides $i - i'$; similarly we use the same approach mod n for different other groups or Lie algebras or sets of roots indexed by $i \in \tilde{I}_0$ (so $\Phi_i^+ = \Phi_{i'}^+$, etc.). Warning: sometimes, in what follows we specifically state that $i \in I_0$, i.e. sometimes the correct set of indices is I_0 and not $\tilde{I}_0$; when this is not stated explicitly, then $i \in \tilde{I}_0$.

Let

$$M_i := \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\Phi_i^- \\ \tilde{g}_i(\alpha)\not\subset\mathrm{Lie}(N_i^-)\oplus\mathrm{Lie}(P_0(i))}} \mathbb{G}_{a,\alpha(i)}.$$

Here $\mathbb{G}_{a,\alpha(i)}$ is the $\mathbb{G}_a$ subgroup of G_i having $\tilde{g}_i(\alpha)$ as its Lie algebra, $P_0(i) := G_i \cap P_0$, while the sum sign $\sum$ refers to the fact that we take the subgroup of G_i generated by these $\mathbb{G}_a$ subgroups. M_i is a connected, unipotent, smooth subgroup of G_i.

We choose $g = \prod_{i\in\tilde{I}_0} g_i$, with $g_i \in G_i(W(k))$ of the form $g_i = v_i w_i$, where

$$v_i \in \exp\bigl(p\mathrm{Lie}(N_i^-)\bigr)$$

and

$$w_i \in M_i(W(k)),$$

$\forall i \in \tilde{I}_0$. We also choose

$$p_0 = \prod_{i\in\tilde{I}_0} p_0^i,$$

with $p_0^i \in P_0(i)(W(k))$. For $\tilde{g} \in G(W(k))$ normalizing F^1/pF^1, we denote

$$\varphi_1(\tilde{g}) := \varphi_1\tilde{g}\varphi_1^{-1} \in G(W(k)).$$

We have $e \in \{1, 2\}$, cf. 3.4.3.1 and the beginning paragraph of 3.4. To study $\tilde{h}$ defined by the equality $\tilde{h}\varphi_1 = gp_0\varphi_1 g^{-1}$, we consider two subcases.

We first assume $|I_0| = 1$; so $\left|\tilde{I}_0\right| = 2$. We consider the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of G constructed as in 2.2.9 8) starting from (M, F^1, φ_1, G). Using it, it makes sense to speak about σ acting on $W(k)$-valued or k-valued points of G. We take v_2, w_2 and p_0^2 to be 1_M. We get $\tilde{h} = v_1 w_1 p_0^1 \varphi_1(w_1^{-1})\varphi_1(v_1^{-1})$. So $\tilde{h}$ mod p is

$$\bar{w}_1\bar{p}_0^1\sigma(\bar{w}_1^{-1})\bar{s}_1,$$

where $\bar{w}_1$, $\bar{p}_0^1$ and $\bar{s}_1$ are the reduction mod p of respectively w_1, p_0^1 and $\varphi(v_1^{-1})$. The role of $\bar{s}_1$ is that of an arbitrary element of $\sigma(N_1^-)(k)$.

We write $\bar{s}_1 = \bar{m}_1\bar{s}_{11}$, with $\bar{m}_1 \in M_1(k) \subset \sigma(N_1^-)(k)$ and with $\bar{s}_{11} \in \sigma(N_1^-)(k)$. We have $\sigma(\bar{w}_1^{-1})\bar{m}_1 = \bar{m}_1\sigma(\bar{w}_1^{-1})\tilde{s}_{11}$, with $\tilde{s}_{11} \in N_1^- \cap \sigma(N_1^-)(k)$; this is an immediate consequence of the formula of [BT, 4.2 (1)]. Using [SGA3, Vol. III, 4.1.2 of p. 172], we can write

$$\bar{p}_0^1\bar{m}_1 = \bar{m}_{11}\bar{p}_0^{1,1},$$

with $\bar{m}_{11} \in M_1(k)$ and with $\bar{p}_0^{1,1} \in P_0(1)(k)$, provided we choose $\bar{p}_0^1$ to be a k-valued point of a small enough open subscheme of $P_0(1)_k$ containing its origin. We can always choose $\bar{m}_1$ such that $\bar{w}_1\bar{m}_{11}$ is the identity element. Denoting $\bar{s}_{111} := \tilde{s}_{11}\bar{s}_{11}$, we get that $\tilde{h}$ mod p can be written as

$$\bar{p}_0^{1,1}\sigma(\bar{w}_1^{-1})\bar{s}_{111}.$$

$\bar{p}_0^{11}$, $\sigma(\bar{w}_1^{-1})$ and $\bar{s}_{111}$ are running independently through the k-valued points of an open subscheme of $\bar{P}_0(i)_k$ containing the origin, of $\sigma(M_1)_k$ and respectively of $\sigma(N_1^-)_k$. So, from [Bo2, 14.14] we get that $\mathcal{L}$ contains the k-valued points of an open, dense subscheme of $\bar{G}_1$; so H contains $\bar{G}_1$.

From now on we assume $|I_0| \geq 2$. Let

$$h_i := v_i w_i p_0^i \varphi_1(w_{i-1}^{-1}) \varphi_1(v_{i-1}^{-1}).$$

It is enough to show that the Zariski closure $Z_H(I_0)$ in $\prod_{i\in I_0} {G_i^{\rm ad}}_k$ of the k-valued points of $\prod_{i\in I_0} {G_i^{\rm ad}}_k$ defined naturally by reductions mod p of $\tilde{h}$'s, is $\prod_{i\in I_0} {G_i^{\rm ad}}_k$ itself. Let $\tilde{h}_i$ be the image of h_i in ${G_i^{\rm ad}}_k$. If $e = 1$, the image of $\tilde{h}$ in ${G_i^{\rm ad}}_k$ is $\tilde{h}_i$. If $e = 2$ and if p_0^1,..., p_0^n are all 1_M, for $i \in I_0$, the image of $\tilde{h}$ in $G_i^{\rm ad}(k)$ is nothing else but the image of $v_i w_i v_{i+n} w_{i+n} p_0^{i+n} \varphi_1(w_{i+n}^{-1}) \varphi_1(v_{i+n}^{-1}) \varphi_1(w_i^{-1}) \varphi_1(w_i^{-1})$ in $G_i^{\rm ad}(k)$ and so is of the form

$$(EXPR) \qquad\qquad \tilde{h}_i^1 \tilde{h}_{i+n} \tilde{h}_i^2,$$

where $\tilde{h}_i^1$, $\tilde{h}_i^2 \in G_i^{\rm ad}(k)$ depend only on w_i and on $\varphi_1(w_{i_1}^{-1})\varphi_1(v_{i_1}^{-1})$ (i.e. only on "ingredients" contributing to the expression of $\tilde{h}_i$).

Let $\tilde{N}_i$ be the integral, connected, smooth, unipotent subgroup of G_i having $\varphi_1(p\mathrm{Lie}(N_{i-1}^-))$ as its Lie algebra. The role of $\varphi_1(v_{i-1}^{-1})$ is that of an arbitrary element $\tilde{n}_i$ of $\tilde{N}_i(W(k))$.

Let $\tilde{N}_i^0$ be the integral, connected, smooth, unipotent subgroup of G whose Lie algebra is $\varphi_1(\mathrm{Lie}(M_{i-1}))$. Let

$$\tilde{N}_i^1 := M_i \cap \tilde{N}_i^0,$$

and let

$$\tilde{N}_i^2 := N_i^- \cap \tilde{N}_i^0.$$

The groups $\tilde{N}_i$, $\tilde{N}_i^0$, $\tilde{N}_i^1$ and $\tilde{N}_2$ are unipotent subgroups of G_i. $\tilde{N}_i^2$ is a normal subgroup of $\tilde{N}_i^0$. Moreover, $\tilde{N}_i^0$ is the semidirect product of $\tilde{N}_i^2$ and of $\tilde{N}_i^1$. The role of $\varphi_1(w_{i-1}^{-1})$ is that of an arbitrary element of $\tilde{N}_i^0(W(k))$. So we can write

$$\varphi_1(w_{i-1}^{-1}) = \tilde{n}_i^1 \tilde{n}_i^2,$$

with $\tilde{n}_i^s \in \tilde{N}_i^s(W(k))$, $s \in \{1, 2\}$. We get $h_i = v_i w_i p_0^i \tilde{n}_i^1 \tilde{n}_i^2 \tilde{n}_i$.

Using again [SGA3, Vol. III, 4.1.2 of p. 172] we can write

$$h_i = v_i w_i \bar{n}_i^1 \tilde{p}_0^i \tilde{n}_i^2 \tilde{n}_i,$$

with $\bar{n}_i^1 \in \tilde{N}_i^1(W(k))$ and $\tilde{p}_0^i \in P_0(i)(W(k))$, provided we choose p_0^i to be a $W(k)$-valued point of an open subscheme of $P_0(i)$ containing the origin and small enough. Loc. cit. can be applied as the product $P_0(i)\tilde{N}_i^1$ is an open subscheme of the parabolic subgroup of G_i generated by $P_0(i)$ and $\tilde{N}_i^1$. Fixing some $i \in \tilde{I}_0$, $\bar{n}_i^1$, $\tilde{p}_0^i$, $\tilde{n}_i^2$ and $\tilde{n}_i$ are allowed to run independently through open, dense subschemes through which the origin of G factors of subgroups of G_i of whose Lie algebras are forming a direct sum decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_i$; we refer to this property as IND.

We write $w_i = \tilde{w}_i^2\tilde{w}_i^1$, with $\tilde{w}_i^1 \in \tilde{N}_i^1(W(k))$ and with $\tilde{w}_i^2$ a $W(k)$-valued point of the connected, smooth subgroup of M_i of whose Lie algebra is the direct summand of $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{N}_i^1)$ in $\mathrm{Lie}(M_i)$ normalized by T. We refer to $\tilde{w}_i^1$ as the component of w_i in $\tilde{N}_i^1(W(k))$. Similarly, below we refer to the component of any $W(k)$-valued point of M_{i1} in $M_{i2}(W(k))$; here M_{i1} is an arbitrary connected, smooth subgroup of M_i which is the semidirect product of two connected subgroups of it normalized by T, one of them being M_{i2}. Warning: such components are always written down on the right side of products of two elements. Redenoting $\tilde{p}_i := \tilde{p}_i^0$, we get

$$h_i = v_i\tilde{w}_i^2\tilde{w}_i^1\bar{n}_i^1\tilde{p}_i\tilde{n}_i^2\tilde{n}_i.$$

Key point. *The* 1 *dimensional* $B(k)$*-vector spaces* $\tilde{g}_i(\alpha)[\frac{1}{p}]$, $i \in I_0$, *which are not included in* $\mathrm{Lie}(P_1)[\frac{1}{p}] = \mathrm{Lie}(P_0)[\frac{1}{p}]$ *(see 3.3.2 for this identification), are permuted by* φ_1 *in such a way that in any resulting cycle there is such a* $B(k)$*-vector space contained in* $\mathrm{Lie}(N_i^-)[\frac{1}{p}]$, *for some* $i \in I_1$.

The key point is a consequence of 3.4.3.0 (1) and of the signs of $s_i(\alpha)$'s as explained in 3.4.3.0 (based on the end of 3.2.3). So we have:

Claim. $\forall i \in \tilde{I}_0$, $\tilde{h}_i$ *mod* p *runs independently through the* k*-valued points of an open, dense subscheme of* G_{ik}^{ad}.

To prove the Claim, we fix arbitrarily some $i \in \tilde{I}_0$; for simplifying the presentation, we assume it is the biggest element of $\tilde{I}_0$. As v_i is congruent to the identity mod p, $\tilde{h}_i$ can be defined as well as the image of $v_i^{-1}h_i$ in $G_i^{\mathrm{ad}}(k)$. We choose w_i to be an arbitrary point of an open, dense subscheme of M_i containing the origin and small enough. We now choose g_{i-1} and p_0^i (i.e. we choose $\bar{n}_i^1$, $\tilde{p}_0^i$, $\tilde{n}_i^2$ and $\tilde{n}_i$, cf. IND) such that $v_i^{-1}h_i$ is an arbitrary element of an open, dense subscheme of G_i containing the origin. So the Claim holds for $\tilde{h}_i$ mod p. We can choose g_{i-1} (more precisely $\bar{n}_i^1$) to depend only on the component $\tilde{w}_i^1$ of w_i. Next, we choose inductively on $s \in S(2, \left|\tilde{I}_0\right| - 1\})$ elements g_{i-s} and p_0^{1+i-s} such that $v_{i+1-s}^{-1}h_{i+1-s}$ is an arbitrary element of an open, dense subscheme of G_{i+1-s} through which the origin factors. Moreover, we can assume g_{i-2} depends only on the component $\tilde{w}_i^{12} \in \tilde{N}_i^{12}(W(k))$ of $\tilde{w}_i^1$, where $\tilde{N}_i^{12}$ is the connected, smooth subgroup of $\tilde{N}_i^1$ whose Lie algebra is $\varphi_1(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{N}_{i-1}^1)) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{N}_i^1)$, etc. This takes care of the Claim except for 1. The above key point implies: $v_1^{-1}h_1$ is as well an arbitrary element of an open, dense subscheme of G_1 containing the origin. In other words, a similar choice of g_i (i.e. of w_i and v_i) and of p_0^1 is possible, which does not depend on the initial choice of w_i (i.e. the last component $\tilde{w}_i^{12\ldots\left|\tilde{I}_0\right|}$ –of $\tilde{w}_i^{12\ldots\left|\tilde{I}_0\right|-1}$– we define inductively is 1_M). So we can choose as the "new" w_i, the initial element w_i we started with. So the Claim holds as well for 1; this proves the Claim.

From the Claim and (EXPR) we get: $Z_H(I_0)$ is $\prod_{i\in I_0} G_{i\ k}^{\mathrm{ad}}$. This ends the proof of the Lemma.

3.6.6.0. Exercise. If $g_2 \in D_G$ and $g_3 \in G(W(k))$ mod p is the identity element, then $(M, g_3g_2\varphi_0)$ has τ_0 as its formal isogeny type and $(\mathfrak{g}, g_3g_2\varphi_0)$ has the same Newton polygon as $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_0)$. Hint: use the ideas of the proof of 3.6.6 and of b) of 4.4.1 2) below; by induction on $m \in \mathbb{N}$ show that we can assume g_3 mod p^m is the identity element and so, choosing m big enough, 3.3.4 and [Ka2, 1.4.4] apply.

This Exercise is referred in what follows just to point out some alternatives or possible shortcuts in 3.6.6.1 2), 4.6.6 and Appendix, and so it is not used before Appendix. See 3.6.17 for a solution of it (deviating to some extent from its hint).

3.6.6.1. Remarks. 1) Another proof of 3.6.6 (and actually of the stronger fact that $\mathcal{L} \supset U_1(k)$, with U_1 an open subscheme of G_k containing its origin; warning: the above proof of 3.6.6 also obtains this stronger fact) can be obtained using 3.4.8, 3.4.11 and 3.6.10 below.

2) A fast proof of 3.1.3 a) and b) together with the inclusion $A_G \subset B_G$ can be obtained by putting together 3.6.6, 3.3.4, 3.4.11 and 3.6.10 below; also: the use of 3.4.11 can be substituted by 3.6.6.0.

3.6.6.2. Some extensions of 3.6.6. 3.6.6 can be entirely adapted (cf. its proof) to the generalized Shimura context. One just needs to show that the case $e = 3$ can be treated entirely similar. For $e = 3$, the subcase $|I_0| \geq 2$ of the Case 2) of 3.6.6 needs no modifications: one just needs to take p_0^{n+1},..., p_0^{2n} to be as well identity elements; the subcase $|I_0| = 1$ and $\left|\tilde{I}_0\right| = 3$ can be treated entirely similar to the first subcase of the Case 2) of 3.6.6. The exponential map as used in 3.6.6, still makes sense in the generalized Shimura context: it can be used with the same purpose (as we can see mod p^2); this is still part of the first place where we need $p \geq 3$.

3.6.7. One global deformation. Section 3.6 started with the desire of connecting the (arbitrary) Shimura filtered σ-crystal $(M, F^1, g_2\varphi_0, G)$ with the (extension to $\bar{k}$ of the) Shimura filtered σ-crystal (M, F^1, φ_0, G) or with another Shimura filtered $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal which is –supposed to be– a G-ordinary $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal. This is achieved as follows.

Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ be an open, affine subscheme of G, with $\mathcal{U}(W(k))$ containing 1_M. We assume there is an étale $W(k)$-morphism $b_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{U} \to Y$, with the origin of G factoring through the closed subscheme of $\mathcal{U}$ obtained as the inverse image through $b_{\mathcal{U}}$ of the closed subscheme of Y defined by $z_i = 0$, $i = \overline{1,d}$. If needed, we replace $\mathcal{U}$ by a suitable open, affine subscheme of it, so that the condition ii) of the beginning of 3.6 is satisfied for $b_{\mathcal{U}}$. Let Φ_{R_1} be the Frobenius lift of $\mathcal{U}^\wedge$ obtained through $b_{\mathcal{U}}$ from the Frobenius lift of Y which (at rings' level) takes z_i to z_i^p, $i = \overline{1,d}$.

Let $D_1 := \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{U}, \Phi_{R_1}, M, F^1, g_2\varphi_0, G)$ be the p-divisible group over the p-adic completion $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_1)$ of an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale, affine scheme over $\mathcal{U}$, obtained as in 3.6.1.3, cf. 3.6.5 3). Sometimes, not to complicate the notation, we also refer to D_1 as a Shimura p-divisible group, the Shimura structure being the natural one. From 3.6.1.3 5) and 3.6.6 we deduce (as in 3.6.3-4 and 2.3.16) that:

3.6.7.1. Corollary. *The Shimura σ_{k_1}-crystal $\mathfrak{C}_1$ associated to the pull back of D_1 to the spectrum of the algebraic closure k_1 of the field of fractions of Q_1/pQ_1, specializes to $(M, g_2\varphi_0, G)$ and to a Shimura $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal of the form $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k}), g_3(\varphi_0 \otimes 1), G_{W(\bar{k})})$, with $g_3 \in G(W(\bar{k}))$ which mod p belongs to the set $\mathcal{L}(\bar{k})$ defined as the set $\mathcal{L}$ of 3.6.6 but working over $W(\bar{k})$ instead of over $W(k)$.*

3.6.8. The proofs of 3.6.1.2-3. From many points of view this 3.6.8 is the very heart of the whole of 3.6; so, though it uses very concrete situations, the reader should always keep in mind that it captures the very essence of most of what follows after it in 3.6.9-20. We work with just one index j: 0. We have the following 14 steps, supported by the Lemma 3.6.8.2.

1) The complete local case. We recall $\Phi_{\hat{R}_0^0}$ is induced from Φ_{R_0}. There is a unique connection $\nabla_{\hat{R}_0^0}$ on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \hat{R}_0^0$ which makes $M_{\hat{R}_0^0}$ potentially to be viewed as a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(\hat{R}_0^0)$; it is integrable and nilpotent mod p. For these facts cf. [Fa2, th. 10] (and its proof for the uniqueness part): the part of the paragraph before 3.6.1 involving Frobenius lifts allows us to apply loc. cit.

2) The G-action. $\nabla_{\hat{R}_0^0}$ respects the G-action, i.e. it is of the form $\delta_0 + \beta$, with

$$\beta \in \hat{R}_0^0 \otimes_{R_0} (\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{W(k)} \Omega_{R_0/W(k)})$$

(cf. [Fa2, rm. ii) after th. 10]). Here and in what follows, for any formally étale R_0-algebra $\tilde{R}_0$, δ_0 is the (truncation modulo some positive, integral power of p of the) connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{R}_0$ annihilating M.

3) The general form of connections. Let $S(M) := S(1, d_M)$. Let $S(G) := S(1, d)$. We choose a $W(k)$-basis $\{e_i | i \in S(M)\}$ of M. Let $\{e_{is} | i, s \in S(M)\}$ be the canonical $W(k)$-basis of $\mathrm{End}(M)$ w.r.t. this chosen $W(k)$-basis of M; so $e_{is}(e_s) = e_i$. For the sake of generality, for the time being, we make this choice arbitrarily. Later on we specialize to a proper choice (see 3.6.8.5) suitable for computations. $\Omega_{R_0/W(k)}$ is a free R_0-module having $\{dt_l(0) | l \in S(G)\}$ as a basis.

Let $\tilde{l}_0 : \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}_0^\wedge) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$ be a formally étale, affine $W(k)$-morphism, with $\tilde{Q}_0$ a $W(k)$-algebra. An arbitrary connection ∇ on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_0^\wedge$ can be written in the form

$$\nabla = \delta_0 + \sum_{(i,s,l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)} x_{isl} e_{is} \otimes dt_l(0), \tag{0}$$

with $x_{isl} \in \tilde{Q}_0^\wedge$, $\forall (i, s, l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)$. Similarly, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and if ∇ is a connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_0/p^n\tilde{Q}_0$, then we have the same expression for it but with $x_{isl} \in \tilde{Q}_0/p^n\tilde{Q}_0$.

4) The equations. We start treating the case $n = 1$. The condition that a connection ∇ on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_0/p\tilde{Q}_0$ makes $M_{\tilde{Q}_0}/pM_{\tilde{Q}_0}$ potentially to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(\tilde{Q}_0)$, is expressed (cf. 3.6.1.1.1 2)) by the following equations

$$\nabla \circ \Phi(\tilde{Q}_0)^0(m) = p\Phi(\tilde{Q}_0)^0 \circ d\Phi_{\tilde{Q}_0*}/p \circ \nabla(m) = 0, \tag{1}$$

if $m \in F^0 \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_0/p\tilde{Q}_0$, and

$$\nabla \circ \Phi(\tilde{Q}_0)^1(m) = \Phi(\tilde{Q}_0)^0 \circ d\Phi_{\tilde{Q}_0*}/p \circ \nabla(m), \tag{2}$$

if $m \in F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_0/p\tilde{Q}_0$.

5) A new way of looking at these equations. The above equations are replaced, using the chosen form (0) of ∇ (with $x_{isl} \in \tilde{Q}_0/p\tilde{Q}_0$), by equations involving x_{isl}, $(i,s,l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)$, and the Frobenius transforms of some lifts of them to $\tilde{Q}_0$ taken mod p (i.e. and their p-powers). These equations have coefficients in R_0/pR_0 and so they define an affine scheme $\mathcal{S}^1$ of finite type over $\mathcal{S}^0 := \operatorname{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$. $\mathcal{S}^1$ is a moduli scheme of connections. Let

$$\ell(0) : \mathcal{S}^1 \to \mathcal{S}^0$$

be the k-morphism we get.

The nice thing is that these equations involving x_{isl}'s take the form

$$x_{isl} = L_{isl}(x_{111}^p, x_{112}^p, ..., x_{d_M d_M d}^p) + a_{isl}, \tag{3}$$

where the form L_{isl} is homogeneous and linear in each variable and has coefficients in R_0/pR_0 and where $a_{isl} \in R_0/pR_0$. We have such an equation for each triple $(i,s,l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)$. This nice shape (3) of the equations involving x_{isl}'s is obtained as follows. Let $i \in S(M)$. Choosing a $W(k)$-basis $\{\tilde{e}_1^u, ..., \tilde{e}^u_{\dim_{W(k)}(F^u)}\}$ of F^u, $u = \overline{0,1}$, we can write

$$e_i = \sum_{u=0}^{1} \sum_{q=1}^{\dim_{W(k)}(F^u)} a_q(u)\Phi(R_0)^u(\tilde{e}_q^u), \tag{DIV}$$

with all $a_q(u)$'s as elements of $R_0^\wedge$ (we recall: M_{R_0} is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R_0)$). Summing up as suggested by (DIV) the equations obtained by plugging $\tilde{e}_q^0$'s and $\tilde{e}_q^1$'s in (1) and respectively in (2) of 4), we get the mentioned shape for the equations involving x_{isl}, $(s,l) \in S(M) \times S(G)$, in the left hand side of (3). By doing this for any $i \in S(M)$, we obtain "all" equations in the variables x_{isl} (i.e. any other equation produced by (1) and (2) is a linear combination of the ones of (3)).

6) The uniqueness argument. The existence of another (i.e. different) connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_0/p\tilde{Q}_0$ making $M_{\tilde{Q}_0}/pM_{\tilde{Q}_0}$ potentially to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(\tilde{Q}_0)$ corresponds to a non-trivial solution (with values in $\tilde{Q}_0/p\tilde{Q}_0$) of the system of equations

$$x_{isl} = L_{isl}(x_{111}^p, x_{112}^p, ..., x_{d_M d_M d}^p), \tag{4}$$

$(i,s,l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal of R_0/pR_0 generated by $t_l(0)$, $l \in S(G)$. The coefficients of the linear forms L_{isl} are elements of $\mathcal{I}^{p-1}$. This is so due to the fact that $\Phi_{R_0}(t_l(0)) = t_l(0)^p$.

To prove 3.6.1.2 for $n = 1$, we can assume $\tilde{Q}_0$ is a complete, local ring with the maximal ideal generated by $\mathcal{I}$ and residue field $\bar{k}$. By induction on $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we get that any solution of the above system (4) of equations, belongs to $\mathcal{I}^{p-1+pq}\tilde{Q}_0/p\tilde{Q}_0$. So we get that any such solution must be the trivial one, given by $x_{isl} = 0$, $\forall (i,s,l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)$. This proves 3.6.1.2, for $n = 1$.

7) The "non-empty" étale part. From the shape of the equations of (3) we get $\Omega_{\mathcal{S}^1/\mathcal{S}^0} = \{0\}$. On the other hand, the criterion of formal smoothness is satisfied for $\ell(0)$. Argument: we need to show that for any R_0/pR_0-algebra $R(0)$ and for every ideal $I(0)$ of it such that $I(0)^2 = \{0\}$, any solution $(y^0_{111}, ..., y^0_{d_M d_M d})$ of (3) in $R(0)/I(0)$ lifts to a solution of (3) in $R(0)$; but if $(y_{111}, ..., y_{d_M d_M d})$ is an arbitrary $d_M^2 d$-tuple formed by elements of $R(0)$ and lifting $(y^0_{111}, ..., y^0_{d_M d_M d})$, then

$$\big(L_{111}(y^p_{111}, ..., y^p_{d_M d_M d}) + a_{111}, ..., L_{d_M d_M d}(y^p_{111}, ..., y^p_{d_M d_M d}) + a_{d_M d_M d}\big)$$

is a solution of (3) in $R(0)$ lifting $(y^0_{111}, ..., y^0_{d_M d_M d})$.

So $\mathcal{S}^1$ is étale over $\mathcal{S}^0$. Let $\mathcal{S}^1_0$ be the maximal open closed subscheme of $\mathcal{S}^1$ with the property that any connected component of it has a non-empty intersection with the closed subscheme $\ell(0)^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k)$ of $\mathcal{S}^1$. From 6) we get that $\ell(0)^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k)$ is either empty or Spec(k). So $\mathcal{S}^1_0$ is a connected, affine k-scheme. There are to ways to see that it is non-empty. For the first way we just need to point out: from 1) we deduce the existence of a natural formally étale R_0/pR_0-morphism

$$m^0_0 : \mathrm{Spec}(\hat{R}^0_0/p\hat{R}^0_0) \to \mathcal{S}^1$$

factoring through $\mathcal{S}^1_0$. For the second way, avoiding the use of 1), see 8) below.

Lifting now $\mathcal{S}^1_0$ to a smooth, affine $W(k)$-scheme (this is standard), we get a formally étale, affine $W(k)$-morphism $\ell_{0,1} : \mathrm{Spec}(Q^\wedge_{0,1}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R^\wedge_0)$, with $Q_{0,1}$ a smooth $W(k)$-algebra such that $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{0,1}/pQ_{0,1}) = \mathcal{S}^1_0$, which lifts the k-morphism $\mathcal{S}^1_0 \to \mathcal{S}^0$ defined naturally by the k-morphism $\ell(0)$ of 5). From its construction and from 6) we deduce that ii) and iii) of 3.6.1.3 1) are satisfied for $n = 1$ (the fact that the connection ∇^1_0 on $M \otimes_{W(k)} Q_{0,1}/pQ_{0,1}$ we get is integrable, nilpotent mod p and respects the G-action results from the existence of m^0_0, cf. 1)).

Next we present the second way to see that $\mathcal{S}^1_0$ is non-empty.

8) The "non-empty" étale part: a new approach. M_{R_0} is the pull back of M_{S_0} through the natural inclusion $i^0_0 : U^0_0 \hookrightarrow W^0_0$ respecting the Frobenius lifts. So to prove that $\mathcal{S}^1_0$ is non-empty, we can work with M_{S_0} instead of M_{R_0}, i.e. we can assume $G = GL(M)$ and $R_0 = S_0$. So $d = d_M^2$. But in this case in 5) we have $d^2 = d_M^4$ equations in d^2 variables. So the fact that S^1_0 is non-empty is handled by the below Lemma (applied –cf. the étaleness part of 7)– to the reduction of (3) of 5) modulo $\mathcal{I}$); we return to the proofs of 3.6.1.2-3 in 3.6.8.2, after a short intermezzo exploiting it to a greater extend.

3.6.8.1. Fundamental Lemma. *Let $m, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and let k_1 be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. We consider an affine k_1-scheme $\mathcal{Y}_0$ defined via a system of m equations in $m + s$*

variables $x_1, ..., x_{m+s}$, $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, of the form

$$x_i = L_i(x_1, ..., x_{m+s})^{p^l} + c(i), \tag{5}$$

$i = \overline{1, m}$, where L_i is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 1 with coefficients in k_1 and $c(i) \in k_1$, $\forall i \in S(1, m)$. Then $\mathcal{Y}_0$ is a non-empty, smooth k_1-scheme of pure dimension s.

If $s = 0$ then $\mathcal{Y}_0$ is étale over k_1 and the number of elements of the set $\mathcal{Y}_0(\overline{k_1})$ is finite and equal to p^{lm_1}, with $m_1 \in S(0, m)$. Moreover, m_1 depends only on the coefficients of the homogeneous linear forms L_i, $i \in S(1, m)$, and not on the free coefficients $c(i)$, $i \in S(1, m)$. We also have: m_1 is less or equal to the rank r_L of the $m \times m$ matrix A_L obtained using the coefficients of L_i as its rows, $i \in S(1, m)$.

Proof: It is trivial to check (as in 7)) the criterion of formal smoothness for the k_1-morphism $\mathcal{Y}_0 \to \mathrm{Spec}(k_1)$. As $\mathcal{Y}_0$ is of finite type over k_1 we deduce $\mathcal{Y}_0$ is smooth over k_1. $\Omega_{\mathcal{Y}_0/k_1}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_0}$-sheaf of rank s: dx_{m+1},..., dx_{m+s} is a basis of it; so $\mathcal{Y}_0$ is of pure dimension s. For the other things we can assume $s = 0$; so $\mathcal{Y}_0$ is étale over k_1. For the part involving $\mathcal{Y}_0(\overline{k_1})$, we can assume k_1 is algebraically closed.

We use mathematical induction. The case $m = 1$ is obvious. Let now $m \geq 2$. We introduce a new variable x_0, so that the equations become homogeneous:

$$x_i x_0^{p^l - 1} = L_i(x_1, ..., x_m)^{p^l} + c(i) x_0^{p^l}. \tag{6}$$

These equations are defining a closed subscheme $\mathcal{Y}$ of $\mathbb{P}^m_{k_1}$. By repeatedly using the projective dimension theorem (cf. [Ha, p. 48]), we get it is non-empty. If it has no point in the hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}^m_{k_1}$ defined by $x_0 = 0$, then we are done: $\mathcal{Y}_0 = \mathcal{Y}$ and $|\mathcal{Y}_0(k_1)| = p^{ml}$, cf. the intersection theory. If $\mathcal{Y}$ has a point with $x_0 = 0$, then we get that the homogeneous polynomials L_i of degree 1, $i = \overline{1, m}$, are linearly dependent, i.e. there are constants $a(i) \in k_1$, not all 0, such that $\sum_{i=1}^m a(i) L_i = 0$. So also

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a(i)^{p^l} L_i^{p^l} = 0.$$

We can assume $a(m)$ is different from 0 and so we can assume it is 1.

So the initial system of (non-homogeneous) equations is equivalent to the system of equations, where we preserve the first $m-1$ equations, while we replace the last equation (obtained for $i = m$) by the linear equation

$$x_m + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a(i)^{p^l} x_i = c(m) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} c(i) a(i)^{p^l}.$$

This last equation allows us to eliminate x_m. We come across a system of $m-1$ equations in $m-1$ variables of the same type as the original one. Moreover, the coefficients of the new homogeneous linear forms in the variables x_1, x_2,..., x_{m-1} depend on L_i, $i \in S(1,m)$, but do not depend on $c(i)$, $i \in S(1,m)$. So we can proceed by induction. The last part about the estimate of m_1 is obvious: as above, we can eliminate $m - r_L$ variables at once. This proves the Lemma.

3.6.8.1.0. More on m_1. m_1 can be smaller than r_L. In fact easy examples show that, if $r_L < m$, then m_1 can take any value of the set $S(0, r_L)$. Here, we just mention one example to illustrate this phenomenon. We take $m = 2$ and we consider the system defined by the following two equations $x_1 = x_1^{p^l} - x_2^{p^l}$ and $x_2 = x_1^{p^l} - x_2^{p^l}$. So $r_L = 1$. But $m_1 = 0$ as the only solution of this system of equations is the pair $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$.

On the other hand, if $r_L = m$ (i.e. if A_L is an invertible matrix) then the system (5) of equations for $s = 0$ is equivalent to a system of equations of the form

$$y_i^{p^l} = \tilde{L}_i(y_1, ..., y_m), \tag{7}$$

$i = \overline{1,m}$, with $\tilde{L}_i$ as non-homogeneous linear forms; so in this case $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_0$ and $m_1 = m$.

3.6.8.1.1. Corollary. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let (E, τ) be a pair comprising from a field E and an automorphism τ of it. Let $L_i(x_1, ..., x_m)$ be linear forms in m variables with coefficients in E, $i = \overline{1,m}$. We consider the difference system DS of equations*

$$y_i = L_i(\tau(y_1), ..., \tau(y_m)), \tag{8}$$

$i = \overline{1,m}$. We have: DS is non-empty, i.e. it has a solution in the difference closure of (E, τ).

Proof: We recall that the difference closure is a pair (E_1, τ_1), with E_1 a field containing E and with τ_1 an automorphism of it extending τ, such that each difference variety over (E, τ) (see [Hr, §5] for a definition) has a point in (E_1, τ_1) and there is no subpair (E_2, τ_2) of it, with $E_1 \neq E_2$, having all these properties. Its existence and uniqueness up to isomorphism can be proved in the same way as in the case of the algebraic closure of a field.

We can assume (E, τ) is equal to its difference closure. The emerging philosophy of E. Hrushovski and A. Macintyre (see [Hr]) allows us to assume (via ultraproducts of fields) that $E = \mathbb{F}$ and that τ is an integral, non-zero power q of its Frobenius automorphism having $\mathbb{F}_p$ as its fixed field. As the subfield of $\mathbb{F}$ generated by the coefficients of L_i's is finite, we can assume $q > 0$. So the Corollary follows from 3.6.8.1.

3.6.8.1.2. Corollary. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $R(0)$ be an $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra. Let $L_i(x_1, x_2, ..., x_m)$ be homogeneous linear forms in m variables with coefficients in $R(0)$, $i = \overline{1,m}$. We have:*

a) (the abstract surjectivity principle) *For any m-tuple $(c(1), ..., c(m))$ of elements of $R(1)$, with $R(1)$ an $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra containing $R(0)$, the system of equations*

$$x_i = L_i(x_1^{p^n}, x_2^{p^n}, ..., x_m^{p^n}) + c(i), \tag{9}$$

$i=\overline{1,m}$, defines an étale, affine $\mathrm{Spec}(R(1))$*-scheme* $\mathrm{Spec}(R(2))$*. The resulting morphism* $m(2):\mathrm{Spec}(R(2))\to\mathrm{Spec}(R(1))$ *is surjective.*

Moreover, there is an open, dense subscheme $U(0)$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(R(0))$ *such that, regardless of the choice of the m-tuple $(c(1),...,c(m))$, $m(2)$ defines an étale cover above* $U(1):=\mathrm{Spec}(R(1))\times_{\mathrm{Spec}(R(0))}U(0)$*.*

b) (the abstract constructibility property) *Let $R(\infty)$ be the $\mathbb{N}$-inductive limit of $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras $R(q)$, $q\in\mathbb{N}$, $q\geq 2$, with $R(q)$ as the $R(q-1)$-algebra defined by a system of equations of the form of (9) but with $c(i)$ replaced by some $c(i)_q\in R(q-1)$, $i=\overline{1,m}$. Then the image of any connected (resp. irreducible) component of* $\mathrm{Spec}(R(\infty))$ *in* $\mathrm{Spec}(R(1))$ *is a constructible, dense subset of a connected (resp. irreducible) component of* $\mathrm{Spec}(R(1))$*. Moreover the image of any open closed subscheme of* $\mathrm{Spec}(R(\infty))$ *in* $\mathrm{Spec}(R(1))$ *is an open subscheme.*

b') (the *AG* property) *If $R(0)$ is of finite type over k, then* $\mathrm{Spec}(R(\infty))$ *is an AG k-scheme and so has the ALP property.*

c) *If $R(0)$ is a strictly henselian local ring, then the additive map*

$$\mathcal{L}(n):R(0)^m\to R(0)^m$$

defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(n)(x_1,...,x_m):=\big(x_1-L_1(x_1^{p^n},...,x_m^{p^n}),...,x_m-L_m(x_1^{p^n},...,x_m^{p^n})\big)$$

is surjective. Moreover, all its fibres have the same number of elements equal to p^{nm_1}, for some $m_1\in S(0,m)$.

Proof: We first prove a). As the number of the coefficients of the forms L_1,..., L_m is finite, we can assume $R(0)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra. In particular $R(0)$ is a noetherian ring. As in 7) we get that the system (9) of equations (of 3.6.8.1.2) defines an étale, affine morphism $m(2):\mathrm{Spec}(R(2))\to\mathrm{Spec}(R(1))$. 3.6.8.1 implies it is surjective. For the last part, we can assume $R(0)$ is an integral domain. So we just have to show that $U(2):=\mathrm{Spec}(R(2))\times_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)}U(0)$ is finite over $U(1)$, with $U(0)$ a non-empty, open, affine subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(R(0))$ which depends only on the forms L_i, $i=\overline{1,m}$. We can also assume that the p^n-th roots of the coefficients of the linear forms L_i are in $R(0)$. So we can write $L_i(x_1^{p_n},...,x_m^{p^n})=\tilde{L}_i(x_1,...,x_m)^{p^n}$, with $\tilde{L}_i$ as homogeneous linear forms.

We follow the proof of 3.6.8.1. We proceed by induction on $m\in\mathbb{N}$. The case $m=1$ is obvious. Let now $m\geq 2$. If the linear forms $\tilde{L}_i$ are linearly dependent over the field of fractions $K(0)$ of $R(0)$, by localizing $R(0)$ w.r.t. some non-zero element of it, we can eliminate one variable as in the proof of 3.6.8.1; so the induction applies. If the linear forms $\tilde{L}_i$ are linearly independent over $K(0)$, then they are linearly independent, over any point of a non-empty, open, affine subscheme $U(0)$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(R(0))$. So the systems of equations defining $U(2)$ over $U(1)$ can be put in a similar form to 3.6.8.1.0 (7) and so $U(2)$ is a finite $U(1)$-scheme; we get: $U(2)$ is an étale cover of $U(1)$. This proves the a) part.

b) and b') are a consequence of a) using standard noetherian induction on the topological space underlying the spectrum of the $\mathbb{F}_p$-subalgebra of $R(0)$ generated by the coefficients of L_1,..., L_m.

c) is a direct consequence of 3.6.8.1 and of the étale property expressed in a). This ends the proof of the Corollary.

3.6.8.1.3. Definitions. We assume $R(0)$ is reduced. The maximal open subscheme $U(0)$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(R(0))$ having the property of 3.6.8.1.2 a) is called the Artin–Schreier open subscheme defined by the linear homogeneous forms L_1,..., L_m. Using the reductions of L_1,..., L_m modulo the ideal of $R(0)$ defining the complement $\mathrm{Spec}(R(0)) \setminus U(0)$ with its reduced scheme structure, we get similarly an Artin–Schreier open subscheme $U(1)$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(R(0)) \setminus U(0)$: it is dense. In this way, by induction, we get a stratification of $\mathrm{Spec}(R(0))$ in reduced, locally closed subschemes; as the $\mathbb{F}_p$-subalgebra of $R(0)$ generated by the coefficients of the forms L_1,..., L_m is noetherian, this stratification has a finite number of strata $U(q)$, $q = \overline{1, n_L}$, where $n_L \in \mathbb{N}$ depends on L_i's. We call it the Artin–Schreier stratification of $\mathrm{Spec}(R(0))$ defined by the linear forms L_1,..., L_m.

Let now $c(1)$,..., $c(m) \in R(0)$ be arbitrary elements. We take $R(1)$ to be the $R(0)$-algebra defined by the system (9) of equations; it is reduced as $R(0)$ is. We similarly define the refined Artin–Schreier stratification of $\mathrm{Spec}(R(0))$ defined by the linear homogeneous forms L_1,..., L_m. The only difference: instead of $U(0)$, we take its open closed subscheme $U(0)'$ such that the number of geometric points of $\mathrm{Spec}(R(1))$ above any geometric point y of $U(0)'$ is maximal (i.e. does not depend on y and is strictly greater than the number of geometric points of $\mathrm{Spec}(R(1))$ above geometric points of $\mathrm{Spec}(R(0)) \setminus U(0)'$). So, as $R(1)$ is an étale $R(0)$-algebra, it is the stratification indexed by the number of geometric points of $\mathrm{Spec}(R(1))$ we get above geometric points of $\mathrm{Spec}(R(0))$ (see also [EGA IV, 15.5.9]). Its strata are similarly indexed: $U(q)'$, $q = \overline{1, n'_L}$, with $n'_L \in \mathbb{N}$. We have $n_{L'} \geq n_L$.

The nice think about above two stratifications of $\mathrm{Spec}(R(0))$ is: their strata are naturally indexed.

3.6.8.1.4. Lemma (the first form of the purity principle). *All Artin–Schreier stratifications and all refined Artin–Schreier stratifications satisfy the purity condition.*

Proof: We can assume $R(0)$ is a finitely generated, reduced $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra. The following well known result allows us to assume $R(0)$ is as well integral and normal.

Exercise. Let S be an affine, reduced scheme. An open subscheme U of it is affine iff the normalization U^n of U_{red} in a finite, normal extension of the ring of fractions of S is. Hint: We need to show U is affine if U^n is. This is a consequence of Chevalley's theorem (see [Ha, Exc. 4.2 of p. 222]). Loc. cit. is stated with lots of unneeded hypotheses. Using the fact that the morphism $U^n \to U$ is surjective, we get that U is quasi-compact. So using [EGA IV, §8] we can assume S is finitely generated over a field; so loc. cit. applies (cf. also [Ma, 31.H]).

Using induction, we can assume $q = 0$. Let $K(0)$ be the field of fractions of $R(0)$. Let $R(0)^n$ be the normalization of $R(0)$ in the ring of fractions $K(1)$ of $R(1)$. $\mathrm{Spec}(R(1))$

is an open, affine subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(R(0)^n)$. So its complement $C(1)$ is either empty or of pure codimension 1 (easy consequence of [Ma, th. 38, p. 124]). The same holds for its image in $\mathrm{Spec}(R(0))$ which is $\mathrm{Spec}(R(0)) \setminus U(0)$. As $R(0)$ is integral, $U(0) = U(0)'$. This ends the proof.

3.6.8.1.5. Remark. 3.6.8.1 and 3.6.8.1.1-4 remain valid if L_i's are not necessarily (homogeneous) linear forms (in m variables) but sums of monomials which are some p-powers (not necessarily equal) of just one of the variables involved. The reason is: we can introduce new variables and equations of the form $y_i = x_i^p$, so that the new system of equations is of the same form as the one of 3.6.8.1.2 a), with $n = 1$ and (potentially) with an increased number of variables.

We come back to the proof of 3.6.1.2-3.

3.6.8.2. Lemma (the explicit form of the surjectivity principle). *There is a reduced, closed subscheme $\mathcal{B}_0(k)$ of G_k not containing the origin, depending only on the linear forms L_{isl} and not on the coefficients a_{isl}, $(i, s, l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)$, and such that the fibres of $\ell_{0,1}$ above points of* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$ *not belonging to $\mathcal{B}_0(k)$, are non-empty.*

Proof: All schemes to be introduced below are reduced. There is a closed subscheme $\mathcal{R}(0)$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$, different from $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$, and such that denoting by $\mathcal{T}(0)$ its open complement, the morphism $\ell_{0,1}^{-1}(\mathcal{T}(0)) \to \mathcal{T}(0)$ is an étale cover. By elementary matrix operations we see that $\mathcal{R}(0)$ depends only on the linear forms L_{isl} and not on the coefficients a_{isl} (cf. 3.6.8.1.2 a) and its proof). We start constructing $\mathcal{B}_0(k)$.

In what follows $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{i})$ are finite set of indices, $\tilde{i} = \overline{0, d-1}$. All irreducible components of $\mathcal{R}(0)$ not passing through the origin of G_k will be locally closed subschemes $S_u(0)$, $u \in \mathcal{J}(0)$, of $\mathcal{B}_0(k)$. For any irreducible component of $\mathcal{R}(0)$ passing through the origin of G_k, we have to repeat the argument. Let $\mathcal{C}^1$ be the union of all such irreducible components, viewed as a connected, closed subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$. There is (cf. 3.6.8.1.2 a)) an open, dense subscheme $\mathcal{T}(1)$ of $\mathcal{C}^1$, again depending only on the reduction of L_{isl}'s modulo the ideal of R_0/pR_0 defining $\mathcal{C}^1$ and not on the reduction modulo it of the coefficients a_{isl}, $(i, s, l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)$, such that the k-morphism $\ell_{0,1}^{-1}(\mathcal{T}(1)) \to \mathcal{T}(1)$ is an étale cover. Let $\mathcal{R}(1)$ be the subscheme of $\mathcal{C}^1$ defined by the complement of $\mathcal{T}(1)$ in $\mathcal{C}^1$. The irreducible components of $\mathcal{R}(1)$ not passing through the origin of G_k, will be subschemes $S_u(1)$, $u \in \mathcal{J}(1)$, of $\mathcal{B}_0(k)$. We keep going with those irreducible components of $\mathcal{R}(1)$ passing through the origin of G_k.

By induction on $\tilde{i} \in S(0, d-1)$ we construct (d is the dimension of G_k):

a) a connected, closed subscheme $\mathcal{C}^{\tilde{i}+1}$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$ of dimension at most $d - \tilde{i} - 1$, and which is either empty or contains the origin (so $\mathcal{C}^d$ is either empty or is $\mathcal{Z}_k$);

b) a maximal open, dense subscheme $\mathcal{T}(\tilde{i})$ of $\mathcal{C}^{\tilde{i}}$, with $\mathcal{C}^0 := \mathrm{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$, such that the k-morphism $\ell_{0,1}^{-1}(\mathcal{T}(\tilde{i})) \to \mathcal{T}(\tilde{i})$ is an étale cover;

c) closed subschemes $S_u(\tilde{i})$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$, $u \in \mathcal{J}(\tilde{i})$; they are the irreducible components not containing the origin of the closed subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$ defined as the complement $\mathcal{R}(\tilde{i})$ of $\mathcal{T}(\tilde{i})$ in $\mathcal{C}^{\tilde{i}}$.

The formula which allows us to apply the inductive argument is: $\mathcal{C}^{\tilde{i}+1}$ is the union of the irreducible components of $\mathcal{R}(\tilde{i})$ containing the origin. So, from constructions, $\mathcal{R}(d-1)$ is either empty or has dimension 0.

$\mathcal{B}_0(k)$ is defined as the Zariski closure in G_k of the (finite) union of these subschemes $S_u(\tilde{i})$, $u \in \mathcal{J}(\tilde{i})$, with $\tilde{i}$ running from 0 to $d-1$. It does not contain the origin of G_k.

From its construction we deduce that it does not depend on the coefficients a_{isl}, $(i,s,l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)$, and that for any perfect field k_1 containing k we have $\mathcal{B}_0(k_1) = \mathcal{B}_0(k)_{k_1}$. Moreover, we obviously have:

Fact. *The disjoint union* $\cup_{\tilde{i}\in S(0,d)}\mathcal{T}(\tilde{i})$ *is a stratification of the complement of* $\mathcal{B}_k$ *in* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$. *Here* $\mathcal{T}(d)$ *is either empty or is* $\mathcal{Z}_k$, *depending on the fact that there is or there is not an* $\tilde{i} \in S(0,d-1)$ *such that* $\mathcal{Z}_k$ *is a closed subscheme of* $\mathcal{T}(\tilde{i})$. *The Zariski closure of any non-empty* $\mathcal{T}(\tilde{i})$ *contains* $\mathcal{Z}_k$.

From the Fact and b) the Lemma follows.

9) End of the proof for the case $n = 1$. From the fact that the coefficients of L_{isl} are in $\mathcal{I}$ we deduce that the closed subscheme $\ell_{0,1}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k)$ of $\mathcal{S}_0^1$ is $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$. This implies iv) of 3.6.1.3 1). Related to the part of 3.6.1.3 2) referring to $\ell_{0,1}$, we just need to show that the fibres of $\ell_{0,1}$ over geometric points have at most p^{d^2} points. This can be checked as follows. The equations of (3) of 5), are obtained working with the $W(k)$-basis $\{e_{is}|i,s \in S(M)\}$ of $\mathrm{End}(M)$. Using a second $W(k)$-basis of $\mathrm{End}(M)$ such that a subbasis of it is a $W(k)$-basis of $\mathfrak{g}$, as we are dealing with connections respecting the G-action, our variables x_{ijl}'s are as well solution of a system of $d_M^2 d - d^2$-linear equations with coefficients in R_0/pR_0 whose rank is precisely $d_M^2 d - d^2$ in each point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$. As in the proof of 3.1.8.1, we can eliminate $d_M^2 d - d^2$-variables at once: so $\mathcal{S}_0^1$ is a connected component of an $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$-scheme obtained using a system of equations with (coefficients in R_0/pR_0 and with) the property that a subsystem of it is entirely similar to (3) of 5) but involving just d^2 variables; so 3.6.8.1 applies to give us the desired bound on the number of points of geometric fibres of $\ell_{0,1}$. This ends the proof of 3.6.1.3 1) and 2) involving $n = 1$.

10) The inductive statement. By induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we prove 3.6.1.2 and 3.6.1.3 1). We assume we managed to construct $Q_{0,m}$, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ smaller than $n+1$. We now construct $Q_{0,n+1}$ and show that 3.6.1.2 holds for $n+1$.

11) The general form of a lift. Let $\tilde{\ell}_0 : \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}_0^{\wedge}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q_{0,n}^{\wedge})$ be a formally étale, affine $W(k)$-morphism, with $\tilde{Q}_0$ a $W(k)$-algebra. The general form of a connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_0/p^{n+1}\tilde{Q}_0$ lifting the scalar extension of ∇_0^n, is of the form

$$\nabla = \nabla_0^n + \sum_{(i,s,l)\in S(M)\times S(M)\times S(G)} p^n x_{isl} e_{is} \otimes dt_l(0), \tag{10}$$

with all x_{isl}'s belonging to $\tilde{Q}_0/p\tilde{Q}_0$; here we still denote by ∇_0^n a fixed lift of the mentioned scalar extension to a connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{Q}_0/p^{n+1}\tilde{Q}_0$ respecting the G-action.

12) The key point. The condition that this connection ∇ is a connection on $M_{\tilde{Q}_0}/p^{n+1}M_{\tilde{Q}_0}$ is expressed by a system of equations involving x_{isl}'s, which is of the same type as the system (3) of 5). The key point is:

Fact. *We get the same linear forms* L_{isl}, $\forall(i,s,l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)$*!*

However, the new feature is that the free coefficients a_{isl} of 5) are replaced by new coefficients $a_{isl}(n) \in Q_{0,n}/pQ_{0,n}$. The fastest way to see this Fact and the new feature, is to use the same trick as in 8) (for $G = GL(M)$ they are obvious).

As the coefficients a_{isl} have played no role in the steps 5) to 9), we can repeat the arguments of 5) to 9). So we get a moduli scheme $\mathcal{S}^{n+1}$ of connections, which is an étale scheme over $\mathcal{S}_0^n := \mathrm{Spec}(Q_{0,n}/pQ_{0,n})$. We define $\mathcal{S}_0^{n+1}$ in the similar manner: it is the maximal open closed subscheme of $\mathcal{S}^{n+1}$ such that each connected component of it intersects the pull back of $\mathcal{Z}_k$ through the natural k-morphism $\mathcal{S}^{n+1} \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$.

This repetition takes care of 3.6.1.2 and of 3.6.1.3 1) for $n+1$ (again iv) is implied by i), while 1) implies –as in 7)– that ∇_0^{n+1} is integrable, nilpotent mod p, and respects the G-action).

13) Some conclusions. 3.6.1.3 4) results from 3.6.1.3 1). 3.6.1.3 3) is obvious. The fact that the morphism ℓ_0^n mod p is:

– étale, results from the same argument used in 7) (cf. also 3.6.8.1.2 a));

– of finite type results from the construction of $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{0,n+1}/pQ_{0,n+1})$ (it is a closed subscheme of a scheme of finite type over $\mathcal{S}_0^n$);

– quasi-finite, with geometric fibres of cardinality at most p^{d^2}, results from the fact that ∇_0^{n+1} respects the G-action (and so we actually have only d^2 variables) and from the intersection theory (cf. 9)).

This takes care of 3.6.1.3 2).

14) End of the proof. 3.6.1.3 5) and 6) are a consequence of the proof of 3.6.8.2 and of Fact of 12) (cf. 3.6.8.1.2 a) and b')). More precisely, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we construct an open subscheme $\mathcal{S}_1^n$ of $\mathcal{S}_0^n$, such that, under the $W(k)$-morphism ℓ_0^n, $\mathcal{S}_1^{n+1}$ projects surjectively onto $\mathcal{S}_1^n$, as well as $\mathcal{S}_1^1$, under the $W(k)$-morphism $\ell_{0,1}$, projects surjectively onto the open subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$ defined by the complement of $\mathcal{B}_0(k)$.

$\mathcal{S}_1^n$ is formed by removing from $\mathcal{S}_0^n$ the closed subscheme formed by the union of all irreducible components of $\ell_{0,n}^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{i}}^1)$ not containing the closed subscheme $\ell_{0,n}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k) = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$, for $\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{i}}^1$ running through all irreducible components of the scheme $\mathcal{R}(\tilde{i})$ defined in 3.6.8.2, with $\tilde{i}$ as its turn running through the set $S(0, d-1)$.

We check now that $\mathcal{S}_1^{n+1}$ projects surjectively onto $\mathcal{S}_1^n$ (the argument for the fact that $\mathcal{S}_1^1$ projects surjectively onto the open subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$ defined by the complement of $\mathcal{B}_0(k)$ is entirely the same). First, any integral subscheme of $\mathcal{S}_1^{n+1}$ containing (resp. not containing) $\ell_{0,n+1}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k) = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is mapped through ℓ_0^n into an integral subscheme of $\mathcal{S}_1^n$ containing (resp. not containing) $\ell_{0,n}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k) = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$. So we do get a natural étale k-morphism

$$\bar{\ell}_0^n : \mathcal{S}_1^{n+1} \to \mathcal{S}_1^n.$$

To check it is surjective, it is enough to show that any $\bar{k}$-valued point y_n of $\mathcal{S}_1^n$ lifts to a $\bar{k}$-valued point of $\mathcal{S}_1^{n+1}$.

Let $\tilde{i}_n \in S(0,d)$ be such that the image of y_n in $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$ is a point of $\mathcal{T}(\tilde{i}_n)$ (see the notations of 3.6.8.2). As $\bar{\ell}_0^n$ is an étale k-morphism (and so its image is open), as $(\ell_{0,m})^{-1}(\mathcal{T}(\tilde{i}_n)) \to \mathcal{T}(\tilde{i}_n)$, with $m \in \{n, n+1\}$, is an étale cover (cf. 3.6.8.2 b) and 3.6.8.1.2 a)), and as $\mathcal{S}_1^{n+1}$ is a non-empty k-scheme (we have $\ell_{0,n+1}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k) = \mathrm{Spec}(k) \subset \mathcal{S}_1^{n+1}$), we get that y_n lifts to a $\bar{k}$-valued point of $\mathcal{S}_1^{n+1}$.

This ends the proof of 3.6.1.2-3.

3.6.8.3. A digression. What follows is a digression meant just for specialists; it is neither quoted nor used anywhere else, besides the isolated remark 3.6.8.4 4).

A natural question arises: how to compute the connections ∇_j^n and so, how to construct the $W(k)$-morphisms ℓ_j^n (write down the equations defining $Q_{j,n}$)? This is not very difficult (cf. 3.6.8 5); see also 3.6.8.5 and 3.6.18.4 below). Here, as a digression, we show how using Shimura varieties of A_n type ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) we can construct a formally étale, affine $W(k)$-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}_{j,1}^\wedge) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_j^\wedge)$ which factors through $\ell_{j,1}$. We can assume k is the algebraic closure of a countably generated field over $\mathbb{F}_p$; so in particular we have $k = \bar{k}$. We work again with only one index j: 0.

1) M_{R_0} is the pull back of M_{S_0} through the inclusion $i_0^0 : U_0^0 \hookrightarrow W_0^0$. So we can work with M_{S_0} instead of M_{R_0}, i.e. we can assume from now on that $G = GL(M)$ and that $R_0 = S_0$.

2) Substituting M with $\tilde{M} := M \oplus W(k)$ and the direct sum decomposition $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$ with $\tilde{M} = F^1 \oplus \tilde{F}^0$, where $\tilde{F}^0 := F^0 \oplus W(k)$, we replace the Shimura σ-crystal $(M, h\varphi_0, GL(M))$ with the Shimura σ-crystal $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, GL(\tilde{M}))$ (with $\tilde{\varphi}$ acting as $h\varphi_0$ on M and as σ on the $W(k)$ summand of $\tilde{M}$). This is achieved in the following 4 items.

a) We choose arbitrarily a $W(k)$-basis $\{v_1, ..., v_{d_M}\}$ of M; it gives birth to a $W(k)$-basis $\{v_1,...,v_{d_M+1}\}$ of $\tilde{M}$, where v_{d_M+1} is 1 of the summand $W(k)$ of $\tilde{M}$.

b) We view $GL(M)$ as a subgroup of $GL(\tilde{M})$ (with $b_M \in GL(M)(W(k))$ acting as b_M on the summand M of $\tilde{M}$ and as the identity on the other summand $W(k)$ of $\tilde{M}$).

c) We choose $\tilde{S}_0$ to be an open, affine subscheme of $GL(\tilde{M})$ of the form $S_0 \times \mathbb{G}_a^{2d_M} \times \mathbb{G}_m$ (the $\mathbb{G}_a$ factors correspond to elements of $GL(\tilde{M})(W(k))$, viewed as matrices, having 1 on the diagonal and 0 anywhere else, except at the intersection of an i_1-th row with an i_2-th column, where i_1 and i_2 are distinct elements of the set $\{1, 2, ..., d_M + 1\}$ such that the intersection $\{i_1, i_2\} \cap \{d_M + 1\}$ is non-empty; the $\mathbb{G}_m$ factor is the subgroup of $GL(\tilde{M})$ normalizing the summand $W(k)$ of $\tilde{M}$ and fixing M). In order that such a choice of $\tilde{S}_0$ to be possible, we might have to replace S_0 by a suitable open, affine subscheme of it (cf. [SGA3, Vol. III, 4.1.2 of p. 172]; so $S_0 \times \mathbb{G}_a^{2d_M} \times \mathbb{G}_m$ is embedded in $GL(\tilde{M})$ using products of elements, ordered adequately).

d) We choose a Frobenius lift of the p-adic completion of $\tilde{S}_0$ of the form $\Phi_{S_0} \times \Phi_1$ (corresponding to the above product decomposition of $\tilde{S}_0$), with Φ_1 as the Frobenius lift of the p-adic completion of $\mathbb{G}_a^{2d_M} \times \mathbb{G}_m = \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[x_1, ..., x_{2d_M+1}][\frac{1}{x_{2d_M+1}}])$ which takes x_s to x_s^p, $\forall s \in S(1, 2d_M)$, and $x_{2d_M+1} - 1$ to $(x_{2d_M+1} - 1)^p$.

3) The same argument which allowed us to assume G is $GL(M)$, allows us to replace $GL(M)$ with $GL(\tilde{M})$, if $p|d_M$. So we can assume p does not divide d_M.

4) We remark that there is a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ defined by an injective map $f : (G_0, X) \hookrightarrow \big(\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S\big)$ (here we put exceptionally a lower right index 0, i.e. we write G_0, $2e_0 = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(W)$ and $\mathcal{J}_0'$, not to create confusion with the meaning of $G = GL(M)$, $e_M = \dim_{W(k)}(G) = \dim_{W(k)}(GL(M))$ and $\mathcal{J}'$) such that we have a natural monomorphism

$$G = GL(M) \hookrightarrow G_{0W(k)},$$

resulting into a natural identification $G_{0W(k)}^{\mathrm{der}} = SL(M)$ (so the confusion between G and $G_{0W(k)}$ would have not been too serious), and having the property that the Shimura Lie pairs attached to the Shimura group pairs $(G, [\mu])$ and $(G_1, [\tilde{\mu}_x^*])$, are isomorphic (cf. def. 2.2.5 3) and 4)). Here G_1 is the subgroup of $G_{0W(k)}$ generated by $G_{0W(k)}^{\mathrm{der}}$ and by the image of any cocharacter $\tilde{\mu}_x^* : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_{0W(k)}$, whose extension, under an $O_{(v)}$-monomorphism $W(k) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, is $G_0(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to the cocharacters μ_x^*, $x \in X$.

So $G_{0\mathbb{R}}^{\mathrm{der}}$ must be $SU(p_0, q_0)_{\mathbb{R}}$, with $p_0 := \dim_{W(k)}(F^1)$ and $q_0 := \dim_{W(k)}(F^0) = \dim_{W(k)}(M/F^1)$. We can take G_0^{der} to be an arbitrary $\mathbb{Q}$–form of $G_{0\mathbb{R}}^{\mathrm{der}}$ which splits over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ (to be compared with Example 4 of 4.6 below). [Va2, 6.4.2] guarantees the existence of a SHS as above if $p \geq 5$; the existence of such a SHS for $p = 3$ is not a problem: we can assume we have a standard PEL situation $(f, L_{(p)}, v, \mathcal{B})$, cf. 2.3.5.1. For instance, if $p_0 = 1$ and $q_0 = 2$, we can work with a Picard surface $\mathrm{Sh}(G_0, X)$ (cf. [Go]) having a reflex field $E(G_0, X)$ in which p is totally split. The general case is just an obvious extension of the case $p_0 = 1$, $q_0 = 2$ (we have $p_0 q_0 \neq 0$ due to 3.2.2.1). In what follows, as p does not divide d_M, we assume $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ is obtained as in [Va2, 6.5.1.1].

We have a natural étale isogeny $G_1 \to G = GL(M)$ (as p does not divide d_M). It allows us to identify the completion of G_1 in its origin with the completion $\mathrm{Spec}(O_0)$ of G in its origin. So $\mathfrak{g}_1 := \mathrm{Lie}(G_1) = \mathfrak{g}$.

5) We now assume the existence of $z \in \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0(W(k))$ (cf. the standard notations 2.3.1-3 for the SHS introduced in 4)), such that its attached Shimura filtered σ-crystal $\big(M_z, F_z^1, \varphi_z, G_{0W(k)}, (t_\alpha^z)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0'}\big)$ (cf. 2.3.10 and the assumption $k = \bar{k}$) has the property that the Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal $\big(\mathfrak{g}_1, \varphi_z, F_z^0(\mathfrak{g}_1), F_z^1(\mathfrak{g}_1)\big)$, which is naturally a Lie p-divisible subobject of its attached Shimura filtered σ-crystal (as $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is naturally a Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{0W(k)})$), is isomorphic to the Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal $(\mathrm{End}(M), h\varphi_0, F^0(\mathrm{End}(M)), F^1(\mathrm{End}(M)))$.

6) From the assumed existence of z, we get that $\mathfrak{g}_{R_0} = \mathrm{End}(M)_{S_0}$ is (p-adically) algebraizable. To explain what we mean by this, we start with a formally smooth $W(k)$-morphism $m_z : \mathrm{Spec}(O_0) \to \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ lifting z and such that the pull back (through its composite with the natural morphism $m_{\mathrm{nat}} : \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0 \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$) of the p-divisible group $\mathcal{D}_{H_0}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{H_0}$ and of de Rham components of the family of Hodge cycles $\mathcal{A}_{H_0}$ is naturally endowed with), has associated to it a Shimura filtered F-crystal

$$\mathfrak{C} := \bigl(M_z, F_z^1, \varphi_z, G_{0W(k)}, G_1, \bar{f}, (t_\alpha^z)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_0'}\bigr)$$

over O_0/pO_0 (with $\bar{f} : O_0 \tilde{\to} W(k)[[z_1, ..., z_{e_M}]]$ the $W(k)$-isomorphism naturally induced by the $W(k)$-morphism c_0 of ii) before 3.6.0). The existence of m_z, is just [Va2, 5.4] (cf. the first two paragraphs of 2.3.11). Using Artin's approximation theorem (as in the proof of 2.3.15), we get:

7) a smooth morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(S_1) \xrightarrow{\ell_1} \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ and a formally étale, affine morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(S_1^\wedge) \xrightarrow{\ell} W_1^0 := \mathrm{Spec}(S_0) \times_G G_1$ such that the p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(S_1)$ associated to $(m_{\mathrm{nat}} \circ \ell_1)^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{H_0})$ can be put in the form

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\ell_1} = \bigl(M_z \otimes_{W(k)} S_1^\wedge, F_z^1 \otimes_{W(k)} S_1^\wedge, h_1(\varphi_z \otimes 1), \nabla_z\bigr),$$

with $h_1 \in G_{0W(k)}(S_1^\wedge)$ which mod p is defined by ℓ (we view $\mathrm{Spec}(S_0) \times_G G_1$ as an open subscheme of G_1 and so as a locally closed subscheme of $G_{0W(k)}$); if $pr_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(S_1^\wedge) \to \mathrm{Spec}(S_0^\wedge)$ is the p-adic completion of the composite of ℓ with the projection $W_1^0 \to \mathrm{Spec}(S_0)$, then we choose the Frobenius lift Φ_{S_1} of $S_1^\wedge$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Spec}(S_1^\wedge) \arrow[r, "pr_1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{S_1}"] & \mathrm{Spec}(S_0^\wedge) \arrow[d, "\Phi_{S_0}"] \\
\mathrm{Spec}(S_1^\wedge) \arrow[r, "pr_1"] & \mathrm{Spec}(S_0^\wedge)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is cartesian. Warning: the fact that we chose Φ_{S_1} to be obtained via ℓ and pr_1, means that we know that h_1 only mod p is defined by ℓ;

8) a point $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \overset{d_0}{\hookrightarrow} \mathrm{Spec}(S_1)$ such that $l_1 \circ d_0 = z$ and $\ell \circ d_0^\wedge$ is the origin of $W_1^0 \subset G_1$;

9) modulo a power $I_{d_0}^n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{1\}$) of the ideal I_{d_0} of S_1 defining d_0, $\mathfrak{C}_{\ell_1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{C}$ modulo the n-th power of the ideal of O_0 defining the origin of $\mathrm{Spec}(O_0)$.

7) to 9) are just a variant of 2.3.15: the fact that we are not mentioning the polarizations, allows us (see also 2.3.14) to work such a variant in the context of $G_{0W(k)}$ itself and not only of $G_{0W(k)}^0$; by removing extra variables (in the same way we got 2.3.15.1 from 2.3.15), we can assume h_1 mod p is defined by ℓ.

10) So the Lie p-divisible subobject of the Lie p-divisible object $End(\mathfrak{C}_{\ell_1})$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}^\nabla(S_1)$, whose underlying $S_1^\wedge$-module is $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{W(k)} S_1^\wedge$, is

$$\mathfrak{g}_{S_1} := \bigl(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{W(k)} S_1^\wedge, h_1(\varphi_z \otimes 1), F^0(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{W(k)} S_1^\wedge, F^1(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{W(k)} S_1^\wedge, \nabla_z\bigr).$$

11) So the pull back of $End(M_{S_0})/pEnd(M_{S_0}) = \mathfrak{g}_{S_0}/p\mathfrak{g}_{S_0}$ through pr_1, can be viewed as a Lie object (identifiable with $\mathfrak{g}_{S_1}/p\mathfrak{g}_{S_1}$) of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[-1,1]}(S_1)$. This implies the existence of an étale k-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(S_1/pS_1) \to \mathcal{S}_0^1$ which composed with $\ell_{0,1}$ (see 3.6.8 5) for notations) is the k-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(S_1/pS_1) \to \mathrm{Spec}(S_0/pS_0)$ defined by taking pr_1 mod p.

In other words, we can regain the connection of M_{S_1}/pM_{S_1} from the one of $M_z \otimes_{W(k)} S_1^{\wedge}$ and from the one of $End(M_{S_1})/pEnd(M_{S_1})$. In fact, from the proof of [Va2, 6.5.1.1], as $G_{0\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a split group, we get that (cf. also 2.3.16.1 for the passage from the Lie algebras context of 5) to the level of GL-groups) we can assume there is a monomorphism

$$i_z : (M, F^1, h\varphi_0) \hookrightarrow (M_z, F_z^1, \varphi_z)$$

between p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(W(k))$, with $i_z(M)$ as a direct summand of M_z normalized by $G_{0W(k)}$ and such that the image of $G_{0W(k)}$ in $GL(i_z(M))$ is $GL(i_z(M))$ itself.

12) If there is no $z \in \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0(W(k))$ as in 5), we have to choose

$$z_0 \in \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0(W(k))$$

such that its attached Shimura filtered σ-crystal $\big(M_{z_0}, F_{z_0}^1, \varphi_{z_0}, G_{0W(k)}\big)$ has the property that its corresponding Shimura Lie σ-crystal $\big(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_{z_0}, F_{z_0}^0(\mathfrak{g}), F_{z_0}^1(\mathfrak{g})\big)$ is isomorphic to a Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal

$$\big(\mathrm{End}(M), g_3\varphi_0, F^0(\mathrm{End}(M)), F^1(\mathrm{End}(M))\big),$$

with $g_3 \in GL(M)(W(k))$ a $W(k)$-valued point of a "small" open subscheme U_3 of $U_0 \cap U_2$ containing h (cf. 2.3.16). Let U_3^0 be the right translate of U_3 by h^{-1}.

Going once more through the arguments of 5) to 11) but performed just mod p, we can use this part involving a "near by" point z_0, to get that the spectrum $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$ of the normalization of the S_0/pS_0-subalgebra of $\hat{R}_0^0/p\hat{R}_0^0$ (we recall that $R_0 = S_0$) generated by the coefficients of β of 3.6.8 1) taken mod p, is generically étale over $\mathrm{Spec}(S_0/pS_0)$.

As some trouble might result if $\mathcal{S}^1$ is not connected or if (theoretically) it is of dimension greater than e_M, this statement on $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$ can be seen in two ways. Either by recalling that the system (4) of equations of 3.6.8 6) gives birth to an étale scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(S_0/pS_0)$ (so somehow we go back to the general ideas) or by using the Kodaira–Spencer map (here is the place where we need U_3 to be "small": we can assume that this map defined using the coefficients of the mentioned β still has a image of the right rank in g_3 mod p) and an argument of gluing (performed around a suitable point of U_{3k}) entirely similar to the one of 3.6.2.1 d) but performed mod p. The need of working mod p is implied by the fact that we do not know a priori that the spectrum of the S_0-algebra generated by the coefficients of β, is smooth over $W(k)$ in a (suitable) $W(k)$-valued point of it lifting g_3; on the other hand we can assume that g_3 mod p lifts to a smooth point of $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$. So the lifting property of 3.6.18.5.2 below used in a relative context (see 3.6.18.7

below) implies that the gluing argument of 3.6.2.1 d) can be performed (i.e. taken) mod p as well.

We do not detail fully this second way here, as actually a point z as in 5) always exists: as $k = \bar{k}$, 3.6.15 A below applies, as we can assume $\mathcal{N}$ has the completion property (cf. 4.12.12.0 below). We just point out that it is based on the fact that we can "regain" $\mathrm{Spec}(S_0/pS_0)$, from the (coefficients w.r.t. some k-basis of M/pM of the) Frobenius endomorphism of the S_0/pS_0-module underlying M_{S_0}/pM_{S_0}. The above mentioned gluing argument performed mod p, is the only way we can presently think of showing that $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$ is an étale $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$-scheme, without using the general theory (of equations) of 3.6.8 but just using the fact (here is the place where z_0 –and so g_3– plays a role) that it has a suitable section (cf. 5) to 11) performed mod p) in the étale topology.

3.6.8.4. Remarks. 1) The special form of the Frobenius endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0$ played no role in the proofs of 3.6.1.2-3. This allows us to use the method employed in 3.6.8 in (all) other situations (for instance in 3.6.18.4 below).

2) In the proof of the surjectivity principle (for instance, of 3.6.8.2), the special shape of U_0 played no role. So it can be used in all other situations.

3) The Frobenius lift Φ_{R_0} of $R_0^\wedge$ did play a role in the above proofs of 3.6.1.2-3; it is not entirely essential: if we are dealing with an arbitrary Frobenius lift of $R_0^\wedge$, (DIV) of 3.6.8 5) still applies to give us systems of equations of the same shape as of (3) of 3.6.8 5). However, for the case of a general type of a Frobenius lift of $R_0^\wedge$, 3.6.1.3 i) and all the other parts of 3.6.1.3 depending on it have to be reformulated (so 3.6.1.2 has to be reformulated as well), see 3.6.18.8.3 below.

4) Let $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ be a SHS defined by an injective map $f : (G_0, X) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$; in order to refer to 3.6.8.3, we use G_0 instead of G. If we already know that the Shimura filtered σ-crystal $(M, F^1, h\varphi_0, G)$ is isomorphic to the Shimura filtered σ-crystal attached to a point $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$, then 3.6.8.3 is significantly simplified: the replacement of G by $GL(M)$ (see 3.6.8.3 1) to 3)) is not needed. This implies that (for 3.6.8.3) we do not need the property ii) of the beginning of 3.6, as well as in 3.6.0 we do not need the "complication" with W_0, W_2, W_0^0, W_2^0, Φ_{S_0}, Φ_{S_2}, etc.

5) We do not know if (or when) we have $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{0,n}/pQ_{0,n}) = \mathcal{S}^n$. We do expect this equality (at least in the majority of cases).

3.6.8.5. The closed subscheme $\mathcal{B}_0(k)$. It is very much desirable to have a good description of the closed subscheme $\mathcal{B}_0(k)$ of G_k introduced in 3.6.8.2. In what follows we explain a simpler approach for determining its intersection with U_0^0.

It is enough to determine $\mathcal{B}_0(\bar{k})$ (cf. 3.6.8.2); so we can assume $k = \bar{k}$. We choose the $W(k)$-basis $\{e_i | i \in S(M)\}$ of M in such a way that:

There is a permutation $\tilde{\pi}$ of the set $S(M)$ with the property that $h\varphi_0(e_i)$ mod p is either 0 *or is $e_{\tilde{\pi}(i)}$ mod p.*

The existence of such a $W(k)$-basis is guaranteed by [Mu, cor. p. 143], applied to the σ-linear map $M/pM \to M/pM$ defined by $h\varphi_0$ mod p, and by the standard Jordan form of a nilpotent σ-linear endomorphism (this is the same as in the k-linear context).

1) Rewriting the equations of 3.6.8 5) skillfully, for the above chosen $W(k)$-basis of M, we come across equations of the form

$$t_l(0)^{p-1}x_{isl}^p = \tilde{L}_{isl}(x_{11l}, x_{12l}, ..., x_{d_M d_M l}) + \tilde{b}_{isl}, \tag{11}$$

$\forall(i,s,l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)$ such that $h\varphi_0(e_s)$ is zero mod p and $h\varphi_0(e_i)$ is non-zero mod p, and of the form

$$\tilde{L}_{isl}(x_{11l}, ..., x_{d_M d_M l}) = \tilde{b}_{isl}, \tag{12}$$

$\forall(i,s,l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)$ such that $h\varphi_0(e_s)$ is non-zero mod p or $h\varphi_0(e_s)$ and $h\varphi_0(e_i)$ are both 0 mod p. Here $\tilde{L}_{isl}$ is a homogeneous linear form and $\tilde{b}_{isl} \in R_0/pR_0$, $\forall(i,s,l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)$.

These equations are obtained by first plugging e_s in the equations (1) and (2) of 3.6.8 4) and then identifying the coefficients of $r_0 \circ \tilde{l}_0(e_i)dt_l(0)$, $(i,s,l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)$ (we recall that $\Phi(\tilde{Q}_0)^0 = r_0 \circ \tilde{l}_0(h\varphi_0 \otimes 1)$, cf. 3.6.1.1.1).

2) Denoting

$$y_{isl} := t_l(0)x_{isl},$$

$\forall(i,s,l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)$, this system of equations (defined by (11) and (12)) when restricted to $R_0/pR_0[\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^d t_i(0)}]$ is equivalent to a system of equations of the form

$$y_{isl}^p = \tilde{L}_{isl}(y_{11l}, ..., y_{d_M d_M l}) + t_l(0)\tilde{b}_{isl}, \tag{13}$$

if $(i,s) \in S(M) \times S(M)$ is such that $h\varphi_0(e_s)$ mod p is 0 and $h\varphi_0(e_i)$ is non-zero mod p, and of the form

$$\tilde{L}_{isl}(y_{11l}, ..., y_{d_M d_M l}) = t_l(0)\tilde{b}_{isl}, \tag{14}$$

if $(i,s) \in S(M) \times S(M)$ is such that $h\varphi_0(e_s)$ mod p is non-zero or $h\varphi_0(e_s)$ and $h\varphi_0(e_i)$ are both 0 mod p; here l runs through the elements of $S(G)$.

This new system of equations is simpler than the one in 3.6.8 5). It can be used to get a very good description of the intersection of $\mathcal{R}(0)$ (of the proof of 3.6.8.2) with $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0/pR_0[\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^d t_i(0)}])$.

3) Similarly, for any subset $S(t)$ of $S(G)$, reducing the system of equations of 2) to $R_0/(p, (t_i(0))_{i\in S(t)})[\frac{1}{\prod_{i\in S(G)\setminus S(t)} t_i(0)}]$, we get a system of equations similar to the one in 2), involving y_{isl}, $(i,s,l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times \big(S(G) \setminus S(t)\big)$. It is easier to determine the intersection of $\mathcal{B}_0(k)$ with $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0/(p, (t_i(0))_{i\in S(t)})[\frac{1}{\prod_{i\in S(G)\setminus S(t)} t_i(0)}])$ using it instead of another one, similar to the one of 3.6.8 5). This motivates why we get simplifications if we work under the convenience assumption of 3.6.1.1.3.

4) Let now $\tilde{G}$ be a smooth subgroup of G having connected fibres and such that the Lie algebra of its generic fibre is normalized by $h\varphi_0$. The whole of 3.6.1.3 can be redone in this context (cf. 3.6.1.6), with the group G replaced by $\tilde{G}$. Warning: not to complicate the story, below we use the same notations, with G being replaced everywhere by $\tilde{G}$.

3.6.8.6. The matrix form of the equations of 3.6.8. For future references, and for small applications in 3.6.8.7 and 3.6.18.7 below, we include here as well the matrix form of the equations of 3.6.8 5). We consider a $W(k)$-basis $\{e_1, ..., e_{d_M}\}$ of M as in 3.6.8 2), such that $\{e_1, ..., e_{\dim_{W(k)}}(F^1)\}$ is a $W(k)$-basis of F^1.

For $l \in S(G)$, let X_l be the square matrix whose entries are x_{isl}'s. Let E_G be the square matrix with entries in R_0/pR_0 of the R_0/pR_0-endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0/pR_0$ that takes e_i mod p into $\Phi(\tilde{Q}_0)^1(e_i)$ mod p if $i \in S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(F^1))$ and into $\Phi(\tilde{Q}_0)^0(e_i)$ mod p if $i \in S(1 + \dim_{W(k)}(F^1), d_M)$, computed w.r.t. the R_0/pR_0-basis of $M \otimes_{W(k)} R_0/pR_0$ defined naturally by the chosen $W(k)$-basis $\{e_1, ..., e_{d_M}\}$ of M. Let $M_{h\varphi_0}$ be the square matrix of the k-endomorphism of M/pM that takes e_i mod p into $h\varphi_0(\frac{1}{p}e_i)$ mod p if $i \in S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(F^1))$ and into $h\varphi_0(e_i)$ mod p if $i \in S(1 + \dim_{W(k)}(F^1), d_M)$, computed similarly. We view $M_{h\varphi_0}$ as having entries in R_0/pR_0. Let

$$\pi(F^0) : M_{d_M}(R_0/pR_0) \to M_{d_M}(R_0/pR_0)$$

be the R_0/pR_0-linear projector which takes a matrix $A \in M_{d_M}(R_0/pR_0)$ having entries $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in S(1,d_M)}$ into the matrix whose entries $(\tilde{a}_{ij})_{i,j \in S(1,d_M)}$ are defined by the rule: $\tilde{a}_{ij}$ is a_{ij} if $i > \dim_{W(k)}(F^1)$ and $j \leq \dim_{W(k)}(F^1)$ and is 0 otherwise.

We write

$$d(E_G) = \sum_{l=1}^{d} C_l dt_l(0),$$

with C_l's as square matrices with entries in R_0/pR_0 and with the d-operator acting on a matrix via its action on the entries. So now, the equations of 3.6.8 5) can be rewritten in the matrix form as follows

$$(MF) \qquad C_l + X_l E_G = E_G \pi(F^0)\big(t_l(0)^{p-1} X_l^{[p]}\big),$$

$\forall l \in S(G)$. Warning: for this simplified matrix form (MF), we do need that $\Phi_{S_0}(t_l(0)) = t_l(0)^p$, $\forall l \in S(G)$. As M_{R_0} is a p-divisible object, E_G and $M_{h\varphi_0}$ are invertible matrices. So (MF) can be put as well in the following convenient matrix form

$$(CMF) \qquad C_l E_G^{-1} + X_l = E_G \pi(F^0)\big(t_l(0)^{p-1} X_l^{[p]}\big) E_G^{-1},$$

$\forall l \in S(G)$.

3.6.8.6.1. Relative forms of the equations of 3.6.8. Let $D_G := E_G M_{h\varphi_0}^{-1}$. As the direct sum decomposition $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$ is defined by the cocharacter μ of G, we have

$$D_G \in G(R_0/pR_0)$$

and

$$\pi(F^0)(\mathfrak{g}/p\mathfrak{g}\otimes_k R_0/pR_0)\subset \mathfrak{g}/p\mathfrak{g}\otimes_k R_0/pR_0.$$

For this last inclusion we use the standard identification of $M_{d_M}(R_0)$ with $\mathrm{End}(M\otimes_{W(k)} R_0)$ defined naturally by the chosen $W(k)$-basis of M. So $C_lM_{h\varphi_0}^{-1}=dD_G$ and $C_lE_G^{-1}=dD_GD_G^{-1}$ belong to $\mathfrak{g}/p\mathfrak{g}\otimes_k R_0/pR_0$. We have:

Fact. *If $X_l\in\mathfrak{g}/p\mathfrak{g}\otimes_k R_0/pR_0$, then $E_G\pi(F^0)\big(t_l(0)^{p-1}X_l^{[p]}\big)E_G^{-1}\in\mathfrak{g}/p\mathfrak{g}\otimes_k R_0/pR_0$.*

Proof: As we are dealing with $W(k)$-bases of M and not with $R_0^\wedge$-bases of $M\otimes_{W(k)} R_0^\wedge$, the statement of the Fact has a linear aspect. So we can assume $k=\bar{k}$ and that $\{e_1,...,e_{d_M}\}$ is in fact a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-basis of a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of M constructing as in 2.2.9 8) but for $(M,F^1,h\varphi_0,G)$. In such a case $X_l^{[p]}\in\mathfrak{g}/p\mathfrak{g}\otimes_k R_0/pR_0$ and $M_{h\varphi_0}\in G(W(k))$. The Fact follows.

So, in our context of connections respecting the G-action, we can view (CMF) as $|S(G)|$ equalities between elements of $\mathfrak{g}/p\mathfrak{g}\otimes_k R_0/pR_0$ or as an equality between two elements of $\mathfrak{g}/p\mathfrak{g}\otimes_k \Omega_{R_0/pR_0/k}$.

Similarly, when passing from things mod p^n to things mod p^{n+1}, we come across a system of equations SE_n which can be put in a similar to (MF) or to (CMF) matrix form; the only difference: C_l gets replaced by some other matrix $C_l(n)$, $l\in S(G)$. Moreover, in our context of connections respecting the G-action, we have $C_l(n)M_{h\varphi_0}^{-1}, C_l(n)E_G^{-1}\in\mathfrak{g}/p\mathfrak{g}\otimes_k R_0/pR_0$. So we can view as well SE_n as $|S(G)|$ equalities between elements of $\mathfrak{g}/p\mathfrak{g}\otimes_k R_0/pR_0$.

3.6.8.6.2. Digression. If we choose a different Frobenius lift of $R_0^\wedge$ which mod p^2 takes all ideals $(t_l(0))$'s into themselves, then following the pattern of 3.6.8 5), we get a system of equations which can be put similarly in the matrix form: the only difference we have is that the matrix which is $t_l(0)^{p-1}$ times the identity matrix I_{d_M} of $M_{d_M}(R_0)$ is replaced by another scalar multiple of I_{d_M}. More generally, if we consider an arbitrary Frobenius lift of $R_0^\wedge$, then $\forall l\in S(G)$, the matrix $t_l(0)^{p-1}X_l^{[p]}$ has to be substituted by a linear combination

$$(COMB)\qquad\qquad \sum_{l'\in S(G)} b_{ll'}X_{l'}^{[p]},$$

where all $b_{ll'}$'s are elements of R_0/pR_0.

3.6.8.7. The abelian situation. We assume now that we are in the context of 3.6.8.5 4), with $\tilde{G}$ an abelian group. We speak about the abelian situation. The nice thing in this case is:

Fact. *$\forall(i,s,l)\in S(M)\times S(M)\times S(\tilde{G})$, the coefficients of $\tilde{L}_{isl}$ are elements of $W(k)$.*

Proof: This is a consequence of the fact that $\tilde{G}$ is abelian and that the connections ∇_j^n we get respect the $\tilde{G}$-action. In other words, referring to (MF) above with E_G (resp.

D_G) being denoted by $E_{\tilde{G}}$ (resp. by $D_{\tilde{G}}$), we have: $D_{\tilde{G}}$ commutes with X_l, $\forall l \in S(\tilde{G})$; so (MF) can be rewritten as

$$D_{\tilde{G}}^{-1} C_l + X_l M_{h\varphi_0} = M_{h\varphi_0} \pi(F^0)\big(t_l(0)^{p-1} X_l^{[p]}\big),$$

$\forall l \in S(\tilde{G})$. The Fact follows.

From Fact and 3.6.8.5 2) we get: in the abelian situation the open subscheme $\mathcal{T}(0)$ of $\operatorname{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$ introduced in the proof of 3.6.8.2, contains $\operatorname{Spec}(R_0/pR_0[\frac{1}{\prod_{i \in S(\tilde{G})} t_i(0)}])$. The argument can be repeated for the closed subscheme $\operatorname{Spec}(R_0/(p, (t_i(0))_{i \in S(t)})$, with $S(t)$ an arbitrary subset of $S(\tilde{G})$, to get the following result:

3.6.8.8. Proposition. *Under the convenience assumption, in the abelian situation, the $W(k)$-morphisms $\ell_{j,n}$ when taken mod p, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are defining étale covers of (i.e. above) the locally closed subschemes of* $\operatorname{Spec}(R_j/pR_j)$ *defined by making some of the variables $t_i(j)$ to be* 0 *while inverting all others. In particular, we can take $\mathcal{B}_j(k)$ to be the empty scheme.*

3.6.8.9. The constancy property. We call the fact that when we pass in the proof of 3.6.1.2-3 from things mod p to things mod p^n, we get the same homogeneous forms (see 3.6.8 (3) and (4), 3.6.8.5 (11), (12), (13) and (14), and 3.6.8 12)), as the constancy property. A system of equations with coefficients in an $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra and which can be put in the same shape as of (3) is said to be of first type. The system of equations defined by (11) and (12) is said to be of additive second type. The system of equations defined by (13) and (14) is said to be of adjusted additive second type. For extra terminology see 3.6.18.4.6.

As (implicitly) mentioned in 3.6.8.4 1) and 3), this constancy property remains true in the context of a (truncation of a) p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$, with R a regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-algebra whose p-adic completion is equipped with a Frobenius lift Φ_R. We can replace everywhere $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}$ by $\mathcal{MF}_{[c,c+1]}$, $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. So, the natural question arises:

Q. *What about (truncations of) p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \geq a+2$, which are not p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[c,c+1]}(R)$ for some $c \in S(a,b)$?*

Let $\mathfrak{C} = (M_R, (F^i(M_R))_{i \in S(a,b)}, \Phi_{M_R})$, with M_R a projective $R^\wedge$-module, be such a p-divisible object. Due to the fact that the connections have to satisfy the Griffiths transversality condition, the counting argument (of equations and variables) performed before 3.6.8.1, gives us in this context (of $\mathfrak{C}$), more equations than variables. Assuming that $\Omega_{R/pR/k}$ is a free R/pR-module of rank $r_R \in \mathbb{N}$ and that M_R is as well free, to get a connection on $\mathfrak{C}/p\mathfrak{C}$ is the same thing as to solve (in R/pR) a (suitable) system of equations of the following form:

$$x_i + c_i = L_i(x_1^p, \ldots, x_m^p), \tag{15}$$

for $i \in S(1,m)$, and

$$c_i = L_i(x_1^p, ..., x_m^p), \tag{16}$$

for $i \in S(m+1, m+s)$; based on 3.6.1.1.1 4), the argument for this is entirely the same as the one of 3.6.8 5) relying on its (DIV). Here $c_i \in R/pR$ and L_i is a homogeneous linear form with coefficients in R/pR, $\forall i \in S(1, m+s)$, while $m, s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We have:

– $m+s$ is r_R times the rank of $\mathrm{End}(M_R)$;

– m is r_R times the rank of the F^{-1}-filtration of $\mathrm{End}(M_R)$ defined by $(F^i(M_R))_{i \in S(a,b)}$.

Our assumption of the question (pertaining to $c \in \mathbb{Z}$) implies $s > 0$. In general, if $s > 0$ we say that a system of equations of the same type as (15) and (16) with coefficients in an $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra, is of third type (in m variables and s extra equations).

In our situation (of $\mathfrak{C}$) $ms > 0$ and so it easy to see that there are systems of equations of the above type which do not have any solution or which do not define an étale scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$. However the constancy property remains:

The constancy property. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To lift a connection on $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$ to a connection on $\mathfrak{C}/p^{n+1}\mathfrak{C}$, is the same thing as to solve (in R/pR) a similar system of equations as of the one of (15) and (16) above but where c_i is replaced by $c_i(n) \in R/pR$, $\forall i \in S(1, m+s)$ (this holds even if $\mathfrak{C}$ is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[c,c+1]}(R)$ for some $c \in S(a,b)$: in such a case $s = 0$).*

This is still a very useful fact: see 3.6.18.5.5, 3.6.18.8 and 3.6.18.8.1 b) below. Also the following two approaches can produce lots of results.

A1. (15) defines (cf. 3.6.8.1.2 a)) an étale, affine $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$-scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$. So to have (16) satisfied as well we just need to consider the closed subscheme $\mathrm{Spec}(R_2)$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ of solutions of (16); we are interested only in its maximal open closed subscheme which is étale over $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$. We refer to $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ as the étale part of the system of equations defined by (15) and (16).

A2. For many $W(k)$-algebras R (like the local strictly henselian ones), the above system of equations defined by (15) and (16), when viewed as being in the $m+s$ variables x_1,..., x_m, c_{m+1},..., c_{m+s}, has a solution in R/pR, regardless of the values of the coefficients c_1,..., c_m or of the forms L_i's. Using this, one could get nice criteria of when 3.6.8 above can be worked out for such a (truncation of a) p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}$, after suitable modifications (corresponding to the new values of c_{m+1},..., c_{m+s}) of Φ_{M_R}. We hope to come back to these ideas in a future paper: the reason we do not persuade them here is related to the fact that we have not being able to fully understand how these "suitable modifications" could be performed. Here we just mention two things:

a) these "suitable modifications" should be performed only from lifting things mod p^n to things mod p^{n+1}, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that the shapes of the forms L_i's do not get changed;

b) to perform them for lifting things in the context of a), everything comes down to solving some linear partial differential systems of equations of the form

$$(LPDSEQ) \qquad \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial w_j} = a_j f_0 + b_j,$$

$\forall j \in S(1, r_R)$, with f_0 and all a_j's and b_j's in $\mathrm{End}(M_R/pM_R)$ and with w_1,..., $w_{r_R} \in R/pR$ such that $\{dw_1, ..., dw_{r_R}\}$ is an R/pR-basis of $\Omega_{R/pR/k}$; here b_j's are related to elements c_{m+1},..., c_{m+s} of R obtained as above (i.e. such that they are part of a solution of suitable systems of equations similar to the one defined by (15) and (16)).

Unfortunately, even if $R/pR = k[[w_1, ..., w_{r_R}]]$ such a system (LPDSEQ) does not necessarily have a solution.

Coming back to systems of equations of third type, we have the following Corollary of 3.6.8.1.

3.6.8.9.0. Corollary. *The number of solutions of a system of equations of third type in m equations and s extra variables with coefficients in an algebraically closed field k_1 of characteristic p, is either 0 or of the form p^{m_1}, where $m_1 \in S(0, m)$.*

Proof: We use the notations of (15) and (16) above, with the role of R being replaced by the one of k_1. If L_{m+1},..., L_{m+s} are all 0, then the Corollary is obvious (based on 3.6.8.1). If one of these s linear forms is non-zero and $m > 2$, then taking the p-th root of it, as in 3.6.8.1 we can eliminate one variable and the sum $m + s$ drops by 1 at least; warning: s itself does not necessarily drop by 1. Using induction on $m+s$, we are reduced to the case $m = 1$, which is trivial, or to the case $s = 0$, which is handled by 3.6.8.1.

Warning: under specialization, the number of solutions of a system of equations of third type can increase.

3.6.8.9.1. Comment. It is worth commenting why for some time (see [Va13]) we thought that we can work out 3.6.1.3 using (mod p) just étale, affine morphisms instead of $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale, affine morphisms. Referring to 3.6.1.3, once we obtained $Q_{j,1}$, we thought that the free coefficients $a_{isl}(n)$ of 3.6.8 12) we obtain inductively for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, do not depend on n. But most common this is not so.

However, in many cases, proceeding as follows, we can avoid the passage (mod p) to $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale morphisms. Warning: what follows has to be interpreted up to a passage to an étale $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{j,n})$-scheme, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$; so $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{j,m})$, with $m \geq n$, are replaced accordingly by resulting pull backs. We have to make a decision:

Decision. *Either we work with "wild" connections (their behavior modulo high powers of the prime p can not be controlled, i.e. can not be prescribed a priori) but with a very nice (for instance, like in 3.6.1) Frobenius endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} R_j$, and so later on we hope to get (sort of) a completion property (see 3.6.15 A) and (of) a slice principle (see § 7), or we work with very nice connections and with a very "wild" Frobenius endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} R_j$.*

We explain this. We have the following obvious property:

Fact. *The homogeneous linear forms L_{isl} of 3.6.8 (3) depend only on the expressions of $\Phi(R_j)^0$ and $\Phi(R_j)^1$ mod p and of the expression of Φ_{R_j} mod p^2.*

But the coefficients $a_{isl}(n)$ depend on the expressions of these three maps modulo higher powers of p. But we can try to modify $\Phi(Q_{j,1})^0$ and $\Phi(Q_{j,1})^1$ with something which is 0 mod p (so we do not change the forms L_{isl}, and so we do not affect the étale property expressed in 3.6.1 through r_j mod p being a universal element), in order to make $a_{isl}(n) = 0$, $\forall (i,s,l) \in S(M) \times S(M) \times S(G)$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq m$ for some given $m \in \mathbb{N}$: first we can try to modify $\Phi(Q_{j,1})^0$ and $\Phi(Q_{j,1})^1$ modulo p^2 to get all $a_{isl}(1)$'s to be 0 and then, looking at what results, we can try to modify it mod p^3 by something which is 0 mod p^2 to get all $a_{isl}(2)$'s to be 0, etc. Warning: we want all these modifications not to change $\Phi(Q_{j,1})^0$ and $\Phi(Q_{j,1})^1$ modulo the ideal defining the lift (it is unique) to $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{j,1})$ of the origin a_0 of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_j)$.

Why should this be possible? Answer: there are four reasons. First, $\forall (l,n) \in S(G) \times \mathbb{N}$, we can assume the endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} Q_{j,n}/pQ_{j,n}$ defined by the square matrix whose coefficients are $a_{isl}(n)$, $i, s \in S(M)$, is an element of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{W(k)} Q_{j,n}/pQ_{j,n}$ (see the part of 3.6.8.6.1 referring to 3.6.8.6 (CMF)). Second, any time we have to pass from things mod p^n to things mod p^{n+1}, we lift the connection (we got mod p^n) (as in 3.6.8 11)), not blindly but in such a way that the resulting coefficients $a_{isl}(n)$ are linear combinations (with fixed coefficients of R_j/pR_j) of p-power elements of $Q_{j,n}/pQ_{j,n}$; after this careful lift we start to modify $\Phi(Q_{j,n})^i$, $i = \overline{0,1}$, by trying to achieve all $a_{ijl}(n)$'s to be 0. Third (resp. fourth), we have Raynaud's (resp. Grothendieck's) theorem of [BBM, 3.1.1] (resp. of [Il, 4.4]).

So the situation (after suitable modifications) should get stabilized: we expect (at least if k is of some special type, i.e. "almost" algebraically closed in some sense which we can not specify too much: just that it is related to the defs. of 3.6.18.4.6 F below) the existence of $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (after suitable modifications) we can take $Q_{j,n} = Q_{j,m}$ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq m$. From many points of view, it is preferable to have (if possible) $a_{isl}(n) = 0$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq m$; so the problem of such a stabilization is a very important one. If such a stabilization is possible, then we do not have to pass (mod p) to $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale covers: this is so due to the fact that $Q_{j,n}/pQ_{j,n}$, $n \geq m$, is a connected component of the étale scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{j,m}/pQ_{j,m})$ defined by the system (4) of equations of 3.6.8. This gives a very nice expression (fully controllable) of the resulting connections ∇_j^n but the control on $\Phi(Q_{j,n})^0$ and $\Phi(Q_{j,n})^1$ is much less; for instance, we can not regain the (sort of) completion property or (of) the slice principle.

Once we gained the completion property and the slice principle, the second approach (trying to avoid the use of $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale morphisms), looks, from many points of view, more convenient. In all concrete cases we analyzed (for instance, this is automatically so if we are in a context involving polarized abelian varieties, or which can be reduced to such a context: see 4.12.12 and 4.12.12.0) the situation (after suitable modifications) gets stabilized. Warning: neither Grothendieck's nor Raynaud's theorems mentioned above are enough to imply such a stabilization, even for situations where we can assume that all tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ are related to (i.e. are defined by) endomorphisms of M. We will come back to this in §10.

3.6.9. Remarks. 1) We could have worked 3.6.1 with U_0 and U_2 as open subschemes of G^{der}. This is the most common case needed for applications. For example, a σ-crystal $(M, tg_2\varphi_0)$, with $t \in Z(G)(W(k))$ and $g_2 \in G(W(k))$, is very much the same as the σ-crystal $(M, g_2\varphi_0)$; so we often (for instance when $k = \bar{k}$ and p does not divide the order of the center of G^{der}) can consider only elements $g_2 \in G^{\mathrm{der}}(W(k))$.

2) The assumption ii) of the beginning of 3.6 is not really needed: it has been inserted just to be able to be faster in 3.6.8 8) and 12) and so to give an alternative way in 3.6.8 7) which is not based on 3.6.8 1). Obviously, the property ii) of the beginning of 3.6, is implied by the property i) of the mentioned place, provided we replace U_0 and U_2 by suitable open, affine subschemes of them. This makes life easier (cf. 3.6.9.1 and 3.6.10 below); in particular 3.6.11 below can be expressed in a simpler form, without mentioning U or b.

3) Looking back to 3.6.1-8 we see that the only two places we used the fact that k is an infinite field are:

– 3.6.0, where we needed the existence of an element $h \in \big(U_0 \cap U_2\big)(k)$, which as a point of U_0 (resp. of U_2) is different from the point obtained by taking the special fibre of a_0 (resp. of g_2);

– 3.6.6, where we needed $\mathcal{L}$ to be infinite.

So if we are not bothered about gluing things ("over $W(k)$") (cf. 3.6.5), we can work with only one deformation, cf. 3.6.7. So, in this context of just one deformation, the whole of 3.6.1 makes sense and remains true even in the case when k is a finite field.

3.6.9.1. Potential-deformation sheets. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 2$. A locally closed, affine subscheme U of a reductive group $\tilde{G}$ over $W(k)$ (resp. an affine scheme U over $W(k)$), with $U(W(k))$ containing the identity element of $\tilde{G}(W(k))$ (resp. such that a $z \in U(W(k))$ is fixed), is said to be a potential-deformation reductive sheet (resp. just sheet), if there is an étale morphism (resp. a formally étale morphism)

$$b_U : U \to \operatorname{Spec}(W(k)[x_1, ..., x_{d(U)}]),$$

for some $d(U) \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the identity element of $\tilde{G}(W(k))$ (resp. z) factors through the closed subscheme of U defined by $x_i = 0$, $i \in S(1, d(U))$. If moreover, we have:

– the closed subscheme of U defined by the identity element of $\tilde{G}(W(k))$ (resp. defined by z) is the closed subscheme of U defined by $x_i = 0$, $i = \overline{1, d}$, and

– the closed subscheme of U_k defined by $x_i = 0$, with i running through an arbitrary subset of $S(1, d(U))$, is connected,

then we speak about a convenient potential-deformation reductive sheet (resp. just sheet).

Very often we denote a potential-deformation reductive sheet (resp. just sheet) by a pair (U, b_U) (resp. by a triple (U, b_U, z)). For any potential-deformation sheet we denote by Φ_U the Frobenius lift of $U^\wedge$ which takes x_i into x_i^p, $\forall i \in S(1, d(U))$.

This definition of a (convenient) potential-deformation (reductive) sheet is suggested by 3.6.9 2) and the convenience assumption 3.6.1.1.3.

3.6.10. Corollary. *For any $g_3 \in G(W(k))$ and for every open, affine subscheme $U_3 = \mathrm{Spec}(R_3)$ of G which is a potential-deformation reductive sheet, there is a uniquely determined $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale, affine morphism*

$$\ell_4 : U_4 = \mathrm{Spec}(R_4) \to U_3$$

such that:

– there is a closed subscheme of G_k not containing the origin of G_k, with the property that the fibres of ℓ_4 above points of the special fibre of U_3 not belonging to it, are non-empty;

– the special fibre of U_4 is a geometrically connected, AG k-scheme;

– $\ell_4^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k) = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ and so the origin of G, when viewed as a $W(k)$-valued point of U_3, can be lifted uniquely to a point $a_4 \in U_4(W(k)) = U_4^\wedge(W(k))$;

– there is a p-divisible group $\mathcal{D}(U_3, \Phi_{U_3}, M, F^1, g_3\varphi_0, G)$ over $U_4^\wedge$, whose associated p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(U_4)$ (the Frobenius on $U_4^\wedge$ being the one induced from a fixed Frobenius lift Φ_{U_3} of $U_3^\wedge$ as in 3.6.9.1), is isomorphic to

$$(M_{R_4}, \nabla_4) = \big(M \otimes_{W(k)} R_4^\wedge, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R_4^\wedge, h_4(g_3\varphi_0 \otimes 1), \nabla_4\big),$$

with $h_4 \in G(R_4^\wedge)$ as the universal element of G defined by $U_4^\wedge$ and with ∇_4 a connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} R_4^\wedge$ respecting the G-action;

– we have the following universal property:

UP. *For any formally étale, affine morphism $\tilde{\ell}_4 : \tilde{U}_4 = \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}_4) \to U_3$, such that the closed subscheme $\tilde{\ell}_4^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k)$ has a non-empty intersection with all connected components of the special fibre of $\tilde{U}_4$ and for which we get a p-divisible group $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_4$ over $\tilde{U}_4^\wedge$, defining (by forgetting the connection of $\mathbb{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_4)$) a p-divisible object $N_{\tilde{R}_4}$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(\tilde{R}_4)$ isomorphic to $M_{\tilde{R}_4}$, there is a unique morphism $\ell : \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}_4^\wedge) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_4^\wedge)$ such that $\ell_4^\wedge = \tilde{\ell}_4^\wedge \circ \ell$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_4$ is isomorphic to $\ell^*(\mathcal{D}(U_3, \Phi_{U_3}, M, F^1, g_3\varphi_0, G))$ under an isomorphism IS such that, by forgetting the connections, $\mathbb{D}(IS)$ is the mentioned isomorphism $N_{\tilde{R}_4} \tilde{\to} M_{\tilde{R}_4}$.*

Here M_{R_3} is defined as in 3.6.1.1: it allows us to get M_{R_4} and $M_{\tilde{R}_4}$ as in 3.6.1.1.

3.6.11. Corollary. *Let $\tilde{H}$ be a smooth subgroup of G having a connected special fibre. Let $e_{\tilde{H}} := \dim_{W(k)}(\tilde{H})$. Let $U_{\tilde{H}} = \mathrm{Spec}(R_5)$ be an open, affine subscheme of $\tilde{H}$ for which there is an open subscheme U of G containing $U_{\tilde{H}}$ such that there are étale morphisms b and c making the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U_{\tilde{H}} \arrow[r, "i_{\tilde{H}}"] \arrow[d, "b"] & U \arrow[d, "c"] \\
\mathrm{Spec}\big(W(k)[z_1, \ldots, z_{e_{\tilde{H}}}]\big) \arrow[r, "a"] & \mathrm{Spec}\big(W(k)[z_1, \ldots, z_d]\big)
\end{tikzcd}$$

to be cartesian (with $i_{\tilde{H}}$ as the natural inclusion, with a as the natural closed embedding defined by: z_i goes to z_i, for $i \in S(1, e_{\tilde{H}})$, and to 0 otherwise) and the origin a_0 factors through the closed subscheme of $U_{\tilde{H}}$ defined by $z_i = 0$, $i = \overline{1, e_{\tilde{H}}}$. Let the Frobenius lift Φ_{R_5} of $R_5^\wedge$ be defined by: z_i (viewed via c as an element of R_5) goes to z_i^p, $i = \overline{1, e_{\tilde{H}}}$.

Then for any $\tilde{g} \in G(W(k))$, there is an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale, affine morphism

$$\ell_6 : U_6 = \operatorname{Spec}(R_6) \to U_{\tilde{H}},$$

with the special fibre of U_6 a geometrically connected, AG k-scheme and with $\ell_6^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k) =$ $\operatorname{Spec}(k)$ (so there is a unique $a_6 \in U_6^\wedge$ lifting the factorization of a_0 through $U_{\tilde{H}}$), such that:

– there is a p-divisible group over $U_6^\wedge$ whose associated p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(R_6)$ is isomorphic to

$$(M_{R_6^\wedge}, \nabla_6) = \big(M \otimes_{W(k)} R_6^\wedge, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R_6^\wedge, h_6(\tilde{g}\varphi_0 \otimes 1), \nabla_6\big),$$

with h_6 as the universal element of $\tilde{H}$ defined by the p-adic completion of ℓ_6; ∇_6 respects the G-action;

– we have a universal property similar to the one described at the end of 3.6.10;

– there is a closed subscheme of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k$ not containing the origin of $\tilde{H}_k$ and such that the fibres of ℓ_6 above points of the special fibre of $U_{\tilde{H}}$ not belonging to it, are non-empty.

The results 3.6.10-11 are a direct consequence of 3.6.1.3 and its proof and of 3.6.9 2) and 3). We just need to mention two things (for instance in connection to 3.6.10). First, ℓ is uniquely determined by requiring $\mathbb{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_4)$ to be isomorphic to $\ell^*(M_4, \nabla_4)$. Second, $\tilde{R}_4/p\tilde{R}_4$ has a finite p-basis, as R_3/pR_3 does have, and so the passage from filtered F-crystals to p-divisible groups is achieved via the fully faithfulness property of [BM, 4.1.1] and via Grothendieck–Messing theory of [Me, ch. 4-5] (cf. also 3.6.2.0); the usage of this last theory is part of the second place where we need $p > 2$.

3.6.11.1. Notations. We denote by $\mathcal{D}(U_{\tilde{H}}, \Phi_{R_5}, M, F^1, \tilde{g}\varphi_0, G)$ the p-divisible group over $U_6^\wedge$ mentioned in 3.6.11. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $U_{6,n} = \operatorname{Spec}(Q_{6,n}^\wedge)$ the scheme constructed as in 3.6.1.3 1), starting from the data of the sextuple

$$(U_{\tilde{H}}, \Phi_{R_5}, M, F^1, \tilde{g}\varphi_0, G).$$

We use morphisms $\ell_{6,n} : \operatorname{Spec}(Q_{6,n}^\wedge) \to \operatorname{Spec}(R_6^\wedge)$, and $\ell_6^n : \operatorname{Spec}(Q_{6,n+1}^\wedge) \to \operatorname{Spec}(Q_{6,n}^\wedge)$, having the same significance as in 3.6.1.3. We can take as U_6 the limit of the $\mathbb{N}$-projective system ℓ_6^n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and as ℓ_6 we can take the resulting $W(k)$-morphism $U_6 \to U_{\tilde{H}}$. Let Q_6 be the $W(k)$-algebra such that $U_6^\wedge = \operatorname{Spec}(Q_6)$.

3.6.12. Remark. We refer to 3.6.11. We can replace "G-action" by $\tilde{H}^1$-action, where $\tilde{H}^1$ is the smallest smooth, connected subgroup of G containing $\tilde{H}$ and the image of μ and whose Lie algebra is taken by $p\tilde{g}\varphi_0$ into itself, cf. [Fa2, rm. ii) after th. 10] and

Fact of 2.2.9 1). Moreover, it is enough to assume $U_{\tilde{H}}$ is a locally closed subscheme of G through which a_0 factors, having a geometrically connected special fibre and smooth over $W(k)$, without assuming that it is the open subscheme of a smooth subgroup $\tilde{H}$ of G^{der}. However, in practice $\tilde{H}$ is either a subgroup containing G^{der} or is an abelian subgroup of G isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_a^{e_{\tilde{H}}}$; in this last situation we can take $U_{\tilde{H}} = \tilde{H}$.

3.6.13. The standard deformation setting in the context of a SHS. As explained in 1.14.2, the reading of 3.6.13-14 should proceed only after the reading of 3.9 and 3.11-12. We start moving towards geometric contexts.

Let $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ be a SHS defined by an injective map $f : (\tilde{G}, X) \hookrightarrow \big(\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S\big)$. We use the standard notation of 2.3.1-3 but with G replaced everywhere by $\tilde{G}$. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p and let

$$z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0.$$

Let $(M, F^1, g\varphi_0, G', \tilde{\psi}_M)$ be its attached principally quasi-polarized (not necessarily quasi-split) quasi Shimura filtered σ-crystal (cf. 2.3.10). Here G' is a form (not a priori inner) of $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$. For simplifying the presentation we assume $G' = \tilde{G}_{W(k)}$; so we do not have to mention any more "not necessarily quasi-split". Let G be the subgroup of G' such that under the last identification it is $\tilde{G}^0_{W(k)}$ (see 2.3.1 for the meaning of $\tilde{G}^0_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$). Here $g \in G(W(k))$; strictly speaking φ_0 is obtained from $g\varphi_0$, as in 3.2.3 (i.e. the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie σ-crystal attached to $(M, F^1, \varphi_0, \tilde{G}_{W(k)})$ is of Borel type). We use some of the previous notations of this 3.6, adjusted as need (the adjustments are mentioned at the right time). In particular we have a direct sum decomposition $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$ and a_0 denotes the origin of G; in the case of this direct sum decomposition, the wording "adjusted as needed" refers to the fact that we are not bothered that it is associated to a cocharacter μ of $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$ and not of G itself.

Let $U_3 = \mathrm{Spec}(R_3)$ be an open, affine subscheme of $G = \tilde{G}^0_{W(k)}$ which is a potential-deformation reductive sheet. Let $(M_{R_4}, \nabla_4) = \big(M \otimes_{W(k)} R_4^\wedge, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R_4^\wedge, h_4(g\varphi_0 \otimes 1), \nabla_4\big)$ be the p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(R_4)$ mentioned in 3.6.10 for $g_3 = g \in G(W(k))$. We use the notations of 3.6.10; strictly speaking $(M, F^1, g\varphi_0, G, \tilde{\psi}_M)$ is not a principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered σ-crystal but it is "close enough" (cf. 2.2.9 1) and 1'): we are in a pseudo context) to justify this usage (cf. 3.6.1.6 or 3.6.11).

Let $A_z := \mathrm{Spec}(W(k))\,{}_z\times_{\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0} \mathcal{A}_{H_0}$. For similar reasons, we also assume the subgroup H_0 of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ is "small enough (w.r.t. z)" so that the family of Hodge cycles $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}$ with which the abelian variety $A_z \times_{W(k)} \mathrm{Spec}(W(\bar{k}))$ over $W(\bar{k})$ is naturally endowed (through any lift $\mathrm{Spec}(W(\bar{k})) \to \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$ of z), is defined over $W(k)$ and so it gives birth to a family $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}$ of de Rham components of the F^0-filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ and implicitly of the F^0-filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M \otimes_{W(k)} R_4)$. To be more concrete, we assume $H_0 = H_1$, where H_1 is as in 2.3.11. This assumption is not really needed (cf. 3.6.14.2 below); however, it allows us to avoid using the word "quasi".

We have: $\nabla_4(t_\alpha) = 0$ (as ∇_4 respects the G-action) and $h_4(g\varphi_0 \otimes 1)(t_\alpha) = t_\alpha$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$. The fact that G fixes $\tilde{\psi}_M$, implies that $\tilde{\psi}_M$ defines a principal quasi-polarization of M_{R_4}. Let

$$\tilde{M}_{R_4} := (M_{R_4}, \nabla_4, \tilde{\psi}_M).$$

It is a principally quasi-polarized p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_4)$. Sometimes we prefer to regard it as being with tensors (they are t_α, $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$).

We denote by m_{nat} the natural morphism $(\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0)^{\wedge} \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$.

SA1. Let $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{R_3}) = \mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{R_4})$ be the completion of G in its origin (or the completion of U_4 in a_4). From [Va2, 5.4.4] (see 2.3.11 or the proof of 2.3.15) we deduce the existence of a $W(k)$-morphism $z_{\widehat{G}} : \widehat{G} \to \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ such that the completion of a_4 is mapped into z and the two principally quasi-polarized Shimura p-divisible groups over $\widehat{G}$ obtained by pulling back the ones over $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ (see Fact 3 of 2.3.11) and over $\mathrm{Spec}(R_4^{\wedge})$ (see 3.6.10) are isomorphic, through an isomorphism lifting the identity in (the completion of) a_4. Warning: we assume $z_{\widehat{G}}$ mod p factors through the henselization of the localization of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_4/pR_4)$ in the k-valued point defined by a_4.

3.6.14. Theorem (the deformation principle: the expanded form). *There is an integral, affine scheme $V_G = \mathrm{Spec}(S_G)$, which is the p-adic completion of an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale scheme over a smooth $W(k)$-scheme, and there are $W(k)$-morphisms $a : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow V_G$, $b : V_G \to (\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0)^{\wedge}$ and $c : V_G \to U_4^{\wedge}$ such that:*

a) $a_4 = c \circ a$ and the p-adic completion of z is $b \circ a$;

b) b is obtained by taking the p-adic completion of the composite of an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale morphism with a smooth morphism while c is the p-adic completion of an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale morphism;

c) The special fibre of V_G is geometrically connected and the image of c mod p contains an open, dense subscheme of the special fibre of $U_4^{\wedge}$;

d) If $N_{S_G} = (N, F^1_{S_G}, \Phi_N, \nabla_N, \tilde{\psi}_N)$ is the principally quasi-polarized p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(S_G)$ defined by the principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group of the principally polarized abelian scheme $V_{G\,b_0} \times_{\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0} (\mathcal{A}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{H_0}})$ over V_G, then there is an isomorphism of N_{S_G} into $\tilde{M}_{S_G}$ (the pull back of $\tilde{M}_{R_4}$ through the $W(k)$-morphism c) taking the de Rham component $t_\alpha^{S_G}$ (of the Hodge cycle $w_\alpha^{S_G}$ of $V_{G\,b_0} \times_{\mathcal{N}/H_0} \mathcal{A}_{H_0}$; it is viewed as a tensor of $N[\frac{1}{p}]$ and is obtained similarly to 2.3.12-13) into t_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$ (here $b_0 := m_{\mathrm{nat}} \circ b$).

Let $\tilde{H}$ be the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup of $\tilde{G}^0_{W(k)}$ normalizing the direct summand F^0 of M. Let $U_{\tilde{H}} = \mathrm{Spec}(R_5)$ be an open, affine subscheme of $\tilde{H}$ such that the conditions of 3.6.11 are satisfied. We use the notations of 3.6.11 and 3.6.11.1, with $\tilde{g} = g$. So $e_{\tilde{H}} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$. Similarly to $\tilde{M}_{R_4}$ above, we define a principally quasi-polarized p-divisible object (sometimes viewed of being with tensors) of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_6)$

$$\tilde{M}_{R_6} := (M_{R_6}, \nabla_6, \tilde{\psi}_M).$$

SA2. As in SA1 we now assume that the similarly defined $W(k)$-morphism from the completion of $U_{\tilde{H}}$ in the origin into $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$, when taken mod p, factors through the henselization of the localization of $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_6/pQ_6)$ in its k-valued point defined by a_6.

We refer to this assumption (as well as of the one of SA1) as the starting assumption.

3.6.14.1. Theorem (the deformation principle). *There is an integral, affine scheme $V_{\tilde{H}} = \mathrm{Spec}(S_{\tilde{H}})$, which is the p-adic completion of an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale scheme over a smooth $W(k)$-scheme, and there are $W(k)$-morphisms $a : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow V_{\tilde{H}}$, $b : V_{\tilde{H}} \to (\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0)^{\wedge}$ and $c : V_{\tilde{H}} \to U_6^{\wedge}$ such that:*

- *a) $a_6 = c \circ a$ and the p-adic completion of z is $b \circ a$;*
- *b) b and c are p-adic completions of $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale morphisms;*
- *c) The special fibre of $V_{\tilde{H}}$ is geometrically connected and the image of c mod p contains an open, dense subscheme of the special fibre of $U_6^{\wedge}$;*

d) If $N_{S_{\tilde{H}}} = (N, F^1_{S_{\tilde{H}}}, \Phi_N, \nabla_N, \tilde{\psi}_N)$ is the principally quasi-polarized p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(S_{\tilde{H}})$ defined by the principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group of the principally polarized abelian scheme $V_{\tilde{H}\, b_0} \times_{\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0} (\mathcal{A}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{H_0}})$ over $V_{\tilde{H}}$, then there is an isomorphism of $N_{S_{\tilde{H}}}$ into $\tilde{M}_{S_{\tilde{H}}}$ (the pull back of $\tilde{M}_{R_6^{\wedge}}$ through the $W(k)$-morphism c) taking the de Rham component $t_{\alpha}^{S_{\tilde{H}}}$ (of the Hodge cycle $w_{\alpha}^{S_{\tilde{H}}}$ of $V_{\tilde{H}\, b_0} \times_{\mathcal{N}/H_0} \mathcal{A}_{H_0}$; it is viewed as a tensor of $N[\frac{1}{p}]$ and is obtained as in 2.3.12-13) into t_{α}, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$ (here $b_0 := m_{\mathrm{nat}} \circ b$).

Proof: The proof of 3.6.14 is entirely similar to the proof of 3.6.14.1, just the presentation in the case of 3.6.14.1 is slightly more complicated. So we prove here only 3.6.14.1. We do not hesitate (though this makes the proof longer) to take the necessary time to explain in detail what the systems of equations of first type as defined in 3.6.8.9 (can) bring new (besides 3.6.1.3) to the deformation theory of Shimura p-divisible groups. Also, as mentioned in 1.14.2, we do not hesitate to refer to parts of 3.9 and 3.11-12. We can assume $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) > 0$: if $\tilde{G}$ is a torus the above Theorems are trivial.

A. Let $Y_1 = \mathrm{Spec}(S^1_{\tilde{H}})$ be a smooth, affine $W(k)$-scheme, with a geometrically connected special fibre, and such that there is a point $a_1 \in Y_1(W(k)) = Y_1^{\wedge}(W(k))$ and there are $W(k)$-morphisms $b_1 : Y_1 \to \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ and $c_1 : Y_1^{\wedge} \to U_{\tilde{H}}$ satisfying the properties:

- A) $a_6 = c_1 \circ a_1$ and $z = b_1 \circ a_1$;
- B) c_1 mod p and b_1 are both étale morphisms;
- C) Denoting $(A_{Y_1}, p_{A_{Y_1}}) := b_1^*(\mathcal{A}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{H_0}})$, A_{Y_1} has the family of Hodge cycles $(w_{\alpha}^{S^1_{\tilde{H}}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}$ (with which it is naturally endowed) defined over Y_1 (and not only over an étale cover of Y_1) and there is an isomorphism

$$d_1 : N := H^1_{dR}(A_{Y_1}/S^1_{\tilde{H}}) \otimes_{S^1_{\tilde{H}}} {S^1_{\tilde{H}}}^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_{W(k)} {S^1_{\tilde{H}}}^{\wedge}$$

  taking the Hodge filtration of N defined by A_{Y_1} into $F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} {S^1_{\tilde{H}}}^{\wedge}$, $p_{A_{Y_1}}$ into $\tilde{\psi}_M$, and the de Rham component $t_{\alpha}^{S^1_{\tilde{H}}}$ of $w_{\alpha}^{S^1_{\tilde{H}}}$ into t_{α}, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$;
- C') There is a Frobenius lift of ${S^1_{\tilde{H}}}^{\wedge}$ taking z_i into z_i^p, $i \in S(1, e_{\tilde{H}})$, where the elements of the set $\{z_1, ..., z_{e_{\tilde{H}}}\}$ are forming a system of regular parameters of a_1 in Y_1, such

that the resulting Frobenius endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} S^{1\wedge}_{\tilde{H}}$ (cf. the identification d_1 of C)) is $g_{Y_1}(g\varphi_0 \otimes 1)$, where $g_{Y_1} \in \tilde{G}^0_{W(k)}(S^{1\wedge}_{\tilde{H}})$ mod p is defined by c_1;

D) Denoting by I_z the ideal of $S^{1}_{\tilde{H}}{}^{\wedge}$ defining the point a_1, the principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group we get over $\mathrm{Spec}(S^{1}_{\tilde{H}}{}^{\wedge}/I_z^2)$ together with its crystalline tensors is $1_{\mathcal{J}'}$-isomorphic (in the same sense as of 2.2.9 6)) to the principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group over $\mathrm{Spec}(R_5/I_0^2) = \mathrm{Spec}(Q_6/I_0^2 Q_6)$ together with its tensors, where I_0 is the ideal of $U_{\tilde{H}}$ defining a_6. (Here c_1 allows us to identify $\mathrm{Spec}(S^{1}_{\tilde{H}}{}^{\wedge}/I_z^2) = \mathrm{Spec}(R_5/I_0^2)$.)

The existence of such a scheme Y_1 and of $W(k)$-morphisms a_1, b_1 and c_1 with the above properties is just 2.3.15.1.

B. Over

$$Y_{16} := Y_1 \times_{W(k)} \mathrm{Spec}(Q_6)$$

we have two principally quasi-polarized p-divisible groups $(\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_1})$ and $(\mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_2})$, obtained by pulling back through the natural projections from this product into its two factors, the principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group of $(A_{Y_1}, p_{A_{Y_1}})$ and respectively the principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group

$$\big(\mathcal{D}(U_{\tilde{H}}, \Phi_{R_5}, M, F^1, g\varphi_0, G), \tilde{\psi}_M\big)$$

over $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_6)$ (cf. 3.6.11.1); they can be viewed naturally as principally quasi-polarized Shimura p-divisible groups over Y_{16}. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $Y_{16,n}$ be the moduli Y_{16}-scheme parameterizing isomorphisms between the two principally quasi-polarized, finite, flat, commutative group schemes over Y_{16} defined by the kernels of the multiplication with p^n on them. It is an affine scheme of finite type over Y_{16}. We get an $\mathbb{N}$-projective system defined by the natural ("restriction") $W(k)$-morphisms

$$t^n : Y_{16,n+1} \to Y_{16,n}.$$

Let $Y_{16,\infty}$ be its projective limit. Property D) implies: there is a natural $W(k)$-morphism

$$h_Z : Z := \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[x_1, ..., x_{e_{\tilde{H}}}]/(x_1, ..., x_{e_{\tilde{H}}})^2) \to Y_{16,\infty}$$

inducing $W(k)$-isomorphisms $Z \tilde{\rightarrow} \mathrm{Spec}(S^{1}_{\tilde{H}}{}^{\wedge}/I_z^2)$ and $Z \tilde{\rightarrow} \mathrm{Spec}(Q_6/I_0^2 Q_6)$; we can assume that under the first such $W(k)$-isomorphism z_i mod I_z^2 is mapped into x_i mod $(x_1, ..., x_{e_{\tilde{H}}})^2$, $i = \overline{1, e_{\tilde{H}}}$. Here x_i's are independent variables.

C. Let $\tilde{H}^0$ be the completion of $\tilde{H}$ (or of $U_{\tilde{H}}$) in its origin. From [Fa2, th. 10 and the remarks after] (cf. D) and the proof of 2.3.15) we deduce the existence of a unique $U_{\tilde{H}}$-morphism

$$m_{\tilde{H}} : \tilde{H}^0 = \mathrm{Spec}(S^0_{\tilde{H}}) \to Y_{16,\infty};$$

$Y_{16,\infty}$ is naturally a $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_6)$-scheme and so a $U_{\tilde{H}}$-scheme. We have a natural factorization f_Z of h_Z through $m_{\tilde{H}}$. The key point is:

E) *We can assume the morphism* $m_{\tilde{H}}$ *mod* p *factors through the* Y_{16}*-scheme* $\tilde{Y}_1 \times_{Y_{16}} Y_{16,\infty}$*, where the* Y_{16}*-scheme* $\tilde{Y}_1$ *is defined by* $\tilde{\ell}_6$ *of the following fibre product:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{Y}_1 \arrow[r, "\tilde{c}_1"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\ell}_6"] & \mathrm{Spec}(Q_6) \arrow[d, "\ell_6"] \\
Y_1^{\wedge} \arrow[r, "c_1"] & U_{\tilde{H}}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

D. E) is a consequence (in fact a restatement) of SA2. We stop to digress why the statement of the starting assumption is not automatically satisfied. We first remark that the composite of f_Z with the morphism $\tilde{H}^0 \to \tilde{Y}_1$ is a canonical closed embedding $a_Z : Z \hookrightarrow \tilde{Y}_1$ (cf. D) and B). The completion of $\tilde{Y}_1$ w.r.t. the ideal defining this embedding, can be identified with $\tilde{H}^0$ (cf. B)). Let $\tilde{c}_1^0$ and $\tilde{\ell}_6^0$ be the natural $W(k)$-morphisms (obtained through this identification) from $\tilde{H}^0$ to $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_6)$ and respectively to $Y_1^{\wedge}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$. Let $\tilde{I}_0 := I_0 S_{\tilde{H}}^0$. Referring to [Fa2, th. 10], when we have to pass from things modulo $\tilde{I}_0^m$ to things modulo $\tilde{I}_0^{m+1}$, we do not know a priori that the k-morphism $\tilde{c}_1^0$ mod p is not changed. To argue this, let P be the parabolic subgroup of G normalizing F^1; so $\mathrm{Lie}(P) = F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$. The obstruction of lifting things modulo $\tilde{I}_0^m$ to things modulo $\tilde{I}_0^{m+1}$, is given by some element

$$\gamma_m \in p\mathrm{Lie}(G) \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{I}_0^m/\tilde{I}_0^{m+1}$$

(cf. C') and D) for $m = 2$). We can modify (as in loc. cit.) $\tilde{c}_1^0$ by something which is 0 mod p so that we have $\gamma_m \in F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{I}_0^m/\tilde{I}_0^{m+1}$. But this element corresponds (as in loc. cit.) to a replacement of a Frobenius endomorphism $\Phi_{\tilde{H}}^0$ of $M \otimes_{W(k)} S_{\tilde{H}}^0$ (defined for instance via $\mathcal{D}_1$) by another one $(1-\gamma_m)\Phi_{\tilde{H}}^0(1-\gamma_m)^{-1}$ isomorphic to it. The new obstruction we get is given by some new element

$$\gamma_{m+1} \in \mathrm{Lie}(G) \otimes_{W(k)} \tilde{I}_0^{m+1}/\tilde{I}_0^{m+2}.$$

But γ_{m+1} is not a priori divisible by p (however: if γ_m is divisible by p^2, then γ_{m+1} is divisible by p). So the induction does not apply. That is why we need SA2.

E. Let now $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The principally quasi-polarized, finite, flat, commutative group scheme we naturally have over $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{6,n+1}^{\wedge})$ (see 3.6.2) is defined over the spectrum of a $Q_{6,n+1}$-subalgebra $\tilde{Q}_{6,n+1}$ of $Q_{6,n+1}^{\wedge}$ of finite type. So $Y_{16,n+1}$ is obtained from a scheme $Y_{16,n+1,\mathrm{al}}$ of finite type over $Y_1 \times_{W(k)} \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}_{6,n+1})$ by pull back through the natural $W(k)$-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_6) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{Q}_{6,n+1})$. From [BLR, th. 12 of p. 83] we deduce that the resulting $W(k)$-morphism $\tilde{H}^0 \to Y_{16,n+1,\mathrm{al}}$ factors through a smooth, affine $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{6,n+1})$-scheme $W_{16,n+1}$ (the fact that $W(k)$ is an excellent DVR and U_3 is a potential deformation reductive sheet implies that all hypotheses of loc. cit. are satisfied).

There is an affine $Y_{16,n}$-scheme $Z_{16,n}$ which (via the natural projection $\mathrm{Spec}(Y_{16,n}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q_6)$) is smooth over $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_6)$: for instance we can take $Z_{16,n}$ to be the pull back to $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_6)$ of the $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{6,n+1}^{\wedge})$-scheme

$$Z_{16,n}^1 := \mathrm{Spec}(Q_{6,n+1}^{\wedge}) \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{6,n+1})} W_{16,n+1};$$

as $Z_{16,n}^1$ mod p^{n+1} is the same as $W_{16,n+1}$ mod p^{n+1}, we have a natural $W(k)$-morphism $Z_{16,n} \to Y_{16,n}$ (cf. 2.2.1.1 2) and Fact 2 of 2.2.1.0).

From this and D), using a slice, we get an affine $W(k)$-morphism

$$t(n) : \mathrm{Spec}(T_n) \to Y_{16,n}$$

such that, when composed with the projections of $Y_{16,n}$ on Y_1 and respectively on $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_6)$, it gives birth to a formally étale, affine morphism $q(n)$ and respectively to an étale, affine morphism $s(n)$ (the fact that $q(n)$ mod p is formally étale can be also checked using arguments on Kodaira–Spencer maps). Moreover we can assume that the induced (by h_Z) $W(k)$-morphism $Z \to Y_{16,n}$ factors through $t(n)$, producing an affine $W(k)$-morphism

$$h_n : Z \to \mathrm{Spec}(T_n),$$

and that (cf. E)) $t(n)$ mod p factors through $\tilde{Y}_1 \times_{Y_{16}} Y_{16,n}$; so the special fibre of the $W(k)$-morphism $\tilde{H}^0 \to Y_{16,n}$ defined by $m_{\tilde{H}}$ factors through the special fibre of $t(n)$. Also we can assume $\mathrm{Spec}(T_n/pT_n)$ is a geometrically connected k-scheme of finite type.

By induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we check that we can choose the things in such a way that there is an étale morphism

$$t_n : \mathrm{Spec}(T_{n+1}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(T_n)$$

for which we have:

F) $s(n+1) = s(n) \circ t_n$, $t_{n+1} \circ h_{n+1} = h_n$ *and* $t^n \circ t(n+1) \equiv t(n) \circ t_n$ *mod* p^{n+1}.

F. Proof of F): the ordinary case. The proof of F) is related to the following statement: for suitable $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we can not have two formally étale morphisms from $\mathrm{Spec}(T_{n+m})$ into Y^1 which are different mod p^n but identical mod p, whose images mod p contain an open subset U^1 of the special fibre of Y^1 and are such that the pull backs of $A_{Y_1}[p^{n+m}]$ and of its principal quasi-polarization through them are the same (i.e. are isomorphic). We refer to this statement as the statement (n, m).

The idea is: it is enough to check a statement (n, m) at $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$-ordinary points of U^1 (due to the fact that $\mathrm{Spec}(T_n/pT_n)$ is connected), by using (good) $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$-multiplicative coordinates; here $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$-ordinary points of U^1 are in the sense of 3.9.3.1 below. As the theory of such coordinates is developed just in 4.1-4 and 4.7 below, we have to work around. Such a theory of ordinary points and canonical coordinates is already available (cf. [De3] and [No1]) for the particular case in which the Shimura-ordinary type associated to $\mathcal{N}$ (see 4.1) is an ordinary type. So we first prove –based on [Ka3, ch. 2]– statement (n,n) and F) for the particular case in which we assume the existence of a dense set of ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$.

We can assume $s(n+1) = s(n) \circ t_n$ and $t_{n+1} \circ h_{n+1} = h_n$. For the congruence mod p^n of $t^n \circ t(n+1)$ and $t(n) \circ t_n$, it is enough to check that $q(n+1)$ is congruent to $q(n) \circ t_n$ mod p^n. So we start checking that $q(n) \circ t_{n+1}$ is congruent to $q(n+1)$ modulo $p^{m(n)}$ for a sufficiently large $m(n) \in \mathbb{N}$. From [Ka3, p. 151] we deduce that, at the ring levels, $q(n) \circ t_n$ is congruent to $q(n+1)$ modulo the $[\frac{n+1}{2}]$-power of any maximal ideal of T_n corresponding to an ordinary point $\mathrm{Spec}(T_n)(\bar{k})$ (with the notations of loc. cit., if $x \in A(k)[p^n]$, then $p^{2n}\tilde{x} = 0$). But the ordinary points of $\mathrm{Spec}(T_n/pT_n)$ are dense (cf. our density assumption and the fact that ordinary points can be recognized working mod p). So we can take $m(n) = [\frac{n+1}{2}]$. This takes care of the statement (n,n). After a relabeling (like T_{2^n} becomes T_n), we get F). This ends the proof of F) in the mentioned particular (ordinary) case.

G. We come back to the general case and assume (for the time being) that property F) holds. Let $\mathrm{Spec}(T_\infty)$ be the limit of the $\mathbb{N}$-projective system defined by t_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From F), we deduce the existence of a $W(k)$-morphism

$$\mathrm{Spec}(T_\infty^\wedge) \to Y_{16,\infty}$$

such that h_Z factors through it. Moreover, $\mathrm{Spec}(T_\infty^\wedge)$ together with the $W(k)$-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(T_\infty^\wedge)$ defined via h_Z and the logical $W(k)$-morphisms $\mathrm{Spec}(T_\infty^\wedge) \to U_6^\wedge$ and $\mathrm{Spec}(T_\infty^\wedge) \to (\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0)^\wedge$, satisfies all requirements of 3.6.14.1, except of c). So to get 3.6.14.1 c), we have to work in a more refined way: we have to show that $\mathrm{Spec}(T_\infty/pT_\infty)$ can be obtained from $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)$ using systems of equations "closed in spirit" to those of first type, in the same manner as in the proof of the last part of 3.6.8.1.2 a); on the way of achieving this we also prove F) in the general case. The needed refinement is present in H to K below.

H. Proof of F): the general case. We work in the general case. We reached the situation: over $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1)$ there are two principally quasi-polarized Shimura p-divisible groups such that their kernels under the multiplication by p are isomorphic; as $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1)$ is a regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-scheme it makes sense to speak about an isomorphism preserving the tensors: by this we mean a filtered isomorphism between filtered, projective $T_1^\wedge$-modules underlying the filtered F-crystals of these two p-divisible groups, preserving the quasi-polarizations and (in the sense of $1_{\mathcal{J}'}$-isomorphisms) the tensors, and which mod p results in an isomorphism $\mathcal{I}_1$ between truncations mod p of principally quasi-polarized p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(T_1)$. We have:

G) *There is a formally étale, p-adically complete, affine* $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1)$*-scheme* $\tilde{Z}_\infty$ *through which the* $W(k)$*-morphism* h_1 *factors, such that over the special fibre* $\tilde{Y}_\infty$ *of* $\tilde{Z}_\infty$*, the two principally quasi-polarized p-divisible groups we got naturally over* $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)$*, are isomorphic, under an isomorphism which, when viewed (by forgetting the filtrations) as an isomorphism between p-divisible objects of* $\mathcal{M}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(\tilde{Z}_\infty)$*, lifts the exten-*

sion of $\mathcal{I}_1$ to $\tilde{Z}_\infty$, preserves the tensors and in the Z-valued point defined by the factorization of h_1, is the one defined naturally via h_Z.

This is a consequence of F). As we proved property F) only in the ordinary case, we need to point out that G) is also a consequence of SA2. To see this we just need to remark that SA2 implies directly:

Fact. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The integral closed subscheme of the* $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)$*-scheme parameterizing isomorphisms between the kernels of the multiplication by p^n of the two principally quasi-polarized p-divisible groups we got over* $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)$*, through which the composite morphism of $\tilde{m}_H$ mod p and of the natural k-morphism $Y_{16,\infty_k} \to Y_{16,1_k}$ factors naturally, is generically finite over* $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)$ *and so étale over* $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)$ *in its k-valued point obtained from the one of $\tilde{H}^0$, via this factorization.*

It is worth pointing out that the generically finiteness part of this Fact results also from the following obvious Subfact (which is a particular case of b) of 2.2.4 B):

Subfact. *Let $k_1 \subset k_2$ be two algebraically closed fields containing k. We consider isomorphisms over $W(k_2)$ between truncations mod p^n of two Shimura p-divisible groups $\tilde{D}(1) = (D(1), (t(1)_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ and $\tilde{D}(2) = (D(2), (t(2)_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ over $W(k_1)$, preserving the tensors, in the sense that they lift to $1_{\mathcal{J}'}$-isomorphisms (as in 2.2.9 6)) between $\tilde{D}(1)$ and $\tilde{D}(2)$. Then the number of such isomorphisms is finite and all of them are defined over $W(k_1)$.*

We come back to the proof of F). We now show that G) implies F). From the Fact we deduce that we can assume $\tilde{Z}_\infty$ is the p-adic completion of an affine, $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1)$-scheme. We write $\tilde{Z}_\infty = \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{T}_\infty)$. From G) we deduce the existence of a natural morphism $m_k^{\mathrm{fil}} : \tilde{Z}_{\infty k} \to Y_{16,\infty}$. We consider the underlying $\tilde{T}_\infty$-module $\tilde{M}_\infty$ of the F-crystal over $\tilde{Z}_{\infty k}$ associated to the p-divisible group over $\tilde{Z}_{\infty k}$ (defined by the pull back of any of the two p-divisible groups over $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)$). The two F^1-filtrations $F_1^1(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ and $F_2^1(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ of $\tilde{M}_\infty$ defined respectively via $\mathcal{D}_1$ and $\mathcal{D}_2$, might not be the same. We consider the principally polarized abelian scheme PPA over $\tilde{Z}_\infty$ we get from $(A_{Y_1}, p_{A_{Y_1}})$ via natural pull backs. The "replacement" of $F_1^1(\tilde{M}_\infty)$ by $F_2^1(\tilde{M}_\infty)$, corresponds to a "replacement" of PPA by another principally polarized abelian scheme PPA' over $\tilde{Z}_\infty$, which still lifts the special fibre of PPA, cf. Serre–Tate's deformation theory (this is part of the second place where we need $p > 2$). The pull backs (via h_1) of PPA and PPA' to $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ are the same.

Claim. *Passing to an étale cover of $\tilde{Z}_\infty$ through which h_1 still factors, PPA' becomes isomorphic (under an isomorphism which in h_1 is the identity) to the pull back of $(\mathcal{A}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{H_0}})$ through a uniquely determined $O_{(v)}$-morphism $m_1^{\mathrm{fil}} : \tilde{Z}_\infty \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$ lifting the $k(v)$-morphism $\tilde{Z}_{\infty k} \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/H_0$ naturally defined by m_k^{fil}.*

The existence of m_1^{fil}, with $\tilde{Z}_\infty$ being replaced by its completion in its k-valued point naturally defined by the factorization of h_1, is a consequence of 2.2.21 UP (cf. Fact 4 of 2.3.11). By passing to an étale cover of $\tilde{Z}_\infty$ defined by replacing H_0 by a compact, open, normal subgroup of it such that $(\mathcal{A}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{H_0}})$ is the natural pull back of the universal principally polarized abelian scheme over $\mathcal{M}/K^p(N)$ (for notations cf. 2.3.3), the existence of m_1^{fil} becomes a statement in the faithfully flat topology and so it is a consequence of variants of the deformation theory of [Va2, 5.4.4-6] mentioned in 2.3.11. By combining the last two sentences, the Claim follows.

We conclude: m_1^{fil} defines naturally a $W(k)$-morphism

$$m^{\mathrm{fil}} : \tilde{Z}_\infty \to Y_{16,\infty}$$

through which h_Z factors and whose special fibre is m_k^{fil}. As $\tilde{Z}_\infty$ is the p-adic completion of an affine, $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1)$-scheme, we have a version of m^{fil} mod p^{n+1} in which $Y_{16,\infty}$ is replaced by $Y_{16,n}$ and $\tilde{Z}_\infty$ is replaced by an étale $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1)$-scheme (again cf. 2.2.1.1 2) and Fact 2 of 2.2.1.0). This ends the proof of F) in the general case.

I. To explain in detail this property G), we need some notations. Let Φ_2 be the Frobenius endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} T_1^\wedge$ induced from the Frobenius endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} Q_6$ and let Φ_1 be the Frobenius endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} T_1^\wedge$ defined via C) and the pull back of the natural p-divisible group on Y_1 through $q(1)$. For computing Φ_1 and Φ_2, we choose (for instance) the Frobenius lift Φ_{T_1} of $T_1^\wedge$ to be the one induced from the one of Q_6. Let $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1^{\mathrm{h}})$ be the henselization of the localization of $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1)$ w.r.t. the k-valued point defined naturally by h_1.

We can assume the isomorphism $\mathcal{I}_1$ (see H) is defined by $1_{M\otimes_{W(k)}T_1/pT_1}$. Property G) is equivalent to: there is $\tilde{h}_\infty \in G(T_1^{\mathrm{h}\wedge})$ such that mod p is the identity and over $T_1^{\mathrm{h}\wedge}$ we have:

$$\tilde{h}_\infty \circ (\Phi_1 \otimes 1) = (\Phi_2 \otimes 1) \circ \tilde{h}_\infty. \tag{17}$$

Working as in 3.6.1.3, modulo powers of p, starting from equation (17) we construct an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)$ as follows. We start working mod p^2. So we are trying to find an element $\tilde{h}_1 \in G(T_1^\wedge)$ which mod p is the identity and for which we have:

$$\Phi_2 \circ \tilde{h}_1(m/p) \equiv \tilde{h}_1 \circ \Phi_1(m/p) \mod p^2, \tag{18}$$

for $m \in F^1$, and

$$\Phi_2 \circ \tilde{h}_1(m) \equiv \tilde{h}_1 \circ \Phi_1(m) \mod p^2, \tag{19}$$

if $m \in F^0$. The above congruencies are between elements of $M \otimes_{W(k)} T_1^\wedge$.

For solving the system of equations defined by (18) and (19), only the expression of $\tilde{h}_1$ mod p^3 is important. Moreover as we already know the existence of $\mathcal{I}_1$, we can assume $\tilde{h}_1$ mod p^2 defines an element of $P(T_1/pT_1)$. So let $\gamma_{\tilde{h}_1} \in \mathrm{Lie}(P) \otimes_{W(k)} T_1/pT_1$ be such that $\tilde{h}_1$ mod p^2 is $1_{M\otimes_{W(k)}T_1/p^2T_1} + p\gamma_{\tilde{h}_1}$ (warning: here $p\gamma_{\tilde{h}_1} \in p\mathrm{Lie}(P) \otimes_{W(k)} T_1/pT_1 = \mathrm{Lie}(P)\otimes_{W(k)} pT_1/p^2T_1$). We choose arbitrarily a $W(k)$-basis $\{\tilde{e}_1, ..., \tilde{e}_{\dim_{W(k)}(P)}\}$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(P)$

and a $W(k)$-basis $\{n_1, ..., n_{e_{\tilde{H}}}\}$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{H})$. We can assume $\{\tilde{e}_1, ..., \tilde{e}_{e_{\tilde{H}}}\}$ is a $W(k)$-basis of $F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$. We write

$$\gamma_{\tilde{h}_1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim_{W(k)}(P)} x_i \tilde{e}_i,$$

with x_i as variables (thought as elements of T_1/pT_1). Moreover we can write $\tilde{h}_1$ mod p^3 as follows

$$1_{M\otimes_{W(k)} T_1/p^3T_1} + p\tilde{\gamma}_{\tilde{h}_1} + p^2 \sum_{j=1}^{e_{\tilde{H}}} y_j n_j,$$

with y_j as extra variables (thought as elements of T_1/pT_1) and with $1_{M\otimes_{W(k)} T_1/p^3T_1} + p\tilde{\gamma}_{\tilde{h}_1} \in P(T_1/p^3T_1)$ which mod p^2 is $1_{M\otimes_{W(k)} T_1/p^2T_1} + p\gamma_{\tilde{h}_1}$. The above equations (18) and (19) get translated in a system of equations involving x_i's and y_j's. It defines an affine $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)$-scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1')$. Usually it is not reduced; below we are interested just in the reduced scheme it defines.

J. 3.9.3.1 allows us to speak about $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$-ordinary points of $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)$; their definition does not depend on which of the two p-divisible groups over $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1)$ (i.e. on which of the morphisms $q(1)$ and $s(1)$) we use to define them (cf. the existence of $t(1)$). From 3.12.1 (applied either in the context of 2.3.11 or in the abstract context of the pull back of $(\mathcal{D}(U_{\tilde{H}}, \Phi_{R_5}, M, F^1, g\varphi_0, G), \tilde{\psi}_M)$ to $\tilde{H}^0$) we get that they are dense. We have:

H) $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1')$ *has finite fibres over* $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$*-ordinary points of* $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)$ *with values in* $\bar{k}$*, having the same number of points which is a non-negative, integral power of* p.

We get this by looking at the system of equations SE_{init} in x_i's and y_j's we got in the following standard manner:

– the right (resp. left) hand sides of (18) and (19) when combined, can be viewed as a product $\tilde{h}_1 g_1$ (resp. $\tilde{h}_2 g_1$) mod p^2, where g_1 is the Φ_{T_1}-linear endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} T_1^\wedge$ defined by the formula $\Phi_1 = g_1\mu(\frac{1}{p})$, with μ is as in 3.6.13, and where $\tilde{h}_2 \in G(T_1^\wedge)$ is congruent to the identity mod p;

– considering a sum $1_{M\otimes_{W(k)} T_1^\wedge} + p\bigl(\sum_{i=1}^{\dim_{W(k)}(P)} x_i(2)\tilde{e}_i\bigr) + p\bigl(\sum_{j=1}^{e_{\tilde{H}}} y_j(2) n_j\bigr)$ which mod p^2 is $\tilde{h}_2$.

So, by computing $x_i(2)$'s and $y_j(2)$'s (in terms of Frobenius transforms of x_i's and y_j's) and by identifying the coefficients of $\tilde{e}_i$'s and n_j's, SE_{init} is obtained by combining the equations of (20) and (21) below

$$x_i = L_i(x^p_{e_{\tilde{H}}+1}, ..., x^p_{\dim_{W(k)}(P)}, y^p_1, ..., y^p_{e_{\tilde{H}}}), \tag{20}$$

$i \in S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(P))$, and

$$L_i(x^p_{e_{\tilde{H}}+1}, ..., x^p_{\dim_{W(k)}(P)}, y^p_1, ..., y^p_{e_{\tilde{H}}}) = 0, \tag{21}$$

$i \in S(\dim_{W(k)}(P)+1, \dim_{W(k)}(G))$; warning: it is not of third type. Here L_i is a non-homogeneous linear form with coefficients in T_1/pT_1, $i \in S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(G))$. A system of equations with coefficients in an $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra having the same form as (20) and (21), is called of fourth type.

The first $e_{\tilde{H}}$ equations of (20) allow us to eliminate the variables $x_1,..., x_{e_{\tilde{H}}}$. So, over the perfection $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)^{\mathrm{perf}}$ (of $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)$), the last $\dim_{W(k)}(P) - e_{\tilde{H}}$ equations of (20) together with the p-th roots of the equations of (21), define a system of equations SE in $\dim_{W(k)}(G) - e_{\tilde{H}}$ variables, which is very close in spirit to one of first type.

In fact, in any $\tilde{G}_{W(\bar{k})}$-ordinary point of $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)$ with values in $\bar{k}$ (it defines uniquely a $\bar{k}$-valued point of $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)^{\mathrm{perf}}$), SE becomes a system of equations with coefficients in $\bar{k}$ which is reduced-equivalent to one of first type. Here reduced-equivalent means that the reduced schemes of their affine $\bar{k}$-schemes of solutions are the same (i.e. are isomorphic). To see this let $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k}), g(y_G)(\varphi_0 \otimes 1), \tilde{G}_{W(\bar{k})})$ be the Shimura $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal of the pull back of $\mathcal{D}_2$ through a $\tilde{G}_{W(\bar{k})}$-ordinary point $y_G : \mathrm{Spec}(\bar{k}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)$. We consider the Φ_{T_1}-Teichmüller lift $z_G : \mathrm{Spec}(W(\bar{k})) \to \mathrm{Spec}(T_1^{\wedge})$ of y_G. The proof of 3.11.1 c) below shows that there is $\tilde{h}_3 \in G(W(k))$ congruent to the identity mod p and such that $\tilde{h}_3 z_G^*(\Phi_1 \otimes 1) = z_G^*(\Phi_2 \otimes 1)\tilde{h}_3$. As the replacement of $z_G^*(\tilde{h}_1)$ by $z_G^*(\tilde{h}_1)\tilde{h}_3$ corresponds to a change of the extension to $W(\bar{k})$ of the chosen $W(k)$-basis of $\mathrm{Lie}(P)$ and of $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{H})$, we can assume we are dealing with $W(\bar{k})$-bases of $P_{W(\bar{k})}$ and of $\tilde{H}_{W(\bar{k})}$ such that the $W(\bar{k})$-submodules they generate are permuted by $pg(y_G)(\varphi_0 \otimes 1)$ as in 3.4.3.0. So we get that $y_G^*(SE)$ is equivalent to a system of equations (in u_i's) with coefficients in $\bar{k}$ of the form

$$a_i u_i = u_{\pi(i)}^p,$$

$i = \overline{e_{\tilde{H}}+1, \dim_{W(k)}(P)+e_{\tilde{H}}}$; we have: $a_i = 0$ iff $i \geq 1+\dim_{W(k)}(P)$. Here π is a suitable permutation of the set $S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(P)+e_{\tilde{H}})$. Obviously, it is reduced-equivalent to one of first type. So the part of H) pertaining to a power of p (resp. to the same number of points) follows from 3.1.8.1 (resp. from 3.11.1 c)).

K. G) guarantees that $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1')$ is not an empty scheme and that h_1 mod p factors through the normalization $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1^{0\prime})$ of the integral, closed subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1')$ through which the special fibre of $\tilde{Z}_\infty$ factors naturally. So $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1^{0\prime})$ is a geometrically connected $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)$-scheme. Moreover, it is an étale cover over an open, dense subscheme $\mathcal{U}_1$ of the $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$-ordinary locus $\mathcal{L}_1$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)$.

The same argument as the one used in the proof 3.6.8.1.2 a) applies to give us that we can choose $\mathcal{U}_1$ to depend only on the coefficients of the homogeneous parts of the forms L_i, $i = \overline{1, \dim_{W(k)}(G)}$. We state this explicitly as a Lemma; its notations are independent of the previous ones and its proof (just an application of 3.6.8.9.0 and 3.6.8.1.2 a)) is left as an exercise.

Lemma. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $m \leq n$. We consider a system of equations of fourth type*

$$u_i = L_i(u_1^p, ..., u_n^p),$$

$i = \overline{1, m}$ *and*

$$0 = L_i(u_1^p, ..., u_n^p),$$

$i = \overline{m+1, n}$, *with coefficients in an integral* $\mathbb{F}_p$*-algebra* R. *We assume that its affine* $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$*-scheme of solutions has finite non-empty fibres.*

Then there is an open, dense subscheme U *of* $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ *such that for any affine, dominant, integral* $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$*-scheme* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ *and for every* n*-tuple* $(c_1, ..., c_n)$ *of elements of* R_1 *such that the affine* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$*-scheme* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_2)$ *of solutions of the system of equations obtained by replacing above the linear form* L_i *by* $L_i + c_i$, $\forall i \in S(1, n)$, *has generically a finite number of points,* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_2) \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)} U$ *is a finite scheme over* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1) \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)} U$.

So we can repeat the arguments, for things modulo p^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 1$). We obtain new systems of equations, involving similar linear forms $L_i(n)$; moreover the homogeneous part of $L_i(n)$ is the same as of L_i, $\forall i \in S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(G))$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$.

So, entirely similar to 3.6.8.1.2 a), we deduce that we can assume $\tilde{Y}_\infty$ is an affine $\mathrm{Spec}(T_1/pT_1)$-scheme which is a non-trivial $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale cover above $\mathcal{U}_1$. In other words, coming back to the paragraph G, we can assume the morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(T_\infty/pT_\infty) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q_6/pQ_6)$ has an image which contains an open subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_6/pQ_6)$. This takes care of 3.6.14.1 c), ending the proof of 3.6.14.1.

3.6.14.2. Exercise. Show that 3.6.14 and 3.6.14.1 remain true, as mentioned in 3.6.13, without the assumptions made in 3.6.13. Hint: the only difference is that we have to work with different de Rham tensors, which become linear combination of de Rham components of Hodge cycles, after passage to an étale morphism.

3.6.14.3. Exercise. Restate 3.6.14.1 and 3.6.14.1 in terms of a k-valued point y of $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$, instead of a $W(k)$-valued point z of $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$.

3.6.14.4. Variants. We do not know when the starting assumptions SA1-2 are satisfied. Instead of open subschemes of $G = G^0_{W(k)}$ or of $\tilde{H}$, we can work with étale schemes over them; so the chances of being able to verify such starting assumptions, in new contexts which can be still of great use, are much higher. When there are no hopes to get any useful starting condition, in 3.6.14 d) and 3.6.14.1 d) we have to work with truncations mod p^n of p-divisible objects involved ($n \in \mathbb{N}$); in such a situation we do not need to pass to $\mathbb{N}$-pro-ètale morphisms. There are three variants of working with truncations mod p^n: below we detail them in the context of 3.6.14.1 (so that we can use the notations of the proof of 3.6.14.1). Warning: for below variants, no starting assumption is needed.

In the first variant we entirely ignore the extra Shimura structure and keep track just of principally quasi-polarized, finite, flat, commutative group schemes; it stops at the existence of the $W(k)$-morphism $t(n)$ of 3.6.14.1 E.

In the second variant we essentially ignore the tensors but we keep track of the extra Shimura (Lie) structures. Let $\tilde{Y}_{16,n} = \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}_{16,n})$ be the integral, closed, affine subscheme of $Y_{16,n}$ through which the composite of $m_{\tilde{H}}$ with the natural $W(k)$-morphism $Y_{16,\infty} \to Y_{16,n}$ factors. $\tilde{Y}_{16,n}$ might not be formally smooth over $W(k)$. However, it is faithfully flat over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and so we can still speak about $H^1_j := H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathcal{D}_{j\tilde{Y}_{16,n}}/\tilde{Y}^{\wedge}_{16,n})$ and so about the $\tilde{R}_{16,n}$-submodule $\mathfrak{g}_j$ of $\mathrm{End}(H^1_j/p^nH^1_j)$ obtained naturally by pulling back the Lie submodule of the kernel of the multiplication by p^n of $\mathcal{D}_1$, $j=\overline{1,2}$. We have a natural identification of H^1_1 mod p^n with H^1_2 mod p^n and so of their End's; these identifications preserve the filtrations. We consider the maximal closed subscheme $\tilde{Z}_{16,n}$ of $\tilde{Y}_{16,nW_n(k)}$ with the property that under this identification of End's, $\mathfrak{g}_1$ mod p^n is $\mathfrak{g}_2$ mod p^n; as $\mathfrak{g}_2$ and $\mathrm{End}(H^1_2)/p^n\mathrm{End}(H^1_2)$ are free $\tilde{R}_{16,n}/p^n\tilde{R}_{16,n}$-modules, its construction is obvious.

Using n times [BLR, th. 12 of p. 83] we deduce, cf. the existence of $m_{\tilde{H}}$, that we can assume $t(n)$ factors through $\tilde{Y}_{16,n}$ in such a way that mod p^n it factors through $\tilde{Z}_{16,n}$: first time, we achieve this factorization mod p, then mod p^2, etc. (as in 3.6.14.1 E we can "put" everything in a finite type context). We conclude (cf. 2.2.20.1 9)):

Fact. *We can assume that over* $\mathrm{Spec}(T_n)$*, the crystalline counterparts of the kernel of the multiplication by* p^n *of* $(\mathcal{D}_1,\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_1})$ *and of* $(\mathcal{D}_2,\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_2})$ *become isomorphic.*

In the third variant we fully keep track of the tensors: it is easy to state the above second variant in a way that keeps full track of the tensors, provided we either assume $t_\alpha\in\mathcal{T}(M)$, $\forall\alpha\in\mathcal{J}$, or we treat the tensors as in 2.2.14 (we just have to define $\tilde{Z}_{16,n}$ in a way that keeps track of these tensors as well).

3.6.14.4.1. It seems to us possible to prove directly, using (at least in the case (G,X) is of compact type) just the techniques of 3.6.14, the following expected result.

Expectation. *We assume* $k=\bar{k}$*. Let* $n\in\mathbb{N}$*. There is a* $W(k)$*-valued point of* $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ *whose special fibre factors through the same connected component of* $\mathcal{N}_k$ *as* y*, such that the crystalline counterpart (see 2.2.20.1 9)) of the kernel of the multiplication by* p^n *of the Shimura* p*-divisible group we get over* $W(k)$ *through it, is isomorphic to the crystalline counterpart of the same type of kernel of the Shimura* p*-divisible group we get through an arbitrary* $W(k)$*-valued point of* $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_6)$*.*

3.6.15. The completion property. For the sake of presenting most of the twelve fundamental principles (of 1.2.3) in a reasonable compact form, we now include the following expected result. We continue to refer to the context of 3.6.13 and to assume $k=\bar{k}$. Let $y:\mathrm{Spec}(k)\to\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ be defined by z.

A. Expectation (the completion property). *Giving* $g_1\in\tilde{G}^0_{W(k)}(W(k))$*, there is a point* $z_1:\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))\to\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ *lifting a* k*-valued point of the same connected component* $\mathcal{C}^0$ *of* $\mathcal{N}_k/H_0$ *through which* y *factors and such that its attached principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered* σ*-crystal* $\bigl(M_1,F^1_1,\varphi_1,\tilde{G}_{W(k)},(t_{1\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'},\tilde{\psi}_{M_1}\bigr)$ *is* $1_{\mathcal{J}'}$*-isomorphic to* $\bigl(M,F^1,g_1\varphi_0,\tilde{G}_{W(k)},(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'},\tilde{\psi}_M\bigr)$*.*

This Expectation is proved in §11; see also 4.12 below. The initial motivation for this expectation is based on 3.6.14.4.1 and on the following Fundamental Lemma.

B. Fundamental Lemma. *Let* (M,φ,G) *(resp.* (M,φ,G,p_M)*) be an arbitrary* σ*-*$\mathcal{S}$*-crystal over* k *(resp. an arbitrary* σ*-*$\mathcal{S}$*-crystal over* k *endowed with a perfect bilinear* *form* $p_M : (M,\varphi) \otimes_{W(k)} (M,\varphi) \to W(k)(n(\varphi))$ *normalized by* G *and for which the* W*-condition holds; here* $n(\varphi) \in \mathbb{Z}$*). Then there is* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*, such that for any* $h_n \in G(W(k))$ *congruent to the identity mod* p^n *(resp. congruent to the identity mod* p^n *and centralizing* p_M*),* $(M, h_n\varphi, G)$ *(resp.* $(M, h_n\varphi, G, p_M)$*) is isomorphic to* (M,φ,G) *(resp. to* $(M, h_n\varphi, G, p_M)$*) under an isomorphism defined by an element of* $G(W(k))$*.*

Proof: We can assume $v := \dim_{B(k)}(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}))$ is at least 1. We first deal with the context without p_M. Let $s_L(\varphi) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be the smallest number such that $p^{s_L(\varphi)}\varphi$ takes $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ onto itself. Using Dieudonné's classification of isocrystals over k for the isocrystal $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}), \varphi)$, we consider a $B(k)$-basis

$$\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, ..., e_v\}$$

of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$ formed by elements of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ such that there is a permutation π_L of $S(1,v)$ with the property that it can be decomposed into a product of disjoint cycles $\mathcal{C}_1$,..., $\mathcal{C}_s$, with $s \in \mathbb{N}$, each such cycle $\mathcal{C}_{i_0} = (a_1, ..., a_q)$, with $i_0 \in S(1,s)$, having the property that $\varphi(e_{a_j}) = e_{a_{j+1}}$ if $j \in S(1, q-1)$ and $\varphi(e_{a_q}) = p^{n((a_1,\ldots,a_q))} e_{a_1}$, with

$$n((a_1, ..., a_q)) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

relatively prime to $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $p^m\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ is included in the $W(k)$-span E of $\mathcal{B}$. We take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(EST) \qquad n \geq 2m + 1 + s_L(\varphi).$$

We want to show that there is $h \in G(W(k))$ congruent to the identity mod $p^{m+1+s_L(\varphi)}$ and such that $hh_n\varphi = \varphi h$. By induction on $t \in \mathbb{N}$ we show that there is $h \in G(W(k))$ congruent to the identity mod $p^{n+t-1-m}$ and such that $hh_n\varphi h^{-1}\varphi^{-1} \in G(W(k))$ is congruent to the identity mod p^{n+t}. It is enough to deal with the case $t = 1$. We use what we call the stairs method (modeled on $< e_1, ..., e_v >$).

We take $h = \tilde{h}^1\tilde{h}^2$, where

$$(PROD) \qquad \tilde{h}^1 := \prod_{l \in S(1,v)} (1_M + x_l p^{u_l} e_l),$$

with $u_l \in \mathbb{N}$ depending only on the cycle $\mathcal{C}$ of π_L to which l belongs and with $x_l \in W(k)$, and where $\tilde{h}^2 \in GL(M)(W(k))$ is congruent to the identity mod $p^{n+1+s_L(\varphi)}$. We always take u_l as the maximal possible value allowed by h_n in the sense that h_n, up to elements of $GL(M)(W(k))$ congruent to the identity mod $p^{n+1+s_L(\varphi)}$, can be similarly written down as such a product $h_n = h_n^1 h_n^2$. In particular, we always have $u_l \geq n - m \geq m + 1 + s_L(\varphi)$ and so the order in which products of the above form (PROD) are taken is irrelevant mod $p^{2(n-m)}$ and so mod $p^{n+1+s_L(\varphi)}$; this also guarantees that h_n, up to elements congruent to the identity mod $p^{n+1+s_L(\varphi)}$, can be similarly written down as such a product. Warning: $\tilde{h}^1$ is not in general an element of $G(W(k))$; however, mod $p^{n+1+s_L(\varphi)}$ it is and so, as G is smooth, we can always choose $\tilde{h}^2$ such that $h \in G(W(k))$. The expression of $hh_n\varphi h^{-1}\varphi^{-1} \in G(W(k))$ mod p^{n+1} does not depend on $\tilde{h}^2$.

Moreover, if $h' = \prod_{l \in S(1,v)}(1 + x'_l p^{u_l} e_l)$, with all x'_l's in $W(k)$, then hh' is congruent mod $p^{n+1+s_L(\varphi)}$ to $\prod_{l \in S(1,v)}[1 + (x'_l + x_l)p^{u_l} e_l]$. We call this property as ADD.

We need to show that we can choose x_l's such that $hh_n\varphi h^{-1}\varphi^{-1} \in G(W(k))$ is congruent to the identity mod p^{n+1}. This boils down to: if h_n is not congruent to the identity mod p^{n+1}, then we can choose x_l's such that writing $hh_n\varphi h^{-1}\varphi^{-1} \in G(W(k))$ as a product as in (PROD), we can replace u_l by $u_l + 1$, $\forall l \in S(1,v)$. Concentrating on a fixed cycle $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}_{i_0}$ of π_L, we need to show the existence of solutions of a system of equations over k of the following circular form

$$b_j \tilde{x}_{a_j} = d_j \tilde{x}^p_{a_{j-1}} + c_j, \tag{22}$$

$j \in S(1,q)$ (here $q + 1 = 1$), where c_j, $d_j \in k$, and with:

– $b_j = 1$ for all $j \in S(1,q)$, if $n(\mathcal{C}) \geq 0$, and with

– $b_j = 1$ for all $j \in S(1,q-1)$ and with $b_q = 0$ and all d_j's equal to 1, if $n(\mathcal{C}) < 0$.

The above system of equations is viewed over k and its shape can be obtained as usual, by working mod p in the context of the $W(k)$-submodule of E generated by all these $p^{u_l}e_l$'s. In other words, based on ADD, the variable $\tilde{x}_{a_j}$ is defined as:

– x_{a_j} mod p, if $n(\mathcal{C}) \geq 0$;

– x_{a_j} mod p for $j \neq q$ and as y_{a_q} mod p for $j = q$, where $y_{a_q} \in W(k)$ is defined by the identity $x_{a_q} = p^{-n(\mathcal{C})}y_{a_q}$ (i.e. we take x_{a_q} to be divisible by $p^{-n(\mathcal{C})}$), if $n(\mathcal{C}) < 0$.

So, we just need to show that such a system of equations does have a solution: in case $n(\mathcal{C}) \geq 0$, 3.6.8.1 (with $s = 0$ and $l = 1$) applies directly (as $k = \bar{k}$), while in case $n(\mathcal{C}) < 0$ we can just apply the fact that k is perfect; if $n(\mathcal{C}) \geq 0$ it is easy to see, without appealing to 3.6.8.1, that (22) does have solutions in k. This ends the proof in the context without p_M.

We now deal with the context involving p_M. We can assume G does not fix p_M. Let T be a 1 dimensional torus of $Z(G)$ which does not fix p_M and which has a split $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ such that the elements of $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ are naturally isomorphisms of (M, φ, G); this is nothing else but end of 2.2.9 12) adapted to (M, φ, G, p_M). Let r_f be the rank of the maximal finite, flat group subscheme of T fixing p_M. Let

$$m(r_f) \in \mathbb{N}$$

be the smallest number such that any element of $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ congruent to 1 mod $p^{m(r_f)}$ is the r_f-th power of an element of $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. We get:

Fact. *$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ congruent to the identity modulo $p^{m(r_f)}$, (M, φ, G, p_M) and $(M, \varphi, G, \alpha p_M)$ are isomorphic under an isomorphism defined by an element of $T(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.*

So the Lemma in the context involving p_M follows from this Fact and from its part in the context without p_M: we just need to take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(ESTP) \qquad\qquad n \geq \max\{2m + 1 + s_L(\varphi), m(r_f)\}.$$

3.6.16. Definitions and remarks. 1) We say $\mathcal{N}$ has the completion property, if 3.6.15 A holds.

2) The smallest possible value of the number n of 3.6.15 B, is called the isomorphism deviation of (M, φ, G) and is denoted by $isom - d(M, \varphi, G)$.

3) To our knowledge, 3.6.15 B was not known previously even for the classical situation when $G = GSp(M, p_M)$ and (M, φ) is an object of $p - \mathcal{M}_{[0,1]}(W(k))$, with M of rank big enough (like greater than 7).

3.6.16.1. Terminology. All connections used in 3.6.1-16 as well as in 2.2-3 are called (in the honor of their source [Fa2, th. 10 and the remarks after]) Faltings connections.

3.6.17. Solution of 3.6.6.0. We proceed by induction. We can assume $g_2 \in P_0(W(k))$ and $k = \bar{k}$. So we can replace φ_0 by φ_1 (we recall that $P_0 = P_1$, cf. 3.3.2). But $(M, F^1, g_2\varphi_1)$ is itself cyclic diagonalizable: based on 3.3.4 and 2.2.3 3), the elements of $\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}]$ fixed by $g_2\varphi_1$ take $F^1[\frac{1}{p}]$ into itself and form a reductive $\mathbb{Q}_p$-Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}]$ of the same rank as $\mathfrak{g}[\frac{1}{p}]$. So 2.2.18 applies and so (cf. its proof or cf. the Fact of 2.2.22 1)) there is a torus of P_0 whose Lie algebra is normalized by $g_2\varphi_0$. Using $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structures as in 2.2.9 8), we get that we can assume it is a maximal torus. Arguments as in the proof of Fact 1 of 2.2.9 3), show that, up to isomorphisms defined by elements of $P_0(W(k))$, we can assume it is T itself. So $g_2 \in P_0(W(k))$ normalizes T and so $g_2\varphi_1$ has all properties of φ_1 we needed to get 3.4.3.0. Not to introduce extra notations, we can assume $g_2 = 1_M$.

Let $g_3 \in G(W(k))$ be congruent to the identity mod p^m, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. So g_3 mod p^{m+1} can be written down as $1_M + p^m x$, with $x = x_1 + x_2$, where $x_1 \in \mathfrak{p}_0$ and x_2 belongs to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}_{<0}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to negative slopes of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_1)$. We take $h \in G(W(k))$ such that mod p^{m+2} is

$$1_M + p^m e_0 + p^m \sum_{s=1}^{s_1} y_s e_s + p^{m+1} \sum_{s=s_1+1}^{\dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{p}_{<0})} y_s e_s,$$

where $e_0 \in \mathfrak{p}_0$, y_s's are elements of $W(k)$, $s_1 := \sum_{i \in I} \dim_{W(k)}(M_i)$ and $\{e_1, ..., e_{s_1}\}$ (resp. $\{e_{s_1+1}, ..., e_{\dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{p}_{<0})}\}$) is a $W(k)$-basis of $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ (resp. of $\prod_{i \in I} N_i^-$) formed by elements normalized by T (cf. the proof of 3.6.6 for notations).

Let $\mathcal{B}_0$ be an arbitrary $W(k)$-basis of $\mathfrak{p}_0$. Let $\mathcal{B}_1$ be the $W(k)$-basis of Lie(G) obtained by putting $\mathcal{B}_0$ and $\{e_1, ..., e_{\dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{p}_{<0})}\}$ together. As in the proof of 3.6.15 B, the congruence $hg_3\varphi_1 h^{-1} - 1_M \equiv 0 \bmod p^{m+1}$ gets translated in a system of equations SE with coefficients in k in the d variables obtained by taking the reduction mod p of all y_s's and of the coefficients z_s's, $s \in S(\dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{p}_{<0})+1,d)$, of e_0 w.r.t. $\mathcal{B}_0$; the equations of SE are obtained by identifying with 0 the coefficients of $hg_3\varphi_1 h^{-1}-1_M$ mod p^{m+1} w.r.t. reduction mod p^{m+1} of $\mathcal{B}_1$. SE is a direct sum of two subsystems SE_1 (involving just the variables z_s's) and SE_2 (involving just the variables y_s's). SE_1 is a system of equations of first type in $\dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{p}_0)$ variables. Moreover, as $k=\bar{k}$, SE_2 is a disjoint union of systems of equations of exactly the same type as the ones obtained in the proof of 3.6.15 B, corresponding to $n(\mathcal{C})<0$ (cf. the Weyl decomposition of 3.4.3.0). So as in the mentioned proof, SE_1 and SE_2 have solutions in k. So using induction, up to inner isomorphisms, we can assume $g_3=1_M$. This solves 3.6.6.0.

3.6.18. General principles for connections in Fontaine categories. Let $m\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. The notations to be used in 3.6.18-20 are entirely independent from the previous notations of §3, except that k and σ will have the same meaning. We keep assuming $p\geq 3$, though all arguments below, except very few exceptions, work for $p=2$ as well; these exceptions are listed in 3.14. In this section

$$R:=W(k)[[z_1,...,z_m]]$$

is the ring of formal power series in m variables with coefficients in $W(k)$. Let Φ_R be an arbitrary Frobenius lift of R.

Any object of a category $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$ lifts to a p-divisible object (see Fact of 2.2.1.1 6) and 2.2.1 f)). So we prefer to look at such objects as being obtained from truncations (mod p^n, for some $n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$) of p-divisible objects, by a repeated finite process of extensions (via short exact sequences).

We start with a p-divisible object

$$\mathfrak{C}:=(M\otimes_{W(k)}R,F^1\otimes_{W(k)}R,\Phi),$$

of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$. Here M is a free $W(k)$-module of finite rank, F^1 is a direct summand of M, while Φ is a Φ_R-linear endomorphism of $M\otimes_{W(k)}R$ producing an isomorphism (still denoted by Φ) of R-modules

$$\Phi:(M+\frac{1}{p}F^1)\otimes_{R\ \Phi_R}R\tilde{\to}M\otimes_{W(k)}R.$$

A natural question arises:

Q Is there a p-divisible group over R, whose corresponding p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$, when viewed just as a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$, is $\mathfrak{C}$?

If its answer is yes, we say $\mathfrak{C}$ is induced from a p-divisible group. Similarly we speak about $\mathfrak{C}$ being induced (cf. also 2.2.1.3) from a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_1)$ via a $W(k)$-homomorphism $R_1\to R$, where R_1 is a regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-algebra.

3.6.18.0. Types of Frobenius lifts of R. [Fa2, th. 10] can be restated: the answer to **Q** is yes provided Φ_R is of the form $\Phi_R(z_i)=z_i^p$, $i=\overline{1,m}$. This assumption (on the special shape of Φ_R) has been made in [Fa2, ch. 7] (quoting) "for technical reasons".

Let $S(m) := S(1, m)$ and let $S(M) := S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(M))$. Any Frobenius lift Φ_R of R is uniquely determined by equations

$$\Phi_R(z_i) = z_i^p + pP_i(z_1, ..., z_m) + pL_i(z_1, ..., z_m) + p\gamma_i, \tag{23}$$

where $P_i = \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} Q_{il}$, with Q_{il} a homogeneous polynomial of degree $l \geq 2$ in m variables, where L_i is a linear homogeneous polynomial and $\gamma_i \in W(k)$, $\forall i \in S(m)$. It is easy to see that by replacing z_i by $z_i + p\delta_i$, with $\delta_i \in W(k)$ conveniently chosen, $\forall i \in S(m)$, we can assume $\gamma_i = 0$, $\forall i \in S(m)$: by induction on $l \in \mathbb{N}$ we can check that we can assume p^l divides $p\gamma_i$; this is the general argument showing the existence of (the well known) Teichmüller lifts. We assume this from now on.

We can write the Frobenius endomorphism Φ of $M \otimes_{W(k)} R$ in the form

$$\Phi = g_R(\varphi \otimes 1),$$

where $g_R \in GL(M)(R)$ modulo the ideal $(z_1, ..., z_m)$ of R is the identity element 1_M of $G(W(k))$ and where φ is the σ-linear endomorphism of M obtained from Φ by taking $z_i = 0$, $\forall i \in S(m)$. So what we are trying to do (for answering $\mathbf{Q}$), is nothing else but to deform over R the p-divisible group $D_{W(k)} := \mathbb{D}^{-1}(M, F^1, \varphi)$ over $W(k)$, in the way "prescribed" by $\mathfrak{C}$. The use of $\mathbb{D}^{-1}$ is part of the second place (see 3.6.2) where we need $p > 2$.

We write

$$L_i(z_1, ..., z_m) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij} z_j,$$

with $a_{ij} \in W(k)$, $\forall i, j \in S(m)$. We obtain a matrix $A(\Phi_R) \in M_m(W(k))$, having a_{ij} as its entries. Let

$$\bar{A}(\Phi_R) \in M_m(k)$$

be the matrix obtained by taking $A(\Phi_R)$ mod p. We call $A(\Phi_R)$ (resp. $\bar{A}(\Phi_R)$) the linear part (resp. the k-linear part) of Φ_R w.r.t. $z_1, ..., z_m$. To study how $A(\Phi_R)$ changes under a $W(k)$-automorphism of R which modulo its ideal $(z_1, ..., z_m)$ is the identity, we can restrict to linear $W(k)$-automorphisms, i.e. to $W(k)$-automorphisms of R taking z_i to $\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{ij} z_j$, with $b_{ij} \in W(k)$ defining an invertible matrix $B \in GL_m(W(k))$ (argument: a $W(k)$-automorphism of R which is the identity modulo $(z_1, ..., z_m)^2$, does not changes $A(\Phi_R)$). Under such a linear $W(k)$-automorphism the matrix $A(\Phi_R)$ is replaced by $\sigma(B)A(\Phi_R)B^{-1}$; so $\bar{A}(\Phi_R)$ is replaced by

$$\bar{B}^{[p]}\bar{A}(\Phi_R)\bar{B}^{-1},$$

with $\bar{B} \in GL_m(k)$ equal to B mod p (see end of 2.1 for the meaning of $\bar{B}^{[p]}$).

In what follows it is important only how $\bar{A}(\Phi_R)$ looks like.

3.6.18.0.1. Terminology. If $\Phi_R(z_i) = z_i^p$ (resp. if $\Phi_R(z_i) = (z_i+1)^p - 1$), $\forall i \in S(m)$, we speak about an additive (resp. multiplicative) type Frobenius lift of R. If $A(\Phi_R)$ (resp. $\bar{A}(\Phi_R)$) is an invertible (resp. a nilpotent) matrix, we speak about an essentially multiplicative (resp. additive) type Frobenius lift of R. We also say that Φ_R is of (essentially) multiplicative (or additive) type.

3.6.18.0.1.1. The global context. Here, as a digression, we globalize the above terminology. We consider now an arbitrary regular, formally smooth $W(\tilde{k})$-scheme $\tilde{X}$ equipped with a Frobenius lift $\Phi_{\tilde{X}}$, where $\tilde{k}$ is an arbitrary perfect field. We consider a closed embedding $\tilde{y} : \operatorname{Spec}(\tilde{k}_1) \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$, with $\tilde{k}_1$ an algebraic field extension of $\tilde{k}$. We say $\Phi_{\tilde{X}}$ is a multiplicative (or additive, or essentially multiplicative or additive) type Frobenius lift of $\tilde{X}$ in $\tilde{y}$, if the Frobenius lift of (the $W(\tilde{k})$-algebra of global sections of) the completion of $\tilde{X}$ in $\tilde{y}$ induced naturally by $\Phi_{\tilde{X}}$, is of the corresponding type.

3.6.18.1. Notations. Let $f(0) := \dim_{W(k)}(M/F^1)$ and $f(1) := \dim_{W(k)}(F^1)$. We denote by $s(i)$ the multiplicity of the slope i for (M, φ), $i \in \{0,1\}$. So $s(0)s(1)$ is the multiplicity of the slope -1 of the Lie σ-crystal $(\operatorname{End}(M), \varphi)$. Let r be the number of non-zero eigenvalues (counted with their multiplicities) in $\bar{k}$ of $\bar{A}(\Phi_R)$; we refer to it as the rank of Φ_R.

3.6.18.1.1. Remarks. It is easy to see that r is well defined regardless of all choices (cf. also 3.6.18.4 B) below). In particular the notion of essentially additive type Frobenius lift of R (case $r = 0$) is well defined. We can have $r = 0$ without $\bar{A}(\Phi_R)$ being 0. So, if $m \geq 2$, we have plenty of essentially additive type Frobenius lifts of R which are not of additive type.

On the other, if $k = \bar{k}$ it can be easily checked that, up to a suitable change of variables, the notions of multiplicative type and of essentially multiplicative type coincide. We sketch the argument for this well known fact. If $\Phi(z_i) = (z_i + 1)^p - 1$, $i = \overline{1, m}$, and if Φ_1 is an essentially multiplicative type Frobenius lift of R taking $(z_1, ..., z_m)$ into itself, then by induction on $q \in \mathbb{N}$ we show that (up to a change of variables) we can assume $\Phi(z_i) - \Phi_1(z_i) \in p(z_1, ..., z_m)^{q+1}$, $\forall i \in S(1,m)$. For $q = 1$ this is a consequence of the fact that for any $A \in GL_m(W(k))$, there is $B \in GL_m(W(k))$ such that $\sigma(B)B^{-1} = A$. Assuming that the statement is true for $q-1$, to prove it for q, we show by induction on $l \in \mathbb{N}$ that we can assume $\Phi(z_i) - \Phi_1(z_i) \in p^{l+1}(z_1, ..., z_m)^q + p(z_1, ..., z_m)^{q+1}$, $\forall i \in S(1,m)$: if $\Phi(z_i) - \Phi_1(z_i) \equiv p^l P_i(z_1, ..., z_m)$ modulo $p^{l+1}(z_1, ..., z_m)^q + p(z_1, ..., z_m)^{q+1}$, with P_i's as homogeneous forms of degree q, then replacing z_i by $z_i - p^{l-1}P_i(z_1, ..., z_m)$ we get that we can assume that P_i's are all 0. As R_m is $(p, z_1, ..., z_m)$-adically complete, the conclusion follows.

But this is not so if $k \neq \bar{k}$; example: if $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ then $\Phi_R(z_i) = (z_i+1)^p - 1 + p^2 z_i$ is not of multiplicative type, as its linear part w.r.t. $z_1, ..., z_m$ is not conjugate over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ to the identity matrix of $M_m(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

3.6.18.1.2. Exercise. We assume $r = 0$. Show that there is a sequence of ideals $(I_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$ of R such that $I_0 = R$, $\cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n = pR$, I_{n-1}/I_n is isomorphic to k as an R-module and $d_{\Phi_R *}/p(I_n) \subset I_{n+1}\Omega^{\wedge}_{R/W(k)}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hint: we can assume $\bar{A}(\Phi_R)$ is nilpotent and lower triangular; so $d_{\Phi_R *}/p(z_i) \in \big(p, z_{i+1}, ..., z_m) + (z_{js})_{j,s \in S(1,i)}\big)\Omega^{\wedge}_{R/W(k)}$, $\forall i \in S(1,m)$ (so we can take $I_1 = (p, z_1^2, z_2, ..., z_m)$, $I_2 = (p, z_1^2, z_2^2, z_1 z_2, z_3, z_4, ..., z_m)$,..., $I_m = (p) + (z_1, ..., z_m)^2$, $I_{m+1} = (p) + (z_2, ..., z_m)^2 + (z_1^3) + z_1(z_2, ..., z_m)$, etc.).

3.6.18.2. The generic situation. This is obtained by requiring:

a) (M, φ) to have all slopes 0 and 1 and (M, F^1, φ) to be diagonalizable;

b) $\Phi_R(z_i) = (z_i + 1)^p - 1$, $\forall i \in S(m)$;

c) $k = \bar{k}$.

We have:

Fact. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are precisely*

$$p^{nmf(0)f(1)}$$

connections on $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$, regardless of the shape of g_R. They are all integrable and nilpotent mod p.

Proof: The first part is just a very particular case of 3.6.18.4 B) below (which is proved independently of this proof). However, we felt it is appropriate to include a separate proof of it, to make the connection to previous work (in particular, see [De3]) and to prepare the reader for the general case. We consider a $W(k)$-basis $\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, ..., e_{\dim_{W(k)}(M)}\}$ of M such that $\varphi(e_i) = p^{\varepsilon_i} e_i$, with $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $\forall i \in S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(M))$. Let I^0 (resp. I^1) be the set of those i's such that $\varepsilon_i = 0$ (resp. $\varepsilon_i = 1$); so $f(0) = |I^0|$ and $f(1) = |I^1|$. We can assume that g_R fixes all e_i's with $i \in I^0$ and that, $\forall i \in I^1$ we have

$$g_R(e_i) - e_i = \sum_{j \in I^0} a_{ij} e_j,$$

with all a_{ij}'s in R. The argument for this is entirely the same as the inductive argument of 3.6.18.1.1.

But any connection ∇_n on $M \otimes_{W(k)} R/p^nR$ which makes $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$ potentially to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ annihilates all e_i's with $i \in I^0$ and, $\forall i \in I^1$ it takes e_i into

$$\sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{j \in I^0} a_{ijl} e_j dz_l, \tag{$NABLA$}$$

with $a_{ijl} \in R/p^nR$ such that mod p^n we have

$$a_{ijl} = \Phi_R(a_{ijl})(z_l + 1)^{p-1} + b_{ijl}, \tag{24}$$

$\forall (j, l) \in I_0 \times S(1, m)$. Here b_{ijl}'s are elements of R such that we have equalities

$$\sum_{l=1}^{m} b_{ijl} dz_l = da_{ij}, \tag{25}$$

$\forall(i,j) \in I_1 \times I_0$. Starting from the shape of ∇ we get that, $\forall l \in S(1,m)$ and $\forall f_r \in R$, we have:

$$(N0) \qquad \nabla(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_l})^p(f_r e_i) \equiv 0 \bmod p$$

if $i \in I_0$ and

$$(N1) \qquad \nabla(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_l})^{2p-1}(f_r e_i) \equiv 0 \bmod p$$

if $i \in I_1$. So ∇_n is nilpotent mod p (we could just quote 3.6.1.1 2) but (N1) is slightly more precise than loc. cit.). To check that ∇_n is integrable, we just need to show that the differential form

$$(DF) \qquad w := \sum_{(i,j,l)\in I_1\times I_0\times S(1,m)} a_{ijl} e_{ij} dz_l$$

is closed, where $\{e_{ij} | i,j \in S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(M))\}$ is the $W(k)$-basis of $\mathrm{End}(M)$ defined naturally (as in 3.6.8 3)) by $\mathcal{B}$. But from (24) we get that

$$(EQ) \qquad dw = \sum_{(i,j,l)\in I_1\times I_0\times S(1,m)} \Phi_R(da_{ijl}) e_{ij}(z_l+1)^{p-1} dz_l = \Phi_{R*}/p(dw).$$

So the differential 2-form dw is zero mod p. Plugging this in the right hand side of (EQ) we get that dw is zero mod p^2, etc. Using mathematical induction we get $dw = 0$.

As $\Phi_R(a_{ijl})$ mod p is a_{ijl}^p mod p and as $z_l + 1$ is invertible in R, the number of such connections ∇_1 is $p^{ms(0)s(1)}$ (cf. (24)). But using the constancy property (see 3.6.8.9), 3.6.8.1.2 a) and c) and induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Fact gets reduced to the case $n = 1$. This proves the Fact.

3.6.18.2.1. Two philosophies. The reason we call this the generic situation is related to [De3, 1.4.7]. There are two philosophies at play:

– any p-divisible group over k is the specialization of an ordinary p-divisible group (cf. 3.1.8 and 3.1.8.1);

– whatever we can prove for the standard situation and does not involve the precise non-negative, integral power of p of the number of solutions we get for different systems of equations (in the other cases we get smaller powers of p), can be proved in the general case (in particular we always get solutions).

As samples for this second philosophy see 3.6.18.4 below. This second philosophy works also in the more general context where the category $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ is replaced by another one $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a < b$ (so we keep 3.6.18.2 b) and c), while 3.6.18.2 a) is modified accordingly; see 3.6.18.5.5 below).

3.6.18.2.2. Remark. Let $\mathfrak{C}_0$ be an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ which is the extension of an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[1,1]}(R)$ by an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,0]}(R)$. Then the same arguments of the proof of 3.6.18.2 apply to get that any connection on $\mathfrak{C}_0$ is integrable.

3.6.18.3. Example. It is easy to see that the question **Q** does not always have a positive answer. Here is a simple example for which the answer to **Q** is no. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ with $\Phi_R(t-1) = (t-1)^p$. We take M to be a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of dimension 2. Let $\{x, y\}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-basis of M such that $\{y\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-basis of F^1. Let $\Phi(x) = x$ and $\Phi(y) = py + ptx$. There is no connection ∇ on $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R$ for which Φ is ∇-parallel. Argument: such a connection must annihilate x and takes y into $axdt$ (to be compared with 3.6.1.4 2)), where $a \in R$, when taken mod p, must satisfy the equation $u^p(t-1)^{p-1} = u+1$ in u with coefficients in R/pR; as the equation $v^p = v + 1$ has no solution in $\mathbb{F}_p$, no such a exists. On the other hand, it can be checked by directly computing a (for instance, cf. the proof of 3.6.18.2; see also 3.6.18.4 below) that, if we move from $\mathbb{Z}_p$ to $W(\mathbb{F})$ (i.e. if we replace $\mathbb{F}_p$ by its algebraic closure), $(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F})[[t]], F^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F})[[t]], \Phi \otimes 1)$ is induced from a p-divisible group over $W(\mathbb{F})[[t]]$. So the "obstruction" in the existence of ∇ is: the field $\mathbb{F}_p$ is not "big enough".

3.6.18.3.1. Lemma. *If there is an integrable connection ∇ on $M \otimes_{W(k)} R$ which makes $\mathfrak{C}$ to be viewed as a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$, then $\mathfrak{C}$ is induced from a p-divisible group.*

Proof: The connection ∇ is also nilpotent mod p, cf. [Fa1, p. 34] or 3.6.1.1.2. So it allows us to change the Frobenius lift of R to one of additive type, cf. [De3, (1.1.2.1)]. Now everything results from 2.2.21 UP.

3.6.18.4. Theorem (the universal local ∇ principle for $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}$). *We assume $k = \bar{k}$. We have:*

A) *There are connections on $\mathfrak{C}$.*

B) *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The number of connections on $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$ is $p^{nrs(0)s(1)}$; this number is smaller or equal to $p^{nmf(0)f(1)}$. Each such connection lifts to precisely $p^{rs(0)s(1)}$ connections on $\mathfrak{C}/p^{n+1}\mathfrak{C}$.*

Proof: A) follows from B); in fact its proof is contained in the proof of 3.6.1.3, cf. 3.6.8.4 1) to 3) and the constancy property of 3.6.8.9. For future references the proof of B) is divided into parts, indexed by numbers attached to the right of the letter P.

P1. The inequality part of B) is obvious. From 3.6.8.1.2 c) and the constancy property of 3.6.8.9 we deduce that for the counting part of B) it is enough to show that the number of connections on $\mathfrak{C}/p\mathfrak{C}$ is precisely $p^{rs(0)s(1)}$. As $k = \bar{k}$, from 3.6.18.0 we deduce that we can assume the matrix $\bar{A}(\Phi_R)$ is upper triangular. To construct (get) a connection on $\mathfrak{C}/p\mathfrak{C}$ is the same thing (see 3.6.8 (3)) as getting a solution in R/pR of a system of equations of the form

$$x_{ijl} = L_{ijl}(x^p_{111}, ..., x^p_{\dim_{W(k)}(M) \dim_{W(k)}(M) m}) + c_{ijl},$$

$(i,j,l) \in S(M)\times S(M)\times S(m)$, where L_{ijl} are linear homogeneous forms in $m\dim_{W(k)}(M)^2$ variables and where $c_{ijl} \in R/pR$, $\forall (i,j,l) \in S(M)\times S(M)\times S(m)$.

P2. This system of equations defines an étale, affine scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$, cf. 3.6.8.1.2 a). We consider the ideal $I := (z_1, ..., z_m)$ of R/pR. As R/pR is a strictly henselian ring, it is enough to look at this system of equations modulo I. Due to the upper triangular form of $\bar{A}(\Phi_R)$, this system of equations modulo I can be "separated":

Fact. *In the expression of L_{ijl} modulo I only those variables $x_{ijl'}$ show up for which $l' \leq l$.*

So this allows us to assume $m = 1$: first we solve the subsystem of equations we get in variables x_{ij1}'s; we use any solution of it to get a new system of equations in variables x_{ij2}'s (in other words, x_{ij1}'s become constants for this new system of equations involving just x_{ij2}'s); etc. (the induction applies; warning: all these are modulo I). From now on, as $m = 1$, we drop the index l. So modulo I we get a system $\mathcal{S}$ of $\dim_{W(k)}(M)^2$ equations in $\dim_{W(k)}(M)^2$ variables with coefficients in k.

P3. If $\bar{A}(\Phi_R) \in k$ is 0, then the system $\mathcal{S}$ is of the form $x_{ij} = d_{ij}$, $(i,j) \in S(M)\times S(M)$, with $d_{ij} \in k$. Obviously it has a unique solution. So we can assume $\beta := \bar{A}(\Phi_R) \in k$ is non-zero (in fact we can assume $\beta = 1$).

Modulo I, g_R contributes only to the coefficients d_{ij} (obtained by taking c_{ij} modulo I). So 3.6.8.1.2 c) implies we can assume g_R is the identity element of $GL(M)(R)$.

P4. We present first the particular case when (M, F^1, φ) is cyclic diagonalizable. We consider a $W(k)$-basis $\{e_i | i \in S(M)\}$ of M. We can assume there is a subset $S(F^1)$ of $S(M)$ such that $\{e_i | i \in S(F^1)\}$ is a $W(k)$-basis of F^1 and there is a permutation π of $S(M)$ such that $\varphi(e_i) = pe_{\pi(i)}$, if $i \in S(F^1)$, and $\varphi(e_i) = e_{\pi(i)}$, if $i \notin S(F^1)$ (cf. 2.2.1 d)); let $d(\pi) \in \mathbb{N}$ be the order of π. We always take π such that: if $\varphi^v(e_i) = p^u e_i$ for any i belonging to a v-cycle of π, with $v \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in \{0, v\}$, then this cycle has just 1 element (i.e. $v = 1$). The system $\mathcal{S}$ is of the form

$$x_{\pi(i)\pi(j)} + d_{ij} = \beta\alpha_{ij}x_{ij}^p, \tag{26}$$

$i, j \in S(M)$, where α_{ij} is 1 or 0 depending on the fact that (i,j) is or is not in $(S(M)\setminus S(F^1))\times S(F^1)$ and where $d_{ij} \in k$ (cf. (E_1) and (E_2) of 3.6.1.1.1 2)). So if $\pi(i) \neq i$ or if $\pi(j) \neq j$, or if $\pi(i) = i$ and $\pi(j) = j$ but $(i,j) \notin (S(M)\setminus S(F^1))\times S(F^1)$, then in the sequence of elements α_{ij}, $\alpha_{\pi(i)\pi(j)}$,..., $\alpha_{\pi^{d(\pi)-1}(i)\pi^{d(\pi)-1}(j)}$, at least one is 0; so such an x_{ij} is uniquely determined. For any pair (i,j), with $\pi(i) = i$ and $\pi(j) = j$ and with $i \notin S(F^1)$ and $j \in S(F^1)$, we have precisely p (independent) choices for x_{ij}. The number of such pairs is $s(0)s(1)$. This handles the particular case.

P5. We come back to the general case. We write

$$(M,\varphi) = (M_1,\varphi)\oplus(M_0,\varphi)\oplus(M_{01},\varphi)$$

such that all slopes of (M_1,φ), (M_0,φ) and (M_{01},φ) are 1, 0 and respectively belong to the interval $(0,1)$ (cf. the existence of the filtration F^1 of M). Let $B(\varphi) \in M_{\dim_{W(k)}(M)}(k)$ be the matrix formed naturally (i.e. it acts naturally on M/pM) by a solution of the system $\mathcal{S}$. From the shape of (E_1) and (E_2) of 3.6.1.1.1 2) we get that $B(\varphi)$ annihilates the image of $\varphi(M)$ in M/pM. This implies that $B(\varphi)$ annihilates $M_0/pM_0 \oplus M_{(0,1)}/pM_{(0,1)}$: if $y_1 \in M_{(0,1)}$ is non-zero mod p, then in the sequence of elements $y_u \in M_{(0,1)} \setminus pM_{(0,1)}$, $u \in \mathbb{N}$, $u \neq 1$, defined inductively by the rule $\varphi(y_u) = p^{\varepsilon_u} y_{u-1}$, with $\varepsilon_u \in \{0,1\}$, there is a first element y_{u_0} such that $\varepsilon_{u_0} = 0$; as in the particular case we (inductively) get that $B(\varphi)$ annihilates the reductions mod p of y_{u_0-1},..., y_1.

So we just need to look at the action of $B(\varphi)$ on the image in M_1/pM_1 of elements $e \in M_1$ satisfying $\varphi(e) = pe$. Writing $\nabla(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1})(e)$ mod I as $e_0+e_1+e_{01}$, with $e_0 \in M_0/pM_0$, $e_1 \in M_1/pM_1$ and $e_{01} \in M_{01}/pM_{01}$, we get (cf. (E_2) of 3.6.1.1.1 2)) that $e_0 + e_1 + e_{01} = \beta\varphi(e_0 + e_1 + e_{01})$. So e_1 and e_{01} are both 0. So $B(\varphi)(e)$ belongs to M_0/pM_0 and so $B(\varphi)$ belongs to a $s(0)s(1)$ dimensional k-vector subspace of $\mathrm{End}(M/pM)$. The same argument of 3.6.8 9) (based on 3.6.8.1 and pertaining to number of points) shows that we have at most $p^{s(0)s(1)}$ possibilities for $B(\varphi)$. From this we deduce (via 3.6.18.2) that there are precisely $p^{s(0)s(1)}$ possibilities for $B(\varphi)$ and so solutions of $\mathcal{S}$.

P6. Another way (shorter and faster) to get this last sentence goes as follows. We can assume $\Phi_R(z_1) = z_1^p + pz_1$ (so $\beta = 1$); so working modulo (z_1) instead of modulo (p, z_1), we get similarly a matrix $\tilde{B}(\varphi) \in M_{\dim_{W(k)}(M)}(W(k))$ lifting $B(\varphi)$ and such that, when viewed as an endomorphism of M, we have (cf. (E_1) and (E_2) of 3.6.1.1.1 2) and the fact that g_R is the identity element of $G(R)$)

$$p\varphi(\tilde{B}(\varphi)) = \tilde{B}(\varphi); \tag{27}$$

it is 3.6.8.1.2 c) and the constancy part of 3.6.8.9 which allow us to consider such a lift $\tilde{B}(\varphi)$. (27) says that $\tilde{B}(\varphi)$ is an element of $\mathrm{End}(M)$ fixed by $p\varphi$. But the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule SL_{-1} (resp. the $W(k)$-submodule) of $\mathrm{End}(M)$ generated by such elements is of rank $s(0)s(1)$ (resp. is a direct summand of rank $s(0)s(1)$).

P7. One can combine the above two ways: without using any lifting argument (of $B(\varphi)$ to $\tilde{B}(\varphi)$), we get (cf. (ENDFR) of 2.2.4 B): $B(\varphi)$ is fixed by the reduction mod p of the σ-linear endomorphism $p\varphi$ of $\mathrm{End}(M)$ and so it belongs to SL_{-1} mod p.

This ends the proof of B) and so of the Theorem.

P8. Exercise. Starting from 3.6.18.1.2, give a second proof of B).

3.6.18.4.1. Theorem (the integrability principle for $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$). *All connections ∇ proved to exist in 3.6.18.4 are integrable and nilpotent mod p.*

Proof: Let $R^{\mathrm{al}} := W(k)[z_1, ..., z_m]$. Here the upper right index "al" stands for algebraization. The fact that a connection is integrable is expressed through some algebraic equations. In our case it is enough to check (regardless of which $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ we work with) that these equations are satisfied modulo the ideal $J_r := (p, z_1, ..., z_m)^r$ of R, for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$. But these equations modulo J_r depend only on the expressions of Φ and Φ_R modulo J_{r+2}. This allows us to algebraize the things. From the Chinese Remainder Theorem we deduce the existence:

– of a Frobenius lift $\Phi_{R^{\mathrm{al}}}$ of R^{al} which modulo its ideal $J^{\mathrm{al}}_{r+2} := (p, z_1, ..., z_m)^{r+2}$ is the same as Φ_R modulo J_{r+2} and which modulo its ideal I_1^{r+2}, with $I_1 := (p, z_1-1, ..., z_m-1)$, is isomorphic to the one coming from a generic situation as in 3.6.18.2;

– of an element $g_{R^{\mathrm{al}}} \in GL(M)(R^{\mathrm{al}})$ such that modulo J^{al}_{r+2} it is g_R modulo J_{r+2}, and modulo I_1^{r+2} is an element $g_1 \in GL(M)(W_n(k)) \subset GL(M)(R^{\mathrm{al}}/I_1^{r+2})$ for which the Frobenius endomorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} R^{\mathrm{al}}/I_1^{r+2}$ defined by $g_1(\varphi \otimes 1)$ is isomorphic to one coming from a generic situation.

We assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let ∇_n be a connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} R/p^nR$ which makes $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$ potentially to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$. As in 3.6.1.3 (and its proof) we construct for the p-divisible object

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{al}} := (M \otimes_{W(k)} R^{\mathrm{al}}, F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R^{\mathrm{al}}, g_{R^{\mathrm{al}}}(\varphi \otimes 1))$$

of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R^{\mathrm{al}})$ a moduli affine $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{\mathrm{al}\wedge})$-scheme

$$M_n(\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{al}}) = \mathrm{Spec}(R_n)$$

of connections which make the extension of $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{al}}/p^n\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{al}}$ to $*$ potentially to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(*)$; the Frobenius lift of R^{al} is $\Phi_{R^{\mathrm{al}}}$ and $*$ stands for a p-adically complete, formally étale R^{al}-algebra. Mod p it defines an étale, affine scheme $\mathcal{R}(n) := \mathrm{Spec}(R_n/pR_n)$ over $\mathcal{R}(0) := \mathrm{Spec}(R^{\mathrm{al}}/pR^{\mathrm{al}})$. Moreover the fibres of $\mathcal{R}(n)$ over $\bar{k}$-valued points of $\mathcal{R}(0)$ have a number of points less or equal to the number n_1 of $\bar{k}$-valued points of the fibre $\mathcal{F}_1$ above the k-valued point of $\mathcal{R}(0)$ defined by $z_i = 1$, $i \in S(m)$, cf. 3.6.18.4 B). So the étale, affine k-morphism $r_n : \mathcal{R}(n) \to \mathcal{R}(0)$ is an étale cover above the maximal open subscheme of $\mathcal{R}(0)$ with the property that the fibres of r_n above geometric points of it have precisely n_1 points. We conclude:

Fact 1. *Any connected component of* $\mathcal{R}(n)$ *contains points of* $\mathcal{F}_1$.

From this and from 3.6.18.2 we get:

Fact 2. $M_n(\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{al}})$ *is a moduli scheme of integrable connections, nilpotent mod* p.

The last thing we need is:

Fact 3. ∇_n *modulo the ideal* $(p, z_1, ..., z_m)^r$ *of* R/p^nR, *is equal to a connection obtained from the universal one on* $M \otimes_{W(k)} R_n/p^nR_n$, *through a formally étale, affine* $W(k)$*-morphism* $\mathrm{Spec}(R/p^nR) \to M_n(\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{al}})$.

The easiest way to argue Fact 3 is to remark that $\mathrm{Spec}(R_n/(J^{\mathrm{al}}_r + p^nR^{\mathrm{al}})R_n)$ is the moduli scheme of maps (thought as "pseudo-connections")

$$(PSCONN) \qquad \nabla_{r,n} : M \otimes_{W(k)} R^{\mathrm{al}}/J^{\mathrm{al}}_r + p^nR^{\mathrm{al}} \to M \otimes_{W(k)} FREE_{r,n},$$

with $FREE_{r,n}$ as the free $R^{\mathrm{al}}/J^{\mathrm{al}}_r + p^nR^{\mathrm{al}}$-module having dz_1,..., dz_m as a basis, satisfying the equations obtained from the equations satisfied by the universal connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} R_n/p^n R_n$ by taking them modulo the ideal $J_r^{\rm al} + p^n R^{\rm al}$ of $R^{\rm al}$. This is a consequence of the fact that property (DIV) of 3.6.8 5) still holds modulo the ideal $J_{r+2}^{\rm al} + p^n R^{\rm al}$ of $R^{\rm al}$.

From Facts 2 and 3 we get (we recall that $r \in \mathbb{N}$ was arbitrary): ∇_n is integrable and nilpotent mod p. As $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary the same holds for the case of p-divisible objects (i.e. for $n = \infty$). This ends the proof of the Theorem.

3.6.18.4.1.1. Corollary. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider a connection ∇ on $\mathfrak{C}$. For $l \in S(1,m)$, we consider the $W(k)$-linear endomorphism $D_l := \nabla(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_l})$ of $M \otimes_{W(k)} R$. We have:*

a) *$D_l D_s = D_s D_l$, $\forall s, l \in S(1,m)$;*

b) *D_l^{2p-1} mod p is 0, $\forall l \in S(1,m)$.*

Proof: a) is just a restatement of 3.6.18.4.1. We now argue b). From the proof of 3.6.18.4.1 we get that we can assume we are in the context of a generic situation. So everything results from (N0) and (N1) of the proof of 3.6.18.4.2.

b) represents a slight improvement to 3.6.1.1.2 (NIL2).

3.6.18.4.2. Corollary (the moduli principle). *For any regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-scheme or p-adic formal scheme S over* Spec$(W(k))$ *equipped with a Frobenius lift and having a connected special fibre, every object (resp. every p-divisible object) $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(S)$ defines a moduli p-adic formal scheme $M(\mathfrak{C})$ over S of connections (on the underlying sheaves of modules of the pull back of $\mathfrak{C}$ to formally étale, p-adic formal schemes over S) which make the extension of $\mathfrak{C}$ to such a p-adic formal scheme S_1 to be viewed as an object (resp. as a p-divisible object) of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(S_1)$. We have:*

a) *$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, the $S_{W_m(k)}$-scheme $M(\mathfrak{C})_{W_m(k)}$ is étale and affine (resp. is $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale and affine);*

b) *Locally in the Zariski topology of S_k, the special fibre of $M(\mathfrak{C})_{W_m(k)}$ is obtained through a finite sequence (resp. infinite sequence indexed by elements of $\mathbb{N}$) of systems of equations of first type and defined using linear homogeneous forms having coefficients in (the ring of global sections of) S_k;*

c) *The fibres of $M(\mathfrak{C})_k$ over points with values in fields of S_k are non-empty. In the case of an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(S)$, these fibres are étale schemes over fields defined by algebras of dimension (as vector spaces over these fields) a non-negative, integral power of p.*

Proof: c) follows from b), cf. 3.6.8.1 (applied with $s = 0$ and $l = 1$). Due to the universal property (implicit in the reference of $M(\mathfrak{C})$ as a moduli p-adic formal scheme), we can work locally in the Zariski topology of S_k. So we can assume that $S = \text{Spec}(\tilde{R})$ is an affine scheme, that $\tilde{R} = \tilde{R}^\wedge$, that $\Omega_{S_k/k}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{S_k}$-sheaf of finite rank, and that the underlying $\tilde{R}$-module $\tilde{M}$ of $\mathfrak{C}$ has the DC property, i.e. it is isomorphic to a direct sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} (\tilde{R}/p^i\tilde{R})^{m_i},$$

with $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $i = \overline{1, q}$, cf. [Fa1, 2.1 ii)] (resp. and that $\tilde{M}$ is free). It is the connectedness of S_k which allows us to consider such a $q \in \mathbb{N}$ if $\mathfrak{C}$ is an object.

Working with "potentially to be viewed" instead of "to be viewed", a) and b) are a consequence of 3.6.8.4 1) to 3) and of 3.6.8.1.2 a), cf. also the below extra features for the context of objects. But (the proof of) 3.6.18.4.1 allows us to drop the word "potentially".

When we pass from p-divisible objects to objects, there are three extra features (differences) showing up, which are worth being pointed out in detail. In what follows we assume $\mathfrak{C} = (\tilde{M}, F^1(\tilde{M}), \varphi_0, \varphi_1)$ is an object. It can happen that there are two distinct elements $i, j \in S(1, q)$ such that m_i and m_j are both non-zero. In such a situation, $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})$ is not a free $\tilde{R}/p^l\tilde{R}$-module for some $l \in S(0, q)$ and so it is much more convenient (in some sense compulsory) to work inductively on $l \in S(1, q)$ with $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})/p^l\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})$ instead of $\tilde{M}/p^l\tilde{M}$. This is the first significant difference in comparison with the proof of 3.6.1.3 and so the first extra feature.

We can assume $F^1(\tilde{M})$ has also the DC property (see proof of 2.2.1.1 6)). We consider a set $\mathcal{B} := \{e_1, ..., e_r\}$, with $r := \sum_{i=1}^{q} m_i$, of elements of $\tilde{M}$ such that $\tilde{M}$ is the direct sum of its cyclic $\tilde{R}$-submodules generated by them (the proof of 2.2.1.1 6) implies that the annihilator of any element of $\mathcal{B}$ is generated by a power of p). We can assume $F^1(\tilde{M})$ is generated by the elements of a subset of $\mathcal{B}$. We refer to such a set $\mathcal{B}$ as an $\tilde{R}$-basis of $\mathfrak{C}$. Let $\mathcal{E} := \{f_1, ..., f_u\}$ be the set of elements of $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})$ such that:

– $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})$ is the direct sum of its cyclic $\tilde{R}$-submodules generated by them;

– it is naturally defined by elements of $\mathcal{B}$, i.e. for each $s \in S(1, u)$ there are s_1, $s_2 \in S(1, r)$ such that $f_u(e_i) = 0$ if $i \neq s_1$ and $f_u(e_{s_1}) = p^{n(u)}e_{s_2}$, with $n(u) \in S(0, q)$.

Also we can assume there are elements z_1,..., z_v of $\tilde{R}$ such that $\{dz_1, ..., dz_v\}$ is an $\tilde{R}$-basis of the p-adic completion of $\Omega_{\tilde{R}/W(k)}$. The existence of a connection ∇ on $\tilde{M}$ making $\mathfrak{C}$ to be viewed as an object $\mathcal{MS}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(S)$ can be codified (i.e. restated) in finding solutions of v equations involving elements of $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})$. So writing

$$\nabla = \delta_0 + \sum_{j'=1}^{v} \sum_{j=1}^{r} x_{jj'} f_j dz_{j'}, \tag{28}$$

with δ_0 an arbitrary but fixed connection on $\tilde{M}$, we need to find solutions of a system of equations SE in the rv variables $x_{jj'}$'s. When viewed mod p it is of first type (this, as in 3.6.8 5), is a consequence of the fact that $\tilde{M}$ is $\tilde{R}$-generated by $\varphi(\tilde{M})$ and by $\varphi_1(F^1(\tilde{M}))$), and so 3.6.8.1.2 a) applies as in the proof of 3.6.1.3 (for instance, see 3.6.8 7)). Working by induction on $l \in S(1, q)$ modulo p^l and following 3.6.8 10) to 12), we get a) and the part of b) which does not refer to linear homogeneous forms. For the integrability part, we need to remark that based on 3.6.18.2.2 and the above part of the proof we can perform entirely the algebraization process of the proof of 3.6.18.4.1 in the context of arbitrary objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ (see also 3.6.18.5.2 below for a second possibility of arguing this, via 3.6.18.4.1 and the Fact of 2.2.1.1 6)).

We now point out the second significant difference in comparison with the proof of 3.6.1.3 and so the second extra feature. By passing from things mod p^l to things mod p^{l+1}, we get a system of equations in at most the same number of variables (the precise number of variables is v times the rank of $p^l\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})/p^{l+1}\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})$ as an $\tilde{R}/p\tilde{R}$-module), and so potentially of a completely different form of what we got working mod p^l, $l \in S(1, q-1)$. But the situation is the same: we still get systems of equations of first type.

Also it is worth pointing out that the $\tilde{R}/p\tilde{R}$-module $p^l\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})/p^{l+1}\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})$ is naturally a submodule of $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})/p\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})$, and so the new systems of equations of first type are related to specific subobjects of $End(\mathfrak{C})/pEnd(\mathfrak{C}) = End(\mathfrak{C}/p\mathfrak{C})$; so the "completely different forms" are not "too far" from the initial form of SE. This is the third new feature. It can be reformulated as: the linear homogeneous forms of these systems of equations depend only on $End(\mathfrak{C}/p\mathfrak{C})$ and on its (warning: non-necessarily Lie) subobjects.

Conclusion: (we can assume) these systems of equations of first type have coefficients in $\tilde{R}/p\tilde{R}$. This proves a) and b) and ends the proof.

Examples. As examples of such moduli p-adic formal schemes (resp. of special fibres of them) for connections, we have the p-adic completions (viewed as formal schemes) of the $W(k)$-schemes $\mathrm{Spec}(Q^{\wedge}_{j,n})$ (resp. the k-schemes $\mathcal{S}^n$), $n \in \mathbb{N}$, of 3.6.1.3 (resp. of 3.6.8).

As in Fact 2 of the proof of 3.6.18.4.1 we get:

3.6.18.4.3. Corollary (the touching property). *We assume we are in the context of 3.6.18.4.2, with $\mathfrak{C}$ an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(S)$. Let p^m, with $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, be the maximal number of points* $\mathrm{Spec}(k_1) \to M(\mathfrak{C})_k$ *lifting the same k_1-valued point of S_k, with k_1 an algebraically closed field containing k. Then any connected component of $M(\mathfrak{C})_k$ intersects all fibres of $M(\mathfrak{C})_k$ over geometric points of S_k which are defined by étale algebras of dimension, as vector spaces over residue fields of S_k, equal to p^m.*

3.6.18.4.4. Remarks. 1) 3.6.18.4 remains true for the case when R/pR is a local henselian ring and R is a regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-algebra, having $k = \bar{k}$ as its residue field.

2) From now on, in connection to the categories $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(*)$, we do not use (cf. the proof of 3.6.18.4.2) anymore the word potentially (see 3.6.1.1.1).

3) The moduli schemes of 3.6.18.4.2 do depend on the choice of Φ_S (for instance, cf. 3.6.18.4 B)).

From 3.6.18.4.2 b) and 3.6.8.1.2 b), as we are in a regular context, we get:

3.6.18.4.5. Corollary (the surjectivity principle). *Under the assumptions of 3.6.18.4.2, any connected component of $M(\mathfrak{C})_k$, with $\mathfrak{C}$ a p-divisible object, maps into an open, dense subscheme of S_k.*

3.6.18.4.6. Extra terminology. What follows in this section is an abstract algebraic digression supplementing the first paragraphs of 3.6.8.9. In that paragraphs, we defined abstractly systems of equations of first and third type, as well as, in connection to

3.6.8.5, we defined systems of equations of (adjusted) additive second type, while working with an additive type Frobenius lift of a regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-algebra R.

Similarly, if the Frobenius lift involved is of multiplicative (resp. essentially multiplicative or additive) type (in some maximal point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$, see 3.6.18.0.1.1), we define systems of equations of multiplicative (resp. essentially multiplicative or additive) second type; in 3.6.18.4 P4 (see (26) of it) we came across such systems of equations but we preferred to view them as of first type. The main difference from what we got in 3.6.8.5 1) is: the coefficients of x_{isl}^p's we get are not any more some $p-1$ powers of non-invertible elements (see 3.6.8.5 (11)) but they are of a more general nature; in particular they can be invertible. Also in 3.6.14.1 J we introduced systems of equations of fourth type; in what follows they are not used.

We index different parts using capital letters.

A. Let $\bar{R}$ be an arbitrary $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A system of equations of the form

$$x_i = L_i(x_1^p, x_2^p, ..., x_n^p) + c_i, \tag{$*$}$$

$i = \overline{1,n}$, where L_i is a linear homogeneous form with coefficients in $\bar{R}$ and $c_i \in \bar{R}$, $\forall i \in S(1,n)$, is said to be of first type with coefficients in $\bar{R}$ (cf. the terminology of 3.6.8.9); we also call it a quasi Artin–Schreier system of equations in n variables with coefficients in $\bar{R}$. It defines naturally an étale, affine $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$-scheme (cf. 3.6.8.1.2 a)). If this system of equations defines a finite, étale morphism (at the level of schemes), then we omit the word quasi. The $n \times n$ matrix obtained naturally (as in 3.6.8.1) from the coefficients of the linear forms L_i, $i = \overline{1,n}$, is called the matrix of the system $(*)$ of equations.

We came across such quasi Artin–Schreier systems of equations in the proofs of 3.6.1.3 and 3.6.18.4.2. For future references, we define as well (abstractly) systems of equations of second type: a system of equations of the form

$$a_i x_i^p = L_i(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) + c_i, \tag{29}$$

$i = \overline{1,m}$, and

$$0 = L_i(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) + c_i, \tag{30}$$

$i = \overline{m+1,n}$, where $m \in S(1,n)$, and where L_i's and c_i's are as above, is said to be of second type with coefficients in $\bar{R}$, if at least one of the elements a_1,..., a_m of $\bar{R}$ is nonzero. However, as we do not use them again in the rest of this paper or in §5-14, we do not stop to define abstractly systems of equations of (adjusted) additive (or multiplicative, etc.) second type.

B. Artin–Schreier fundamental groups. An étale cover $q_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R}_1) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$ is called crystalline elementary n-admissible if it is defined by an open closed subscheme of an étale cover of $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$ obtained using an Artin–Schreier system of equations in at most n variables; here the "at most" part is motivated by the second extra feature of the proof of 3.6.18.4.2. We say q_1 is crystalline n-admissible if it is obtained through (i.e. it is a composite of) a finite sequence of consecutive crystalline elementary n-admissible étale covers.

We assume $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$ is connected. For all that follows, we fix a geometric point of $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$ in the usual way related to fundamental groups; not to complicate the notations and as below it is convenient to look at fundamental groups as groups of automorphism of Galois pro-étale covers, we never mention it explicitly. The automorphism group of the Galois pro-étale cover of $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$ generated by connected components of crystalline n-admissible étale covers of $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$, is called the level n Artin–Schreier fundamental group of $\bar{R}$ or of $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$ and it is denoted by $\Pi_n^{AS}(\bar{R})$. We have natural epimorphisms

$$\Pi_{n+1}^{AS}(\bar{R}) \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_n^{AS}(\bar{R}).$$

Variant: above we consider only finite sequences of length at most m, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is fixed; we obtain pro-finite Galois groups $\Pi_{n,m}^{AS}(\bar{R})$ and natural epimorphisms

$$\Pi_{n_1,m_1}^{AS}(\bar{R}) \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_{n,m}^{AS}(\bar{R}),$$

with $n_1, m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $n_1 \geq n$ and $m_1 \geq m$. We denote by $\Pi_\infty^{AS}(\bar{R})$ the projective limit of $\Pi_n^{AS}(\bar{R})$. It is a quotient of the first fundamental group of $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$. We call it the Artin–Schreier fundamental group of $\bar{R}$ or of $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$. We have:

C. Lemma (the fundamental lemma of the π_1-theory in positive characteristic). *If k_1 is a field (not necessarily perfect) containing $\mathbb{F}_p$, then $\Pi_\infty^{AS}(k_1)$ is exactly the Galois group of k_1.*

Proof: Let k_2 be a finite Galois extension of k_1. Let $n_0 := [k_2 : k_1]$ and let $E = \{e_1, ..., e_{n_0}\}$ be an arbitrary k_1-basis of k_2. An arbitrary element $x \in k_2$ can be written uniquely as a sum $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} x_i e_i$, with $x_i \in k_1$, $i = \overline{1, n_0}$. We consider the equation

$$x^p = x. \tag{31}$$

It gets translated into an Artin–Schreier system of equations of the form

$$x_i = L_i(x_1^p, ..., x_{n_0}^p), \tag{**}$$

$i = \overline{1, n_0}$, with L_i as linear homogeneous forms. The square matrix A_E formed by the coefficients of these linear forms is invertible (this can be seen by extension to k_1^{perf}). So 3.6.8.1.2 a) applies. So k_2 splits over the Galois extension k_3 of k_1 generated by fields of whose spectra are connected components of the étale k_1-scheme defined naturally by this Artin–Schreier system of equations (argument: the number of solutions of the equation $x^p = x$ in $k_2 \otimes_{k_1} k_3$ is –cf. 3.4.8.1.0– on one hand p^n and on the other hand it is p to the power the number of connected components of $\mathrm{Spec}(k_2 \otimes_{k_1} k_3)$). This ends the proof.

D. The global context. In the above Lemma the condition k_1 is a field can be weaken: we just need that any projective k_1-module of finite rank is free. In particular it holds for local rings, for PID's and for polynomial rings over k. Warning: we do not need to assume we are in some normal context; if s is a non-zero solution of (31) in any $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra, then s^{p-1} is a projector and so it still "isolates" some connected components. So to understand the first fundamental groups of arbitrary connected $\mathbb{F}_p$-schemes we just need to understand how to "glue" Artin–Schreier systems of equations. This goes as follows.

The proof of C above suggests looking only at Artin–Schreier systems of equations which are defined by homogeneous linear forms whose coefficients are producing an invertible matrix. Referring to the proof of C, the change of the k_1-basis E, results in a replacement of A_E by $BA_E(B^{[p]})^{-1}$, with $B \in GL_{n_0}(k_1)$ (warning: this is different from 3.6.18.0). In general, two invertible matrices A_1 and A_2 of rank n with coefficients in $\bar{R}$, are called F-equivalent (over $\bar{R}$), if there is an invertible matrix B with coefficients in $\bar{R}$ such that $A_2 = BA_1(B^{[p]})^{-1}$. So the determinants of A_1 and A_2 differ by a $p-1$ power of an invertible element of $\bar{R}$.

Definition. Let X_p be arbitrary separated, connected $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme. A Galois–Artin–Schreier (or an Artin–Schreier homogeneous invertible) system of equations in n variables over X_p is defined by:

– an open cover $\mathcal{OC}$ of X_p by affine schemes $X_p^i = \mathrm{Spec}(R^i)$, $i \in \mathcal{I}$,

– an invertible $n \times n$ matrix A^i with coefficients in R^i, $\forall i \in \mathcal{I}$,

– an invertible $n \times n$ matrix B_{ij} with coefficients in R^{ij}, $\forall i, j \in \mathcal{I}$, where $X_p^i \cap X_p^j = \mathrm{Spec}(R^{ij})$,

such that the following two things hold

a) $\forall i, j \in \mathcal{I}$, over $X_p^i \cap X_j^p$ we have $B_{ij}A_j(B_{ij}^{[p]})^{-1} = A_i$, and

b) $\forall i, j, l \in \mathcal{I}$, over $X_p^i \cap X_p^j \cap X_p^l$ we have $B_{ij}B_{jl} = B_{il}$.

The equivalence of two such Galois–Artin–Schreier systems of equations in n variables over X_p is defined in the standard manner (of passing to refinements of $\mathcal{OC}$, etc.). Following the pattern of (**) above, we can use them to define an étale cover of X_p of degree p^n: above X_p^i it is the étale cover defined by the Artin–Schreier system of equations in n variables x_1^i,.., x_n^i whose linear forms are homogeneous and whose matrix is A_i itself, $\forall i \in \mathcal{I}$; the identities a) and b) allow us to glue logically such étale covers (i.e. B_{ij} are the matrices of the linear combination transitions from x_s^i's to x_s^j's, $s = \overline{1,n}$, $\forall i, j \in \mathcal{I}$: if x^i is the column vector having x_s^i's as its entries, $s = \overline{1,n}$, then we have $x^i = B_{ij}x^j$).

Following the pattern of B), we use such étale covers to define a pro-finite Galois group $\Pi_{n,m}^{GAS}(X_p)$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$ having the same role as above, as well as their $\mathbb{N}$-projective limit $\Pi_n^{GAS}(X_p)$. We refer to $\Pi_n^{GAS}(X_p)$ as the level n Galois–Artin–Schreier fundamental group of X_p. Moreover, by identifying an $n \times n$ matrix with entries $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in S(1,n)}$ with a $n+1 \times n+1$ matrix whose entries $(b_{ij})_{i,j \in S(1,n+1)}$ are defined by $b_{ij} = a_{ij}$ and

$b_{n+1,i} = b_{j,n+1} = 0$ if $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and by $b_{n+1,n+1} = 1$, we get a natural epimorphism $\Pi_{n+1}^{GAS}(X_p) \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_n^{GAS}(X_p)$, and so we define the $\mathbb{N}$-projective limit

$$\Pi_{\infty}^{GAS}(X_p)$$

of these groups $\Pi_n^{GAS}(X_p)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Following the proof of C, we get:

Lemma (the fundamental lemma of the π_1-theory in positive characteristic: the global form). *The fundamental group of X_p is $\Pi_{\infty}^{GAS}(X_p)$.*

If X_p is moreover integral, regular and noetherian, the above Lemma represents (in our opinion) a practical way of computing the fundamental group of X_p. As a particular case of this Lemma we get the following criterion:

Corollary (a criterion of simply connectivity). *The fundamental group of X_p is trivial iff $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, any Galois–Artin–Schreier system of equations in n variables over X_p is equivalent to the trivial one, defined by $n \times n$ matrices which are all identity.*

E. Remarks. 1) The proof of C above implies $\mathrm{Gal}(k_2/k_1)$ is naturally a quotient of $\Pi_{n_0,1}^{AS}(k_1)$. It is very much desirable to replace here the lower right index $n_0, 1$ with another one $n, 1$, with n as small as possible. We do not know how to get in general better upper bounds for such an n. If $n_0 \geq 2$, by using 3.6.8.1.5 and the fact that 1, x, x^p,..., $x^{p^{n_0-1}}$ are linearly dependent over k_1, for any $x \in k_2$, we can take $n = n_0 - 1$; in this way we can obtain a second proof of C (but not of D).

2) Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Using $x_i^{p^l}$'s instead of x_i^p's in (*), we define similarly level (n, m, l) Artin–Schreier fundamental groups $\Pi_{n,m,l}^{AS}(\bar{R})$; if $l = 1$, we always drop mentioning it as an index. Obviously (see 3.6.8.1.5) we have a natural epimorphism:

$$\Pi_{nl,m}^{AS}(\bar{R}) \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_{n,m,l}^{AS}(\bar{R}).$$

Similarly, in the context of D above, we define the level (n, m, l) Galois–Artin–Schreier fundamental group $\Pi_{n,m,l}^{GAS}(X_p)$ of X_p (so we have to speak about the F^l-equivalence relation between invertible matrices with coefficients in a given $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra, etc.).

3) There are $n(n+1)$ coefficients involved in the definition of a quasi Artin–Schreier system of equations in n variables; they are entirely independent. One can learn a lot by looking at quasi Artin–Schreier systems of equations in a small number of variables, like 2 or 3. For $n = 2$ we have 6 coefficients, while for $n = 3$ we have 12.

4) If a quasi Artin–Schreier system of equations with coefficients in $\bar{R}$ defines a Galois cover of an open, dense subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$, then the étale scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$ naturally associated to it is an open subscheme of a Galois cover of $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$. This is an immediate consequence of 3.6.8.1.2 a).

5) The Lemma of D (as well as the "ρ" part of 3.6.18.7.2 below) can be reformulated in terms of truncations mod p of unit F-crystals. However, B (and so implicitly F below) goes beyond the reach of the language of such truncations: there are plenty of Artin–Schreier systems of equations whose matrices are not invertible. Here are two quick examples (with k as usual).

Example 1. Let $R = k[t_1, t_2]/(t_1t_2)^n$, with $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$; we take the system of equations (in z_1 and z_2) defined by $z_1 + c_1 = t_1t_2z_1^p + t_1z_2^p$ and $z_2 + c_2 = t_2z_1^p + z_2^p$.

Example 2. Let $U := k[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]/(x_1x_3 - x_2x_4)$; we take the system of equations $z_1 = x_1z_1^p + x_2z_2^p$ and $z_2 = x_3z_1^p + x_4z_2^p$ and we consider the maximal affine, open subscheme $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(U)$ above which this system of equations defines an étale cover $q : \mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R}_1) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$, cf. 3.6.8.1.3-4. We have:

1) The complement of $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$ in $\mathrm{Spec}(U)$ is irreducible and is not given by one single equation.

2) The étale cover q is of degree p and is not definable by an Artin–Schreier system of equations in 1 variable.

1) is left as an exercise (cf. [Ha, Exc. 6.5 of p. 147]). To argue 2), we assume q is given by the equation $y = ay^p + b$, with $a, b \in \bar{R}$. a is invertible in $\bar{R}$ and so, based on 1) and on the fact that U is normal, it is an invertible element of U. As any such element is an element of k, by passing to $\bar{k}$, we can assume $a = 1$. So q is a Galois extension; but it is easy to see that this is not so. Contradiction. So 2) follows.

Example 2 motivates why in all that follows we do not mention at all such truncations. However, the terminology of crystalline (elementary) n-admissible étale covers used in B is motivated by the way we came across 3.6.8.1 and by such a possible reformulation.

F. Definitions. Let $\Pi_0^{AS}(\bar{R})$ (resp. $\Pi_{\infty+1}^{AS}(\bar{R})$) be the trivial group (resp. the fundamental group of $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$). The Artin–Schreier dimension of $\bar{R}$, denoted $d^{AS}(\bar{R})$, is the smallest number $q \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\} \cup \{\infty + 1\}$ such that $\Pi_q^{AS}(\bar{R})$ is the whole fundamental group of $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$.

Let $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. If the group $\Pi_q^{AS}(\bar{R})$ is trivial we say $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$ is weakly q-simply connected. If moreover $\Pi_q^{GAS}(\mathrm{Spec}(R))$ itself is trivial, then we drop the word weakly. Similarly, referring to D with X_p non-affine, if $\Pi_q^{GAS}(X_k)$ is trivial we say X_p is q-simply connected.

G. Homework. Compute the level n Artin–Schreier fundamental groups and the Artin–Schreier dimensions of algebraic field extensions of $\mathbb{F}_p$.

H. Artin–Schreier systems of equations in mixed characteristic. We do not stop to present here a full theory: we are just interested to point out the main idea. Let O be a DVR of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ and let k_1 be its residue field. Let R_O be an integral, regular, faithfully flat, formally smooth O-algebra. We assume that the smallest open subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_O)$ containing $\mathrm{Spec}(R_O \otimes_O k_1)$ is $\mathrm{Spec}(R_O)$ itself. We situate ourselves in the context of A above, with $c_i \in R_O$ and with L_i having coefficients in R_O, $\forall i \in S(1, n)$. We assume the matrix of the coefficients of L_i's is invertible. Then, as usual, we get an étale cover of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_O)$ (this is a consequence of the assumption on $\mathrm{Spec}(R_O)$, via the classical purity theorem of [SGA1, p. 275]). Imitating B we define the level n Artin–Schreier fundamental group $\Pi_n^{AS}(R_O)$ of R_O, as well as their projective limit, the Artin–Schreier fundamental group $\Pi^{AS}(R_O)$ of R_O. Variant: we can define the level (n, m, l) Artin–Schreier fundamental group $\Pi^{AS}_{n,m,l}(R_O)$ of R_O. We do believe that, in this way, one can learn a lot about Galois groups of number fields; this is our first (see [Va10] for the second) approach towards the understanding of finite quotients of such Galois groups.

I. Artin–Schreier systems of equations in unequal positive characteristic. The last sentence of H above suggests treating the situation where we deal with a system (*) of equations as in A and whose matrix is invertible but over affine schemes which are of characteristic a prime $l \neq p$. The first thing to be done is to get criteria (using the classical purity theorem) when such systems of equations (defining finite, flat schemes) do define étale covers.

We hope to come back in a future paper with the main (expected or known to be true) properties of these Artin–Schreier fundamental groups and dimensions. We end by mentioning that we do believe that there is a deep connection between I, [Bu2] and the theory of ordinary reductions of a proper, smooth scheme over a number field.

We come back to the starting context of 3.6.18.

3.6.18.5. Theorem (the inducing property). *The answer to the question* **Q** *is yes if any one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

a) $k = \bar{k}$*;*

b) Φ_R *is of essentially additive type;*

c) the Newton polygon of (M, φ) *does not have either the slope 0 or the slope 1.*

Proof: If a) holds, this is a consequence of 3.6.18.3.1 and 3.6.18.4.1. If b) or c) holds, then based on 3.6.18.4 B), there is a unique connection on the extension of $\mathfrak{C}$ to $W(\bar{k})$. Using Galois descent, due to its uniqueness it is obtained from a connection ∇ on $\mathfrak{C}$ by extension of scalars. So the Theorem follows from 3.6.18.3.1 and 3.6.18.4.1.

3.6.18.5.1. Corollary. *We assume* Φ_R *is of essentially additive type. Then we have an equivalence of categories between* $p - \mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ *and* $p - \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$*, and we have an antiequivalence (via the* $\mathbb{D}^{-1}$ *functor) of categories between these two categories and* $p - DG(\mathrm{Spec}(R))$*.*

Proof: For the equivalence part, from 3.6.18.4 (we have $r = 0$) we deduce that there is a unique way to associate to a p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ a p-divisible object $(\mathfrak{C}, \nabla)$ of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$. So we just need to check that this association is well behaved w.r.t. morphisms between p-divisible objects.

Everything boils down to (keeping the previous notations): if $f \in F^0(\mathrm{End}(M)) \otimes_{W(k)} R$ is fixed by Φ, then it is annihilated by the (unique) connection ∇ on $M \otimes_{W(k)} R$ for which Φ is ∇-parallel. Let $\nabla(f) = f_1 \in \mathrm{End}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} \bar{\Omega}_{R/W(k)}$. It satisfies the equation

$$f_1 = p\Phi \circ d\Phi_{R*}/p(f_1) = \Phi \circ d\Phi_{R*}(f_1). \tag{32}$$

We claim: $f_1 = 0$. It is enough to show that $f_1 \bmod p$ is 0. Choosing an arbitrary $W(k)$-basis $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathrm{End}(M)$, by identifying the R/pR-coefficients of both sides of (32) w.r.t.

it and the R-basis $dz_1,..., dz_m$ of $\bar{\Omega}_{R/W(k)}$, we get a system of equations SE of first type in $m\dim_{W(k)}(M)^2$ variables and with coefficients in R/pR. As in the proofs of 3.6.1.2 and of 3.6.18.4 B), due to the fact that Φ_R is of essentially additive type, we get: SE has a unique solution; so f_1 mod p is 0.

The antiequivalence part is implied by the equivalence part and by 3.6.18.5 b): we can change the Frobenius lift Φ_R (cf. [De3, (1.1.2.1)]) to one of additive type $\Phi_R(z_i) = z_i^p$; so Grothendieck–Messing–Berthelot's theory (see [Me, ch. 4-5] and [BM, ch. 4]) and [Fa2, th. 10] apply. In other words:

a) each p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$ is associated to a (uniquely) p-divisible group over R (cf. 2.2.21 UP);

b) this association is functorial and fully faithful (cf. [BM, ch. 4] and [Me, ch. 4-5]).

This ends the proof.

3.6.18.5.2. Corollary (the liftability property). *We assume that $k = \bar{k}$ or that Φ_R is of essentially additive type. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ such that we have an epimorphism $e : \mathfrak{C}_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$, with $\mathfrak{C}_1$ as the truncation mod p^n of some p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ (resp. let $\mathfrak{C}$ be a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$). Then any connection ∇ on $\mathfrak{C}$ (resp. on $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$) can be lifted to a connection on $\mathfrak{C}_1$ (resp. on $\mathfrak{C}$).*

If Φ_R is of essentially additive type, then such a lift is unique. If $k = \bar{k}$ and we are in the context of e, then the number of such lifts is a non-negative, integral power of p.

Proof: We first consider the case of a p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}$. The subcase $k = \bar{k}$ is a consequence of (the proof of) 3.6.18.4.2 b) and of 3.6.8.1.2 a). The subcase when Φ_R is of essentially additive type is a consequence of 3.6.18.4 B).

We consider now the case of an object $\mathfrak{C}$. We follow closely the proof of 3.6.18.4.2. Let $\mathfrak{C} = (M, F^1, \varphi_0, \varphi_1)$ and let $\mathfrak{C}_1 = (M_1, F_1^1, \varphi_{01}, \varphi_{11})$. Let $q : M_1 \twoheadrightarrow M$ be the R-epimorphism defining e. We view ∇ as a connection $\nabla_1 : M_1 \to M \otimes_R \bar{\Omega}_{R/W(k)}$ for which e is ∇-parallel in the sense that we have

$$\nabla_1 \circ \varphi_{11}(m) = \varphi_0 \circ d\Phi_{R*}/p \circ \nabla_1(m) \tag{33}$$

if $m \in F_1^1$, and

$$\nabla_1 \circ \varphi_{01}(m) = p\varphi_0 \circ d\Phi_{R*}/p \circ \nabla_1(m) \tag{34}$$

if $m \in M_1$. So we need to lift ∇_1 to a connection $\tilde{\nabla} : M_1 \to M_1 \otimes_R \bar{\Omega}_{R/W(k)}$ making $\mathfrak{C}_1$ to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$.

We consider an R-basis $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{e_1, ..., e_s\}$ of $\mathfrak{C}_1$ as in the proof of 3.6.18.4.2. From [Fa1, 2.1 iii)] we get $F^1 = q(F_1^1)$. Using this we deduce (via standard induction on the length of $M_1/(z_1, ..., z_m)M_1$ as a $W(k)$-module) that we can assume that the non-zero elements of the form $q(e_i)$ are forming an R-basis of $\mathfrak{C}$. As M_1 is a free R/p^nR-module, the natural R-linear map $\mathrm{End}(M_1) \to \mathrm{Hom}(M_1, M)$ is an epimorphism. We consider its kernel N and the R/p^nR-basis $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{f_1, ..., f_{s^2}\}$ of $\mathrm{End}(M_1)$ defined, as in the proof of 3.6.18.4.2, by $\mathcal{B}_1$. Let ∇^1 be an arbitrary lift of ∇_1 to a connection on M_1.

N is the underlying module of the object $\mathfrak{N}$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(R)$ which is the kernel of the natural epimorphism

$$End(\mathfrak{C}_1) \twoheadrightarrow Hom(\mathfrak{C}_1, \mathfrak{C}).$$

So N has an R-basis formed by non-zero elements of the form $p^{n(i)}f_i$, where all $n(i)$'s belong to $S(0,n)$ and are uniquely determined by q. Let I_0 be the set of those i's such that $n(i) \leq n-1$. An arbitrary connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on M_1 lifting ∇_1 can be written in the form

$$\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla^1 + \sum_{i\in I_0}\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{ij}p^{n(i)}f_i dz_j, \tag{35}$$

with all x_{ij}'s in R/p^nR. The fact that $\tilde{\nabla}$ makes $\mathfrak{C}_1$ to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$ gets translated in m equalities between elements of N, i.e. in a system of equations SE involving the variables x_{ij}'s and their Frobenius transforms.

So we can follow entirely the proof of 3.6.18.4.2: by induction on $l \in S(1,n)$ we show that we have a solution of the system of equations obtained from SE by working mod p^l (i.e. of the system of equations we get by considering m equalities between elements of N/p^lN). But, as M_1 is R-generated by $\varphi_{01}(M_1)$ and by $\varphi_{11}(F_1^1)$, as in 3.6.8 5) we get that SE mod p is a system of equations of first type. So if $k = \bar{k}$, 3.6.8.1 applies. If Φ_R is of essentially additive type, then we can proceed as in 3.6.18.4 P2-3 to separate the variables and to show that SE mod p has a unique solution. This takes care of the case $l = 1$. The same things apply when we move from things mod p^l to things mod p^{l+1}, $l \in S(1, n-1)$, cf. the proof of 3.6.18.4.2. As f_i's and z_j's are defined over R we can assume that all linear forms have coefficients in R/pR; this takes care (cf. 3.1.8.1.2 c)) of the "power of p" part. This proves the Corollary.

3.6.18.5.3. Corollary. *We assume the Frobenius lift Φ_R is of essentially additive type. Then we have an equivalence of categories between $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ and $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$ and we have an antiequivalence (via the $\mathbb{D}^{-1}$ functor) of categories between these two categories and $p - FF(\mathrm{Spec}(R))$.*

Proof: Any object $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ is the cokernel of an isogeny $m_{12} : \mathfrak{C}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_2$ between two p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ (cf. Fact of 2.2.1.1 6)). From 3.6.18.5.1 (applied to m_{12}) we get that there is a connection $\nabla_{\mathfrak{C}}$ on $\mathfrak{C}$. 3.6.18.5.2 (applied twice to the natural epimorphisms $\mathfrak{C}_2 \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_2/p^n\mathfrak{C}_2 \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough) implies (via 3.6.18.5.1) $\nabla_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is unique. So the equivalence part is obtained as in the proof of 3.6.18.5.1.

For the antiequivalence part, as we have 3.6.18.5.1, we just have to remark, besides the reference to the Fact of 2.2.1.1 6), one extra thing. Any finite, flat, commutative group scheme G_R over R of rank a power of p, is a closed subgroup of a p-divisible group over R (cf. M. Raynaud's theorem of [BBM, 3.1.1]). So, based on standard techniques pertaining to abelian categories (see 2.2.1.0 and 2.2.1.1 6)), the Corollary follows.

3.6.18.5.4. Remarks. 1) It is easy to see that the results 3.6.18.5.1-3 extend to a more general context, inspired from 3.6.18.4.2 (cf. also 3.6.18.4.4). We leave this to the reader (to be compared with 3.6.18.8 below). In particular, one can state a moduli variant of 3.6.18.5.2 following the pattern of 3.6.18.4.2: it is obtained by just putting together 3.6.18.4.2 and 3.6.18.5.2. We could think of it as an explicit form of particular cases of Raynaud's theorem mentioned above.

2) 3.6.18.5.1 and 3.6.18.5.3 are the third place where we need $p > 2$.

3) The condition $k = \bar{k}$ of 3.6.18.4 (resp. of –part of– 3.6.18.5.2) can be weaken as follows: we just need that Spec(k) is $\dim_{W(k)}(M)^2$-simply (resp. is $|I_0|$-simply) connected (see defs. 3.6.18.6 D). The same applies in other situations (for instance, in the proof of 3.6.15 B, assuming that the base $\mathcal{B}$ exists, we just need k to be C-simply connected, with C as the maximum of the orders of all cycles $\mathcal{C}$ of π_L with $n(\mathcal{C}) \geq 0$).

3.6.18.5.5. The case of categories $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$. A natural question arises. What about the categories $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b > a + 1$? In what follows, if the reader desires can assume $a = 0$.

A. First of all, as in 3.2.3 and 3.1.1.1 we can speak about generic situations. The second philosophy of 3.6.18.2.1 says that it is enough to look at the case of such generic situations. The conclusion is: due to reasons explained in 3.6.8.9, none of the results of 3.6.18.4-5 remain true (in general), except one. We start explaining this exception.

Let $\mathfrak{C} = (M_R, (F^i(M_R))_{i\in S(a+1,b)}, \Phi_{M_R})$ be a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$. As in 3.6.18.1, for $i \in S(a, b)$, we define numbers $f(i) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ by the formula

$$f(i) := \dim_R(F^i(M_R)/F^{i+1}(M_R))).$$

We assume $k = \bar{k}$. By a generic situation modeled on $\mathfrak{C}$ we mean (to be compared with 3.6.18.2) that we are dealing with a p-divisible object

$$\mathfrak{C}^1 = (M_R, (F^i(M_R))_{i\in S(a+1,b)}, \Phi^1_{M_R})$$

of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)$ such that:

a) defining a p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}^1_{W(k)} := (M, (F^i(M))_{i\in S(a+1,b)}, \varphi^1)$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$ as in 3.6.18.0 (i.e. via the pull back of $\mathfrak{C}^1$ through the Teichmüller lift Spec$(W(k)) \hookrightarrow$ Spec(R)), there is a $W(k)$-basis $\{e_1, ..., e_{\dim_{W(k)}(M)}\}$ of M for which we have $\varphi(e_i) = p^{\varepsilon_i} e_i$, with $\varepsilon_i \in S(a, b)$, and $\forall j \in S(a + 1, b)$ the set of those e_i's such that $\varepsilon_i \geq j$ is a $W(k)$-basis of $F^j(M)$;

b) Φ_R is of multiplicative type.

We have:

Fact. *The number of connections on $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$ is at most*

$$p^{nm\sum_{i=a}^{b-1} f(i)f(i+1)}.$$

Moreover this number is attained for some generic situations modeled on $\mathfrak{C}$.

Proof: Using the fact that we are dealing only with connections satisfying the Griffiths transversality condition, the first part of the Fact is a consequence of the constancy property of 3.6.8.9 and of the estimate of m_1 in 3.6.8.9.0.

Following the pattern of 3.6.18.2, we can write (via short exact sequences) $\mathfrak{C}^1$ as extensions of p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[i,i]}(R)$, where $i \in S(a,b)$ is such that $f(i) \neq 0$. So, using induction on $b-a$, the first part of the Fact for $\mathfrak{C}^1$ is as well a consequence of 3.6.18.2. Here are the details. We can assume $a=0$ and accordingly we write $\mathfrak{C}^1$ as the extension of a p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}^1_1$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[1,b]}(R)$ by a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,0]}(R)$. The number of connections on $\mathfrak{C}^1/p^n\mathfrak{C}^1$ is at most equal to the sum of the number of connections on $\mathfrak{C}^1_1/p^n\mathfrak{C}^1_1$ and of the number of connections on $\mathfrak{C}^1_{<2}/p^n\mathfrak{C}^1_{<2}$, where $\mathfrak{C}^1_{<2}$ is the maximal p-divisible subobject of $\mathfrak{C}^1$ which is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$; moreover, the equality holds if Φ^1_M takes $F^1(M_R)$ into itself.

So if $\mathfrak{C}^1$ is a direct sum of p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[i,i]}(R)$, for various $i \in S(0,b)$, then the number of connections on $\mathfrak{C}^1/p^n\mathfrak{C}^1$ is (by induction) precisely $p^{nm\sum_{i=a}^{b-1} f(i)f(i+1)}$. This ends the proof.

But if $m \geq 2$ and if the sum

$$\sum_{i=a}^{b-2} f(i)f(i+1)f(i+2)$$

is not 0, not all of them are integrable; this creates problems as well as beauty. Obviously, if $f(i)f(i+1)f(i+2) = 0$, $\forall i \in S(a,b-2)$, then all these connections are integrable.

We now assume $\Phi_R(z_l+1) = (z_l+1)^p$, $\forall l \in S(1,m)$. Let $\tilde{F}^i(M)$, $i \in S(a,b)$, be direct summands of M as in 2.2.1 c). We can assume we have an identity $M_R = M \otimes_{W(k)} R$ such that $F^i(M_R)$ is nothing else but the direct sum $\oplus_{j\geq i}\tilde{F}^j(M) \otimes_{W(k)} R$. We also assume $\varepsilon_i \geq \varepsilon_{i'}$, if $i \geq i'$, i, $i' \in S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(M))$. Let $g_R \in \operatorname{Ker}(GL(M_R) \to GL(M))$ be defined as in 3.6.18.0 but for $\mathfrak{C}^1$. Let

$$N(n, m, f(a), f(a+1), ..., f(b), g_R)$$

be the number of integrable connections on $\mathfrak{C}^1/p^n\mathfrak{C}^1$.

We consider the maximal subgroup N_R^{-1} (resp. N_R^{-2}) of $GL(M_R)$ with the property that its R-valued points are those R-linear automorphisms n_R of M_R having the property: $1_{M_R} - n_R$ takes $\tilde{F}^i(M)$ into $\tilde{F}^{i-1}(M) \otimes_{W(k)} R$ (resp. into $\tilde{F}^{i-2}(M)$), $\forall i \in S(a,b)$. It is a smooth, unipotent, connected subgroup and its relative dimension is

$$\sum_{i=a}^{b-1} f(i)f(i+1)$$

(resp. is $\sum_{i=a}^{b-2} f(i)f(i+2)$). N_R^1 is the extension from $W(k)$ to R of a subgroup $N_{W(k)}^{-1}$ of $GL(M)$. From the proof of the above Fact we get: we can assume

$$g_R \in \mathrm{Ker}(N_W(k)^{-1}(R) \to N_{W(k)}^{-1}(W(k))).$$

We consider connections ∇ on M_R of the following particular form

$$\nabla = \delta + \sum_{l \in S(m)} x_l dz_l,$$

with $x_l \in \mathrm{Lie}(N_R^{-1})$ and with δ the connection on M_R that annihilates M. We choose arbitrarily an R-basis $\mathcal{B}_{-2}$ of

$$LIE_{-2} := \mathrm{Lie}(N_R^{-1}) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(N_R^{-2}).$$

Working as usual modulo powers of p, the systems of equations needed to be satisfied by:

– (the coefficients of) a connection on $M_R/p^n M_R$ lifting a fixed connection on $M_R/p^{n-1}M_R$ which makes $\mathfrak{C}^1/p^{n-1}\mathfrak{C}^1$ potentially to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}^{\nabla}(R)$ (here $n \in \mathbb{N}$), in order to be on $\mathfrak{C}^1/p^n\mathfrak{C}^1$,

express the equality between two elements of $LIE_{-2} \otimes_R \Omega^{\wedge}_{R/W(k)}$; identifying the coefficients of these two elements w.r.t. the R-basis of $LIE_{-2} \otimes_R \Omega^{\wedge}_{R/W(k)}$ defined naturally by $\mathcal{B}_2$ and by the R-basis of $\{dz_1, ..., dz_m\}$ of $\Omega^{\wedge}_{R/W(k)}$, we get systems of equations of third type (with coefficients in R/pR). Warning: we do need to consider LIE_{-2} and not just $\mathrm{Lie}(N_R^{-1})$ and this is why we do not get in general systems of equations of first type.

However, we can still study to some extend such numbers $N(n, m, f(a), f(a+1), ..., f(b), g_R)$ following the pattern of 3.6.18.4.1 of algebraizing things (via Chinese Reminder Theorem and work performed modulo r-powers of maximal ideals, $r \in \mathbb{N}$), cf. D below. But, based on (24) of 3.6.18.2, it is easy to see that such numbers $N(n, m, f(a), f(a+1), ..., f(b), g_R)$ do depend on $g_R \in \mathrm{Ker}(N_{W(k)}^{-1}(R) \to N_{W(k)}^{-1}(W(k)))$. For instance, if $(n, m, a, b) = (1, 2, 0, 2)$ then

$$N(1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1_{M_R}) = (p-1)^3 + (2p-1)^2$$

is the number of quadruples $(q_1, ..., q_4)$ formed by elements of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and such that $q_1q_2 = q_3q_4$ (this can be easily read out from (24) of 3.6.18.2); but there are many values of g_R for which we have $N(1, 2, 1, 1, 1, g_R) = 0$. This suggests the introduction of types of generic situations (modeled on $\mathfrak{C}$). Not to be too long, we propose here only one such type.

Definition. The generic situation $\mathfrak{C}^1$ is said to be authentic if $N(n, m, f(a), f(a+1), ..., f(b), g_R) > 0$.

Despite the fact that none of the other results of 3.6.18.4-5 remain true in general for $\mathfrak{C}$, many of them have weaker forms, which are also very useful. We explain them one by one.

B. We start with a general definition. We come back to the general case, i.e. we are not any more in the context of a generic situation. Let $\mathfrak{C}_{W(k)}$ be defined as in a) but starting from $\mathfrak{C}$.

Definitions. We assume $b \geq a+1$ and $k = \bar{k}$. Let $i \in S(a,b)$. The dimension of the $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector subspace

$$V_i(\mathfrak{C}_{W(k)}) := \{x \in F^i(M)/pF^i(M) | \varphi_i(x) = x\}$$

of $F^i(M)/pF^i(M)$, with $\varphi_i : F^i(M) \to M$ as the σ-linear map obtained from φ as in 2.2.1 c) (we still denote by φ_i its reduction mod p), is called the pseudo-multiplicity of the slope i of $\mathfrak{C}_{W(k)}$ (or of $\mathfrak{C}$ in the maximal point of Spec(R)). Let $S_i(\mathfrak{C}_{W(k)})$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule of M formed by elements fixed by $p^{-i}\varphi$. Let

$$W_i(\mathfrak{C}_{W(k)}) := V_i(\mathfrak{C}_{W(k)}) \cap S_i(\mathfrak{C}_{W(k)})/pS_i(\mathfrak{C}_{W(k)}),$$

the intersection being taken inside M/pM. $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W_i(\mathfrak{C}_{W(k)}))$ is called the virtual pseudo-multiplicity of the slope i of $\mathfrak{C}_{W(k)}$ (or of $\mathfrak{C}$ in the maximal point of Spec(R)). Similarly, if X is as in 2.2.1 c) and if $\mathfrak{C}_X$ is an object (resp. a p-divisible object) of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(X)$ we define the pseudo-multiplicity (resp. the pseudo-multiplicity and the virtual pseudo-multiplicity) of the slope i of $\mathfrak{C}_X$ in a geometric point of Spec(X_k).

Warning: in general the (virtual) pseudo-multiplicities and the multiplicities of the slope $i \in S(a,b)$ do not coincide. However, they do coincide if $i \in \{a,b\}$ (for instance, if $b = a+1$ they always coincide).

We come back to the initial assumption $b > a+1$, with k arbitrary. The role of $s(0)s(1)$ in 3.6.18.4 B) has to be replaced by the pseudo-multiplicity $s_{pm}(-1)$ of the slope -1 of the extension of $End(\mathfrak{C}_{W(k)})$ to $\bar{k}$. As in the proof of 3.6.18.4 (see P7 of it), we get the following improvement of the first part of the Fact of A:

Corollary. *The number* CONN$(\mathfrak{C}, n)$ *of connections on* $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$ *is at most*

$$p^{nrs_{pm}(-1)}.$$

This Corollary is a particular case of 3.6.18.7.1 b) below (and so, as it is not used below, we refer to the mentioned place). Even if $k = \bar{k}$ it can happen that actually we have less than predicted such connections. To construct such examples with g_R as the trivial element, we just need to consider cases when $V_i(End(\mathfrak{C}_{W(k)}))$ has dimension 1 over $\mathbb{F}_p$ and $W_i(End(\mathfrak{C}_{W(k)})) = \{0\}$: the proof of 3.6.18.7.1 b) below shows that if in the Corollary we have equality $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then each connection counted by CONN$(\mathfrak{C}, n)$ lifts to a connection on $\mathfrak{C}$; so we can follow 3.6.18.4 P6-7 to get that, under the assumptions on the ranks of $V_i(End(\mathfrak{C}_{W(k)}))$ and of $W_i(End(\mathfrak{C}_{W(k)}))$, CONN$(\mathfrak{C}, 1)$ has at most 1 element.

Example. We consider a $W(k)$-basis $\{x, y, z\}$ of a free $W(k)$-module $\tilde{M}$ of rank 3 and define: $\tilde{\varphi}(px) = x + py$, $\tilde{\varphi}(p^3y) = z$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(z) = p^3y$. We take $F^0(\tilde{M}) = F^3(\tilde{M}) =< z >$, $F^{-1}(\tilde{M}) = F^{-2}(\tilde{M}) =< x, z >$, and $F^{-3}(\tilde{M}) = \tilde{M}$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{W(k)} := (\tilde{M}, (F^i(\tilde{M})_{i\in S(-3,3)}), \tilde{\varphi})$. Its slopes are 0, 0 and -1, its pseudo-multiplicity of the slope -1 is 1 but there are no a_1, $a_2 \in W(k)$ such that $p\tilde{\varphi}(x + a_1y + a_2z) = x + a_1y + a_2z$. So $W_i(\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{W(k)}) = \{0\}$. We take $\mathfrak{C}$ to be the pull back to Spec(R) of the direct sum $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{W(k)} \oplus W(k)(0)$. $End(\mathfrak{C})$ has the pull back to Spec(R) of $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{W(k)}$ as a direct summand. We get (as in 3.6.18.4 P6-7) that there is only one connection on $M/pM \otimes_k R/pR$, with $M := \tilde{M} \oplus W(k)$, which lifts to a connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} R$ making $\mathfrak{C}$ potentially to be viewed as a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[-3,3]}(R)$, even if $r \neq 0$: it is the connection annihilating M/pM.

We do not know when there is a constant $f(\mathfrak{C}) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $\mathrm{CONN}(\mathfrak{C}, n) = p^{nf(\mathfrak{C})}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Warning: in general there is no such constant $f(\mathfrak{C})$.

C. Similarly we define the number $\mathrm{INT}(\mathfrak{C}, n)$ counting the connections of B which are moreover integrable. It seems to us that we always have $\mathrm{INT}(\mathfrak{C}, n) = N(n, m, f(a), f(a+1), ..., f(b), g_R)$ for some $g_R \in \mathrm{Ker}(N^{-1}_{W(k)}(R) \to N^{-1}_{W(k)}(W(k)))$; warning: we do not know how to prove this using the ideas of A and of the proof of 3.6.18.4.1. However, the mentioned ideas give us (it is an easy exercise, cf. also D below):

Corollary. *Let* $q \in \mathbb{N}$. *If* $\mathrm{CONN}(\mathfrak{C}, n) = p^{nm\sum_{i=a}^{b-1} f(i)f(i+1)}$, *then* $\mathrm{INT}(\mathfrak{C}, n) \geq N(n, m, f(a), f(a + 1), ..., f(b), g_R)$, *for some* $g_R \in N^{-1}_{W(k)}(R)$ *which mod* $(z_1, ..., z_m)^q$ *is the identity element.*

It seems to us (based on the Corollary of B), that in the above Corollary we are actually in the context of a generic situation.

D. 3.6.18.4.2 has a weaker version. We use its notations but replacing the lower right index $[0, 1]$ everywhere by $[a, b]$. For $m \geq 2$ we have two moduli p-adic formal schemes: $M(\mathfrak{C})$ of integrable connections and $M_1(\mathfrak{C})$ of connections; this is a consequence of 3.6.8.9 A1. Always we have an open closed natural embedding

$$M(\mathfrak{C}) \hookrightarrow M_1(\mathfrak{C})$$

of formally étale, p-adic formal schemes over $S^\wedge$. But we can not say anything about their fibres. In particular they can be empty. Also, in case of an object, there is no a priori reason (besides 3.6.8.9.0) to always have all non-empty fibres having a number of elements which is a power of p.

E. In accordance to D, if $M_1(\mathfrak{C})$ or $M(\mathfrak{C})$ is not empty and the special fibre of S is noetherian and connected, then the surjectivity principle of 3.6.18.4.5 is preserved for it. In the same context, the purity result of 3.6.8.1.4, the touching property of 3.6.18.4.3, and the surjectivity principle of 3.6.18.6 b) below are preserved. All these can be read out from their proofs and from 3.6.8.9 A1.

F. The inducing property is a much subtler thing, as it can happen to have $\mathrm{INT}(\mathfrak{C}, n) = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see end of A). We do not know any simple and sufficiently general criterion which could guarantee that $\mathrm{INT}(\mathfrak{C}, n) \geq 1$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3.6.18.5.6. Problem. With the notations of 3.6.18.0-2, if $r \geq 1$, study the behavior of connections (obtained as in 3.6.18.4 and 3.6.18.4.2) w.r.t. (short) exact sequences of objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$. Hint: look at the proofs of 3.6.18.5.2-3.

The similar problem in the context of 3.6.18.5.5 is much harder.

3.6.18.5.7. A variant. Using 3.6.18.5 c) instead of 3.6.18.5 b), we obtain logical variants of 3.6.18.5.1 and 3.6.18.5.3. We state these variants, in a language inspired from 3.6.18.5.5 B and so suitable for future generalizations. Regardless of how Φ_R and k are, we have:

Corollary. *The full subcategory of* $p - FF(\mathrm{Spec}(R))$ *(resp. of* $p - DG(\mathrm{Spec}(R))$*) whose objects are such that either their étale part or their multiplicative type part is trivial, is antiequivalent (via the* $\mathbb{D}$ *functor) to the full subcategory* $s_{pm}(-1) = 0 - \mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ *(resp.* $s_{pm}(-1) = 0 - p - \mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$*) of the category* $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ *(resp. of the category* $p - \mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$*) whose objects are having the pseudo-multiplicity of the slope* -1 *of the End objects of their truncations mod* p *equal to* 0*. Moreover,* $s_{pm}(-1) = 0 - \mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ *(resp.* $s_{pm}(-1) = 0 - p - \mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$*) is equivalent to the similarly defined full subcategory* $s_{pm}(-1) = 0 - \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$ *(resp.* $s_{pm}(-1) = 0 - p - \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$*) of* $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$ *(resp. of* $p - \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$*).*

Proof: The proof of 2.2.1.1 6) shows that any object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ has a strict lift in the sense of 2.2.1 e); so any object of $s_{pm}(-1) = 0 - \mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ is the epimorphism of an isogeny between two objects of $s_{pm}(-1) = 0 - p - \mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$. So, copying the proofs of 3.6.18.5.1 and 3.6.18.5.3, we just have to deal with the part involving morphisms, in the context of p-divisible objects and groups. But over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$, there is no non-trivial homomorphism from a finite, flat, commutative group scheme G_1 of p-power order whose pull back to $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{\mathrm{sh}})$ is connected into a finite, étale, commutative group scheme G_2 of p-power order; moreover, as $p \geq 3$, there is no non-trivial homomorphism $G_2 \to G_1$. So, all morphisms between (p-divisible) objects to be considered are such that (the proof of) 3.6.18.4 c) applies to get that all elements f_1 as in the proof of 3.6.18.5.1 are 0.

3.6.18.5.7 is part of the third place where we need $p \geq 3$.

3.6.18.5.8. An equivalence of categories. Let S be as in 3.6.18.4.2. As in 3.6.18.5.7 we define the categories $s_{pm}(-1) = 0 - \mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(S)$, $s_{pm}(-1) = 0 - p - \mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(S)$, $s_{pm}(-1) = 0 - \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(S)$, $s_{pm}(-1) = 0 - p - \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(S)$. By just combining 3.6.18.4.2, 3.6.18.5 c) and 3.6.18.5.7 we get:

Corollary. *The category* $s_{pm}(-1) = 0 - \mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(S)$ *(resp.* $s_{pm}(-1) = 0 - p - \mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(S)$*) is naturally equivalent to the category* $s_{pm}(-1) = 0 - \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(S)$ *(resp. to* $s_{pm}(-1) = 0 - p - \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(S)$*).*

3.6.18.5.9. A formula. We refer to the morphism e of 3.6.18.5.2, in the case $k = \bar{k}$. Following the proofs of 3.6.18.5.2 and 3.6.18.4 B), we get that the number of lifts of ∇ to connections making $\mathfrak{C}_1$ to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$ is precisely

$$p^{r\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i},$$

where a_i is the pseudo-multiplicity of the slope -1 of $p^i\mathfrak{N}/p^{i+1}\mathfrak{N}$ (in the maximal point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$). Here $\mathfrak{N}$ is as in the proof of 3.6.18.5.2. As $p^{i+1}\mathfrak{N}/p^{i+2}\mathfrak{N}$ is naturally a subobject of $p^i\mathfrak{N}/p^{i+1}\mathfrak{N}$, we have:

$$a_0 \geq a_1 \geq \ldots \geq a_{n-1}.$$

For future references, we state here a combined version of 3.6.1.3 and 3.6.18.4.2.

3.6.18.6. Theorem. *Let* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ *be a regular, formally smooth, affine* $W(k)$*-scheme. We assume that its special fibre is connected and that it is part of a triple* $(\mathrm{Spec}(R_1), \Phi_{R_1}, z)$ *defining a potential-deformation sheet. Let* $\mathfrak{C}_1$ *be a p-divisible object of* $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R_1)$.

a) (the universal global ∇ principle for $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(*)$ for potential-deformation sheet contexts) *The whole of 3.6.1.3 remains true (except the fact that we get connections respecting the G-action which does not make any sense here) in this context, provided we state the things in terms of p-adic formal schemes. In particular, there is a moduli p-adic formal scheme* $M_0(\mathfrak{C}_1)$ *having a geometrically connected special fibre and there is a formally étale, affine morphism* $d_1 : M_0(\mathfrak{C}_1) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_1^{\wedge})$ *such that* $d_1^*(\mathfrak{C}_1)$ *is a p-divisible object of* $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(M_0(\mathfrak{C}_1))$ *and which are universal in the following sense:*

UP *For any formally étale, p-adic formal scheme X over* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1^{\wedge})$ *such that all connected components of its special fibre contain points mapping into the special fibre* z_k *of z and the pull back* $\mathfrak{C}_1^X$ *of* $\mathfrak{C}_1$ *to it is a p-divisible object of* $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$*, there is a unique* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1^{\wedge})$*-morphism* $\ell_X : X \to M_0(\mathfrak{C}_1)$ *(so* $\mathfrak{C}_1^X$ *is* $(d_1 \circ \ell_X)^*\mathfrak{C}_1$*).*

The special fibre of d_1 *is an* $\mathbb{N}$*-pro-étale, affine morphism. Moreover, the point z lifts uniquely to a point* $\mathrm{Spf}(W(k)) \to M_0(\mathfrak{C}_1)$*. If the underlying module of* $\mathfrak{C}_1$ *is free, then* d_1 *is defined naturally by the p-adic completion of an* $\mathbb{N}$*-pro-étale morphism* $\ell_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(Q_1) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$.

b) (the surjectivity principle) *The fibres of* d_1 *over an open subscheme of the special fibre of* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1^{\wedge})$ *containing* z_k*, are not empty.*

The same remains true for objects: we just need to replace the words $\mathbb{N}$*-pro-étale by the word étale (b) becomes trivial). If* R_1/pR_1 *is of finite type over k, then* $M_0(\mathfrak{C}_1)_k$ *is an AG k-scheme.*

The proof of this is entirely the same as the proof of 3.6.1.3 (cf. 3.6.18.4.1 for the integrability part), and so it is omitted. We just mention two things. The localization process mentioned in the proof of 3.6.18.4.2, allows us to assume that we are in the context described in the last sentence of a). $M_0(\mathfrak{C}_1)$ is the (only) connected component of the moduli p-adic formal scheme $M(\mathfrak{C}_1)$ of 3.6.18.4.2 to which y lifts.

3.6.18.7. The relative situation. 3.6.18.4-6 works in the relative context, i.e. when we start with a Shimura filtered σ-crystal or, even more generally, with a suitable filtered σ-$\mathcal{S}$-crystal over k. So here, with the notations of the opening paragraphs of 3.6.18, we assume that there is a smooth subgroup $\tilde{G}$ of $GL(M)$ having connected fibres and the property that there is a family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ of the F^0-filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ defined by F^1 such that $\varphi(t_\alpha) = t_\alpha$, $\forall\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, and $\tilde{G}_{B(k)}$ is the subgroup of $GL(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixing t_α, $\forall\alpha\in\mathcal{J}$. Till the end of 3.6.18.7.1 we assume $k = \bar{k}$. We have:

Theorem. *If $g_R \in \tilde{G}(R)$, then there is an integrable connection ∇ on $M \otimes_{W(k)} R$, which respects the $\tilde{G}$-action (in the similar sense as of 3.6.1.1.1) and makes $\mathfrak{C}$ to be viewed as a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$. Moreover, this p-divisible object is induced from a filtered F-$\mathcal{S}$-crystal of the form $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G}, \tilde{f})$ (it is defined as in 2.2.10).*

Proof: This is a consequence of 3.6.18.4.1 and [Fa2, rm. iii) after th. 10] (to be compared with 3.6.18.3.1), once we show that there is a connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} R$ which makes $\mathfrak{C}$ to be viewed as a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$ and which respects the $\tilde{G}$-action. To see this, following the ideas of the steps 4) to 7), 11) and 12) of 3.6.8, we get that it is enough to show that there is a connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} R/pR$ which makes $\mathfrak{C}/p\mathfrak{C}$ to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$ and which respects the $\tilde{G}$-action (i.e. the liftability property of 3.6.18.5.2 works also in this relative context pertaining to filtered σ-$\mathcal{S}$-crystals: this can be deduced from the way the paragraph below is presented).

We start with a connection $\nabla = \delta_0 + \beta$, where δ_0 is the connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} R/pR$ annihilating M and where

$$\beta \in LIE := \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}) \otimes_{W(k)} \Omega_{(R/pR)/k}.$$

The equations (E_1) and (E_2) of 3.6.1.1.1 2), in this case (as $\Phi(t_\alpha) = t_\alpha$, $\forall\alpha\in\mathcal{J}$), express for each $l \in S(M)$ the equality between two elements of LIE: this can be read out from 3.6.8.6.1-2 (cf. also 2.2.1.2). So we get a system of $m\dim_{W(k)}((\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}))$ equations in the same number of variables; the resulting system of equations is of first type and so 3.6.8.1.2 a) applies. This ends the proof of the Theorem.

Warning: not all connections on $\mathfrak{C}/p\mathfrak{C}$ respect the $\tilde{G}$-action; simple examples can be constructed starting from the generic situation described in 3.6.18.2. However, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have:

3.6.18.7.0. Formulas. *The number of connections on $M \otimes_{W(k)} R/p^nR$ that respect the $\tilde{G}$-action and make $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$ to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$ is p to the power nr times the number $s(-1)$ of slopes -1 of the Lie σ-crystal* $(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}), \varphi)$. *Each such connection lifts in precisely $p^{rs(-1)}$ ways to a connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} R/p^{n+1}R$ respecting the $\tilde{G}$-action and making $\mathfrak{C}/p^{n+1}\mathfrak{C}$ to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$.*

The proof of this is entirely the same as the proof of 3.6.18.4 B).

3.6.18.7.1. Formulas and estimates (the general form). We refer to the filtered σ-$\mathcal{S}$-crystal of 3.6.1.5. Choosing an element $g_R \in \tilde{G}(R)$, let

$$\mathfrak{C} := (\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} R, (F^i(\tilde{M}) \otimes_{W(k)} R)_{i \in S(\tilde{a}+1,\tilde{b})}, g_R(\tilde{\varphi} \otimes 1)).$$

It is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}]}(R)$. We have:

Theorem. a) *If $\tilde{a} = 0$ and $\tilde{b} = 1$, then 3.6.18.7.0 still holds in this general context.*

b) *In general, the number of connections on $M \otimes_{W(k)} R/p^nR$ which respect the $\tilde{G}$-action and make $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$ potentially to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}]}(R)$ is at most equal to $p^{nrs_{pm}(-1)}$, where $s_{pm}(-1)$ is the pseudo-multiplicity of the slope -1 of* $(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}), (F^i(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})))_{i \in SS(\tilde{a},\tilde{b})}, \tilde{\varphi})$.

c) *We assume the filtration of* $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})$ *is in the range* $[-1, 1]$. *Then in b) we have equality.*

Proof: Let $\tilde{d} := m \dim_{W(k)}(F^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})))$. All systems and subsystems of equations to be considered below are in $\tilde{d}$ variables and have coefficients in R/pR. For b) we just need to show: for any $i \in S(0, n-1)$, every connection ∇_0 on $\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} R/p^iR$ respecting the $\tilde{G}$-action and making $\mathfrak{C}/p^i\mathfrak{C}$ potentially to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}]}(R)$, can be lifted in at most $p^{rs_{pm}(-1)}$ ways to a connection on $\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} R/p^{i+1}R$ having the properties:

i) it respects the $\tilde{G}$-action, and

ii) it makes $\mathfrak{C}/p^{i+1}\mathfrak{C}$ potentially to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}]}(R)$.

We first remark that, as in 3.6.8.9, we can "capture" the fact that a connection ∇ on $\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} R/p^{i+1}R$ lifting ∇_0 satisfies i) and ii), by using a system of equations SE of third type. We consider their subsystems which are of first type (see 3.6.8.9 A1). To be more precise, for instance, we can choose an R-basis $\mathcal{B}$ of $\tilde{M}$ such that suitable subbases of it are $W(k)$-bases of its direct summands $F^i(\tilde{M})$, $i \in S(a, b)$. Using it we put SE in a convenient matrix form as in 3.6.8.6 (CMF) (see also 3.6.8.6.1) and we consider just the subsystem defined by entries which correspond to the direct summand $F^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}))$ of $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})$. So the choice of $\mathcal{B}$ gives birth naturally to a direct supplement DS of $F^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}))$ in $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})$ and we "view" our systems of equations "modulo it" (strictly speaking modulo $DS \otimes_{W(k)} \Omega^{\wedge}_{R/W(k)}$). However, in what follows, it is important to allow such a direct supplement to be arbitrary (i.e. to vary) and in particular, not necessarily to be defined by a $W(k)$-basis of $\tilde{M}$; let SDS be the set of such DS's. Let π_{DS} be the reduction mod p of the projector of $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})$ on $F^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}))$ having DS as its kernel. So for any $i \in S(0, n-1)$ and for each such $DS \in SDS$ we obtain (via the projection of $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M}) \otimes_{W(k)} \Omega^{\wedge}_{R/W(k)}$ on $F^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \otimes_{W(k)} \Omega^{\wedge}_{R/W(k)}$ "along" $DS \otimes_{W(k)} \Omega^{\wedge}_{R/W(k)}$) a subsystem $SS_{DS}(i)$ of SE formed by precisely $\tilde{d}$ equations; it is of first type and it is uniquely determined up to equivalence.

From 3.6.8.9 A1 and 3.6.8.1.2 c), as R/pR is strictly henselian, we get that the number SOL_{DS} of solutions of $SS_{DS}(i)$ is independent on i and n; so in what follows we just write SS_{DS} instead of $SS_{DS}(i)$. Obviously, the number of lifts of ∇_0 as above (i.e. which have properties i) and ii)) is at most $p^{SOL_{DS}}$.

So, as these subsystems of equations are of first type, we can follow entirely the proof of 3.6.18.4 B) (see P1 to P3 and P7 of it): SOL_{DS} is equal to p to the power r times the pseudo-multiplicity $s_{pm}^{DS}(-1)$ of the slope -1 of $(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}), (F^i(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})))_{i\in SS(\tilde{a},\tilde{b})}, \tilde{\varphi})$ "along DS". In other words, $s_{pm}^{DS}(-1)$ is the dimension of the $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space

$$V_{-1}^{DS}(\mathfrak{C}) := \{x \in F^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}))/pF^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})) | \pi_{DS} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{-1}(x) = x\},$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_{-1}$ is (the reduction mod p of) the σ-linear map $F^{-1}(\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})) \to \mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})$ defined as in 2.2.1 c) by $p\tilde{\varphi}$ (see (ENDFR) of 2.2.4 B). As we are allowed to vary DS, we jut need to remark:

$$s_{pm}(-1) = \min\{s_{pm}^{DS}(-1) | DS \in SDS\}. \tag{36}$$

To prove this formula, let $DS_0 \in SSD$ be such that $s_{pm}^{DS_0}(-1)$ attains the above minimum. Let $\{e_1, ..., e_{\tilde{q}}\}$ be an $\mathbb{F}_p$-basis of $V_{-1}^{DS_0}(\mathfrak{C})$, and let $\{e_1, ..., e_{\dim_{W(k)}(F^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})))}\}$ be a k-basis of $F^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}))/pF^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}))$ w.r.t. which $\pi_{DS_0} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{-1}$ has an upper triangular form. Obviously, $s_{pm}^{DS_0}(-1) = \tilde{q} \geq s_{pm}(-1)$. If $\tilde{q} > s_{pm}(-1)$, then there is $j \in S(1, \tilde{q})$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}_{-1}(e_j) = e_j + f_j$, with $f_j \in DS_0$ a non-zero element. We can assume $j = 1$. Let $\{f_1, ..., f_{\dim_{W(k)}(\tilde{M})^2 - \dim_{W(k)}(F^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})))}\}$ be a k-basis of DS_0. Replacing DS_0 by another

$$DS_1 \in SDS$$

such that π_{DS_1} is the sum of π_{DS_0} with the k-linear endomorphism of $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M}/p\tilde{M})$ which annihilates all e_u's ($u \in S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(F^{-1}(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}))))$) and all f_s's ($s \in S(2, \dim_{W(k)}(\tilde{M}))$) and which takes f_1 into $-e_1$, we get $s_{pm}^{DS_1}(-1) \leq -1 + s_{pm}^{DS_0}(-1)$. Contradiction. This proves (36), and so b).

For a) and c), let LIE be as in 3.6.18.7. To show that equality holds if $\tilde{a} = 0$ and $\tilde{b} = 1$, we just have to show that in fact the systems of equations of third type we come across are in fact of first type.

Let N_0 be the Zariski closure of the connected component of the origin of the subgroup of $GL(\tilde{M}[\frac{1}{p}])$ normalizing $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})[\frac{1}{p}]$ in $GL(\tilde{M})$. So N_0 normalizes $\tilde{G}$. The canonical split cocharacter $\tilde{\mu} : \mathbb{G}_m \to GL(\tilde{M})$ of $(\tilde{M}, F^1(\tilde{M}), \tilde{\varphi})$ factors through N_0, cf. our assumptions of 3.6.1.5. So we can write $\tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{a}\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{p})$, with $\tilde{a}$ as a σ-linear automorphism of $\tilde{M}$, normalizing $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})$. But 3.6.8.6.1-2 can be entirely adapted to this "normalizing" context, so that 3.6.8.6.1-2 apply as in 3.6.18.7: the systems of equations we get are expressing the equality between two elements of LIE and so, as $\mathfrak{C}$ is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(W(k))$, they are of first type. This proves a).

The proof of c) is entirely the same as the proof of a). We just have to remark that (GT) of 3.6.1.1 4) represents no restrictions on β (i.e. writing $\nabla = \nabla^0 + \beta$, with ∇^0 a fixed connection on $\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} R/p^{n+1}R$ lifting ∇_0, $\beta \in p^m\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}) \otimes_{W(k)} \Omega^{\wedge}_{R/W(k)}/p^{m+1}\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}) \otimes_{W(k)} \Omega^{\wedge}_{R/W(k)} \tilde{\to} LIE$ involves as many coefficients –viewed as elements of R/pR– as $\dim_{R/pR}(LIE)$); so the fact that ∇ is a connection on $\mathfrak{C}/p^{n+1}\mathfrak{C}$ gets translated in: these coefficients are solutions of a quasi Artin–Schreier system of equations in as many variables as $\dim_{R/pR}(LIE)$. This ends the proof.

We now combine 3.6.18.7.1 with a variant of 3.6.18.5.9. Let a, b and R have the same significance as in 3.6.18.5.5. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be an arbitrary object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R)[p^n]$. Let

$$s_{pm}(-1, \mathfrak{C})$$

be the pseudo-multiplicity of the slope -1 of

$$\oplus_{i=0}^{n-1} p^i End(\mathfrak{C})/p^{i+1}End(\mathfrak{C}).$$

3.6.18.7.1.1. Corollary. *a) We assume $(a, b) = (0, 1)$. The number of connections on $\mathfrak{C}$ is precisely $p^{rs_{pm}(-1,\mathfrak{C})}$.*

b) We do not make any assumption on a and b. The number of connections on $\mathfrak{C}$ is at most $p^{rs_{pm}(-1,\mathfrak{C})}$.

a) follows by combining the part of the proof of 3.6.18.4.2 referring to extra features with the proof of 3.6.18.7.1. b) follows from the proof of 3.6.18.7.1 b). The only difference from this proof: when we start lifting connections "pertaining to" $End(\mathfrak{C})/p^iEnd(\mathfrak{C})$ to connections "pertaining to" $End(\mathfrak{C})/p^{i+1}End(\mathfrak{C})$ (see the proof of 3.6.18.4.2), we come across systems of equations in a potentially less number of variables and so we are dealing with the pseudo-multiplicity of the slope -1 of $p^iEnd(\mathfrak{C})/p^{i+1}End(\mathfrak{C})$ (and not just of $End(\mathfrak{C})/pEnd(\mathfrak{C})$).

3.6.18.7.2. Relative forms of 3.6.18.4.6. We come back to the starting setting of 3.6.18.7. It is worth detailing what 3.6.18.4.6 becomes in the relative context. There are two variants: one modeled on the faithful representation ρ of $\tilde{G}_k$ on M/pM and another one modeled on $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G})$. We first detail the first one and then we hint the idea of the second one.

Let $\tilde{m} := \dim_{W(k)}(M)$. Let $\bar{R}$ be a k-algebra such that $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$ is connected. In the proof of 3.6.18.4.6 C we came across Artin–Schreier systems of equations in n variables ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) with coefficients in $\bar{R}$, whose $n \times n$ matrix A_L is invertible (i.e. $A_L \in GL_n(\bar{R})$). If now $\tilde{m} = n$ and $A_L \in \tilde{G}_k(\bar{R})$ (under the representation ρ), then we say that the Artin–Schreier system (*) of equations of 3.6.18.4.6 A is modeled on ρ, and any open closed subscheme of the $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$-scheme defined by it is said to be a crystalline elementary ρ-admissible étale cover of $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$. As in 3.6.18.4.6 we define crystalline ρ-admissible étale covers of $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$, as well as Galois groups

$$\Pi^{\rho-AS}_{\tilde{m},m}$$

and

$$\Pi_{\tilde{m}}^{\rho-AS},$$

by using only Artin–Schreier systems of equations which are modeled on ρ. Here $m \in \mathbb{N}$ has the same role as in 3.6.18.4.6 B, i.e. in the definition of $\Pi_{\tilde{m},m}^{\rho-AS}$ we consider sequences of crystalline ρ-admissible étale covers of length at most m. Warning: all Artin–Schreier systems of equations modeled on ρ are in precisely $\tilde{m}$ variables. We refer to $\Pi_{\tilde{m}}^{\rho-AS}$ (resp. to $\Pi_{\tilde{m},m}^{\rho-AS}$) as the ρ (resp. as the level m ρ) Artin–Schreier fundamental group of $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$ (the lower right index $\tilde{m}$ just has the role of keeping track of the dimension of the faithful representation ρ). Similarly, following the pattern of 3.6.18.4.6, we can define (using in 3.6.18.4.6 D just matrices A_i's and B_{ij}'s which are defined by points of $\tilde{G}_k$) for any separated, connected k-scheme X_p:

– a ρ Galois–Artin–Schreier fundamental group $\Pi_{\tilde{m}}^{\rho-GAS}(X_p)$;

– a level m ρ Galois–Artin–Schreier fundamental group $\Pi_{\tilde{m},m}^{\rho-GAS}(X_p)$.

The most interesting cases are when ρ is an irreducible representation. For instance, we would like to understand the case when ρ is the spinorial (resp. semi-spinorial) representation of a split semisimple group over k of B_l (resp. of D_l) Lie type, with $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $l \geq 3$ (resp. $l \geq 4$). As in 3.6.18.6 F, we define the notion of (weakly) ρ-simply connectivity.

The second variant imitates the first variant as well as the case described in the equations (3) and (4) of 3.6.8; in other words, we require that some specific entries of A_L are 0, without requiring that A_L is invertible or that it defines an element of $\tilde{G}_k$. We intend to come back in a future paper to detail this second variant, as we think it is equally important as the first one.

3.6.18.7.3. The relative moduli principle. Let X be a regular, formally smooth, faithfully flat $W(k)$-scheme equipped with a Frobenius lift Φ_X and having a connected special fibre. We consider a p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(X)$ and a family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ of the essential tensor algebra of the underlying $\mathcal{O}_{X^\wedge}$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathfrak{C}$ such that there is an open, affine cover of X with the property that for any member $U = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ of it whose special fibre is non-empty, denoting by Φ_U the Frobenius lift of $U^\wedge$ naturally defined by Φ_X and denoting by $(M_R, (F^i(M_R))_{i\in S(a,b)}, \Phi_{M_R})$ the pull back of $\mathfrak{C}$ to $U^\wedge$ (so M_R is a projective $R^\wedge$-module), the mentioned sections become sections (we keep the same notation) of $\mathcal{T}(M_R)$ satisfying:

i) they are in the F^0-filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M_R)$ defined by $(F^i(M_R))_{i\in S(a,b)}$ and are fixed by Φ_{M_R};

ii) the Zariski closure of the subgroup of $GL(M_R[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixing t_α, $\forall\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, in $GL(M_R)$ is a reductive group $\tilde{G}_R$ over $R^\wedge$.

The intersection $F^i(\mathrm{Lie}(G_R)) := F^i(\mathrm{End}(M_R)) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G_R)$ is a direct summand of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_R)$, $i = \overline{0,1}$. The argument for this is entirely the same as the one of the first paragraph of the proof of 2.2.20.1 9).

Using Teichmüller lifts $\mathrm{Spec}(W(\tilde{k})) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R^\wedge)$, with $\tilde{k}$ a perfect field containing k, and applying 2.2.1.2 to the context of pull backs of $(\mathfrak{C}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ via them, we get that (the pull back) of $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_R))$ through eacg geometric point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$ or dominating $\mathrm{Spec}(R^\wedge[\frac{1}{p}])$ is the Lie subalgebra of a parabolic subgroup of (the corresponding pull backs of G_R). Even better, we have:

A. Claim. $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_R))$ *is the Lie algebra of a uniquely determined parabolic subgroup* P_R *of* G_R. $F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G_R))$ *is the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical of* P_R.

Proof: The second part follows from the first part, via pull backs through Teichmüller lifts as above. The uniqueness of P_R follows from the Fact of 2.2.11.1.

To see that P_R exists, we start remarking that we have a natural identification

$$\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}) = \{x \in \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Lie}(G_R)) | p^n x \in \mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{Lie}(G_R)), \text{for some}\, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

So $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ can be interpreted as well as the underlying $R^\wedge$-module of the kernel (see 2.2.1.1 6)) of a morphism between p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}(R)$. So we similarly get direct summands $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_R^{\mathrm{ad}}))$ and $F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G_R^{\mathrm{ad}}))$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_R^{\mathrm{ad}})$. As above, in each geometric point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ factoring trough a Teichmüller lift, $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_R^{\mathrm{ad}}))$ becomes the Lie algebra of a parabolic subgroup of (the corresponding pull back of G_R^{ad}) whose unipotent radical has as its Lie algebra the corresponding pull back of $F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G_R^{\mathrm{ad}}))$; in particular, this applies to all geometric points of $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$.

As $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_R^{\mathrm{ad}}))$ is a direct summand of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_R^{\mathrm{ad}})$, we can speak about the subgroup N of G_R^{ad} normalizing it. We now check that $N_{R/pR}$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G_{R/pR}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ having $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_R^{\mathrm{ad}}))/pF^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_R^{\mathrm{ad}}))$ as its Lie algebra.

We first assume $R = W(k)$. We consider a maximal torus $T_{W(k)}$ of the parabolic subgroup PS of $G_{W(k)}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ whose Lie algebra is $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)}^{\mathrm{ad}}))$. It acts on $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ via inner conjugation. Let F^{-1} be the opposite of $F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)}^{\mathrm{ad}}))$ w.r.t. this action. As we are in an adjoint context, $T_{W(k)}$ acts on F^{-1} through characters which are not multiples by elements of $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ of characters of $T_{W(k)}$; so no element of F^{-1}/pF^{-1} can take $\mathrm{Lie}(T_k)$ into $\mathrm{Lie}(PS_k)$. So $\mathrm{Lie}(N_k) = \mathrm{Lie}(PS_k)$. As N_k contains PS_k, we have (see Fact of 2.2.11.1) $N_k = PS_k$.

We come back to the general R. Using Teichmüller lifts we get that all fibres of $N_{R/pR}$ are parabolic subgroups and so are smooth and connected; they also have the same dimension. We get: $N_{R/pR}$ is smooth (using translations, it is enough to check that $N_{R/pR}$ is smooth in the origins of its fibres; but the argument for this is the same as the one of the second paragraph after [Va2, Claim 1 of p. 463]) and so a parabolic subgroup of $G_{R/pR}$. Based on this on the fact that each point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ specializes to a point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$, we get that all fibres of N are smooth and have the same dimension. This implies: N is smooth in all points of the connected component of the origin of any one of its fibres. So, as each point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ specializes to a point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$, we get that all such fibres are connected and so N is smooth. Using Teichmüller lifts, we get that its Lie algebra is $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_R^{\mathrm{ad}}))$.

As N is a smooth subgroup of G_R^{ad}, as $N_{R/pR}$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G_{R/pR}$, and as each point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ specializes to a point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$, N is a parabolic subgroup of G_R^{ad}. Argument: we can assume that N contains a maximal torus T_R of G_R^{ad} which is split, cf. [SGA3, Vol. III, 6.1 of p. 32]; as the set of characters of the action of T_R on $\mathrm{Lie}(N)$ can be read out mod p, all fibres of $N_{R[\frac{1}{p}]}$ are –cf. [Bo2, 7.1, 14.17-18 and 11.16]– parabolic subgroups of corresponding fibres of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{R[\frac{1}{p}]}$. So the inverse image of N under the natural epimorphism $G_R \twoheadrightarrow G_R^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is a parabolic subgroup P_R of G_R. Using Teichmüller lifts, we get: its Lie algebra is $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_R))$. This ends the proof.

Let now $\mathcal{G}$ be the reductive group over $X^\wedge$ obtained by gluing naturally these reductive groups G_R. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the parabolic subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ obtained by gluing naturally these parabolic subgroups P_R. Here reductive group (resp. parabolic subgroup) is understood in the following sense: $\mathcal{G}$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}$) is a p-adic formal group scheme (resp. formal subgroup scheme of $\mathcal{G}$) over $X^\wedge$ such that $\mathcal{G}_{W_m(k)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_{W_m(k)}$) is a reductive group scheme over $X_{W_m(k)}$ (resp. is a parabolic subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_{W_m(k)}$), $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma. *Locally in the étale topology of* $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ *the filtration* $(F^i(M_R))_{i\in S(a,b)}$ *of* M_R *is defined (as in 2.2.8 c')) by a cocharacter* μ_R *of* G_R*.*

Proof: We can assume P_R is split, cf. [SGA3, Vol. III, 6.1 of p. 32]. Let $m_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k_1)) \to X$ be a Teichmüller lift whose special fibre is a dominant geometric point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$; so m_1 is as well a dominant morphisms. The filtration of $M_R \otimes_R W(k_1)$ is defined (as in 2.2.8 c')) by a cocharacter of $\tilde{P}_{W(k_1)}$. As we can replace such a cocharacter by any $P(W(k_1))$-conjugate of it, we can assume that it is obtained from a cocharacter μ_R of P_R by pull back. If $M_R = \oplus_{i\in S(a,b)} \tilde{F}_R^i$ is the direct sum decomposition defined (as in 2.2.8 c')) by μ_R, then we have $F_R^i = \oplus_{j=i}^b \tilde{F}^j(M_R)$, as this holds after tensorization with $W(k_1)$. This ends the proof.

Proposition. *There is an* $\mathbb{N}$*-pro-étale morphism* $f_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(R_1) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$*, surjective mod p, and such that there is a connection* δ_{R_1} *on* $M_R \otimes_{R^\wedge} R_1^\wedge$ *annihilating* t_α*,* $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$*.*

Proof: We can assume μ_R exists. We write $\Phi_{M_R} = g_R\mu_R(\frac{1}{p})$, with g_R a Φ_U-linear automorphism of M_R fixing t_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. We can assume M_R is a free $R^\wedge$-module. The equation

$$\Phi_{M_R}(x) = x, \tag{EQ}$$

with $x \in M_R$, mod p defines a system of equations of first type with coefficients in R/pR whose matrix is invertible. By passing (as usual) from things mod p^m to things mod p^{m+1}, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we come across again on a system of equations of first type whose matrix is not changed. So from end of 3.6.8.1.0 and from 3.6.8.1.2 a) (to be compared with the proof of 3.6.1.3) we deduce the existence of f_1 of the type mentioned such that the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of solutions of (EQ) in $M_R \otimes_{R^\wedge} R_1^\wedge$ is such that the natural $R_1^\wedge$-linear map $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R_1^\wedge \to M_R \otimes_{R^\wedge} R_1^\wedge$ is an isomorphism. So $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ defines a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure of

$M_R \otimes_{R^\wedge} R_1^\wedge$; with respect to it we have $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$, $\forall \in \mathcal{J}$. So we can take as δ_{R_1} the connection on $M_R \otimes_{R^\wedge} R_1^\wedge$ annihilating $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. This ends the proof.

B. Definitions. We say a connection ∇ on $\mathcal{F}$ respects the $\mathcal{G}$-action, if $\nabla(t_\alpha) = 0$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. We say a connection on $\mathcal{F}/p^n\mathcal{F}$ making $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$ potentially to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[a,b]}(X)$ respects the $\mathcal{G}$-action, if locally in the $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale topology of X, it lifts to a connection on $\mathcal{F}$ which makes $\mathfrak{C}$ potentially to be viewed as a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[a,b]}(X)$ and which respects the $\mathcal{G}$-action. Similarly, we define connections respecting the $\mathcal{G}$-action, for pull backs of $\mathfrak{C}$ or of $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$.

C. Theorem (the relative form of the moduli principle). *We assume the filtration of* $\mathrm{Lie}(G_R)$ *is in the range* $[-1, 1]$. *Then there is a moduli p-adic formal scheme* $M_\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})$ *(resp.* $M_\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$*) of connections on pull backs of* $\mathcal{F}/p^n\mathcal{F}$ *(resp. of* $\mathcal{F}$*) through formally étale, p-adic formal schemes over* $X^\wedge$*, which make the extension of* $\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C}$ *(resp. of* $\mathfrak{C}$*) to such a p-adic formal scheme* X_1 *over* $X^\wedge$ *potentially to be viewed as an object (resp. as a p-divisible object) of* $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(X_1)$ *and which respect the* $\mathcal{G}$*-action. Moreover, we have:*

a) $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, *the* $X_{W_m(k)}$*-scheme* $M_\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})_{W_m(k)}$ *(resp.* $M_\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})_{W_m(k)}$*) is étale and affine (resp. is* $\mathbb{N}$*-pro-étale and affine);*

b) *Locally in the étale topology of* X_k*, the special fibre of* $M_\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})$ *(resp. of* $M_\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$*) is obtained through a finite sequence (resp. an infinite sequence indexed by* $\mathbb{N}$*) of systems of equations of first type defined using linear homogeneous forms with coefficients in (the ring of global sections of the original –i.e. before localization–)* X_k*;*

c) *The fibres of* $M_\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})_k$ *(resp. of* $M_\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})_k$*) over points with values in fields of* X_k *are non-empty. The fibres of* $M_\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})_k$ *are étale schemes over fields defined by algebras of dimension (as vector spaces over these fields) a non-negative, integral power of p.*

Proof: Let $m : X_1 \to X$ be a formally étale morphism, with X_1 a p-adic formal scheme. We first assume that $(a, b) = (0, 1)$. The fact that a connection on $\mathcal{M} := (m^\wedge) * (\mathcal{F})$ respects the $\mathcal{G}$-action, is expressed through some algebraic equations. So as $M_\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ (resp. as $M_\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})$) we can take the maximal open closed, formal subscheme of the moduli p-adic formal scheme $M(\mathfrak{C})$ (resp. $M(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})$) constructed in 3.6.18.4.2, over which we get connections respecting the $\mathcal{G}$-action (resp. which is the image of $M_\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{C})$ in $M(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})$ under the natural $X^\wedge$-morphism $M(\mathfrak{C}) \to M(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})$). This takes care of the existence part, as well as of a).

b) follows from 3.6.18.7: in the mentioned place we used, cf. 3.6.8.6.1 (and end of 3.6.18.7.1), the fact that the direct sum decomposition $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$ is defined (as in 2.2.8 c)) by a cocharacter of $\tilde{G}$ and that δ_0 exists (i.e. and that we can introduce β). But working in the $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale topology of $X^\wedge$, the Lemma and the Proposition of A take care of the existence of a corresponding cocharacter μ_R of G_R and respectively of a connection δ_{R_1} substituting δ_0.

c) results from b) (cf. 3.6.8.1 applied in the context of geometric points of X_k). This handles the case $(a, b) = (0, 1)$.

The general case is entirely the same. The only difference comes from the fact that we can not appeal to 3.6.18.4.2 and so we have to argue slightly differently the existence of $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})$ (resp. of $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{C})$). Due to the universal property implicit in the moduli aspect of $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})$ (resp. of $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{C})$) and due to the affineness part of a), using descent we can work locally in the étale (resp. $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale) topology of X. So we can assume that $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is affine, that the filtration of $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by cocharacters of $\mathcal{G}$, that $\Omega_{X_k/k}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}$-sheaf, that $\mathcal{F}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{X^\wedge}$-sheaf and that we have –to be compared with the last proof of A– a $\mathbb{Z}_p/p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure (resp. $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure) of $\mathcal{F}/p^n\mathcal{F}$ (resp. of $\mathcal{F}$) formed by elements fixed by Φ_{M_R}. Once we have this, the proof of 3.6.18.7.1 c) implies that we can construct $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})$ (resp. of $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{C})$) following the pattern of 3.6.18.4.2:

– defining $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{C}/\mathfrak{C})$ to be X, $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})_k$ is obtained from $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{C}/p^{n-1}\mathfrak{C})_k$ using a quasi Artin–Schreier system of equations;

– $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})$ is the p-adic completion of the affine, étale $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{C}/p^{n-1}\mathfrak{C})$-scheme whose special fibre is the $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{C}/p^{n-1}\mathfrak{C})_k$-scheme $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})_k$;

– $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{C})$ is the p-adic completion of the $\mathbb{N}$-projective limit of $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})$'s.

This ends the proof.

3.6.18.7.4. Comments. 1) We do not know how to compute the number of connected components of $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})$.

2) We do not know when in 3.6.18.7.3 b) we can work just in the Zariski topology of X_k.

3) We do not know when $M_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})$ is X-algebraizable, i.e. is obtained from an étale X-scheme X_1 by taking the p-adic completion. If such an X_1 exists, then we have a universal property for the morphism $X_1 \to X$ involving formally étale X-schemes, similar to the one of iii) of 3.6.1.3 1).

4) We have variants of 3.6.18.7.3 (and of 1) to 3)): for instance, we allow X to be a p-adic formal scheme or we work in the context of 3.6.18.7.0 or of 3.6.18.7.1 a) (the existence of $\mathcal{P}$ in the last two contexts can be deduced as well from [Fa2, rm. iii) after th. 10]).

Till end of 3.6.18.10 we work with a regular, formally smooth, affine $W(k)$-scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ such that $Y_1 := \mathrm{Spec}(R_1/pR_1)$ is a connected scheme. We fix a Frobenius lift Φ_{R_1} of $R_1^\wedge$. Let a, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $a \leq b$. 3.6.18.8-10 below can be stated as well in a non a priori affine context; however, as their essence "boils down" to an affine context, they are stated just in this last context. The following two theorems are consequences of 3.6.18.7.1.1 b). However, for the sake of future references and due to their importance, they are as well stated separately, with arguments.

3.6.18.8. Theorem (the universal uniqueness principle). *We assume there is a maximal ideal m_{R_1} of R_1 such that R_1/m_{R_1} is a finite field and the resulting Frobenius lift of the completion $\widehat{R_1}$ of R_1 w.r.t. is of essentially additive type. Then for any (p-divisible) object $\mathfrak{C}_1$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R_1)$, there is at most one connection on it. So $a-p-\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}^{\nabla}(R_1)$ is a full subcategory of $a-p-\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R_1)$.*

The proof of the first part of the Theorem is the same as the proof of 3.6.1.2 (cf. also the extra features of the proof of 3.6.18.4.2): we work inductively modulo p^n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The difference from 3.6.8 6) is that we need to apply the same upper triangular trick used in 3.6.18.4 P2 (for the resulting Frobenius lift of $\widehat{R_1}$) for "separating" the variables. The case of p-divisible objects is also a consequence of Corollary of 3.6.18.5.5 B. As in the proof of 3.6.18.5.1 we get that $a - p - \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,b]}(R_1)$ is a full subcategory of $a - p - \mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R_1)$.

3.6.18.8.1. Theorem (the universal slope type uniqueness principle). *Let $m_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k_1)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ be a Φ_{R_1}-Teichmüller lift, with k_1 an algebraic field extension of k.*

a) *Let $\mathfrak{C}_1$ be a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R_1)$ such that $m_1^*(\mathfrak{C}_1)$ does not have slope 0 or slope 1. Then for any object (or p-divisible object) $\mathfrak{C}_1'$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R_1)$ whose truncation mod p is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{C}_1/p\mathfrak{C}_1$, there is at most one connection on it.*

b) *Let $\mathfrak{C}_1$ be a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R_1)$ such that $End(m_1^*(\mathfrak{C}_1))$ has the pseudo-multiplicity of the slope -1 equal to 0. Then for any object (or p-divisible object) $\mathfrak{C}_1'$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R_1)$ whose truncation mod p is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{C}_1/p\mathfrak{C}_1$, there is at most one connection on it.*

Proof: The part of a) involving p-divisible objects is a consequence of the formula of 3.6.18.4 B). It is convenient to view the part of a) referring to objects as a particular case of b). Now b) is a consequence of 3.6.8.9 (cf. 3.6.18.5.5) and of the proofs of 3.6.18.4 B) and of 3.6.18.4.2. In other words, based on 3.6.18.5.5 D, the proofs of 3.6.18.4 B) and of 3.6.18.4.2 can be entirely adapted to the context of $\mathfrak{C}_1$. We just need to remark: the fact that $End(m_1^*(\mathfrak{C}_1))$ has the pseudo-multiplicity of the slope -1 equal to 0, can be read out from its truncation $\mathcal{T}$ mod p; so what we know for $\mathcal{T}$ remains true for all its subobjects. This proves the Theorem.

3.6.18.8.2. Examples. We refer to 3.6.18.6. As usual we can speak about the p-rank of $\mathfrak{C}_1/p\mathfrak{C}_1$ at any maximal (or geometric) point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1/pR_1)$. The p-rank is 0 in such a point y iff the F-crystal we get (by pulling back $\mathfrak{C}_1$ via a Teichmüller lift of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ whose special fibre factors through y) does not have slope 0. So if $\mathfrak{C}_1$ has p-rank 0 w.r.t. any maximal point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1/pR_1)$, then in the construction of $M(\mathfrak{C}_1)_k$ we do not need to pass to $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale covers (or in the case of an object to étale covers): we have $M_0(\mathfrak{C}_1) = M(\mathfrak{C}_1) = \mathrm{Spf}(R_1^\wedge)$, i.e. d_1 mod p is an isomorphism (cf. 3.6.18.5.8).

Similarly, we can "detect" the multiplicity of the slope 1 of $\mathfrak{C}$ at a geometric point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1/pR_1)$ by just looking at $\mathfrak{C}_1/p\mathfrak{C}_1$: it is equal to the p-rank of $(\mathfrak{C}/p\mathfrak{C})^*(1)$ at the point. So, similarly, if $\mathfrak{C}_1$ has slope 1 with multiplicity 0 at any maximal point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1/pR_1)$, then $M(\mathfrak{C}_1) = \mathrm{Spf}(R_1^\wedge)$.

3.6.18.8.3. Some variants. 3.6.1.2-3 remain true for any Frobenius lift Φ_{R_j} of $R_j^\wedge$ such that $\Phi_{\hat{R}_j^0}$ is of essentially additive type (cf. 3.6.18.4 B); see also 3.6.18.5.1 and 3.4.18.7.0). This gives us more freedom. To exemplify it, we assume we are in a context in which 3.6.8.4 5) applies, i.e. we automatically have $\mathrm{Spec}(Q_{0,n}/pQ_{0,n}) = \mathcal{S}^n$, regardless of the choices involved; for instance, this is the case if the G-ordinary type defined by

(M,φ,G) has no slope 0 or no slope 1, as in this case $\mathcal{S}^n=\mathrm{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$, $\forall n\in\mathbb{N}$ (cf. 3.6.18.8.2). In such a context, we can always adjust the things (in a way similar to the algebraization process of the proof of 3.6.18.4.1) so that in 3.6.2 we "end up" over k, i.e. the $W(k)$-morphisms of 3.6.2.1 b) and c) do exist without the three assumptions of the beginning paragraph of 3.6.2.1 (that $k=\bar{k}$, etc.).

For an arbitrary Frobenius lift Φ_{R_j} of $R_j^\wedge$, we lose the uniqueness part, so 3.6.1.2-3 have to be modified to a significant extend (for instance not all connections we get respect the G-action, $\ell_{j,n}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_k)$ is not necessarily $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$, etc.). We do not stop to rewrite what remains true out of 3.6.1.2-3, in this general context. We just point out that we still have a universal property w.r.t. connections respecting the G-action (cf. 3.6.18.7.3 C), but the corresponding p-adically complete moduli $R_j^\wedge$-schemes, can (theoretically) have non-connected special fibres.

Moreover, 3.6.18.6 has a similar version, in which we work with an arbitrary Frobenius lift Φ_{R_1} and we are not in the context of a potential-deformation sheet.

3.6.18.9. Global estimates. We assume that for any reduced, closed subscheme of Y_1, its $\bar{k}$-valued points are dense in it. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R_1)$. We denote by $\nabla(\mathfrak{C})$ the number of connections on $\mathfrak{C}$.

Let $y\in Y_1(\bar{k})$. Let $r_y\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$ be the rank (see 3.6.18.1) of the Frobenius lift of the completion of R_1 w.r.t. its maximal ideal defining the point of Y_1 through which y factors, defined naturally by Φ_{R_1}.

Claim. *The values of r_y's achieve a stratification $\mathcal{S}$ of Y_k in reduced, locally closed subschemes in such a way that under specialization of strata, their values decrease. $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies the purity property.*

Proof: We consider a p-divisible group over R_1 which is a direct sum of $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ and of its dual. We apply 3.6.18.4.2 in the context of the p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(R_1)$ associated to it. So the Claim follows from 3.6.18.4 B) and 3.6.8.1.3-4.

As in 3.6.18.1 we associate to $\mathfrak{C}/p\mathfrak{C}$ (and y) numbers $s_y(0),s_y(1)\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. Let $n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$ be the smallest number such that p^n annihilates $\mathfrak{C}$. Let

$$d_y(\mathfrak{C}):=nr_ys_y(0)s_y(1).$$

From 3.6.18.4 B) and the extra features of the proof of 3.6.18.4.2 we get:

$$(EST1)\qquad \nabla(\mathfrak{C})\le min\{d_y(\mathfrak{C})|y\in Y_1(\bar{k})\}.$$

We have:

Fact. *If the number $r_ys_y(0)s_y(1)$ does not depend on $y\in Y_1(\bar{k})$ and if the underlying module of $\mathfrak{C}$ is a projective R_1/p^nR_1-module, then the special fibre of the moduli formal scheme $M(\mathfrak{C})$ (of 3.6.18.4.2) is an étale cover of Y_1. Moreover, if $r_ys_y(0)s_y(1)\neq 0$, then each one of the numbers r_y, $s_y(0)$ and respectively $s_y(1)$ independently do not depend on $y\in Y_1(\bar{k})$.*

Proof: The first part follows from 3.6.18.4 B), 3.6.18.4.2, 3.6.8.1.2 a) and standard properties on the number of points of geometric fibres of an étale, affine morphism (see [EGA IV, 15.5.9]). The second part follows using specialization and generic arguments (cf. the above Claim for r_y's). This ends the proof.

Let now $\mathfrak{C}$ be an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R_1)$. Let n be as above. Let $s^y_{pm}(-1,\mathfrak{C})$ be the pseudo-multiplicity of the slope -1 of the following object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R_1)$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p^i End(\mathfrak{C})/p^{i+1}End(\mathfrak{C}).$$

From 3.6.18.7.1.1 b) we get

$$(EST2) \qquad\qquad \log_p(\nabla(\mathfrak{C})) \le min\{r_y s^y_{pm}(-1,\mathfrak{C}) | y \in Y_1(\bar{k})\}.$$

From 3.6.18.7.1.1 a) and loc. cit. we also get:

Corollary. *We assume $b = a + 1 = 1$. The products $r_y s^y_{pm}(-1,\mathfrak{C})$ do not depend on $y \in Y_1(\bar{k})$ iff the special fibre of the moduli formal scheme $M(\mathfrak{C})$ (of 3.6.18.4.2) is an étale cover of Y_1.*

3.6.18.10. *p*-divisible objects of bounded ∇-deviations. We assume Y_1 has the *ALP* property. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R_1)$. We say $\mathfrak{C}$ is of bounded (resp. of totally bounded) ∇-deviation if at least one connected component (resp. if all connected components) of the special fibre of $M(\mathfrak{C})$ is (resp. are) of finite type over Y_1. The interesting cases are when Y_1 is of finite type over k or when $R_1 = W(k)[[z_1, ..., z_m]]$, with $k \neq \bar{k}$. Plenty of examples of p-divisible objects of bounded ∇-deviation can be obtained following the strategy of modifications of 3.6.8.9.1. Similarly, using 3.6.18.8-9, we get plenty of examples of p-divisible objects of totally bounded ∇-deviation; for instance, $\mathfrak{C}$ is of totally bounded deviation if $\mathfrak{C}/p\mathfrak{C}$ has p-rank 0 at all $\bar{k}$-valued point of Y_1. Two problems are dear to us.

P1. In the interesting cases mentioned above, determine all p-divisible objects of bounded ∇-deviation.

P2. Determine conditions under which, in the case when R_1 is a smooth $W(k)$-algebra, a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_1)$ is such that:

a) it is associated to a p-divisible group over R_1 (and not only –see 2.2.1.1 2)– to one over $R_1^{\wedge}$), or

b) when viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R_1)$, it is of totally bounded ∇-deviation.

3.6.19. The gluing principle. 3.6.14 and 3.6.14.1 are particular cases of a more general principle pertaining to Shimura p-divisible groups, which we call the gluing principle. However, we singled them out, as they are in the context of abelian varieties, are the most important cases needed in applications, and moreover we had to give a preliminary motivation for the Expectation of 3.6.15 A. In what follows we present different forms of the gluing principle.

A. Let $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{i\wedge})$ be the p-adic completion of a pro-étale, affine scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(R^i)$ over a smooth, affine $W(k)$-scheme, $i \in \overline{1,2}$. Let $z^i : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R^i)$, and let

$$\mathcal{D}^i = (D^i, (t^i_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$$

be a Shimura p-divisible group over $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{i\wedge})$. We still denote by z^i the p-adic completion of z^i. We assume that:

i) the two Shimura p-divisible groups $z_1^*(\mathcal{D}^1)$ and $z_2^*(\mathcal{D}^2)$ over $W(k)$ are isomorphic;

ii) the relative dimension d_1 of $\mathrm{Spec}(R^1)$ in z^1 is greater or equal to the relative dimension d_2 of $\mathrm{Spec}(R^2)$ in z^2; moreover $\mathcal{D}^i$ is a versal deformation in z^i, $i = \overline{1,2}$;

iii) $\mathrm{Spec}(R^i/pR^i)$ is a connected k-scheme, $i = \overline{1,2}$.

Here the versality condition of ii) is defined as follows.

B. Definitions. Let X be a regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-scheme. A Shimura p-divisible group $\mathcal{D}_X$ over X is said to be a versal (resp. uni plus versal) deformation in a closed point $y_X : \mathrm{Spec}(k_1) \hookrightarrow X$, with k_1 an algebraic field extension of k, if the dimension of the image of the Kodaira–Spencer map attached to $\mathcal{D}_X$ (and so computed relative to $W(k)$) in this point (resp. if this map is injective and the mentioned dimension) is equal to the deformation dimension of the (non-necessarily quasi-split) Shimura σ_{k_1}-crystal of $y_X^*(\mathcal{D}_X)$.

We assume now that X_k has the *ALP* property. We say $\mathcal{D}_X$ is a versal (resp. uni plus versal) deformation if it is a versal (resp. uni plus versal) deformation in all closed points y_X as above.

An object or a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla(X)$ is said to be a uni plus quasi-versal deformation in a closed point y_X as above (resp., assuming that X_k has the *ALP* property, is said to be a uni plus quasi-versal deformation), if the Kodaira–Spencer map in y_X (resp. in each point y_X as above) is injective.

Similarly, assuming that X_k has the *ALP* property, an object or a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla(X)$ is said to be a quasi-versal deformation if the Kodaira–Spencer map in each point y_X as above has an image whose dimension depends only on the connected component of X_k to which y_X belongs. Similarly, we define Shimura p-divisible groups over X which are (uni plus) quasi-versal deformations.

C. If $\mathcal{D}_i$ has a principal quasi-polarization $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_i}$, $i = \overline{1,2}$, then we say that we are in a principally quasi-polarized context; if we are in such a context then we assume that the isomorphism of i) respects the principal quasi-polarizations involved.

D. Let (M, F^1, φ, G) be the Shimura filtered σ-crystal associated to the two Shimura p-divisible groups over $W(k)$ obtained in i). Let $\mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{R^1})$ (resp. $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{1h})$) be the completion of $\mathrm{Spec}(R^1)$ (resp. be the henselization of $\mathrm{Spec}(R^1)$) in z^1. We still denote by z^i the resulting $W(k)$-valued point of $\mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{R^i})$ or of $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{ih})$. We assume the existence of a formally smooth $W(k)$-morphism

$$f_{12} : \mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{R^1}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R^{2\wedge})$$

such that:

R1) $f_{12} \circ z^1 = z^2$;

R2) the image of R^2/pR^2 in $\widehat{R^1}/p\widehat{R^1}$ is contained in R^{1h}/pR^{1h};

R3) $f_{12}^*(\mathcal{D}^2)$ is isomorphic to the pull back of $\mathcal{D}^1$ through the natural morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{R^1}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R^{1\wedge})$, through an isomorphism lifting the one of i).

We also assume that:

EXTRA) For any formally smooth $W(k)$-morphism $\tilde{f}_{12} : \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}^{1\wedge}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R^{2\wedge})$, with $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}^1)$ a pro-étale, affine scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ such that z_1 has a lift $\tilde{z}_1$ with the properties that $\tilde{f}_{12} \circ \tilde{z}_1 = z_2$ and the objects with tensors of $p - \mathcal{M}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(\widehat{R^1})$ defined by $\tilde{f}_{12}^*(\mathcal{D}^2)$ and $\mathcal{D}^1_{\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}^{1\wedge})}$ are isomorphic under an isomorphism lifting (in $\tilde{z}_1$) the one of i) but viewed in the non-filtered context, after replacing $\tilde{R}_1$ by an étale, affine scheme over it to which $\tilde{z}_1$ lifts we can modify $\tilde{f}_{12}$ by something which is 0 mod p so that $\tilde{f}_{12}^*(\mathcal{D}^2)$) and $\mathcal{D}^1_{\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}^{1\wedge})}$ themselves are isomorphic under an isomorphism lifting (in $\tilde{z}_1$) the one of i).

Following the proofs of 2.3.15 and 3.6.14.1 we can state (cf. also 3.6.2.2):

Theorem (the gluing principle: the global form). *There is an affine $W(k)$-scheme* $\mathrm{Spec}(R^3)$*, with $R^{3\wedge} = R^3$, and there is a formally étale $W(k)$-morphism $q_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(R^3) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R^{1\wedge})$ and a formally smooth $W(k)$-morphism $q_2 : \mathrm{Spec}(R^3) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R^{2\wedge})$, such that for a suitable $W(k)$-morphism $a^3 : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R^3)$ we have:*

a) $q_i \circ a^3 = z^i$, $i = \overline{1,2}$;

b) $q_1^*(\mathcal{D}^1)$ is isomorphic to $q_2^*(\mathcal{D}^2)$ through an isomorphism lifting (cf. a)) the one of i);

c) $\mathrm{Spec}(R^3/pR^3)$ *is connected and a pro-étale scheme over a smooth, affine k-scheme.*

If R^i is a smooth $W(k)$-algebra, $i = \overline{1,2}$, then we can also assume that:

d) the image of $\mathrm{Spec}(R^3/pR^3)$ *in* $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{3-i}/pR^{3-i})$ *contains an open, dense subscheme of it.*

Proof: The proof of this Theorem is contained in the proof 3.6.14.1. We need to add just two extra things. First, [BLR, th. 12 of p. 83] applies entirely (as in 3.6.14.1 E), due to standard arguments on projective systems as in [EGA IV, §8]; one can quote as well b) of the following general Fact (for $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R^i)$).

Fact. a) *Let Y be a quasi-compact, quasi-separated, regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-scheme. If X is a projective limit of étale, affine Y-schemes X_α, $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}(X)$, then the category $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}(X)$ is the projective limit of the categories $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla(p+tens)}(X_\alpha)$ (see 2.2.4 C and D) for the definition of these categories). If all these schemes are endowed with Frobenius lifts compatible with the projective system, then the similar thing can be said about the categories $\mathcal{MF}(X)$ and $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}(X)$.*

b) *If in a), Y is moreover a smooth $W(k)$-scheme, then $p-FF(X^\wedge)$ (resp. $p-DG(X^\wedge)$) is antiequivalent (via the $\mathbb{D}$ functor) to $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(X)$ (resp. to $p-\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(X)$).*

The proof of a) is standard (see [EGA IV, 8.5.2]), based on [Fa1, 2.1 (ii)] and on the fact that any finitely generated projective module over a ring is finitely presented; for the ∇ context we need to add: as $\Omega_{X_{\alpha k}/k}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha}$-sheaf of finite rank, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}(X)$, compatible with pull backs under special fibres of transition morphisms of the projective system, the connections involved can be viewed, locally in the Zariski topology of Y, as a finite sequence of linear maps between locally free sheaves of modules of finite ranks.

b) follows from a) and from 2.2.1.1 2) (for the faithfulness part of $\mathbb{D}$ over $X^\wedge$, cf. [BM, 4.1.1] applied over X_k; X_k has locally in the Zariski topology a finite p-basis). This Fact is the fourth place where we need $p \geq 3$.

The second thing we need to add is related to the fact that d_i can be greater than the deformation dimension d of the Shimura p-divisible groups of i). But the refinement part of the proof of 3.6.14.1 applies entirely in this situation (cf. EXTRA)). Using these two additions, the Theorem follows.

E. Variants. There are many other variants of the gluing principle:

iv) we work in a context involving Shimura filtered F-crystals instead of Shimura p-divisible groups;

v) we weaken the part of ii) involving the versality condition;

vi) we work in a principally quasi-polarized context;

vii) we work modulo a power of p.

The variants iv) and vi) are straightforward, while in connection to vii) we refer to 3.6.14.4. We detail now what we mean by this v). Let Q^i be the completion of R^i in z^i. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^i := \mathcal{D}^i_{\mathrm{Spec}(Q^i)}$. Let Q^0 be the regular $W(k)$-algebra of the universal deformation space (see 2.2.21 and 2.2.21.1) of the Shimura p-divisible $\mathcal{D}(W(k))$ showing up in i). Corresponding to $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^i$ we naturally get $W(k)$-homomorphisms $q_i : Q^0 \to Q^i$, $i = \overline{1,2}$. What we want to say in v): it is enough to assume that

a) $\ker(q_1) = \ker(q_2)$, that

b) over dominant geometric points $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{k}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(Q^i/pQ^i)$ we get naturally from $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^i$ (see Fact 3 of 2.2.10) Shimura-ordinary $\tilde{\sigma}$-crystals, and that

c) the resulting $W(k)$-monomorphism $Q^0/\ker(q_i) \hookrightarrow Q^i$ ($i \in \{1,2\}$) is formally smooth.

We express the combination of a) to c) by: $\mathcal{D}^1$ and $\mathcal{D}^2$ unfold (in z^1 and respectively z^2) the same quasi-versal deformation of (the Shimura filtered σ-crystal underlying) $\mathcal{D}(W(k))$, which generically (in the special fibre) is Shimura-ordinary.

F. We do not know how to check in practice that EXTRA) holds. In a context of polarized abelian varieties, EXTRA) is in general a consequence of Serre–Tate's deformation theory (even in the context of v)) and of [Va2, 4.1.5] (see 3.6.14.1 E).

3.6.20. Final remarks for 3.6. 1) We might wonder why we preferred to work in 3.6.1-14 with open subschemes of the group G and not with open subschemes of the (abelian) unipotent subgroup of G acting trivially on F^0 and on M/F^1. The reason is: the full generality is useful in other situations, gives us more flexibility and, as it can be easily checked, the particular case (of an abelian group) can be often obtained from the general case, by taking slices (cf. also §7).

Also one might wonder: Why global deformations? No doubt, from many points of view, local deformations offer equally useful information as the global ones. However, from the deeper point of view of the classification of p-divisible groups (or objects when appropriate) over arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-schemes, the global deformations represent a major tool. Also, from many simple points of view, they offer advantages. Just one sample: referring to 3.6.18.6, the Newton polygon stratification of $M_0(\mathfrak{C}_1)_k$ defined by $d_1^*(\mathfrak{C}_1)$, is always the pull back of a stratification of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1/pR_1)$; this last stratification can be obtained significantly easier in the case when $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1/pR_1)$ is of finite type over k (often we just have to use simple reductive group properties; see §9-10 for details and computations).

2) We needed (3.1.8 and 3.4.14 below are afterthoughts) the ∇ principle for Shimura σ-crystals for proving 3.1.0 and Milne's conjecture (see 1.15) in their full generality (and not only in the context of a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$, where it is not needed: 2.3.16 is enough, as the scheme $\mathcal{N}$ itself provides the needed global deformation; see the proof of 4.2 below). The other principles (of 3.6.18.4-8) are just a natural extension of it. The deformation principle is a logical continuation of the ∇-principle. Its importance stems from the fact that, when applies, we often can take slices (cf. §7; see also 3.6.8 8) and 3.6.8.3), and its versions of 3.6.14.4 are very convenient for different global and local computations, cf. §10. It also led us to 3.6.15 B, which is the very essence of the boundedness principle of 3.15.7 below. Also the rigidity property (see 3.6.1.4 5) and §7) is a useful tool. We will use it in §8, in connection to 1.15.4. The gluing principle and its natural extensions (see [Va6]) to the generalized Shimura context and to Fontaine categories $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(*)$, is our straightforward approach to prove the existence of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of special type (cf. also [Va2, 3.2.7 8)], 3.6.1.6 and 4.14.2 below). No doubt we were influenced (and inspired) by [Fa1, 7.1] and [Fa2, th. 10].

3) In some parts of 3.6 we used [Fa2, th. 10 and the remarks after] but not in 3.6.18.4. It is easy to see that using 2.3.17.2, 3.6.18.4.1, 3.6.18.7.0 and [Fa1, 7.1] we obtain another (completely different) proof of a slightly stronger form of [Fa2, th. 10 and the remarks after] for $p \geq 3$. To detail this, we use the notations of 3.6.18.5.1 with $p \geq 3$; so we are dealing with a Frobenius lift of R which is essentially of additive type. Based on the proof of the equivalence part of 3.6.18.5.1, any p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$. So, as in the proof of 3.6.18.3.1 we can assume $\Phi_R(z_i) = z_i^p$, $i = \overline{1, m}$.

The algebraization process. *Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider the ideal $I_R(q) := (z_1^q, ..., z_m^q)$ of R. For any p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}_0 = (\mathfrak{C}^0, \nabla_0)$ of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$, we can algebraize the things similarly to the proof of 3.6.18.4.1. First we consider a p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}^{0\mathrm{al}}$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R^{\mathrm{al}})$ which mod $I_R(q+2)$ is $\mathfrak{C}^0$ mod $I_R(q+2)$; here R^{al} is as* *in the proof of 3.6.18.4.1. Second, as in the proof of 3.6.18.4.1, we consider the moduli formal scheme* $M_0(\mathfrak{C}^{0\mathrm{al}})$ *defined by* $\mathfrak{C}^{0\mathrm{al}}$ *and obtained via 3.6.18.4.6; it is algebraizable (cf. end of 3.6.18.4.6 a)), and so not to introduce extra notations, we view it as an affine* R^{al}*-scheme (and not as a formal scheme) which is p-adically complete.*

From b) of the Fact of 3.6.19 (i.e. –implicitly– from [Fa1, 7.1]) and from the $\mathbb{N}$*-pro-étale part of 3.6.18.4.6 a), we deduce that there is a p-divisible group over* $M_0(\mathfrak{C}^{0\mathrm{al}})$ *whose associated p-divisible object of* $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(M_0(\mathfrak{C}^{0\mathrm{al}}))$ *is the pull back of* $\mathfrak{C}^{0\mathrm{al}}$ *to* $M_0(\mathfrak{C}^{0\mathrm{al}})$ *together with the unique connection (cf. 3.6.18.8) on it.*

But ∇_0 *mod* $I_R(q)$ *is uniquely determined by* $\mathfrak{C}^0$ *mod* $I_R(q+2)$ *(the argument is the same as the one of the proof of 3.6.1.2; see 3.6.8 6) for things mod p). As we have a uniquely determined* R^{al}*-morphism* $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \to M_0(\mathfrak{C}^{0\mathrm{al}})$ *(see 3.6.18.4.6 a)), we get that* $\mathfrak{C}_0$ *modulo* $I_R(q)$ *is associated to a p-divisible group* D_q *over* $R/I_R(q)$*.* D_q *is uniquely determined (for instance, cf. [BM, 4.3.2 (i)] and [Me, ch. 4-5]; this can be also checked starting from generalities on deformation theory as presented in [Fa2, §7] or from [dJ1, th. of intro.]). So* D_{q+1} *mod* $I_R(q)$ *is* D_q *and so (as R is complete w.r.t. the topology defined by I(q)'s) we deduce the existence of a uniquely determined p-divisible group D over R such that* $\mathbb{D}(D)$ *is* $\mathfrak{C}_0$*.*

It is 2.3.17.2 (strictly speaking a variant of it adapted to the context of [Fa2, rm. iii) after th. 10]: see 2.4) which takes care of the mentioned context involving tensors. But the proofs in [Fa2, §7] (work for $p = 2$ as well and) are of unsurpassable beauty.

Also [Fa1, 7.1] can be deduced from [Fa2, th. 10], cf. 3.15.3 4) below. Moreover, the antiequivalence part of 3.6.18.5.1 (as well as of 3.14 B6 below) can be deduced from [dJ1, th. of intro.]; in fact it is easy to see (based on [Me, ch. 4-5]) that this mentioned result for the smooth context (of R/pR) and [Fa2, th. 10] are equivalent to each other, even for $p = 2$ (see the part of 2.2.21 referring to some uniqueness). Warning: despite this equivalence, it is worth stating explicitly that the methods of [dJ1] can not be adapted to the generalized Shimura context, while the proof of [Fa2, th. 10] does (see 3.15.6 below). See §6 and [Va5-8] for general Dieudonné theories in the context of Shimura p-divisible groups over very general base schemes (not necessarily regular).

As [Fa1, 7.1] is stated for $p \geq 3$, in 3.14 below we do not come back to it.

4) There are variants of many of the above principles. For instance, we get plenty of variants by working with:

– almost p-divisible objects (see 2.2.1.7 1)), or with

– finitely generated modules, which are not necessarily projective, or with

– (some) $W(k)$-algebras which are not necessarily regular, formally smooth.

We do not stop to state results in this generality, as anyone can state them easily, when needed. We just point out that in the case of a $W(k)$-algebra R, with $R = R^\wedge$, we always have to:

– either work with connections which are w.r.t. an a priori specified locally free R-submodule of the p-adic completion of $\Omega_{R/W(k)}$, taken by a fixed Frobenius lift of R

into itself, and then we have to deal with Φ_R-linear endomorphisms of R-modules, which "keep" us inside it, or to

– work with "pseudo-connections" as in the part of the proof of 3.6.18.4.1 referring to its Fact 3.

5) b) of the Fact of 3.6.19 applies if X is the henselization of the localization of a polynomial $W(k)$-algebra $W(k)[x_1, ..., x_m]$ w.r.t. its ideal $(x_1, ..., x_m)$. If moreover $k = \bar{k}$, when we combine this with 3.6.18.5.4 1) and 3.6.18.7, we get a very elementary way to construct Shimura p-divisible groups over $X^\wedge$. See [Va11] for details and applications.

6) It seems to us (see also 3.6.18.10) that there is a great similarity between 3.6.1.3, the theory of p-jets of A. Buium (see [Bu1]) and the theory of almost étale extensions of G. Faltings (see [Fa1] and [Fa3]). We think it is possible to obtain significant progress in the related areas by "fitting" these three theories together.

7) We view the part $s = 0$ of 3.6.8.1 and 3.6.8.1.2 c) as the purely algebraic analogue of Lang's theorem.

8) There are many other ways (besides 3.6.19 B) to express the versality (resp. uni plus versality) condition of 3.6.19 ii). One way is to use the proof of 3.12.1 below. Another way (more fashionable) is inspired from 2.3.17.2: any Shimura p-divisible group over $W(k)$, whose associated Shimura σ-crystal over k is (identifiable with) the one obtained from $\mathcal{D}^i$ through the k-valued point y^i of $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{i\wedge})$ defined by z^i, is induced from $\mathcal{D}^i$ through a (resp. through a uniquely determined) $W(k)$-valued point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{i\wedge})$ lifting y^i. The fact that all these definitions are equivalent is proved in the same manner as for the case of a SHS (see 2.3.17.2 and [Va2, 5.4-5]; see also 2.4). This is the fifth place where we need $p \geq 3$.

9) In [Va6] we will use the gluing principle (see 3.6.2.2 and 3.6.19 D) to construct (see also §6 for the first steps; see $\mathcal{L}_{r,d-r}$ of 1.12 as a first sample) the theory of Shimura envelopes. This theory generalizes the theory of (quotients of) integral canonical models of Shimura varieties.

10) In positive characteristic, regardless of the fact that we are interested in some fundamental groups (see 3.6.18.4.6 C), or on some connections (see the whole of 3.6.8 and of 3.6.18), or on some stratifications (see 3.6.8.1.4, the Claim of 3.6.18.9 and 4.5.18 below), or on some deformations (see 3.6.14), or on some homomorphisms between two p-divisible groups (see the proofs of 3.6.14.1 and of 3.6.17), the quasi Artin–Schreier systems of equations are at the very root of the subject.

11) In 3.6.18 we fixed Φ and Φ_R and varied the connections, subject to the equations (E_1) and (E_2) of 3.6.1.1.1 2). It would be interesting (though not so useful) to study what happens if we fix an integrable, nilpotent mod p connection and one of the two Φ_R and Φ, while allowing the other one to vary. The problem is: the connections involve two few variables (to be compared with 3.6.18.2), to truly determine (up to étale extensions) what has been allowed to vary.

3.7. The proofs of 3.2.6-7.

3.7.1. Proof of 3.2.6. Let $g_2 \in G(W(k))$. We use the Shimura σ_{k_1}-crystal $\mathfrak{C}_1$ considered in 3.6.7.1. We recall that $\mathfrak{C}_1$ specializes to $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k}), g_3(\varphi_0 \otimes 1), G_{W(\bar{k})})$, with $g_3 \in G_{W(\bar{k})}(W(\bar{k}))$ such that mod p belongs to the subset $\mathcal{L}(\bar{k})$ of $G(\bar{k})$ defined as the set $\mathcal{L}$ of 3.6.6 but for $\bar{k}$. We deduce from 3.4.11-13 that the Shimura Lie σ_{k_1}-crystal $Lie(\mathfrak{C}_1)$ attached to $\mathfrak{C}_1$ has the same Newton polygon as $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_0)$ and so as $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_1)$ (cf. also 3.3.1 and 3.3.4). From the fact that $\mathfrak{C}_1$ specializes to $(M, g_2\varphi_0)$ (cf. 3.6.7.1), we deduce that the Newton polygon of $(\mathfrak{g}, g_2\varphi_0)$ is above the Newton polygon of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_0)$. Similarly, working just with $\mathfrak{g}_0$ instead of $\mathfrak{g}$ itself, we get that the Newton polygon of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, g_2\varphi_0)$ is above the Newton polygon of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_0)$.

As $Lie(\mathfrak{C}_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_1)$ have the same Newton polygon, we get (cf. 3.4.11 applied over k_1) that the formal isogeny type of $\mathfrak{C}_1$ is τ_0. As $\mathfrak{C}_1$ specializes to $(M, g_2\varphi_0, G)$ and $g_2 \in G(W(k))$ was arbitrary, we get 3.2.6.

3.7.2. Proof of 3.2.7 a). 3.2.7 a) results directly from 3.3.2 and 3.4.3.0. So (cf. also 3.2.2), in the end of 3.5.5, the expression "of Borel type" can be substituted by "of parabolic type".

3.7.3. Proof of 3.2.7 c). Let (M, F_2^1, φ_2, G) be a Shimura filtered σ-crystal with the property that $W_0(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_2)$ is contained in $F_2^0(\mathfrak{g})$. As in 3.2.3, let ${}_2B$ be a Borel subgroup of G containing the image of a cocharacter $\mu_2 : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ of the form $g\mu g^{-1}$ (with $g \in G(W(k))$ such that $g(F^1) = F_2^1$) and such that we have $F_2^1(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathrm{Lie}({}_2B) \subset {}_2\mathfrak{p} \subset F_2^0(\mathfrak{g})$. Also, as in 3.2.3, let $g_3 \in {}_2P(W(k))$, with ${}_2P$ as the parabolic subgroup of G having ${}_2\mathfrak{p}$ as its Lie algebra, be such that $g_3\varphi_2\big(\mathrm{Lie}({}_2B)\big) \subset \mathrm{Lie}({}_2B)$. But now $(M, F_2^1, g_3\varphi_2, G)$ has the same properties as (M, F^1, φ_0, G) which allowed us to prove 3.2.5-6. We get that $(M, g_3\varphi_2)$ has the formal isogeny type τ_0. As $(g_3\varphi_2)^s = g_{3,s}\varphi_2^s$, with $g_{3,s} \in {}_2P(W(k))$, $\forall s \in \mathbb{N}$, we deduce from 3.2.1, that (M, φ_2) has as well the formal isogeny type τ_0. This proves 3.2.7 c).

3.7.4. Proof of 3.2.7 b). Let (M, F_2^1, φ_2, G) be a Shimura filtered σ-crystal having τ_0 as its formal isogeny type. 3.2.6 implies that the Lie σ-crystal $\big(\mathrm{End}(M), \varphi_2\big)$ has the smallest Newton polygon $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{P})$ among all Lie σ-crystals $\big(\mathrm{End}(M), g\varphi_2\big)$, with $g \in G(W(k))$. But for any $g \in G(W(k))$, the Newton polygon of $\big(\mathrm{End}(M), g\varphi_2\big)$ is obtained (in the logical way) from the Newton polygon of $(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi_2)$ and from the Newton polygon of the quotient $\big(\mathrm{End}(M)/\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi_2\big)$ (this quotient can be extended to a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(W(k))$). From this and the specialization part of the last statement of 3.7.1 we get that $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_2)$ has the same Newton polygon as $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_0)$. From 3.3.3 we get that (up to isomorphism) we can assume $\varphi_2 = p_0\varphi_0$, with $p_0 \in P_0(W(k))$. So, applying 3.2.1.1 in the context of 3.3.4, 3.2.7 b) follows. This (cf. 3.7.2-3) ends the proof of 3.2.7.

3.7.5. End of the second proof of 3.1.0. 3.2.5, 3.2.6 and 3.2.7 are proved respectively in 3.4.13, 3.7.1 and 3.7.2-4. Based on 3.2.4 (and 3.2.8), 3.1.0 follows. When we combine 2.3.4 with 3.2.7 b) we get:

$$(ALL) \qquad A_G = B_G = C_G = D_G g_0.$$

3.7.6. The solution of 3.4.14. Among the above four sections 3.7.1-4, only 3.7.1 needs to be slightly modified. For this modification, we follow the pattern of 3.1.8.1. Let $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be the completion of G in its origin. We consider a Shimura filtered F-crystal $\mathfrak{C}$ over R/pR of the form $(M, F^1, g_2\varphi_0, G, \tilde{f})$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the algebraic closure of the field of fractions of R/pR. We apply Fact 3 of 2.2.10 to the natural k-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{K}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$: we get a Shimura $\sigma_{\mathcal{K}}$-crystal $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{K}} = (M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\mathcal{K}), g_{\mathcal{K}}(\varphi_0 \otimes 1), G_{W(\mathcal{K})})$ specializing to $(M, F^1, g_2\varphi_0)$.

We use a specialization argument in the following way. We can assume $g_{\mathcal{K}}$ mod p is defined naturally by the resulting k-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{K}) \to G$; so $g_{\mathcal{K}}$ mod p specializes to g_0 mod p. We can assume $k = \bar{k}$; so G_R is split. Let $\tilde{G}_R^{\mathrm{der}}$ be the product of the semisimple subgroups of G_R^{der} having simple adjoints. So we can define a Φ_R-linear map

$$\bar{\psi}^0_{R/pR} : \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{der}}_{R/pR}) \to \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{der}}_{R/pR})$$

as in 3.4.5 but in the context of $\mathfrak{C}$. Let $\bar{\psi}^0_{\mathcal{K}}$ be its extension via the k-homomorphism $R \to \mathcal{K}$. From the mentioned specialization, we get that $\bar{\psi}^0_{\mathcal{K}}$ specializes to $\bar{\psi}^0_0$ and so, $\forall s \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(SPEC) \qquad \dim_{\mathcal{K}} \mathrm{Im}(\bar{\psi}^0_{\mathcal{K}})^s \geq \dim_k \mathrm{Im}(\bar{\psi}^0_0)^s.$$

For s big enough, the right hand side of this inequality is m_δ. So, from 3.4.6 applied over $\mathcal{K}$, we get that the multiplicity of the slope δ of $(\mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes_{W(k)} W(\mathcal{K}), g_{\mathcal{K}}(\varphi_0 \otimes 1))$ is m_δ.

The same remains true if we work with another cycle of the permutation γ of 3.4.0. From 3.4.8-12 we conclude: the Newton polygons of $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{W(k)} W(\mathcal{K}), g_{\mathcal{K}}(\varphi_0 \otimes 1))$ and of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_0)$ are the same. So the Newton polygon of $(\mathfrak{g}, g_2\varphi_0)$ is above the Newton polygon of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_0)$. The last paragraph of 3.7.1 does not need to be modified: we just need to replace $\mathfrak{C}_1$ by $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{K}}$ and k_1 by $\mathcal{K}$. These replacements have to be performed in connection to 3.7.4 as well. This ends the solution of 3.4.14.

3.8. The non quasi-split context. The basic results of 3.1 remain true, if we do not assume G is quasi-split (assumption made in the def. 2.2.8). To see this, we first remark that if G is not quasi-split, then the field k must be infinite (any reductive group over a finite field is quasi-split, cf. [Bo2, 16.6]). Let k_1 be a finite Galois extension of k such that G_{k_1} is quasi-split. Let σ_1 be the Frobenius automorphism of $W(k_1)$. We know 3.1.0 is true for $G_{W(k_1)}$ (i.e. for when we work with Shimura σ_1-crystals $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), g(\varphi \otimes 1), G_{W(k_1)})$, with $g \in G(W(k_1))$). So to get 3.1.0 for G (i.e. for when we work with Shimura σ-crystals $(M, g\varphi, G)$, with $g \in G(W(k))$) we just have to remark two simple Facts:

3.8.1. Fact. There is $g \in G(W(k))$ such that $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), g\varphi \otimes 1, G_{W(k_1)})$ is a $G_{W(k_1)}$-ordinary σ_1-crystal.

3.8.2. Fact. If $(M, g_0\varphi)$, with $g_0 \in G(W(k))$, is such that its extension to k_1 is a $G_{W(k_1)}$-ordinary σ_1-crystal, due to the uniqueness assertion of 3.1.0 b), the filtration F^1_0 of $M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)$ describing the $G_{W(k_1)}$-canonical lift of the $G_{W(k_1)}$-ordinary σ_1-crystal

$\big(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), g_0\varphi \otimes 1, G_{W(k_1)}\big)$, is definable over $W(k)$ (i.e. $F_0^1 = \tilde{F}_0^1 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)$, with $\tilde{F}_0^1 \subset M$).

3.8.2 is trivial. 3.8.1 results from:

– the fact that $G(k)$ is dense in G_k (cf. [Bo2, 18.3]) and so its image in $G(k_1)$ is dense in G_{k_1}, and from

– the fact that there is an open subscheme U of G_{k_1} such that for any $g \in G(W(k_1))$ lifting a k_1-valued point of U, $\big(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), g(\varphi_0 \otimes 1)\big)$ is a $G_{W(k_1)}$-ordinary σ_1-crystal.

This last fact is a consequence of the stronger form of 3.6.6 mentioned in 3.6.6.1 1), of 3.3.4 and of the part of 3.4.11 referring to things mod p; as pointed out in 3.6.6.1 1), it is also a consequence of 3.6.10, 3.4.8 and 3.4.11 (via the specialization theorem).

3.9. Supplements to 3.1 and applications.

3.9.1. The Lie stable p-rank. Let (M, φ_2, G) be a Shimura σ-crystal. For not overloading the notation, we first assume G is split. We use the previous notations of 3.1-3, 3.4.0 and 3.4.5. One difference: we take $\varphi_2 := g_2\varphi_0$, with $g_2 \in G(W(k))$.

Let $\Psi : \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{g}_i \to \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{g}_i$ be the σ-linear map defined by the rule:

$$\Psi(x) := \begin{cases} p\varphi_2(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathfrak{g}_i \text{ and } F^1(\mathfrak{g}_i) \neq \{0\} \\ \varphi_2(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathfrak{g}_i \text{ and } F^1(\mathfrak{g}_i) = \{0\}\ . \end{cases}$$

Let $\bar{\Psi} : \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes_{W(k)} k \to \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes_{W(k)} k$ be obtained by taking $\bar{\Psi}$ mod p. Let

$$N_{\varphi_2} := \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\Psi}^m).$$

Based on 3.4.5, we refer to Ψ (resp. $\bar{\Psi}$) as the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt shift (resp. map) of (M, φ_2, G) or of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_2)$.

We define

$$R_{\varphi_2} := \dim_k(N_{\varphi_2}).$$

We call N_{φ_2} (resp. R_{φ_2}) the Lie stable k-vector space (resp. the Lie stable p-rank or the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt invariant) of (M, φ_2, G).

Similarly we define the Lie stable k-vector space and the Lie stable p-rank of any split Shimura Lie σ-crystal over k.

3.9.1.0. Cyclic ranks. As $\bar{\Psi}$ is a σ-linear endomorphism of a finite dimensional k-vector space, we deduce the existence of $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$N_{\varphi_2} = \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\Psi}^m);$$

for instance we can take m to be the following value which does not depend on g_2

$$l.c.m.\{o(\gamma_s)r_s | s \in \mathcal{J}_0\},$$

where $o(\gamma_s)$ is the order of the permutation γ_s of I and where r_s is the relative dimension of G_{i_s}, with $i_s \in I$ such that γ_s permutes cyclically a subset of I containing i_s. The smallest such value of m is called the cyclic rank of (M, φ_2, G) or of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_2)$. Similarly, we define the cyclic rank of any split Shimura Lie σ-crystal over k.

3.9.1.1. Remark. The Lie stable p-ranks, the Lie stable k_1-vector spaces and the cyclic ranks are well defined for any Shimura σ_{k_1}-crystal (M_1, φ^1, G_1) over a perfect field k_1. This is so due to the fact that the map Ψ_1 defined as in 3.9.1 but starting from the extension of (M_1, φ^1, G_1) to $\overline{k_1}$ is in fact definable over $W(k_1)$, cf. the existence of a cocharacter $\mu_1 : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_1$ defining the filtration class of (M_1, φ^1, G_1) and cf. the classification (see [Ti1]) of k_1-simple (and so implicitly of $W(k_1)$-simple) adjoint groups. In other words we have:

– $\mathrm{Lie}(G_1^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is a direct sum of $W(k_1)$-simple Lie factors, each one $\mathcal{F}$ of them having the property that all simple Lie factors of $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{W(k_1)} W(\overline{k_1})$ are producing (as in 3.4.3.4) ε_i's numbers which are either all positive or are all 0;

– the group cover of $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{1W(\overline{k_1})}$ defined naturally using the product of all semisimple subgroups of $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{1W(\overline{k_1})}$ having simple adjoints, is obtained from a group cover of G_1^{der} by extensions of scalars.

The same applies to the Shimura Lie context. So from now on we do not assume G is split.

3.9.2. Theorem. *A Shimura σ-crystal (M, φ_2, G) is a G-ordinary σ-crystal iff its Lie stable p-rank is maximal (i.e. $R_{\varphi_2} \geq R_{\varphi_3}$, for any $\varphi_3 = g_3\varphi_2$, with $g_3 \in G(W(k))$) and so equal to R_{φ_0}.*

Proof: We first remark that $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_2)$ has the smallest Newton polygon among all Shimura Lie σ-crystals $(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi_2)$ iff for any cycle γ_0 of the permutation γ of I, $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_2)$ has the smallest Newton polygon among all Shimura Lie σ-crystals $(\mathfrak{g}_0, g\varphi_2)$ (here $g \in G(W(k))$, γ_0 is a cyclic, transitive permutation of a subset I_0 of I, and $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \oplus_{i \in I_0} \mathfrak{g}_i$). One implication is trivial, while the other one results from 3.4.8 and 3.1.0 c) applied to all classes of the form $Cl(M, \varphi_0, \tilde{G}_0)$ (so defined by elements $s \in \mathcal{J}_0$). We have:

$$R_{\varphi_2} := \sum_{s \in \mathcal{J}_0} m_{\delta_s},$$

with each m_{δ_s} as a suitable multiplicity of some slope

$$\delta_s \in [-1, 0].$$

For $s \in \mathcal{J}_0$, δ_s and m_{δ_s} are defined in the same manner as the slope δ and its multiplicity m_δ of 3.4.4-5; for instance, $\delta_0 = \delta$ and m_{δ_0} is (cf. 3.4.5.1 B) the multiplicity of the slope δ for $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_2)$. Warning: the formula of 3.4.4 remains true even if I_1 is the empty set. So the Theorem follows from 3.4.10.

3.9.3. Corollary. *The fact that a Shimura σ-crystal $(M, g\varphi, G)$, with $g \in G(W(k))$, is G-ordinary depends only on the value of g mod p.*

3.9.3.1. An application. We refer to 3.6.1.3. We consider a point $y \in \operatorname{Spec}(R_j)(\bar{k})$; let $z \in \operatorname{Spec}(R_j)(W(\bar{k}))$ be its Teichmüller lift. We consider the Shimura filtered $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal obtained from M_{R_j} by pull back via z (cf. 3.6.3); if by forgetting its filtration, we get a G-ordinary $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal, then we refer to y as a G-ordinary (or Shimura-ordinary) point. 3.9.3 guarantees that in fact we can decide if y is or is not a G-ordinary point, without considering z.

From 3.1.0 c) we get that the multiplicity of the slope -1 of the Shimura Lie σ-crystal of (M, φ_0, G) is greater or equal to the multiplicity of the slope -1 of any Shimura Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal of the form $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k}), g(\varphi_0 \otimes 1), G_{W(\bar{k})})$, with $g \in G(W(\bar{k}))$. So from 3.6.18.7.0, from 3.6.18.4.3 and from the construction of the special fibre of $\operatorname{Spec}(Q_j)$ (see 3.6.8 1) to 14); see also 3.6.8.1.3 and 3.6.8.2) we get:

Corollary. *If y is a G-ordinary point and if $r_y = \dim_{W(k)}(G)$ (see 3.6.18.9 for the definition of r_y), then y lifts to a point $y_\infty \in \operatorname{Spec}(Q_j)(\bar{k})$.*

3.9.4. The refined Lie stable p-rank. We use the notations of the beginning of 3.4, with G split. We choose a bijection $f_{\mathcal{J}_0}$ between $\mathcal{J}_0$ and the set $S(1, |\mathcal{J}_0|)$. Then $\forall s \in \mathcal{J}_0$, we can define the Lie stable p-rank $R_{\varphi_2}(s)$ of (M, φ_2, G) w.r.t. s or w.r.t. the subset of I of which γ_s is a cyclic permutation. For instance, if we work with the cycle γ_0, $R_{\varphi_2}(0)$ is nothing else but the Lie stable p-rank of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_2)$. We denote by $R^r_{\varphi_2}$ the $|\mathcal{J}_0|$-tuple $(a_1, \ldots, a_{|\mathcal{J}_0|})$ of non-negative integers defined by the formula:

$$a_l := \frac{R_{\varphi_2}\big(f_{\mathcal{J}_0}^{-1}(l)\big)}{\operatorname{length}\big(\gamma_{f_{\mathcal{J}_0}^{-1}(l)}\big)},$$

$\forall l \in S(1, |\mathcal{J}_0|)$. 3.4.2 guarantees that a_l's are non-negative integers; for instance, if $l = f_{\mathcal{J}_0}(0)$, then $R_{\varphi_2}(0)$ is the multiplicity of the slope δ of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_2)$ (cf. 3.4.5.1 B) and so is a multiple of $|I_0| = \operatorname{length}(\gamma_0)$. If G is not split, then we define the refined Lie stable p-rank of (M, φ, G) to be the refined Lie stable p-rank of its extension to $\bar{k}$.

On the set of $|\mathcal{J}_0|$-tuples of non-negative integers we introduce a partial order by the rule

$$(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{|\mathcal{J}_0|}) \leq (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{|\mathcal{J}_0|})$$

iff

$$b_i \leq c_i, , \forall i \in S(1, |\mathcal{J}_0|).$$

From the proof of 3.9.2 we get:

3.9.5. Corollary. *A Shimura σ-crystal (M, φ_2, G) is a G-ordinary σ-crystal iff its refined Lie stable p-rank is maximal, i.e. is equal to $R^r_{\varphi_0}$.*

3.9.6. The adjoint context. Similarly to 3.9.4, we define the refined Lie stable p-rank of any split Shimura Lie σ-crystal. The refined Lie stable p-rank of a split Shimura

(Lie) σ-crystal over k is the same, if instead of working with Shimura Lie σ-crystals of the above form $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_2)$, we work with their adjoint Lie σ-crystals $(\mathfrak{g}_0^{\mathrm{ad}}, \varphi_2)$, where $\mathfrak{g}_0^{\mathrm{ad}} := \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_0^{\mathrm{ad}}) \subset \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_0)[\frac{1}{p}]$ (see 2.2.13; see 3.4.1.3 for notations).

To see this, we use the notations of 3.4. If we are dealing with a non-empty subset of I whose elements are permuted transitively by γ and is such that the Lie algebras of the semisimple subgroups of G indexed by it, are contained in $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$, the statement is obvious. So we can assume we are dealing with the subset I_0 of I of 3.4.0 (cf. the assumption of 3.4.1.2). The kernel of the natural map $\mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes_{W(k)} k \to \mathfrak{g}_0^{\mathrm{ad}} \otimes_{W(k)} k$, has trivial intersection with the Lie algebra of any connected, smooth, unipotent subgroup of G_k. So, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bar{\Psi}^m(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ can be naturally identified with the k-vector subspace of $\mathfrak{g}_0^{\mathrm{ad}}$ defined similarly, using $\mathrm{Lie}(G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}) \otimes_{W(k)} k$ and the adjoint variant of $\bar{\Psi}$.

In what follows, we refer to this adjoint variant of Ψ (resp. of $\bar{\Psi}$) as the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint shift (resp. adjoint map) of (M, φ_2, G) or of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_2)$. Similarly, we speak about the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint shift (resp. adjoint map) of (M, φ_2, G) w.r.t. I_0 (or to an element $s \in \mathcal{J}_0$ or to the permutation γ_s), or more generally w.r.t. a non-empty subset of $\mathcal{J}_0$ (i.e. w.r.t. a non-empty subset of I left invariant by γ).

3.9.7. The zero, the positive, and the non-negative rank and type. By the non-negative rank (resp. type) of a Shimura σ-crystal (M, φ, G) over k (or of its attached Shimura Lie σ-crystal) we mean the rank as a $W(k)$-module (resp. we mean the $G(W(k))$-conjugacy class) of $\mathfrak{p}_{\geq 0} := W_0(\mathrm{Lie}(G), \varphi)$. Similarly we define the positive and the zero ranks and types, by using positive slopes and respectively the slope 0 (the wording "parabolic" has to be removed or replaced accordingly).

Warning: to know the non-negative rank is the same as to know the zero or the positive rank; but this is not so if we replace rank by type. These definitions extend to all Shimura (adjoint) Lie σ-crystals or isocrystals or to a filtered context.

3.9.7.1. The refined non-negative type. We consider a lift F^1 of (M, φ, G). Let P be the parabolic subgroup of G normalizing F^1. As in 3.2.3, we fix a maximal torus T of P such that the canonical split cocharacter of (M, F^1, φ) factors through T. For

$$\alpha \in SS := [0,1] \cap \frac{1}{\dim_{W(k)}(M)!}\mathbb{Z},$$

let $P_{\geq\alpha}$ (resp. $P_{=\alpha}$) be the integral, closed subgroup of G such that the Lie algebra of its generic fibre is $W_\alpha(\mathrm{Lie}(G)[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$ (resp. is $W(\alpha)(\mathrm{Lie}(G)[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$) (see 2.2.3 3)). $P_{\geq 0}$ is a parabolic subgroup of G and $P_{=\alpha}$ and $P_{\geq\alpha}$ are subgroups of $P_{\geq\beta}$ if $\alpha \in [\beta, 1]$, with α, $\beta \in SS$. Let $CONG$ be the subgroup of $G(W(k))$ normalizing F^1/pF^1.

Definition. By the refined non-negative type of (M, φ, G) we mean the $CONG$-conjugacy class of the (indexed) family of subgroups of G formed by $(P_{\geq\alpha})_{\alpha\in SS}$ and by $(P_{=\alpha})_{\alpha\in SS}$. It depends only on the inner isomorphism class of (M, φ, G).

The intersection $P_k \cap P_{\geq 0_k}$ contains a maximal torus of P_k. As in the proof of Fact 1 of 2.2.9 3), we deduce that, up to inner isomorphism of (M, φ, G) defined by an element *el* of $G(W(k))$ which mod p is the identity, we can assume that the intersection $P \cap P_{\geq 0}$ contains a maximal torus T_0 of G. Till end of 3.9.7.2 we assume $k = \bar{k}$. We can assume that, up to inner isomorphisms defined by elements of $P(W(k))$, $T_0 = T$ (to be compared with 3.2.3). As T was fixed, we have a finite number of possibilities for $P_{\geq 0}$: the number of parabolic subgroups of G containing T is finite.

Questions. Which parabolic subgroups of G containing T can be the non-negative type of $(M, g\varphi, G)$, for some $g \in G(W(k))$? What general principles are governing the refined non-negative types of $(M, g\varphi, G)$, for $g \in G(W(k))$ allowed to vary? When (M, φ, G) is uniquely determined (up to inner isomorphism) by its (refined) non-negative type?

3.9.7.2. The inductive property. Let R_0 be the quotient of $P_{\geq 0}$ by its unipotent radical $P_{>0}$. We view it as well as a subgroup of G via: it is naturally isomorphic to the only Levi subgroup of $P_{\geq 0}$ containing T. Let

$$g \in P_{\geq 0}(W(k))$$

be such that $g\varphi(\mathrm{Lie}(T)) = \mathrm{Lie}(T))$ (this is the same as 3.2.3). Let h be the image in $R_0(W(k))$ of g^{-1}. The quadruple $(M, g\varphi, T)$ is a Shimura σ-crystal (cf. Fact 1 of 2.2.9 1)). So $(M, hg\varphi, R_0)$ is as well a Shimura σ-crystal. Moreover,

$$\varphi = n_+(1)(hg\varphi)$$

with $n_+(1) \in P_{>0}(W(k))$. By induction on $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we get that

$$\varphi^m = n_+(m)(hg\varphi)^m,$$

with $n_+(m) := \varphi^m(hg\varphi)^{-m} \in P_{>0}(B(k))$. So the semisimple elements b_1 and b_2 of $G(B(k))$ defined by φ^m and respectively by $(hg\varphi)^m$ (see 2.2.24 and 2.2.24.1) are $P_{>0}(B(k))$-conjugate. Argument: b_1 and b_2 have the same image in $R_0(B(k))$ and so can be viewed as $B(k)$-valued points of maximal tori T_1 and respectively T_2 of $P_{\geq 0 B(k)}$ having the same image in $R_{0B(k)}$; based on the conjugation part of [Bo2, 20.5] we can assume $T_1 = T_2$ and so $b_1 = b_2$. We conclude:

Corollary. *The Newton polygons of* (M, φ) *and of* $(M, hg\varphi)$ *are the same.*

3.9.7.2.1. Remarks. 1) 3.9.7.1-2 extend automatically to the generalized Shimura context of 2.2.8 3) and 4) but (warning) not to the context of 2.2.8 3a) and 4a) (the reason is: in the context of 2.2.8 3a) and 4a), not any element *el* as in 3.9.7.1 can be used as an inner isomorphism).

2) The duality of language mentioned at the end of 2.2.3 3) allows us to redo all of 3.9.7.1-2 in the context of non-positive slopes. From the point of view of 3.9.7.2, we presently can not see any advantage of using the (refined) non-positive types instead of (refined) non-negative types.

3.9.7.3. An example. Let $\alpha \in W(k)$. We take $\dim_{W(k)}(M) = 6$ and $G = GL(M)$. Let φ_α take the elements of a 6-tuple $(e_1, ..., e_6)$ formed by elements of a $W(k)$-basis of M into $(e_2, pe_3, pe_1, e_5, e_6 + \alpha e_1, pe_4)$. The slopes of (M, φ_α) are $\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{2}{3}$. The non-negative type of (M, φ_α, G) is defined by the parabolic subgroup $P_{\geq 0}$ of G normalizing the $W(k)$-span $< e_1, e_2, e_3 >$. Let T be the maximal torus of G normalizing e_i, $i = \overline{1,6}$. Let $P_{>0}$, $P_{\geq 0}$ and R_0 be as abve. Let N_{16} be the unipotent subgroup of G that fixes e_i, $i = \overline{1,5}$, and takes e_6 into the $W(k)$-span $< e_1, e_6 >$. Its dimension is 1. We have:

Claim. *If α_1, $\alpha_2 \in W(k)$ are such that $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \notin pW(k)$, then (M, φ_{α_1}) and (M, φ_{α_2}) are not isomorphic (even if we consider pull backs to other algebraically closed fields containing k).*

Proof: This can be easily checked. First, any isomorphism between 2 members of the family $\big((M, \varphi_\alpha, G)\big)_{\alpha \in W(k)}$ is defined by an element $h_0 \in P_{\geq 0}(W(k))$. Second, we can write $h_0 = n_+ r_0$, with $n_+ \in P_{\geq 0}(W(k))$ and $r_0 \in R_0(W(k))$. Third, r_0 is an automorphism of (M, φ_0). Fourth, a simple computation shows that r_0 mod p (under inner conjugation) centralizes N_{16k}. Fifth, $P_{>0}$ is abelian and so all its $W(k)$-valued points (under inner conjugation) act trivially on N_{16}. So, $h_0 \varphi_{\alpha_1} h_0^{-1} = n_{12} \varphi_{\alpha_2}$, with $n_{12} \in P_{>0}(W(k))$ such that its component in N_{16} is non-zero mod p; here the components of n_{12} are with respect to $\mathbb{G}_a$ subgroups of $P_{>0}$ normalized by T. This ends the proof.

Conclusion: the number of isomorphism classes of p-divisible groups of rank 6 and dimension 3 over k is not finite. Based on 3.6.15 B we also get: there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the number of isomorphism classes of tuncations mod p^n of p-divisible groups of rank 6 and dimension 3 over k is not finite (it seems to us that we can take $n = 2$ but we will not stop to check this).

The above example can be put in a family: we just need to apply 3.6.11 in the context of N_{16} and of (M, φ_0, G).

3.9.8. Truncations in the adjoint Lie σ-crystal context. Not to be too long, we refer just to the adjoint context; the notations are independent of the previous ones. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ be an arbitrary Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystal. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g}), \tilde{F}^2(\mathfrak{g}), \Psi)$ be its Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt shift (cf. 3.9.1.1 and 3.4.5). In 2.2.14 we defined the truncation mod p^n, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ as well as of $(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{F}^1(\mathfrak{g}), \tilde{F}^2(\mathfrak{g}), \Psi)$. The pair

$$(\mathfrak{g}/p^n\mathfrak{g}, \Psi)$$

is referred as the truncation mod p^n of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ (see 3.13.7.4 2) below for a justification; here we still denote by Ψ its reduction mod p^n). As in 2.2.14.2, as the adjoint group over $W(k)$ having $\mathfrak{g}$ as its Lie algebra is uniquely determined (see 2.2.13), we speak about inner automorphisms of such truncations.

3.9.9. Deformations in the non-smooth context. We consider the following situation. Let $(M, \varphi_0, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ be a Shimura-ordinary σ-crystal with an emphasized family of tensors. Let $g \in G(W(k))$. We consider a set $\mathcal{J}_g$ containing $\mathcal{J}$ and an extra family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_g \setminus \mathcal{J}}$ of $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixed by $g\varphi_0$. Let G_1 be the Zariski closure in

$GL(M)$ of the subgroup of $GL(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixing t_α, $\forall \in \mathcal{J}_g$. We assume it is integral. The question is:

Q. *Under what conditions, the quadruple* $(M, g\varphi_0, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_g})$ *is the specialization of a similar quadruple over* $k[[x]]$*, with* x *an independent variable, such that by forgetting the extra tensors (indexed by* $\mathcal{J}_g \setminus \mathcal{J}$*) and by pull back under a geometric, dominant point of* $\mathrm{Spec}(k[[x]])$*, we get a Shimura-ordinary* F*-crystal (isomorphic to the corresponding pull back of* $(M, \varphi_0, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}))$*?*

For instance, if we have a quasi-polarization $p_M : (M, g\varphi_0) \otimes_{W(k)} (M, g\varphi_0) \to W(k)(1)$ and if G is the maximal integral subgroup of $GL(M)$ normalizing p_M, then the first main result of [NO] (in its abstract formulation pertaining to p-divisible groups) can be interpreted as: no extra conditions are required. We have a similar question, where $k[[x]]$ is replaced by the henselization of the localization of $k[x]$ w.r.t. (x).

To handle such questions, we propose an approach in two steps. First step would be: use B6 of 3.14 below to deform $(M, \varphi_0, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_g})$ to a similar quadruple $(M\otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), g_1(\varphi_0\otimes 1), G_{W(k_1)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_g}))$ over the algebraic closure k_1 of $k((x))$ which lifts to $W(k_1)$, in the sense that there is a lift F_1^1 of $(M\otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), g_1(\varphi_0\otimes 1), G_{W(k_1)})$ such that t_α is in the F_1^0-filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ defined by F_1^1, $\forall\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_g\setminus\mathcal{J}$. Second step would be: show that the quintuple $(M\otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), g_1(\varphi_0\otimes 1), G_{W(k_1)})$ can be deformed over the p-adic completion of an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale scheme over a smooth $W(k_1)$-scheme, which has a connected special fibre and has k_1-valued points with the property that the pull back through them of the corresponding deformation are, when the extra tensors are ignored, Shimura-ordinary σ_{k_1}-crystals.

In what follows we deal only with the second step; it gives a lot of extra information (warning: the below proof is part of the third place where we need $p > 2$). So we assume there is a lift F^1 of $(M, g\varphi_0, G)$ such that $t_\alpha \in F^0(\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}]))$, $\forall\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_g\setminus\mathcal{J}$. We also assume there is a smooth, affine $W(k)$-scheme G_2 and a $W(k)$-morphism $m_1 : G_2 \to G_1$ such that the following condition holds:

COND. $g \in G_1(W(k))$ and there are $W(k)$-valued points z_1 and z_2 of G_2 lifting respectively 1_M and g^{-1} and whose special fibres factor through the same connected component of G_{2k}.

A. Theorem. *There is an* $\mathbb{N}$*-pro-étale scheme* G_3 *of* G_2 *to which* z_1 *lifts and whose special fibre is a connected, AG* k*-scheme, and there is a deformation (defined by an object of* $p-\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(G_3)$*) of* $(M, F^1, g\varphi_0, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_g})$ *over* $G_3^\wedge$ *which generically in this special fibre is (in the sense of forgetting all tensors indexed by elements of* $\mathcal{J}_g\setminus\mathcal{J}$*) Shimura-ordinary.*

Proof: We write $G_2 = \mathrm{Spec}(R_2)$. Localizing, we can assume we have a potential-deformation sheet (G_2, b_2, z_1) and that G_{2k} is connected; warning: it does not matter if z_2 does not factor any more through G_2 under this localization. Let Φ_{R_2} be the Frobenius of $R_2^\wedge$ we get as in 3.6.9.1 from b_2. We apply 3.6.18.6 to the following p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R_2)$

$$\mathfrak{C}_2 := (M\otimes_{W(k)} R_2^\wedge, F^1\otimes_{W(k)} R_2^\wedge, g_2^{\mathrm{univ}}(g\varphi_0\otimes 1)),$$

with $g_2^{\mathrm{univ}} \in G(R_2^\wedge) \subset GL(M)(R_2)$ the universal element logically defined by m_1. Let

$$\ell_2 : G_3 \to G_2$$

be as ℓ_1 in the end of 3.6.18.6 a); so $G_3^\wedge := M_0(\mathfrak{C}_2)$ as a G_2-scheme. 3.9.2 implies that the condition of Shimura-ordinariness is an open condition. So from COND we deduce that there is an open subscheme U_2 of G_{2k} such that for any $W(\bar{k})$-valued Teichmüller lift z of $G_2^\wedge$ whose special fibre factors through U_2, $(z^*(\mathfrak{C}_2), G_{W(\bar{k})})$ is a lift of a Shimura-ordinary $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal. From this and 3.1.8.1.2 b), the Theorem follows (to be compared with 3.9.3.1).

B. Example. We assume that we are in the context of a quasi-polarized filtered σ-crystal $(M, F^1, g\varphi_0, p_M)$. So $G = GL(M)$ and G is the maximal integral subgroup of G normalizing p_M. We assume $g \in G_1(W(k))$ (if $k = \bar{k}$, due to the assumption on F^1 this represents no restriction as we can see through simple arguments involving $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structures as in 2.2.9 8)). Let $e \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\dim_{W(k)}(M) = 2e$. It is an elementary fact that there is a $W(k)$-basis $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_{2e}\}$ of M such that $p_M(e_i, e_j) = 0$ unless $|j - i| = e$. We can assume $p_M(e_i, e_{i+e}) = p^{n_i}$, where

$$1 = n_1 = n_2 = ... = n_{i_1} < n_{i_1+1} = ... = n_{i_2} < ... < n_{i_{m-1}+1} = ... = n_{i_m},$$

with $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i_m = e$. Let $i_0 := 0$. There is a connected subgroup S_1 of $GL(M/pM)$ such that the special fibres of all $W(\bar{k})$-valued points of G_1, do factor through S_1 and the resulting set of $\bar{k}$-valued points of S_1 is dense in S_1. This is an immediate consequence of the below two properties (P1) and (P2) and of the fact that the standard representation of any symplectic group over a field is absolutely irreducible (this last thinks takes care of the connectedness part). We have:

$$(P1) \qquad S_1^{\mathrm{der}} = \prod_{j=1}^{m} Sp(V_j, p^{-n_j} p_M)_k,$$

where

$$V_j := < e_{i_{j-1}+1}, ..., e_{i_j}, e_{i_{j-1}+1+e}, ..., e_{i_j+e} > .$$

Moreover,

$$(P2) \qquad s(V_j/pV_j) \subset \oplus_{l=j}^{m} V_j/pV_j \otimes_k \bar{k},$$

$\forall s \in S_1(\bar{k})$. So using a sequence of dilatation (see [BLR, 3.2-4]; the first one is the dilatation of S_1 in G_1), we deduce the existence of a morphism ℓ_2 as above for which COND holds; ℓ_2 is in fact a homomorphism (cf. [BLR, (d) of p. 64]). We conclude:

Corollary. *Any quasi-polarized p-divisible group over k which lifts to a quasi-polarized p-divisible group over $W(k)$, can be deformed over the p-adic completion of an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale scheme X over a smooth $W(k)$-scheme, with X_k as a geometrically connected, AG k-scheme, to a quasi-polarized, ordinary p-divisible group over $\bar{k}$.*

There are plenty of examples (for instance, see [Nor, ch. 4]) of quasi-polarized $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals which are not obtained from quasi-polarized filtered $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals by forgetting the filtration. Here is a very simple numerical version of loc. cit. We take $e = 2$ and $k = \mathbb{F}_p$. We assume $p_M(e_1, e_3) = 1$ and $p_M(e_2, e_4) = p$. We denote $g\varphi_0$ by φ and we assume φ takes (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) into (e_3, e_4, pe_1, pe_2). So all slopes of (M, φ) are $\frac{1}{2}$. It is trivial to see that there is no direct summand F^1 of $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F})$ lifting the $\mathbb{F}$-submodule of $M \otimes_{W(k)} \mathbb{F}$ generated by e_3 and e_4 mod p and such that $p_M(a, b) = 0$, $\forall a, b \in F^1$.

C. Remarks. 1) We come back to the general context of a lift F^1 of $(M, g\varphi_0, G)$ such that $t_\alpha \in F^0(\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}]))$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}_g \setminus \mathcal{J}$. As in C we define S_1. Warning: in general S_1 is not connected. However, S_1 is a smooth k-group. We consider a homomorphism $m_1' : G_2' \to G_1$, with G_2' smooth over $W(k)$, which is obtained as in C, using dilatations. Using the universal property of dilatations (see [BLR, p. 63]), we deduce that we can assume m_1 factors through m_1'. If COND holds for m_1 then it holds for m' and so we can assume $m_1 = m_1'$; however, for different computational problems it is still useful not to assume $m_1 = m_1'$. The Kodaira–Spencer map of the deformation we get over a $G_3'^{\wedge}$ as in the above proof (but working with m_1'), in each $\bar{k}$-valued point y of G_3' has an image whose dimension is exactly the dimension d of the image of $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{2k}') \to \mathrm{Lie}(S_{1k}))$ in $\mathrm{End}(M)/F^0(\mathrm{End}(M)) \otimes_{W(k)} k$. So forgetting the tensors t_α, $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_g \setminus \mathcal{J}$, by taking suitable slices we get (over resulting formally smooth subschemes of $G_3'^{\wedge}$ of relative dimension d) Shimura p-divisible groups which are uni plus quasi-versal.

2) We do not assume anymore the existence of F^1 as in 1). Still S_1 can be defined as in C. Let S_2 be the reduced group subscheme of S_1 which normalizes the kernel $\bar{F}_1$ of φ_0 mod p. If S_2 has no characters producing as usual a direct sum decomposition $M/pM = \bar{F}^1 \oplus \bar{F}^0$, then an F^1 as in 1) does not exist.

3.10. Terminology and formulas.

All terminologies and formulas to be introduced below in the context of Shimura Lie σ-crystals, will be used as well in the rational context of Shimura (adjoint) (filtered) Lie isocrystals attached to Shimura (adjoint) (filtered) Lie σ-crystals.

3.10.0. Cyclic Shimura Lie σ-crystals. The notations that follows are similar to the previous ones but, without special reference, they are independent. We start considering an arbitrary perfect field k. A Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystal $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ over k is said to be cyclic, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of an adjoint group G over $W(k)$ such that considering the product decomposition $G_{W(\bar{k})} = \prod_{i \in \tilde{I}} G_i'$ in simple groups over $W(\bar{k})$, $\varphi \otimes 1$ permuting cyclically the direct summands $\mathrm{Lie}(G_i')[\frac{1}{p}]$'s of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k})[\frac{1}{p}]$.

$(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ is said to be trivial if $\varphi(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}$; otherwise it is said to be non-trivial. $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ is said to be of A_ℓ, B_ℓ, C_ℓ, D_ℓ, E_6 or E_7 Lie type if the factors G_i' are of this Lie type; in what follows, we ignore the other Lie types, as they force $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ to be trivial, cf. 2.2.7.

As in 3.2.3, let $g \in G(W(\bar{k}))$ be such that $g(\varphi \otimes 1)$ takes the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{t}$ of a Borel subgroup $B_{W(\bar{k})}$ of $G_{W(\bar{k})}$ and respectively of a maximal torus $T_{W(\bar{k})}$ of $B_{W(\bar{k})}$ into themselves. The permutation of the basis of roots of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_i')$ w.r.t. the maximal torus

$T'_i := T_{W(\bar{k})} \cap G'_i$ of G'_i and corresponding to the Borel subgroup $B'_i := B_{W(\bar{k})} \cap G'_i$ of G'_i, induced (as in 3.4.3.1) by the restriction of $(g(\varphi \otimes 1))^{|\tilde{I}|}$ to $\mathrm{Lie}(B'_i)$, can be non-trivial. This permutation can be (cf. 3.4.3.1):

– an involution (this can happen only when $\mathfrak{g}$ is of A_ℓ, D_ℓ or E_6 Lie type);

– a cycle of order 3 (this can happen only when $\mathfrak{g}$ is of D_4 Lie type);

– trivial.

We say respectively that $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k}), g(\varphi \otimes 1))$ (or $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ itself) is with involution, or with a 3-cycle, or without a cycle.

All above definitions are used as well in a filtered context or in a non-necessarily adjoint context (i.e. when we work with the Lie algebra of a semisimple group over $W(k)$ which is not necessarily adjoint). In particular we also speak about cyclic Shimura Lie σ-crystals which are not necessarily adjoint.

3.10.0.1. Remark. If we just require the simple factors of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ to be permuted cyclically by φ, then we say $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ is weakly cyclic. These notions of weakly cyclic and cyclic coincide over finite fields but not in general. To exemplify this, we restrict to the trivial context, as the adjustments for the non-trivial context are easily made. Let k be such that there is a Galois extension of it k_1 of whose Galois group is not cyclic. Let γ be an automorphism of $W(k_1)$ whose restriction to $W(k)$ is σ. Let H_1 be a split, simple adjoint group over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $H := \mathrm{Res}_{W(k_1)/W(k)} H_{1W(k_1)}$; it is a $W(k)$-simple, adjoint group. Its Lie algebra (over $W(k)$) is $\mathrm{Lie}(H_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k_1)$. We consider the σ-linear automorphism a of it which acts identically on $\mathrm{Lie}(H_1)$ and as γ on $W(k_1)$. $H_{W(k_1)}$ is a disjoint union of $[k_1 : k]$ copies of $H_{1W(k_1)}$; but, as $\mathrm{Gal}(k_1/k)$ is not cyclic, the Lie algebras of these copies of $H_{1W(k_1)}$ are not permuted transitively by $a \otimes 1$.

In what follows, without special reference, we deal only with the cyclic notion.

3.10.1. Definitions: cyclic factors. Any split Shimura adjoint (filtered) Lie σ-crystal $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of cyclic split Shimura adjoint (filtered) Lie σ-crystals, which are called the cyclic factors of $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{ad}}$. The direct sum of the non-trivial cyclic factors is called the non-trivial part of $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{ad}}$, while the direct sum of the trivial ones is called the trivial part of $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{ad}}$. Similarly, for any Shimura filtered σ-crystal (M, F^1, φ, G_M) or Shimura σ-crystal (M, φ, G_M) (resp. for any Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_M), \varphi, F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_M)), F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G_M)))$ or Shimura Lie σ-crystal $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_M), \varphi)$), with G_M^{ad} a split group, we define its cyclic Lie factors (resp. its cyclic factors), as well as its cyclic adjoint factors.

For instance, with the notations of the part of 3.4 ending with 3.4.1, the Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_2, F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0), F^1(\mathfrak{g}_0))$ of 3.4.1 is cyclic and is called a cyclic Lie factor of (M, F^1, φ_2, G) (or a cyclic factor of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_2, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$); its adjoint (defined naturally using the Lie algebra of $\prod_{i \in I_0} G_i^{\mathrm{ad}}$) is called a cyclic adjoint factor of (M, F^1, φ_2, G) or of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_2, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$.

Similarly we speak about the non-trivial part (or the trivial part) of a split Shimura (filtered) Lie σ-crystal $\mathcal{L}$. Warning: they are not necessarily defined by direct summands of the underlying module of $\mathcal{L}$.

Similarly, for any Shimura (adjoint) (filtered) Lie σ-crystal (resp. any Shimura (filtered) σ-crystal), we speak about its weakly cyclic (adjoint) factors (resp. about its weakly cyclic Lie factors and its weakly cyclic adjoint factors) and about these factors being trivial or non-trivial. The only difference is: the adjoint groups we get are not always products of absolutely simple, adjoint groups (cf. 3.10.0.1). Whenever possible, we drop the word weakly.

3.10.2. A context. From now on we work with a cyclic Shimura adjoint filtered Lie σ-crystal $\big(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g})\big)$ which is non-trivial and has the property that there are Lie algebras $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{t}$ of a Borel subgroup B of G and respectively of a maximal torus T of B, such that $F^1(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{b} \subset F^0(\mathfrak{g})$, $\varphi(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathfrak{t}$ and $\varphi(\mathfrak{b}) \subset \mathfrak{b}$ (to be compared with 3.2.3). Here G is as in 3.10.0.

All the terminology to be introduced below for the case G split is also used:

a) in the context of the above paragraph (via the passage to $W(\bar{k})$), and

b) for cyclic factors of split Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystals defined by Shimura-canonical lifts (i.e. for factors like $\big(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1, F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0), F^1(\mathfrak{g}_0)\big)$ of 3.4.1).

3.10.3. Notations. From now on we also assume G is a split group. For not overloading the notations, we consider $G = \times_{i \in I_0} G_i$, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0, F^0(\mathfrak{g}) = F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0), F^1(\mathfrak{g}) = F^1(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ ("with $\mathfrak{g}_0$ of 3.4.0") and $\varphi = \varphi_1$ ("of 3.2.3"). So we use fully the notations and conventions introduced in 3.4.0-3 and 3.4.5. So $\tilde{I} = I_0$ and $G'_i = G_i$, $\forall i \in I_0$. Warning: here G_i are adjoint groups; so only the notations are as in the mentioned places (this motivates the above use of quotation marks).

3.10.4. The ε-type. The m-tuple $(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_m)$ is called the ε-type of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$. Here

$$m := \left|\tilde{I}_0\right| = e\,|I_0| \in \{|I|_0\,, 2\,|I_0|\,, 3\,|I_0|\}.$$

If $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is with involution or with a 3-cycle, then we replace $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ by

$$(\mathfrak{g}_0^e, \varphi_1^{(e)})$$

(cf. 3.4.3.1) (e being 2 or 3, depending on π_1 being an involution or a 3-cycle). Here

$$\varepsilon_i \in S(0, \ell).$$

3.10.4.1. Warning. We replace $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ by $(\mathfrak{g}_0^e, \varphi_1^{(e)})$ only for defining the ε-type of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$. For formulas that follows (see 3.10.6) this replacement is not allowed (performed).

3.10.4.2. On the value of m. If $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is without a cycle, then the m-tuple $(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_m)$ is uniquely determined up to a cyclic permutation and up to a renumbering of the roots of Δ_1 (cf. 3.4.3.2). We have $m = n = |I_0|$.

If $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is with involution then the m-tuple $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m)$ is uniquely determined up to a cyclic permutation and, in the case when $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of D_4 Lie type, up to a renumbering of the roots of Δ_1. We have $m = 2n$.

If $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is with a 3-cycle then the m-tuple $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m)$ is uniquely determined up to cyclic permutation and up to a renumbering of the roots of Δ_1. We have $m = 3n$.

3.10.4.3. Convention. An ε-type $(\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_m)$ is said to be in the standard form, if $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \varepsilon_i(\ell+1)^{m-i}$ is the greatest number among all numbers obtained in this manner using an ε-type obtained from $(\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_m)$ through the rules of 3.10.4.2 (i.e. up to renumberings and cyclic permutations). Here the role of $\ell + 1$ is irrelevant: we just need an integer greater than all ε_i's (to be compared with 2.2.22 3)). We always prefer to use ε-types which are in the standard form (cf. also 2.2.22 3)). For instance, we prefer to consider the ε-type $(1, 1, 0, 0)$ rather than the ε-type $(0, 0, 1, 1)$ and to consider the ε-type $(\ell, \ell - 1, 0, 1, 0)$ rather than $(\ell - 1, 0, 1, 0, \ell)$ or $(1, 0, \ell, \ell - 1, 0)$ (here $\ell \geq 4$).

3.10.4.4. Spreadings. We define $A := \{\varepsilon_i | i \in I_1\}$ and $B := \{\varepsilon_i | i \in \tilde{I}_1\}$. We have $|A| \leq |B| \leq e\,|A|$. The numbers $|A|$ and $|B|$ are called the apparent spreading and respectively the spreading of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$.

3.10.5. Definitions. If $\varepsilon_i \neq 0$, $\forall i \in S(1, m)$, then $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is said to be of totally non-compact type. If there is $i \in I_0$ such that $\varepsilon_i = 0$, then we say $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ has compact factors or is of compact type.

If all ε_i, $i \in S(1, m)$, are equal and different from 0 (i.e. when $|B| = 1$ and $I_0 = I_1$), we say $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is totally non-compact of constant type, or totally non-compact of type:

i) 1 if $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of B_ℓ, C_ℓ $(\ell \geq 1)$, D_4, E_6 or E_7 Lie type, or of D_ℓ Lie type $(\ell \geq 5)$ with $\varepsilon_1 = 1$.

ii) 2 if $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of D_ℓ Lie type, with $\ell \geq 5$, and $\varepsilon_1 \in \{\ell - 1, \ell\}$.

iii) $\min\{\varepsilon_1, 1 + \ell - \varepsilon_1\}$ if $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of A_ℓ Lie type.

In case i) for $\mathfrak{g}_0$ of D_ℓ Lie type, with $\ell \geq 4$, we also say $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is totally non-compact of $D_\ell^{\mathbb{R}}$ type. In case ii) we also say $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is totally non-compact of simple $D_\ell^{\mathbb{H}}$ type. Similarly, if all non-zero ε_i's are equal $(i \in S(1, m))$ but there is $i_0 \in I_0$ such that $\varepsilon_{i_0} = 0$, we say $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is of constant type, or of type 1 (or of $D_\ell^{\mathbb{R}}$ type), or of type 2 (or of simple $D_\ell^{\mathbb{H}}$ type), or of type $\min\{\varepsilon_i, 1 + \ell - \varepsilon_i\}$ (with $i \in I_0$ such that $\varepsilon_i \neq 0$) depending on which of the situations i) to iii) we are, but dropping (sometimes replacing by the expression compact) the expression of totally non-compact type.

If $|B| \geq 2$ we say $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is of non-constant type. This can happen only when $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of A_ℓ $(\ell \geq 2)$, D_ℓ $(\ell \geq 4)$ or E_6 Lie type.

If $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of D_ℓ Lie type, with $B = \{\ell - 1, \ell\}$, or if $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of D_4 Lie type, with $|B| = 2$, we say $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is of non-simple $D_\ell^{\mathbb{H}}$ type. The non-simple and the simple $D_\ell^{\mathbb{H}}$ types are also referred as $D_\ell^{\mathbb{H}}$ types. In the cases when $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of D_4 Lie type with $|B| = 3$, or of D_ℓ Lie type, with $\ell \geq 5$ and $|B| \geq 2$ but $B \neq \{\ell - 1, \ell\}$, we say $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is of mixed D_ℓ type. If $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of D_ℓ Lie type with $|B| = 3$, that is with $B = \{1, \ell - 1, \ell\}$, we say $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is of strongly mixed D_ℓ type.

If $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of A_ℓ Lie type with $|B| \geq 2$, we say $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is of $\{a, b\}$ A_ℓ type, where $1 \leq a < b \leq \ell$ and $a \leq \ell + 1 - b$ and, if potentially changing $\ell - i$ with $i + 1$, $i = \overline{0, \ell - 1}$, a is the smallest element of B and b is the greatest element of B.

$q_1 := |I_1|$ is called the non-compact length of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ and $q_0 := n = |I_0|$ is called the cyclic length of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. As we assumed $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is non-trivial (see 3.10.2), we have $q_1 \geq 1$. By the rank of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ we mean the rank $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ of any simple Lie factor of $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

Most of the above terminology is inspired from and conforms to [De2].

3.10.5.1. The concentrated ε-type. The eq_0-tuple τ^{c} obtained from the ε-type $(\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_m)$ by removing all zeros is referred as the concentrated ε-type of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$. τ^{c} is called oscillating if $eq_0 \geq 2$ and all its two consecutive elements (in the circular sense) are distinct. If $|B| = 2$ and τ^{c} is oscillating, we say τ^{c} is alternating. If τ^{c} is alternating and if B is stable under the group of outer automorphisms of Φ_1 leaving invariant Φ_1^+, we say τ^{c} is involutive. For the A_2 and E_6 Lie type any oscillating concentrated ε-type is automatically involutive (but this is not so for the A_ℓ and D_ℓ Lie types, with $\ell \geq 3$).

3.10.6. Formulas. The formulas below are based:

– on the list of hermitian symmetric domains and of their real dimension (see [He, p. 518]), and on

– the standard tables of roots of the simple, split, semisimple Lie algebras over a field of characteristic 0 (see [Bou2, planche I-VI]).

There is nothing deep about the computations involved and so we mostly just list the results. All below multiplicities are obtained by counting the roots of Φ_1^+ having in their expression (as sums with positive, integral coefficients of roots of Δ_1) a specific subset of Δ_1.

i) Let $\mathfrak{g}_0$ be of B_ℓ, C_ℓ or E_7 Lie type.

The slopes of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ are precisely $-\frac{q_1}{q_0}$, 0 and $\frac{q_1}{q_0}$. The multiplicity of $\frac{q_1}{q_0}$ is $q_0 \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$, where X is an irreducible hermitian symmetric domain whose group of automorphisms is of the same Lie type as $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

If $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of C_ℓ Lie type, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2} = \dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{g}_1)\frac{\ell+1}{4\ell+2}$.

If $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of B_ℓ Lie type, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = 2\ell - 1 = \dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{g}_1)\frac{2\ell-1}{2\ell^2+\ell}$.

If $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of E_7 Lie type, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = 27 = \frac{27}{133}\dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$.

ii) Let $\mathfrak{g}_0$ be of E_6 Lie type.

Case 1. $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is of type 1; then everything is as in i), with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = 16 = \frac{8}{39}\dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$.

Case 2. $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is of non-constant type, without a cycle and with $|I_2| \neq |I_1 \backslash I_2|$, where $I_2 := \{i \in I_1 | \varepsilon_i = 1\}$. We can assume $q_2 := |I_2| > |I_1 \backslash I_2|$. The slopes of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ are precisely $-\frac{q_1}{q_0}$, $-\frac{q_2}{q_0}$, $\frac{-q_1+q_2}{q_0}$, 0, $\frac{q_1-q_2}{q_0}$, $\frac{q_2}{q_0}$ and $\frac{q_1}{q_0}$.

The multiplicities of the slopes $\frac{q_1}{q_0}$, $\frac{q_2}{q_0}$ and $\frac{q_1-q_2}{q_0}$ are all equal to $8q_0$.

Case 3. $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is of non-constant type with involution or is without a cycle but with $|I_2| = |I_1 \backslash I_2|$ (with I_2 as in Case 2). The slopes of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ are precisely $\frac{-q_1}{q_0}$, $\frac{-q_1}{2q_0}, 0, \frac{q_1}{2q_0}$ and $\frac{q_1}{q_0}$.

The multiplicity of $\frac{q_1}{2q_0}$ is $16q_0$. The multiplicity of $\frac{q_1}{q_0}$ is $8q_0$.

In all these three cases we have at most 3 positive slopes.

iii) Let $\mathfrak{g}_0$ be of D_ℓ Lie type.

Case 1. $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is of type 1 (i.e. of $D_\ell^{\mathbb{R}}$ type); then the situation is as in i), with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = 2(\ell - 1) = \dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{g}_1)\frac{2\ell-2}{2\ell^2-\ell}$.

Case 2. $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is of type 2 (i.e. it is of simple $D_l^{\mathbb{H}}$ type); then the situation is as in i), with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = \frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2} = \dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{g}_1)\frac{\ell-1}{4\ell-2}$.

Case 3. $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is of non-simple $D_\ell^{\mathbb{H}}$ type. We can assume $B = \{\ell - 1, \ell\}$ even for $\ell = 4$. Let $I_2 := \{i \in I_1 | \varepsilon_i = \ell - 1\}$. We can assume $q_2 := |I_2| \geq |I_1 \backslash I_2|$.

Subcase 1. $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is without a cycle and $q_2 \neq q_1 - q_2$. The slopes are like in Case 2 of ii).

The multiplicity of the slope $\frac{q_1}{q_0}$ is $\frac{(\ell-1)(\ell-2)}{2}q_0$.

The multiplicities of the slopes $\frac{q_2}{q_0}$ and $\frac{-q_2+q_1}{q_0}$ are equal to $(\ell - 1)q_0$.

Subcase 2. $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is without a cycle and $|I_2| = |I_1 \backslash I_2|$ or is with involution. The slopes are like in Case 3 of ii).

The multiplicity of $\frac{q_1}{q_0}$ is $\frac{(\ell-1)(\ell-2)}{2}q_0$.

The multiplicity of $\frac{q_1}{2q_0}$ is $2(\ell - 1)q_0$.

Case 4. $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is of mixed D_ℓ type.

Subcase 1. $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is of strongly mixed type. Let $I_2 := \{i \in I_1 | \varepsilon_i = 1\}$, $I_3 := \{i \in I_1 | \varepsilon_i = \ell - 1\}$ and $I_4 := \{i \in I_1 | \varepsilon_i = \ell\}$. Let $q_j := |I_j|$, $j = \overline{2, 4}$. We have $q_1 = q_2 + q_3 + q_4$. We can assume $q_3 \geq q_4$.

Subcase 1.1. $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is without a cycle. So $q_j \geq 1, \forall j \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. The set of slopes is formed from 0 and $\pm a$, where a is of the form $\frac{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_0} q_j}{q_0}$, with $\mathcal{J}_0$ a non-empty subset of $\{2, 3, 4\}$.

The multiplicity of

$$a = \frac{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_0} q_j}{q_0}$$

is equal to

$$(\sum_{\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{J}_1} r_{\mathcal{J}})q_0,$$

where $\mathcal{J}_1$ is the set of non-empty subsets of $\{2, 3, 4\}$, with the property that $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_1} q_j = aq_0$. Here $r_{\{2,3,4\}} = \ell - 2$, $r_{\{2,3\}} = r_{\{2,4\}} = 1$, $r_{\{3,4\}} = \frac{(\ell-3)(\ell-2)}{2}$ and $r_{\{2\}} = r_{\{3\}} =$

$r_{\{4\}} = \ell - 2$. In other words, $r_{\{2,3,4\}}$ is the number of roots of Φ_1^+ having α_1, α_ℓ and $\alpha_{\ell-1}$ in their expression (as a sum of elements of Δ_1), $r_{\{2,3\}}$ is the number of roots of Φ_1^+ having α_1 and $\alpha_{\ell-1}$ while not having α_ℓ in their expression, etc. (we recall that we are using the notations of 3.4, cf. 3.10.3).

Subcase 1.2. $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is with a 3-cycle. This implies $\ell = 4$. The slopes are $-\frac{q_1}{q_0}, \frac{-2q_1}{3q_0}, \frac{-q_1}{3q_0}, 0, \frac{q_1}{3q_0}, \frac{2q_1}{3q_0}$ and $\frac{q_1}{q_0}$.

The multiplicity of the slope $\frac{q_1}{q_0}$ is $2q_0$.

The multiplicity of the slope $\frac{2q_1}{3q_0}$ is $3q_0$.

The multiplicities of the slopes $\frac{q_1}{3q_0}$ and 0 are $6q_0$.

Subcase 1.3. $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is with involution. Let Inv be the involution of the basis of roots of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ (w.r.t. $\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{b}$) which takes $\alpha_{\ell-1}$ into α_ℓ (this fits the assumption $q_3 \geq q_4$ for $\ell = 4$). This implies $q_2 \geq 1$. The slopes are $0, \pm a$, with a of the form $\left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_0} q_j\right)\frac{1}{2q_0}$, for

$$\mathcal{J}_0 \in SS := \{\{2,3,4,5,6,7\}, \{2,3,4,5\}, \{3,4,6,7\}, \{2,5\}, \{3,4\}\}.$$

Here $q_{3+i} = q_i$ for $i = \overline{2,4}$, while the elements of SS are keeping track of the orbits of the natural action of Inv on the non-empty subsets of the set $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_{\ell-1}, \alpha_\ell\}$; so $\{3,4\}$ corresponds to the orbit formed by the two sets $\{\alpha_{\ell-1}\}$ and $\{\alpha_\ell\}$, $\{2,5\}$ corresponds to the orbit formed by the set $\{\alpha_1\}$, $\{3,4,6,7\}$ corresponds to the orbit formed by the set $\{\alpha_{\ell-1}, \alpha_\ell\}$, $\{2,3,4,5\}$ corresponds to the orbit formed by the two sets $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_{\ell-1}\}$ and $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_\ell\}$, while $\{2,3,4,5,6,7\}$ corresponds to the orbit formed by the set $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_{\ell-1}, \alpha_\ell\}$.

The multiplicity of

$$a = \frac{1}{2q_0}\left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_0} q_j\right)$$

is

$$\left(\sum_{\mathcal{J}_1 \in \mathcal{J}_2} r_{\mathcal{J}_1}\right) q_0,$$

where $\mathcal{J}_2 := \{\mathcal{J} \in SS | \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} q_j = 2q_0 a = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_0} q_j\}$. Here $r_{\mathcal{J}_1}$ is $\ell - 2$ if $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{2,3,4,5,6,7\}$ or $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{2,5\}$, is 2 if $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{2,3,4,5\}$, is $\frac{(\ell-3)(\ell-2)}{2}$ if $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{3,4,6,7\}$ and is $2(\ell-2)$ if $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{3,4\}$. These numbers $r_{\mathcal{J}_1}$ have an entirely similar significance as the similar numbers of Subcase 1.1.

Subcase 2. $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is not of strongly mixed type. So $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is without an involution and $\ell \geq 5$. This subcase is entirely analogous to Subcase 1.1, for the value $q_4 = 0$.

In all these four cases the number of positive slopes is at most 7.

iv) Let $\mathfrak{g}_0$ be of A_ℓ Lie type ($\ell \geq 2$).

Case 1. $|B| = 1$. This happens when $|A| = 1$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is without a cycle or when $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_1)$ is with involution and $A = \{\frac{\ell+1}{2}\}$ (in this second situation ℓ must be odd).

The slopes are $-\frac{q_1}{q_0}$, 0 and $\frac{q_1}{q_0}$.

The multiplicity of the slope $\frac{q_1}{q_0}$ is $i_0(\ell+1-i_0)q_0$, where $B=\{i_0\}$. It is less or equal to $\frac{q_0(\ell+1)^2}{4}=\dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{g}_0)\frac{\ell^2+2\ell+1}{4(\ell^2+2\ell)}$.

Case 2. $|B|=2$. We distinguish three subcases.

Subcase 1. $A=\{i_0\}$, with $i_0\neq\frac{\ell+1}{2}$, and $(\mathfrak{g}_0,\varphi_1)$ is with involution. We can assume $i_0<\frac{\ell+1}{2}$. The slopes are $-\frac{q_1}{q_0}, \frac{-q_1}{2q_0}, 0, \frac{q_1}{2q_0}$ and $\frac{q_1}{q_0}$.

The multiplicity of $\frac{q_1}{q_0}$ is $i_0^2 q_0$.

The multiplicity of $\frac{q_1}{2q_0}$ is $2i_0(\ell+1-2i_0)q_0$.

Subcase 2. $A=\{i_0,i_1\}$, with $1\leq i_0\leq\frac{\ell+1}{2}$, $i_0<i_1$, and $(\mathfrak{g}_0,\varphi_1)$ is without a cycle. Let $I_2:=\{j\in I_1|\varepsilon_j=i_0\}$ and let $q_2:=|I_2|$.

Subcase 2.1. $2q_2\neq q_1$. The slopes are $\pm\frac{q_1}{q_0}$, $\pm\frac{q_2}{q_0}$, $\pm\frac{q_1-q_2}{q_0}$ and 0.

The multiplicity of $\frac{q_1}{q_0}$ is $i_0(\ell+1-i_1)q_0$.

The multiplicity of $\frac{q_2}{q_0}$ is $i_0(i_1-i_0)q_0$.

The multiplicity of $\frac{q_1-q_2}{q_0}$ is $(\ell+1-i_1)(-i_0+i_1)q_0$.

Subcase 2.2. $2q_2=q_1$. The slopes are $\pm\frac{q_1}{q_0}, \pm\frac{q_1}{2q_0}$ and 0.

The multiplicity of $\frac{q_1}{q_0}$ is $i_0(\ell+1-i_1)q_0$.

The multiplicity of $\frac{q_1}{2q_0}$ is $(i_1-i_0)(\ell+1-i_1+i_0)q_0$.

Subcase 3. $A=\{i_0,\ell+1-i_0\}$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_0,\varphi_1)$ is with involution. This is entirely similar to Subcase 1.

Case 3. $|B|\geq 3$. So $|A|\geq 2$. We do not treat this case here. We just mention two things. The multiplicity of the greatest positive slope $\frac{q_1}{q_0}$ is $i_0(\ell+1-i_1)$, where i_0 (resp. i_1) is the smallest (resp. the greatest) element of B. The number of positive slopes is at most

$$\frac{|B|^2+|B|}{2}.$$

We get this by counting the equivalence classes of the following equivalence relation r_B on Φ_1^+. α, $\beta\in\Phi_1^+$ are in relation r_B iff in their expression as a sum of elements of Δ_1 α_i shows up with the same coefficient, $\forall i\in B$: their number is exactly the number of positive slopes of the $A_{|B|}$ Lie type. Moreover, if $(\mathfrak{g}_0,\varphi_1)$ is with involution then in fact the number of positive slopes is at most

$$\frac{|B|^2+|B|+2[\frac{|B|+1}{2}]}{4},$$

i.e. it is at most equal to the number of orbits of the natural action of the involution of Φ_1 leaving Φ^+ invariant on the set of such equivalence classes. If q_1 is big enough w.r.t. $|B|$ one can check immediately that both these upper bounds can be attained.

3.10.7. Some facts. If the ε-type of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is $(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_m)$, then $\mathfrak{p}_0 := W_0(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ (resp. $W^0(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$) is a direct sum of its intersection with the factors $\mathfrak{g}_i$ of $\mathfrak{g}_0$, $i \in I_0$, while its intersection with $\mathfrak{g}_i$ is the $W(k)$-module generated by $\mathfrak{t}_i$ and by the rank 1 $W(k)$-submodules corresponding –in the sense of 3.4.3.2– to all roots of Φ_i^+ (resp. of Φ_i^-) and to those negative (resp. positive) roots not having $-\alpha_{\varepsilon_j}(i)$ (resp. $\alpha_{\varepsilon_j}(i)$) in their expression, for any $j \in S(1, m)$ such that $\varepsilon_j \neq 0$; this is a consequence of 3.4.3.0 via the numbering in 3.4.3.2.

Using this we get directly the following Facts:

F0. *We fix the Lie type of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Then $\frac{1}{q_0}$ times the number of slopes 0 of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ depends only on the set of non-zero elements ε_j's ($j \in S(1, m)$).*

F1. $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_i$ *is a maximal parabolic Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_i$ iff $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is of constant type.*

F2. $\mathfrak{p}_0 = F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ *iff $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is totally non-compact of constant type (i.e. iff $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is an ordinary Shimura Lie σ-crystal).*

F3. $\mathfrak{p}_0$ *is a Borel Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ iff $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of A_ℓ Lie type with $B = S(1, \ell)$. Here $\ell \geq 1$.*

F4. *The Lie stable p-rank of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is always positive and divisible by q_0. It is q_0 (i.e. the refined Lie stable p-rank $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is the 1-tuple (1)) iff $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of A_ℓ Lie type with $\{1, \ell\} \subset B$.*

When the situation of $F3$ takes place, we say that $\big(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1, F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0), F^1(\mathfrak{g}_0)\big)$ is of strong Borel type (cf. 2.2.12 c)) or that it has maximal A-spreading. Also, for future references we state explicitly the following obvious fact:

F5. $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ *is totally non-compact iff its multiplicity of slope -1 (or 1) is non-zero.*

3.10.8. An interpretation in terms of p-divisible groups. We consider a p-divisible group D over $W(k)$ having $(\mathfrak{b}, F^1(\mathfrak{g}_0), \varphi_1)$ as its associated filtered σ-crystal, cf. 2.2.12.1 1). The positive slopes and their multiplicities as listed in 3.10.6, are precisely the positive slopes and their multiplicities of the special fibre D_k of D.

3.10.8.1. The a-invariant. Let $a(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1) := a(D_k)$ (cf. 2.1). We refer to it as the a-invariant of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$. As the a-number is not changed by field extensions, we similarly define the a-invariant of any Shimura Lie σ-crystal which has a lift of parabolic type.

Exercise. Show that the a-invariant of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_1)$ is the same as the a-invariant of the extension to $\bar{k}$ of its canonical lift as defined in 2.3.18.3 2). Hint: use Exercise of 2.2.22.1.

3.11. The case $k = \bar{k}$ and applications. We use the notations of 3.1-2. We assume $(M, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ is a G-ordinary σ-crystal.

3.11.1. Theorem. *Let $\mathfrak{C} = (M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ be the G-canonical lift of $(M, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$. We assume $k = \bar{k}$. We have:*

a) $\mathfrak{C}$ *is cyclic diagonalizable;*

b) *its Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal is cyclic diagonalizable and of Borel and parabolic type;*

c) *it is $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-isomorphic to $\big(M, F^1, \varphi_1, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}\big)$ mentioned in 3.2.3.*

Proof: There are many ways in proving c). One way is entirely the same as the proof of b) of 4.4.1 2) (cf. also the extra details needed for the proof of b) of 4.4.1 3)) below, made in the context of a SHS. As the referred proof is self contained (based on 3.4.11), this way is not repeated here (cf. the policy of 1.14.2). A second way is to combine 3.6.17 with 3.4.11.

For the convenience of the reader we present a third way, based on 3.6.17, 3.4.11 and 3.1.0 c). We can assume $\varphi = g\varphi_1$, with $g \in P_1(W(k)))$ (see 3.3.2 for the meaning of P_1). We need to find $h \in P_1(W(k))$ such that

$$hg\varphi_1 = \varphi_1 h. \tag{1}$$

The case when g is congruent to the identity mod p is entirely the same as the part of the proof of 3.6.17 referring to the $W(k)$-base $\mathcal{B}_0$ and to induction.

Let R_1 be the Zariski closure of the reductive subgroup of $P_{1B(k)}$ whose Lie algebra is $W(0)(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_1)$. From Fact 1 of 2.2.9 1) we get that (M, F^1, φ_1, T) is cyclic diagonalizable. So from 2.2.19.2 we get that R_1 is a Levi subgroup of P_1 (more precisely: R_1 is the only Levi subgroup of P_1 containing T). So P_1 is the semidirect product of its unipotent radical N_1 and of R_1. To treat the general case, we write

$$g = g_1 n_1$$

with $g_1 \in R_1(W(k))$ and $n_1 \in N_1(W(k))$. As $k = \bar{k}$, R_1 has a canonical $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure: the part of 3.4.3.0 (1) referring to $s_i(\alpha)$'s implies that μ centralizes R_1 and so this $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure is obtained as in 2.2.9 8); so, as R_1 is canonically identified with P_1/N_1, [Bo2, 16.4] implies that we can assume g_1 is congruent to the identity mod p. N_1 is a normal subgroup of P_1 normalized by T. Moreover it is unipotent; so there is a finite sequence of characteristic subgroups

$$N_m \subset N_{m-1} \subset \ldots \subset N_1$$

of N_1 such that $N_i/N_{i+1} = \mathbb{G}_a^{m_i}$, $\forall i \in S(1,m)$, with $m, m_i \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$ and with N_{m+1} as the trivial subgroup. Let U_i be a subgroup of N_i normalized by T and such that the resulting homomorphism $U_i \to N_i/N_{i+1}$ is an isomorphism. We have $\varphi(\mathrm{Lie}(U_i)) \subset \mathrm{Lie}(U_i)$ (cf. 3.4.3.0 (1) and the fact that each N_i is a characteristic subgroup of N_1). Moreover, $\forall i \in S(1,m)$, we have

$$\varphi^{l_i}(\mathrm{Lie}(U_i)) \subset p\mathrm{Lie}(U_i),$$

for some $l_i \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$ (cf. 3.4.3.0 (1); this is just the version of the Key Fact of the proof of 3.6.6 which involves positive –versus negative– slopes). Using this, by repeatedly replacing $g\varphi$ with $n_2 g\varphi n_2^{-1}$, with $n_2 \in U_i(W(k))$, where $i \in S(1,m)$ is the biggest number such that n_1 mod p is a k-valued point of N_i, we get that we can assume n_1 is congruent to the identity mod p. So g is congruent to the identity mod p. This proves c).

To prove a) and b), we recall (cf. 3.2.3) that $\varphi_1(\mathfrak{t}\otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k})) = \mathfrak{t}\otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k})$. So, as $k=\bar{k}$, $\mathfrak{t}$ is generated by elements fixed by φ_1. Considering the family of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ formed by such elements and by the members of the family $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$, we get a concrete way to see that the quadruple (M,F^1,φ_1,T) is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal. So a) results from this and from c) (cf. 2.2.16). b) results from c) and the fact that $k=\bar{k}$ (cf. 3.4.3.0 (1) and 2.2.12.1 4)). This proves the Theorem.

3.11.2. First applications. A. From 3.11.1 c) we get 3.1.4. This goes as follows. The uniqueness part is implied by 3.2.8; it allows us to assume that in 3.1.4 we have $k=\bar{k}$. But referring to (M,F^1,φ_1,G), we can take $\tilde{\mu}=\mu$, cf. 3.4.3.0.

B. Let now $\mu_y:\mathbb{G}_m\to G$ be the canonical split of (M,φ,G) (cf. 3.1.6). We write $\varphi = a\circ\mu_y(\frac{1}{p})$, with a as a σ-linear automorphism of M. We assume $k=\bar{k}$. So $M^a:=\{x\in M|a(x)=x\}$ defines a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure on M. Let $\bar{h}_1\in\operatorname{End}(M)$ be defined by: $\bar{h}_1(x)=x$ if $x\in F^1$ and $\bar{h}_1(x)=0$ if $\mathbb{G}_m$ through μ_y acts trivially on x. We have $\bar{h}_1\in\operatorname{End}\bigl(M^a\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}W(\mathbb{F})\bigr)$ and there is $d\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\varphi^d(\bar{h}_1)=\bar{h}_1$ but $\forall i\in\mathbb{N}$, $i<d$, we have $\varphi^i(\bar{h}_1)\neq\bar{h}_1$; all these are a consequence of 3.11.1 a) (cf. also 2.2.16.4). So μ_y can be viewed as an injective cocharacter

$$\mu_y:\mathbb{G}_m\hookrightarrow G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}\subset GL\bigl(M^a\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})\bigr).$$

Let $F^1_d:=F^1\cap M^a\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$; we have $F^1=F^1_d\otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}W(k)$. Let $\varphi^i(\bar{h}_1)=\bar{h}_{i+1}$, $\forall i\in\mathbb{N}$. So $h_{i+1}=a^i(\bar{h}_1)$ and $\bar{h}_{d+1}=\bar{h}_1$. The $\bar{h}_i$'s are commuting among themselves (as they belong to $\operatorname{Lie}(T)$). As in 2.2.9 8), let $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be the subgroup of $GL(M^a)$ whose extension to $W(k)$ is the subgroup G of $GL(M^a\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}W(k))=GL(M)$.

We get (cf. 2.2.16.4 a)): $(M,F^1,\varphi,G,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ is isomorphic to the extension to $W(k)$ of the following strongly cyclic diagonalizable Shimura filtered $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}}$-crystal

$$\mathcal{MM}:=\bigl(M^a\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}),F^1_d,\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}}\circ\mu_y(\frac{1}{p}),G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})},(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}\bigr);$$

here $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}}$ acts trivially on M^a and as Frobenius on $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$. We refer to $\mathcal{MM}$ as the minimal model of $(M,F^1,\varphi,G,(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$, cf. also Fact of 2.2.16.4; from its construction we get it is uniquely determined.

$\bigl(\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}}\circ\mu_y(\frac{1}{p})\bigr)^d$ is a $B(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$-linear automorphism of $M^a\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}B(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$; so it can be identified with an element of $G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}(B(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}))$ and so of $G(K_0)$. As $\bar{h}_i$'s are commuting among themselves, we get immediately that in fact $\bigl(\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}}\circ\mu_y(\frac{1}{p})\bigr)^d$ is a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear automorphism of $M^a[\frac{1}{p}]$ defined by a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-valued point of the smallest torus of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ through whose extension to $B(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$ μ_y factors; in particular, it is semisimple.

C. Let $P_{=0}$ be the subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ whose extension to $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$ is the centralizer of $\bar{h}_1$,..., $\bar{h}_d$; it is connected as its extension to $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$ is the centralizer of the torus of $G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}$ generated by the image of μ and by the conjugates under $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}}$ of this image (cf. [Bo2, 11.12] applied over $B(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$ and over $\mathbb{F}_{p^d}$). $\mathrm{Lie}(P_{=0}) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of endomorphisms of (M, φ, G) or of (M, F^1, φ, G) (one inclusion is obvious from constructions; the other one results from 3.1.1.2 and 3.1.4-5); even more, its extension to $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$ is $W(0)(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}), \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}} \circ \mu_y(\frac{1}{p}))$: all these can be read out as well from 3.4.3.0 (via 3.11.1 c)). From 3.4.3.0 (1) we also get that we have the following slope sign direct sum decomposition

$$(SSDSD) \qquad (\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}), F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})})), F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})})), \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}} \circ \mu_y(\frac{1}{p})) =$$

$$= (\mathrm{Lie}(P_{=0W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}), \mathrm{Lie}(P_{=0W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}), 0, \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}} \circ \mu_y(\frac{1}{p}))\oplus$$

$$\oplus(\mathrm{Lie}(N_{>0}), \mathrm{Lie}(N_{>0}), F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})})) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(N_{>0}), \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}} \circ \mu_y(\frac{1}{p}))\oplus$$

$$\oplus(\mathrm{Lie}(N_{<0}), F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})})) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(N_{<0}), 0, \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}} \circ \mu_y(\frac{1}{p}))$$

as p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}))$: the first (resp. the second and the third) direct sum factor is in fact a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,0]}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}))$ (resp. is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}))$ and of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,0]}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}))$); here $N_{<0}$ (resp. $N_{>0}$) is the integral, connected, smooth, unipotent subgroup of $G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}$ whose Lie algebra is the Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})})$ corresponding to negative (resp. positive) slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}), \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}} \circ \mu_y(\frac{1}{p}))$. $N_{>0}$ and $N_{<0}$ have natural $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structures: they are obtained from subgroups of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ by extension of scalars (cf. end of B above).

Even better, 3.4.3.0 (1) implies: we actually get slope type direct sum decompositions $(STDSD)$

$$(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}), F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})})), F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})})), \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}} \circ \mu_y(\frac{1}{p})) = \oplus_{\alpha \in S} \mathfrak{C}_\alpha,$$

where S is the set of slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}), \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}} \circ \mu_y(\frac{1}{p}))$ and $\mathfrak{C}_\alpha$ is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}))$ if $\alpha > 0$, of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,0]}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}))$ if $\alpha = 0$, and of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,0]}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}))$ if $\alpha < 0$; moreover the Newton polygon of $\mathfrak{C}_\alpha$ has only one slope: α. So, STDSD "isolates" entirely all slopes of S and not only their signs (as the decomposition (SSDSD) does). Declaring $\mathfrak{C}_s$ to be the zero p-divisible object if $s \in [-2, 2] \setminus S$, w.r.t. the Lie structure of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})})$ we have natural inclusions

$$[\mathfrak{C}_\alpha, \mathfrak{C}_\beta] \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha+\beta}$$

of p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-2,2]}(W(k))$; here α, $\beta \in S$.

D. Let B be a Borel subgroup of G normalizing F^1 and such that $\varphi(\mathrm{Lie}(B)) \subset \mathrm{Lie}(B)$ (cf. the Borel type property of 3.11.1 b)). There are many ways to see that μ_y factors through B (for instance, using the end of 2.2.12.1 1) and the fact that B is its own normalizer in G, or using the functoriality of canonical splits, etc.). So $a(\mathrm{Lie}(B)) = \mathrm{Lie}(B)$. Let $B_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be the Borel subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ which is the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure of B defined naturally by a. So $B_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ normalizes $\varphi^i(F^1)$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$, and so we have:

Fact. *$\bar{h}_i$ is $G(B(k))$-conjugate to $\bar{h}_1$, iff $\bar{h}_i = \bar{h}_1$, i.e. iff $d|i-1$.*

Let ${}_A\bar{h}_1$ (resp. ${}_T\bar{h}_1$) be the image of $\bar{h}_1$ in $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ (resp. in $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ab}})$), and let $d_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ (resp. $d_2 \in \mathbb{N}$) be the smallest positive integer such that ${}_A\bar{h}_1$ (resp. ${}_T\bar{h}_1$) is the image of $\bar{h}_{d_1+1}$ in $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ (resp. the image of $\bar{h}_{d_2+1}$ in $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ab}})$). Then d_1 and d_2 divide d, and in fact $d = l.c.m.[d_1, d_2]$.

3.11.3. Degrees of definition. Referring to 3.11.2 D, the number d is called the degree of definition of (M, φ, G), d_1 is called the degree of definition of $\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}), \varphi\big)$ or the A-degree of definition of (M, φ, G), and d_2 is called the degree of definition of $\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ab}}), \varphi\big)$ or the T-degree of definition of (M, φ, G). Here A- and T- stand for adjoint and respectively toric. d is the same as the degree of definition of (M, F^1, φ) as def. in 2.2.16.4. Moreover, as $N_{>0}$ is a non-trivial group (see 3.2.2.1), the A-degree of definition of (M, φ, G) is equal to the A-degree of definition of the (non-trivial) Shimura adjoint filtered Lie σ-crystal attached to (M, F^1, φ, G) as defined in the end of 2.2.16.5.

3.11.3.1. Corollary. *Let $g \in G(W(k))$ and T_g a maximal torus of G be such that $(M, g\varphi, T_g)$ is a quasi Shimura σ-crystal. Then the degree of definition d_g of $(M, g\varphi, T_g)$ is a multiple of d.*

Proof: This Corollary is very much related to 2.2.16.4; however, it is not implied directly by the mentioned place. We can assume $k = \bar{k}$; so we can drop the word quasi and moreover we can assume $T_g = T$. Let $\mu_T : \mathbb{G}_m \to T$ be the unique cocharacter producing a direct sum decomposition $M = F^1_T \oplus F^0_T$ (with $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acting through it on F^i_T as the multiplication with β^{-i}, $i = \overline{0,1}$) such that $(M, F^1_T, g\varphi, T)$ is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal. Writing $g\varphi = a_T \circ \mu_T(\frac{1}{p})$, a_T is a σ-linear automorphism of M. As in 3.11.2 B, let $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ (resp. $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$) be the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure of T (resp. of G) it defines naturally. $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is an inner form of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and so from Lang's theorem, we get that in fact we have a natural identification $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. As $T_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a torus of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, from 2.2.16.4 a) we get (cf. also Fact 2 of 2.2.9 3)) that the $G(W(k))$-conjugacy class of the cocharacter μ_y of 3.11.2 is definable over $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_g}})$. Let $d_1 := (d_g, d)$. From [Mi3, 4.6-7] we deduce that this class is definable over $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_1}})$. So, as $\bar{h}_i = \sigma^{i-1}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}}(\bar{h}_1)$ (see 3.11.2 B), from the Fact of 3.11.2 D we get $d_1 = d$. This proves the Corollary.

3.11.3.2. Remark. We consider a potentially cyclic diagonalizable Shimura filtered σ_{k_1}-crystal over a finite field k_1. Warning: the degree of definition of its extension to $\overline{k_1} = \mathbb{F}$ is not necessarily a divisor of the degree $[k_1 : \mathbb{F}_p]$; easy examples can be obtained by considering an elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$ which has supersingular good reduction w.r.t. p and is such that $E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ has complex multiplication.

3.11.4. An application: more on the numbers $d(n)$ of 3.6.1.3 2). We come back to the notations of 3.1-4. 3.11.1 allows us to define the number

$$r((M, \varphi, G)) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

as the number of slopes -1 of the Lie σ-crystal of any G-canonical lift produced by (M, φ, G). Directly from 3.6.18.7.0 we get: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the estimate

$$(EST1) \qquad d(n) \leq \dim_{W(k)}(G) r((M, \varphi, G)).$$

The numbers $r((M, \varphi, G))$ can be easily computed using 3.10.6. As a very gross estimate we have $3r((M, \varphi, G)) \leq \dim_{W(k)}(G)$ (cf. the formulas of 3.10.6: see i), ii), Cases 1 and 2 of iii), and Case 1 of iv); see 3.10.7 for why the situation gets reduced to the constant type context of 3.10.5). So we get

$$(EST2) \qquad d(n) \leq \frac{\dim_{W(k)}(G)^2}{3};$$

if $G = GL(M)$, then in the above inequality we can replace the denominator 3 by 4 (cf. the last part of Case 1 of 3.10.6 iv)). In many situations, we can get much better estimates than the above gross estimate: for instance, see Case 2) of 3.10.6 ii).

In particular, if $r((M, \varphi, G)) = 0$ then $d(n) = 0$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ (his result matches 3.6.18.8.1 a)). So in such a case we can take $Q_{j,n} = R_j$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. There are plenty of examples with $r((M, \varphi, G)) = 0$; we mention here two such situations of general nature:

1) the case when none of the adjoint cyclic factors of the G-canonical lift (M, F^1, φ_0, G) of (M, φ_0, G) are totally non-compact;

2) the situations pertaining to a SHS to be referred in 4.6.1 1) below.

3.11.5. Remark. 3.11.1 c) and 3.1.3 a) imply: (M, φ, G) has constant isogeny class (cf. def. of 2.2.22 2)).

3.11.6. The Lie variant of 3.11.1. Referring to the abstract context of 2.2.11 (i.e. we move away from the context of Shimura σ-crystals, even if we use very similar notations) we have the following Lie variant of 3.11.1:

Corollary. A) *We assume $k = \bar{k}$. If $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ is a Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal of Borel type, then for any $g \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}(W(k))$ such that $(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi)$ has the same Newton polygon as $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$, the Shimura Lie σ-crystals $(\mathfrak{g}, g\varphi)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ are both isomorphic under an isomorphism defined by an element of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}(W(k))$.*

B) *A Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ of Borel type is of parabolic type. If $k = \bar{k}$, then the converse holds. Moreover, for k arbitrary and $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ non-trivial, there is a unique injective cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow G^{\mathrm{ad}}$ as in 2.2.11 1) and such that the parabolic Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to non-positive (resp. to non-negative) slopes of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{g}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$ (resp. in $\mathfrak{g}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1$); it is the canonical split cocharacter of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$.*

C) *If $k = \bar{k}$, then any Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal of Borel type is cyclic diagonalizable.*

Proof: A) is a consequence of 3.5.5: we just need to use the same argument as in the proof of 3.11.1 c). Using A) and just interpreting (i.e. restating) 3.2.3, 3.2.8, 3.4.3.0 and 3.11.2 A in terms of Lie algebras, B) and C) follow.

3.11.6.1. Definitions. A non-trivial Shimura Lie σ-crystal which has a lift of parabolic type, is called a Shimura-ordinary Lie σ-crystal, while this lift (as in 3.2.8, based on the part of 2.2.11.1 referring to 2.2.9 3), we get that it is unique) is called its Shimura-canonical lift. A cocharacter μ as in B) above is called the canonical split of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$. Similarly, following the pattern of 3.1.1, we speak about generalized Shimura p-divisible objects over k which are Shimura-ordinary, as well as about their Shimura-canonical lifts and about their canonical split cocharacters.

3.11.6.2. Remarks. 1) Referring to the Corollary of 3.11.6, it is worth pointing out another way to get the first sentence of B). Let $\mathfrak{p}_{\geq 0}$, $\mathfrak{p}_{>0}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{<0}$ be the Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding respectively to non-negative, positive and negative slopes of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$. We can assume $k = \bar{k}$. The Borel type part implies $F^1(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{p}_{>0}$ (cf. 2.2.12.1 1)); so $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \mathfrak{p}_2$ (cf. Fact of 2.2.11.1). We conclude (based on 2.2.3 3)) $\mathfrak{p}_{\geq 0} \subset F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0)$.

2) We refer to 2.2.12.1 1). The p-divisible group D^+ over $W(k)$ whose associated filtered σ-crystal is $(\mathfrak{p}_{>0}, F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$ is called the positive p-divisible group of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$.

Similarly, as

$$F^1(\mathfrak{g}_0) \subset \mathfrak{p}_{>0} \subset \mathfrak{p}_{\geq 0} \subset F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0),$$

the triple

$$(\mathfrak{p}_{<0}, F^0(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{p}_{<0}, p\varphi)$$

is a filtered σ-crystal; warning: here $F^0(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{p}_{<0}$ is viewed as an F^1-filtration of $\mathfrak{p}_{<0}$. The p-divisible group D^- over $W(k)$ having it as its associated filtered σ-crystal, is called the negative p-divisible group of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$. From the description of the permutation γ_Φ of 3.4.3.0, we get:

Fact. *If $k = \bar{k}$, then D^- is the dual of D^+.*

3) 3.11.6 forces us to come back to 3.10.8. We assume $k = \bar{k}$ and we use the notations of 1) and 2). 3.11.6 C) implies that the filtered σ-crystal $(\mathfrak{p}_{>0}, F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$ is cyclic diagonalizable. It is easy to compute its classification invariants starting from 3.10.6. For instance, if $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$ is cyclic of B_ℓ, C_ℓ or E_7 Lie type, from 3.10.6 i) we get: $(\mathfrak{p}_{>0}, F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$ is a direct sum of $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$-copies of the circular diagonalizable filtered σ-crystal having its type equal to the ε-type of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$, and so we just have to decompose the ε-type into indecomposable types (as in 2.2.22 3)); here X is the hermitian symmetric domain associated to the Lie type of $\mathfrak{g}$, while $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Similar direct sum decompositions can be obtained for the context of 3.10.6 ii) to iv). However, in the Case 3 of 3.10.6 iv), they can be very complicated; in particular, the ε-type is not enough to describe (directly, i.e. without using [Bou2, planche I]) the isomorphism classes of the direct summands (see D of 2.2.22 3)) of $(\mathfrak{p}_{>0}, F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$.

3.11.7. Groups of automorphisms. Let $(M, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ be a G-ordinary σ-crystal and let F^1 be its canonical lift. We first assume $k = \bar{k}$. Let $\bar{h}_i$'s, $P_{=0}$ and $\mathcal{MM}$ be as in 3.11.2 B and C. Let $\mathcal{MM}_{\mathbb{F}}$ be the extension of $\mathcal{MM}$ to $\mathbb{F}$. The group Aut_0 (resp. Aut_1) of automorphisms (resp. of $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-automorphisms) of (M, F^1, φ, G) (resp.

of $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}))$ is the same as the group of automorphisms (resp. of $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-automorphisms) of $\big(M^a \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F}), F^1_d \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})} W(\mathbb{F}), \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}} \circ \mu_y(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1, G_{W(\mathbb{F})}\big)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{MM}_{\mathbb{F}}$). From 3.11.2 C we get that Aut_1 is the group of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points of $P_{=0}$. Similarly, let $P^1_{=0}$ be the maximal closed subgroup of the normalizer of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ in $GL(M^a)$, whose extension to $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$ centralizes $\bar{h}_i$, $i = \overline{1, d}$. From 3.1.5.1 we get:

Corollary. Aut_0 *is the group of* $\mathbb{Z}_p$*-valued points of* $P^1_{=0}$.

Let Aut_2 be the group of automorphisms of the positive (or negative) p-divisible group of $(\mathfrak{g}, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$ or of the positive (or negative) p-divisible group of the Shimura filtered σ-crystal attached to $\mathcal{MM}_{\mathbb{F}}$. It can be as well interpreted as the group of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points of an integral, affine group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ (cf. the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structures of $N_{>0}$ and of $N_{<0}$ mentioned in 3.11.2 C). The group Aut_s, with $s \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, is referred as the s-th group of automorphisms of $(M, F^1, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$.

3.11.8. The case of a perfect field. With k just perfect, similarly to 3.11.2-3, for $(M, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ we define its degree of definition $d \in \mathbb{N}$ as well as its A-degree and T-degree of definition. We have:

Lemma. *k contains* $\mathbb{F}_{p^d}$.

Proof: If k is finite, this can be checked using entirely the same ideas as in the proof of 3.11.3.1. To see the general case, it is enough to show that the smallest Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$ taken by φ into itself and containing $d\mu$, with $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ as the canonical split of (M, φ, G), is generated by elements fixed by φ. But this Lie algebra is a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra of the maximal torus of the center of $P_{\geq 0} \cap P_{\leq 0}$, with $P_{\geq 0}$ (resp. $P_{\leq 0}$) as the parabolic subgroup of $G_{B(k)}$ whose Lie algebra is $W_0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}), \varphi)$ (resp. is $W^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}), \varphi)$) (this can be seen over $\bar{k}$; so 3.11.2 C applies). As $P_{\geq 0}$ and $P_{\leq 0}$ are parabolic subgroups of $G_{B(k)}$, the Lemma follows by transferring everything (via Fontaine's comparison theory) into the étale context with $\mathbb{Q}_p$-coefficients (cf. also 2.2.12.1 1) and 3.11.6.2 2); see also (SSDSD) of 3.11.2 C).

Let $\mathcal{MM} := \big(M^a \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}), F^1_d, \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^d}} \circ \mu_y(\frac{1}{p}), G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}\big)$ be the minimal model of the extension of $(M, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ to $\bar{k}$. Let Aut_s be the s-th group of automorphisms of this extension.

The Lemma implies that we have a natural action of $\mathrm{Gal}(k)$ on Aut_i, $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$: it is the trivial action (cf. the existence of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structures in 3.11.7). How "far" is (M, F^1, φ, G) (resp. $(M, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$) from being isomorphic (resp. $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-isomorphic) to the extension of $\mathcal{MM}$ to k, is measured by a class

$$\gamma \in H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(k), \mathrm{Aut}_0)$$

(resp. $\gamma_1 \in H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(k), \mathrm{Aut}_1)$).

As in 3.11.6.2 we define the positive D^+ and the negative D^- p-divisible group of $(\mathfrak{g}, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$. As above, how "far" is D^+ from being isomorphic to the extension to k of the positive p-divisible group of the Shimura filtered σ-crystal attached to $\mathcal{MM}$, is measured by a class

$$\gamma_2 \in H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(k), \mathrm{Aut}_2).$$

We say (M, φ, G) or (M, F^1, φ, G) is balanced if γ_2 is the 0 class. It is easy to see that there are examples when (M, φ, G) is balanced but γ_1 is not the 0 class; for instance, this is the case if $k = \mathbb{F}_p$, M is of rank 2 over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, $G = GL(M)$ and we replace (M, F^1, φ) by $(M^a, F^1_d, \beta_M \mu_y(\frac{1}{p}))$, where β_M is the scalar automorphism of M defined by an invertible element of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ which is not a $p-1$-th power.

It can be checked using quite involved matrix computations that the positive and the negative p-divisible group of $(\mathfrak{g}, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$ are dual to each other. However, here we take a faster approach, though slightly restricted. We have the following obvious result:

Fact. *We assume $(\mathfrak{g}, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$ or its adjoint is a symmetric p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(W(k))$. Then the positive and the negative p-divisible group of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ are dual to each other.*

See 2.2.23 and the beginning paragraph of 3.4 for how practical this Fact is. For instance, it applies if the Killing form on $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is perfect.

3.11.8.1. Direct sum decompositions. We have slope sign (resp. slope type) direct sum decompositions similar to (SSDSD) (resp. of (STDSD)) of 3.11.2 C, for any Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ of parabolic type over k. This is a consequence of 3.11.6 B, by natural passage from k to $\bar{k}$ (cf. also Lemma of 2.2.3 3)). Such (SSDSD)'s represent an improvement to 2.2.12.1 1). Based on (STDSD), we can uniquely associate a Galois representation to $(\mathfrak{g}, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$: it is a direct sum of Galois representations indexed by the slopes of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi)$. For instance, referring to (STDSD) of 3.11.2 C, it is the direct sum

$$\oplus_{\alpha \in S} \rho_\alpha,$$

where ρ_α is the Galois representation associated (via Fontaine's comparison theory) to $\mathfrak{C}_\alpha$.

We assume now that $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ is the Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal attached to a G-canonical lift $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$. Let $\rho : \Gamma_k \to GL(N)(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the Galois representation associated to (M, F^1, φ) via Fontaine's comparison theory; this is the sixth place where we need $p \geq 3$. Let $v_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(N[\frac{1}{p}])$ correspond to t_α via Fontaine's comparison theory and let G_N be the Zariski closure in $GL(N)$ of the subgroup of $GL(N[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixing v_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. We denote by ρ_G the factorization of ρ through $G_N(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Corresponding to (STDSD) of $(\mathfrak{g}, F^0(\mathfrak{g}), F^1(\mathfrak{g}), \varphi)$, we get via Fontaine's comparison theory a direct sum decomposition $\mathrm{Lie}(G_N)[\frac{1}{p}] = \oplus_{\alpha \in S} \tilde{L}_\alpha$ in $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector spaces left invariant (under $\mathrm{Ad}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \circ \rho_G$) by Γ_k.

To show that it extends to an étale slope type direct sum decomposition

$$(ESTDSD) \qquad \mathrm{Lie}(G_N) = \oplus_{\alpha \in S} L_\alpha$$

of Γ_k-submodules, with $L_\alpha := \tilde{L}_\alpha \cap \mathrm{Lie}(G_N)$, we can assume $k = \bar{k}$. Let $P_{=0W(k)}$ be the subgroup of G defined as the extension to $W(k)$ of a reductive group $P_{=0}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ constructed as in 3.11.2 C (as a subgroup of a natural $\mathbb{Z}_p$-model of G), and let T be a maximal torus of it whose Lie algebra is generated by elements fixed by φ. As in the end of the proof of 3.11.1 we get that (M, F^1, φ, T) is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal. Moreover, as in 2.2.16.5 (cf. also [Va2, 4.3.13]), based on [Va2, 4.3.9] and the functorial aspect of Fontaine's comparison theory, we get that via this theory, to T it corresponds a maximal torus T_0 of G_N. As in the proof of 2.2.16.2 we have a natural identification

$$(TOR) \qquad \mathrm{Lie}(T) = \mathrm{Lie}(T_{0W(k)}).$$

Argument: both sides of (TOR) can be identified with the extension to $W(k)$ of the Lie algebra of endomorphisms of (M, F^1, φ, T). So we can assume ρ_G factors through the group of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points of T_0. Moreover, L_α is normalized by T_0 (this can be checked by moving to the crystalline context; see (LBP) of 2.2.3 3)). As the set $S(\alpha)$ of characters of $T_{0W(\mathbb{F})}$ through which $T_{0W(\mathbb{F})}$ acts on $L_\alpha \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F})$ is uniquely determined by α and we have $S(\alpha) \cap S(\alpha_1) = \emptyset$, if $\alpha \neq \alpha_1$, we get that we have a direct sum decomposition $\mathrm{Lie}(G_N) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F}) = \oplus_{\alpha \in S} L_\alpha \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F})$; from this (ESTDSD) follows.

Declaring $L_s = \{0\}$ if $s \in [-2, 2] \setminus S$, we have a natural inclusion

$$[L_\alpha, L_\beta] \subset L_{\alpha+\beta}$$

of Γ_k-modules, where α and β belong to S. If $k = \bar{k}$, then L_0 is the maximal $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_N)$ on which Γ_k acts trivially. From the functorial aspect of Fontaine's comparison theory we get that we have a natural identification

$$(ZERO) \qquad L_0 = \mathrm{Lie}(P_{=0})$$

We assume now that G_N is a reductive group (1.15.1 points out implicitly that this is always so). Using the same arguments, we get that we have an étale slope type direct sum decomposition of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_N^{\mathrm{ad}})$. Moreover, we have a logical variant of (TOR) and (ZERO) in the adjoint context. To argue this last thing, we consider the Zariski closure $P'_{=0}$ in G_N of the reductive subgroup of $G_{N\mathbb{Q}_p}$ having $L_0[\frac{1}{p}]$ as its Lie algebra. It is a reductive subgroup of G_N, as it contains the maximal torus T_0 of G_N and as its generic fibre is. From Fontaine's comparison theory we get that we have a natural identification of the generic fibres of $P'_{=0}$ and of $P_{=0}$; under this identification, $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points of $P'_{=0}$ are mapped into $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points of $P_{=0}$. From [Ti2, 3.4.1] we get that in fact we have an identification of $P'_{=0}$ with $P_{=0}$. This implies that we have a natural identification of the extension to $W(k)$ of the image $\tilde{P}_{=0}$ of $P'_{=0}$ in G_N^{ad} and the image of $P_{0W(k)}$ in G. From this the adjoint variants of (TOR) and (ZERO) follow.

3.11.8.1.1. Remark. If $p \geq 5$, then 3.11.8.1 holds in the general context of Shimura-canonical lifts of Shimura-ordinary (adjoint) Lie σ-crystals, cf. 2.2.16.5.

3.11.9. The cyclic diagonalizable context. Most of the decompositions of 3.11.2 C and 3.11.8 make sense, under proper formulation, in a cyclic diagonalizable context.

The same applies in connection to 3.11.2 B and to Aut_i of 3.11.7, with $i \in \{0,1\}$. To list the two differences showing up, let $\mathfrak{C} = (M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ be a cyclic diagonalizable Shimura filtered σ-crystal. We do have a slope sign direct sum decomposition for $\mathfrak{C}$ as in 3.11.2 C but its summands are p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(W(k))$. 3.11.8.1 (ZERO) holds iff the Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal attached to $\mathfrak{C}$ is of toric type.

3.12. Shimura F-crystals and generic points. Let $\big(M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}\big)$ be a Shimura filtered σ-crystal over k. Let $\mathfrak{C}_H := (M, F^1, \varphi, G, H, \tilde{f})$ be a Shimura filtered F-crystal with H a smooth, locally closed subscheme of G through which the origin factors and such that the $W(k)$-morphism $H \to G/P$ is smooth in a open neighborhood of this factorization; here P is the parabolic subgroup of G normalizing F^1. With the notations of 2.2.10, let $\eta : \mathrm{Spec}(k_\eta) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be a geometric point sitting over the generic point of the special fibre of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$. Let

$$\mathfrak{C}_\eta := \big(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_\eta), \varphi_\eta, G_{W(k_\eta)}\big)$$

be the Shimura σ_{k_η}-crystal obtained from $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, H, \tilde{f})$ by pull back through η (cf. Fact 3 of 2.2.10).

3.12.1. Theorem. *$\mathfrak{C}_\eta$ is a $G_{W(k_\eta)}$-ordinary σ_{k_η}-crystal.*

Proof: There is a Shimura filtered F-crystal $\mathfrak{C}_G := (M, F^1, \varphi, G, \tilde{f}_1)$ with the property that Shimura F-crystals obtained from it by pull back through geometric points over the generic point of the special fibre of the scheme over which $\mathfrak{C}_G$ is defined are G-ordinary (cf. 3.1.0 d)).

Let $\ell := dd((M, \varphi, G))$ (see 2.2.22 4)). We consider a Shimura filtered F-crystal $\mathfrak{C}_1$ over the special fibre of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$, where $R_1 := W(k)[[x_1, ..., x_\ell]]$, which is a universal deformation of $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ (see 2.2.21 UP). $\mathfrak{C}_H$ and $\mathfrak{C}_G$ are both induced from $\mathfrak{C}_1$ through (uniquely determined; see 2.2.21 UP) $W(k)$-homomorphisms $R_1 \to R$ and respectively $R_1 \to R_G$ compatible with the $W(k)$-epimorphisms $R_1 \twoheadrightarrow W(k)$, etc., defining the origins; as the natural $W(k)$-morphism $H \to G/P$ is smooth around the origin and as the natural $W(k)$-morphism $G \to G/P$ is smooth, we get (to be compared with [Va2, 5.4.4-8]) that these two $W(k)$-homomorphisms have left inverses and so are formally smooth. From this the Theorem follows.

3.13. Deviations of Shimura (filtered) (Lie) σ-crystals. In this section we express our hopes for obtaining a reasonable theory of good "G-pseudo-canonical lifts" of Shimura σ-crystals (M, φ, G) which are not potentially cyclic diagonalizable. We mostly restrict to present some definitions, to restate some of the previous results and problems in terms of them, to formulate some expectations and to list some of the most interesting problems arising. However, see section 3.13.7: it is dedicated to a study of truncations modulo positive integral powers of p of objects of $\mathcal{MF}(W(\bar{k}))$; though we mostly deal with (the classification of) truncations mod p in a context related to Shimura (Lie) σ-crystals, the general context of such truncations mod p is as well mentioned (see 3.13.7.8) and moreover some paragraphs refer to things modulo positive integral powers of p.

Let $\mathfrak{C}_0 := (M, \varphi, G)$ be a Shimura σ-crystal over k. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of direct summands F^1 of M such that the quadruple (M, F^1, φ, G) is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal. $\mathcal{F}$ is the $G(W(k))$-conjugacy class of an arbitrary $F^1 \in \mathcal{F}$ (cf. Fact 2 of 2.2.9 3)). To fix the notations we choose (arbitrarily) an element $F^1 \in \mathcal{F}$. We get a Shimura filtered σ-crystal $\mathfrak{C} := (M, F^1, \varphi, G)$. As usual, let $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G))$ be the parabolic Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ formed by elements taking F^1 into itself.

We assume $\mathcal{F}$ has more than 1 element; so G is not a torus (cf. 3.2.2). Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the parabolic Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(M)$ corresponding to non-negative slopes of $(\mathfrak{sl}(M), \varphi)$. Let

$$\mathfrak{p}_G := \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{der}}) \cap \mathfrak{p}.$$

Let π be a projector of $\mathfrak{gl}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ on $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$ fixed by φ and G. For instance we can take π such that its kernel is the $B(k)$-vector subspace of $\mathfrak{gl}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ perpendicular on $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$ w.r.t. the trace form on $\mathfrak{gl}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ (see [Va2, 4.2] and the reference to it of AE.0). In what follows, except (perhaps) the undetailed second part of 3.13.3 3) below, it is irrelevant which such projector π we choose. Let

$$\mathfrak{p}_G^0 := \mathfrak{p} \cap \ker(\pi).$$

The $B(k)$-vector spaces $\mathfrak{p}_G^0[\frac{1}{p}]$ and $\mathfrak{p}_G[\frac{1}{p}]$ are normalized by φ.

3.13.1. Definitions and notations. 1) By the deviation of $\mathfrak{C}$ we mean the rational number

$$d(\mathfrak{C}) := \frac{\dim\bigl(\mathfrak{p}_G \cap F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G))\bigr)}{\dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{p}_G)}.$$

2) By the complementary deviation of $\mathfrak{C}$ we mean the rational number

$$d^{\perp}(\mathfrak{C}) := \frac{\dim\bigl(\mathfrak{p}_G^0 \cap F^0(\mathfrak{sl}(M))\bigr)}{\dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{p}_G^0)}.$$

3) By the global deviation of $\mathfrak{C}$ we mean the rational number

$$gd(\mathfrak{C}) := \frac{\dim\bigl(\mathfrak{p} \cap F^0(\mathfrak{sl}(M))\bigr)}{\dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{p})}.$$

4) By the deviation of $\mathfrak{C}_0$ we mean the rational number $d(\mathfrak{C}_0)$ defined as the maximum of all numbers $d(\mathfrak{C})$, with the F^1-filtration F^1 of M running through the set $\mathcal{F}$.

5) By the complementary (resp. global) deviation of $\mathfrak{C}_0$ we mean the rational number $d^{\perp}(\mathfrak{C}_0)$ (resp. $gd(\mathfrak{C}_0)$) defined as the maximum of all rational numbers $d^{\perp}(\mathfrak{C})$ (resp. $gd(\mathfrak{C})$), with the F^1-filtration F^1 of M running as in 4).

6) By a G-pseudo-canonical lift of $\mathfrak{C}_0$ we mean a Shimura filtered σ-crystal $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}} = (M, F_0^1, \varphi, G)$ such that $d(\mathfrak{C}_0) = d(\tilde{\mathfrak{C}})$.

7) By the CM-deviation of $\mathfrak{C}_0$, we mean the number $CM - d(\mathfrak{C}_0) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$, defined as follows: if there is a finite, flat DVR extension V of $W(\bar{k})$ such that there is a maximal $\mathbb{Q}_p$-torus of $G_{B(\bar{k})}$ whose Lie algebra is formed by elements of $\text{Lie}(G_{B(\bar{k})})$ fixed by $\varphi \otimes 1$ and whose extension to $V[\frac{1}{p}]$ is a torus of the generic fibre of a parabolic subgroup of G_V lifting the parabolic subgroup of $G_{\bar{k}}$ normalizing $F^1 \otimes_{W(k)} \bar{k}$, then $CM - d(\mathfrak{C}_0)$ is the smallest possible value of $[V : W(\bar{k})]$ for such a V; if such a V does not exist, then $CM - d(\mathfrak{C}_0) = \infty$.

3.13.2. Remarks. 1) $\mathfrak{C}_0$ is a G-ordinary σ-crystal iff $d(\mathfrak{C}_0) = 1$, cf. 3.1.0 a). $\mathfrak{C}$ is a G-canonical lift iff $d(\mathfrak{C}) = 1$, cf. 3.1.0 a) and b). This motivates our terminology: deviations of Shimura (filtered) σ-crystals. So we view the numbers introduced in 3.13.1 1) to 5) as a measure of how far or close a Shimura σ-crystal (M, φ, G) (resp. a Shimura filtered σ-crystal (M, F^1, φ, G)) is from being a G-ordinary σ-crystal (resp. a G-canonical lift).

2) A G-pseudo-canonical lift is not necessarily unique. This can be seen looking at σ-crystals associated to supersingular abelian varieties over k.

3) The CM-deviations are measuring how far a Shimura σ-crystal is from being potentially cyclic diagonalizable: the CM-deviation of a Shimura $\sigma_{\bar{k}}$-crystal is 1 iff it has a lift of quasi CM type (cf. 2.2.18).

3.13.3. Variants. 1) 3.13.1 has been stated using non-negative slopes. The same thing can be stated using positive, negative or non-positive slopes. In such a case, as well as in others (to be defined below), we use a left upper index of the form $+$, $-$, or $0-$ for the deviations introduced, to emphasize that they have been defined using respectively positive, negative or non-positive slopes. For instance we get rational numbers ${}^{+}d(\mathfrak{C})$, $p^n - {}^{0-}d(\mathfrak{C})$, etc.

2) In some cases it is useful to define deviations of higher order. By this we mean that in 3.13.1 1) to 5) we replace $F^0(\text{Lie}(G^{\text{der}}))$ or $F^0(\mathfrak{sl}(M))$ with their iterates under the action of φ; for instance, we replace $F^0(\mathfrak{sl}(M))$ by

$$\mathfrak{sl}(M) \cap \varphi^i(F^0(\mathfrak{sl}(M[\frac{1}{p}]))),$$

$i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. So we obtain sequences of deviation numbers $d_i(\mathfrak{C})$, $d_i^{\perp}(\mathfrak{C})$, $gd_i(\mathfrak{C})$, $d_i(\mathfrak{C}_0)$, $d_i^{\perp}(\mathfrak{C}_0)$, $gd_i(\mathfrak{C}_0)$, $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, which for $i = 0$ become the numbers introduced in 3.13.1.

2') Replacing in 2) $\varphi^i(F^0(\mathfrak{sl}(M[\frac{1}{p}])))$ by

$$\cap_{n=0}^{i} \varphi^n(F^0(\mathfrak{sl}(M[\frac{1}{p}]))),$$

we obtain $\cap$ (intersection) type deviations of higher order. The notations to be used: $d_{\cap i}(\mathfrak{C})$, $d_{\cap i}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{C}_0)$, etc.

In connection to 2) and 2'), we always drop the lower right index $i = 0$.

3) Definitions 3.13.1 1) to 6) make sense for Shimura (filtered) isocrystals over k. Of course, for a Shimura isocrystal (M^1, φ^1, G^1) we need to choose a set $\mathcal{F}^1$ of "admissible" filtrations of M^1; often $\mathcal{F}^1$ is a $G^1(B(k))$-conjugacy class of a fixed filtration.

Sometimes, for the sake of refinement, we also work with p^n-deviations of Shimura (filtered) σ-crystals, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Not to make the story too long, here we just define the p^n-deviation of $\mathfrak{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is the rational number defined by:

$$p^n - d(\mathfrak{C}) := \frac{\operatorname{length}\big(\mathfrak{p}_G \otimes_{W(k)} W_n(k) \cap F^0(\operatorname{Lie}(G) \otimes_{W(k)} W_n(k))\big)}{\operatorname{length}\big(\mathfrak{p}_G \otimes_{W(k)} W_n(k)\big)}.$$

Here length$(*)$ is the usual length of a finitely generated module $*$ over the artinian local ring $W_n(k)$.

4) All deviations introduced above which are not of global or complementary type, make sense for Shimura (filtered) Lie σ-crystals.

5) We can define isogeny deviations. They are denoted by putting $isog-$ in front of the notation of the involved deviation. For instance, by the isogeny deviation of $\mathfrak{C}_0$, denoted $isog - d(\mathfrak{C}_0)$, we mean the greatest number $d(\mathfrak{C}_0^i)$, with $\mathfrak{C}_0^i$ running through the representatives of $Isog(\mathfrak{C}_0)$. For $isog - CM - (\mathfrak{C}_0)$, the word "greatest" has to be replaced by the word "smallest".

6) We can define strong CM-deviations, denoted by replacing CM by SCM, by replacing (in 3.13.1 7)) the expression "there is a maximal" by: "for any maximal".

3.13.3.1. Remarks 1). $\mathfrak{C}_0$ is a G-ordinary σ-crystal iff $p - d(\mathfrak{C}_0) = 1$. $\mathfrak{C}$ is a G-canonical lift iff $p^n - d(\mathfrak{C}) = 1$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. These two facts are a consequence of the proof of 3.4.8 (cf. also 3.1.0 a)).

2) We can introduce also the numbers

$$p^n - d_f(\mathfrak{C}) := \frac{\operatorname{length}\big(\mathfrak{p}_G \otimes_{W(k)} W_n(k) \cap F^0(\operatorname{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{der}}) \otimes_{W(k)} W_n(k))\big)}{\operatorname{length}\big(F^0(\operatorname{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{der}})) \otimes_{W(k)} W_n(k)\big)}.$$

But these numbers can be greater or smaller than the ones attached to a G-canonical lift. Using this, we can easily construct examples for which these numbers for G-canonical lifts do not characterize them.

3) In 1995 we conjectured:

Conjecture. *All $isog - SCM$-deviations of Shimura (Lie) σ-crystals are finite numbers.*

This conjecture is very much related to Langlands–Rapoport's conjecture referred to in 1.15.9 and so it will play a central role in §14.

4) We do believe that the above deviations allow a relatively uniform treatment of all Shimura (adjoint) (filtered) (Lie) σ-crystals, regardless of the fact they are or are not potentially cyclic diagonalizable.

3.13.4. Problems. Many problems (questions) are arising. We mention just few of them.

1) When G-pseudo-canonical lifts can be defined as well in terms of $d^{\perp}(*)$ or of $gd(*)$ numbers?

2) Fixing $\mathfrak{C}_0$, can we determine all possible values of the numbers $d(\mathfrak{C})$, $d^{\perp}(\mathfrak{C})$, $gd(\mathfrak{C})$, where the filtration F^1 of M runs as in 3.13.1 4)?

3) Determine all Shimura σ-crystals $\mathfrak{C}_0$ for which we can define uniquely up to isomorphisms a G-pseudo-canonical lift of them, by using the numbers introduced in 3.13.3 2) and 3).

4) How are p^n-deviations ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) related to deviations?

5) How deviations (p^n-deviations, CM-deviations, etc.) vary when we replace φ by $g\varphi$ with $g \in G(W(k))$? What are their possible values?

6) What is the connection between CM-deviations and deviations?

7) Let A be an abelian variety over a number field E. For any unramified prime v of E of good reduction for A, we can define a CM-deviation $CMD_A(v)$ and a SCM-deviation $SCMD_A(v)$ (of the $\sigma_{k(v)}$-crystal of the reduction of A w.r.t. v). How are they varying in terms of v? Is it true that all these CM-deviations are 1, except for a finite number? See 4.6.2.3 2) for a similar question in the relative context.

8) How are the CM-deviations and the SCM-deviations related?

3.13.5. Wintenberger groups and deviations. We consider the canonical split cocharacter $\mu(F^1) : \mathbb{G}_m \to GL(M)$ of the filtered σ-crystal (M, F^1, φ). Due to its functoriality, it factors through G. The Zariski closure in G of the smallest subgroup of $G_{B(k)}$ whose Lie algebra is normalized by φ and contains the Lie algebra of $\mu(F^1)(\mathbb{G}_m)$, is called (in honor of [Wi, 4.2.3]) the Wintenberger group of (M, F^1, φ); it does not depend on G and so is denoted by $W(M, F^1, \varphi)$. From the proof of 2.2.18 we get:

Fact. *$W(M, F^1, \varphi)$ is a torus of G iff (M, F^1, φ, G) is potentially cyclic diagonalizable.*

Let $\mathcal{W}_1$ be the subgroup of G generated by $\mu(F^1)$, with F^1 running as in 3.13.1 4). Let $\mathcal{W}_2$ be the Zariski closure in G of the smallest (so connected) subgroup of $G_{B(k)}$ with the property that its Lie algebra is stable under φ and contains $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{W}_1)$. Let $\mathcal{W}_{i+2}$ be the Zariski closure in $GL(M)$ of the centralizer of the generic fibre of $\mathcal{W}_i$ in $GL(M[\frac{1}{p}])$, $i = \overline{1, 2}$. We call $\mathcal{W}_i$ as the i-th Wintenberger group of $\mathfrak{C}_0$, $i = \overline{1, 4}$.

Exercise. If (M, φ) is an ordinary σ-crystal, show that $\mathcal{W}_1$ is the extension of $\mathbb{G}_m$ by a smooth, commutative, unipotent group of relative dimension equal to $dd(\mathfrak{C}_0)$. Hint: use 3.6.18.2.

3.13.5.1. Versal endomorphisms of $\mathfrak{C}_0$. Let $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ be a family of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ such that $(\mathfrak{C}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal with an emphasized family of tensors. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a Shimura p-divisible group over $W(k)$ whose associated p-divisible object with tensors of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(W(k))$ is $(\mathfrak{C}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$. We consider an endomorphism

$h : M \to M$ fixed by φ. We say h is a versal endomorphism of $\mathfrak{C}_0$ if any one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:

i) h normalizes any element of $\mathcal{F}$;

ii) h is fixed by $\mathcal{W}_1$;

iii) h is fixed by $\mathcal{W}_2$;

iv) h defines naturally an endomorphism of the p-divisible group DG underlying the universal Shimura p-divisible defined (see 2.2.21) by $\mathcal{D}$ (over the formal power series ring in $dd(\mathfrak{C}_0)$ variables with coefficients in $W(k)$).

The use of iv) is part of the third place where we need $p \geq 3$. Similarly we speak about versal automorphisms $h : (M, \varphi)\tilde{\to}(M, \varphi)$ of $\mathfrak{C}_0$; in this case the above equivalent conditions are also equivalent to:

v) $h \in \mathcal{W}_3(W(k))$;

vi) $h \in \mathcal{W}_4(W(k))$.

Warning: a versal automorphism is not necessarily an automorphism in the sense of 2.2.9 6).

3.13.5.2. Comments. We do not know when $\mathcal{W}_i$ is a smooth group over $W(k)$, or when the special fibre of $\mathcal{W}_i$ is a connected group, or when the generic fibre of $\mathcal{W}_3$ or of $\mathcal{W}_4$ is a connected group. We do not know how to compute the relative dimension w_i of $\mathcal{W}_i$ or how to determine the structure of the generic fibre of $\mathcal{W}_i$. We do not know when w_i is an isogeny invariant.

3.13.5.3. *$\mathcal{W}$*-deviations. Let $g \in G(W(k))$ be such that $(M, g\varphi, G)$ is a G-ordinary σ-crystal. We define $w_i(G - ord) \in \mathbb{N}$ as the relative dimension of the i-th Wintenberger group of the extension of $(M, g\varphi, G)$ to $\bar{k}$. The number

$$wd_i(\mathfrak{C}_0) := \frac{w_i}{w_i(G - ord)} \in (0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}$$

is called the i-th $\mathcal{W}$-deviation or the i-th Wintenberger deviation of $\mathfrak{C}_0$; it is well defined, cf. 3.11.1 c). Warning: $wd_i(\mathfrak{C}_0)$ is not always 1, as it can be checked using supersingular σ-crystals. Some of the questions and problems of 3.13.2-4 can be adapted to the context of $\mathcal{W}$-deviations.

3.13.5.4. Relative rigidity. Let G_1 be a reductive subgroup of $GL(M)$ containing G and such that the triple (M, φ, G_1) is a Shimura σ-crystal. We say $\mathfrak{C}_0$ is rigid w.r.t. G_1, if for any versal automorphism of $\mathfrak{C}_0$ defined by an element $h \in G_1(W(k))$ we have: h centralizes Lie(G^{der}). When $G_1 = GL(M)$ we drop the words "w.r.t. G_1".

Exercise. We assume that $\dim_{W(k)}(M) = 8$, that G contains the group of scalar automorphisms of M and that G is a split GL_2-group whose representation on M is a direct sum of 4 copies of the standard 2 dimensional irreducible representation of G. If $dd(\mathfrak{C}_0) = 1$ (i.e. if it is non-zero) and if all slopes of (M, φ) are 0 or 1, then $\mathfrak{C}_0$ is rigid.

Hint: use the fact that any endomorphism of M fixed by a Borel subgroup of G is fixed by G itself.

3.13.6. Three other types of deviations. By the isomorphism deviation $isom-d(\mathfrak{C}_0)$ of $\mathfrak{C}_0$ we mean the isomorphism deviation of its extension to $\bar{k}$ (cf. 3.6.16 2)). By the Newton polygon deviation (resp. Newton polygon Lie deviation) of $\mathfrak{C}_0$, we mean the smallest number $NP-d(\mathfrak{C}_0) \in \mathbb{N}$ (resp. $NPL-d(\mathfrak{C}_0) \in \mathbb{N}$) such that $(M, g\varphi)$ and (M, φ) (resp. such that $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), g\varphi)$ and $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), \varphi)$) have the same Newton polygon, provided $g \in G(W(k))$ is congruent to the identity mod $p^{NP-d(\mathfrak{C}_0)}$ (resp. mod $p^{NPL-d(\mathfrak{C}_0)}$). We have $isom-d(\mathfrak{C}_0) \geq NP-d(\mathfrak{C}_0)$ and $isom-d(\mathfrak{C}_0) \geq NPL-d(\mathfrak{C}_0)$.

If $NP-d(\mathfrak{C}_0) = 1$ (resp. if $NPL-d(\mathfrak{C}_0) = 1$) we say $\mathfrak{C}_0$ is an NP-canonical (resp. NP-Lie-canonical) Shimura σ-crystal. If $isom-d(\mathfrak{C}_0) = 1$, then we say $\mathfrak{C}_0$ is an *isom*-canonical Shimura σ-crystal. Similarly we define *isom*-deviations of Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystals and *isom*-canonical Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystals.

Examples. Any Shimura-ordinary σ-crystal is NP-canonical and NP-Lie-canonical, cf. 3.9.3 (and 3.1.0 a) and c)); even more: it is also an *isom*-canonical Shimura σ-crystal, cf. 3.11.1 c). If $\dim_{W(k)}(M) = 2$, then $\mathfrak{C}_0$ is automatically NP-canonical, NP-Lie-canonical and *isom*-canonical Shimura σ-crystal.

Problem. Study how the isomorphism and Newton polygon (Lie) deviations are related to the other deviations introduced in 3.13.1-5.

3.13.6.1. NP variation functions. We assume $n_1 := NP-d(\mathfrak{C}_0) > 1$ (resp. $n_2 := NPL-d(\mathfrak{C}_0) > 1$). For $n \in S(1, n_1-1)$ (resp. $n \in S(1, n_2-1)$), we denote by $f_1(n)$ (resp. $f_2(n)$) the number of (distinct) Newton polygons of the Shimura (resp. Shimura Lie) σ-crystals of the form $(M, g\varphi)$ (resp. of the form $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), g\varphi)$), with $g \in G(W(k))$ congruent to the identity mod p^n. We refer to f_1 (resp. f_2) as the first (resp. the second) NP variation function attached to (or of) $\mathfrak{C}_0$.

3.13.7. CM levels. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Till end of 3.13.7-9 we assume $k = \bar{k}$. We now assume $\mathfrak{C}_0$ is not cyclic diagonalizable. We say $\mathfrak{C}_0$ is of CM level at least n if there is a lift of it $F^1 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathfrak{C}$ is cyclic diagonalizable of level n (in the sense of 2.2.22 1)). We denote by

$$n(\mathfrak{C}_0) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$$

the smallest supremum of the set of those n such that $\mathfrak{C}_0$ is of CM level at least n. We refer to $n(\mathfrak{C}_0)$ as the CM level of $\mathfrak{C}_0$. End of 2.2.22 1) points out that using emphasized families of tensors we get the same CM levels.

If $k \neq \bar{k}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_0$ is not potentially cyclic diagonalizable, we define the CM level $n(\mathfrak{C}_0)$ of $\mathfrak{C}_0$ to be the CM level of its extension to $\bar{k}$.

Comments. 1) It seems to us possible to formulate extremely challenging variants of 3.13.4 7), involving CM levels instead of CM-deviations.

2) We assume that $k = \bar{k}$ and that $\mathfrak{C}_0$ is not cyclic diagonalizable. As the Weyl group of G is finite, we deduce the existence of a finite number of isomorphism classes of cyclic diagonalizable Shimura filtered σ-crystals of the form $(M, F^1, g\varphi, T)$, with $g \in G(W(k))$ and with T a maximal torus of G. So, from this and from 3.6.16 2) we get:

Corollary. *There is* $M \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, *such that* $n((M, g\varphi, G)) \leq M$, *for any* $g \in G(W(k))$ *with the property that* $(M, g\varphi, G)$ *is not cyclic diagonalizable.*

The smallest such number M is called the maximal CM level of $Cl(\mathfrak{C}_0)$.

3) From the Corollary of 2.2.22 1) and from 2.2.13.3 we get that we can speak as well about CM levels of Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystals.

3.13.7.1. Expectation (the CM level one property). *Always* $n(\mathfrak{C}_0) \in \mathbb{N}$.

An approach towards its proof. One needs to show $n(\mathfrak{C}_0) \neq 0$. Let T, B and φ_1 have the same significance as in 3.2.3. So we can write $\varphi = g\varphi_1$, with $g \in G(W(k))$ (with the notations of 3.2.3, $g := (g_1 g_0)^{-1}$). Let

$$\bar{\psi}_1 : \mathrm{Lie}(G_k^{\mathrm{ad}}) \to \mathrm{Lie}(G_k^{\mathrm{ad}})$$

be the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint map of (M, φ_1, G). Let P^+ be the parabolic subgroup of G having $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$ as its Lie algebra and let P^- be its opposite w.r.t. T. Let N^+ (resp. N^-) be the unipotent radical of P^+ (resp. of P^-). $P^0 := P^+ \cap P^-$ is a Levi subgroup of both P^+ and P^-.

We consider the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of G defined as in 3.11.2 B for (M, F^1, φ_1, G). So for a smooth subscheme H of G (resp. of G_k), $\sigma(H)$ is the smooth subscheme of G (resp. of G_k) obtained from H by applying σ to elements of $H(W(k))$ (resp. of $H(k)$). We have $\sigma(T) = T$. Similarly we use σ in the adjoint context. As in 3.5.2, elements of $G(W(k))$ (resp. of $G(k)$) normalizing T (resp. T_k) are called Weyl elements. B is invariant under σ, cf. 3.11.1 D.

In connection to the Expectation, only the value $\bar{g} \in G(k)$ of g mod p is important: the reduction mod p of $(M, F^1, g\varphi_1, G)$ depends only on $\bar{g}$ and on "the choice" of F^1 mod p^2. Changing $\varphi : M \to M$ by another σ-linear endomorphism $h\varphi h^{-1} : M \to M$ isomorphic to it, with $h \in G(W(k))$ which mod p normalizes F^1/pF^1, g gets replaced by $hg\varphi_1 h^{-1}\varphi_1^{-1}$. As we are interested only in $\bar{g}$, we can assume

$$h = h_1 h_3 h_2,$$

with $h_1 \in N^+(W(k))$, with $h_2 \in P^0(W(k))$, and with $h_3 \in N^-(W(k))$ congruent to the identity mod p. As $\varphi_1 = \sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p})$, with $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ as the canonical split of (M, φ_1, G) (cf. 3.11.2 B), $\bar{g}$ gets replaced by the reduction mod p of $hg\varphi_1 h^{-1}\varphi_1^{-1}$ which is:

$$m_1 m_2 \bar{g} \sigma(m_2^{-1}) \sigma(m_3^{-1}); \tag{$*$}$$

here $m_1 \in N^+(k)$ and $m_2 \in P^0(k)$ are the reduction mod p of h_1 and respectively of h_2, while $m_3 \in N^-(k)$ is uniquely determined by h_3; when h_3 vary, m_3 varies through all k-valued points of N^-.

We consider the k-variety

$$H := N_k^+ \times_k P_k^0 \times_k N_k^-,$$

and endow it with a new group structure by the following rule: if (m_1, m_2, m_3), $(r_1, r_2, r_3) \in H(k)$ then

$$(m_1, m_2, m_3)(r_1, r_2, r_3) := (m_1 m_2 r_1 m_2^{-1}, m_2 r_2, m_3 m_2 r_3 m_2^{-1}) \in H(k).$$

This new group structure is different from the product one; however, the group structures induced on $N_k^+ \times_k N_k^-$ and on P_k^0 (embedded naturally in H) are the same as the initial ones. Moreover, we have a short exact sequence

$$(SES) \qquad 0 \to N_k^+ \times_k N_k^- \hookrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow P_k^0 \to 0.$$

(*) gives us an action

$$\mathbb{T} : H \times G_k \to G_k$$

of H on G_k (viewed just as a variety) by the rule

$$\mathbb{T}_{(m_1, m_2, m_3)}(\bar{g}) := m_1 m_2 \bar{g} \sigma(m_2^{-1}) \sigma(m_3^{-1}).$$

Let P^{00} (resp. P^{+0}) be the image of P^0 (resp. of P^+) in G^{ad}. Let

$$\mathcal{X} := N_k^+ \setminus G_k^{\mathrm{ad}} / \sigma(N_k^-).$$

We consider the action

$$\mathbb{T}^0 : P_k^{00} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$$

of P_k^{00} on $\mathcal{X}$ defined on points as follows: if $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is defined by $\bar{g} \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}(k)$, then for $h \in P_k^{00}(k)$, $\mathbb{T}_h^0(x)$ is defined by the element $h\bar{g}\sigma(h^{-1})$. We have another action $\mathbb{T}^1$ of P_k^{00} on $\mathcal{X}$: $\mathbb{T}_h^1(x)$ is defined by the element $h\bar{g}$. We have:

3.13.7.1.1. Corollary. *a) The orbits of $\mathbb{T}$ are in one-to-one correspondence to inner $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-isomorphism classes of reduction mod p of Shimura σ-crystals of the form $(M, g\varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$, with $g \in G(W(k))$.*

b) The orbits of $\mathbb{T}^0$ are in one-to-one correspondence to inner isomorphism classes of Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint maps of the form $h\bar{\psi}_1$, with $h \in G_k^{\mathrm{ad}}(k)$ (here "inner" refers to the fact that the isomorphisms are as well defined by elements of $G_k^{\mathrm{ad}}(k)$).

Proof: a) is a consequence of 2.2.14.0. For b), as the homomorphism $G(k) \to G^{\mathrm{ad}}(k)$ is surjective and due to (*), we just need to remark that:

i) for $m_3 \in G(k)$ we have $m_3\bar{\psi}_1 = \bar{\psi}_1$ iff $m_3 \in \sigma(N_k^-)(k)$: one implication is trivial, as $\sigma(N^-)$ is a commutative, unipotent group, while the other one is the content of a) of Step 2 (it can be read out at any time) of 3.13.7.3 below;

ii) P_k^{+0} is the subgroup of G_k^{ad} normalizing the kernel of $h\bar{\psi}_1$ (as any parabolic subgroup of G_k is its own normalizer); so, as $g\bar{\psi}_1$ and $h\bar{\psi}_1$ have the same kernel $\mathrm{Lie}(P_k^{+0})$, any inner isomorphism between them is defined by an element of $P^{+0}(k)$ (i.e. of $P^+(k)$);

iii) for any $\bar{m} \in P^{+0}(k)$ and every $h \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}(k)$, $\bar{m}h\bar{\psi}_1\bar{m}^{-1}$ is the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint map of the Shimura σ-crystal $(M, \tilde{m}\tilde{h}\varphi_1\tilde{m}^{-1}, G)$, where $\tilde{m}$ (resp. $\tilde{h}$) is an element of $G(W(k))$ whose reduction mod p lifts $\bar{m}$ (resp. h).

3.13.7.1.1.1. Exercise. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Show that the truncations mod p^m of two Shimura σ-crystals with emphasized families of tensors $(N, g_N^i\varphi_N, G_N, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}_N})$ $(i = \overline{1,2})$ over a perfect field of characteristic $p \geq 3$ are inner isomorphic iff their Fontaine truncations mod p^m are so. Hint: one implication (the if part) is obvious (cf. Fact 1 of 2.2.1.0); for the second one use induction on $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (the above proof handles the case $m = 1$ while the inductive step relies on 2.2.1.0 (VPHIONE) and on 2.2.14.0).

3.13.7.1.2. Corollary (the mod p property). *$(M, g\varphi_1, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ is G-ordinary iff its truncation mod p is inner $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-isomorphic to the truncation mod p of $(M, \varphi_1, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$.*

Proof: One implication follows from 3.11.1 c). The second implication follows from 3.9.2 and 3.13.7.1.1 a) and b). This ends the proof.

The Expectation 3.13.7.1 can be reformulated as the first part of:

3.13.7.1.3. Expectation (Bruhat decomposition in the Shimura σ-crystal context). *For any $\bar{g} \in G(k)$, there is an element $\bar{g}_1$ in the orbit $o(\bar{g})$ of $\bar{g}$ under $\mathbb{T}$ such that $\bar{g}_1(T_k)\bar{g}_1^{-1} = T_k$. Moreover, the distinct orbits of $\mathbb{T}$ are in one-to-one correspondence to the elements of the quotient set $W_{P^+} \setminus W_G$, where W_G is the Weyl group of G w.r.t. T, while W_{P^+} is its subgroup leaving P^+ invariant.*

We have the following adjoint variant of 3.13.7.1.3:

3.13.7.1.4. Expectation (Bruhat decomposition in the Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystal context). *For any $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{X}(k)$, there is an element in the orbit $o_0(\bar{g})$ of $\bar{g}$ under $\mathbb{T}_0$ defined by an element $\bar{g}_1 \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}(k)$ such that $\bar{g}_1(T_k)\bar{g}_1^{-1} = T_k$. Moreover, the distinct orbits of $\mathbb{T}_0$ are in one-to-one correspondence to the elements of $W_{P^+} \setminus W_G$.*

3.13.7.2. The connection between the orbits of $\mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{T}^0$. The orbits of $\mathbb{T}$ are in one-to-one correspondence to the ones of $\mathbb{T}^0$ iff $\forall \bar{g} \in G(k)$ and $\forall z \in Z(G_k)$, we have $z\bar{g} \in o(\bar{g})$. This is automatically so if the homomorphism $h(Z(G_k)) : Z(G_k) \to Z(G_k)$ that takes $z \in Z(G_k)(k)$ into $z\sigma(z^{-1})$ is an epimorphism; for instance, this is so if $Z(G_k)$ is a torus. 3.13.7.1 (or 3.13.7.1.3 or 3.13.7.1.4) once checked, implies that this always holds, regardless of how $h(Z(G_k))$ is: this is a consequence of 3.11.1 c) applied to Shimura filtered σ-crystals of the form $(M, F^1, g\varphi, T)$, with $g \in G(W(k))$. More precisely, from 3.11.1 c) we get:

Fact. *The pull back under the natural epimorphism $G_k \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of the orbit of $\mathbb{T}^0$ defined by a Weyl element $w \in G(k)$ is the orbit of $\mathbb{T}$ defined by w.*

3.13.7.3. Groups of automorphisms of Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint maps. We use the notations of 3.13.7.1, though below we take a slightly different approach. What follows is a digression in three Steps on such groups of automorphisms.

Step 1. A reduction to Weyl's elements. Let $Q := \sigma(P_k^-)$. Let $w_0 \in G(k)$ be a Weyl element such that $w_0 Q w_0^{-1} \cap P_k^+$ contains B_k. Using Bruhat decomposition (see [Bo2, 14.12]), we get that $\bar{g} w_0^{-1} = \bar{p} w_1 w_0 \bar{q} w_0^{-1}$, with $\bar{p} \in P^+(k)$, with $w_1 \in G(k)$ a Weyl element and with $\bar{q} \in Q(k)$. So $\bar{g} = \bar{p} w \bar{q}$, with $w := w_1 w_0$ a Weyl element. Let $\tilde{p} \in P^+(W(k))$ lifting $\bar{p}$. Replacing $(M, g\varphi_1, G)$ by the Shimura σ-crystal $(M, \tilde{p}^{-1} g \varphi_1 \tilde{p}, G)$ isomorphic to it, we can assume that the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint map of (M, φ, G), has the same image as $w\bar{\psi}_1$. This represents a quicker (but less useful) proof of 3.5.3 (2).

Step 2. A lemma. The notations of the following general Lemma, are entirely independent of all previous notations.

Lemma. *Let k_1 be an arbitrary field. Let H be an absolutely simple, adjoint group over k_1. Let P be a parabolic subgroup of H. We consider the subgroup C (resp. P_C) of H centralizing (resp. normalizing) the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ of the unipotent radical N of P. We have:*

a) If the characteristic of k_1 is not 2, then $P_C = P$. If moreover N is a commutative group, then $C = N$;

b) If the characteristic of k_1 is 2, then $P_{C\text{red}} = P$. If moreover N is a commutative group, then $C_{\text{red}} = C \cap P = N$;

c) The kernel of the adjoint map $\mathrm{Ad} : H \to GL(\mathrm{Lie}(H))$ is trivial (i.e. the center of $\mathrm{Lie}(H)$ is trivial).

Proof: We can assume the characteristic of k_1 is positive; we denote it by p. We can assume $k_1 = \overline{k_1}$. The part c) is a well known result; for instance, it is a consequence of [Va2, 3.1.2.1 c)], applied to a lift of H to an adjoint group $\tilde{H}$ over $W(k)$ (the characteristic 0 case of c) is trivial). The existence of such a lift is a consequence of the uniqueness theorem of [SGA3, Vol. III, p. 313-4] and of the existence –for instance, see [Hu1, 25.5] or [SGA3, Vol. III, Exp. XXV]– of Chevalley group schemes over $\mathbb{Z}$.

P_C contains P and so $P_{C\text{red}}$ is a parabolic subgroup of H. Let T be a maximal torus of P. Let N^- be the unipotent radical of the opposite of P w.r.t. T. As T normalizes $\mathfrak{n}$ we have: T normalizes P_C and so it normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(P_C)$. We now assume $p \geq 3$. To show that $P = P_C$, we just need to show that $\mathrm{Lie}(P_C) = \mathrm{Lie}(P)$. If this is not so, then we deduce the existence of a non-zero element $x \in \mathrm{Lie}(P_C) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_a(x))$, with $\mathbb{G}_a(x)$ as a $\mathbb{G}_a$ subgroup of N^- normalized by T; so $[x, \mathfrak{n}] \subset \mathfrak{n}$. Applying 3.5.4 a) to $[x, y]$, with y a non-zero element of the Lie algebra of the $\mathbb{G}_a$ subgroup of N which is opposite (w.r.t. T) to $\mathbb{G}_a(x)$, we reach a contradiction.

If $p = 2$ and $P_{C\text{red}}$ is not P, then from the structure of parabolic subgroups of H containing P (see [Bo2, 14.17-18]), we deduce that there is a PSL_2 or an SL_2-subgroup of $P_{C\text{red}}$ normalizing the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup of it. Contradiction (there are no non-trivial 1 dimensional representations of such a group).

We assume now N is a commutative group; so C contains N. It is enough to show that the reductive group P/N acts faithfully on $\mathfrak{n}$. As the characteristic 0 case is trivial, using again [Va2, 3.1.2.1 c)] in the context of the natural (inner) representation of $\tilde{P}/\tilde{N}$ on $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{N})$, with $\tilde{P}$ as a lift of P to a parabolic subgroup of $\tilde{H}$ and with $\tilde{N}$ as its unipotent radical, the Lemma follows.

Exercise. Using c) and standard noetherian arguments show that at the end of 2.2.13 the assumption $R = W(k)$ is not needed.

Step 3. Some computations. We have:

Fact. a) *$\forall h \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}(k)$, $hw\bar{\psi}_1$ has the same image as $w\bar{\psi}_1$ iff $h \in wQw^{-1}(k)$.*

b) *A Weyl element $w_1 \in G(k)$ is such that $w_1\bar{\psi}_1$ is inner isomorphic to $hw\bar{\psi}_1$ for some $h \in wQw^{-1}(k)$ iff the intersection $P^+(k)w_1 \cap wQ(k)$ is non-empty, i.e. iff $w_1 \in P^+(k)wQ(k)$*

c) *The actions $\mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{T}^0$ split up in terms of double cosets of $G(k)$ of the form $P^+(k)wQ(k)$.*

d) *Up to inner isomorphism, the image of $g\bar{\psi}_1$ depends only on the double coset $P^+(k)gQ(k)$.*

Proof: a) is a consequence of a) of Step 2 (the use of a) of Step 2 is the seventh place where we need $p > 2$). Based on this, b), c) and d) follow from 3.13.7.1.1 ii).

Based on a) and on Step 1) we can assume the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint map of (M, φ, G) is $hw\bar{\psi}_1$, with $h \in wQw^{-1}(k)$. c) implies: for the study of $\mathbb{T}$ and of $\mathbb{T}_0$, we always need to fix some element of $DC := P^+(k) \setminus G(k)/Q(k)$; we presently work with the one defined by $w \in G(k)$.

Let Q^0 be the image of Q in G_k^{ad}. In what follows we identify N_k^+ with the unipotent radical of P_k^{+0}; similarly we identify N_k^- with a subgroup of G_k^{ad}. Let $N^0 := \sigma(N_k^-)$ is the unipotent radical of Q^0. The quotient variety

$$S := wQ^0w^{-1}/wN^0w^{-1},$$

is the moduli variety of maps $hw\bar{\psi}_1$, with $h \in wQw^{-1}(k)$ (cf. a) and c) of Step 2).

We consider the subgroup

$$M_0 := P_k^{+0} \cap wQ^0w^{-1}$$

of G_k^{ad}. It is known (see [SGA3, Vol. III, 4.1.1-2 of Exp. XXVI]), that M_0 is a smooth, connected k-group; it contains the image $T_{\mathrm{ad}k}$ of T in G_k^{ad}. For any $m \in M_0(k)$, $mw\bar{\psi}_1m^{-1}$ is of the form $h_mw\bar{\psi}_1$, with $h_m \in wQ^0w^{-1}(k)$, and so it defines a unique element of $S(k)$. Let Z be the subgroup (warning: it is not necessarily reduced) of M_0 acting trivially on $w\bar{\psi}_1$ via inner conjugation. We get a well defined orbit morphism

$$m_{\mathrm{orb}} : M_0/Z \to S,$$

which is an embedding. We still denote by m_{orb} the morphism $M_0 \to S$ defining it. Let $s_0 \in S(k)$ be defined by h being identity.

In what follows, when we want to disregard the Lie algebra structure of the tangent space of the origin of a smooth group $\tilde{H}$ over k, we denote it by $T_0(\tilde{H})$. Similarly, we denote by $T_{s_0}(S_1)$ the tangent space at s_0 of any subscheme S_1 of S containing s_0.

Let M_1 (resp. M_2) be the intersection of wQ^0w^{-1} with N_k^+ (resp. with $L^0 := P_k^{00}$). M_1 is a normal subgroup of M_0 and M_0 is the semidirect product of M_1 and M_2 (this is a consequence of the fact that w is a Weyl element and of the fact that M_0 is connected). So M_1 and M_2 are as well smooth, connected. Any $m_0 \in M_0(k)$ can be written uniquely as a product $m_0 = m_1m_2$, with $m_i \in M_i(k)$, $i = \overline{1,2}$. From the part of 3.5.3 referring to b_a (or from 3.13.7.1 (*)), we get that

$$m_0w\bar{\psi}_1m_0^{-1} = m_1m_2w\sigma(m_1^{-1})w^{-1}w\bar{\psi}_1.$$

For $\tilde{m} \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}(k)$, let

$$s(\tilde{m}) := w\sigma(\tilde{m})w^{-1}.$$

So the map associating h_{m_0} to $m_0 = m_1m_2$ is given by: the pair (m_1, m_2) is mapped into

$$m_1m_2s(m_2)^{-1}. \tag{FOR}$$

Moreover, $s(L^0)$ is a Levi subgroup of wQ^0w^{-1}; here and in what follows we apply the same conventions pertaining to σ, to the homomorphism $s : G_k \to G_k$.

We can identify $T_{s_0}(S)$ with $T_0(s(L^0))$. As the tangent map in the origin of the Frobenius map σ is trivial, under this identification we have

$$T_0(Z) = T_0(P_k^{+0} \cap s(N_k^-)). \tag{1}$$

Let

$$Z_1 := N_k^+ \cap s(N_k^-).$$

It is a connected, smooth normal subgroup of M_0, contained in M_1 and in Z. M_1/Z_1 can be identified with $M_3 := N_k^+ \cap s(L^0)$; M_3 is a smooth, connected group. The connectedness part of Z_1 and M_3 is implied by the fact that M_1 is the semidirect product of M_3 and of Z_1. Similarly we get that $M_4 := L^0 \cap s(N_k^-)$ is connected and smooth and can be identified with a normal subgroup of M_0/Z_1. If $y \in M_4(k)$ belongs as well to $Z_{\mathrm{red}}/Z_1(k)$, then $ys(y)^{-1}$ belongs to $s(N_k^-)(k)$; so $s(y) \in s(N_k^-)(k)$. So y is trivial. We get that we have a natural homomorphism

$$q_Z : Z_{\mathrm{red}}/Z_1 \to M_5 := M_0/Z_1M_4$$

whose kernel is finite and has no k-valued points besides the identity. q_Z is purely inseparable, cf. (1). The study of Z can be pushed forward, using formally closed morphisms $\mathrm{Spec}(k[[T]]) \to Z_{\mathrm{red}}/Z_1$ (to be compared with [MFK, ch. 2]). This will not be done here (see §9-10 for details). Here we just mention the case when the image of q_Z has dimension 0. In such a case $\dim_k(Z) = \dim_k(Z_1)$ and so M_0/Z has dimension equal to the sum

$$\dim_k(M_4) + \dim_k(P_k^{+0} \cap s(L^0)).$$

But both $\dim_k(M_4)$ and $\dim_k(s(L^0) \cap N_k^-)$ are equal to $\frac{\dim_k(L^0)-\dim_k(s(L^0)\cap L^0)}{2}$. We conclude: M_0/Z has the same dimension as L^0 and so the same dimension as $S = wQ^0w^{-1}/wN^0w^{-1}$. So m_{orb} is an open embedding.

Example. We assume $L^0 \cap s(N_k^-)$ is trivial. Then Z is smooth and Z_1 is the connected component of the origin of Z. So the image of q_Z has dimension 0 and so m_{orb} is an open embedding.

3.13.7.3.1. Standard inequalities. From the existence of m_{orb} we get

$$\dim_k(Q^0) - \dim_k(N^0) \geq \dim_k(M_0) - \dim_k(Z).$$

As $\dim_k(L^0 \cap s(P_k^+)) = \dim_k(L^0 \cap s(P_k^-))$, we get

$$\dim_k(S) = \dim_k(L^0) = \dim_k(M_2) + \dim_k(M_4). \tag{2}$$

Also from Step 3 of 3.13.7.3 (see def. of Z_1 and (1) of it) we get

$$dd((M,\varphi,G)) = \dim_k(N_k^-) \geq \dim_k(P_k^{+0}\cap s(N_k^-)) \geq \dim_k(Z) \geq \dim_k(N_k^+\cap s(N_k^-)). \tag{3}$$

3.13.7.4. Complements. A. We come back to 3.13.7.1. It is easy to see that all stabilizers of points of $\mathcal{X}$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}^1$ are smooth. Moreover, the tangent spaces of the orbit maps of $\mathbb{T}^1$ and $\mathbb{T}^0$ are the same (as the differential of the Frobenius map σ is the zero map). We conclude:

Fact. *$\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$, its orbit under $\mathbb{T}^0$ has dimension greater or equal to the dimension of its orbit under $\mathbb{T}^1$.*

Lemma. *Let X be a k-variety (not necessarily connected) which has two stratifications $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_0$ into locally closed, regular, connected subvarieties. We assume that the number $n(\mathcal{S}_1)$ of strata of $\mathcal{S}_1$ is finite and that $\forall x \in X(k)$ the dimension of the stratum of $\mathcal{S}_0$ to which x belongs is greater or equal to the similarly defined stratum of $\mathcal{S}_1$. Then the number of strata of $\mathcal{S}_0$ is as well finite.*

We leave it to the reader to prove this Lemma using noetherian induction on $n(\mathcal{S}_1)$. But the orbits of $\mathbb{T}^1$ are in general not finite. So the above Fact and Lemma are not enough to conclude that $\mathbb{T}^0$ has a finite number of orbits. However, 3.3.4, 3.6.6.1 1) and 3.11.1 c) imply:

Corollary. *$\mathbb{T}$ (and so also $\mathbb{T}^0$) has a dense open orbit.*

B. The elimination and the insertion processes. Let G_i, $i \in I$, be the simply factors of G_k^{ad}. As usual (see 3.4.3.1 and the proof of 3.6.6; see also c) of Step 3) we can assume they are permuted transitively by σ. So we can assume that $I_0 := I = S(1,n)$ and that σ takes G_i into G_{i+1}, with $n+1=1$. Let I_1 be as in 3.4.3.4 (i.e. I_1 is the subset of I_0 corresponding to simple factors of G_k^{ad} not included in P_k^{+0}). We can assume I^1 is non-empty (otherwise 3.13.7.1.4 follows from Lang's theorem and so 3.13.7.1.3 follows from 3.13.7.2). We also assume $I_0 \neq I_1$ (otherwise what follows is void). We order the elements of I_1 as

$$a_1 < a_2 < ... < a_{|I_1|}.$$

We can assume $a_1 = 1$. For each $i \in I_1$, let

$$n_i := a_{i+1} - a_i,$$

with $a_{|I_1|+1} := n + a_1$, and let $N_k^{-i} := N_k^- \cap G_i$. Let

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{nc}} := N_k^+ \setminus \prod_{i \in I_1} G_i / \prod_{i \in I_1} \sigma^{n_i}(N_k^{-i}).$$

Let

$$P_k^{00}(I_1) := P_k^{00} \cap (\prod_{i \in I_1} G_i).$$

We have an action $\mathbb{T}^0_{\mathrm{nc}}$ of $P_k^{00}(I_1)$ on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{nc}}$ by the rule: for $h \in P_k^{00}(I_1) \cap G_i(k)$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{nc}}$ defined by an element $g_i \in G_i(k)$, $\mathbb{T}^0_{\mathrm{nc}h}(x)$ is defined by the element

$$h g_i \sigma^{n_i}(h^{-1}).$$

Similarly to $\mathbb{T}^1$ we define

$$\mathbb{T}^1_{\mathrm{nc}} : P_k^{00}(I_1) \times \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{nc}} \to \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{nc}}$$

using left translations. It is trivial to see that:

Fact. *The orbits of $\mathbb{T}^0$ (resp. of $\mathbb{T}^1$) are in one-to-one correspondence with the orbits of $\mathbb{T}^0_{\mathrm{nc}}$ (resp. of $\mathbb{T}^1_{\mathrm{nc}}$). In particular, each orbit of $\mathbb{T}^0$ is defined by a k-valued point of $\mathcal{X}$ defined by an element of $\prod_{i \in I_1} G_i(k)$.*

In other words the G_i's factors defined by $i \in I_0 \setminus I_1$ can be "eliminated".

We have a reversed process of "inserting" non-compact factors. For each $i \in I_0 \setminus I_1$, let $j \in I_1$ be the greatest element which is smaller than i. We define the following subgroups of $\prod_{i \in I_0} G_i = \prod_{i \in I_1} G_i \times \prod_{i \in I_0 \setminus I_1} G_i$:

$$N_k^{+i} := \sigma^{j-i}(N_k^+ \cap G_j),$$

$$N_k^{-i} := \sigma^{j-i}(N_k^{-j}),$$

$$P_k^{00}(i) := \sigma^{j-i}(P_k^{00}(I_1) \cap G_j),$$

$$N_k^+(I_0) := N_k^+ \times \prod_{i \in I_0 \setminus I_1} N_k^{+i},$$

$$P_k^{00}(I_0) := P_k^{00}(I_1) \times \prod_{i \in I_0 \setminus I_1} P_k^{00}(i).$$

Let

$$\mathcal{X}_{I_0} := N_k^+(I_0) \setminus \prod_{i \in I_0} G_i / \sigma(N_k^-(I_0)),$$

where

$$N_k^-(I_0) := N_k^- \times \prod_{i \in I_0 \setminus I_1} N_k^{-i}$$

is the opposite of $N_k^+(I_0)$ w.r.t. the action of the image $T_k(I_0)$ of T_k in $\prod_{i \in I_0} G_i$ via inner conjugation. We have a natural action $\mathbb{T}^0(I_0)$ of $P_k^{00}(I_0)$ on $\mathcal{X}_{I_0}$: it is entirely defined in the same manner as $\mathbb{T}^0$. We have a natural embedding

$$i_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{nc}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{I_0}$$

defined by the natural inclusion of $\prod_{i \in I_1} G_i$ into $\prod_{i \in I_0} G_i$ and a monomorphism

$$i_{I_0} : P_k^{00}(I_1) \hookrightarrow P_k^{00}(I_0)$$

which takes $h_i \in (P_k^{00}(I_1) \cap G_i)(k)$ into

$$\prod_{l=0}^{n_i - 1} \sigma^l(h_i).$$

The pair $(i_{I_0}, i_{\mathcal{X}})$ is compatible with the two actions $\mathbb{T}^0_{\mathrm{nc}}$ and $\mathbb{T}^0(I_0)$, i.e. we have

$$i_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{T}^0_{\mathrm{nc}h}(x)) = \mathbb{T}^0(I_0)_{i_{I_0}(h)}(i_{\mathcal{X}}(x)),$$

with $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{nc}}(k)$ and $h \in P_k^{00}(I_1)(k)$.

Exercise. a) Two k-valued points of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{nc}}$ belong to the same orbit under $\mathbb{T}^0(I_0)$ iff they belong to the same orbit under $\mathbb{T}^0_{\mathrm{nc}}$ (and so the number of orbits of $\mathbb{T}^0_{\mathrm{nc}}$ is finite if the number of orbits of $\mathbb{T}^0(I_0)$ is finite).

b) If a k-valued point of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{nc}}$ belongs to the orbit under $\mathbb{T}^0(I_0)$ of a k-valued point of $\mathcal{X}_{I_0}$ defined by some Weyl element $w \in \prod_{i \in I_0} G_i(k)$ normalizing $T_k(I_0)$, then it belongs to the orbit under $\mathbb{T}^0_{\mathrm{nc}}$ of some Weyl element $\tilde{w} \in \prod_{i \in I_1} G_i(k)$ normalizing $T_k(I_0)$.

Hints: a) (resp. b)) boils down to the fact that there is no element of $P_k^{00}(i)(k)$ belonging to $N_k^{+i}(k)N_k^{-i}(k)$ (resp. the only Weyl elements normalizing $T_k(I_0)$ and belonging to $N_k^{+i}(k)P_k^{00}(i)(k)N_k^{-i}(k)$ are those belonging to $P_k^{00}(i)(k)$). So for b) one needs to use the classical Bruhat decomposition in the form: $G_i(k)$ is a disjoint union of sets of the form $N_k^{+i}(k)P_k^{00}(i)(k)N_k^{-i}(k)w$, with w a Weyl element of $G_i(k)$ normalizing $T_k(I_0)$. Here $i \in I_0 \setminus I_1$.

The moral of this Exercise is (cf. also the Fact): if 3.6.13.7.1.4 holds in the context of $\mathbb{T}^0(I_0)$ then it also holds in the context of $\mathbb{T}^0$. So to check that 3.6.13.7.1.3 holds in the abstract context of Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystals, we can essentially restrict to the context of totally non-compact (see 3.10.5) cyclic factors. Here "essentially" refers to the fact that we still need to check that the maximal number of Weyl elements of $\prod_{i\in I_1} G_i(k)$ normalizing $T_k(I_0)$ and defining elements of $\mathcal{X}_{\text{nc}}$ belonging to distinct orbits of $\mathbb{T}^0_{\text{nc}}$ is as expected (in practice this is very easy).

C. We come back to 3.13.7.1. We recall that we are interested only on g mod p. Using the classical Bruhat's decomposition (over k; see [Bo2, 14.12]), we can assume $g = b_1wb_2$, with b_1, $b_2 \in B(W(k))$ and with w a Weyl element. Changing $g\varphi_1$ by $b_1^{-1}g\varphi_1b_1$ and using the fact (see 3.3.1) that $\varphi_1b_1 = b_1'\varphi_1$, with $b_1' \in B(W(k))$, we can assume $g = wb$, with $b \in B(W(k))$. We can assume b is a product of elements of distinct $\mathbb{G}_a$ subgroups of B normalized by T. It is known that there is an arbitrary choice of the order in which such a product is taken (cf. [SGA3, Vol. III, 4.1.2 of p. 172]). So one can try to apply (increasing) induction on the number of factors of such a product which are not identity: one can easily check that many of such factors can be assumed to be trivial. This approach is very practical, if one has to deal with a specific (concrete) situation.

D. Remarks. 1) From 3.13.7.1.1 a) and the classification of principally quasi-polarized finite, flat, commutative group schemes over k annihilated by p and liftable to $W(k)$ (for instance, see [EO] and [Oo3]) we get that 3.13.7.1 holds if $G = GSp(M, \psi_M)$, with $\psi_M : M \otimes_{W(k)} M \to W(k)(1)$ a principal quasi-polarization of (M, φ). So 3.13.7.1.3-4 hold provided G^{ad} is a product of simple groups left invariant by σ and of some C_n Lie type.

2) One can construct group actions similar to the ones $\mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{T}^0$ of 3.13.7.1.1, by working modulo p^m, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (i.e. by considering truncations mod p^m of Shimura σ-crystals and of Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt shifts). Moreover, 3.13.7.2 has analogues for $m \geq 2$ (and this motivates 3.9.8). See §9-10 for details. One can use the language of stacks as well but we think the language of orbit maps (like $\mathbb{T}^0$'s) is much more natural and practical.

3) The Bruhat type decompositions hinted at in 3.13.7.1.3-4 can be formulated for many other general contexts. We do expect that all of them can be proved formally, by using a σ-language in the context (see [Bou2, ch. IV, §2]) of Tits' systems. We now state a more general form of 3.13.7.1.3 in the context of reductive groups.

Let H be an an arbitrary reductive group over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p. Let $F : H \to H$ be an epimorphism fixing only a finite number of elements (for instance, cf. [Hu2, §8]). Let P_H be an arbitrary parabolic subgroup of H and let N_H^+ be its unipotent radical. We assume the existence of a maximal torus T_H of P_H taken by F into itself. Let P_H^0 be the Levi subgroup of P_H containing T_H. Let N_H^- be the opposite of N_H^+ w.r.t. the action of T_H (via inner conjugation) on Lie(H). Warning: we not need to assume the existence of a Borel subgroup of P_H taken by F into itself.

Expectation (the Bruhat decomposition in the F-context for reductive groups). *Any element $g \in H(k)$ can be written down as a product*

$$g = n_+ p_0 w F(p_0^{-1}) F(n_-),$$

with $n_+ \in N_H^+(k)$, $n_- \in N_H^-(k)$, $p_0 \in P_H^0(k)$ and with $w \in H(k)$ normalizing T_H. Moreover the minimal number of such w's needed is precisely the number of elements of the quotient set $W_{P_H} \setminus W_H$, where W_H is the Weyl group of H normalizing T_H and W_{P_H} is its subgroup formed by elements leaving P_H invariant.

Behind this Expectation and its adjoint variant, there are group actions $\mathbb{T}_H$ and $\mathbb{T}_H^0$ as in 3.13.7.1.1. Not to repeat the things, here we just mention that $\mathbb{T}_H^0$ is the action of the image P_H^{00} of P_H^0 in H^{ad} on $\mathcal{X}_H := N_H^+ \setminus H^{\mathrm{ad}} / F(N_H^-)$ which is obtained by passing to quotients from the action of P_H^{00} on H^{ad} defined by: $p_{00} \in P_H^{00}(k)$ takes $g \in H^{\mathrm{ad}}(k)$ into $p_{00} g F^{\mathrm{ad}}(p_{00}^{-1})$. Here F^{ad} is the epimorphism of H^{ad} naturally defined by F. See 3.13.7.8 for $\mathbb{T}_H$ in the σ-context.

3.13.7.5. Example. We assume that all simple factors of G^{ad} are of A_1 Lie type. We refer to 3.13.7.4 B, with $I = I_0$. As we are dealing with the A_1 Lie type, $P_k^{00}(I_1)$ is just a maximal torus of

$$G(I_1) := \prod_{i \in I_1} G_i.$$

So the subgroup B^+ (resp. B^-) of $G(I_1)$ generated by N_k^+ (resp. by N_k^-) and by $P_k^{00}(I_1)$ is a Borel subgroup of $G(I_1)$. The classical Bruhat decomposition (applied as in the beginning of Step 1 of 3.13.7.3) tells us that $G(I_1)(k)$ is a disjoint union of double cosets $B^+(k) w B^-(k) = B^+(k) w N_k^-(k)$, with w running through (representatives of) elements of the Weyl group of $G(I_1)$ normalizing $P_k^{00}(I_1)$. As the A_1 Lie type does not allow involutions, we have $N_k^- = \prod_{i \in I_1} \sigma^{n_i}(N_k^- \cap G_i)$.

So to check 3.13.7.1.4 in the present context we just need to show (cf. the elimination process of 3.13.7.4 B) that each element of the form tw, with $t \in P_k^{00}(I_1)(k)$ and with $w \in G(I_1)(k)$ normalizing $P_k^{00}(I_1)$, can be written as a product $\big(\prod_{i \in I_1} t_i\big) w \prod_{i \in I_1} \sigma^{n_i}(t_i^{-1})$, where $t_i \in (G_i \cap P_k^{00}(I_1))(k)$. Restatement: t can be written as

$$(FT) \qquad \Big(\prod_{i \in I_1} t_i\Big) w \Big(\prod_{i \in I_1} \sigma^{n_i}(t_i^{-1})\Big) w^{-1}.$$

But this is a consequence of [Hu2, th. of 18.3], applied to the epimorphism of $P_k^{00}(I_1)$ that takes $\tilde{t}_i \in (G_i \cap P_k^{00}(I_1))(k)$ into $w \sigma^{n_i}(\tilde{t}_i) w^{-1}$. We can also use systems of equations, close in spirit to the ones of first type, to get this restatement: identifying $P_k^{00}(I_0)$ with a finite product of $\mathbb{G}_m$'s groups, the actual type of systems of equations we get over k is

$$x_s = c_s x_{\pi(s)}^{p^{n_s}},$$

where s belongs to some set having as many element as the dimension of $P_k^{00}(I_1)$, with π a permutation of it, with all n_s's belonging to $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and with all c_s's as non-zero elements of k; obviously it has a solution formed by non-zero elements of k. Moreover, the map that takes t into the element of (FT) is separable.

From this last paragraph and 3.13.7.2 we conclude:

Corollary 1. *The Expectations of 3.13.7.1 and 3.13.7.1.3-4 hold for the* A_1 *Lie type. Moreover, all stabilizers of* $\mathbb{T}^0$ *are smooth.*

Similarly, we get (based on loc. cit. and not on arguments involving systems of equations) that the Expectation of 3) of 3.13.7.4 D holds for the A_1 Lie type. More generally, the same arguments give us:

Corollary 2 (the essentially Borel subgroup case). *The Expectation of 3) of 3.13.7.4 D holds for the case when the image of* P_H *in each simple factor* SF *of* H^{ad} *is either a Borel subgroup of* SF *or is* SF *itself. Moreover, all stabilizers of* $\mathbb{T}^0_H$ *are smooth.*

Moreover, in the context of $\mathbb{T}^0$ and $\mathbb{T}^1$ for the A_1 Lie type, the Lemma of 3.13.7.4 A does apply (i.e. we do get based on it that $\mathbb{T}^0$ has a finite number of orbits).

3.13.7.6. A variant of the elimination process. Let I be as in 3.13.7.4 B. We do not assume any more $I = I_0$. Let J be the subset of I formed by elements i such that G_i is not included in the image of P^+ in G_k^{ad}. Let π be the permutation of I defined naturally by σ; so $\sigma(G_i) = G_{\pi(i)}$. Based on the Fact and a) of 3.13.7.4 B, we can assume all components of g in G_i, with $I \setminus J$, are identity elements. Instead of $\bar{\psi}_1$ and $g\bar{\psi}_1$ we can work equally well with their non-compact variants $\bar{\psi}_1^{\mathrm{nc}}$ and $g\bar{\psi}_1^{\mathrm{nc}}$. Here

$$\bar{\psi}_1^{\mathrm{nc}} : \oplus_{i\in J}\mathrm{Lie}(G_i) \to \oplus_{i\in J}\mathrm{Lie}(G_i)$$

takes an element $x_i \in \mathrm{Lie}(G_i)$, with $i \in J$, into $\bar{\psi}_1^{n_i}(x_i)$, where $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ is the smallest element such that $\pi^{n_i}(i) \in J$. We refer to $g\bar{\psi}_1^{\mathrm{nc}}$ as the non-compact Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint map of $(M, g\varphi_1, G)$ or of its attached Shimura Lie σ-crystal; accordingly the other terminology of 3.9 pertaining to types of Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt maps extend to such a non-compact context. By an inner automorphism of $g\bar{\psi}^{\mathrm{nc}}$, we mean an automorphism of it defined by an element of $\prod_{i\in J} G_i(k)$. Let

$$i_J(p) : \prod_{i\in J} G_i \hookrightarrow \prod_{i\in I} G_i$$

be the monomorphism defined as follows: for $g_i \in G_i(k)$, with $i \in J$,

$$i_j(g_i) := \prod_{l=0}^{n_i-1} \sigma^l(g_i)$$

(to be compared with i_{I_0} of 3.13.7.4 B). Based on c) of Step 2 of 3.13.7.3 we get:

Fact. *The image under* $i_J(p)$ *of the group of inner automorphisms of* $g\bar{\psi}_1^{\mathrm{nc}}$ *is the group of inner automorphisms of* $g\bar{\psi}_1$*. In particular, these two groups of inner automorphisms have the same dimension.*

So we can perform the elimination process not only in connection to finding the orbits of $\mathbb{T}^0$ but also in connection to finding groups of inner automorphisms of Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint maps (over k). From this Fact and Corollary 1 of 3.13.7.5 we get:

3.13.7.6.0. Corollary. *We assume G_i is of A_1 Lie type, $\forall i \in I$. Then the number of orbits of $\mathbb{T}^0$ is $2^{|J|}$: each such orbit $o(w)$ is defined by a Weyl element $w \in \prod_{i\in J} G_i(k)$ normalizing the image $T(J)_k$ of T_k in $\prod_{i\in J} G_i$; moreover, if $w_1 \in \prod_{i\in J} G_i(k)$ is another Weyl element, we have $o(w) = o(w_1)$ iff $w_1 = tw_2$ for some $t \in T(J)_k(k)$. So each such orbit $o(w)$ defines a function*

$$f_w : J \to \{0, 1\}$$

by the rule: $f(i) = 0$ iff the component of w in G_i does belong to $T(J)_k(k)$.

If B^+ (resp. B^-) is the image of P^+ (resp. of P^-) in $\prod_{i\in J} G_i$, then under the projection of G_k^{ad} on $\prod_{i\in J} G_i$, $o(w)$ is mapped onto

$$B^+(k)wB^-(k).$$

The group of automorphisms of $w\bar{\psi}_1^{\mathrm{nc}}$ (and so of $w\bar{\psi}_1$) has dimension equal to

$$\sum_{i\in J} f_w(i).$$

Moreover $o(w)$ specializes to $o(w_1)$ iff

(SPEC) $$f_{w_1}(i) \geq f_w(i), \forall i \in J.$$

Proof: The only thing left to be remarked is that the dimension d_w of the group of inner automorphisms of $w\bar{\psi}_1^{\mathrm{nc}}$ is nothing else but

(*DIM*) $$\dim_k(B^+) - \dim_k(B^+wB^-/B^-).$$

The argument goes as follows. In order to have $g\bar{\psi}_1^{\mathrm{nc}}g^{-1}$ of the form $h\bar{\psi}_1^{\mathrm{nc}}$ (here g, $h \in \prod_{i\in J} G_i(k)$), we need $g \in B^+(k)$, cf. the normalizing part of a) of Step 2 of 3.13.7.3 (see also Step 3 of 3.13.7.3). Moreover, $h\bar{\psi}_1^{\mathrm{nc}} = \bar{\psi}_1^{\mathrm{nc}}$ iff h is a k-valued point of the unipotent radical of $B^-(k)$, cf. the centralizing part of a) of Step 2 of 3.13.7.3; so the variety parameterizing maps of the form $h\bar{\psi}_1^{\mathrm{nc}}$, with (see c) of Step 3 of 3.13.7.3) $h \in B^+(k)wB^-(k)$, has the same dimension as (in fact it is isomorphic to) B^+wB^-/B^-. So $\dim_k(B^+) - d_w = \dim_k(B^+wB^-/B^-)$. This ends the proof.

3.13.7.6.1. The non-compact context. The whole of 3.13.7.3 can be redone in the context of $\bar{\psi}_1^{\mathrm{nc}}$. We just need to:

– replace the role of σ with the one of the endomorphism $F : \prod_{i\in J} G_i \to \prod_{i\in J} G_i$ that takes $g_i \in G_i(k)$ into $\sigma^{n_i}(g_i)$, with $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ as in 3.13.7.6;

– consider a Weyl element $w \in \prod_{i\in J} G_i(k)$ normalizing the image $T_k(J)$ of T in $\prod_{i\in J} G_i$;

– replace s by the endomorphism s_J of $\prod_{i\in J} G_i$ that takes $g_i \in G_i(k)$ into $wF(g_i)w^{-1}$.

Let $P^+(J)$ (resp. $Q(J)$) be the image of P_k^+ (resp. of $F(P_k^-)$) in $\prod_{i\in J} G_i$. Let $P^-(J)$ be the opposite of $P^+(J)$ w.r.t. the action (via inner conjugation) of $T_k(J)$; so $Q(J) := F(P^-(J))$. Let $\mathbb{T}^0_{\mathrm{nc}}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{nc}}$ be as in 3.13.7.4 but obtained working with J instead I_1 (i.e. we do not assume that we are in a cyclic context). Let $P^0(J)$ be the image of P^0 in $\prod_{i\in J} G_i$. Let $N(J)$ be the unipotent radical of $Q(J)$. As in c) of the Fact of Step 3 of 3.13.7.3, the action $\mathbb{T}^0_{\mathrm{nc}}$ splits in terms of the image in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{nc}}$ of double cosets of the form $P^+(J)wQ(J)$. So for its study we need to fix an element of

$$DC_{\mathrm{nc}} := P^+(J)(k) \setminus \prod_{i\in J} G_i(k)/Q(J)(k).$$

For future references, we point out:

A. Fact. *Let $g \in \prod_{i\in J} G_i(k)$. If we are in the cyclic context (i.e. we have $I = I_0$ and $J = I_1$), then the Lie stable p-rank of $g\bar{\psi}_1^{\mathrm{nc}}$ is $\frac{|I_1|}{|I_0|}$ times the Lie stable p-rank of $g\bar{\psi}_1$.*

Let

$$M_0^{\mathrm{nc}} := P^+(J) \cap wQ(J)w^{-1} = P^+(J) \cap s_J(P^-(J))$$

and let Z^{nc} be its subgroup fixing $w\bar{\psi}_1^{\mathrm{nc}}$. Let

$$Z_1^{\mathrm{nc}} := N_k^+ \cap s_J(N_k^-).$$

It is a smooth, connected subgroup of Z^{nc}. As in 3.13.7.3.1 we get

$$dd((M,\varphi,G)) \geq \dim_k(P^+(J)\cap s_J(N_k^-)) \geq \dim_k(Z^{\mathrm{nc}}) \geq \dim_k(N_k^+ \cap s_J(N_k^-)), \tag{4}$$

and

$$\dim_k(Q(J)) - \dim_k(N(J)) \geq \dim_k(M_0^{\mathrm{nc}}) - \dim_k(Z^{\mathrm{nc}}). \tag{5}$$

Let $w_0 \in \prod_{i\in J} G_i(k)$ be a Weyl element with the property that the intersection

$$P^+(J) \cap w_0Q(J)w_0^{-1}$$

contains the image $B_k(J)$ of B in $\prod_{i\in J} G_i$; the image of w_0 in DC_{nc} is uniquely determined. We have:

B. Claim. *If $dd((M,\varphi,G)) = \dim_k(Z^{\mathrm{nc}})$, then $w \in P^+(J)(k)w_0Q(J)(k)$.*

Proof: From (4) we get $s_J(N_k^-)$ is a subgroup of $P^+(J)$. Based on [Bo2, 14.22 (i)], this is equivalent to: the intersection $P^+(J)\cap s_J(P^-(J)) = P^+(J)\cap wQ(J)w^{-1}$ contains a Borel subgroup of $\prod_{i \in J} G_i$. As we are dealing with double cosets, we can assume that this Borel subgroup is $B_k(J)$. The Claim follows.

C. Theorem. *The following two statements are equivalent:*

a) $N_k^+ = s_J(N_k^-)$*;*

b) $w \in P^+(J)w_0Q(J)$*, the image in* $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{nc}}$ *of the double coset* $P^+(J)w_0Q(J)$ *is one orbit of the action* $\mathbb{T}^0_{\mathrm{nc}}$ *and we have* $dd((M, \varphi, G)) = \dim_k(Z^{\mathrm{nc}})$*.*

Proof: If $N_k^+ = s_J(N_k^-)$, then $P^+(J) = s_J(P^-(J)) = wQ(J)w^{-1}$; so $P^0(J) = s_J(P^0(J))$ and $w \in P^+(J)w_0Q(J)$. We get: $P^+(J)(k)w_0Q(J)(k) = P^+(J)(k)w_0$. So the image IM of $P^+(J)w_0Q(J)$ in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{nc}}$ can be naturally identified with $P^0(J)$ (cf. the Hint of a) of 3.13.7.4 B). Under this identification, the automorphism of IM defined naturally by $h \in P^0(J)(k)$ via the action $\mathbb{T}^0_{\mathrm{nc}}$, is nothing else but the automorphism of $P^0(J)$ that takes $h_1 \in P^0(J)(k)$ into

$$hh_1s_J(h)^{-1}$$

(this is the same as (FOR) of Step 3 of 3.13.7.3). So from Lang's theorem in the form of [Hu2, th. 8.3] (applied to the homomorphism $F = s_J$) we get that $IM$is one orbit of $\mathbb{T}^0_{\mathrm{nc}}$. The last part of b) is a consequence of (4).

We assume now that b) holds. To check a) we can assume $w = w_0$. Due to the "one orbit part", in (5) we have equality. As $\dim_k(N(J)) = dd((M, \varphi, G))$, we get $\dim_k(M_0^{\mathrm{nc}}) = \dim_k(Q(J)) = \dim_k(P^+(J))$. So $M_0^{\mathrm{nc}} = P^+(J)$ and so by reasons of dimensions $P^+(J) = s_J(P^-(J))$. So a) holds. This ends the proof.

D. The double coset $P^+(J)w_0Q(J)$ is referred as the final coset and any Shimura σ-crystal whose non-compact Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt map is inner isomorphic to $g_{\mathrm{fin}}\bar{\psi}_1^{\mathrm{nc}}$, with $g_{\mathrm{fin}} \in P^+(J)w_0Q(J)$ is referred as a quasi-final Shimura σ-crystal. Similarly, we speak about Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystals which are quasi-final.

3.13.7.6.2. The list. The equality $N_k^+ = s_J(N_k^-)$ is equivalent to the fact that each cyclic adjoint factor $\mathcal{C}$ of (M, φ_1, G) is of one of the following types:

a) B_ℓ, C_ℓ or $D_\ell^{\mathbb{R}}$ type;

b) of A_ℓ type with ℓ odd and which is also (of constant) type $\frac{\ell+1}{2}$;

c) of A_ℓ type whose concentrated ε-type is involutive;

d) of $D_\ell^{\mathbb{H}}$ type with ℓ odd and greater than 4, whose concentrated ε-type is involutive;

e) of simple $D_\ell^{\mathbb{H}}$ type with ℓ even and greater than 3.

This list conforms with the expression of w_0's in [Bou2, planche I to IV].

3.13.7.6.3. The cyclic diagonalizability property. We assume that the two statements of 3.13.7.6.1 C hold. We consider a Weyl element ω of $G(W(k))$ whose image in G_k^{ad} is the same as the image of w_0. We get a cyclic diagonalizable Shimura filtered σ-crystal $(M, F^1, \omega\varphi_1, T)$. We have:

Theorem. $(M, \omega\varphi_1, G)$ *is an isom-canonical Shimura* σ*-crystal.*

Corollary (the cyclic diagonalizability property). *Any Shimura σ-crystal $(M, g\varphi_1, G)$, with $g \in G(W(k))$, whose Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt map is inner isomorphic to the one of $(M, \omega\varphi_1, G)$, is isomorphic to $(M, \omega\varphi_1, G)$ and so is cyclic diagonalizable.*

Proofs: To prove the Theorem, we need to show: if $g \in \mathrm{Ker}(G(W(k)) \to G(k))$, then $(M, \omega\varphi_1, G)$ and $(M, g\omega\varphi_1, G)$ are isomorphic under an isomorphism defined by an element of $G(W(k))$. We follow the pattern of the proof of 3.6.15 B. By induction on $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we show that we can assume $g \in \mathrm{Ker}(G(W(k)) \to G(W_m(k)))$. It is enough to show that: if $g \in \mathrm{Ker}(G(W(k)) \to G(W_m(k)))$, then there is $h \in G(W(k))$ congruent to the identity mod p^{m-1} and such that the element $\tilde{g} \in G(W(k))$ defined by the equality $\tilde{g}\omega\varphi_1 = hg\omega\varphi_1 h^{-1}$ is congruent to the identity mod p^{m+1}. Let $\tilde{\varphi}_1 := \omega\varphi_1$.

We use the notations of 3.13.7.6 to index the simple factors of G^{ad}. So $G^{\mathrm{ad}} = \prod_{i\in I} G_{iW(k)}$, with the special fibre of the simple group $G_{iW(k)}$ being G_i. Let $P^0_{W(k)}(J)$ be the reductive subgroup of G normalized by T and whose special fibre is the pull back to G_k of $P^0(J)$. Let N^+ and N^- be as in 3.13.7.1.1. Let $P^0(I)$ be the smallest reductive subgroup of G containing $P^0_{W(k)}(J)$ and stable under the endomorphism $i_\omega \circ \sigma$ of G which takes $u \in G(W(k))$ into $\omega\sigma(u)\omega^{-1}$. The images of $P^0(I)$ and of $P^0_{W(k)}(J)$ in $\prod_{i\in J} G_{iW(k)}$ are the same (cf. a) of 3.13.7.6.1 C). We choose $W(k)$-basis $\mathcal{B}_0$, $\mathcal{B}_+$ and $\mathcal{B}_-$ of the Lie algebras of $P^0(I)$, N^+ and respectively of N^-. Conjugating $g\tilde{\varphi}_1$ by elements of $G(W(k))$ congruent to 1_M mod p^m and whose images in $G^{\mathrm{ad}}(W(k))$ belong to $\prod_{i\in I\setminus J} G_{iW(k)}(W(k))$, we can assume

$$g = g_c g_- g_+ g_0$$

where $g_+ \in N^+(W(k))$, $g_- \in N^-(W(k))$ and $g_0 \in P^0(I)(W(k))$ are congruent to the identity mod p^m, and where $g_c \in G(W(k))$ is congruent to the identity mod p^{m+1}. The order in which such a product decomposition of g is taken is entirely irrelevant mod p^{2m} and so mod p^{m+1}.

We take h of the form $h = h_3h_2h_1$, where $h_3 \in G(W(k))$ and $h_1 \in P^0(I)(W(k))$ are congruent to the identity mod p^m and where

$$h_2 = i_J(h_+)i_J(h_-);$$

here

$$i_J : \prod_{i\in J} G_{iW(k)} \hookrightarrow G^{\mathrm{ad}}$$

is a monomorphism defined entirely as $i_J(p)$ of 3.13.7.6 and $h_+ \in N^+(W(k))$ (resp. $h_- \in N^-(W(k))$) is (warning!) congruent to the identity mod p^{m-1} (resp. mod p^m). $i_J(h_+)$ and $i_J(h_-)$ still make sense as the unipotent subgroups of G are in natural one-to-one correspondence to unipotent subgroups of G^{ad}. h_1, g_- and g_+ are commuting mod p^{m+1}. So we get

$$\tilde{g} = h_3h_2h_1g\tilde{\varphi}_1h_1^{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_1^{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_1h_2^{-1}h_3^{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_1^{-1} = \tilde{g}_ch_3h_2g_-g_+\tilde{g}_0\tilde{\varphi}_1h_2^{-1}h_3^{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_1^{-1},$$

where $\tilde{g}_c \in G(W(k))$ is congruent to the identity mod p^{m+1} and where

$$\tilde{g}_0 := h_1 g_0 \tilde{\varphi}_1 h_1^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}_1^{-1}.$$

As $P^0(I)$ is stable under the endomorphism $i_\omega \circ \sigma$ of G, $\mathrm{Lie}(P^0(I))$ is stable under $\tilde{\varphi}_1$. So writing $1_M - h_1$ mod p^{m+1} as p^m times a linear combination of elements of $\mathcal{B}_0$ with coefficients in $W(k)$, the condition that $\tilde{g}_0$ is congruent to the identity mod p^{m+1} gets translated in choosing the reduction mod p of these coefficients to form a solution of a quasi Artin–Schreier system of equations in $\dim_k(P^0(I)_k)$ variables with coefficients in k. So based on 3.6.8.1 (with $l = 1$ and $s = 0$) we can assume $\tilde{g}_0$ is congruent to the identity mod p^{m+1}. So $\tilde{g}$ is of the form $\tilde{g}_c h_3 \tilde{g}_{+-} \tilde{\varphi}_1 h_3^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}_1^{-1}$, where

$$\tilde{g}_{+-} := h_2 g_- g_+ \tilde{\varphi}_1 h_2^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}_1^{-1}.$$

We consider two cases.

Case 1: $m \geq 2$. Every element of $G(W(k))$ which mod p^m is 1_M commutes mod p^{2m-1} with any element of $G(W(k))$ which mod p^{m-1} is 1_M. So $\tilde{g}_{+-}$ mod p^{2m-1} (and so mod p^{m+1}) can be rewritten as $\tilde{g}_+ \tilde{g}_-$, where

$$\tilde{g}_+ := i_J(h_+) g_+ \tilde{\varphi}_1 i_J(h_-^{-1}) \tilde{\varphi}_1^{-1}$$

and

$$\tilde{g}_- := i_J(h_-) g_- \tilde{\varphi}_1 i_J(h_+^{-1}) \tilde{\varphi}_1^{-1}.$$

Based on a) of 3.13.7.6.1 C), we get that $\tilde{g}_+ \in N^+(W(k))$ and $\tilde{g}_- \in N^-(W(k))$. We write $1_M - h_-$ mod p^{m+1} as p^m times a linear combination C_- of elements of $\mathcal{B}_-$ with coefficients in $W(k)$. We write $h_+ = h_+^1 h_+^2$, with $h_+^1 \in N^+(W(k))$ congruent to the identity mod p^m and with $h_+^2 \in N^+(W(k))$ congruent to the identity mod p^{m-1}. We write $1_M - h_+^1$ (resp. $1_M - h_+^2$) as p^m (resp. as p^{m-1}) times a linear combination C_+^1 (resp. C_+^2) of elements of $\mathcal{B}_+$ with coefficients in $W(k)$. The conditions that both $\tilde{g}_+$ and $\tilde{g}_-$ are congruent to the identity mod p^{m+1} get translated in choosing the reduction mod p^2 of all these coefficients to form a solution of a system SE of equations with coefficients in $W_2(k)$. We split the study of its solutions in two steps. First we consider its subsystem $SUBSE$ of equations with coefficients in k in the variables which are the reduction mod p of all coefficients of C_- and of C_+^2 and obtained by requiring $\tilde{g}_-$ to be congruent to the identity mod p^{m+1} and $\tilde{g}_+$ to be congruent to the identity mod p^m: it is a quasi Artin–Schreier system of equations. We can not easily give a formula for the number of variables; this is so due to the fact that the definition of s_J involves iterates of σ and so we need to appeal to 3.6.8.1.5; if we allow monomials as in the mentioned place, then we are dealing with systems of equations (not a priori of first type) which involve precisely $2\dim_k(N_k^+)$ variables. Second we pick up a solution of $SUBSE$ and we plug it (via a fixed lift h_+^1 of its truncation mod p^m) in SE. We get a new system of equations with coefficients in k in the variables which are the reduction mod p of all coefficients of C_+^1 and obtained by requiring $\tilde{g}_+$ to be congruent to the identity mod p^{m+1}: it is a quasi

Artin–Schreier system of equations. The situation with the number of variables is as above, with $2\dim_k(N_k^+)$ replaced by $\dim_k(N_k^+)$.

So we can assume $\tilde{g}_{+-}$ is congruent to the identity mod p^{m+1}. Choosing h_3 to be 1_M, we get $\tilde{g}$ is congruent to the identity mod p^{m+1}.

Case 2: $m = 1$**.** This case is a little bit complicated as we can not assume that we are dealing with elements which commute mod p^2 (see the choice of h_+). However, based on 3.5.3 (5)

$$g_+\big(\tilde{\varphi}_1 i_J(h_-^{-1})\tilde{\varphi}_1^{-1}\big)\big(\tilde{\varphi}_1 i_J(h_+^{-1})\tilde{\varphi}_1^{-1}\big)$$

mod p^2 can be rewritten as

$$g_+\big(\tilde{\varphi}_1 i_J(h_+^{-1})\tilde{\varphi}_1^{-1}\big)\big(\tilde{\varphi}_1 i_J(h_-^{-1})\tilde{\varphi}_1^{-1}\big)p_{+-0}$$

and so as

$$\tilde{\varphi}_1 i_J(h_+^{-1})\tilde{\varphi}_1^{-1} g_+ \tilde{\varphi}_1 i_J(h_-^{-1})\tilde{\varphi}_1^{-1} p_{+-0},$$

with $p_{+-0} \in P^0(I)(W(k))$ congruent to the identity mod p. So $\tilde{g}_{+-}$ mod p^2 is congruent to

$$i_J(h_+)EL_- g_+ \tilde{\varphi}_1 i_J(h_-^{-1})\tilde{\varphi}_1^{-1} p_{+-0},$$

where

$$EL_- := i_J(h_-)g_-\tilde{\varphi}_1 i_J(h_+^{-1})\tilde{\varphi}_1^{-1}.$$

So, writing $1_M - h_-$ (resp. $1_M - h_+$) mod p^2 (resp. mod p) as p times (resp. as) a linear combination of elements of $\mathcal{B}_-$ (resp. of $\mathcal{B}_+$) with coefficients in $W(k)$, the conditions that EL_- is congruent to the identity mod p^2 and $i_J(h_+)g_+\tilde{\varphi}_1 i_J(h_-^{-1})\tilde{\varphi}_1^{-1}$ is congruent to the identity mod p get translated in choosing the reduction mod p of these coefficients to form a solution of a quasi Artin–Schreier system of equations with coefficients in k; the situation with the number of variables is as in above part involving $SUBSE$. So we can assume $\tilde{g}_{+-}$ mod p^2 is of the form $g'_+ p_{+-0}$, where $g'_+ \in N^+(W(k))$ is congruent to the identity mod p. Choosing h_3 to be the product of an element of $P^0(I)(W(k))$ congruent to the identity mod p with $(g'_+)^{-1}$, the arguments of the paragraph before Case 1 referring to $\tilde{g}_0$ allow us to assume $\tilde{g}_{+-}$ (and so $\tilde{g}$) is congruent to the identity mod p^2.

So regardless of how m is, we can assume $\tilde{g}$ is congruent to the identity mod p^{m+1}. This proves the Theorem. We now refer to the Corollary. We can assume the truncations mod p of $(M, g\varphi_1, G)$ and $(M, w\varphi_1, G)$ are inner isomorphic, cf. Fact of 3.13.7.2. So from the construction of $\mathbb{T}$ we get: we can assume g is congruent to w mod p. So the Corollary follows from the Theorem. This ends the proofs.

3.13.7.6.3.1. An approach. We include now an approach to be used to present some examples in 3.15.7 H and K below.

We follow the pattern of the proof of 3.13.7.6.3 and we use the previous notations. Let w be a Weyl element of the final double coset. We use Weyl's decomposition $\mathrm{Lie}(G) = \mathrm{Lie}(T) \oplus_{\alpha\in\Phi} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ w.r.t. T. So Φ is the set of cocharacters of the action of T on $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ is the direct summand of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ on which T acts via α. Let $\tilde{\varphi}_1 := w\varphi_1$. $\tilde{\varphi}_1$

permutes $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha[\frac{1}{p}]$'s; so wet a permutation π of Φ. We concentrate on one cycle $(\alpha_1, ...\alpha_s)$ of it; whatever we do below for it is implicitly done for all other cycles of π. For $i \in S(1,s)$, let $u_i \in S(-1,1)$ be such that $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m$ acts through μ on $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ as the multiplication with p^{-u_i}.

As $s_J(N_k^-)$ is a subgroup of $P^+(J)$, $s_J(N_k^+)$ is a subgroup of $P^-(J)$. This implies:

Key property. *There is no $i \in S(1,s)$ such that u_i and u_{i+1} are both 1 or both -1 (here $u_{s+1} = u_1$).*

We consider the following modification

$$\mathrm{Lie}-\mathrm{mod}(G) := \mathrm{Lie}(T) \oplus p\mathrm{Lie}(N_-) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(N^+) \oplus pL^{0,-} \oplus L^{0,0} \oplus L^{0,+}$$

of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$. Here $L^{0,+}$, $L^{0,0}$ and $L^{0,-}$ are direct sums of $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$'s subject to the following rules:

– their direct sum is $\mathrm{Lie}(P^0)$;

– $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i} \subset L^{0+}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i} \subset L^{00}$) iff $n_i = 0$ and the first non-zero term of the sequence $(n_j)_{j \geq i}$ is 1 (resp. iff $(n_1, ..., n_s) = (0, ..., 0)$).

We choose a generator x_i of $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i} \cap \mathrm{Lie}-\mathrm{mod}(G)$, $i \in S(1,s)$. We obviously have:

Fact. *We assume that there is no cycle $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_s)$ of π such that $\sum_{i=1}^s n_i \geq 0$ and $(-1, 0, 0, ..., 0, -1) = (n_j, n_{j+1}, ..., n_l)$ for some $j \in S(1,n)$ and $l \in S(j, j+s-1)$. Then for $i \in S(1,s)$, writing $\tilde{\varphi}_1(x_i) = p^{v_i}\gamma_i x_{i+1}$, with $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ and $v_i \in S(-1,1)$, all v_i, $i \in S(1,s)$, have the same sign, i.e. either all of them are non-negative or all of them are non-positive.*

3.13.7.6.3.2. Remark. 3.13.7.6.3 and 3.13.7.6.1 remain true in a principally quasi-polarized context (cf. its proof and 3.6.15 B and its proof).

3.13.7.7. Remark. 3.13.7.1-4, 3.13.7.6 and 3.13.7.6.1 make sense as well in a purely Lie context involving Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystals: no modifications of arguments are required (besides what mentioned in the beginning paragraph of 3.4). So to the list of 3.13.7.6.2 we can add (again cf. the expression of w_0 in [Bou, planche V and VI]) the following two extra types:

f) of E_7 Lie type;

g) of E_6 Lie type whose concentrated ε-type is involutive.

Moreover, 3.13.7.6.3 can be entirely adapted to Shimura-ordinary p-divisible objects over k whose attached Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystals are such that f) or g) holds for each one of their cyclic factors.

3.13.7.8. The case of Fontaine categories $p-\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$. We refer to 3) of 3.13.7.4 D. Its Expectation has interpretations similar to the ones of 3.13.7.1 and of 3.13.7.1.1. In what follows, we exemplify this phenomenon just in the general context pertaining to Fontaine categories. So we assume H is the extension to k of a reductive group $H_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ in such a way that F is nothing else but the endomorphism σ of $H = H_k$.

Let $H_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be a reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ whose special fibre is $H_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. We consider a faithful representation

$$\rho : H_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \hookrightarrow GL(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}),$$

with $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of finite rank. We consider a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to H_{W(k)}$ such that P_H lifts to a parabolic subgroup $P_{W(k)}$ of $H_{W(k)}$ in such a way that $\mathrm{Lie}(P_{W(k)})$ is the maximal Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(H_{W(k)})$ on which μ acts (via inner conjugation) through non-negative, integral powers of the identical character of $\mathbb{G}_m$. $[\mu]$ is not uniquely determined. Its existence can be deduced immediately from the structure of parabolic subgroups of H (see [Bo2, 14.17]): as in the proof of Fact 1 of 2.2.9 3) we can work just over k and we can assume μ_k factors through a maximal torus T of P_H; we need the composite of μ_k with a specified set $CHAR$ of independent (over $\mathbb{Z}$) characters of T to be specified a priori. Here $CHAR$ is a set of characters of T forming a bases of the root system of the action of T on $\mathrm{Lie}(H)$, such that any Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(H)$ corresponding to an element of $CHAR$ is included in $\mathrm{Lie}(P_H)$.

For $g \in H_{W(k)}(W(k))$ we construct a p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C} = (M, (F^s(M))_{s\in S(a,b)}, \varphi)$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$, with a, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \geq a$, as follows. Let $M := M_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k) = \oplus_{s=a}^{b} \tilde{F}^s$ be the direct sum decomposition defined by μ as in 2.2.1.2. Let $F^s(M) := \oplus_{j=s}^{b} \tilde{F}^j$. We take φ to be $g \circ \sigma \circ \mu(\frac{1}{p})$, where σ is identified with the σ-linear automorphism of M fixing $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and acting as σ on $W(k)$. The quadruple

$$(M, (F^s(M))_{s\in S(a,b)}, \varphi, H_{W(k)})$$

is a p-divisible object with a reductive structure of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$ (see 2.2.8 3a)). We recall (see 2.2.8 4a) and 2.2.1 d)) that we speak about lifts of $(M, \varphi, H_{W(k)})$ and about $\mathfrak{C}$ or some truncations of it being cyclic diagonalizable. As in 3.13.7, provided $(M, \varphi, H_{W(k)})$ has no lift which is cyclic diagonalizable, we speak about the CM level of $(M, \varphi, H_{W(k)})$.

We consider the direct sum decomposition of

$$\mathrm{Lie}(H_{W(k)}) = \oplus_{j\in S(\mu)} \mathfrak{n}(j)$$

produced by μ via inner conjugation; so $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acts through μ on $\mathfrak{n}_j$ as the multiplication with β^{-j}. Here $S(\mu) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is a finite set. Let $T_{W(k)}$ be a maximal torus of $H_{W(k)}$ lifting T and through which μ factors. Let N_0 be the centralizer of μ in $H_{W(k)}$. It is a reductive subgroup of $H_{W(k)}$ whose Lie subalgebra is $\mathfrak{n}_0$. For $j \in S(\mu) \setminus \{0\}$, let N_j be the integral, connected, smooth subscheme of $H_{W(k)}$ which is the product (taken in some order) of the distinct $\mathbb{G}_a$ subgroups of $H_{W(k)}$ normalized by $T_{W(k)}$ and whose Lie algebras are included in $\mathfrak{n}(j)$. For what follows it is irrelevant the order in which such products are taken, cf. [SGA3, Vol. III, 4.1.2 of p. 172]. The tangent space of N_j in the origin of $H_{W(k)}$ is $\mathfrak{n}_j$. Let N^+ (resp. N^-) be the integral, connected, smooth, unipotent subgroup of $H_{W(k)}$ generated by all N_j's with $j > 0$ (resp. with $j < 0$).

Let $h \in H_{W(k)}(W(k))$ be such that $(M, (F^s(M))_{s \in S(a,b)}, h\varphi h^{-1}, H_{W(k)})$ is still a p-divisible object with a reductive structure of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$. From Fact of 2.2.14.2 we get that $h(F^s(M)) \subset \sum_{v=0}^{s-a} p^v F^{s-v}(M)$, $\forall s \in S(a,b)$. It is an easy exercise to see that this implies that we can write

$$h = h_+ h_- h_0,$$

where

$$h_+ = \prod_{j \in S(\mu) \cap (0,\infty)} h_j$$

and

$$h_- = \prod_{j \in S(\mu) \cap (-\infty,0)} h_j = \prod_{j \in S(\mu) \cap (-\infty,0)} (1_M + p^{-j} u_j),$$

with $h_j \in N_j(W(k))$ congruent to the identity mod $p^{\max\{0,-j\}}$, $\forall j \in S(\mu)$. It is loc. cit. (resp. loc. cit. and the fact that, $\forall j_1,\ j_2 \in S(\mu) \cap (-\infty, 0)$, the commutator $n_{j_1} n_{j_2} n_{j_1}^{-1} n_{j_2}^{-1}$, with $n_{j_i} \in N_{j_i}(W(k))$, $i = \overline{1,2}$, is a $W(k)$-valued point of the subgroup of $H_{W(k)}$ generated by N_j's with $j \in S(\mu) \cap (-\infty, j_1 + j_2)$) which tells us that it is irrelevant (for what follows) the order in which the product of h_+ (resp. of h_-) is taken.

Based on the previous paragraph, as in 3.13.7.1 we get: $hg\varphi h^{-1}\varphi^{-1} \in H_{W(k)}(W(k))$ mod p is of the same form $m_1 m_2 \bar{g} \sigma(m_2^{-1}) \sigma(m_3^{-1})$ as (*) of 3.13.7.1, $\forall g \in H_{W(k)}(W(k))$. m_1, $\bar{g}$ and m_2 are the reduction mod p of h_+, g and respectively h_0, while $m_3 \in N^-(k)$ is uniquely determined by h_- (it is the reduction mod p of $\prod_{j \in S(\mu) \cap (-\infty,0)} (1_M + u_j)$). So we come across entirely the same type of action $\mathbb{T}_H$ of $N_k^+ \times_k N_{0k} \times_k N_k^-$ on H as $\mathbb{T}$ of 3.13.7.1. So the Expectation of 3) of 3.13.7.4 D gets interpreted as:

Expectation (the general form of the CM level one property in the context of Fontaine categories). *We assume $(M, \varphi, H_{W(k)})$ has no lift which is cyclic diagonalizable. Then its CM level is at least one.*

We have a variant of this in the adjoint context similar to the one of 3.13.7.1.4: we just have to restate everything in terms of the adjoint representations of $H_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and of $H_{W(k)}$. We denote by $\mathbb{T}_H^0$ the group action of N_{0k} on $N_k^+ \setminus H_k / \sigma(N_k^-)$ defined by $\mathbb{T}_H$ by passing to quotient.

Corollary 2 of 3.13.7.5 provides us with many examples when this Expectation holds; in particular it holds if P_H is a Borel subgroup of H.

3.13.7.8.1. Example. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We assume that M has rank $n+1$, that $(a,b) = (0,n)$, that $H_{W(k)} = GL(M)$ and that $\dim_{W(k)}(F^s(M)) = n + 1 - s$; so the subgroup $P_{W(k)}$ of $GL(M)$ normalizing $F^s(M)$, $i \in S(1,n)$, is a Borel subgroup. We say $\mathfrak{C}$ (resp. any one of its truncations) is a flag p-divisible object (resp. a flag object) of rank $n+1$. As $H_{W(k)} = GL(M)$, in what follows we do not mention it. We get (cf. end of 3.13.7.8):

Corollary. *There is a lift of (M, φ) such that its truncation mod p is cyclic diagonalizable. The number of isomorphism classes of cyclic diagonalizable flag objects* *annihilated by* p *and of rank* $n+1$ *is equal to the number of elements of the Weyl group of* $GL(M)$ *and so it is* $(n+1)!$.

3.13.7.8.2. Remark. If P_H is as in Corollary 2 of 3.13.7.5, then the whole of 3.13.7.6.0 can be redone in such a context. Denoting by H_i, $i \in J$, those simple factors of H^{ad} with the property that the image of P_H in them is a Borel subgroup, the only modifications needed to be made are:

a) the distinct orbits of $\mathbb{T}_H$ (or of $\mathbb{T}_H^0$) are in one-to-one correspondence to the elements of the Weyl group $W_J := \prod_{i\in J} W_i$ of $\prod_{i\in J} H_i$;

b) denoting by $B^+(i)$ the image of P_H in H_i and by $B^-(i)$ its opposite w.r.t. the image T_J of T in $\prod_{i\in J} H_i$, $\forall i \in J$, the orbit corresponding to $w_1 \in W_J$ specializes to the orbit corresponding to another $w_2 \in W_J$ if and only if $\forall i \in J$, the double coset $B^+(i)w_1(i)B^-(i)$ specializes to the double coset $B^+(i)w_2(i)B^-(i)$ (here we identify W_J with a set of elements of $\prod_{i\in J} H_i(k)$ normalizing T_J, while $w_s(i)$ is the component of w_s in W_i, $\forall s \in \{1,2\}$ and $\forall i \in J$);

c) instead of group of automorphisms (of some Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt maps) we need to speak about stabilizer subgroups of $\mathbb{T}_H^0$.

3.13.7.8.3. Example. We assume H is simple, adjoint. If H is of G_2 (or F_4 or E_8 Lie type), then the number of orbits of $\mathbb{T}_H$ (or of $\mathbb{T}_H^0$) is 12 (resp. is $2^7 3^2$ or $2^{14}3^5 5^2 7$), cf. [Bou2, planche VII to IX].

3.13.7.8.4. Remarks. 1) 3.13.7.8.3 is included just to "compensate" the "exclusion" of these Lie types of 2.2.7. It nourishes our hope that using Fontaine categories one can handle (at least in the context of unramified extensions of $\mathbb{Z}_p$; in fact 2.2.1.4.3 points out that even in the context of ramified extensions of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ there is a lot one can hope to do) uniformly all (generic fibres of) reductive groups, from many points of view (like inverse –local– Galois problems, local Langlands's correspondences, etc.). The Shimura envelopes (hinted at in 3.6.20 9)) are (as well) the very first step in this direction.

2) The whole of 3.13.7.6.1-2 can be redone in the context of 3) of 3.13.7.4: as this needs quite a lot of reformulations, it will not be done here; here we will just mention that in the cyclic context (i.e. when F^{ad} permutes transitively the simple factors of H^{ad}), in order to expect to be in a case similar to the ones listed in 3.13.7.6.2 and 3.13.7.7, we need to assume that all images of P_H in a simple factor of H^{ad} which are not reductive, up to isomorphisms of such factors, are isomorphic.

2') Warning: 3.13.7.6.3 can be adapted to the context of 3) of 3.13.7.4 or of 3.13.7.8 but often slightly modified; the need of modifications is implied by the fact that in Case 2 of the proof of 3.13.7.6.3 we did use (cf. its reference to 3.5.3 (5)) that we are in a generalized Shimura context. So either we try to adapt this Case 2 or we state results in the form: some *isom*-deviations (in the mentioned contexts) are not bigger than some natural numbers.

3) The Expectation of 3.13.7.8 and 3.13.7.8.1 can be restated in terms of Fontaine truncations mod p (see 2.2.14.2) in a similar way to 3.13.7.1.1.1. This supports 1).

4) Once the Expectation of 3.13.7.8 is checked, one can define the a-invariant of $(M, \varphi, H_{W(k)})$ by: it is the a-invariant of any cyclic diagonalizable p-divisible object with a reductive structure whose Fontaine truncation mod p is isomorphic to the one of $(M, \varphi, H_{W(k)})$. One checks that this is well defined by performing the proof of M of 2.2.22 3) mod p.

3.13.7.9. The general form of Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt shifts, invariants and maps. With some extra work, we can be more precise in 3.13.7.8.2 c) (or in connection to its variants provided by cases where the Expectation of 3.13.7.8 is checked). Not to be too long, we situate ourselves in the filtered adjoint context (i.e. we leave to the reader to restate everything as in 3.9.1 or 3.9.4 in the general reductive context, filtered or non-filtered, by looking at derived subgroups). So we use the notations of 3.13.7.8 and we assume that H is an adjoint group and that ρ is the adjoint representation. So M is a Lie algebra over $W(k)$. We still speak about $F^s(M)$, $s \in S(a, b)$ (though in fact we can take $a + b = 0$). Let H_i, $i \in I$, be the simple factors of $H_{W(k)}$. For $i \in I$, let

$$m_i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap (-\infty, 0]$$

be the smallest number such that $H_i \cap N_{m_i}$ is a non-trivial group. Let

$$\Psi : \mathrm{Lie}(H_{W(k)}) \to \mathrm{Lie}(H_{W(k)})$$

be the σ-linear map that takes $x_i \in \mathrm{Lie}(H_i)$ into $p^{-m_i}\varphi(x_i)$. We refer to it as the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt quasi-shift of (M, φ). A great part of 3.13.7.1-8 and of 3.9 can be redone for it.

First, let $\bar{\psi}$ be its truncation mod p. Second, as in 3.13.7.6 we introduce Ψ^{nc} and $\bar{\psi}^{\mathrm{nc}}$. Third, as in 3.9.1 (resp. 3.9.4) we define the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt invariant of (M, φ) (or of $\bar{\psi}$): it is $\cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathrm{Im}(\bar{\psi}^n)$. Warning: in the present generality, these invariants are not so useful; for instance, referring to 3.13.7.8.1, there are $(n-1)!$ isomorphism classes for which the Lie stable p-rank is maximal (i.e. equal to 1). Accordingly, the subgroup of H whose k-valued points are those g such that $g\bar{\psi} = \bar{\psi}$, is in general "too big" to be used as in 3.13.7.3. So we do not think of $\bar{\psi}$ as a generalized Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt map.

To us, the right generalization of the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt shifts and maps of 3.9 is as follows. For $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\varphi_s := p^{-s}\varphi$. Let $i \in I$. We denote by

$$\Psi_{i,m_i} : \mathrm{Lie}(H_i) \to \mathrm{Lie}(H)$$

the restriction of Ψ to $\mathrm{Lie}(H_i)$. If $m_i < 0$, then for any $j \in S(m_i - 1, -1)$ such that $H_i \cap N_j$ is not (resp. is) the origin of H_i, let

$$\Psi_{i,j} : F^j(\mathrm{Lie}(H_i)) \to \mathrm{Lie}(\sigma(H_i))$$

be the restriction of φ_j to $F^j(\mathrm{Lie}(H_i))$ (resp. be the trivial map). The sequence of maps

$$(SEQ) \qquad (\Psi_{i,j})_{i \in I,\, j \in S(m_i, \max\{m_i, -1\})}$$

(resp. the sequence $(\bar{\psi}_{i,j})_{i\in I,\, j\in S(m_i,\max\{m_i,-1\})}$ formed by their truncation mod p) is referred as the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt shift (resp. map) of $(M,(F^s(M))_{s\in S(a,b)},\varphi)$ (resp. of (M,φ)). If (M,φ) is a Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystal, then for each $i\in I$ only one map Ψ_{i,j_i} is defined; so we can view (SEQ) as a sum

$$\sum_{i\in I}\Psi_{i,j_i} : \mathrm{Lie}(H)\to\mathrm{Lie}(H)$$

(resp. as the reduction mod p of this sum): it is the usual Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt shift (resp. map) as defined in 3.4.5 and 3.9.

In general,

$$FSHW(M,\varphi) := (\bar{\psi}_{i,j})_{i\in I,\, j\in S(m_i,\max\{m_i,-1\})}$$

does not depend on the choice of $(F^s(M))_{s\in S(a,b)}$. If $h\in H_{W(k)}(W(k))$, then by an inner isomorphism between $FSHW(M,\varphi)$ and $FSHW(M,h\varphi)$, we mean an isomorphism defined by an element of $N^+N^0(k)$ (cf. also c) and the normalizing part of a) of Step 2 of 3.13.7.3). Following the pattern of 3.13.7.6, we similarly define the non-compact variant

$$FSHW^{\mathrm{nc}}(M,\varphi) := (\bar{\psi}^{\mathrm{nc}}_{i,j})_{i\in J,\, j\in S(m_i,\max\{m_i,1\})},$$

where J is the subset of I formed by elements i such that H_i is not a subgroup of N_0. It is easy to see that all of 3.13.7.1.1 b), 3.13.7.1.1.1, 3.13.7.3, 3.13.7.4 B, 3.13.7.6, 3.13.7.8.2 and 3.13.7.8.4 3) can be restated in terms of (isomorphism classes) of $FSHW(M,h\varphi)$ or of $FSHW^{\mathrm{nc}}(M,h\varphi)$. We just add two thinks:

– in 3.13.7.8.2 c), we can replace stabilizers by group of inner automorphisms of Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt (non-compact) maps;

– for the parts of a) and b) of Step 2 of 3.13.7.3 referring to centralizers, we need to define C as the centralizer of the factors of the lower central series of $\mathfrak{n}$.

A final thought: there are a couple of ways to define the Lie stable p-rank of (M,φ) (or of $FSHW(M,\varphi)$) which can be used to get the analogue of 3.9.4. As we were not able to decide on time which is the most practical such way, we postpone to future work the definition of these invariants.

3.13.8. Reductive deviations. We come back to the beginning of 3.13. So k is arbitrary. We saw in Example 1 of 2.2.19 that the Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystal attached to $\mathfrak{C}_0$ is not necessarily of reductive type. The number $\frac{1}{n}$, with $n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0,\infty\}$ as the smallest number such that the Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystal attached to $(M,g\varphi,G)$ is of reductive type, for $g\in G(W(k))$ congruent to the identity mod p^n, is referred as the first reductive deviation of $\mathfrak{C}_0$. Here $\frac{1}{\infty}=0$ and $\frac{1}{0}=\infty$; if $n=\infty$, then $g=1_M$.

We now assume $k=\bar{k}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{=0} := W(0)(\mathrm{Lie}(G),\varphi)$ and let $\mathfrak{p}_{=00}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}_{=0}$ formed by elements fixed by φ. The length of $\mathfrak{p}_{=0}/\mathfrak{p}_{=00}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}W(k)$ as a torsion $W(k)$-module is called the second reductive deviation of $\mathfrak{C}_0$.

Example. The first (resp. second) reductive deviation of the σ-crystal associated to a supersingular elliptic curve over $k = \bar{k}$ is 0 (resp. is 1).

3.13.9. Toric deviations. We assume $k = \bar{k}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{=0}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{=00}$ be as in 3.13.8. By the first (resp. second) toric deviation of $\mathfrak{C}$, we mean the rational number

$$\frac{\dim_{W(k)}(F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \cap \mathfrak{p}_{=0})}{\dim_{W(k)}(\mathfrak{p}_{=0})}$$

(resp. $\frac{\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G))\cap\mathfrak{p}_{=00})}{\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathfrak{p}_{=00})}$). The first (resp. second) toric deviation of $\mathfrak{C}_0$ is defined as the greatest first (resp. greatest second) toric deviation of $\mathfrak{C}$, with the F^1-filtration F^1 of M defining $\mathfrak{C}$ running through all elements of $\mathcal{F}$ (of the beginning of 3.13).

3.13.8-9 extend naturally to the context of Shimura (filtered) Lie σ-crystals or isocrystals.

3.14. The case $p = 2$. Referring to 3.1-13, we essentially used the fact that $p > 2$ in precisely seven places: twice in 3.5.4 (see also 3.6.6.2), in 3.6.2 (and the subsequent places depending on it, including 3.6.10-11, 3.6.18.0 and 3.9.9 A), in 3.6.18.5.1 and 3.6.18.5.3 (and so in 3.6.18.5.7 and 3.13.5.1), in the Fact of 3.6.19, in 3.6.20 8), in 3.11.8.1, and in Step 2 of 3.13.7.3. We now deal, in a convenient order, with the $p = 2$ analogues of these seven places.

A. We start with some small remarks. We repeat, cf. 2.2.1 c), that [Fa1, 2.3] works for $p = 2$ as well (see also the $p = 2$ analogue of 3.6.18.4.1.1). In 3.6.18.0 (resp. 3.10.8), the p-divisible group $D_{W(k)}$ (resp. D) does not necessarily exist, and if exists, it is not always uniquely determined for $p = 2$ (resp. it is not always uniquely determined for $p = 2$: its existence is argued in 2.2.12.1 1)). Accordingly, for the existence part in 3.6.18.0, for $p = 2$, we usually need to assume that k is 1-simply connected (i.e. it has no abelian extension of degree 2) or that (M, φ) either does not have slope 0 or does not have slope 1, cf. 2.3.18.1 B and C. So 3.6.18.5 c) does not need to be modified. In the paragraph before 3.4.0, for $p = 2$ the list of cases when the Lie monomorphism i_G is not an isomorphism is much longer; in fact, in most situations, i_G is not an isomorphism. For $p = 2$, a) of 3.6.18.10 P1 has to be formulated as follows: "is associated to a 2-divisible group over R_1 or over $R_1^\wedge$". The exponential map (in the generalized Shimura context) referred to in 3.6.6.2 for $p = 2$ does not have the same simplified form as in the proof of 3.6.6 (i.e. $1_M + x$ mod 4 has to be replaced by a sum $1_M + \sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{x^s}{s!}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $x^n = 0$, $\forall x \in 2\mathrm{Lie}(N_{-i})$; however, its usage does not need to be modified).

B. The equivalence parts of 3.6.18.5.1 and 3.6.18.5.3 remain true for $p = 2$ but not their antiequivalence parts. However, due to the fact that [Fa2, th. 10] is true as well for $p = 2$, the mentioned antiequivalence parts can be reformulated in weaker forms as follows.

B0. Exercise. Any filtered σ-crystal over k is associated to a 2-divisible group iff k is 1-simply connected. Hint: one implication is a consequence of 2.3.18.1 B and D; for the converse use the part of the proof of 2.3.18.1 B referring to [Og].

B1. Proposition. *We refer to 3.6.18.5.1 and 3.6.18.5.3 with* $p = 2$. *We assume* k *is* 1*-simply connected. We have:*

A) *the quotient of* $2 - DG(\mathrm{Spec}(R))$ *"under the functor* $\mathbb{D}$*" (of 2.2.1.0) is antiequivalent to the category* $2 - \mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ *and so to the category* $2 - \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$*;*

B) *any object of* $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$ *is associated (via* $\mathbb{D}$*) to a finite, flat, commutative group scheme of* 2*-power order over* R*.*

Proof: As any object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ is the cokernel of an isogeny between 2-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ (see Fact of 2.2.1.1 6)) and as the equivalence parts of 3.6.18.5.1 and 3.6.18.5.3 hold for $p = 2$, B) follows from A). To see A) it is enough to show:

B2. Lemma. *Any morphism* $n_{12} : \mathfrak{C}_1 \to \mathfrak{C}_2$ *between two* 2*-divisible objects of* $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$ *is associated (via* $\mathbb{D}$*) to a morphism* $m_{12} : D_2 \to D_1$ *between two* 2*-divisible groups over* R*.*

We first consider the case $R = W(k)$. Let (cf. our assumption on k and B0) D_i be a 2-divisible group over $W(k)$ such that $\mathbb{D}(D_i) = \mathfrak{C}_i = (M_i, F_i^1, \varphi_i)$, $i = \overline{1,2}$. We write D_i as the extension of an étale 2-divisible group E_i by a 2-divisible group F_i not having slope 0. E_i and F_i are uniquely determined by $\mathfrak{C}_i$ (cf. 2.3.18.1 C and the fact that the complex

$$0 \to \mathbb{D}(E_i) \to \mathbb{D}(D_i) \to \mathbb{D}(F_i) \to 0$$

is a short exact sequence). So n_{12} determines uniquely morphisms $e_{12} : E_2 \to E_1$ and $f_{12} : F_2 \to F_1$. We need to show: we can choose D_1 and D_2 such that e_{12} and f_{12} are determined naturally by a morphism $m_{12} : D_2 \to D_1$ which satisfies $\mathbb{D}(m_{12}) = n_{12}$. Let $D_3 := D_1 \times_{E_1} E_2$; it is a 2-divisible group over k. Let (M_3, F_3^1, φ_3) be its associated filtered σ-crystal.

Based on 2.3.18.1 D, we can work over $W_2(k)$. What follows is just a functorial version of 2.3.18.1 B (cf. also 2.3.18.1.1). We consider the affine scheme FP_{def} defined naturally by the k-vector space defined by the fibre product of the natural k-linear maps

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_{1W_2(k)}, F_{1W_2(k)}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(E_{2W_2(k)}, F_{1W_2(k)})$$

and

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_{2W_2(k)}, F_{2W_2(k)}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(E_{2W_2(k)}, F_{1W_2(k)}).$$

Similarly we consider the affine scheme FP_{fil} defined naturally by the k-vector space of the similarly constructed fibre product obtained working in the crystalline context (i.e. using lifts mod 4 of the filtrations F_1^1, F_2^1 and F_3^1 mod 2, etc.). The natural morphism

$$FP_{\mathrm{def}} \to FP_{\mathrm{fil}}$$

is a Galois cover (cf. 2.3.18.1.2). So the resulting map

$$FP_{\mathrm{def}}(k) \to FP_{\mathrm{fil}}(k)$$

is surjective. n_{12} defines naturally an element

$$\gamma_{n_{12}} \in FP_{\mathrm{fil}}(k).$$

We just need to choose D_1 and D_2 to be defined naturally by some element

$$\gamma_{m_{12}} \in FP_{\mathrm{def}}(k) \subset \mathrm{Ext}^1(E_{1W_2(k)}, F_{1W_2(k)}) \times \mathrm{Ext}^1(E_{2W_2(k)}, F_{2W_2(k)})$$

mapping into $\gamma_{n_{12}}$.

We come back to the general case: so $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ (of 3.6.18) is arbitrary. We consider the Teichmüller lift $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$; let I_R be the ideal of R defining z. We consider a morphism $m_{12}^z : D_2^z \to D_1^z$ between two 2-divisible groups over $W(k)$ such that $\mathbb{D}(m_{12}^z)$ is identifiable with $z^*(n_{12})$. From [Fa2, th. 10] we deduce the existence of a uniquely determined 2-divisible group D_i over R such that $z^*(D_i) = D_i^z$ and $\mathbb{D}(D_i)$ is identifiable with $\mathfrak{C}_i$ in a way compatible with the identification $\mathbb{D}(D_i^z) = z^*(\mathfrak{C}_i)$, $i = \overline{1,2}$. There is a uniquely determined morphism $m_{12} : D_2 \to D_1$ such that $\mathbb{D}(m_{12})$ is identifiable with n_{12} in a way compatible with all previous identifications (this is just the endomorphism aspect of the uniqueness argument of 2.2.21 presented in connection to [BM, ch. 4] and [Me, ch. 4-5]). This proves the Lemma and so the Proposition.

B3. Remark. We still assume that we are in the context of B1 above. The above proof can be entirely adapted to show that any commutative diagram in the category $2-\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(R)$ is associated via the $\mathbb{D}$ functor to a commutative digram in $2-DG(\mathrm{Spec}(R))$. But any morphism between two objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(R)$ can be written as a natural morphism (defined by f_3 and f_4) $\mathrm{Coker}(f_1) \to \mathrm{Coker}(f_2)$, where f_1, f_2, f_3 and f_4 are morphisms between objects of $2-\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(R)$ such that $f_3 \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ f_4$ (cf. 2.2.1.1 6) and the first sentence of the above proof). So one gets the following improvement of B) of B1:

Corollary. *The quotient of* $2-FF(\mathrm{Spec}(R))$ *"under* $\mathbb{D}$*" is* $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(R)$.

B4. Warning. In A) of B1 above (resp. in the Corollary of B3) some 2-divisible groups are "forced" to be isomorphic (resp. some finite, flat, commutative group schemes of 2-power order are forced to be isomorphic, while some morphisms between them are "forced" to be zero).

B5. We come back to an arbitrary k. The variant 3.6.18.5.7 can be adapted for $p = 2$, cf. 2.3.18.1 C: we just have to work either just with trivial étale parts or just with trivial multiplicative type parts (i.e. we get two equivalences and two antiequivalences, by working with the corresponding full subcategories of the categories of 3.6.18.5.7). One sample: The full subcategory of $p-FF(\mathrm{Spec}(R))$ whose objects have trivial étale parts is antiequivalent to the full subcategory of $p-\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ whose objects are such that the Newton polygons of their pull backs to σ-crystals over k have no zero slopes.

B6. Corollary. *We refer to 3.6.18.5.1 with* $p \geq 2$*. The category* $p-DG(\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR))$ *is antiequivalent (via* $\mathbb{D}$*) to the category* $p-\mathcal{M}_{[0,1]}(R)$ *as defined in 2.2.1.6.*

Proof: See [BM, 4.2.4] for the fully faithfulness part. We consider an object $(M_R, \Phi_{M_R}, \nabla_{M_R})$ of $p-\mathcal{M}_{[0,1]}(R)$. We consider a direct summand $F^1(M_R)$ of M_R such that $\mathfrak{C} := (M_R, F^1(M_R), \Phi_{M_R}, \nabla_{M_R})$ is an object of $p-\mathcal{M}_{[0,1]}(R)$. If $p=2$, we need to assume that $F^1(M_R)$ is such that the pull back of $(M_R, F^1(M_R), \Phi_{M_R})$ via the $W(k)$-valued Teichmüller lift of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$, is associated to a 2-divisible group over $W(k)$. From 2.2.21 UP (resp. from 3.6.18.5.3) for $p \geq 2$ (resp. for $p \geq 3$), we get the essential surjectivity part of $\mathbb{D}$ on objects. This ends the proof.

We refer to 3.6.18.5.1 with $p \geq 2$. We consider an ideal I_R of R taken by Φ_R into itself and such that $S := R/I_R$ is flat over $W(k)$. Let Φ_S be the resulting Frobenius lift of S. We recall (cf. [BM]) that to any p-divisible group D over $\mathrm{Spec}(S/pS)$ it is functorially associated a crystal $\mathbb{D}(D)$ on the crystalline site $CRIS(S/pS/\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p))$. So as the ideal pS of S is naturally equipped with a divided power structure and due to the existence of Φ_S, evaluating $\mathbb{D}(D)$ at $\mathrm{Spec}(S)$ we get a triple (M_D, Φ_D, ∇_D), with M_D a free S-module of finite rank, with ∇_D an integrable, nilpotent mod p connection on M_D and with Φ_D a Φ_S-linear endomorphism of M_D which is ∇_D-parallel. As D lifts to a p-divisible group over S, this triple is an object of the category $p-\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}(S)$ defined in 2.2.1.7 4). So we get a contravariant functor

$$\mathbb{D}_{S/pS} : p-DG(\mathrm{Spec}(S/pS)) \to p-\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}(S).$$

B7. Corollary. *$\mathbb{D}_{S/pS}$ is onto on objects.*

Proof: Let $(\mathfrak{C}_S, \nabla)$ be an object of $p-\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(S)$. As in Fact of 2.2.1.1 6), $\mathfrak{C}_S$ is the reduction mod I_R of an object $\mathfrak{C}$ of $p-\mathcal{M}_{[0,1]}(R)$. ∇ is the unique connection on the underlying S-module M_S of $\mathfrak{C}_S$ such that the pair $(\mathfrak{C}_S, \nabla)$ is an object of $p-\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}(S)$. To see this, let ∇_1 be a second such connection. To show that $\nabla - \nabla_1 \in \mathrm{End}(M_S) \otimes_S \Omega^{\wedge}_{S/W(k)}$ is zero, it is enough to show that ∇ and ∇_1 coincide mod p. Using the notations of 3.6.18.1.2, we consider the sequence of ideals $(J_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$, with $J_n := I_n + I_R$ of R; by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we get easily that $\nabla - \nabla_1 \in J_n \mathrm{End}(M_S) \otimes_S \Omega^{\wedge}_{S/W(k)}$. As $\cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_n = I_R$, we get that ∇ and ∇_1 coincide mod p. So $\nabla = \nabla_1$.

So $(\mathfrak{C}_S, \nabla) = \mathbb{D}_{S/pS}(D_{\mathrm{Spec}(S/pS)})$, where (cf. B6) D is the p-divisible group over R/pR corresponding to $\mathfrak{C}$. This ends the proof.

B8. Remark. B6-7 were first obtained (using a slightly different language) in [dJ1, th. of intro.]. B7 can be combined with [BM] to reobtain the full form of [dJ1, th. of intro.]. Moreover, we have variants of B7 where S is not necessarily flat.

C. It is easy to see that in 3.5.4 it was not at all essential that $p > 2$. To see this we use the notations of 3.5.4, with $p = 2$. The formula (6) of 3.5.4 remains true for $p = 2$ (it can be checked inside the SL-group of a 3 dimensional vector space over k) but formula 3.5.4 a) does not. In fact it is easy to see that it fails precisely in the following cases:

(*) *$\bar{G}_1$ is an adjoint group of B_ℓ Lie type, with $\ell \geq 1$, and α is a short root.*

As (*) is obvious if $\bar{G}_1$ is of B_1 Lie type, to argue (*) we can assume the rank ℓ of $\bar{G}_1$ is at least 2. Using the fact that all roots of Φ_1 of the same length are conjugate under the Weyl group attached to it (for instance, see [Hu1, p. 53]), for the A_ℓ ($\ell \geq 2$), D_ℓ ($\ell \geq 4$), E_6 and E_7 Lie types, the situation gets reduced to the A_2 Lie type, for which 3.5.4 a) obviously holds. Similarly, for the B_ℓ (resp. C_ℓ) Lie type case, with $\ell \geq 2$ (resp. $\ell \geq 3$), the situation gets reduced to the B_2 Lie type (resp. to the simply connected C_2 Lie type): we just need to remark the following two things (valid for $\ell \geq 2$).

a) The standard monomorphism $SO(2\ell+1) \hookrightarrow SO(2\ell+3)$ (over any algebraically closed field) lifts to a monomorphism $\mathrm{Spin}(2\ell+1) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spin}(2\ell+3)$ between simply connected semisimple groups. Here, for $i \in \{1,3\}$, $SO(2\ell+i)$ denotes a simple adjoint group of $B_{\ell+j}$ Lie type, where $j=0$ if $i=1$ and $j=1$ if $i=3$.

b) We have a similar standard monomorphism from the simply connected semisimple group over k of $C_{\ell-1}$ Lie type into the adjoint semisimple group over k of C_ℓ Lie type.

The remaining cases, can be studied (the argument of Step 2 of 3.13.7.3 referring to [Va2, 3.1.2.1 c)], allows us to shift from positive characteristic to characteristic 0) in characteristic 0: the fact that 3.5.4 a) holds or not for $\bar{G}_1$ can be restated in terms of some semisimple group of B_1 Lie type are or are not all simply connected). But the situation pertaining to the B_2 Lie type (adjoint or not, can be read out from [Bou3, (II) of p. 201]). This ends the argument for (*).

On the other hand [BT, 4.2-3] and [Bo2, 3.16] treat the case $p=2$ as well and so formula (7) still holds for $p=2$. So 3.5.4 holds for $p=2$ provided we are in the context of Shimura σ-crystals or in a generalized Shimura context not involving adjoint groups of B_ℓ Lie type. To see that 3.5.4 holds as well for the generalized Shimura context involving groups whose derived subgroups have normal, simple subgroups which are adjoint and of B_ℓ Lie type, we can proceed in many ways, like:

i) we shift from an adjoint context to a simply connected context;

ii) we replace the argument based on 3.5.4 a) and made at the level of Lie algebras in characteristic p, either by a refined one or by an argument at the level of reductive groups in characteristic 0;

iii) we refer to J below (for $\ell \geq 2$).

For the convenience of the reader we include here one way to argue things via ii). In the adjoint B_ℓ Lie type context, the Fact of 3.5.4 has to be restated as follows:

Fact. *The Lie subalgebra* $\big(g_2(-\delta)\cap\mathfrak{g}_1\big)\otimes_{W(k)}k$ *of* $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ *is* $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}_a$ *and* $\big(\big(\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{H}_2\cap[0,1]} g_2(\alpha)\big)\cap\mathfrak{g}_1\big)\otimes_{W(k)} k$ *is* $\bar{P}_{\varepsilon_1}$.

Proof: We keep using the notations of 3.5.3, with $p=2$. As we are dealing with the B_ℓ Lie type, $|A|=1$. For the rest of the argument we just use this fact. By reasons of dimensions we have (cf. the Fact of 3.4.5.1)

$$(1) \qquad \big(g_2(-\delta)\cap\mathfrak{g}_1\big)\otimes_{W(k)} k = \bar{\mathfrak{s}}_{\varepsilon_1} = F^1(\mathfrak{g}_1)\otimes_{W(k)} k.$$

We consider the parabolic subgroup $\bar{P}^1_{\geq 0}$ of $\bar{G}_1$ whose Lie algebra is $\big(\big(\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{H}_2\cap[0,1]} g_2(\alpha)\big)\cap\mathfrak{g}_1\big)\otimes_{W(k)} k$. Using (1) and Fact of 2.2.11.1 we get that $\bar{P}^1_{\geq 0}$ is a subgroup of $\bar{P}_{\varepsilon_1}$. So, as in the proof of 3.4.8, replacing φ_2 by $\tilde{g}_2\varphi_2\tilde{g}_2$, with $\tilde{g}_2 \in P_{\varepsilon_1}(W(k))$, we can assume

$$(INCL) \qquad \Big(\big(\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{H}_2\cap[0,1]} g_2(\alpha)\big)\cap\mathfrak{g}_1\Big) \subset \mathfrak{p}_{\varepsilon_1}.$$

Performing the same thing for every $i \in I_1$, we get that we can assume $\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{H}_2\cap[0,1]} g_2(\alpha) \subset F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. This together with (1) and its analogues with $i \in I_1$, implies that

$$\Big(\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{H}_2\cap[0,1]} g_2(\alpha)/g_2(-\delta), \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{H}_2\cap[0,1]} g_2(\alpha)/g_2(-\delta), \varphi_2\Big)$$

is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,0]}(W(k))$.

So $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_2)$ has no slopes in the interval $(0, -\delta)$ and so $\big(g_2(-\delta)\cap\mathfrak{g}_1\big)\otimes_{W(k)} k$ is the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical of $\bar{P}^1_{\geq 0}$. So from (1) and the Fact of 2.2.11.1 we get that in (INCL) we have in fact equality. From this the Fact follows

D. In 3.6.2 it was crucial that $p > 2$. So everything in 3.6.1-18, which is not based on 3.6.2 or on the antiequivalence parts of 3.6.18.5.1 and 3.6.18.5.3, remains true for the case $p = 2$. In other words, everything in 3.6.1-18 except 3.6.2, the antiequivalence parts of 3.6.18.5.1 and 3.6.18.5.3, 3.6.10-11 and the whole of 3.6.14, remains true for the case $p = 2$; in connection to 3.6.18.5.7 see B5. In 3.13.5.1 iv), for $p = 2$ we need to replace DG by its filtered F-crystal.

E. Despite D above, it is possible to modify the statement and the proofs of 3.6.14.1-4 accordingly (i.e. without relying on 3.6.2). We have a couple of variants.

First, if the Shimura-ordinary type associated to the $p = 2$ SHS $(f, L_{(2)}, v)$ has no integral slopes, then we do not need any modification to 3.6.2 and so to the whole of 3.6.14 (cf. D and 2.3.18.1 C and D; in such a context the part of the proof of 3.6.14.1 depending on 2.2.1.1 2) still holds for $p = 2$ and so the part of the proof of 3.6.14.1 referring to [BLR] still applies). Warning: this has limited applications; however $p = 2$ variants of 4.6.1 1) below (for instance involving the A_1 Lie type, cf. 2.3.18 A) point out that occasionally it does apply.

Second, we replace assumptions SA1-2 by the restatement of property G) of the proof of 3.6.14.1, where we do not mention anything about 2-divisible groups; so we work entirely in terms of (non-filtered) F-crystals with tensors. So 3.6.14 and 3.6.14.1, under the logical (abstract) restatement involving just F-crystals, are true as well for a $p = 2$ SHS (in terms of this restatement, the mentioned part referring to [BLR] can be skipped entirely).

Third, the proof of Theorem 2 of 3.15.1 below points out that we can still work in the context of 2-divisible groups over k-schemes (see also 3.15.2 below). In other words, in the second variant, we can replace F-crystals by p-divisible groups (over k-schemes).

Fourth, we have a variant in which we already assume that the things "are fine" mod 4, i.e. (besides S1 or S2) we assume that a scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(T_2)$ as in the proof of 3.6.14.1 does exist a priori.

Similarly, 3.6.10-11 have to be stated just in terms of filtered F-crystals and not of 2-divisible groups. The fact that 3.9.9 A, B and C still holds for $p = 2$ is implied by the proof of Theorem 2 of 3.15.1 below: we just need to be in a context of smooth group schemes over $W(k)$ so that the part of the mentioned proof pertaining to lifts of cocharacters still applies (cf. also 3.15.3 5) below).

F. In 3.6.20 8), for the versal (resp. uni plus versal) context we need to assume k is 1-simply connected (resp. that the 2-divisible groups over k defined by special fibres of the ones considered in 3.6.19 i), do not have either slope 0 or slope 1), cf. 2.3.18.1 B and C.

G. We refer to 3.6.19. a) of its Fact remains true. Similarly, its Theorem remains true: it is stated already in terms of p-divisible groups and so (cf. also the extra assumption (EXTRA) of 3.6.19) for $p = 2$ we do not get into trouble with D or E above. Similarly, its variants iv) to vi) mentioned in 3.6.19 E still remain true. In connection to its variant vii) we refer to 4.14.3 K and to [Va5].

H. In connection to 3.11.8.1 for $p = 2$, it is 2.13.8.2 which points out that we can still define ρ_α's. Related to ρ we need to assume that either (M, φ) does not have slope 0 or 1 (cf. 2.3.18.1 C) or ρ is defined using a 2-divisible group over $W(k)$ as in I below.

I. Let $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ be a Shimura-canonical lift of a (non-necessarily quasi-split) Shimura σ-crystal with an emphasized family of tensors over a perfect field k of characteristic 2. Let D_k be the 2-divisible group over k having (M, φ) as its associated σ-crystal. As pointed out in 2.3.18.1, it can happen that there is more than one 2-divisible group over $W(k)$ lifting D_k and such that its associated filtered σ-crystal is (M, F^1, φ). However, from 3.11.1 a) (and 2.3.18.1 C) we get that there is a unique such lift D which is a direct sum of: an étale 2-divisible group, of a multiplicative type 2-divisible group and of a 2-divisible group whose slopes are rational numbers of the interval $(0, 1)$. We refer to the pair $(D, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ (resp. $(D_k, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$) as a Shimura-canonical (resp. Shimura-ordinary) 2-divisible group over $W(k)$ (resp. over k).

J. To show that 3.13.7.3 (and so implicitly all of 3.13.7.1-2 and of 3.13.7.4-8) still holds for $p = 2$, we just need to point out that b) of Step 2 of 3.13.7.3 applies in Step 3 of 3.13.7.3 in the same manner, as we are interested in k-valued points of G_k^{ad} and so we can work equally well with $P_{C\text{red}}$ instead of P_C. However, referring to b) of Step 2 of 3.13.7.3, we have the following improvement of it (for the Shimura adjoint Lie σ-context):

Supplement. *We assume $p = 2$ and that there is a Shimura pair $(H, [\mu])$ such that P is the parabolic subgroup of H whose Lie algebra is the maximal Lie subalgebra of* $\text{Lie}(H)$ *on which μ acts via the identity and the trivial cocharacter of $\mathbb{G}_m$. If moreover H is not of A_1 Lie type, then $P_{C\text{red}} = P_C$ and so $C = N$.*

Proof: Let T be a maximal torus of P. Let Φ be the set of roots of the action AC of T (via inner conjugation) on $\text{Lie}(H)$. Let Φ_0 (resp. Φ_1) be the subset of Φ formed by the roots of the action of T on $\text{Lie}(P)$ (resp. on $\mathfrak{n}$). As H is adjoint, AC is faithful. Based on the proof of b) of Step 2 of 3.13.7.3, we just need to show $P_{C\text{red}} = P_C$. So, following the pattern of the part of the Claim of 3.5.4 referring to $|A| = 1$ and involving normalization, we just need to show that:

Fact. *For any $\alpha \in \Phi \setminus \Phi_0$, there is $\beta \in \Phi_1$, such that $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi_0$ and the pair (α, β) is not irregular in the sense of [BT, 4.3] (so the $p = 2$ analogue of 3.5.4 (6) holds for it).*

This Fact can be easily checked (as in Case 1 of 3.5.4) starting from [Bou2, planche I to VI] and [BT, 4.3 (i)]. Based on the list of irregular cases of loc. cit., the only situations which need extra details are the ones when H is of B_l or C_l Lie type, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, with $l \geq 2$. Choosing a Borel subgroup of P containing T, we get an ordering of Φ such that the set Φ^+ of positive roots is included in Φ_0. Based on loc. cit., we can assume α is short. For future references we assume just that $\alpha \in \Phi \setminus \Phi_1$. We first deal with the B_l Lie type case. With the standard notations of [Bou2, planche II], if $\alpha = -\sum_{i=m}^{l} \alpha_i$, with $m \geq 1$, then we can take $\beta = (\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i) + 2(\sum_{i=m}^{l} \alpha_i)$ if $m \geq 2$ and the maximal positive root if $m = 1$. If $\alpha = \sum_{i=m}^{l} \alpha_i$, with $m \geq 2$, then we can take $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i$. In both situations, β is long, and we are not in an irregular situation.

We now assume H is of C_l Lie type. If $\alpha = -\sum_{i=m}^{j} \alpha_i$, with $1 \leq m \leq j < l-1$, then we can take $\beta = \alpha_l + 2\sum_{i=m}^{l-1} \alpha_i$. We now assume $\alpha = -\sum_{i=m}^{l} \alpha_i - \sum_{i=n}^{l-1} \alpha_i$, with $1 \leq m \leq n \leq l-1$. If $n \neq 1$, then we can take β to be the maximal root. If $n = 1$, then we take $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i + \sum_{i=j}^{l-1} \alpha_i$, where $j \in S(1, l)$ is such that α and β are not orthogonal. In this last case, β is short but is not perpendicular on α; so we are through (cf. [BT, 4.3 (i)]). This proves the Fact and ends the proof of the Supplement.

3.15. Some conclusions. This section is formed by different conclusions to 3.1-14; they are thought as refined versions of parts of 3.1-14 which can be obtained by thoroughly combining different parts of it. In 3.15.1-6 and 3.15.9 we deal with Dieudonné theories, versal deformations and applications of them. In 3.15.7-8 and 3.15.10 we exploit to a much greater extend 3.6.15 B. In particular, we get:

– a new principle (the boudedness one, see 3.15.7);

– a new proof (see 3.15.8) of the specialization theorem;

– a new proof in a slightly more general context (see 3.15.10.1) of [dJO, 4.1].

3.15.1. Versal global deformations. 3.6.1.3 allows us (cf. 3.6.9 3)) to strengthen 3.1.8 and its $p = 2$ analogue of 3.1.8.1. Let $p \geq 2$ be an arbitrary prime and let $\tilde{k}$ be an arbitrary perfect field of characteristic p. We have:

Theorem 1. *Any p-divisible group over $\tilde{k}$ admits a versal (global) deformation over the p-adic completion of an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale, affine scheme* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ *over a smooth, affine $W(\tilde{k})$-scheme* $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ *(and so also over an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale, affine scheme* $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R}_1)$ *over a smooth, affine $\tilde{k}$-scheme* $\mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$*), of whose Kodaira–Spencer map is an isomorphism. We can choose R_1 such that* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1/pR_1)$ *is a geometrically connected, AG k-scheme and the $\tilde{k}$-morphism* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1/pR_1) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$ *is surjective. Moreover the p-divisible group over the generic point of* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1/pR_1)$ *(or of $\bar{R}$) is ordinary.*

Similarly, in the relative context, we have:

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{D}(W(\tilde{k}))$ be a Shimura p-divisible group over $W(\tilde{k})$. Then there is a smooth $W(\tilde{k})$-algebra R, an* $\mathbb{N}$*-pro-étale, affine R-scheme* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$*, and a Shimura p-divisible group $\mathcal{D}$ over* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1^{\wedge})$ *such that:*

a) in a $W(\tilde{k})$-valued point z of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1^{\wedge})$*, $\mathcal{D}$ becomes (isomorphic to) $\mathcal{D}(W(\tilde{k}))$;*

b) $\mathcal{D}$ is a uni plus versal (global) deformation (see 3.6.19 B);

c) $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1/pR_1)$ *is a geometrically connected, AG k-scheme and the morphism* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1/pR_1) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$ *is surjective.*

Moreover, the resulting Shimura $\sigma_{\tilde{k}_g}$-crystal $\mathfrak{C}$ over the algebraic closure $\tilde{k}_g$ of the field of fractions of R_1/pR_1 is Shimura-ordinary.

Proof: The parts involving (Shimura-) ordinariness of the above Facts are a consequence of 3.12.1 and its proof (cf. also 3.14 for $p = 2$). The case $p \geq 3$ is covered, by taking slices, by 3.6.1.3, 3.6.2 and 3.12.1: we just have to perform 3.6.11 in the context when $\tilde{H}$ is as in the first paragraph after 3.6.14. The fact that the above Theorems hold as well for $p = 2$, can be checked as follows.

We can refer just to Theorem 2. Copying 3.6 i), parts of 3.6.0-1, and the case $n = 1$ of 3.6.1.3, we need to start with a smooth $W(\tilde{k})$-algebra R such that:

i) it has a connected special fibre;

ii) its spectrum has a $W(\tilde{k})$-valued point z and there is a triple $(\mathrm{Spec}(R), b_R, z)$ which is a potential-deformation sheet in the sense of 3.6.9.1 (so the R/pR-module $\Omega_{R/pR/\tilde{k}}$ is free);

iii) its 2-adic completion is equipped with the Frobenius lift Φ_R obtained via b_R in the same way Φ_U of 3.6.9.1 is obtained (via b_U); so (in z) we have a property similar to the one of 2.3.15 c): in particular, z is a Teichmüller lift;

iv) there is a 2-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}$ with cycles of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$, which in z becomes (through pull back) the 2-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}_z$ with cycles of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(W(\tilde{k}))$ defined by $\mathcal{D}(W(\tilde{k}))$, which is modeled (as in 2.2.10 or 3.6.0-1) on $\mathfrak{C}_z$ and whose truncation mod 2 is equipped with a uni plus versal connection, respecting the extra "Shimura structure" (so the relative dimension of R over $W(\tilde{k})$ is $dd(\mathfrak{C})$);

v) the underlying $R^{\wedge}$-module of $\mathfrak{C}$ together with its cycles, is obtained by extension of scalars from a pair $(\tilde{M}, (\tilde{t}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ over $W(\tilde{k})$; so it is free, and the referred "Shimura structure" is defined by a reductive subgroup $\tilde{G}$ of $GL(\tilde{M})$ (so the connection of iv) respects the $\tilde{G}_R$-action in the sense of 3.6.1.1.1 2)).

In connection to iv) we add: working with $\tilde{H}$ as mentioned, we always can assume that the part on uni plus versality holds (perhaps after passage to an open, affine subscheme of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ through which z still factors), cf. c) of 3.6.18.7.3 C.

So we can "perform 3.6.1.3" for $\mathfrak{C}$ (for $n \geq 2$), cf. 3.14 and 3.6.18.4.2. We get: there is an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ to which z lifts uniquely (we still denote by z this unique lift), such that $R_1/2R_1$ is an integral ring and (cf. also 3.6.18.8.1 and iii) above) the pull back $\mathfrak{C}_{R_1}$ of $\mathfrak{C}$ to $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ is a uni plus versal 2-divisible object with tensors of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_1)$. Let $\mathfrak{C}_1$ be the F-crystal over $R_1/2R_1$ obtained from $\mathfrak{C}_{R_1}$ and its natural connection by forgetting its filtration.

Let $\mathcal{K}_1$ be the field of fractions of $R_1/2R_1$. It is a field which is an $\mathbb{N}$-ind-étale extension of a finitely generated field over $\tilde{k}$ and so (see [BM, 1.1.2 (ii)]) it has a finite 2-basis; $R_1/2R_1$ itself has a finite basis (cf. loc. cit. and ii)) but this is irrelevant for what follows. So the first main result of [dJ2] applies: there is a unique 2-divisible group $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}_1}$ over $\mathcal{K}_1$ whose F-crystal is obtained from $\mathfrak{C}_1$ by natural pull back. It is worth pointing out that the existence of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}_1}$ can be deduced as well from [Fa2, th. 10] using standard descent. We sketch the argument. The $\overline{\tilde{k}}$-valued points of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1/2R_1)$ are dense: for instance, cf. 3.6.8.1.2 a) and 3.6.18.4.2 b); to be compared with 3.6.1.3 5). Even better, we can assume that each point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1/pR_1)$ of codimension 1 specializes to a $\overline{\tilde{k}}$-valued point $\tilde{y}$, cf. 3.6.8.1.2 b'). Moreover, from loc. cit. we get (see also 3.6.18.3.1) that the F-crystal over the completion $\widehat{R_{\tilde{y}}}$ of the local ring $R_{\tilde{y}}$ of (any such point) $\tilde{y}$ obtained naturally by pulling back $\mathfrak{C}_1$, is the F-crystal of a 2-divisible group; it is uniquely determined (for instance, cf. [BM, 4.2.4]). Using this and standard Galois descent in an affine context (based on the fact that the natural morphism $m_{\tilde{y}} : \mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{R_{\tilde{y}}}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_{\tilde{y}})$ is regular, see [Ma]), we deduce that the 2-divisible group over the perfection of $\mathcal{K}_1$ obtained naturally via $\mathfrak{C}_1$ and classical Dieudonné theory, is in fact naturally definable over $\mathcal{K}_1$.

But now, the fact that the 2-divisible group we got over $\mathcal{K}_1$ extends uniquely to a 2-divisible group $\mathcal{G}_{R_1/2R_1}$ over $R_1/2R_1$ in the way prescribed by $\mathfrak{C}_1$, is standard. One very fast way to prove this goes as follows. We consider first a local ring V of $R_1/2R_1$ which is a DVR. Let π_V be a uniformizer of V and let V_1 be a faithfully flat, finite V-algebra which is a DVR having π_V as a uniformizer, a residue field which is the perfection of the residue field of V, and whose field of fractions is an algebraic extension of $V[\frac{1}{\pi_V}]$. Using the above part referring to $m_{\tilde{y}}$, we know that there is a local, faithfully flat, V-algebra V_2, which is a DVR such that:

– the pull back $\mathcal{G}_{V_2[\frac{1}{\pi_V}]}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}_1}$ to $\mathrm{Spec}(V_2[\frac{1}{\pi_V}])$ extends to a 2-divisible group over $\mathrm{Spec}(V_2)$;

– the morphism $m_2 : \mathrm{Spec}(V_2) \to \mathrm{Spec}(V)$ is regular.

From 3.14 B6 we get that there is a unique 2-divisible group $\mathcal{G}_{\widehat{V_1}}$ over the completion $\widehat{V_1}$ of V_1 whose F-crystal over $\widehat{V_1}$ is obtained from $\mathfrak{C}_1$ by natural pull back. As the field of fractions of $\widehat{V_1}$ has a finite 2-basis, using again [dJ2] (or using descent as above or [BM, 4.2.4]) we get that the notations are fitting each other, i.e. the generic fibre of $\mathcal{G}_{\widehat{V_1}}$ is indeed obtained from $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}_1}$ by natural pull back. So (simple argument at the level of V_1-lattices) $\mathcal{G}_{V_1[\frac{1}{\pi_V}]}$ extends to a 2-divisible group $\mathcal{G}_{V_1}$ over V_1. Though we do not need this, it is worth pointing out that there is a unique such extension, cf. [dJ3, 1.2]; the reason we do not need this: the F-crystal of $\mathcal{G}_{V_1}$ is automatically (cf. the construction of $\mathcal{G}_{V_1}$) the logic pull back of $\mathfrak{C}_1$. Standard descent involving the V-algebras V_1 and V_2 (we can assume V_2 is complete and so, as m_2 is regular, $V_2 \otimes_V V_1$ is a DVR), shows that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}_1}$ extends to a 2-divisible group over V. Using [dJ3, 1.1] (V has a 2-basis, cf. [BM, 1.1.2

(ii) and (v)] and the fact that $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1/2R_1)$ is an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale $\mathrm{Spec}(R/2R)$-scheme) or using descent as above, we get that this extension is as predicted by $\mathfrak{C}_1$. But now, the passage from points of codimension 1 to the whole of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1/2R_1)$ is a local statement for the faithfully flat topology of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1/2R_1)$ and so we can apply again the part of the previous paragraph referring to [Fa2, th. 10] (or to [dJ2], as the field of fraction of $W(\tilde{k}_1)[[x_1, ..., x_{dd(\mathfrak{C}_z))}]]$, with $\tilde{k}_1$ an algebraic field extension of $\tilde{k}$, has a finite 2-basis).

The trouble with $p = 2$ is in lifting things modulo 2 to things modulo 4 (cf. 2.3.18.1 A to C), i.e. we have trouble with filtrations. We can assume $\mathcal{G}_{R_1/2R_1}[4]$ is obtained by pulling back a finite, flat, commutative group scheme $\mathcal{G}(4)_{R/2R}$ over $R/2R$. Let $\hat{R}$ be the completion of R (or of R_1) w.r.t. its maximal ideal defining z. We can now go around this "filtration trouble", using [Fa2, th. 10 and rm. iii) after it]: from loc. cit. we deduce (cf. also 2.2.9 1) and 2.2.1.2) the existence of a cocharacter $\mu_{\hat{R}} : \mathbb{G}_m \to \tilde{G}_{\hat{R}}$ producing as usual a direct sum decomposition $\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(\tilde{k})} \hat{R} = F^1_{\hat{R}} \oplus F^0_{\hat{R}}$ such that the pull back of $\mathfrak{C}_1$ and its tensors through the logical $W(\tilde{k})$-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{R}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$, when its underlying $\hat{R}$-module is endowed with the filtration defined by $F^1_{\hat{R}}$, is a 2-divisible object with tensors of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(\hat{R})$ associated to a Shimura 2-divisible group over $\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{R})$ lifting $\mathcal{D}(W(\tilde{k}))$ and lifting (cf. 3.14 B6) $\mathcal{G}_{\hat{R}/2\hat{R}}$. From this and [BLR, th. 12 of p. 83] (applied very much the same as in 3.6.14.4 but only once) we get that by passing to an étale $W(\tilde{k})$-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R') \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$, we can assume that:

vi) $\mathcal{G}(4)_{R/2R}$ lifts to a finite, flat, commutative group scheme $\mathcal{G}(4)$ over R, such that the object $\mathfrak{C}(4) := \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{G}(4)_{R/2R})$ of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$ has the property that the filtration F^1_4 of the underlying $R/4R$-module $\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(\tilde{k})} R/4R$ of $\mathfrak{C}(4)$ respects the extra Shimura structure in the logical way (i.e. it is defined as usual by a cocharacter $\mu_4 : \mathbb{G}_m \to \tilde{G}_{R/4R}$);

vii) $\mathcal{G}(4)$ in z is the kernel of the multiplication by 4 of (the 2-divisible group of) $\mathcal{D}(W(\tilde{k}))$.

Based on properties of lifting cocharacters (see [SGA3, Vol. II, 3.6 of p. 48]; to be compared with [Va2, 5.3.2]) $F^1_4 \otimes_{R/4R} R_1/4R_1$ lifts to a filtration F^1 of the $R_1^{\wedge}$-module $\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(\tilde{k})} R_1^{\wedge}$ underlying $\mathfrak{C}_1$ in such a way that we still get a 2-divisible object with tensors $\mathfrak{C}_2$ of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_1)$. Moreover, we can assume that $\mathfrak{C}_2$ in the factorization $\tilde{z}$ of z through $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ is still $\mathfrak{C}_z$. By replacing $R/2R$ as well by a suitable localization of it, from 3.6.18.4.5 we get: we can assume that c) of Theorem 2 holds. So the above two Facts follow from the following Claim:

Claim. *$\mathcal{G}(4)_{R_1^{\wedge}}$ lifts, as prescribed by $\mathfrak{C}_2$, to a 2-divisible group $\mathcal{G}_{R_1^{\wedge}}$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1^{\wedge})$ in such a way that, when endowed naturally with tensors, in $\tilde{z}$ it is $\mathcal{D}(W(\tilde{k}))$.*

Proof: [Me, ch. 5, 1.6 and 2.3.4-5] implies there is a precisely one way to lift $\mathcal{G}_{R_1/2R_1}$ to a 2-divisible group $\mathcal{G}_{R_1/4R_1}$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1/4R_1)$ such that its 4-torsion is the pull back of $\mathcal{G}(4)$ to $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1/4R_1)$. From [Me, ch. 5, 1.6] we deduce, via a natural limit process, that there is a unique way to lift $\mathcal{G}_{R_1/4R_1}$ to a 2-divisible group $\mathcal{G}_{R_1}$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1^{\wedge})$ such that its associated 2-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_1)$ is $\mathfrak{C}_2$. The second part of the Claim (involving $\mathcal{D}(W(\tilde{k})))$ is obvious (cf. 2.3.18.1 D and the construction of $\mathcal{G}_{R_1}$). This proves the Claim.

3.15.2. The variant of the Fact of 3.6.19 modulo p. Let X be a $W(\tilde{k})$-scheme which locally in the Zariski topology is a pro-étale scheme over a smooth $W(\tilde{k})$-scheme. We consider the category

$$\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$$

defined as follows. If $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is affine and Φ_R is a Frobenius lift of $R^\wedge$, then:

– its objects are formed by quadruples $(M, \Phi_M, \Phi^1_M, \nabla_M)$, where M is an R-module endowed with a Φ_R-linear endomorphism Φ_M and with a Φ_R-linear map $\Phi^1_M : \tilde{F}^1(M) \to M$, with $\tilde{F}^1(M)$ as the pull back of the R/pR-submodule of M/pM which is the kernel of Φ_M mod p via the natural R-epimorphism $M \twoheadrightarrow M/pM$, and where ∇_M is a connection on M, with the property that locally in the Zariski topology of X it can be extended to a quintuple $(M, F^1, \Phi_M, \Phi^1_M, \nabla_M)$, with $F^1 \subset \tilde{F}^1(M)$, such that denoting by $\Phi^1_M|F^1$ the restriction of Φ^1_M to F^1, the quadruple $(M, F^1, \Phi_M, \Phi^1_M|F^1, \nabla_M)$ is an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$;

– its morphisms from such a quadruple into a similar one $(M_1, \Phi_{M_1}, \Phi^1_{M_1}, \nabla_{M_1})$ are given by parallel (in the natural sense) R-linear maps $f : M \to M_1$ such that $\Phi_{M_1} \circ f = f \circ \Phi_M$ (so $f(\tilde{F}^1(M)) \subset \tilde{F}^1(M_1)$) and $\Phi^1_{M_1} \circ f = f \circ \Phi^1_M$.

If X is not affine, then we consider an arbitrary cover of X by open, affine subschemes, and we glue triples as above using the standard gluing arguments of [Fa1, 2.3] (cf. also 2.2.1 c) for $p = 2$); due to existence of F^1's, these arguments apply entirely to the non-filtered context of $\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$.

We also consider the category

$$\mathcal{MV}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$$

defined as follows. If $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is affine and Φ_R is as above, then the objects of $\mathcal{MV}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$ are quadruples

$$(M, \Phi_M, V_M, \nabla_M),$$

with M, Φ_M and ∇_M as above, with $V_M : M \to M \otimes_{R\ \Phi_R} R$ an R-linear map such that by identifying Φ_M with an R-linear map $\Phi_M : M \otimes_{R\ \Phi_R} R \to M$ we have

$$\Phi_M \circ V_M = p1_M \text{ and } V_M \circ \Phi_M = p1_{M \otimes_{R\ \Phi_R} R}$$

(i.e. V_M is a Verschiebung map), which locally in the étale topology of X, are obtained from an object of $\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$ in the logical way (here by "logical" we mean nothing else but: if F^1 and Φ^1_M are as above, then we have $V_M \circ \Phi^1_M(x) = x$, $\forall x \in F^1$, cf. 2.2.1.0 (VPHIONE)). If X is not affine, then we consider an arbitrary cover of X by open, affine subschemes, and we glue quadruples as above using standard gluing arguments (of crystals on X_k in coherent sheaves). The morphisms of $\mathcal{MV}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$ are (as above) the logical ones.

Fact 1 of 2.2.1.0 implies: we have a natural identification of the category $\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$ with a subcategory (not necessarily full) of $\mathcal{MV}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$. We have:

Theorem. *The category of finite, flat, commutative group schemes of p-power rank over* $X_{\tilde{k}}$ *which locally in the étale topology of* X *lift to finite, flat, commutative group schemes over* X*, is antiequivalent to the category* $\mathcal{MV}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$*.*

Proof: The functor achieving this antiequivalence of categories is the crystalline Dieudonné functor $\mathbb{D}$ (see [BBM, ch. 3]) evaluated at (the thickening of X_k defined by) $X^\wedge$. $\mathbb{D}$ is fully faithful, cf. [BM, 4.2.6]. Based on this and standard descent, to check that it is essentially surjective on objects, we can work locally in the ($\mathbb{N}$-pro-) étale topology; in particular we can assume $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is an affine scheme. We fix a Frobenius lift Φ_R of $R^\wedge$. So, the same argument based on [EGA IV, 8.5.2] and used to prove the Fact of 3.6.19, allows us to assume R is a smooth $W(\tilde{k})$-algebra; warning: not to complicate notations, even when we use below the $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale topology, we still refer to X. The case $p \geq 3$ is a consequence of 2.2.1.1 2), cf. the above definition of $\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$ involving "locally in the Zariski topology".

The case $p = 2$ can be deduced from the proof of 3.15.1 and the moduli principle in the form of 3.14 D, 3.6.18.4.2 and 3.6.18.5.2. This goes as follows. We can assume we are dealing with an object $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$, which is obtained from an object $\mathcal{O}_f$ of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$ by forgetting the filtration. We can also assume (cf. Fact of 2.2.1.1 6)) that $\mathcal{O}_f$, when viewed without connection, lifts to a 2-divisible object $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(X)$, i.e. it is the cokernel of an isogeny $m_f : \mathfrak{C}_s \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ between two 2-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(X)$. But in the $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale topology of X, $\mathfrak{C}$ can be viewed as a 2-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$ lifting $\mathcal{O}_f$ (i.e., using connections, m_f can be viewed locally in the $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale topology of X as an isogeny m_f^{∇} between 2-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$ having $\mathcal{O}_f$ as its cokernel; argument: this is just an abstract extension of the proof of 3.6.18.5.2, cf. 3.6.18.5.4 1)).

So, from the proof of Theorem 2 of 3.15.1 involving the existence of $\mathcal{G}_{R_1/2R_1}$ and from the fully faithfulness of $\mathbb{D}$ (in the context of 2-divisible groups over $R_1/2R_1$; see [BM, 4.2.6]), we get that in the $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale topology of X, the object of $\mathcal{MV}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$ naturally defined by $\mathcal{O}$ is associated (via $\mathbb{D}$) to a finite, flat, commutative group scheme G of 2-power order over $X_{\tilde{k}}$: G is the kernel of an isogeny $i_{12} : D_1 \to D_2$ between two 2-divisible groups over $X_{\tilde{k}}$. i_{12} is defined via: $\mathbb{D}(i_{12})$ is the morphism m_f^{∇} but viewed (by forgetting filtrations) as a morphism of $p-\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$. We can assume G lifts to a finite, flat, commutative group scheme over X: using Artin's approximation theorem this is a consequence of A) and B6 of 3.14 (applied in the context of the pull back of m_f^{∇} over completions of henselizations of localizations of X w.r.t. its maximal points); but as any finite, flat group scheme is of finite presentation, we can replace the $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale topology of X by the étale topology of X. This proves the Theorem.

3.15.3. Comments and variants. 1) The expectation of 3.6.8.9.1 gets translated: in the two Theorems of 3.15.1, (at least if $\tilde{k}$ is "almost" algebraically closed in some sense) it should be possible to avoid the "complication" with $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale morphisms (and so to avoid introducing R_1). For the case $\tilde{k} = \bar{\tilde{k}}$, in connection to Theorem 1, this is achieved (using extra tools) in (a stronger form in) 4.12.12 and 4.12.12.2. Here we just add: the (ineffective) existence of $\mathcal{G}(4)$ can be deduced as well from [Il, 4.8] and Artin's approximation theorem.

2) The argument of 3.15.2 involving the essential surjectivity part (on objects) used for $p = 2$ works as well for $p \geq 3$.

3) We have a logical variant of 3.15.2 in the context of the spectrum of a regular $W(\tilde{k})$-algebra of formal power series. It can be proved in the same way: instead of referring to 3.15.1, we can just quote directly 3.6.18.5.1 (for $p \geq 3$) and A) of 3.14 B1 (for $p = 2$). Even better, we have:

Corollary 1. *3.15.2 remains true, if instead of X we use its completion $\hat{X}_Y$ along a closed subscheme Y which is formally smooth over $W(\tilde{k})$.*

Proof: $\mathcal{MV}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(\hat{X}_Y)$ is defined as follows. We can restrict (via gluing arguments as in 3.15.2) to local charts. So we can assume $\hat{X}_Y = \mathrm{Spf}(R)$, with R a $W(\tilde{k})$-algebra. We take $\mathcal{MV}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(\hat{X}_Y)$ to be $\mathcal{MV}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(\mathrm{Spec}(R))$ defined as in 3.15.1. It is well known that, locally in the Zariski topology of $Y_{\tilde{k}}$, the completion of $X_{\tilde{k}}$ along $Y_{\tilde{k}}$ still has a finite p-basis (for instance, see [dJ2, 1.1.3]); so the fully faithfulness part of the Corollary follows from [BM, 4.1.1]. Using an entirely similar algebraization process as in 3.6.20 3), we get (from Theorem of 3.15.2) the essential surjectivity part of the Corollary (the part involving lifts of finite, flat, commutative groups schemes over $\hat{X}_{Y_k}$ to ones over $\hat{X}_Y$ in the étale topology is as in the last paragraph of 3.15.2).

4) Using the proofs of 3.15.1-2, we get a second proof of b) of the Fact of 3.6.19. It has the advantage that it entirely avoids the use of the language of Fontaine's comparison theory with $W_m(\mathbb{F}_p)$-coefficients, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (we recall that the proof of [Fa1, 7.1] relies on such a theory). Warning: in the way we have presented the things, by avoiding Fontaine's comparison theory, we implicitly use [Fa2, th. 10] (or alternatively [dJ2-3]).

5) Putting aside the Shimura-ordinariness part of Theorem 2 of 3.15, a) to c) of it can be entirely adapted to the context of 3.6.18.7.0 and even more generally to the context of 3.6.18.7.1 a).

6) The spirit of this paper is "centered" on perfect fields. However, it can be easily checked that 3.15.2 and Corollary 1 of 3) can be entirely adapted to the case of fields having a finite p-basis: we just have to use (besides [BM, 4.1.1 or 4.2.6]) an elementary variant of b) of the Fact of 3.6.19 (which allows us to pass 3.15.2 from perfect fields to fields having a finite p-basis), in the context of the categories $\mathcal{MV}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(*)$. Warning: if we are dealing with a field k_0 having a finite p-basis, the sheaves of relative differentials are relative to $\cap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} k_0^{p^m}$ and not to k_0 and so, even when we are dealing with $\mathcal{MV}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(W(k_0))$, non-trivial connections do show up.

For the convenience of the reader we include here a weaker form of the referred elementary variant, which is enough for applications in connection to 3.15.2 and to Corollary 1 of 3). We start with an étale, affine $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k_0)[x_1, ..., x_m])$-scheme X, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$; we choose the Frobenius lift of $X^{\wedge}$ to be the natural one defined by: x_i goes to x_i^p, $i = \overline{1, m}$.

We write k_0 as an inductive limit of smooth, integral, finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras R_0^α, $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, with flat but not-necessarily étale transition homomorphisms. Let k_0^{al} be the field of fractions of R_0^α. We can assume that each R_0^α lifts to a smooth, integral, finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra R^α.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We write $X_{W_n(k_0)}$ as the projective limit of X_n^α, $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, where each X_n^α is an étale, affine $\mathrm{Spec}(W_n(k_0^\alpha))[x_1, ..., x_m])$-scheme. Localizing X, we can assume that each X_n^α lifts to an étale, affine $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k_0^\alpha))[x_1, ..., x_m])$-scheme X^α; warning: we do not assume the existence of any transition morphisms between X^α's. The category $\mathcal{MV}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(X)$ is defined as in 3.15.2. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be an object of it annihilated by p^n. We can assume that the connection on the underlying module of $\mathfrak{C}$ is involving $\Omega_{X_{W_n(k_0)}/W_n(k_0^{p^n})}$. As $\Omega_{X_{W_n(k_0)}/W_n(k_0^{p^n})}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_{X_{W_n(k_0)}}$-module which is the projective limit of the finitely presented $\mathcal{O}_{X_n^\alpha}$-modules $\Omega_{X_n^\alpha/W_n(k_0^{\alpha\, p^n})}$, as in the proof of the Fact of 3.6.19, we get that $\mathfrak{C}$ is obtained by pulling back an object $\mathfrak{C}^{\alpha_0}$ of $\mathcal{MV}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(X^{\alpha_0})$, for some $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{J}$. Localizing $R_0^{\alpha_0}$, we can assume $X_k^{\alpha_0}$ is obtained from an étale, affine scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0^{\alpha_0}[x_1, ..., x_n])$ by natural pull back and that this étale scheme lifts to an étale, affine scheme Y^{α_0} over $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{\alpha_0}[x_1, ..., x_n])$. Localizing $R_0^{\alpha_0}$ further on, we can assume $\mathfrak{C}^{\alpha_0}$ lifts to an object $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{lift}}^{\alpha_0}$ of $\mathcal{MV}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(Y^{\alpha_0})$, via the natural homomorphisms

$$R^{\alpha_0}/p^n R^{\alpha_0} \tilde{\to} W_n(R_0^{\alpha_0}) \to W_n(k_0^{\alpha_0})$$

(the first one, cf. the smoothness of $R^{\alpha_0}/p^n R^{\alpha_0}$, just lifts the natural identification $R^{\alpha_0}/pR^{\alpha_0} = W_1(R_0^{\alpha_0})$; it is trivial to check that, as denoted, it is an isomorphism). Applying Theorem of 3.15.2 to $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{lift}}^{\alpha_0}$, we get that $\mathfrak{C}$ is associated to a finite, flat, group scheme annihilated by p^n over X_k which locally in the étale topology lifts to a group scheme over $X^\wedge$ (and so –via [BLR, th. 12 of p. 83]– over X).

The passage from the smooth context to the formally smooth context is entirely the same as the algebraization process of 3.6.20 3): we end up not with Y^{α_0} but with the infinitesimal neighborhoods (in Y^{α_0}) of a closed subscheme of it which is smooth over $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

As a conclusion, using the above mentioned adaptation, we reobtain in a completely new manner [dJ2, first main result]: even for $p = 2$ we can avoid entirely the use of [dJ2-3] in the proofs of the two Theorems of 3.15.1 (cf. their references to descent). Moreover, 3) points out a weakening of the conditions (restrictions) in [dJ2, first main result]. We get:

Corollary 2. *In loc. cit. one can replace "is locally of finite type over a field with a finite p-basis" by: is locally a pro-étale (formal) scheme over a (formal) scheme of finite type over a field with a finite p-basis.*

Warning: Corollary 2 is by no chance a trivial improvement. For instance, there are pro-étale schemes over a scheme of finite type over a field k_0 with a finite p-basis, which have closed subschemes of codimension 1 having no $\overline{k_0}$-valued points.

3.15.4. More on b) of the Fact of 3.6.19. We assume $p \geq 3$. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p. Let X be a regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-scheme which locally in the Zariski topology is a pro-étale scheme over a smooth $W(k)$-scheme. Let $\hat{X}_Y$ be the completion of X along a closed subscheme Y which is formally smooth over $W(k)$. Let Z be a pro-étale, formal scheme over $\hat{X}_Y$; locally Z is the completion of a pro-étale scheme over a smooth $W(k)$-scheme along a closed subscheme of it which is formally smooth over $W(k)$. $Z^\wedge$ is the formal scheme obtained by completing Z p-adically (so, if $Z = \hat{X}_Y$, then $Z^\wedge$ is the completion of X along Y_k). The category $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(Z)$ (and so implicitly $p - \mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(Z)$) is defined as follows. We can restrict (via standard gluing arguments) to local charts, i.e. we can assume Z is the formal scheme defined by completing a pro-étale, affine scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ over a smooth, affine $W(k)$-scheme along a closed subscheme of it defined by an ideal I_A of A such that $\mathrm{Spec}(A/I_A)$ is formally smooth over $W(k)$. Denoting by $\hat{A}$ the completion of A in the I_A-topology, we take

$$\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(\mathrm{Spf}(\hat{A})) := \mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(\hat{A}).$$

So $p - \mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(\mathrm{Spf}(\hat{A})) = p - \mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(\hat{A})$. We have:

Corollary. *The category $p - DG(Z^\wedge)$ (resp. $p - FF(Z^\wedge)$) is antiequivalent (via the $\mathbb{D}$ functor) to $p - \mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(Z)$ (resp. to $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(Z)$).*

Proof: Starting from Corollary 2 of 3.15.3 6) and Grothendieck–Messing theory of lifting p-divisible groups (see [Me, ch. 4-5]) the part referring to p-divisible groups follows. The part referring to finite, flat, commutative group schemes of p-power rank, results from its part referring to p-divisible groups, once we remark three things:

a) $\mathbb{D}$ is faithful (working in the faithfully flat topology, this is a consequence of 3.6.18.5.3);

b) any object (resp. morphism) of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(Z)$, locally in the $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale topology of Z lifts to a p-divisible object (resp. to a morphism between two p-divisible objects) of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(Z)$: using Fact of 2.2.1.1 6) and the $p \geq 3$ analogue of 3.14 B3, this is a consequence of 3.6.18.4.2 (resp. of 3.6.18.5.4 1));

c) Galois descent allows us to replace "in the $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale topology" by "in the Zariski topology" in b) (cf. a)).

In fact, using the equivalent of Corollary 1 of 3.15.3 3) over k, 3.6.18.5.3 and [BLR, th. 12 of p. 83], in b) we can work locally in the étale topology, without "appealing" to a p-divisible context.

3.15.4.1. Variants. 3.15.4 and 3.6.18.5.8 can be combined. Similarly, if $p = 2$, the variant of 3.6.18.5.7 pointed out in 3.14 B5, can be combined with Corollary 2 of 3.15.3 6), to get a variant of 3.15.4 which disregards either all multiplicative type parts or all étale parts. Also, 3.15.4 has a version in which k is replaced by any field having a finite p-basis: [dJ2, 2.2.2-3] is the main ingredient needed to show that the category $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(\hat{A})$ is well defined and does not depend on the choice of a Frobenius lift of $\hat{A}^\wedge$; see also [dJ2, 2.3.4 and 2.4.8] for interpretations of $p - \mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[0,1]}(\hat{A})$ in terms of suitable filtered F-crystals. Warning: in the case of a field having a p-basis, 3.15.4 b) has to be replaced by the paragraph following 3.15.4 c).

3.15.4.1.1. Some extensions to p-divisible contexts. For future references we also point out the "p-divisible" form of 3.15.2 and of Corollary 1 of 3). We have:

Corollary. *We refer to 3.15.2 (resp. to Corollary 1 of 3)). The category* $p - DG(X_{\tilde{k}})$ *(resp.* $p - DG(\hat{X}_{Y_{\tilde{k}}})$*) is antiequivalent (via* $\mathbb{D}$*) to* $p - \mathcal{MV}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X)$ *(resp. to* $p - \mathcal{MV}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(X^{\wedge}_{Y})$*).*

3.15.5. Open questions. Let O be as in 2.2.1.4 and let (Y, U) be as in 2.2.1.4.1. Let $n \geq 2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $f : G_1 \to G_2$ be a morphism between truncations mod p^n of two p-divisible groups over $Y_{O/pO}$. In connection to 3.6.14.4.1, 2.2.1.4 and 3.15.2, we have the following question.

Q1. *If f restricted to U is an isomorphism (resp. is a closed embedding), what extra conditions assure us that f is an isomorphism (resp. is a closed embedding)?*

We now present an approach which we hope will lead to an answer to Q1. Following the proof of 2.2.1.4 we can assume $Y = \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[[T]])$, with $k = \bar{k}$, and its Frobenius lift is defined (at the level of $W(k)$-algebras) via: T goes to T^p. Using [Il, 4.8] we get that G_1 and G_2 lift to truncations mod p^n of p-divisible groups over $R := W(k)[[T]]$. So we can apply 3.15.2 to f. We can write $\mathbb{D}(f)$ as a morphism m_{12} between truncations mod p^n of two objects of $p - \mathcal{M}_{[0,1]}(Y)$; here we identify $p - \mathcal{M}_{[0,1]}(Y)$ with $p - \mathcal{M}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(Y)$ (cf. the logical non-filtered version of 3.6.18.5.1 and its $p = 2$ analogue). As $n \geq 2$, often the truncation mod p of m_{12} can be viewed as well as a morphism between two objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(Y)$. If this is so, then as in the proof of 2.2.1.4 we conclude that the induced morphism $f : G_1[p] \to G_2[p]$ is an isomorphism (resp. is a closed embedding) and so using Nakayama's lemma, we get that f is an isomorphism (resp. is a closed embedding).

We now assume $Y^{\wedge}$ is equipped with a Frobenius lift and that $O = W(k)$. For future references we also point out the following two general forms of Q1 which involve "arbitrary" p-divisible objects and for which the previous paragraph can be naturally adapted. Let $y_0 : \mathrm{Spec}(k_0) \to Y$ be the maximal point of Y. Let $\mathfrak{C}_1$ and $\mathfrak{C}_2$ be two objects of $p - \mathcal{M}_{[0,a]}(Y)$, with $a \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $m : \mathfrak{C}_1/p^{n_1}\mathfrak{C}_1 \to \mathfrak{C}_2/p^{n_2}\mathfrak{C}_2$ be a morphism between two of their truncations; we view it as an $\mathcal{O}_Y$-linear map between $\mathcal{O}_Y$-sheaves endowed with Frobenius endomorphisms. Let i_U be the inclusion of U in Y. We assume $n_2 \geq n_1 \geq a + 1$.

Q2. *If $n_1 = n_2$ and if $i_U^*(m)$ is an isomorphism (resp. an epimorphism), what extra conditions assure us that m is an isomorphism (resp. an epimorphism)?*

Q3. *We assume the kernel of $i_U^*(m)$ is included in p^h times the underlying $\mathcal{O}_U$-sheaf of $i_U^*(\mathfrak{C}_1/p^{n_1}\mathfrak{C})$, with $h \in S(a + 2, n_1)$. Under what conditions the underlying $W(k_0)$-module M_0 of the kernel of $y_0^*(m)$ is included in p^{h-a-1} times the underlying $W(k_0)$-module M_{01} of $y_0^*(\mathfrak{C}_1/p^{n_1}\mathfrak{C}_1)$?*

3.15.6. Complements on the deformation theory in the generalized Shimura context. In what follows we point out that 2.2.21 UP, 2.4, 3.2.4, a great part of 3.6 and 3.15.1 hold in the generalized Shimura context, provided we state everything in terms of (filtered) F-crystals. To avoid repetitions we refer to parts of 3.6 and 3.11 even if $p = 2$, without mentioning each time that this is allowed by (different parts of) 3.14.

We start with a generalized Shimura p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C} = (M, (F^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G)$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$; let $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ be a family of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ as in 2.2.9 3a). Let $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$ be the canonical split cocharacter of $\mathfrak{C}$ (see 2.2.1.2). Let N be the integral, closed subgroup of G whose Lie algebra is the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ on which $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts via μ (and inner conjugation) through the identical character. It is commutative and unipotent. Let R be the completion of N in the origin. We choose an isomorphism $\tilde{f} : R\tilde{\to}W(k)[[x_1, ..., x_d]]$, with $d := \dim_{W(k)}(N)$. Let Φ_R be the Frobenius of R as in 2.2.10. We consider the triple

$$\mathfrak{C}_R := (M \otimes_{W(k)} R, (F^i(M) \otimes_{W(k)} R)_{i\in S(a,b)}, n(\varphi \otimes 1)),$$

with $n \in N(R)$ as the universal element. There is a unique connection ∇ on $\mathfrak{C}_R$ (cf. 3.6.18.7.1 b) and c); the rank of Φ_R is 0). Let $P_{\leq 0}$ (resp. $P_{\geq 0}$) be the parabolic subgroup of G having $W^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G), \varphi)$ (resp. $W_0(\mathrm{Lie}(G), \varphi)$) as its Lie algebra. Let $P_{<0}$ be the unipotent radical of $P_{\leq 0}$.

A. We first assume $\mathfrak{C}$ is a Shimura-canonical lift in the sense of 3.11.6.1: to motivate what follows in D and E below and to first deal with shorter proofs, we treat till end of B the simplest case of such a $\mathfrak{C}$.

From 3.11.6 B) and the Fact of 2.2.11.1 we get that N is a subgroup of $P_{<0}$. Moreover, ∇ is of the form $\delta + \beta$, with δ as the connection on $M \otimes_{W(k)} R$ annihilating M and with

$$(BETA) \qquad \beta \in \mathrm{Lie}(P_{<0}) \otimes_{W(k)} \Omega^\wedge_{R/W(k)}.$$

(BETA) is very much the same as [Fa2, rm. ii) after th. 10], being a property of σ-$\mathcal{S}$-crystals; it is a consequence of 3.6.18.7.1 b) and c).

We consider the triple

$$\mathfrak{C}_R^{\leq 0} := (\mathrm{Lie}(P_{\leq 0}) \otimes_{W(k)} R, F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(P_{\leq 0})) \otimes_{W(k)} R, n(p\varphi \otimes 1)).$$

It is an object of $p-\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$. The generic fibre of the action (via inner conjugation) of $P_{<0}$ on $\mathrm{Lie}(P_{\leq 0})$ is faithful (this can be seen easily by looking at the restriction of $\mathrm{ad}(x)$, with $x \in \mathrm{Lie}(P_{<0})$, to the Lie algebra of a maximal torus of $P_{\leq 0}$). So ∇ is integrable if the connection on $\mathfrak{C}_R^{\leq 0}$ it induces naturally (and with which it can be identified) is integrable. So from 3.6.18.4.1 we get:

Corollary. *∇ is integrable and so $(\mathfrak{C}_R, \nabla)$ is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[a,b]}(R)$.*

B. The Kodaira–Spencer map of ∇ is injective (this, as in [Va2, 5.4.8], is a consequence of the shape of N). Let $R_1 := W(k)[[z_1, ..., z_m]]$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let Φ_{R_1} be its Frobenius lift taking z_i into z_i^p. We consider a p-divisible object $(\mathfrak{C}_1, (t'_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ with tensors of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(R_1)$ which under pull back via the Teichmüller lift $z_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ is $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-isomorphic (in the sense of 2.2.9 6)) to $\mathfrak{C}$; we view this $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-isomorphism as an identification. We have:

Theorem. *There is a unique connection ∇_1 on $\mathfrak{C}_1$. It is integrable, nilpotent mod p and respects the G-action. Moreover, there is a uniquely determined $W(k)$-morphism $z_R : \mathrm{Spec}(R_1) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ such that $z_R \circ z_1$ is the Teichmüller lift $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ and $(\mathfrak{C}_1, \nabla_1, (t'_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ is isomorphic to $z_R^*(\mathfrak{C}_R, \nabla, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ through an isomorphism which in z_1 is the mentioned identification.*

Proof: 3.6.18.7.1 b) and c) imply the existence and the uniqueness of ∇_1 as well as that ∇_1 respects the G-action. The rest is entirely the same as the arguments of [Fa2, th. 10 and rm. iii) after it], as the Kodaira–Spencer map of ∇ is injective and $d = \dim_{W(k)}(\mathrm{Lie}(G)/F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G)))$ (the essence of loc. cit. is captured by these two properties and by Corollary of A). This ends the proof.

C. We do not assume any more that (M, φ, G) is Shimura-ordinary. As in 3.2.3 we deduce the existence of $g \in G(W(k))$ such that $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), g\varphi, F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G)), F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G)))$ is of Borel type. From 3.11.6 B) we get that $(M, (F^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)}, g\varphi, G)$ is a Shimura-canonical lift of (M, φ, G). As above we construct $\mathfrak{C}_R$. As 3.4-5 treat the generalized Shimura context, from 3.4.6 we get that the slope -1 of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), \varphi)$ is at most equal to the slope -1 of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), g\varphi)$. From 3.6.18.5.5 B we get that the pseudo-multiplicity of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), h\varphi)$ is the same as the multiplicity of the slope -1 of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), h\varphi)$, $\forall h \in G(W(k))$ (cf. also 3.4.5.1 A and B).

D. Theorem. *In 3.6.18.7.3 C the word potentially can be dropped (i.e. $M(\mathfrak{C})$ and $M(\mathfrak{C}/p^n\mathfrak{C})$ are moduli schemes of integrable connections).*

Proof: We refer to the notations of 3.6.18.7.3. We can assume that $R = W(k)[[x_1, ..., x_m]]$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k = \bar{k}$, and that $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$. So in Proposition of 3.6.18.7.3 A we have $R_1 = R = R^\wedge$. From 3.6.18.7.1 b) and c) we get: any connection ∇ on $\mathfrak{C}$ which respects the G_R-action is of the form $\delta_R + \beta$, with $\beta \in \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{der}}) \otimes_R \bar{\Omega}_{R/W(k)}$. We need to show: ∇ is integrable.

Based on C, the proof of 3.6.18.4.1 can be adapted to a great extend to the context of 3.6.18.7.3 C. Warning: there is one significant difference which does not allow us just to copy the mentioned proof. The obstacle to be overcome is:

ob) In 3.6.18.2 we had to deal only with integral slopes which made its proof very simple. The slopes of $(M, g\varphi)$ (of C) are often "far" from being integral.

The overcome ob), we first pass to an adjoint context as follows. Due to the fact that δ_R is defined via the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of the last proof of 3.6.18.7.3 A, to show that ∇ is integrable it is enough to show that the connection on $\mathrm{Lie}(G_R^{\mathrm{ad}})$ induced (as in 2.2.10) by ∇ is integrable. So we can assume that G_R is adjoint and that the representation of G_R on M_R is the adjoint representation; so $M_R = \mathrm{Lie}(G_R)$ and $(a,b) = (-1,1)$.

G_R is the pull back of an adjoint subgroup $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of $GL(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$. So $\mathrm{Lie}(G_R) = \mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R$. Based on the Lemma of 3.6.18.7.3 A, we can assume there is a cocharacter $\mu_R : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_R$ defining (as in 2.2.11 1)) the filtration $(F^i(\mathrm{Lie}(G_R))_{i \in S(-1,1)}$ of M_R; even more we can assume that μ_R is obtained from a cocharacter μ of $G_{W(k)}$ by natural pull back. So we can write $\Phi_{M_R} = g_R(\varphi \otimes 1)$, where $\varphi := \sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p})$, with σ viewed as a σ-linear automorphism of $M := \mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)})$ fixing $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$.

Based on this expression of Φ_{M_R} and on C we can algebraize the things as in the proof of 3.4.18.6.1: so we "connect" $\mathfrak{C}$ with a generic situation defined by a triple

$$\mathfrak{C}_R := (M \otimes_{W(k)} R, (F^i(M))_{i \in S(-1,1)} \otimes_{W(k)} R, g_R(g\varphi \otimes 1))$$

which is an object of $p{-}\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(R)$. Here R is endowed with a Frobenius lift Φ_R^1 of multiplicative type (possible different from Φ_U of 3.6.18.7.3), $g_R \in G_R(R)$ mod $(x_1, ..., x_m)$ is 1_M, $F^i(M)$ is the direct summand of M such that $F^i(M_R) = F^i(M) \otimes_{W(k)} R$, $i = \overline{0,1}$, while $g \in G_{W(k)}(W(k))$ is (as in C) such that the Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystal $(M, g\varphi)$ is Shimura-ordinary. So the Theorem follows from the following Lemma.

Lemma. *Any connection ∇_R on $\mathfrak{C}_R$ respecting the G_R-action (i.e. of the form $\delta+\beta$, with δ as in A and with $\beta \in \mathrm{Lie}(G_R) \otimes_R \bar{\Omega}_{R/W(k)}$) is integrable.*

Proof: We can assume $(M, g\varphi)$ is cyclic (equivalently, that $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p$-simple). As 3.4 handles the case of $Cl(M, \varphi, G_{W(k)})$ as well, from 3.4.8 we get that the Newton polygons of pull backs of $\mathfrak{C}_R$ through geometric points of $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$ all have the same Newton polygon and so are Shimura adjoint Lie F-crystals which are Shimura-ordinary; here the pull backs are obtained via Teichmüller lifts. So based on this we can imitate the arguments of B to overcome the obstacle ob).

For this we consider the Φ_R^1-linear map $\Psi : M_R \to M_R$ obtained as Ψ of 3.4.5; we call it the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt shift of $\mathfrak{C}_R$. Let N be the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule of M_R formed by elements fixed by Ψ. $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R$ is a direct summand of $M \otimes_{W(k)} R$, cf. [De3, 1.2.4] and the above part on (constant) Newton polygons.

If $G_{W(k)}$ is not (resp. is) of B_n Lie type, let $\tilde{P}_{\leq 0}$ be the subgroup of G_R (resp. of the simply connected semisimple group cover G_R^{sc} of G_R) normalizing $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R$; we can view $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R$ as well as a Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_R^{\mathrm{sc}})$. Based on the Claim of 3.5.4 (for $p = 2$, cf. also 3.14 C and J), by reasons of dimensions we get that for any Teichmüller lift $TL : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k_1)) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$, with k_1 a perfect field containing k, the image of $\tilde{P}_{\leq 0 W(k_1)}$ in $G_{W(k_1)}$ is the parabolic subgroup having $W^0(M_R \otimes_R W(k_1), TL^*(g_R)(g\varphi \otimes 1))$ as its Lie algebra (it normalizes $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k_1)$, cf. 2.2.3 3)); this justifies our notation with ≤ 0 as a lower right index. So as in the proof of 3.6.18.7.3 A involving the adjoint context we get that $\tilde{P}_{\leq 0}$ is a parabolic subgroup of G_R (resp. of G_R^{sc}). Let $P_{\leq 0}$ be the image of $\tilde{P}_{\leq 0}$ in G_R. $pg_R(g\varphi \otimes 1)$ takes $\mathrm{Lie}(P_{\leq 0})$ onto itself.

We consider the intersection $H_{R/pR}$ of $P_{\leq 0 R/pR}$ with $P_{R/pR}$. Its fibres are smooth, connected and of same dimension: using the same type of pull backs as above, this follows easily from 3.11.6 A and B (more precisely, the smoothness part follows from the fact that the intersection $P_{\leq 0W(k_1)} \cap P_{W(k_1)}$ contains a maximal torus of $G_{W(k_1)}$; see [SGA3, Vol. III, 4.1.1-2 and 4.5 of Exp. XXVI]). So (as in the part of 3.6.18.7.3 A refering to $N_{R/pR}$) the group scheme $H_{R/pR}$ is smooth. As H_k contains a maximal torus of G_k, from [SGA3, Vol. II, 3.6 of p. 48] we get $H_{R/pR}$ contains a maximal torus of $G_{R/pR}$. Following the proof of Fact 2 of 2.2.9 3), we can assume (after suitable $G(R)$-conjugation) that $H := P_{\leq 0} \cap P$ contains a maximal torus of G_R and that μ_R factors through it. We get that the triple

$$(\mathrm{Lie}(P_{\leq 0}), F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(P_{\leq 0})), g_R(g\tilde{\varphi} \otimes 1)),$$

with $\tilde{\varphi} := p\varphi$, is an object of $p-\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$. As in A we get that $\beta \in \mathrm{Lie}(P_{\leq 0}) \otimes_R \bar{\Omega}_{R/W(k)}$ and that ∇_R is integrable. This ends the proof of the Lemma and so of the Theorem.

Warning. The way we got this Corollary does not apply to get that all connections of 3.6.18.7.1 c) are integrable. But we do expect that all such connections are integrable.

E. D achieves the deformation theory in the generalized Shimura context. So many parts of 3.1-4 and 3.6.7-14 hold (occasionally under minor restatements) for the generalized Shimura context. In particular, we get:

Corollary. *B holds without assuming that* $(M, g\varphi, G)$ *is Shimura-ordinary.*

For the sake of convenience, the part involving Newton polygons (i.e. pertaining to 3.1.0, 3.2.4, etc.) is deferred to Appendix. Here we just point out that:

– 3.1.0 d), 3.7.6 and 3.12 remain valid in the generalized Shimura context (no change of arguments are needed);

– 3.6.1.2-3, 3.6.18.2-4 and 3.6.18.8 for the generalized Shimura context are already "encompassed" by 3.6.18.7.1 c), 3.6.18.7.3 C and D;

– one can state forms of 3.6.18.5.1, 3.6.18.5.3 and of 3.6.18.5.7-8 for the generalized Shimura context; as this context is not well suited from the point of view of morphisms, these forms are not stated here: we just mention that they are a consequence of 3.6.18.7.1 c), 3.6.18.7.3 C and D;

– the inducing property of 3.6.18.5 still holds for the generalized Shimura context: we just need to restate its c) part in terms of -1 slopes of attached Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystals;

– Corollary is the natural extension of 2.2.21 UP;

– all of 2.4 can be adapted for $p \geq 5$ to the generalized Shimura context (we just need to work –cf. 2.2.13.4– with filtrations in the adjoint context and so involving the range $[-1, 1]$); moreover, we have weaker versions of these adaptations for $p \in \{2, 3\}$ (to be compared with 2.2.16.5 and 2.3.18.3).

3.15.7. The boundedness principle. There is a widely spread opinion that p-divisible groups (and so by extrapolation p-divisible objects) involve an infinite process. The Fundamental Lemma of 3.6.15 B points out that this opinion is not quite accurate. Our philosophy (already hinted at in 3.6.18.10) is:

Ph. *p-divisible objects involve a "bounded infinite" process.*

Till the end of 3.15.7 we formalize what we call the boundedness principle and so "implicitly" explain what we mean by a "bounded infinite" process. Different forms of it will be indexed by numbers attached to BP.

We start with definitions meant to ease the statement of results. Till end of §3 we work with $p \geq 2$ and with k a perfect field of characteristic p. In all that follows M is a free $W(k)$-module of finite rank $d_M \in \mathbb{N}$.

A. Definitions. a) By an elementary Dieudonné (resp. elementary Dieudonné–Fontaine) object of $p - \mathcal{M}(W(k))$ we mean an object (M, φ) for which there is a $W(k)$-basis $\{e_1, ..., e_{d_M}\}$ of M such that $\varphi(e_i) = p^{n_i} e_{i+1}$, $i = \overline{1, d_M}$, with $e_{d_M+1} = e_1$, $n_i = 0$ if $i \in S(1, d_M - 1)$, and $n_{d_M} \in \mathbb{Z}$ is relatively prime to d_M (resp. with $e_{d_M+1} = e_1$ and n_i's being either all non-negative or all non-positive, and which can not be written as a direct sum of non-trivial p-divisible objects whose underlying $W(k)$-modules have such $W(k)$-basis). Such a $W(k)$-basis $\{e_1, ..., e_{d_M}\}$ is called a standard $W(k)$-basis of (M, φ). An object of $p - \mathcal{M}(W(k))$ is called a Dieudonné (resp. Dieudonné–Fontaine) object if it is a direct sum of elementary Dieudonné (resp. of elementary Dieudonné–Fontaine) objects of $p - \mathcal{M}(W(k))$.

b) By the Dieudonné volume (resp. torsion) of a latticed isocrystal $\mathfrak{C} = (M, \varphi)$ we mean the smallest number $DV(\mathfrak{C}) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ (resp. $DT(\mathfrak{C}) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) such that there is an isogeny $m : \mathfrak{C}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{W(\bar{k})}$ (of latticed isocrystals), with $\mathfrak{C}_1$ a Dieudonné object of $p - \mathcal{M}(W(\bar{k}))$, defined at the level of $W(\bar{k})$-modules by a monomorphism $m : M_1 \hookrightarrow M$ such that $M/m(M_1)$ has length $DV(\mathfrak{C})$ (resp. such that $p^{DT(\mathfrak{C})} M \subset m(M_1)$).

c) Similarly to b) we define Dieudonné–Fontaine volume $DFV(\mathfrak{C})$ (resp. torsion $DFT(\mathfrak{C})$) of $\mathfrak{C}$.

d) We consider an n-tuple $\tau := (a_1, ..., a_n)$ formed by integers. If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$ is non-negative (resp. non-positive) then by the non-negative (resp. non-positive) sign deviation of τ we mean the maximum value of -1 (resp. of 1) times sums which are of the form $\sum_{i=m}^{s} a_i$, where $m \in S(1, n)$ and $s \in S(m, n + m - 1)$, and which have the property that all its subsums of the form $\sum_{i=m^1}^{s} a_i$, with $m_1 \in S(m, s)$, are (warning!) non-positive (resp. are non-negative). If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$ is positive (resp. is negative), then by the sign deviation $SD(\tau)$ of τ we mean its non-negative (resp. its non-positive) sign deviation. If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = 0$, then by the sign $SD(\tau)$ of τ we mean the minimum between its non-negative and non-positive sign deviations.

d') As in d) we define the (non-negative or the non-positive) value deviation $VD(\tau)$ of τ, by considering sums of different entries (not necessarily consecutive) of τ which have the same sign.

e) The entry a_q of τ is called quasi-special, if the sum $\sum_{i=q+s}^{q} a_i$ has a sign which does not depend on $s \in S(-n + 1, 0)$. If all these sums are negative (so also $a_q < 0$), we refer to a_q as a special negative entry of τ. Similarly we define special positive entries of τ. By the negative (resp. positive) sp-invariant of τ we mean the number

$$sp_-(\tau) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

(resp. the number $sp_+(\tau) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) of special negative (resp. of special positive) entries of τ.

The existence of $DV(\mathfrak{C})$ and so of $DT(\mathfrak{C})$ is equivalent to Dieudonné's classification of isocrystals over $\bar{k}$ and this explains our terminology. Obviously

$$DFV(\mathfrak{C}) \leq DV(\mathfrak{C})$$

and

$$DFT(\mathfrak{C}) \leq DT(\mathfrak{C}).$$

Examples. $SD(-1, 1, -1, -1, 1, 1, 1, 0, -1) = 1 + 1 = 2$, $SD(1, 1, -2, 1, 3) = 2$ and $SD(-1, 1, -1) = 1$. $sp_-(-1, 1, -1, -1, 1, 1, -1, 0, -1) = 2$ (the special negative entries being the last two -1).

3.6.15 B can be restated as:

BP0. *The isomorphism deviation of any σ-$\mathcal{S}$-crystal (M, φ, G) over k is a finite number which is not bigger than $2DT(\mathrm{Lie}(G), \varphi) + 1 + s_L(\varphi)$, where $s_L(\varphi) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ is the smallest number such that $p^{s_L(\varphi)}\varphi(\mathrm{Lie}(G)) \subset \mathrm{Lie}(G)$.*

B. Remarks. 0) It is an easy exercise to check that in the proof of 3.14.5 B we can in fact use Dieudonné–Fontaine objects. So in BP0 we can replace $DT(\mathrm{Lie}(G), \varphi)$ by $DFT(\mathrm{Lie}(G), \varphi)$. This represents considerable improvements in practical calculations. For instance, referring to a) of A, if $(n_1, n_1, ..., n_{d_M}) = (1, 1, ..., 1, -1)$, with $d_M \geq 2$, then $DFT(\mathfrak{C}) = 1$ while $DT(\mathfrak{C}) = \max\{1, d_M - 2\}$. More generally, we have the following sequence of 3 inequalities

$$(INEQ) \qquad DFT(\mathfrak{C}) \leq SD(n_1, ..., n_{d_M}) \leq VD(n_1, ..., n_{d_M}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{d_M} |n_i|\,.$$

The second and the third inequality follow from very definitions.

We now check the first inequality in the case $\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i > 0$ (the other cases are entirely the same) and not all n_i's are non-negative. We consider the biggest $u \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there is $m \in S(1, n)$ with the property that $a_{m-v,m} := \sum_{i=m-v}^{m} n_i \leq 0$, $\forall v \in S(0, u)$; so n_{m+1} and n_{m-u-1} are positive and $n_m \leq 0$. For $v \in S(0, u)$, we replace n_{m-v} by

$$p^{-a_{m-v,m}} n_{m-v}.$$

As we are in a circular context, we can assume $m - u = 1$. If $d_M = u + 1$ or if all n_i's, with $i \in S(u + 2, ..., d_M)$, are non-negative we are done, as by very definitions all $-a_{m-v,m}$'s belong to $S(0, SD(n_1, ..., n_{d_M}))$. If this is not the case, we next deal with the remaining segment, formed by the integers n_{u+2},..., n_{d_M}. We repeat the operation. We choose the biggest $u_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there is $m_1 \in S(u + 2, d_M)$ with the property that $a_{m_1-v_1,m_1} := \sum_{i=m_1-v_1}^{m_1} n_i \leq 0$, $\forall v_1 \in S(0, u_1)$; so n_{m_1+1} and $n_{m_1-u_1-1}$ are positive and $n_{m_1} \leq 0$. Due to the choice of u (of being the biggest), we have $m_1 - u_1 \geq u + 2$. For

$v_1 \in S(0, u_1)$, we replace $n_{m_1-v_1}$ by $p^{-a_{m_1-v_1,m_1}} n_{m_1-v_1}$ and we repeat the operation. So by induction on the number of remaining entries (they do not need to be indexed by a set of consecutive numbers of $S(1, d_M)$), we get that the first inequality holds.

In particular, if $n_i \in S(-1, 1)$, $\forall i \in S(1, n)$, then (as a substitute of (INEQ)) it is convenient to work with the inequality

$$(CONVINEQ) \qquad DFT(\mathfrak{C}) \leq \min\{n^-, n^+\},$$

where n^- (resp. n^+) is the number of i's such that $n_i = -1$ (resp. such that $n_i = 1$). However, for being quicker (as the goal below is not to be sharp), for the general estimates of C below we still work with DT's instead of DFT's.

1) The union of the categories $p - \mathcal{M}_{[0,a]}(W(k))$, $a \in \mathbb{N}$, is a full subcategory of the category of σ-crystals over k but is not equal to it. However, 3.6.15 B and BP0 can be entirely adapted to the context where we work with triples (M, φ, G), where (M, φ) is a latticed isocrystal and G is an arbitrary integral subgroup of $GL(M)$ such that $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$ is normalized by φ. Argument: using dilatations as in 3.9.9 B and C, we replace the closed subgroup G of $GL(M)$ by a homomorphism $G_1 \to GL(M)$ factoring through G, with G_1 a smooth group scheme over $W(k)$ having the same generic fibre as G; as there is $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p^s(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}) \cap \mathrm{End}(M)) \subset \mathrm{Lie}(G_1)$, we can proceed as in the proof of 3.6.15 B to show (by working with the triple (M, φ, G_1)) that the isomorphism deviation of (M, φ, G) is still a finite number. In particular, this applies to latticed isocrystals over k.

Also one can similarly check that the extra condition of 3.16.6 B pertaining to the W-condition is not needed.

2) We refer to the proof of 3.6.15 B. In practice it is more convenient to work with the following estimate

$$(CONVEST) \qquad n - m \geq \max\{m + 1 + s_L(\varphi), n(\mathcal{C}_1), ..., n(\mathcal{C}_s)\}$$

instead of (EST) of the mentioned place. The reason is: for such an n, $\varphi(\tilde{h}^1) \in \mathrm{End}(M)$, provided we have all u_l's greater than $n - m$. This has a version in the context of Dieudonné–Fontaine objects (cf. 0)).

3) We refer to 3.6.16 2). We think it is an interesting problem to compute $isom - d(M, \varphi, G)$ (or at least to get sharp estimates of it) perhaps in terms of Hodge numbers of (M, φ^s) and (or) of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G), \varphi^s)$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$. We do not think (EST) of 3.6.15 B is a very sharp estimate (for instance, for the case of the σ-crystal of a supersingular elliptic curve we get $n \geq 4$ and not $n \geq 1$); however, we do not think it can be significantly improved (in general). The same applies to the context of principal bilinear quasi-polarizations.

4) As in 2.2.22.1, we define additively the negative and the positive sp-invariant of any cyclic diagonalizable object of $p - \mathcal{MF}(W(k))$ and of the object of $p - \mathcal{M}(W(k))$ defined by it by forgetting the filtration.

C. Estimates. Let (M, φ) be a latticed isocrystal over k. We want to estimate $DT(M, \varphi)$. We can assume $k = \bar{k}$. Let SL be the set of slopes of $(M[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi)$. For $\alpha \in SL$, we write

$$\alpha = \frac{a_\alpha}{b_\alpha},$$

with $a_\alpha, b_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b_\alpha > 0$ and $(a_\alpha, b_\alpha) = 1$; let $m_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that the multiplicity of the slope α is $m_\alpha b_\alpha$. Let

$$s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

be the smallest number such that $\varphi(p^s M) \subset M$. We refer to it as the s-number of (M, φ). Let $d := l.c.m.\{b_\alpha | \alpha \in SL\}$. Let $\alpha_{\max} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ (resp. $e \in \mathbb{N}$) be the maximum of all $sb_\alpha + a_\alpha$'s (resp. of all $m_\alpha b_\alpha$'s). Using 2.2.3 3) we get a natural monomorphism

$$q_{SL} : \oplus_{\alpha \in SL} W(\alpha)(M, \varphi) \hookrightarrow M.$$

Let

$$h \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

(resp. $h_\alpha \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) be the greatest Hodge number of $(M, p^s\varphi)$ (resp. of $(W(\alpha)(M, \varphi), p^s\varphi)$). Let

$$\tilde{h} := \max\{h_\alpha | \alpha \in SL\}.$$

We refer to $\tilde{h}$ (resp. to h) as the small h-number (resp. as the h-number) of (M, φ). We have

$$\tilde{h} \leq h$$

and the equality holds if q_{SL} is an isomorphism; this motivates the use of the word small.

BP1. *For any triple* $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, *there is a smallest number*

$$n(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

such that $DT(\mathfrak{C}) \leq n(a, b, c)$, *for any latticed isocrystal* $\mathfrak{C}$ *over* k *of rank* a, *s-number* b *and h-number* c. *In particular,* $DT(M, g\varphi) \leq n(d_M, s, h)$, $\forall g \in GL(M)$.

Proof: We use induction on a: the case $a = 1$ is trivial. We work in the context of (M, φ). We have

$$(EST1) \qquad DT(M, \varphi) \leq es + DT(M, p^s\varphi).$$

Argument: if N is a $W(k)$-submodule of M such that the pair $(N, p^s\varphi)$ is an elementary Dieudonné object of $p - \mathbb{M}(W(k))$ and if $\{b_1, ..., b_n\}$ is a standard $W(k)$-basis of $(N, p^s\varphi)$, then the pair $(< p^{ns}b_1, p^{ns-s}b_2, ..., p^s b_{n-1}, b_n >, \varphi)$ is an elementary Dieudonné object of $p - \mathcal{M}(W(k))$; so we just have to remark that $n \leq e$.

So from now on (till the Fact below inclusive) we assume $s = 0$.

We first consider the case when $|SL| = 1$ and $m_\alpha = 1$. We consider a $B(k)$-basis $\{e_1, ..., e_{b_\alpha}\}$ of $M[\frac{1}{p}]$ formed by elements of M and such that $\varphi(e_i) = e_{i+1}$, $i = \overline{1, b_{\alpha-1}}$, and $\varphi(e_{b_\alpha}) = p^{a_\alpha} e_1$. We also assume $e_1 \in M \setminus pM$. Let $t \in S(0, b_\alpha - 1)$. Let $e_0 := \varphi^{-1}(e_1) \in M[\frac{1}{p}]$.

Claim. *There is an increasing sequence* $(c_t)_{t\in S(0,b_\alpha-1)}$ *of elements of* $\mathbb{N}$ *which depends only on* α *and* h_α *and such that*

$$(EST2)\qquad \varphi(e_t)\notin\sum_{i=1}^{t}W(k)e_i+p^{c_t}M,$$

$\forall t\in S(0,b_\alpha-1)$.

To check the Claim, we use a second induction on $t\in S(0,b_\alpha-1)$. Taking $c_0:=1$, (EST2) holds for $t=0$. We assume now that (EST2) holds for $t\le r-1\in S(0,b_\alpha-2)$. As $c_0\le c_1\le ...\le c_{r-1}$ and as $\varphi(e_{r-1})=e_r$, we have

$$(IND)\qquad p^{c_{r-1}-1}\big(M\cap(<e_1,...,e_r>[\frac{1}{p}])\big)\subset<e_1,...,e_r>.$$

We now prove that (EST2) holds for $t=r$. We write

$$(EQ)\qquad \varphi(e_r)=\sum_{i=1}^{r}a_ie_i+p^{n_r}x,$$

with $x\in M\setminus pM$ and all a_i's in $W(k)$. By our initial induction (on ranks), we can speak about $n(t,0,h_\alpha)$. So let
$(RECEQ)$
$c_r:=c_{r-1}+b_\alpha(h_\alpha+c_{r-1})+2rb_\alpha!(h_\alpha+c_{r-1})^2\big(1+2\max\{n(r,0,j)|j\in S(0,h_\alpha+c_{r-1}-1)\}\big)$.

We assume $n_r\ge c_r+1$. As $\varphi(e_r-\sum_{i=1}^{r-1}\sigma^{-1}(a_{i+1})e_i)=p^{n_r}x+a_1e_1$, from (IND) we get that a_1 is not divisible by $p^{h_\alpha+c_{r-1}}$. We consider the Frobenius endomorphism φ_r of M_r that takes e_i into e_{i+1} if $i\le r-1$ and which takes e_r into $\sum_{i=1}^{r}a_ie_i$. As $a_1\ne 0$, by inverting p, φ_r becomes an isomorphism. So (M_r,φ_r) is a σ-crystal whose h-number is the p-adic valuation of a_1 and so it is at most $h_\alpha+c_{r-1}$. Let

$$q:=1+2r(b_\alpha-1)!(h_\alpha+c_{r-1})\max\{n(t,0,j)|j\in S(0,h_\alpha+c_{r-1}-1)\}.$$

By the very definition of $n(r,0,j)$, we know that

$$(IND_0)\qquad DT(M_r,\varphi_r)\le\frac{q-1}{2r(b_\alpha-1)!(h_\alpha+c_{r-1})}.$$

Let N_r be a $W(k)$-submodule of M_r such that M_r/N_r is annihilated by $p^{DT(M_r,\varphi_r)}$ and (N_r,φ_r) is a Dieudonné object of $p-\mathcal{M}(W(k))$. Writing (N_r,φ_r) as a direct sum of elementary Dieudonné objects of $p-\mathcal{M}(W(k))$, we choose a simple factor (N_r^1,φ_r) of it such that the component e_1^1 of $p^{DT(M_r,\varphi_r)}e_1$ in N_r^1 (w.r.t. to the resulting direct sum decomposition of N_r) is not divisible inside N_r^1 by $p^{1+DT(M_r,\varphi_r)}$.

From (EQ) we get that $p^{qa_\alpha}e_1 = \varphi^{b_\alpha q}(e_1)$ taken mod p^{n_r} is congruent to $\varphi_r^{qb_\alpha}(e_1)$. So (cf. also (IND)) $\varphi_r^{qb_\alpha}(e_1^1) - p^{qa_\alpha}e_1^1 \in p^{n_r - c_{r-1} - DT(M_r,\varphi_r)}N_r^1$.

On the other hand, as (N_r^1, φ_r) is an elementary Dieudonné object of $p-\mathcal{M}(W(k))$, $\varphi_r^{qb_\alpha}(e_1^1)$ mod p^{n_r} is divisible inside N_r^1 by p^{m_r}, where

(0)
$m_r \in (\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\})\cap[qb_\alpha u - DT(M_r,\varphi_r) - r(h_\alpha + c_{r-1} - 1), qb_\alpha u + DT(M_r,\varphi_r) + r(h_\alpha + c_{r-1} - 1)]$,

with $u \in [0, h_\alpha + c_{r-1} - 1]$ as the slope of (N_r^1, φ_r); so $vu \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$ for some $v \in S(1, r!)$.

As $n_r \geq c_r + 1$, it is easy to see that $n_r - c_{r-1} - DT(M_r,\varphi_r)$ is greater than $qb_\alpha u + DT(M_r,\varphi_r) + r(h_\alpha + c_{r-1} - 1)$ as well as than qh_α (and so then qa_α). From this, (IND_0) and the last two paragraphs we get:

$$|qa_\alpha - qb_\alpha u| \leq \frac{q-1}{2r(b_\alpha - 1)!(h_\alpha + c_{r-1})} + r(h_\alpha + c_{r-1} - 1).$$

A simple computation shows that $|\alpha - u| < \frac{1}{b_\alpha !}$. On the other hand, as $r \leq b_\alpha - 1$, from the existence of v we get $b_\alpha ! \, |\alpha - u| \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. We conclude: $\alpha = u$. So b_α divides a_α and so $b_\alpha = 1$. For $b_\alpha = 1$ we have $r = 0$ and this contradicts the inequality $r \geq 1$. So $n_r \leq c_r$. As obviously c_r depends only on α, h_α and c_{r-1}, this ends the argument for the Claim. We conclude:

Corollary. *There is* $d(\alpha, h_\alpha) \in \mathbb{N}$ *depending only on* α *and* h_α *and such that* $DT(M,\varphi) \leq d(\alpha, h_\alpha)$.

Next we consider the case when $|SL| = 1$ and m_α is arbitrary. By induction on $m_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ we show that

$$(EST3) \qquad DT(M,\varphi) \leq (2m_\alpha - 1)d(\alpha, h_\alpha).$$

Using a short exact sequence $0 \to (M_1,\varphi_1) \hookrightarrow (M,\varphi) \twoheadrightarrow (M_2,\varphi_2) \to 0$, with $\dim_{W(k)}(M_2) = b_\alpha$, we just need to remark the following 2 things:

a) The h-number of (M_i,φ_i) is at most the same as the h-number of (M,φ), $i \in S(1,2)$ (for $i = 1$ this is obvious while for $i = 2$ this follows by passing to duals of latticed isocrystals).

b) Any isogeny from an elementary Dieudonné object (M_0,φ_0) of $p-\mathcal{M}(W(k))$ to (M_2,φ_2) factors, after multiplication with $p^{2(m_\alpha - 1)d(\alpha,h_\alpha)}$, through (M,φ).

To check b), let $m_0 \in M_0$ be such that $\varphi_0^{b_\alpha}(m_0) = p^{a_\alpha}(m_0)$ and M_0 is $W(k)$-generated by m_0, $\varphi_0(m_0)$,..., $\varphi_0^{b_\alpha - 1}(m_0)$. Let $m \in M$ be such that via the $W(k)$-epimorphism $M \twoheadrightarrow M_2$ is mapped into m_0; here we view M_0 as a $W(k)$-submodule of M_2. We have $\varphi^{b_\alpha}(m) = p^\alpha m + \tilde{m}$, with $\tilde{m} \in M_1$. We need to show that, eventually after multiplication with $p^{2(m_\alpha - 1)d(\alpha,h_\alpha)}$, we can choose m such that $\tilde{m} = 0$. Using induction on m_α, this boils down to the following obvious thing:

Fact. *For any* $x \in p^{a_\alpha}M_0$, *the equation* $\varphi_0^{b_\alpha}(y) = p^{a_\alpha}y + x$ *has a solution* $y \in M_0$.

We come back to an arbitrary latticed isocrystal (M, φ); so we do not assume anymore that $s = 0$. Let

$$u := \max\{(2m_{s+\alpha} - 1)d(s + \alpha, h_\alpha) | \alpha \in SL\}.$$

Exercise. Show that the cokernel of q_{SL} is annihilated by $p^{2d_M \alpha_{\max} du+u}$.

Hint. Let N be a $W(k)$-submodule of M such that $(N, p^s\varphi)$ is a Dieudonné object of $p - \mathcal{M}(W(k))$ and $M \cap N_0[\frac{1}{p}]/N_0$ is annihilated by p^u, for any elementary Dieudonné object of $p - \mathcal{M}(W(k))$ which is a simple factor of $(N, p^s\varphi)$. If the statement of the Exercise is not true then there is $y \in M$ such that $p^{2d_M \alpha_{\max} du+u+v} y \in N \setminus pN$ for some $v \in \mathbb{N}$. Using standard $W(k)$-bases of all such $(N_0, p^s\varphi)$'s, procede by induction on the number NR of non-zero coefficients of $p^{2d_M \alpha_{\max} du+u+v} y$ w.r.t. the $W(k)$-basis of N obtained by the union of all these standard $W(k)$-bases (for this induction replace $2d_M$ by $2NR$).

From Exercise, (EST3), (EST1) and Corollary we get:

$$(TOTALEST) \qquad DT(M, \varphi) \leq 2d_M \alpha_{\max} du + se + 2u.$$

So BP1 is a consequence of (TOTALEST); for the part pertaining to $g \in GL(M)(W(k))$, we need to point out that such g's do not change the s-numbers or the h-numbers, while m_α's, d and e have upper bounds which depend only on d_M and h. This ends the proof of BP1.

BP2. *We assume $k = \bar{k}$. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \geq a$. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is a smallest number*

$$f(r, a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

such that the isomorphism class (and so also the Newton polygon) of any σ-$\mathcal{S}$-crystal (M, φ, G) over k whose underlying module is of rank at most r and such that (M, φ) is an object of $p - \mathcal{M}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$, depends only on its Fontaine truncation mod $p^{f(r,a,b)}$. Similarly, if $a \geq 0$ then there is a smallest number

$$f'(r, a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

such that the isomorphism class of (M, φ, G) depends only on its weak truncation mod $p^{f'(r,a,b)}$.

This is a consequence of BP0 and BP1; as a gross estimate we have:

$$f'(r, a, b) \leq 1 + b - a + 2g(r^2, b - a, 2(b - a)),$$

where for $(a_1, b_1, c_1) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$g(a_1, b_1, c_1) := \max\{n(j, s, h) | (j, s, h) \in S(1, a_1) \times S(0, b_1) \times S(0, c_1)\}.$$

The connection between these f's and f''s is given (with $a \geq 0$) by the inequalities

$$(WFTRUNC) \qquad f'(r,a,b) \leq f(r,a,b)+b+1 \leq f'(r,a,b)+b+1;$$

the factor $b+1$ is added here as the Fontaine truncation mod $p^{f(r,a,b)}$ of (M,φ,G) involves division of elements of the form $\varphi(x)$ by p^c, with $c \in S(a,b)$; here $x \in M$.

BP2'. *A p-divisible group over $\bar{k}$ of rank r is uniquely determined by its truncation mod $p^{f'(r,0,1)}$.*

For $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, let

$$d(r) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

be the smallest number such that $DFT(\mathrm{End}(M_1),\varphi_1) \leq d(r)$, for any σ-crystal (M_1,φ_1) of rank r which can be extended to a filtered σ-crystal. We have (cf. BP0):

$$f'(r,0,1) \leq f(r,0,1)+1 \leq 3+2d(r).$$

D. Global deformations. By a global deformation of an object $\mathfrak{C}$ of $p-\mathcal{M}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$ (resp. of $p-\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$) we mean a pair $(X,\mathfrak{C}_X)$, with X a $W(k)$-scheme which locally in the Zariski topology is a pro-étale scheme over a smooth $W(k)$-scheme and which has a special fibre which is a geometrically connected, AG k-scheme, and with $\mathfrak{C}_X$ an object of $p-\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}_{[a,b]}(X)$ (resp. of $p-\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[a,b]}(X)$), such that the pull back of $\mathfrak{C}_X$ via a k-valued (resp. $W(k)$-valued) point of $X^{\wedge}$ is $\mathfrak{C}$. For our conventions on groupoids we refer to 2.2.4 F.

BP3. *We consider a global deformation $(X,\mathfrak{C}_X)$ of an object of $p-\mathcal{M}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$. Let r be the local rank of its underlying $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\wedge}}$-module.*

a) *If the number of isomorphism classes of Fontaine truncations mod $p^{f(r,a,b)}$ of pull backs of $\mathfrak{C}_X$ through points of X_k with values in an arbitrary algebraically closed field k_1 containing k is finite and does not depend on the choice of k_1, then the number of isomorphism classes of pull backs of $\mathfrak{C}_X$ through k_1-valued points of X_k is as well finite and does not depend on k_1.*

b) *In general, there is a k-groupoid Y_k on X_k such that the morphism $m_k : Y_k \to X_k \times X_k$ which is part of its definition is affine and of finite presentation and the pull backs of $\mathfrak{C}_X$ through two k_1-valued points y_1 and y_2 of X_k are isomorphic iff the k_1-valued of $X_k \times_k X_k$ defined by the pair (y_1,y_2) lifts to m_k.*

Proof: a) follows from BP2. To see b), we can assume $a \geq 0$. We consider the pull backs of $\mathfrak{C}$ to $X \times_{W(k)} X$ via the two projections on X and the reduced $X_k \times_k X_k$-scheme $Y_{f'(r,a,b)}$ parameterizing isomorphisms between their weak truncations mod $p^{f'(r,a,b)}$.

The construction of $Y_{f'(r,a,b)}$ is standard. As we work mod $p^{f'(r,a,b)}$, localizing and using pull back arguments we can assume X is affine and of finite type over $W(k)$. Even more, we can assume $X_{W_{f'(r,a,b)}(k)}$ is $W_{f'(r,a,b)}(R/pR)$, with R a smooth $W(k)$-algebra (this is as in 3.15.3 6)); so we can use arguments at the level of (suitable conjugacy classes) of matrices of $M_r(W_{f'(r,a,b)}(R/pR))$ to get the existence of $Y_{f'(r,a,b)}$. Here suitable refers to the fact that we need to conjugate with invertible matrices which have a shape similar to the shape of h of 3.13.7.8. BP2 implies: we can take $Y_k = Y_{f'(r,a,b)}$. This ends the proof.

E. Remark. We have a variant of BP3 in the relative context of 3.6.18.7.1 b): we just need to replace isomorphisms by inner isomorphisms. This is so as the part of the proof of D pertaining to matrices can be performed in the context of (suitable conjugacy classes) of matrices defined by suitable $W_{f'(r,\tilde{a},\tilde{b})}(k)$-valued points of a (fixed) smooth subgroup of a GL-group (over an open subscheme of $X_{W_{f'(r,\tilde{a},\tilde{b})}(k)}$).

F. The case of Shimura p-divisible groups. We consider a Shimura p-divisible group $(D_X, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ over $X^\wedge$, with X a regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-scheme having a connected special fibre. Let $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be the rank of D_X. Let $\mathbb{E}(D_X)$ be the object of $p-\mathcal{M}_{[0,1]}(X)$ obtained by forgetting the filtration of $\mathbb{D}(D_X)$. As X_k is connected, we speak (as in 2.2.9 6)) about $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-isomorphism classes of pull backs of $(*(D_X), (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$, with $* \in \{\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{E}\}$, via geometric points of X_k (cf. also 3.6.18.7.3 A and 3.15.6 B). So we have the following particular combination of D and E:

BP4. *There is a k-groupoid Y_k on X_k such that the $X_k \times_k X_k$-scheme Y_k is affine and of finite presentation and the pull backs of $(\mathbb{E}(D_X), (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ through two geometric points y_1 and y_2 of X_k with values in the same algebraically closed field k_1 are isomorphic iff the point of $X_k \times_k X_k$ defined by the pair (y_1, y_2) lifts to a k_1-valued point of Y.*

This is entirely the same as the proof of D: we just need to remark that we can define Y_k using as well schemes of isomorphisms of truncations mod $p^{f'(r,0,1)}$ of the pull backs of D_{X_k} to $X_k \times_k X_k$ via the natural two projections of $X_k \times_k X_k$ on X_k.

G. Some invariants. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(M, (F^i(M))_{i\in S(a,b)}, \varphi, G)$ be a filtered $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}$-$\mathcal{S}$-crystal over $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$. So (M, φ) is an object of $p-\mathcal{M}_{[a,b]}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q}))$. We assume the existence of a family of tensors $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ in $F^0(\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}]) \otimes_{B(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})} B(\mathbb{F}))$ such that $\varphi \otimes 1(t_\alpha) = t_\alpha$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, and $G_{B(\mathbb{F})}$ is the subgroup of $GL(M \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})} B(\mathbb{F}))$ fixing t_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. See 2.2.22 2) for the meaning of $Cl(M, \varphi, G)$. The number of isomorphism classes of Fontaine truncations mod p^n of $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}$-$\mathcal{S}$-crystals over $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$ of the form $(M, g\varphi, G)$, with $g \in W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})$, is at most $|G(W_n(\mathbb{F}_{p^q}))|$ and so it is finite (here $n \in \mathbb{N}$). We have:

BP5. *We assume $k = \bar{k}$. The subset*

$$Cl_q(M \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})} W(k), \varphi \otimes 1, G_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$$

of $Cl(M \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})} W(k), \varphi \otimes 1, G_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ formed by inner isomorphism classes defined by σ_k-$\mathcal{S}$-crystals with an emphasized family of tensors which are definable over $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$ is finite and its number of elements does not depend on k.

Proof: Let $g \in G(W(k))$. As in 2.2.9 8) we construct a triple $(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ starting from $(M \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})} W(k), g(\varphi \otimes 1), G_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$. As $G_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is connected, from Lang's theorem we get that this triple does not depend on g; moreover, its extension to

$W(\mathbb{F})$ is $1_{\mathcal{J}}$-isomorphic to $(M\otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})} W(k), G_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$. So BP5 follows from BP2 and the finiteness of the set $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(W_{f'(d_M,a,b)}(\mathbb{F}_{p^q}))$ (the "indepence of k is obvious; see also b) of 2.2.4 B).

From BP5, the First Main Corollary of 1.6.4 follows. We refer to the numbers $D(r,d,q)$ of 1.6.4 as the classical Dieudonné numbers. Similarly, we refer to $Cl_q(M\otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})} W(k), \varphi\otimes 1, G_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ as the level-q Dieudonné set of $Cl(M\otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})} W(k), \varphi\otimes 1, G_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$. Its number of elements is referred as the level-q Dieudonné number of $Cl(M\otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})} W(k), \varphi\otimes 1, G_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ and is denoted by

$$D_q(Cl(M\otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})} W(k), \varphi\otimes 1, G_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})).$$

As a very gross (though morally useful) estimate we have
$(GREST)$

$$D_q(Cl(M\otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})} W(k), \varphi\otimes 1, G_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})) \le p^{q\dim_{W(k)}(G)(f'(d_M,a,b)-1)}\left|G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})\right|;$$

the right hand side of (GREST) is $\left|G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(W_{f'(d_M,a,b)}(\mathbb{F}_{p^q}))\right|$. In particular, in the context of p-divisible groups we get

$$(GRESTP-DIV) \qquad D(r,d,q) \le p^{qr^2(f'(r,1,0)-1)}\left|GL_r(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})\right| \le p^{qr^2 f'(r,1,0)}.$$

H. ***isom*-constant deformations.** The deformation (resp. the Shimura p-divisible group) of BP3 (resp. of BP4) is called *isom*-constant, if the k-morphism $Y_k \to X_k \times X_k$ is surjective.

Problem. Given a Shimura p-divisible group over $W(k)$, construct explicitly (following the pattern of 3.6.11) global deformations of it which are *isom*-constant and uni plus quasi-versal.

Example. We consider a cyclic diagonalizable Shimura filtered σ-crystal $(M, F^1, \tilde{\varphi}_1, G)$. We use the notations of 3.13.7.6.3.1 but we do not assume $(M, \tilde{\varphi}_1, G)$ is quasi-final. So $k=\bar{k}$. We assume there is a cycle $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_s)$ of π such that $u_s=-1$ is a negative special entry of $(u_1, ..., u_s)$. Let U_i be the $\mathbb{G}_a$ subgroup of G having $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$ as its Lie algebra, $i\in S(1,s)$. Let NU be the integral, closed subgroup of G generated by G_i's; it is included in the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup of G having $W^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G), \tilde{\varphi}_1)$ as its Lie algebra. We write $U_s=\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[t])$ and we work with the Frobenius lift of $W(k)[[t]]$ which maps t into t^p. We consider the p-divisible object

$$M_{U_s} := (M\otimes_{W(k)} W(k)[t], F^1\otimes_{W(k)} W(k)[t], u(\tilde{\varphi}_1\otimes 1), (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$$

of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(U_s)$, with u as the universal element of $G(U_s)=G(W(k)[t])$. We apply 3.6.18.6 to it. We deduce the existence of an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale morphism $\tilde{U}\to U_s$ such that:

1) the special fibre of $\tilde{U}$ is connected, has an image in U_{sk} which is open and has a unique k-valued point mapping into the origin of U_{sk};

2) the pull back $M_{\tilde{U}}$ of M_{U_s} to $\tilde{U}^\wedge$ is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(\tilde{U}^\wedge)$.

As $u_s = -1$, we can assume the Kodaira–Spencer map naturally associated to $M_{\tilde{U}}$ is injective in each k-valued point of $\tilde{U}_k$. The pull back of $M_{\tilde{U}}$ through such a point, when viewed without filtration, is a Shimura σ_k-crystal of the form (cf. the existence of Teichmüller lifts) $(M, h_1\tilde{\varphi}_1, G)$, with $h_1 \in U_s(W(k))$. It is easy to see that it is isomorphic to $(M, \tilde{\varphi}_1, G)$, under an isomorphism defined by an element of $NU(W(k))$: as u_s is a negative special entry we can apply entirely the stairs method of the proof of 3.6.15 B. More precisely, the case $s = 1$ is trivial and if $s \geq 2$ then by induction on $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we replace $h_j\tilde{\varphi}_1$ by its (inner) conjugate $h_{j+1}\tilde{\varphi}_1$ under an element (it is uniquely determined) of $U_{s-j}(W(k))$, where h_{j+1} is a $W(k)$-valued point of U_{s-j}; here the indices of U_i's are taken mod s. We get: $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, h_{1+sm} is congruent mod p^m to 1_M. So (cf. BP4):

Corollary. *$(M_{\tilde{U}}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ is an isom-constant deformation of $(M, \tilde{\varphi}_1, G)$ whose Kodaira–Spencer maps in k-valued points (resp. in the k-valued point of 1) are injective (resp. can be identified naturally with* $\mathrm{Lie}(U_s)/p\mathrm{Lie}(U_s)$*).*

Remarks. 1) This example is the generalization of the well known classical case when $s = 1$ and $u_s = -1$. The simplest case of general nature covered by this example is: the case when all u_i's are non-positive and $u_s = -1$.

2) The condition that $(M, \tilde{\varphi}_1, G)$ is cyclic diagonalizable can be significantly weaken (we just need to get a "circular property" on the Lie algebras of $\mathbb{G}_a$ subgroups U_i's of G as above); in particular, this always applies if the Lie stable p-rank of the Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystal attached to $(M, \tilde{\varphi}_1, G)$ is positive (cf. 1) and 3.4.5.1 B).

I. Minimal degrees of definition. We take k arbitrary. By a particular object (with tensors) of $p-\mathcal{M}(W(k))$ we mean a non-zero object $\mathfrak{C}$ (with tensors) of $p-\mathcal{M}(W(k))$ whose extension $\mathfrak{C}_{\bar{k}}$ to $\bar{k}$ is definable over a finite field. For instance:

– any cyclic diagonalizable Shimura σ-crystal is particular (cf. 2.2.16.4 a));

– if $k \subset \mathbb{F}$ then all objects (with tensors) of $p-\mathcal{M}(W(k))$ are particular (this follows from the proof of BP5).

By the minimal degree of definition of a particular object $\mathfrak{C}$ (with tensors) of $p-\mathcal{M}(W(k))$ we mean the smallest $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathfrak{C}_{\bar{k}}$ is definable over $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$. Lemma of 3.11.8 implies that the minimal degree of definition of any Shimura-ordinary σ-crystal is the same as its degree of definition. Similarly, by adapting the proof of the mentioned Lemma in the context of 2.2.16.5 we get: the minimal degree of definition of any Shimura-ordinary adjoint Lie σ-crystal is the same as its A-degree of definition.

J. Remarks. 1) In the terminology to be introduced in [Va11], BP4 can be restated as: there is a reduced almost stack of p-divisible groups of fixed rank over $\mathbb{F}_p$-schemes. It is easy to see that it is of finite type (for instance, cf. BP1 or cf. Theorem 13 of 14). Here by "reduced almost" we want to emphasize that we are dealing only with geometric points (as BP4 is stated just in terms of such points).

2) Simple examples (like 3.9.7.3) point out that in general, with $k = \bar{k}$, we have

$$\lim_{q\to\infty} D_q(Cl(M \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})} W(k), \varphi \otimes 1, G_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})) = \infty.$$

K. For future references we state explicitly the following combined versions of BP0 and of the first two inequalities of (INEQ) of rm. 0) of B. We assume $k = \bar{k}$.

Corollary. a) *The Dieudonné–Fontaine torsion of any cyclic diagonalizable Shimura Lie σ-crystal is at most the maximum of the deformation dimension of its cyclic factors.*

b) *The isom-deviation of any object of $p - \mathcal{M}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$ which has a lift which is cyclic diagonalizable and whose underlying $W(k)$-module has rank r is at most*

$$1 + (b - a)(2r^2 + 1).$$

Examples. We refer to 3.13.7.6.3.1. Then under the assumption of its Fact we have $DFT(\mathrm{Lie}(G), \tilde{\varphi}_1) = 1$ (cf. ineq. two of (INEQ) of rm. 0) of B). So (cf. BP0) the *isom*-deviation of $(M, \tilde{\varphi}_1, G)$ is at most 4. For instance, this applies if $(M, \tilde{\varphi}_1)$ is a direct sum of a finite number of copies of the cyclic diagonalizable σ-crystal attached to the type $(1, 0, 0, ..., 0)$ (or to its dual); however, it is easy to see that in this case the *isom*-deviation of $(M, \tilde{\varphi}_1, G)$ is in fact 1.

If moreover $\mathrm{Lie} - \mathrm{mod}(G)$ is a Lie-algebra, then we can replace 4 by 3. This is so as the Case 2 of 3.13.7.6.3 can be entirely adapted to the context of $\mathrm{Lie} - \mathrm{mod}(G)$. Warning: in many cases b) can be significantly improved (to be compared with a)).

3.15.8. New proof of the specialization theorem. The estimates of 3.15.7 are different (though close in nature) to the ones of [Ka2, 1.4-5]. No doubt, the estimates of loc. cit. are much sharper. However, the estimates of 3.15.7 give some extra information (insight) and in general they can be considerably refined. These refinements will not be presented here: to us, it seems far more useful to present a proof of the specialization theorem which uses just very simple (i.e. the most basic) estimates. We recall (see [Gr]; see also [Dem, p. 91] and [Ka2]) that Grothendieck proved that under specialization the Newton polygons of F-crystals "go up", while Katz added (see [Ka2, 2.3.1-2]) that in fact for any F-crystal $\mathfrak{C}$ over an integral $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme S there is an open, dense subscheme U of S such that the Newton polygons of pull backs of $\mathfrak{C}$ through geometric points of U are all the same. Below we concentrate on reproving the existence of U.

We start by presenting a homomorphism form of 3.6.15 B. We assume $k = \bar{k}$. Let (M_1, φ_1) and (M_2, φ_2) be two σ-crystals over k. Let $d_i := DT(\mathrm{End}(M_i), \varphi_i)$ and let s_i be the s-number of $(\mathrm{End}(M_i), \varphi_i)$, $i = \overline{1,2}$. Let s be the s-number of $(\mathrm{End}(M_1 \oplus M_2), \varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2)$. Let $d := DT(\mathrm{End}(M_1 \oplus M_2), \varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2)$.

Let $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. From the proof of 3.6.15 B we get: that the images of the following two reduction group homomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Aut}(M_1/p^{2d_1+1+s_1+q}M_1, \varphi_1) \to \mathrm{Aut}(M_1/p^{d_1+1+s_1+q}M_1, \varphi_1)$$

and

$$\mathrm{Aut}(M_1,\varphi_1)\to \mathrm{Aut}(M_1/p^{d_1+1+s_1+q}M_1,\varphi_1)$$

are the same. Passing from automorphisms to homomorphisms in the standard way we get 1) of the following Corollary.

Corollary (the homomorphism property). 1) *Let $\tilde{s}:=s+q+d+1$. The following $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear reduction maps*

$$\mathrm{Hom}((M_1/p^{d+\tilde{s}}M_1,\varphi_1),(M_2/p^{d+\tilde{s}}M_2,\varphi_2))\to \mathrm{Hom}((M_1/p^{\tilde{s}}M_1,\varphi_1),(M_2/p^{\tilde{s}}M_2,\varphi_2))$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}((M_1,\varphi_1),(M_2,\varphi_2))\to \mathrm{Hom}((M_1/p^{\tilde{s}}M_1,\varphi_1),(M_2/p^{\tilde{s}}M_2,\varphi_2))$$

have the same image.

2) *There are numbers $n,\ e\in\mathbb{N}$ which depend only on the rank r_i of M_i and of the maximal Hodge number h_i of (M_i,φ_i), $i=\overline{1,2}$, such that for $\tilde{n}:=n+q$, the images of the two natural restriction maps*

$$\mathrm{Hom}((M_1,g_1\varphi_1),(M_2,g_2\varphi_2))\to \mathrm{Hom}((M_1/p^{\tilde{n}}M_1,g_1\varphi_1),(M_2/p^{\tilde{n}}M_2,g_2\varphi_2))$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}((M_1/p^{\tilde{n}+e}M_1,g_1\varphi_1),(M_2/p^{\tilde{n}+e}M_2,g_2\varphi_2))\to \mathrm{Hom}((M_1/p^{\tilde{n}}M_1,g_1\varphi_1),(M_2/p^{\tilde{n}}M_2,g_2\varphi_2))$$

are the same, $\forall g_i\in GL(M_i)(W(k))$, $i=\overline{1,2}$.

3) *Let D_1 and D_1 be two p-divisible groups over k. There is $n\in\mathbb{N}$ which depends only on the rank r_i of D_i, $i=\overline{1,2}$, such that a homomorphism $D_1[p^{n+q}]\to D_2[p^{n+q}]$ lifts to a homomorphism $D_1\to D_2$ iff it lifts to a homomorphism $D_1[p^{n+q+d(r_1+r_2)}]\to D_2[p^{n+q+d(r_1+r_2)}]$.*

Proof: 2) and 3) are a consequence of 1) and of BP1 (see end of C for the meaning of $d(r_1+r_2)$).

We are now ready to proof the existence of U. Let K be the field of fractions of S and let $\mathfrak{C}_{\bar{K}}=(M,\varphi)$ be the pull back of $\mathfrak{C}$ to $\bar{K}$. We consider an isogeny

$$i:(M_1\otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_p)}W(\bar{K}),\varphi_1\otimes 1)\to (M,\varphi),$$

with (M_1,φ_1) a Dieudonné object of $p-\mathcal{M}(W(\mathbb{F}_p))$. Let h_1 be the h-number of (M_1,φ_1). We take $q\in\mathbb{N}$ such that we have $p^qM\subset i(M_1)$. Localizing, we can assume that the h-numbers of pull backs of $\mathfrak{C}$ through geometric points of S are all bounded above by the same number $h_{\mathfrak{C}}$. Let $m:=\dim_{W(\bar{K})}(M)$. Let

$$f:=1+\max\{h_1,h_{\mathfrak{C}}\}+2g(4m^2,\max\{h_1,h_{\mathfrak{C}}\},2\max\{h_1,h_{\mathfrak{C}}\})$$

(cf. the paragraph after BP2). The s-number (resp. the h-number) of the *End* of the direct sum of $(M_1 \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_p)} W(k_1), \varphi_1 \otimes 1)$ with the pull back of $\mathfrak{C}$ through any k_1-valued point of S is at most $\max\{h_1, h_{\mathfrak{C}}\}$ (resp. at most $2\max\{h_1, h_{\mathfrak{C}}\}$); here k_1 is an arbitrary algebraically closed field containing $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let $\tilde{q} \in \mathbb{N}$ be greater or equal to e obtained in 2) for $h_2 := h_{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $r_2 = r_1 = m$.

We consider the reduction $i(q+\tilde{q}+f)$ of i mod $q+\tilde{q}+f$; we view it as a morphism between (non-filtered) $W(\bar{K})$-modules endowed with connections and Frobenius endomorphisms subject to axioms similar to the ones of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla(W(\bar{K}))$. The connections are of course initially (i.e. over $W(\bar{K})$) trivial; though in what follows, they do not play any relevant role, we still feel appropriate to keep track of them. $i(q+\tilde{q}+f)$ is defined over a finite field extension K_1 of K (even if K does not have a finite p-basis). Replacing S by its normalization S_1 in K_1, as the continuous map underlying the morphism $S_1 \to S$ is proper, we can assume $K_1 = K$. Localizing, we deduce the existence of an affine, open, non-empty subscheme $U = \mathrm{Spec}(\bar{R})$ of S such that we have a morphism

$$i(q+\tilde{q}+f)_U : (M_1/p^{q+\tilde{q}+f}M_1, \varphi_1)_U \to \mathfrak{C}_U/p^{q+\tilde{q}+f}\mathfrak{C}_U,$$

with the lower index U meaning the pull back to U; we view it (cf. also [Be, 1.6.4 of p. 246 and 1.2.1 of p. 91]) as being a morphism between truncations mod $p^{q+\tilde{q}+f}$ of objects of $p-\mathcal{MOD}^\nabla(W(\bar{R}))$. This last category is defined similarly to $p-\mathcal{M}^\nabla(W(\bar{R}))$ of 2.2.1.7 4) but this time we do not assume:

– that the $\mathcal{O}_U$-sheaf $\Omega_{\bar{R}/k}$ is locally free of locally finite rank;

– or that we can "put" some adequate filtrations on the underlying sheaves of its objects (this conforms to 1) of 3.15.7 B).

We can assume the cokernel of $i(q+\tilde{q}+f)_U$ is annihilated by p^q. From 2) we deduce that for any geometric point $y_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(k_1) \to U$, the truncation mod p^{q+f} of $y_1^*(i(q+\tilde{q}+f)_U)$ lifts to a morphism

$$i_{y_1} : (M_1 \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_p)} W(k_1), \varphi_1 \otimes 1) \to y_1^*(\mathfrak{C})$$

of σ-crystals. As the cokernel of its reduction mod $p^{q+\tilde{q}+f}$ is annihilated by p^q, we get i_{y_1} is injective and so, by reasons of ranks, it is an isogeny. So the Newton polygon of $y_1^*(\mathfrak{C})$ does not depend on y_1. This ends the proof of the existence of U.

3.15.9. Three main specialization approaches. Here, in connection to the specialization theorem, we include three main specialization approaches; not to make this paper too long, we just list their principles without performing any explicit computations (see §9-10 for such computations). We assume $k = \bar{k}$; however, everything else except the application of 3.15.7 BP2 to be presented below holds for an arbitrary k. We use the notations of 3.13.7.1. So $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal with an emphasized family of tensors over k, μ is a cocharacter of G defining its filtration class, $\varphi_1 := g^{-1}\varphi$ where $g \in G(W(k))$ is such that (M, F^1, φ_1, G) is a Shimura-canonical lift, $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure of G defined naturally by (M, F^1, φ_1, G), while N^+, P^0 and P^-

are subgroups of G defined naturally by μ. σ acts on $W(k)$-valued points of G via this $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure. Let $n := 1 + f(d_M, 1, 0)$. Let

$$H_{W(k)} := N^+ \times_{W(k)} P^0 \times_{W(k)} N^-.$$

Its special fibre is H as defined in 3.13.7.1. Let $\mathbb{T}$ have the same significance as in 3.13.7.1. For $\tilde{g} \in G(W(k))$ we denote by $\tilde{g}(n)$ its reduction mod p^n. Let $g_1 \in G(W(k))$ be such that $g(1)$ factors through the Zariski closure of the orbit o_1 of $g_1(1)$ under $\mathbb{T}$ in G_k.

We consider the morphism

$$m(n) : H_{W_n(k)} \to G_{W_n(k)}$$

which at the level of $W(k)$-valued points takes a triple

$$(h_1, h_2, h_3) \in N^+(W_n(k)) \times P^0(W_n(k)) \times N^-(W_n(k))$$

into the $W_n(k)$-valued point of G defined by

$$h_1 h_2 h_3 g_1(n) \sigma(h_3^{-1}) \sigma(h_2^{-1}) \sigma(p h_1^{-1}) g^{-1}(n);$$

N^+ is a direct sum of copies of $\mathbb{G}_a$ and so it makes sense to multiply its elements by p; this defines $\sigma(ph_1^{-1})$. The image of $m(n)_k$ is $t_1 := o_1 g^{-1}(1)$. We consider a $W_n(k_1)$-valued point z_n^0 of $H_{W_n(k)}$, with k_1 a field (not necessarily perfect), such that:

a) the k_1-valued point of G defined by $m(n) \circ z_n^0$ mod p sits over the generic point s of t_1;

b) the k_1-valued point of H we get is a closed point s_h such that the resulting field extension $k_0 \hookrightarrow k_1$ at the level of residue fields of s and s_H is totally inseparable and of finite degree; let m be its degree.

For the totally inseparable part of b) we just have to recall that $\mathbb{T}$ is a group action (if the stabilizer subgroup of $g_1(1)$ under $\mathbb{T}$ is smooth, then we can take $m = 1$). Let z_n be the composite of $\sigma_{k_1}^{mn}$, viewed as an endomorphism of $\operatorname{Spec}(W(k_1))$, with z_n^0. $m(n) \circ z_n$ factors through $\operatorname{Spec}(W_n(k_0))$ (cf. b)); let $w_n : \operatorname{Spec}(W_n(k_0)) \to G_{W_n(k)}$ be this factorization. Let $\tilde{k} := \overline{k_0}$.

s specializes to the origin of G_k. So we consider an affine, open subscheme U of G through which the origin of G factors and such that we have an étale morphism from it into $\mathbb{A}_{W(k)}^{\dim_{W(k)}(G)}$. Identifying $W_n(U_k)$ with $U_{W_n(k)}$ (this is as in 3.15.3 6)), often it is possible to show the existence of a Frobenius lift Φ_U of $U^\wedge$ such that its reduction $\Phi_U(n)$ mod p^n is compatible with the factorization (still denoted by w_n) of w_n through U, i.e. we have

$$(COMP) \qquad w_n \circ \sigma_{k_0}(n) = \Phi_U(n) \circ w_n,$$

where $\sigma_{k_0}(n)$ is the Frobenius endomorphism of $\mathrm{Spec}(W_n(k_0))$. In what follows we assume such an Φ_U exists.

We apply a variant of 3.6.1.3 in the context of $(M, F^1, \varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ and of (U, Φ_U); the difference from 3.6.1.3, 3.6.10 or 3.6.18.6 is: Φ_U is not necessarily of essentially additive type in the origin of U_k. We deduce the existence of a Shimura p-divisible group $(\mathcal{D}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ over the p-adic completion of an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale scheme U_1 over U which is universal in some specific sense. We do not need this universality. What we need: U_{1k} is an AG k-scheme and so each $\tilde{k}$-valued point of U_k lifts to a $\tilde{k}$-valued of U_{1k}; here $\tilde{k}$ is an algebraically closed field containing k. We consider an arbitrary k-valued point y_1 of U_{1k} lifting the origin of U_k. We get:

1) The Shimura σ-crystal associated to $y_1^*(\mathcal{D}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ is inner isomorphic to the Shimura σ-crystal $(M, g_2\varphi, G, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$, with $g_2 \in G(W(k))$ congruent to the identity mod p.

2) The Shimura $\sigma_{\tilde{k}}$-crystal associated to the pull back of $(\mathcal{D}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$ through a $W(\tilde{k})$-valued point y_2 of U_1 such that its special fibre specializes to y_1 and the $W_n(\tilde{k})$-valued point of G it defines naturally factors through w_n, is isomorphic to $(M \otimes_{W(k)} W(\tilde{k}), g_3(g_1\varphi \otimes 1), G_{W(\tilde{k})}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}})$, with $g_3 \in G(W(\tilde{k}))$ congruent to the identity mod $n-1 = f(d_M, 1, 0)$.

2) is a consequence of (COMP) and of the construction of $m(n)$ (we recall that s specializes to the origin of U_k). Using 3.4.15 BP2' we get:

3) In 2) we can assume g_3 is 1_M.

Remarks. 1) This forms the going down form of the inductive specialization approach. At least if $m = 1$ there are variants mod p^n of this approach. As we do not stop to analyze when Φ_U indeed exists, there is no point to include these variants here.

2) There is as well a going up form of the inductive specialization approach; in essence it is nothing else but the combination of 3.6.1.3 with the touching property of 3.6.18.4.3 and with the possibility of concretely constructing (in many situations; see H) *isom*-constant deformations.

3) There is as well a parallel approach: this is entirely similar to the algebraization process of the proof of 3.6.18.4.1. So using either 3.6.18.4.3 or the Fact of 3.6.18.9 as well as the Chinese Reminder Theorem (as in the mentioned) proof, one tries to connect two Shimura σ-crystals through a global deformation which has some specific properties like (it is *isom*-constant, or has a constant Newton polygon, etc.).

3.15.10. The second (Newton polygon) form of the purity principle. 3.6.15 B was obtained independently of [dJO, §2-4]. However loc. cit. and the estimates of 3.15.7 share one common think: they both use Dieudonné's objects of $p-\mathcal{M}(W(k)$ (i.e. Dieudonné's classification); but we would like to point out that we think it is more appropriate to use in general (in connect to 3.15.7-10 and loc. cit.) Dieudonné–Fontaine's objects instead of Dieudonné's objects (of $p-\mathcal{M}(W(k))$. Moreover, the ideas of 3.6.15 B (in the form of the Corollary of 3.15.8) can be combined with [Ka2, 2.7.4] to get slightly better results (with much simpler proofs) than what [dJO, 4.1] gives. The goal of this section is to prove the following Theorem.

Theorem. *Let S be an integral $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be an F-crystal on S. We assume there is $b_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the h-number of all pull backs of $\mathfrak{C}$ through geometric points of S are all bounded above by b_0. Let U be the maximal open, dense subscheme of S such that the Newton polygons of pull backs of $\mathfrak{C}$ through geometric points of U are all the same (see 3.15.8). We also assume that, locally in the Zariski topology of S, the normalization U^n of U in the field of fractions of S is regular in codimension 1 and any dominant, normal, affine U^n-scheme which is finite above spectra of local rings of U^n which are DVR, is the normalization of U^n in a finite field extension of the field of fractions K of S. Then U is an affine S-scheme.*

Proof: Let r be the rank of $\mathfrak{C}$. We can assume $S = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is affine and (cf. Exercise of 3.6.8.1.4) normal. So $U = U^n$. To prove that U is affine, we are allowed (as the conditions on U allow us) to replace S by its normalization in any finite field extension of K. Let $\mathfrak{C}_0$ be a Dieudonné object of $p-\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ such that we have an isogeny $\mathfrak{C}_{0\bar{K}} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\bar{K}}$. Let b be the maximum of b_0 and of the maximal slope of $\mathfrak{C}_0$. Let

$$n := 1 + b + 2g(4r^2, b, 2b)$$

be as in the paragraph after 3.15.7 BP2. Its first role is: the Newton polygons of pull backs of $\mathfrak{C}$ through geometric points of S depend only on their truncations mod p^n (cf. BP0-1; here the role of b is the same as of $\max\{h_1, h_{\mathfrak{C}}\}$ in 3.15.8). In what follows we take $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq n$ (to be specified at the right time).

Warning: till the end of the proof, in order to fully accommodate the context of non-perfect $\mathbb{F}_p$-schemes, we use the terminology F-crystals in the sense of (truncations) of objects of $p-\mathcal{MOD}^{\nabla}(W(*))$, with $*$ standing for $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras or affine $\mathbb{F}_p$-schemes (this new terminology, is not an impediment from the point of view of Newton polygons as they can be computed via pull backs through geometric points); $p-\mathcal{MOD}^{\nabla}(W(*))$ is interpreted as in 3.15.8 (so its objects are locally free of locally finite ranks $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(*))}$-sheaves endowed with integrable, nilpotent mod p connections and with Frobenius endomorphisms which satisfy the logical axiom connecting the Frobenius endomorphisms with the connections). We use right lower indices by schemes for pull backs of F-crystals.

Localizing and using Witt rings, we can assume there is a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_p$-subalgebra R_0 of R such that $\mathfrak{C}/p^m\mathfrak{C}$ is obtained as the pull back to S of an F-crystal $\mathfrak{C}[m]_0$ on $S_0 := \mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$ in coherent sheaves annihilated by p^m. Warning: here we already use the convention of the previous paragraph.

Using [Ka2, 2.7.4] we deduce that for any DVR, local ring V of U, there is a perfect, faithfully flat V-algebra V_1 such that we have an isogeny $i_{V_1} : \mathfrak{C}_{0V_1} \to \mathfrak{C}_{V_1}$ of F-crystals. Let $n_V \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest number such that the inclusion $p^{n_V}\mathfrak{C}_{V_1} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{V_1}$ factors through i_{V_1}.

Claim 1. *There is $q \in \mathbb{N}$, depending only on $\mathfrak{C}_0$ and not on V, such that we can assume $n_V \leq q$.*

The proofs of [Ka2, 2.6.1-2 and 2.7.1] (they are forming the ingredient needed to get [Ka2, 2.7.4]) imply that there is $\tilde{q} \in \mathbb{N}$ which does not depend on V ($\tilde{q}$ depends only on the Newton polygon of $\mathfrak{C}_0$) such that we have an isogeny $\mathfrak{C}_{0V_1}^{V} \to \mathfrak{C}_{V_1}$ whose cokernel is annihilated by $p^{\tilde{q}}$, with $\mathfrak{C}_0^V$ an F-crystal on $\mathbb{F}_p$. But the h-number (resp. the s-number) of $\mathfrak{C}_0^V$ (resp. of $End(\mathfrak{C}_0^V)$) is at most $b + \tilde{q}$ (resp. at most $b + 2\tilde{q}$). So Claim 1 follows from 3.15.7 BP1.

We can assume q is greater than $2b$ and $2(c+1)$, where $c \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $\mathfrak{C}_0$ is an object of $p - \mathcal{M}_{[0,c]}(W(k))$. We take (for instance)

$$m := 7q + 2n + 1.$$

Let

$$i_{V_1}(m) : \mathfrak{C}_{0V_1}/p^m\mathfrak{C}_{0V_1} \to \mathfrak{C}_{V_1}/p^m\mathfrak{C}_{V_1}$$

be the truncation mod p^m of i_{V_1}. Using standard arguments of algebraic geometry we deduce the existence of a finite field extension K_V of K such that we can assume we have a similar morphism $i_{U_1(V)}(m)$, with $\mathrm{Spec}(U_1(V))$ as an open subscheme of the normalization of U in K_V through which $\mathrm{Spec}(V_1) \to U$ factors, whose cokernel is annihilated by p^q and which is the truncation mod p^m of a morphism $\mathfrak{C}_{0U_1(V)}/p^{m+2q}\mathfrak{C}_{0U_1(V)} \to \mathfrak{C}_{U_1(V)}/p^{m+2q}\mathfrak{C}_{U_1(m)}$. But the number of morphisms $\mathfrak{C}_{0\bar{K}}/p^m\mathfrak{C}_{0\bar{K}} \to \mathfrak{C}_{\bar{K}}/p^m\mathfrak{C}_{\bar{K}}$ which are the truncation mod p^m of morphisms $\mathfrak{C}_{0\bar{K}}/p^{m+n}\mathfrak{C}_{0\bar{K}} \to \mathfrak{C}_{\bar{K}}/p^{m+n}\mathfrak{C}_{\bar{K}}$ is finite (this follows from the fact that any such morphism lifts to a morphism $\mathfrak{C}_{0\bar{K}} \to \mathfrak{C}_{\bar{K}}$, cf. 3.15.8 1) and the definition of n). So we can assume K_V does not depend on V and so we can assume $K_V = K$.

We reached the following situation. There is a finite number of open subschemes U_i, $i \in I$, of U such that:

1) each point of U of codimension 1 belongs to some U_i;

2) for each $i \in I$, we have a morphism $i_{U_i}(m) : \mathfrak{C}_{0U_i}/p^m\mathfrak{C}_{0U_i} \to \mathfrak{C}_{U_i}/p^m\mathfrak{C}_{U_i}$, whose cokernel and kernel are annihilated by p^q.

What follows next is a method of "gluing" $i_{U_i}(m)$'s, $i \in I$ (which we hope to be useful in other situations). It is enough to "glue" these morphisms generically. So let

$$l_i(m) : \mathfrak{C}_{0\bar{K}}/p^m\mathfrak{C}_{0\bar{K}} \to \mathfrak{C}_{\bar{K}}/p^m\mathfrak{C}_{\bar{K}},$$

be the morphism naturally defined by $i_{U_i}(m)$, $i \in I$. From 1) of the Corollary of 3.15.8 we get that there is $l_i : \mathfrak{C}_{0\bar{K}} \to \mathfrak{C}_{\bar{K}}$ such that its reduction mod p^{m-n} lifts the truncation mod p^{m-n} of $l_i(M)$. l_i's are isogenies and in fact their cokernels are still annihilated by p^q. Let $l : \mathfrak{C}_{0\bar{K}} \to \mathfrak{C}_{\bar{K}}$ be an isogeny such that:

3) its image lies inside the intersection of the images of all l_i's ($i \in I$);

4) its cokernel is annihilated by p^{2q}.

For 4) we need to point out that we can take as l any $p^q l_i(m)$, with $i \in I$. Let s_i be the factorization of l through l_i. As in above part referring to K_V's, we can assume that the truncations mod p^{m-q} of l and of all s_i's are all definable over K and not only over $\bar{K}$. As s_i'a are definable over finite fields, we can assume as well that s_i extends (uniquely) to a morphism $s_{U_i} : \mathfrak{C}_{0U_i}/p^{m-q}\mathfrak{C}_{0U_i} \to \mathfrak{C}_{U_i}/p^{m-q}\mathfrak{C}_{U_i}$. So we can glue the composite of s_{U_i} with the truncation mod p^{m-q} of $i_{U_i}(m)$, $i \in I$, to get a morphism

$$i_{U_0}(m-q) : \mathfrak{C}_{0U_0}/p^{m-q}\mathfrak{C}_{0U_0} \to \mathfrak{C}_{U_0}/p^{m-q}\mathfrak{C}_{U_0},$$

with U_0 an open subscheme of U such that the codimension of $U \setminus U_0$ in U is at least 2, whose kernel and cokernel are annihilated by p^{2q}.

We consider the S-scheme S_1 parameterizing morphisms from $\mathfrak{C}_{0S}/p^{m-2q}\mathfrak{C}_{0S}$ to $\mathfrak{C}/p^{m-2q}\mathfrak{C}$. It is an affine S-scheme, locally of finite presentation. Let U' be the normalization of the Zariski closure of U_0 in S_1 defined naturally by $i_{U_0}(m-q)$ and let $i_{U'}(m-q)$ be the natural extension of $i_{U_0}(m-q)$ to it. From our hypotheses we get: U is naturally an open subscheme of U'. So the Theorem follows from the following Claim.

Claim 2. *U is an affine subscheme of U'.*

Proof: We can assume U' is an open subscheme of S (otherwise we replace S by U'). We can assume U' and $i_{U'}(m-q)$ are obtained by pull backs from an open subscheme U'_0 of S_0 and respectively from a morphism

$$i_{U'_0}(m-q) : \mathfrak{C}_{0U'_0}/p^{m-q}\mathfrak{C}_{0U'_0} \to \mathfrak{C}[m]_0/p^{m-q}\mathfrak{C}[m]_0.$$

We can assume we have a similar morphism

$$\tilde{i}_{U_0}(m-q) : \mathfrak{C}^t_{0U_0}/p^{m-q}\mathfrak{C}^t_{0U_0} \to \mathfrak{C}^t_{U_0}/p^{m-q}\mathfrak{C}^t_{U_0},$$

whose cokernel is annihilated by p^{2q} (by shrinking, we can assume we have the same U_0). Here the right upper index t means (taking) the dual. Strictly speaking we have to perform first Tate twists: we need to tensor all these duals by the pull back of $\mathbb{F}_p(q)$ to S; not to introduce extra notations we do not mention it. So, starting from it, we similarly define $\tilde{U}'$, $\tilde{U}'_0$. Moreover, we similarly get that $\tilde{U}'$ is affine and that U is naturally an open subscheme of $\tilde{U}'$. We can assume $\tilde{U}'$ is as well an open subscheme of S.

As S is separated, $U' \cap \tilde{U}'$ is an affine scheme. So it is enough to show that $U = U' \cap \tilde{U}'$. We assume this is not so. So there is a geometric point $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to U' \cap \tilde{U}' \setminus U$. We consider a morphism $m_y : \mathrm{Spec}(k[[T]]) \to U'_0 \cap \tilde{U}'_0$, whose special fibre factors through y and whose generic fibre factors through the generic point of $U'_0 \cap \tilde{U}'_0$. We consider the pull back morphism

$$l_y(m-2q) : \mathfrak{C}_{0k}/p^{m-2q}\mathfrak{C}_{0k} \to y^*(\mathfrak{C}/p^{m-2q}\mathfrak{C}).$$

We consider the composite co of $i_{U_0}(m-q)$ with the dual of $\tilde{i}_{U_0}(m-q)$. The cokernel of $y^*(co)$ is annihilated by $p^{2q+2q+q} = p^{5q}$: $q \geq 2(c+1) > c$ and as co is a morphism between constant F-crystals, this is checked (cf. b) of 2.2.4 B) by pulling back co via geometric, dominant points of U_0; an extra p^q is added here due to Tate twists. So the kernel of $y^*(co)$ is as well annihilated by p^{5q}. We conclude: the kernel and so also the cokernel of $l_y(m-2q)$ are annihilated by p^{5q}.

From 1) of the Corollary of 3.15.8 we deduce the existence of a morphism $l_y^\infty : \mathfrak{C}_{0k} \to y^*(\mathfrak{C})$ lifting the truncation mod p^{m-n-2q} of $l_y(m-2q)$. As the cokernel of $l_y(m-2q)$ is annihilated by p^{5q} and as $m-2q-n \geq 5q+1$, l_y^∞ is injective and so an isogeny. So y factors through U. Contradiction. This ends the proof of the Claim and so of the Theorem.

3.15.10.1. Remarks. 0) The assumption on the existence of $b_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the h-number of all pull backs of $\mathfrak{C}$ through geometric points of S are all bounded above by b_0 is automatically satisfied locally, as one can see by evaluating the r-th exterior power of $\mathfrak{C}$ at (the thickening of S defined naturally by) $W(S)$. So the Theorem holds without it; however, without assuming it, the estimates of its proof on n, m, etc., hold only locally.

1) The second assumption on U (of the Theorem) is satisfied, for instance, if U is a Krull scheme (i.e. if it has an open cover by affine, open subschemes which are spectra of Krull $\mathbb{Z}$-algebras); see [Sam, §3 and 4.5 of ch. 1]. In particular, the Theorem applies if S is (the normalization of) a locally noetherian, integral scheme NS in a finite field extension of the field of fractions of NS (cf. [Ma, rm. 2 at the end of ch. 7]). We have variants of the Theorem, by working (i.e. by putting conditions on U) in the faithfully flat topology (of S).

2) The two conditions on U are not needed at least if we are in a context in which liftings of truncations (in a context involving connections) can be performed. To exemplify what we mean by this, we check that this condition is not needed for the context of an object $\mathfrak{C}$ of $p-\mathcal{M}_{[0,1]}(S)$ (and so, in particular, for the context of a p-divisible group over S).

We refer to the above proof. For any point $\tilde{y}$ of S_0 of codimension 1, there is an open, affine subscheme $\tilde{U}(\tilde{y})$ of S_0 containing it and an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale morphism $\tilde{U}(\tilde{y};pro) \to \tilde{U}(\tilde{y})$ such that $\mathfrak{C}[m]_{0\tilde{U}(\tilde{y};pro)}$ is the truncation mod p^m of an object of $p-\mathcal{M}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(\tilde{U}(\tilde{y};pro))$ (cf. Fact of 2.2.1.1 6) and 3.6.18.6 and its $p=2$ version; see the proof of 3.15.1). The Newton polygon stratification of $\tilde{U}(\tilde{y};pro)$ defined by such an object is obtained from a stratification of $\tilde{U}(\tilde{y})$ by pull back (cf. 3.6.20 1) and the fact that $m \geq n$). Let U'_{00} be the open, dense subscheme of S_0 over which, using such lifts, we get the same Newton polygon as of $\mathfrak{C}_0$. We assume now $\tilde{y}$ is a point of U'_{00}. Denoting by $\tilde{V}$ the local ring of $\tilde{y}$, based on the mentioned lift, we can still construct morphisms $i_{\tilde{U}_1(\tilde{V})} : \mathfrak{C}_{0\tilde{U}_1(\tilde{V})}/p^m\mathfrak{C}_{0\tilde{U}_1(\tilde{V})} \to \mathfrak{C}_{\tilde{U}_1(\tilde{V})}/p^m\mathfrak{C}_{\tilde{U}_1(\tilde{V})}$, with $\tilde{U}_1(\tilde{V})$ as an open subscheme of the normalization of U'_{00} in a finite field extension of the field of fractions K_0 of R_0, whose kernels and cokernels are annihilated by p^q. Once we have them, we can proceed as in the above proof to get that U'_{00} is affine. So, using pull backs we get U is affine.

Similarly, using 3.15.6 D, we get that these two conditions on U are not needed if we are in a generalized Shimura context. Warning: for the context of p-divisible groups, we can use as well standard arguments of algebraic geometry and [Il, 4.8] to reduce the situation to the context of a local, complete, noetherian S; but this is not possible for the generalized Shimura context.

3) The proof of 3.15.10 is one of the main extra ingredients needed to get global variants of 3.6.15 B. See §9-10 for such variants.

§4 Applications of the basic results to integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type, to p-divisible groups, and to abelian varieties

4.1-8 and 4.11 form a sequence continuing 2.3. They represent a foundation of integral aspects of Shimura varieties of Hodge type in cases of good reduction. In 4.9-10 and 4.12-13 we extend this foundation from the Hodge type to the preabelian type. In 4.1-13, with very few exceptions, we assume $p \geq 3$. Some overviewing remarks are included in 4.14. In particular, 4.14.3 handles to a great extend the case $p = 2$. So in 4.1-14 we deal with many generalizations of classical theories (of Serre–Tate, Manin, Dwork, etc.).

§3 contains much more than what is needed for applications to integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type. In 4.1-9 and 4.11-14 we try to make as little use of §3 as possible. In particular, we avoid the use of 3.6, except of its independent Lemma 3.6.6; even the use of 3.6.6 is in fact entirely avoidable, cf. 3.4.14. By making as little use as possible of §3, inevitably parts of §3 are getting in §4 a second or third proof.

We start with a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$. We recall that conventions 2.3.7 and 2.3.9.2 apply. In all that follows k is a perfect field of characteristic p.

4.1. The Shimura-ordinary type. Let $L_p^* := L_{(p)}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p$. We also view $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ as a subgroup of $GL(L_p^*)$. Let T be a maximal torus of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ such that:

1) there is a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow T_{W(k(v))}$ whose extension to $\mathbb{C}$ under an $O_{(v)}$-monomorphism $W(k(v)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, is $G(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to the cocharacters $\mu_x^* : \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}$, $x \in X$;

2) there is a Borel subgroup B of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, whose Lie algebra is such that its elements take the F^1-filtration of $L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v))$ defined by μ into itself, i.e. we have $\mathrm{Lie}(B) \subset F^0\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k(v))})\big)$.

We recall that μ gives birth to a direct sum decomposition

$$L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v)) = F^1 \oplus F^0,$$

with $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m\big(W(k(v))\big)$ acting through μ on F^i as the multiplication with β^{-i}, $i = \overline{0,1}$.

For instance, we can take B to be an arbitrary Borel subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and T to be a maximal torus of B. So T (resp. $T_{W(k(v))}$) contains a maximal split $\mathbb{Z}_p$-torus (resp. $W(k(v))$-torus) of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ (resp. of $G_{W(k(v))}$) and $T_{B(k(v))}$ contains a maximal split torus of $G_{B(k(v))}$ (for instance, see [Ti2]). From the definition of the reflex field (for instance, see [Va2, 2.6]) we get (see [Mi3, 4.6-7]): $B(k(v))$ is the field of definition of the $G(\mathbb{C})$-conjugacy class of cocharacters μ_x^*, $x \in X$, of the extension of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ to $\mathbb{C}$ under the composite of the inclusion $\mathbb{Q}_p \hookrightarrow B(k(v))$ with an $O_{(v)}$-monomorphism $B(k(v)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. So from loc. cit. and the fact that any two maximal split tori of $G_{B(k(v))}$ are $G(B(k(v)))$-conjugate (see [Bo2, 20.9 (ii)]) we get that we can choose a cocharacter μ as in 1); as any two Borel subgroups of $G_{W(k(v))}$ containing a fixed maximal split torus of $G_{W(k(v))}$ are conjugate under an element of $G_{W(k(v))}(W(k(v))$ normalizing this torus (based on [Bo2, 20.9 (i) and (ii)] this can be proved following the pattern of the proof of Fact 1 of 2.2.9 3)), we can assume that 2) holds as well.

In what follows, T and B are fixed subject to 1) and 2): we do not assume a priori that they are chosen as described in the previous paragraph. So B not necessarily contains T; however, it must contain the smallest subtorus T_μ of T with the property that the cocharacter μ of $T_{W(k(v))}$ factors through $T_{\mu W(k(v))}$. So T can be any maximal torus of the centralizer of T_μ in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$; in particular, it is not necessarily unique up to $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-conjugation. However, as any two maximal tori of B are $B(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-conjugate and as any two Borel subgroups of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ are $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-conjugate (these statements can be checked by just following the pattern of the proof of Fact 1 of 2.2.9 3), starting from [Bo2, 19.2 and 20.9 (i)] applied over $\mathbb{F}_p$), we get that T_μ and μ are uniquely determined up to $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-conjugation.

We refer to $(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, [\mu])$ as the Shimura group pair defined by (G, X, H, v). We similarly define the Shimura group pair defined by any Shimura quadruple (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1).

4.1.1. The definition. The Shimura-ordinary type τ associated to (or defined by) the SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ is the formal isogeny type associated to the σ-crystal over $k(v)$

$$\mathfrak{C}_{(f,v)} := (L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v)), \varphi)$$

defined by $\varphi := \sigma\mu\big(\frac{1}{p}\big)$. Here the Frobenius automorphism

$$\sigma := \sigma_{k(v)}$$

of $W(k(v))$ is as well identified with the σ-linear automorphism of $L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v))$ fixing L_p^*. As the notation suggests, $\mathfrak{C}_{(f,v)}$ does not depend on the choice of $L_{(p)}$ (producing a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$) or on the choices of T or B (subject to 1) and 2) of 4.1): it depends only on T_μ, on μ and on the representation of $T_{\mu\mathbb{Q}_p}$ on $L^*[\frac{1}{p}]$, i.e. (cf. 4.1) it depends only on f and v.

Let $r(f, v) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be the multiplicity of its slope 1. Let $FL(f, v)$, $IL(f, v)$, $SKL(f, v)$, $CL(f, v)$ and $SDL(f, v)$ be respectively the factor length, the isotype length, the skeleton length, the circular length and the slope denominator length of the extension of $\mathfrak{C}_{(f,v)}$ to $\mathbb{F}$ (cf. I of 2.2.22 3)).

In 4.1 1) we can replace $k(v)$ by any other finite field: such a field must contain $k(v)$ and in fact μ is automatically definable over $W(k(v))$, cf. [Mi3, 4.6-7]. Moreover, if in 4.1 2) we replace B by a parabolic subgroup PAR of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, then we regain the same Shimura-ordinary type: this is a consequence of the fact that PAR contains a Borel subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ (cf. Fact of 2.2.3 3) and [Bo2, 21.12] applied to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$).

4.1.1.1. Simple properties. Let $M := L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v))$ and let $\mathfrak{t} := \mathrm{Lie}\big(T_{W(k(v))}\big)$. Let

$$\bar{h}_1 : M \to M$$

be the endomorphism defined by: $\bar{h}_1(x) = 0$ if $x \in F^0$ and $\bar{h}_1(x) = x$ if $x \in F^1$. $\bar{h}_1$ is an element of $\mathfrak{t}$. Let $d(v) \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $|k(v)| = p^{d(v)}$; so $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_{p^{d(v)}}$ and $\mathbb{F} = \overline{k(v)}$. In what follows, as $d(v)$ is used very often, we denote it in a simpler way by d. For $i \in S(1, d-1)$, let $\bar{h}_{i+1} := \varphi^i(\bar{h}_1)$. We have:

Fact 1. *$\varphi^d(\bar{h}_1) = \bar{h}_1$ and $\bar{h}_{i+1} \neq \bar{h}_1$, $\forall i \in S(1, d-1)$.*

Proof: We have $\varphi^i(\bar{h}_1) = \sigma^i(\bar{h}_1)$. So, Fact 1 follows from [Mi3, 4.6-7]: $[\mu]$ can not be defined over $W(k_1)$, with k_1 a subfield of $k(v)$ different from $k(v)$.

Let

$$h^0 := \frac{1}{d}\sum_{i=1}^{d} \bar{h}_i \in \mathfrak{t}[\frac{1}{p}]$$

We have:

Fact 2. *$\varphi(h^0) = \sigma(h^0) = h^0$. Moreover, the slopes of the σ-crystal (M, φ) are the eigenvalues of h^0 (acting on $M[\frac{1}{p}]$) and the multiplicities are the same.*

Proof: The first part is obvious. The second part results from the fact that φ^d is a $B(k(v))$-valued of $T_{W(k(v))}$ fixed by the action of σ on $T_{W(k(v))}$ and the eigenspaces of its action on $M[\frac{1}{p}]$ are the same as the ones of dh^0, the eigenvalues (counted with multiplicities) being p to those powers which are eigenvalues (counted with multiplicities) of dh^0. This is a consequence of the fact that $\bar{h}_i \in \mathfrak{t}$, $\forall i \in S(1, d)$, and so of the fact that the endomorphisms $\bar{h}_i$'s are commuting among themselves.

More precisely, if $\tilde{x} \in M_i := \big\{x \in M | h^0(x) = \frac{i}{d}x\big\}$, with $i \in S(0, d)$, and if $\tilde{x} \notin pM$, then $\varphi^d(\tilde{x}) = p^i\tilde{y}$, with $\tilde{y} \in M_i \backslash pM$. Moreover, we have $M = \bigoplus_{0 \le i \le d} M_i$. To check these last two statements, we first remark that each element of $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ is fixed by φ; adding these elements to the family $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}$, we get that $(M, F^1, \varphi, T_{W(k(v))})$ is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal. From Corollary of 2.2.9 3), we get that μ is the canonical split of (M, F^1, φ). So the statements follow from 2.2.1.1 4) and the first paragraph of G of 2.2.22 3).

4.1.1.2. The Lie counterpart. Let $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\tau)$ be the formal isogeny type associated to the Shimura Lie σ-crystal

$$\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k(v))}), \varphi\big)$$

attached to the Shimura σ-crystal $(M, \varphi, G_{W(k(v))}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'})$. As above, the slopes of it are the eigenvalues of h^0 (acting on $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k(v))})$ via the rule: x is mapped into $[h^0, x]$) and the multiplicities are the same. We call it the Shimura-ordinary Lie type associated to (or defined by) the SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$. Let $r(v^{\mathrm{ad}}) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be the multiplicity of its slope -1. Let $SS(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ be the set of its slopes; it is a subset of $[-1, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$, symmetric w.r.t. 0 (cf. 2.2.3 1)); moreover, always $0 \in SS(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$.

4.1.1.3. Remark. Similarly to 4.1.1, $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\tau)$ depends only on the adjoint Shimura pair $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$, on the rank of G^{ab} and on v^{ad}. So, as the notations suggest, $r(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and $SS(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ depend only on $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and on v^{ad}.

4.1.1.4. Some decompositions. For any $i \in S(1,d)$ and $j \in \{0,1\}$ we denote by ${}^iF^j$ the free $W(k(v))$-submodule of M on which $\bar{h}_i$ acts trivially if $j=0$ and identically if $j=1$. For any function $\bar{f} : S(1,d) \to \{0,1\}$ we denote by

$$F_{\bar{f}} := \bigcap_{i\in S(1,d)} {}^iF^{\bar{f}(i)}.$$

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the set of functions $\bar{f}$ as above for which $F_{\bar{f}} \neq 0$. We get a direct sum decomposition

$$M = \oplus_{\bar{f}\in\mathcal{L}} F_{\bar{f}}$$

due to the fact that $\bar{h}_i$'s are commuting among themselves. Let

$$\bar{\varphi} : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$$

be the bijection defined by the rule: $\bar{\varphi}(\bar{f})(i) = \bar{f}(i-1)$; here $\bar{f}(0) := \bar{f}(d)$. From the very definition of $F_{\bar{f}}$'s we get:

a) $\varphi(F_{\bar{f}}) = F_{\bar{\varphi}(\bar{f})}$ if $\bar{f}(1) = 0$;

b) $\varphi(F_{\bar{f}}) = pF_{\bar{\varphi}(\bar{f})}$ if $\bar{f}(1) = 1$.

Let $\bar{\varphi} = \prod_{l\in I_{\bar{\varphi}}} \bar{\varphi}_l$ be written as a product of disjoint cyclic permutations. We allow trivial cyclic permutations. So we have a disjoint union

$$I_{\bar{\varphi}} = I^1_{\bar{\varphi}} \cup I^0_{\bar{\varphi}};$$

$l \in I_{\bar{\varphi}}$ belongs to $I^1_{\bar{\varphi}}$ iff $\bar{\varphi}_l$ is a non-trivial permutation (and then any $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\bar{\varphi}_l(\bar{f}) \neq \bar{f}$ is said to be associated to $\bar{\varphi}_l$). So $I^0_{\bar{\varphi}} := \{\bar{f} \in \mathcal{L} | \bar{\varphi}(\bar{f}) = \bar{f}\}$; any $\bar{f} \in I^0_{\bar{\varphi}}$ is said to be associated to $\bar{\varphi}_{\bar{f}}$. $I^0_{\bar{\varphi}}$ has either 0 or 2 elements depending on the fact that $r(f,v)$ is 0 or not. As $\bar{\varphi}^d = 1_{\mathcal{L}}$, we get that the order d_l of the cyclic permutation $\bar{\varphi}_l$ divides d. For any $l \in I_{\bar{\varphi}}$, we choose arbitrarily an element $\bar{f}_l$ of $\mathcal{L}$ which is associated to $\bar{\varphi}_l$. We have

$$\varphi^{d_l}(F_{\bar{f}_l}) = p^{\frac{d_l}{d}\ell(\bar{f}_l)} F_{\bar{f}_l},$$

with

$$\ell(\bar{f}_l) := \sum_{i=1}^{d} \bar{f}_l(i),$$

and φ^{d_l} acts on $F_{\bar{f}_l}$ as $p^{\frac{d_l}{d}\ell(\bar{f}_l)}\sigma^{d_l}$. Let

$$ {}_pF_{\bar{f}_l} := \{x \in F_{\bar{f}_l} | \sigma^{d_l}(x) = x\}.$$

It is a well known fact that ${}_pF_{\bar{f}_l}$ is a $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_l}})$-free submodule of $F_{\bar{f}_l}$ such that $F_{\bar{f}_l} = {}_pF_{\bar{f}_l} \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_l}})} W(k(v))$ (for instance, this follows easily from [Bo2, Prop. of p. 30] applied over $B(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_l}})$ to $F_{\bar{f}_l}[\frac{1}{p}]$).

4.1.2. Proposition. *The filtered σ-crystal (M, F^1, φ) is cyclic diagonalizable.*

Proof: We choose arbitrarily a $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_l}})$-basis $\{\ell_s | s \in \mathcal{J}_l\}$ of ${}_pF_{\bar{f}_l}, \forall l \in I_{\bar{\varphi}}$. We view it as a $W(k(v))$-basis of $F_{\bar{f}_l}$. For any cyclic permutation $\bar{\varphi}_l$ of length ≥ 2 (i.e. for when we deal with an $l \in I^1_{\bar{\varphi}}$) and for every element $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{L}$ associated to $\bar{\varphi}_l$ but different from $\bar{f}_l$, let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest number such that $\bar{f} = \bar{\varphi}^j(\bar{f}_l)$. We get a $W(k(v))$-basis of $F_{\bar{f}}$ by taking $\frac{1}{p^{e_{jl}}}$ of the images of $\varphi^j(\ell_s)$, $s \in \mathcal{J}_l$, with

$$e_{jl} := \sum_{i=1}^{j} \bar{f}_l(i).$$

This expression of e_{jl}'s is a consequence of the following iterating formula:

$$(IT) \qquad \varphi^j = \big(\prod_{m=1}^{j} \sigma^m(\mu(\frac{1}{p}))\big)\sigma^j,$$

where $\sigma^m(\mu) := \sigma^m \circ \mu(\frac{1}{p}) \circ \sigma^{-m}$, $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

With respect to the $W(k(v))$-basis of M obtained by putting together the chosen $W(k(v))$-bases of $F_{\bar{f}}$, $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{L}$, (M, F^1, φ) gets the desired cyclic diagonalizable form. This ends the proof of the Proposition.

4.1.2.1. Remark. By very definition (see 2.2.22 1)) the Shimura filtered σ-crystal $(M, F^1, \varphi, T_{W(k(v))})$ is strongly cyclic diagonalizable.

4.1.2.2. Remark. For what follows we refer to D and I of 2.2.22 3). All classification and standard invariants of (the extension to $\mathbb{F}$) of $\mathfrak{C}_{(f,v)}$ are encoded in the permutation $\bar{\varphi}$ of $\mathcal{L}$. For instance, the cyclic length is the maximum of the d_l's numbers, while the skeleton length is equal to $|I_{\bar{\varphi}}|$. In particular, the associated formal sum to this extension is of the form

$$\sum_{q \in \mathbb{N};\, q|d} \ \sum_{\tau_q \in \mathcal{T}_q} m_{f,v}(\tau_q)\tau_q.$$

4.1.3. Example. $\mathcal{L}$ has 2 elements iff τ is an ordinary type or a supersingular type, i.e. iff $d = 1$ or if $d = 2$ and (M, φ) does not have integral slopes.

4.1.4. Proposition. *The Shimura filtered σ-crystal*

$$\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v)), \varphi, F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k(v))})), F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k(v))}))\big)$$

and its adjoint are cyclic diagonalizable and of Borel type.

Proof: The fact that the mentioned two Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystals are of Borel type results from the fact that $\varphi(\mathrm{Lie}(B_{W(k(v))})) \subset \mathrm{Lie}(B_{W(k(v))})$ (we recall that B is a Borel subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$). The proof of their cyclic diagonalizability is entirely analogous to the proof of 4.1.2 (we just have to deal with functions from $S(1,d)$ to $S(-1,1)$), and so it is omitted.

Above we wrote $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v))$ instead of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k(v))})$ just to emphasize how $\varphi = \sigma\mu\big(\frac{1}{p}\big)$ acts on it: σ fixes $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$ and acts as the Frobenius automorphism on $W(k(v))$, while $\mu\big(\frac{1}{p}\big)$ acts via inner conjugation.

4.1.4.1. Remark. We assume B contains T; let B^{opp} be the opposite of B w.r.t. T. As φ takes $\mathrm{Lie}(T_{W(k(v))})$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(B_{W(k(v))})$ into themselves, $p\varphi$ takes $\mathrm{Lie}(B^{\mathrm{opp}}_{W(k(v))})$ into itself.

4.1.5. ω's types. Let N be the normalizer of T in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Let

$$W_G := (N/T)\big(W(\overline{k(v)})\big)$$

be the Weyl group of $G_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ w.r.t. $T_{W(\mathbb{F})}$. It depends only on $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and not on v. For $\omega \in W_G$, let $g_\omega \in N(W(\mathbb{F}))$ be such that its image in W_G is ω. Let

$$\mathfrak{C}_\omega := (M \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbb{F}), F^1 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbb{F}), g_\omega(\sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1), G_{W(\mathbb{F})})$$

be the Shimura filtered $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal defined by g_ω. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_\omega$ (resp. by $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$) the Newton polygon of $\mathfrak{C}_\omega$ (resp. of the Shimura Lie σ-crystal attached to $\mathfrak{C}_\omega$). Let τ_ω be the formal isogeny type of $\mathfrak{C}_\omega$; we refer to it as the ω's type associated to (or defined by) $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$; if ω is the identity element of W_G, we regain the Shimura-ordinary type of 4.1.1. We have the following extension of 4.1.2 and 4.1.4.

4.1.5.1. Proposition. *$\mathfrak{C}_\omega$ is cyclic diagonalizable, its attached Shimura (adjoint) filtered Lie σ-crystal is cyclic diagonalizable; moreover it (and so also $\mathcal{P}_\omega$ and $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$) depends only on ω and not on the choice of g_ω.*

Proof: The proof of the cyclic diagonalizability of $\mathfrak{C}_\omega$ or of its attached Shimura filtered (adjoint) Lie σ-crystal is entirely analogous to the proof of 4.1.2, and so it is omitted. The cyclic diagonalizability results as well from 2.2.16, once we remark (cf. Fact of 2.2.9 1)) that $(M \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbb{F}), F^1 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbb{F}), g_\omega(\sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1), T_{W(\mathbb{F})})$ is a Shimura filtered σ-crystal; so the last part of the Proposition is a very particular case of 3.11.1 c). This ends the proof.

4.1.5.2. Remark. In 4.1.5-6, instead of $\mathbb{F}$, we can work as well with the smallest finite field extension $k(v_G^{\mathrm{sp}})$ of $k(v)$ over which $G_{k(v)}$ splits; warning: in general, in connection to 4.1.5.1 we have to replace accordingly the wording cyclic diagonalizable by "potentially cyclic diagonalizable".

4.1.5.3. Degrees of definitions. Let d_ω be the degree of definition of $\mathfrak{C}_\omega$. The set $SDD(f,v)$ of all d_ω's is precisely the set $SDD(M \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbb{F}), \sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1, G_{W(\mathbb{F})})$

defined in Problem 2 of 2.2.22 H. If $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a split group, then $SDD(f,v)$ is a subset of the set of orders of elements of W_G (cf. 4.1.5.2). From 3.11.3.1 we get that $d|d_\omega$.

4.1.5.4. Simple properties. Let $\sigma_\omega := g_\omega\bar{\sigma}$. It is a $\bar{\sigma}$-linear automorphism of $M\otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbb{F})$. We have $g_\omega(\sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p})\otimes 1) = \sigma_\omega\mu_{W(\mathbb{F})}(\frac{1}{p})$. The canonical split of $\mathfrak{C}_\omega$ is $\mu_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ itself (cf. the proof of 4.1.5.1 and the Corollary of 2.2.9 3)). The elements of $M\otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbb{F})$ fixed by σ_ω give birth to a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure of $(M\otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbb{F}), G_{W(\mathbb{F})}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'})$: from Lang's theorem we get it is precisely $(L_p^*, G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'})$. We deduce (cf. 2.2.9 8) applied to $(M\otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbb{F}), F^1\otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbb{F}), g_\omega(\sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p})\otimes 1), T_{W(\mathbb{F})}))$ that $(\mathfrak{C}_\omega, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'})$ is $1_{\mathcal{J}'}$-isomorphic to the extension to $\mathbb{F}$ of a strongly cyclic diagonalizable Shimura filtered F-crystal over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_\omega}}$

$$(M\otimes W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_\omega}}), F^1_\omega, \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_\omega}}}\mu_\omega(\frac{1}{p}), G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_\omega}})}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'})),$$

where μ_ω is a cocharacter of $G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_\omega}})}$ such that $[\mu_\omega] = [\mu_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_\omega}})}]$ and where F^1_ω is the maximal $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_\omega}})$-submodule of $M\otimes W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_\omega}})$ on which μ_ω acts as the inverse of the identity character of $\mathbb{G}_m$; its canonical split cocharacter is μ_ω. The main property of μ_ω is (cf. the cylic diagonalizability; see also Exercise of 2.2.22 1)):

Fact. *It commutes with* $\sigma^s_{\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_\omega}}}\mu_\omega\sigma^{-s}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_\omega}}}$, $\forall s\in\mathbb{N}$.

Let T_{μ_ω} be the smallest torus of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ through which μ_ω factors. Let T^ω be a maximal torus of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ containing it.

Exercise. Let ω_1, $\omega_2\in W_G$. Show that $(\mathfrak{C}_{\omega_1}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'})$ and $(\mathfrak{C}_{\omega_2}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'})$ are $1_{\mathcal{J}'}$-isomorphic, iff the extensions to $W(\mathbb{F})$ of μ_{ω_1} and μ_{ω_2} are $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-conjugate (and not only $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(W(\mathbb{F}))$-conjugate), i.e. iff the extensions to $\mathbb{F}$ of μ_{ω_1} and μ_{ω_2} are $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p)$-conjugate. Hint: an $1_{\mathcal{J}'}$-isomorphism between $(\mathfrak{C}_{\omega_1}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'})$ and $(\mathfrak{C}_{\omega_2}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'})$ must preserve their canonical lifts, i.e. it is given by an element of the centralizer of the image of $\mu_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ in $G_{W(\mathbb{F})}$.

4.1.5.5. The Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt relation. Let $R_G(v)$ be the equivalence relation on W_G defined by: ω_1, $\omega_2\in W_G$ are in relation $R_G(v)$, iff the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint maps of $\mathfrak{C}_{\omega_1}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{\omega_2}$ are isomorphic, under an isomorphism defined by an element of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{F})$.

4.1.6. The minimal model. We view the alternating form $\tilde{\psi}$ of 2.3.1 also as a perfect alternating form $\tilde{\psi}: M\otimes_{W(k(v))} M\to W(k(v))(1)$. So

$$\mathcal{MM} := (M, F^1, \varphi, G_{W(k(v))}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}, \tilde{\psi})$$

is a principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered σ-crystal. From 4.1.4, as in 3.4.3.0, we get (cf. also 3.11.6 B)) that its attached Shimura filtered Lie σ-crystal is of parabolic type. From 3.1.0 a) we get that it is a Shimura-canonical lift. The cocharacter μ is the canonical split of $(M, \varphi, G_{W(k(v))})$ (cf. the proof of Fact 2 of 4.1.1.1 and 3.1.5). From the very definitions of 3.11.2 B we get that $\mathcal{MM}$ is the minimal model of its extension to $\mathbb{F}$.

4.2. G-ordinary points.

4.2.1. Theorem. *There is a $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant, open, dense subscheme $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ such that for any point $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ we have:*

a) τ is the formal isogeny type of the Shimura σ_k-crystal attached to it (cf. 2.3.10) iff it factors through $\mathcal{U}$;

b) $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\tau)$ is the formal isogeny type of the Shimura Lie σ_k-crystal attached to it (cf. 2.3.10) iff it factors through $\mathcal{U}$;

c) the Shimura σ_k-crystal attached to it is Shimura-ordinary iff y factors through $\mathcal{U}$.

Proof: Let $V_0 := W(\mathbb{F})$. Let $\mathcal{N}_{V_0} := \mathcal{N} \times_{O(v)} V_0$ and let $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}$ be its special fibre. Let $y_0 : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}$ be a closed point and let $z_0 : \mathrm{Spec}(V_0) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$ be a lift of it. Let $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}^0$ be the connected component of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}$ containing y_0.

Let $(A_0, p_{A_0}) := z_0^*(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$. Let $\big(M_0, F_0^1, \varphi_0, G_{V_0}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}, p_{A_0}\big)$ be the principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to z_0. So (cf. 2.3.10) $M_0 := H_{dR}^1(A_0/V_0)$, F_0^1 is its Hodge filtration defined by A_0 and $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}$ is the family of de Rham components of the family $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}$ of Hodge cycles with which A_0 is (cf. 2.3.3) naturally endowed. From 2.3.13.1 we deduce that for any point $z_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(V_0) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$ lifting an $\mathbb{F}$-valued point y_1 of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}^0$, the Shimura filtered $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to it is of the form $\big(M_0, F_0^1, \varphi_1, G_{V_0}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}\big)$. We have $\varphi_1 = g_1\varphi_0$, with $g_1 \in G_{V_0}(V_0)$ (cf. the beginning of §3). From 2.3.16 we get:

(4.2.1.1) *For any $\mathbb{F}$-valued point $\bar{g}_1$ of a non-empty open subscheme of $G_{\mathbb{F}}$, there is $g_1 \in G_{V_0}(V_0)$ lifting it and there is $z_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}(V_0)$ lifting a $y_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}^0(\mathbb{F})$ for which we have $\varphi_1 = g_1\varphi_0$.*

Let $\mathcal{U}_0$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}_1$) be the open subscheme of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}^0$ of whose geometric points have the smallest Newton polygon of their attached Shimura F-crystals (resp. Shimura Lie F-crystals). $\mathcal{U}_0$ and $\mathcal{U}_1$ exist, cf. the specialization theorem.

As all $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals $(M_0, g_1\varphi_0)$, with $g_1 \in G_{V_0}(V_0)$, give birth to 1/2-symmetric isocrystals we get:

(4.2.2) *The fact that the $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal (M_0, φ_0) attached to the point $y_0 \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}^0(\mathbb{F})$ has the smallest Newton polygon among all $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals attached to $\mathbb{F}$-valued points of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}^0$, is equivalent to the fact that the Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal $\big(\mathrm{End}(M_0), \varphi_0\big)$ has the smallest Newton polygon among all Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals $\big(\mathrm{End}(M_0), \varphi_1\big)$ defined naturally by $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals attached to $\mathbb{F}$-valued points of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}^0$.*

So, as the Newton polygon of $\big(\mathrm{End}(M_0), \varphi_1\big)$ is obtained from the Newton polygon of $\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{V_0}), \varphi_1\big)$ and from the Newton polygon of $\big(\mathrm{End}(M_0)/\mathrm{Lie}(G_{V_0}), \varphi_1\big)$, $\mathcal{U}_0$ is an open subscheme of $\mathcal{U}_1$. $\big(\mathrm{End}(M_0)/\mathrm{Lie}(G_{V_0}), \varphi_1\big)$ is not a Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal; but the quadruple

$$\big(\mathrm{End}(M_0)/\mathrm{Lie}(G_{V_0}), F_0^0(\mathrm{End}(M_0))/F_0^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{V_0})), F_0^1(\mathrm{End}(M_0))/F_0^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{V_0})), \varphi_1\big)$$

is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(V_0)$.

4.2.3. The use of Fontaine's comparison theory. In what follows we refer to [Va2, §5]. Loc. cit. was worked out under the condition (*) of [Va2, 5.1]. Such a condition is not necessarily satisfied in the context of our SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ (cf. 2.3.8 1)). However, its role was just to reach to a reductive context as of 2.3.4 and 2.3.11 (see [Va2, 5.2.12]). So below we refer to parts of [Va2, §5] without any extra comment. Let $K_0 := V_0[\frac{1}{p}]$.

It is known that there is a K_0-isomorphism

$$H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{0\overline{K_0}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K_0 \tilde{\to} M_0 \otimes_{V_0} K_0$$

taking the p-component of the étale component u_α of w_α into t_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$, and taking $\tilde{\psi}$ into p_{A_0} (cf. [Va2, 5.1.4 and 5.2.17.2]). But $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{0\overline{K_0}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ and the family of tensors $(u_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}$ of $\mathcal{T}\big(H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{0\overline{K_0}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)\big)$ can be respectively identified (non-canonically) with $L^*_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ and the family of tensors $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}$ of $\mathcal{T}(L^*_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p)$ (cf. [Va2, top of p. 473]). So we get a K_0-isomorphism

$$\rho_0 : M \otimes_{W(k(v))} K_0 \tilde{\to} M_0 \otimes_{V_0} K_0$$

taking v_α into t_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$, and taking $\tilde{\psi}$ into p_{A_0}.

The isomorphism ρ_0 allows us to identify the extension from $B(k(v))$ to K_0 of the σ-linear automorphism φ of $M[\frac{1}{p}]$ defined in 4.1, with a $\bar{\sigma}$-linear automorphism of $M_0 \otimes_{V_0} K_0$. So we have $\varphi \otimes 1 = \tilde{g}\varphi_0$, with $\tilde{g} \in G^0(K_0)$. There is a cocharacter $\mu_0 : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_{V_0}$ which produces a direct sum decomposition $M_0 = F^1_0 \oplus F^0_0$, with $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(V_0)$ acting through μ on F^i_0 as the multiplication with β^{-i} (cf. 2.3.10); under extension via an $O_{(v)}$-monomorphism $V_0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, μ_0 becomes $G(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to the cocharacters μ^*_x, $x \in X$. As G_{K_0} is a split group, μ_0 and μ_{K_0} are in fact $G_{V_0}(K_0)$-conjugate. So we can assume

$$\rho_0(F^1 \otimes_{W(k(v))} K_0) = F^1_0 \otimes_{V_0} K_0.$$

4.2.3.1. The case of a torus. We first consider the case when G is a torus. $\mathcal{N}_{V_0}$ as a scheme is a disjoint union of copies of $\mathrm{Spec}(V_0)$, cf. [Va2, 3.2.8]. These copies are permuted transitively by $G(\mathbb{A}^p_f)$, cf. [Va2, 3.3.1-2]. So taking $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, we just have to show that the formal isogeny type of the $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to y_0 is τ. This is well known (for instance, this is implicitly contained in [Ko1, §2]). However, we recall one way to prove this, using the language of this paper. As $(M_0, F^1_0, \varphi_0, G_{V_0})$ is a cyclic diagonalizable Shimura filtered $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal (see 2.2.16), as in 4.1.1.1 we get that its formal isogeny type can be computed from the way φ^s_0's, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, act on μ_0 (as G is a torus, μ_0 is uniquely determined, cf. Corollary of 2.2.9 3)). But from the identifications of 4.2.3 we get: this way is the same as the way $\bar{\sigma}^s$'s, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, act on μ_{K_0}. This proves 4.2.1 in the case when G is a torus.

From now on we assume G is not a torus.

4.2.4. Some simplifications. We got 2 particular hyperspecial subgroups of $G(K_0) : G_{V_0}(V_0)$ (defined via the V_0-lattice M_0 of $M_0 \otimes_{V_0} K_0$) and $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}\big(M \otimes_{W(k(v))} V_0\big)$

(defined via the V_0-lattice $M \otimes_{W(k(v))} V_0$ of $M \otimes_{W(k(v))} K_0$). But any two hyperspecial subgroups of $G(K_0)$ are conjugate by an element $g_0 \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}(K_0)$ (cf. [Ti2, p. 47]). Changing the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to z_0 by the inner automorphism defined by g_0, it can be put in the form $\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0}), \varphi_0', F_0^0\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0})\big), F_0^1\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0})\big)\big)$, where $\varphi_0' = g(\varphi \otimes 1)$, with $g \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0}(K_0)$. Warning: here, in accordance with the original notation $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ (of 2.3.1), $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0}$ is w.r.t. the second hyperspecial subgroup; we had no reason to write $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V_0$ instead of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0})$.

As μ and μ_0 are $G_{V_0}(K_0)$-conjugate, changing everything by an isomorphism (defined by an element of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0}(V_0)$, cf. Iwasawa's decomposition of [Ti2, 3.3.2]), we can assume $F_0^0\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0})\big) = F^0\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0})\big)$ and $F_0^1\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0})\big) = F^1\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0})\big)$. As g normalizes $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0})$ and as $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0}(V_0)$ is that maximal bounded subgroup of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0}(K_0)$ (being a hyperspecial subgroup of it, see [Ti2, 3.2]) normalizing $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{V_0})$, $g \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0}(V_0)$. So $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{V_0}), g(\varphi \otimes 1))$ is as well the Shimura Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to y_0.

This last fact allows us, via the first sentence of 3.5.5, to appeal to the results of 3.1-3, 3.6.6 and 3.4.

4.2.5. The use of (4.2.1.1). (4.2.1.1) implies: there is a non-empty open subscheme U of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ with the property that for any element $\bar{g}_0 \in U(\mathbb{F})$, there is a V_0-valued point of $\mathcal{N}_{V_0}$ lifting an $\mathbb{F}$-valued point of $\mathcal{N}^0_{\mathbb{F}}$ and such that its attached Shimura adjoint filtered Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal is isomorphic to

$$\mathfrak{l}_{g_1} := \big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0}), g_1 g(\varphi \otimes 1), F^0\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0})\big), F^1\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0})\big)\big),$$

with g_1 mod p equal to $\bar{g}_0$.

4.2.6. The definition of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{F}} \cap \mathcal{N}^0_{\mathbb{F}}$. Now 4.2.1 for $\mathcal{N}^0_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a direct consequence of 4.1.1.4, 4.2.5, 3.2.5, 3.4.3.0, 3.4.11, 3.4.13, 3.5.5, 3.6.6, 3.7.2 and 4.2.7 below. We present the details. From §3 and 4.1 we need:

a) The fact (see 4.1.4) that the Shimura (adjoint) filtered Lie σ-crystal attached to $\big(M, F^1, \varphi, G_{W(k(v))}\big)$ is of Borel type.

b) 3.4.11 and 3.6.6 applied to the extension to $\mathbb{F}$ of $\big(M, F^1, \varphi, G_{W(k(v))}\big)$. We get (via 3.5.5 1) and 2)) that there is a Zariski dense set $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathbb{F}$-valued points of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0}$ such that $\mathfrak{l}_{g_1}$ is (see 3.11.6.1) Shimura-ordinary and has the same Newton polygon as $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0}), \varphi \otimes 1)$, $\forall g_1 \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0}(V_0)$ lifting an element of $\mathcal{L}$.

c) As the intersection $U(\mathbb{F}) \cap \mathcal{L}$ is non-empty, from b) and 4.2.5 we get that there are $\mathbb{F}$-valued points of $\mathcal{N}^0_{\mathbb{F}}$ whose attached Shimura Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals are Shimura-ordinary and have $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\tau)$ as their formal isogeny type; we recall that $\mathrm{Lie}\big(Z(G_{V_0})\big)$ contributes only with slopes 0 to the formal isogeny type of $\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{V_0}), g_1\varphi_0\big)$, $\forall g_1 \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0}(V_0)$.

d) The first sentence of 3.2.5 (applied to the class $Cl(M_0, \varphi_0, G_{V_0})$) which together with c) implies that the $\mathbb{F}$-valued points of $\mathcal{U}_1$ have $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\tau)$ as the formal isogeny type of their attached Shimura Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals. The role of $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_0)$ in 3.2.5 is that of a Shimura-ordinary Lie σ-crystal (attached to a Shimura-ordinary σ-crystal), cf. 3.4.3.0, end of 3.5.5 and 3.7.2 (see also 3.11.6 A) and B)).

e) The second sentence of 3.2.5 (applied to $Cl(M_0,\varphi_0,G_{V_0})$) which (together with the fact that $\mathcal{U}_0$ is an open subscheme of $\mathcal{U}_1$) implies $\mathcal{U}_0=\mathcal{U}_1$.

It is worth pointing out that in fact the use of 3.6.6 can be avoided, cf. 3.4.14. To define $\mathcal{U}$ it is enough to define $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{F}}$. We take $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{F}}\cap\mathcal{N}^0_{\mathbb{F}}$ to be $\mathcal{U}_1=\mathcal{U}_0$. From 3.1.0 a) and c) (applied to $Cl(M_0,\varphi_0,G_{V_0})$) and c) we also get that the Shimura $\bar\sigma$-crystal attached to an $\mathbb{F}$-valued point of $\mathcal{N}^0_{\mathbb{F}}$ is Shimura-ordinary iff it factors through $\mathcal{U}_1$.

4.2.7. A claim. The fact that the $\mathbb{F}$-valued points of $\mathcal{U}_1$ give birth to Shimura-ordinary $\bar\sigma$-crystals having precisely τ as their formal isogeny type results from the following Claim:

Claim. *Under the isomorphism ρ_0 of 4.2.3, the slopes of (M,φ) together with their multiplicities, and the slopes of the Shimura $\bar\sigma$-crystal attached to an element of $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{F})$ together with their multiplicities, are computed using p-adic valuations of the eigenvalues (and their multiplicities) of two semisimple elements s_1 and respectively s_2 of $G_{K_0}(K_0)$ which are $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0}(K_0)$-conjugate, and so when viewed as semisimple elements of $G_{V_0}(\overline{K_0})$ are $G_{V_0}(\overline{K_0})$-conjugate. Here eigenvalues are computed as endomorphisms of $M_0\otimes_{V_0}K_0$.*

Proof: As the homomorphism $G_{V_0}(\overline{K_0})\to G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0}(\overline{K_0})$ is surjective, we just have to deal with the $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0}(K_0)$-conjugacy part. For being able to use the previous notations, we assume y_0 factors through $\mathcal{U}_1$. A sufficiently high power n of φ (resp. of φ_0) acts diagonally w.r.t. a $W(\mathbb{F})$-basis of $M\otimes_{W(k(v))}W(\mathbb{F})$ (resp. of M_0), producing (for instance, via 3.11.2 B or 2.2.24.1) a semisimple element s_1 (resp. s_2) of $G_{K_0}(K_0)$ (we use the identification of 4.2.3 achieved by ρ_0). Choosing n big enough and a positive, integral multiple of $d[k_{A_0}:\mathbb{F}_p]$, where k_{A_0} is the finite field such that A_0 and its family of Hodge cycles $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}$ are defined over $W(k_{A_0})$, we can define such semisimple elements without referring to 3.11.2 B or to 2.2.24.1, cf. 2.2.24.

In what follows it is irrelevant if we use 3.11.2 B (equivalently 2.2.24.1) or 2.2.24 in order to define them provided, in case we define them via 2.2.24, we allow such semisimple elements to be replaced by a product of them with elements of $G_{V_0}(V_0)$ which do not change the p-adic valuations of the eigenvalues involved. However, to be short we assume s_1 and s_2 are defined via 3.11.2 B.

As the cocharacter of $G^{\mathrm{ab}}_{K_0}$ obtained by composing μ_{K_0} with the natural epimorphism $m_{qu}:G_{K_0}\twoheadrightarrow G^{\mathrm{ab}}_{K_0}$, is the same as the one obtained by composing μ_0 with m_{qu} (as μ_{K_0} and μ_0 are $G_{K_0}(K_0)$-conjugate), the images of s_1 and s_2 in $G^{\mathrm{ab}}_{K_0}(K_0)$ are the same (cf. the constructions in 3.11.2 B and 4.2.3).

Moreover, we can assume that the images of s_1 and s_2 in $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{K_0}(K_0)$ are $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{K_0}(K_0)$-conjugate: this is a consequence of 4.2.6 b) and c) and of 3.11.6 A) (cf. also 4.2.5). So s_1^q and s_2^q are $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{K_0}(K_0)$-conjugate. Here q is the order of the isogeny $G^{\mathrm{der}}\to G^{\mathrm{ad}}$. This proves the Claim.

4.2.8. End of the proof. The formal isogeny types τ and $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\tau)$ depend only on f and v. So what we got for $\mathcal{N}^0_{\mathbb{F}}$ remains true for all other connected components of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}$. So (cf. also 4.2.6-7) the subscheme $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ defined by 4.2.1 a) exists and is open, is also defined by 4.2.1 b), and it is dense in $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. Moreover, from the end of 4.2.6, we also get that 4.2.1 c) holds. From Fact 6 of 2.3.11 we get that $\mathcal{U}$ is $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant. This ends the proof of 4.2.1.

Another way of passing from one connected component of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}$ to all others is to use the following fact:

4.2.8.1.* Fact. *The connected components of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}$ are permuted transitively by $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ (cf. [Va2, 3.3.2] and the existence –see [Va3]– of smooth toroidal compactifications of $\mathcal{N}$).*

Warning: If (G, X) is of compact type, then this Fact is a consequence of 2.3.3.1 and of [Va2, 3.3.2].

4.2.9. Definition. The points of $\mathcal{U}_{k(v)}$ (resp. of $\mathcal{U}_{k(v)}/\tilde{H}_0$) with values in fields are called G-ordinary (or $G_{W(k(v))}$-ordinary or Shimura-ordinary) points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ (resp. of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/\tilde{H}_0$). Here $\tilde{H}_0$ is an arbitrary compact (not necessarily open) subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$.

4.2.10. Corollary. *The Lie algebra underlying the Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal LIE attached to a k-valued point of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is naturally identified, up to inner isomorphisms (defined by elements of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}(W(k))$, with* $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)})$. *Under this identification, we have:*

– the automorphism class (see 2.2.11) of LIE is given by the σ_k-linear Lie automorphism of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}) = \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$ *acting trivially on* $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$*;*

– the filtration class (see 2.2.11.1) of LIE is defined by $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k))$ (i.e. is defined by the composite of $\mu_{W(k)}$ with the epimorphism $G_{W(k)} \to G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}$).

Proof: This is nothing else but 4.2.5 (or 4.2.4). The fact that we can assume k is just perfect is implied by the convention 2.3.9.2 and so by the fact that $G_{W(k)}$ is split; so the isomorphism ρ_0 of 4.2.3 exists as well in this case (as it can be checked using standard properties of the Galois cohomology).

4.3. Cyclic factors and (refined) Lie stable p-ranks.

4.3.1. Cyclic factors. In what follows we apply 3.9-10 to the context of 4.2. Let

$$G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \times_{i \in \mathcal{H}} G_i$$

be the product decomposition of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p$-simple factors. So any $G_{i\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-simple, adjoint group. We consider a disjoint decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{c}} \cup \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$$

defined by: $i \in \mathcal{H}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{c}}$ iff the composite $\mu(i)$ of μ with the natural epimorphism $G_{W(k(v))} \twoheadrightarrow G_{iW(k(v))}$ is trivial. $\mathcal{H}$ is the empty set iff $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = 0$, i.e. iff G is a torus (cf. axiom [Va2, 2.3 (SV2)]).

We fix a point

$$y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}.$$

The Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}), \varphi_y)$ attached to it is a product

$$\prod_{i\in\mathcal{H}} \bigl(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{iW(k)}), \varphi_y\bigr),$$

each simple factor of it being a cyclic Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal. In other words, $\forall i \in \mathcal{H}$ φ_y permutes (cyclically) transitively the Lie algebras of the $B(k)$-simple factors of $G_{iB(k)}$; this is a consequence of 4.2.10 and of the fact that G_i is the Weil restriction of an absolutely simple, adjoint group over an unramified, finite extension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. We call these factors the cyclic adjoint factors attached to the point y; so in what follows we refer to the i-th cyclic adjoint factor attached to y as well as (cf. 3.9.1 and 3.9.6) to the i-th Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt (adjoint) shift or map attached to y. Similarly, let

$$\bar{\psi}(i) : \mathrm{Lie}(G_{ik}) \to \mathrm{Lie}(G_{ik})$$

be the i-th Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint map of the extension of $(M, \varphi, G_{W(k(v))})$ to k. Based on 4.2.10, we always write the i-th Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint map of y in the form $g_y\bar{\psi}(i)$, with $g_y \in G_i(k)$ acting on $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{ik})$ by inner conjugation; accordingly, by an inner isomorphism between two such i-th Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint maps attached to k-valued points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ we always mean an isomorphism defined by an element of $G_i(k)$.

We also speak about the cyclic Lie factors attached to y (cf. def. 3.10.1). We naturally extend this terminology to points $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}$ as well as to the context of the quotient $\mathcal{N}/H_0$.

Warning: in the context of points of $\mathcal{N}/H_0$ with values in perfect fields, the adjoint groups whose Lie algebras are defining such cyclic factors are not necessarily products of absolutely simple ones and so (at least theoretically) we have to work with the notion of weakly cyclic factors (cf. 3.10.0.1); in other words, referring to 2.3.10, we do not know when $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}$ is a product of adjoint groups, each one of them with the property that the Lie algebras of simple factors of its extension to $W(\bar{k})$ are permuted transitively by φ.

4.3.1.1. Notations and simple facts. We write

$$G_{iW(k)} = \times_{j_i\in\mathcal{H}_i} G_{j_i},$$

with G_{j_i} an absolutely simple, adjoint $W(k)$-group and with $\mathcal{H}_i$ a set of indices. So

$$G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)} = \times_{i\in\mathcal{H}} \times_{j_i\in\mathcal{H}_i} G_j = \times_{\ell\in I_p(G^{\mathrm{ad}})} G_\ell,$$

where $I_p(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is the (disjoint) union of $\mathcal{H}_i$, $i \in \mathcal{H}$. $\bigl|I_p(G^{\mathrm{ad}})\bigr|$ does not depend on p (or on k or y); however, it is appropriate to use the right lower index p in the definition of $I_p(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$.

φ_y permutes the factors $\mathrm{Lie}(G_\ell)$, $\ell \in I_p(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$, achieving a permutation $\gamma_p(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ of $I_p(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$; it does not depend on y, cf. 4.2.10. $\gamma_p(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is a product of $|\mathcal{H}|$-disjoint cyclic permutations γ_i ($i \in \mathcal{H}$), where γ_i is the cyclic permutation of $\mathcal{H}_i$ defined logically by the action of φ_y on $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{iB(k)})$. For $i \in \mathcal{H}$, let

$$d_i := |\mathcal{H}_i| \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From the structure of simple, adjoint groups over fields (see [Ti1]) we get:

$$G_i = \mathrm{Res}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_i}})/\mathbb{Z}_p} G^i,$$

with G^i an absolutely simple, adjoint $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_i}})$-group.

For what follows we refer to 3.11.2-3.

Fact. *The T-degree (resp., in case G is not a torus, the A-degree) of definition of any Shimura-ordinary $\sigma_{\bar{k}}$-crystal attached to a $\bar{k}$-valued G-ordinary point of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is $d_T := [k(v^{\mathrm{ab}}) : \mathbb{F}_p]$ (resp. is $d_A := [k(v^{\mathrm{ad}}) : \mathbb{F}_p]$).*

Proof: We have a logical variant of 4.2.3 for any morphism $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}$, cf. the proof of 4.2.10. So, not to introduce extra notations, we can assume $k = \mathbb{F}$. The part involving T-degrees is a consequence of their definition of 3.11.3 and of the $G_{V_0}(K_0)$-conjugacy of the cocharacters μ_{K_0} and μ_0 of 4.2.3 (cf. also the definition of $E(G^{\mathrm{ab}}, X^{\mathrm{ab}})$).

The A-degree of definition of (M, φ, G) is $[k(v^{\mathrm{ad}}) : \mathbb{F}_p]$, as it can be checked easily starting from very definitions (see Fact of 3.11.2 D and its logical adjoint version; see also [Mi3, 4.6-7]). So the part involving A-degrees results from 3.11.6 A) and 4.2.5-6 (see b) of 4.4.1 2) below for a second approach). This ends the proof.

For $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$, let $k(v_i)$ be the subfield of $k(v)$ such that $B(k(v_i))$ is the field of definition of the $G_i(B(k(v)))$-conjugacy class of $\mu(i)_{B(k(v))}$ (the initial group being $G_{i\mathbb{Q}_p}$).

For $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$, we define as in 2.2.16.5 and 3.11.3, an A-degree of definition

$$d_A(i) := [k(v_i) : \mathbb{F}_p].$$

As in the above proof, it is the A-degree of definition of the i-th cyclic adjoint factor attached to any $\bar{k}$-valued G-ordinary point of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$.

If G is a torus, it is convenient to define $d_A := 1$. So always d_A is the least common multiple of $d_A(i)$, $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$, while $d = [d_A, d_T]$. We refer to $d_A(i)$ as the i-th A-degree of definition of (the Shimura-ordinary type produced by) the SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$. We also denote d_A (resp. d_T) by $d_A(v)$ (resp. by $d_T(v)$).

4.3.1.2. Different invariants. We denote by R_y (resp. by R_y^r) the Lie (resp. the refined Lie) stable p-rank of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}, \varphi_y)$ (cf. 3.9.1, 3.9.1.1, 3.9.4 and 3.9.6). We refer to it as the Lie (resp. the refined Lie) stable p-rank of y.

We denote by $R(\tau)$ (resp. $R^r(\tau)$) the Lie (resp. the refined Lie) stable p-rank of the Shimura adjoint Lie σ-crystal attached to $\big(M, \varphi, G_{W(k(v))}\big)$ of 4.1.1.2.

For $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$, we denote by $\varepsilon_i(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ (resp. by $a_i(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$) the ε-type (resp. the a-invariant) of the i-th cyclic adjoint factor of the extension of $\mathfrak{C}_{(f,v)}$ to $\mathbb{F}$ (cf. 3.10.4 and 3.10.8.1). The concentrated ε-type of $\varepsilon_i(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is denoted by $\varepsilon_i^{\mathrm{c}}(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ (see 3.10.5.1). Let

$$a(v^{\mathrm{ad}}) := \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}} a_i(v^{\mathrm{ad}}).$$

We refer to it as the a-invariant of $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$.

For $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$, let

$$D_i^+(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$$

(resp. $D_i^-(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$) be the positive (resp. negative) p-divisible group of the i-th cyclic adjoint factor of the extension of $\mathfrak{C}_{(f,v)}$ to $\mathbb{F}$ (cf. 3.11.6.2 2)).

4.3.2. The refined a-invariant. R_y is a non-negative integer. Fixing a bijection

$$f_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H} \tilde{\to} S(1, |\mathcal{H}|),$$

R_y^r is a sequence of non-negative integers, indexed by elements of $S(1, |\mathcal{H}|)$. These elements "count" the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-simple factors of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathrm{ad}}$. The n-th number of the sequence is the Lie stable p-rank of the cyclic Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal $\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{f_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}(n)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k), \varphi_y\big)$ divided by $d_{f_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}(n)}$ (here $n \in S(1, |\mathcal{H}|)$). For $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{c}}$, let $a_i(v^{\mathrm{ad}}) := 0$. Let

$$a^r(v^{\mathrm{ad}}) := \big(a_{f_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}(1)}(v^{\mathrm{ad}}), ..., a_{f_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}(|\mathcal{H}|)}(v^{\mathrm{ad}})\big);$$

we refer to it as the refined a-invariant of $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$.

4.3.3. Remark. The set $SLSp - rk(\mathcal{N}_{(k(v))})$ of Lie stable p-ranks of geometric points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is not necessarily a set of consecutive non-negative integers. For instance, if $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}$ the set of values of such Lie stable p-ranks is $\{1, 3, 6, \ldots, \frac{e(e+1)}{2}\}$ (we recall that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(W) = 2e$).

4.3.4. Theorem. *The following statements are equivalent:*

a) *y factors through $\mathcal{U}$;*

b) *$R_y = R(\tau)$;*

c) *$R_y^r = R^r(\tau)$.*

Proof: This is a direct consequence of 3.9.2, 3.9.4, 4.2.1 and 4.2.4, applied to any connected component of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}$ (cf. also 3.9.6).

4.3.5. Corollary. $R(\tau)$ *(resp.* $R^r(\tau)$*) is the greatest number (resp. the greatest sequence) among all numbers* $R_{\tilde{y}}$ *(resp. among all sequences of numbers* $R_{\tilde{y}}^r$*) associated to points* $\tilde{y} \in \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}(\tilde{k}))$ *(with* $\tilde{k}$ *a perfect field).*

Proof: This is a consequence of 4.2.1 c), 4.2.4 and 3.9.5.

4.3.6. Corollary. *$\mathcal{N}_{k(v)} \backslash \mathcal{U}$ is of pure codimension 1 in $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$.*

Proof: The proof of this is entirely analogous to the one in the case of the ordinary locus of $\mathcal{M}_{k(v)}$. If $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)=0$, then the Corollary is obvious: we have $\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ (cf. 4.2.3.1). We assume now $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) \geq 1$. 4.3.4-5 assure us (to be compared also with 4.3.7 below) that $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)} \backslash \mathcal{U}$ is of pure codimension 1, if it is non void.

To see that $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)} \backslash \mathcal{U}$ is non void, we first treat the case when $\mathcal{N}$ has the completion property, just to emphasize how 3.6.15 A can be applied in practice. So, assuming that $k=\bar{k}$ and that $\mathcal{N}$ has the completion property, the fact that $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)} \backslash \mathcal{U}$ is non void can be checked, by just remarking: If $(M_y, F_z^1, \varphi_y, G_{W(k)})$ is the Shimura-canonical lift of the Shimura σ_k-crystal $(M_y, \varphi_y, G_{W(k)})$ attached to a G-ordinary point $y \in \mathcal{U}(k)$, then $F_z^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)}) \neq \{0\}$ (corresponding to the fact $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)>0$) and there is $g \in G^0_{W(k)}(W(k))$ such that $(M_y, g\varphi_y, G_{W(k)})$ is not a $G_{W(k)}$-ordinary σ_k-crystal.

To argue this let $\ell_0 \in I_p(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ be such that $F_z^1\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\ell_0})\big) \neq 0$. Let T, B and B^{opp} be as in 4.1.4.1. Let $\omega \in G^0_{W(k)}(W(k))$ normalizing $T_{W(k)}$ and such that $wB_{W(k)}w^{-1}=B^{\mathrm{opp}}_{W(k)}$.

We can assume that $B_{W(k)}$ normalizes F_z^1 and that φ_y takes $\mathrm{Lie}(T_{W(k)})$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(B) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$ into themselves (cf. 3.2.3 and either 3.6.15 A or 3.11.1 c)). The Lie stable p-rank of the cyclic Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal $\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{iW(k)}), \omega\varphi_y\big)$ (with $i \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $G_{\ell_0} \subset G_{iW(k)}$) is 0. So $(M_y, \omega\varphi_y, G_{W(k)})$ is not a $G_{W(k)}$-ordinary σ_k-crystal, cf. F4 of 3.10.7.

To treat the general case, we use special quadruples (cf. [Va2, 3.2.10]). Let $\tilde{T}$ be a maximal $\mathbb{Q}$–torus of G such that:

i) the Zariski closure of $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a maximal torus of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ with the property that, $\forall i \in \mathcal{H}$, its image in G_i, when extended over $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_i}})$ has a quotient (via an isogeny) which is a product $T_i^1 \times T_i^2$, where T_i^1 (resp. where T_i^2) is itself a product of tori which are 1 dimensional and non-split (resp. which are such that their extensions to $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2d_i}})$ have 0 $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2d_i}})$-ranks);

ii) over $\mathbb{R}$ it is the extension of a compact torus by a 1 dimensional split torus.

The existence of such a torus is obtained by applying [Har, 5.5.3] to G: we just need to show the existence of a maximal torus of G^i (of 4.3.1.1) whose Weil restriction from $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_i}})$ to $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is a torus of G_i to each i) applies. But this is a consequence of the following two simple Facts:

Fact 1. *$\forall i \in \mathcal{H}$, G^i is either split or splits over $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2d_i}})$.*

Fact 2. *We consider an absolutely simple, adjoint group $\tilde{S}$ of classical Lie type over a finite field $\tilde{k}$ of odd characteristic which splits over the quadratic extension $\tilde{k}_2$ of $\tilde{k}$. Then, if it is not a split group of A_n or D_{2n+1} Lie type or a non-split group of D_{2n} Lie type, with $n \geq 2$ (resp. if it so), $\tilde{S}$ has a maximal torus $\tilde{T}$ which is isogeneous to a direct product of 1 dimensional non-split tori (resp. to a product of 1 dimensional non-split tori and of tori whose ranks over $\tilde{k}_2$ are 0).*

Proofs: Fact 1 is trivial if G^i is not of D_4 Lie type; but this special case is a consequence of the fact that no Shimura variety of D_4^{mixed} type is of Hodge type (see [De2]; see also [Se2, cor. 2 of p. 182]).

Fact 2 can be proved easily, case by case. If $\tilde{S}$ is of C_n Lie type, then it is split. So to check the existence of $\tilde{T}$, we can assume $n = 1$; but this case is trivial. If $\tilde{S}$ is of B_n Lie type, then it is split, and again we can assume $n = 1$, which is already covered by the C_1 Lie type case. If $\tilde{S}$ is of D_n Lie type, with $n \geq 4$, then $\tilde{S}$ is the adjoint group of a special orthogonal group SO of a non-degenerate quadratic form on a $2n$ dimensional $\tilde{k}$-vector space. If $\tilde{S}$ is split and n is even or if $\tilde{S}$ is non-split and n is odd we can take as $\tilde{T}$ the image in $\tilde{S}$ of a product of tori of SO, each one of them leaving invariant a quadratic form in two variables x_1 and x_2 which is of the form $x_1^2 - a_{22}x_2^2$, with $a_{22} \in \tilde{k}$ not a square (argument: the quadratic form $y_1y_2 + y_3y_4$ is equivalent to the quadratic form $x_1^2 - a_{22}x_2^2 - x_3^2 + a_{22}x_4^2$). If $\tilde{S}$ is split and n is odd or if $\tilde{S}$ is non-split and n is even, then using a product of $n-3$ tori of SO of the same type and considering the image in $\tilde{S}$ of its centralizer in SO, the situation gets reduces to the split D_3 Lie type case, i.e. to the split A_3 Lie type case.

If $\tilde{S}$ is split of A_n Lie type, $n \geq 2$, then we can argue at the level of representations: $T_n := \mathrm{Res}_{\tilde{k}_{n+1}/\tilde{k}}\mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{G}_m$, with $\tilde{k}_{n+1}$ the finite field extension of $\tilde{k}$ such that $[\tilde{k}_{n+1} : \tilde{k}] = n+1$, is (isomorphic to) a maximal torus of $\tilde{S}$; if n is even then T_n has rank 0 over $\tilde{k}_2$ and if n is odd then T_n is isogeneous to a product of a 1 dimensional, non-split torus with a torus whose rank over $\tilde{k}_2$ is 0. If $\tilde{S}$ is non-split of A_n Lie type, $n \geq 2$, then we can argue at the level of non-degenerate hermitian forms in a way similar to the C_n Lie type case; see [Ro, th. of p. 243]. This proves the two Facts.

As in [Va2, 3.2.11], we deduce the existence of a special quadruple $(\tilde{T}, \{\tilde{h}\}, \tilde{H}_{\tilde{T}}, \tilde{v}) \hookrightarrow (G, X, H, v)$. We fix some $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$. We consider the cocharacter μ_i of $T^1_{iW(\mathbb{F})} \times T^2_{iW(\mathbb{F})}$ defined naturally by $\tilde{h}$ via extensions and logical composites. The A-degree of definition $d_A(i)$ of $\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{iW(k)}), \varphi \otimes 1\big)$ is smaller or equal to the A-degree of definition $d_{\tilde{z}}(i)$ of the i-th cyclic adjoint factor $\mathcal{C}_i(\tilde{z})$ attached to an $W(\mathbb{F})$-valued point $\tilde{z}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ factoring through the integral canonical model of $(\tilde{T}, \{\tilde{h}\}, \tilde{H}_{\tilde{T}}, \tilde{v})$ (this is the same as 3.11.3.1). From the proof of 2.2.18 we get that the Shimura filtered $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal $(\tilde{M}, F^1(\tilde{M}), \tilde{\varphi}, G_{W(\mathbb{F})})$ attached to $\tilde{z}$ is cyclic diagonalizable. We first assume that the mentioned two A-degrees of definition are not equal. So, if the special fibre of $\tilde{z}$ factors through $\mathcal{U}$, $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, G_{W(\mathbb{F})})$ has two distinct lifts which are cyclic diagonalizable (cf. 2.3.17 and 3.11.1 a) for the second one). But it is an easy exercise to see that this is not possible (it is solved independently in 4.4.13.2-3 below). Contradiction.

If μ_i does not factor through $T^1_{iW(\mathbb{F})}$, then $d_{\tilde{z}}(i) > 2d_i$; on the other hand, Fact 1 implies that $d_A(i) \leq 2d_i$, and so we get $d_A(i) \neq d_{\tilde{z}}(i)$. So from now on we assume μ_i factors through $T^1_{iW(\mathbb{F})}$. This implies (cf. ii); we need to use a formula similar to the one of φ^j in 4.1.2): $\mathcal{C}_i(\tilde{z})$ has all slopes equal to 0. So (cf. formulas of 3.10.6 and 4.2.1 a)) the special fibre of $\tilde{z}$ does not factor through $\mathcal{U}$. So $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)} \setminus \mathcal{U}$ is non-empty. This ends the proof of the Corollary.

4.3.7. Examples. We concentrate just on one $\mathbb{Z}_p$-simple factor G_i of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. We can assume $\mathcal{H}_i = S(1, d_i)$. Let $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}$ be a lift of y and let

$$ {}_i\mathfrak{C}_z := \Big(\mathrm{Lie}\big(G_{i\,W(k)}\big), \varphi_y, F^0\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{i\,W(k)})\big), F^1\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{i\,W(k)})\big)\Big) $$

be the i-th cyclic adjoint factor attached to z. If $F^1\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{i\,W(k)}\big) = \{0\}$ –i.e. if $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{c}}$– there is nothing to be done. From now on we assume $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$ and that $F^1\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_1)\big) \neq 0$. Let

$$ \bar{\psi}_i : \mathrm{Lie}(G_{i\,k}) \to \mathrm{Lie}(G_{i\,k}) $$

be the i-th Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint map attached to y (so $\bar{\psi}_i$ is the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt map of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{i\,W(k)}), \varphi_y)$).

Case 1. ${}_i\mathfrak{C}_z$ is without involution. The $\sigma_k^{d_i}$-linear map

$$ {}_1\overline{\psi_i}^{d_i} : \big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_1)/F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_1))\big) \otimes_{W(k)} k \to \big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_1)/F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_1))\big) \otimes_{W(k)} k $$

obtained from $\bar{\psi}_i^{d_i}$ by passage to quotients, still computes (in the sense of 3.5) $\frac{1}{d_i}$ times the Lie stable p-rank of ${}_i\mathfrak{C}_z$.

If moreover ${}_i\mathfrak{C}_z$ is of B_ℓ, C_ℓ or $D_\ell^{\mathbb{R}}$ type, then this Lie stable p-rank is d_i times the $f_{\mathcal{H}}(i)$-entry of $R^r(\tau)$ iff the $\sigma_k^{d_i}$-linear map ${}_1\overline{\psi_i}^{d_i}$ has a non-zero determinant (cf. the formulas of 3.10.6 i)). So if all simple factors of $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ are of B_ℓ, C_ℓ or $D_\ell^{\mathbb{R}}$ type, then the fact that for a point $y \in \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}(k)$ we have $R_y = R(\tau)$, can be expressed in terms of some determinants being non-zero. This is very close to the intuition provided by the (usual) Hasse–Witt matrices.

Case 2. ${}_i\mathfrak{C}_z$ is with involution. So ${}_i\mathfrak{C}_z$ is of A_ℓ or of $D_\ell^{\mathbb{H}}$ type. The $\sigma_k^{2d_i}$-linear map

$$ {}_1\overline{\psi_i}^{2d_i} : \big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_1)\big)/F^0\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_1)\big) \otimes_{W(k)} k \to \big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_1)\big)/F^0\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_1)\big) \otimes_{W(k)} k $$

obtained from $\bar{\psi}_i^{2d_i}$ by passage to quotients, still computes (in the sense of 3.5) $\frac{1}{d_i}$ times the Lie stable p-rank of ${}_i\mathfrak{C}_z$.

So in the cases when ${}_i\mathfrak{C}_z$ is of A_ℓ or of $D_\ell^{\mathbb{H}}$ type, with or without involution, the fact that the Lie stable p-rank of this $\sigma_k^{m_i}$-linear map ${}_1\overline{\psi_i}^{m_i}$, with $m_i \in \{d_i, 2d_i\}$, is (resp. is not) d_i times the $f_{\mathcal{H}}(i)$-entry n_i of $R^r(\tau)$, can be expressed (cf. 4.3.5) in terms of some matrices of rank at most 1 being non-zero (resp. being zero); such matrices (with entries in k) are obtained by taking the n_i-th exterior power of (cf. 3.9.1.0) $({}_1\overline{\psi_i}^{m_i})^{\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)}$. As a conclusion:

Property. *We can always express the fact that for a point $y \in \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}(k)$ we have $R_y^r = R^r(\tau)$, in terms of some matrices (indexed by $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$) of rank at most 1 being non-zero.*

4.3.8. Remarks. 1) We denote by $p-\mathrm{Lie}_G(y)$ or by r^y the Lie p-rank of y, defined as the multiplicity of the slope -1 of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}), \varphi_y)$. If $p - \mathrm{Lie}_G(y) \neq 0$, then the Lie stable p-rank of y is different from 0 and the p-rank of $A_y := y^*(\mathcal{A})$ is different from 0. But the converses of these two implications are not true, i.e. there are examples (in the case of the first implication, if y is a Shimura-ordinary point, then $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ must have compact factors, cf. the formulas of 3.10.6) when the Lie stable p-rank of y or the p-rank of A_y is different from 0 but the Lie p-rank of y is 0.

2) If f is an isomorphism, i.e. if $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}$, to know r^y is equivalent to know the p-rank r_y of A_y: we have

$$r^y = \frac{r_y(r_{y+1})}{2}.$$

But this is not true in the general context of Shimura varieties of Hodge type.

3) In the computations of 4.3.7 involving ranks and determinants it is irrelevant which factor G_1 of $G_{iW(k)}$ we choose, subject to $F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G_1)) \neq 0$.

4.3.9. Definitions. The $\sigma_k^{m_i}$-linear map ${}_1\overline{\psi_i}^{m_i}$, $m_i \in \{d_i, 2d_i\}$, defined in 4.3.7, is called the i-th Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt reduced map attached to y, and the matrix defined by it (via the choice of some k-basis) is referred as the i-th Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt reduced matrix attached to y and to $1 \in \mathcal{H}_i$. Exterior powers of such maps and matrices are called modified i-th Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt reduced maps or matrices attached to y.

Similarly, for any cyclic Shimura Lie F-crystal we define (cf. 3.9.1.1) such maps and matrices. The only difference: m_i can be also $3d_i$ (cf. 3.10.0). It is 3.9.6 which points out that these Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt reduced maps are the same as the ones defined in a semisimple (not necessarily adjoint) context.

4.4. G-canonical lifts.

4.4.0. Definition. A point $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is called a parabolic (resp. a Borel or reductive) point, if there is a lift $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}$ of it such that the Shimura filtered Lie σ_k-crystal attached to z is of parabolic (resp. of Borel or reductive) type.

4.4.1. Theorem. 1) *A point* $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ *factors through* $\mathcal{U}$ *iff* y *is a parabolic point.*

2) *We assume now that* y *factors through* $\mathcal{U}$. *We have:*

a) There is a unique lift $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}$ *of* y *with the property that the Shimura filtered Lie* σ_k*-crystal attached to* z *is of parabolic type;*

b) If $k = \bar{k}$*, then the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie* σ_k*-crystal attached to this unique lift* z *is isomorphic to*

$$\Big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k), \sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1, F^0\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)\big), F^1\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)\big)\Big),$$

and so it is cyclic diagonalizable and of Borel type (μ *being as in 4.1);*

c) If $k = \bar{k}$ then the Shimura filtered Lie σ_k-crystal attached to z is isomorphic to

$$\Big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k), \sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1, F^0\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)\big), F^1\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)\big)\Big),$$

and so it is cyclic diagonalizable and of Borel type.

3) *Let $\big(M_y, F^1_z, \varphi_y, G_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}, \psi_y\big)$ be the principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal attached to z above. We have:*

a) There is a unique cocharacter $\mu_y : \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow G_{W(k)}$ which produces a direct sum decomposition $M_y = F^1_z \oplus F^0_z$ such that the elements of the parabolic Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)})$ corresponding to non-negative (resp. to non-positive) slopes of $\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)}), \varphi_y\big)$ take F^1_z (resp. F^0_z) into itself. We have $[\mu_y] = [\mu_{W(k)}]$;

b) If $k = \bar{k}$, then the filtered σ_k-crystal (M_y, F^1_z, φ_y) is cyclic diagonalizable and its isomorphism class does not depend on the choice of y. Moreover, the principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal $\big(M_y, F^1_z, \varphi_y, G_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}, \psi_y\big)$ is isomorphic to any sextuple $\big(M_{y_1}, F^1_{z_1}, \varphi_{y_1}, G_{W(k)}, (t^1_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}, \psi_{y_1}\big)$ defined similarly but starting from another G-ordinary point $y_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{U}$ factoring through the same connected component of $\mathcal{U}_k$ through which y factors;

c) If $k = \bar{k}$, then there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered σ^n_k-isocrystal $\big(M_y[\frac{1}{p}], F^1_z[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi^n_y, G_{B(k)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}, \psi_y\big)$ is $1_{\mathcal{J}'}$-isomorphic to the principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered σ^n_k-isocrystal $\big(M \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(k), F^1 \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(k), (\varphi \otimes 1)^n, G_{B(k)}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}, \tilde{\psi}\big)$;

d) $\big(M_y, F^1_z, \varphi_y, G_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}, \psi_y\big)$ is $1_{\mathcal{J}'}$-isomorphic (in the sense of 2.2.9 6)) to*

$$\big(M \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k), F^1 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k), \varphi \otimes 1, G_{W(k)}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}, \tilde{\psi}\big).$$

So in c) we can take $n = 1$.

Proofs: 1) is a direct consequence of 3.1.0 b) and 2.3.17, cf. 4.2.1 c).

4.2.3-4 were stated for $\mathbb{F}$. As these results remain obviously true by working with an algebraically closed field containing $\mathbb{F}$, in what follows we refer to them (with no extra comment) in such an extended context.

a) (resp. b)) of 2) follows from 3.2.8 and 2.3.17 (resp. from 3.11.6 A) and 4.2.5-6). We include here a second approach to b) of 2). We can assume (cf. 4.2.4, 3.4.11 and 3.5.5) that the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie σ_k-crystal attached to z is

$$\Big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k), g(\sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1), F^0\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)\big), F^1\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)\big)\Big),$$

with $g \in \tilde{P}_0(W(k))$, where $\tilde{P}_0$ is the parabolic subgroup of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}$ such that $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_0 := \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{P}^0)$ is $W_0\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}), \sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1\big)$. Let $\varphi_y := g(\sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1)$. $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_0$ is also $W_0\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}), \varphi_y\big)$ (this is the same as 3.3.4). Let $\mathfrak{n}_0$ be the nilpotent radical of $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_0$. The quadruples

$(\mathfrak{n}_0, F^1(\mathfrak{n}_0), \varphi_y)$ and $\big(\mathfrak{n}_0, F^1(\mathfrak{n}_0), \sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1\big)$ are filtered Lie σ_k-crystals as well as p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(W(k))$. We have $F^1(\mathfrak{n}_0) = F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}))$, cf. 2.2.12.1 1).

Let $\mathfrak{p}_0^0 := W(0)(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_0, \sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{p}_0^1 := W(0)(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_0, \varphi_y)$). As $\mathfrak{p}_0^1 \subset F^0(\mathfrak{g})$, we have $\varphi_y(\mathfrak{p}_0^1) \subset \mathfrak{p}_0^1$ and so

$$(1) \qquad \cap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \varphi_y^n(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_0) \subset \mathfrak{p}_0^1.$$

We have:

Fact. *$\mathfrak{p}_0^0$ and $\mathfrak{p}_0^1$ are both Lie algebras of two Levi subgroups $\tilde{P}_0^0$ and respectively $\tilde{P}_0^1$ of $\tilde{P}_0$ (so $\mathfrak{p}_0^1$, as a $W(k)$-module, is a direct summand of $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_0$). Moreover, in (1) we have equality.*

Proof: The second part of the Fact is a consequence of the fact that $(\mathfrak{p}_0^1, \varphi_y)$ is a σ_k-crystal having only slopes 0. We now consider the Zariski closure $\tilde{P}_0^1$ in $\tilde{P}_0$ of the Levi subgroup of $\tilde{P}_{0B(k)}$ having $\mathfrak{p}_0^1[\frac{1}{p}]$ as its Lie algebra; it is a group scheme over $W(k)$. Let $\tilde{N}_0$ be the unipotent radical of $\tilde{P}_0$.

For $(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_0, \sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1)$ the first part of the Fact is obvious, cf. its definition (cf. also 3.11.2 C and 4.1.6). For $(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_0, \varphi_y)$ this first part follows from the fact that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\varphi_y^n = a_n\varphi^n$, with $a_n \in \tilde{P}_0(W(k))$. In other words, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, taking n big enough, $\varphi_y^n(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_0)$ mod p^m is on one side included in $\mathfrak{p}_0^1$ mod p^m (cf. (1)) and on the other hand it is the inner conjugate of $\mathfrak{p}_0^0$ mod p^m by a_n mod p^m. So $\mathfrak{p}_0^1$ mod p^m is the Lie algebra of a Levi subgroup of $\tilde{P}_{0W_m(k)}$ and so is the Lie algebra of a uniquely determined reductive subgroup R_m of $\tilde{P}_{0W_m(k)}$. The uniqueness of R_m can be proved in many ways. For instance, we have:

Subfact. *R_m is the subgroup N_m of $\tilde{P}_{0W_m(k)}$ normalizing $\mathfrak{p}_0^1$ mod p^m.*

The argument for this Subfact goes as follows. As we are in an adjoint context, the arguments of the proof of the Claim of 3.6.18.7.3 referring to F^{-1} and to $T_{W(k)}$ gives us that $\mathrm{Lie}(N_m)$ is $\mathfrak{p}_0^1$ mod p^m. So, as N_m contains R_m, we get that N_m is smooth, having R_m as its connected component of the origin. So to check that $N_m = R_m$, we just need to show that N_m is connected. We can assume $m = 1$. But N_1 is normalized by any maximal torus of R_1 and so, as $\tilde{N}_{0k}$ can be identified with the Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{n}_0/p\mathfrak{n}_0$ and as the group of connected components of N_1 can be identified with a finite, étale subgroup of $\tilde{N}_{0k}$, we get $N_1 = R_1$.

The Subfact implies $R_m = R_{m+1W_m(k)}$. So as R_m contains a maximal torus of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W_m(k)}$ and as $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary, the Subfact of 2.2.9 3) can be entirely adapted to the sequence $(R_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$. We get the existence of a Levi subgroup R_0 of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}$ whose reduction mod p^m is R_m, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$. As $\mathrm{Lie}(R_0) = \mathfrak{p}_0^1$, the generic fibres of R_0 and $\tilde{P}_0^1$ are the same. So $R_0 = \tilde{P}_0^1$. This proves the Fact.

Any two Levi subgroups of $\tilde{P}_0$ are conjugate by an element $g_0 \in \tilde{P}_0(W(k))$: this can be proved in the same manner as the Fact 1 of 2.2.9 3), starting from [Bo2, 14.19] applied over k. Changing φ_y (viewed as a σ_k-linear automorphism of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)})$) with $g_0^{-1}\varphi_y g_0$ (i.e. –as $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_0 \subset F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}))$– changing it by one which is inner isomorphic to it), we can assume $\mathfrak{p}_0^0 = \mathfrak{p}_0^1$. But this implies $g \in \tilde{P}_0^0(W(k))$ (cf. the uniqueness part of loc. cit. applied over $\overline{B(k)}$). This means that g fixes (under conjugation) the image of $\bar{h}_s$ in $\mathrm{Lie}\big(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}\big)$, $\forall s \in S(1,d)$. We consider the σ_k-linear automorphism $\sigma_1 := g(\sigma \otimes 1)$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)})$. Let $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be the adjoint group over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ having

$$\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)})^{\sigma_1} := \big\{x \in \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}) | \sigma_1(x) = x\big\}$$

as its Lie algebra (as $k = \bar{k}$, a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-basis of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)})^{\sigma_1}$ is a $W(k)$-basis of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)})$).

Claim. *$\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is naturally isomorphic to $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$.*

Proof: Let $\tilde{\mu}$ be the composite of μ with the epimorphism $G_{W(k(v))} \twoheadrightarrow G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k(v))}$. We recall that $V_0 = W(\mathbb{F})$. $\tilde{P}_0^0$ is defined over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ (for instance, cf. Fact 2 of 4.1.1.1). As $g \in \tilde{P}_0^0(W(k))$, we have $d\tilde{\mu}\big(\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_m)\big) \subset \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0})$; so we can assume $k = \mathbb{F}$. As the map $\tilde{P}_0^0(\mathbb{F}) \to \tilde{P}_0^0(\mathbb{F})$ defined by $\tilde{g} \to \tilde{g}\bar{\sigma}(\tilde{g})^{-1}$ is surjective (cf. [Bo2, 16.4]), we can assume that g mod p is the identity element of $\tilde{P}_0^0(\mathbb{F})$. Argument: as $\tilde{P}_0^0$ commutes with the image of $\tilde{\mu}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$, when we replace $g(\sigma\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1)$ with $g_1 g(\sigma\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1)g_1^{-1}$, with $g_1 \in \tilde{P}_0^0(V_0)$, we get $g_1 g\bar{\sigma}(g_1)^{-1}(\sigma\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1)$.

$g \equiv 1$ mod p implies $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{F}_p} = G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. But two adjoint semisimple groups over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ having isomorphic special fibres, are isomorphic. Argument: as the root datum of an adjoint group over $W(\mathbb{F})$ can be read out from its special fibre, from [SGA3, Vol. III, 5.3 of p. 314] we get that they are isomorphic over $W(\mathbb{F})$; and so we can apply the fact that a torsor of a smooth group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ having an $\mathbb{F}_p$-valued point is trivial.

So $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is isomorphic to $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, under an isomorphism lifting the identity $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{F}_p} = G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ and taking the image ${}^{\mathrm{ad}}T_\mu$ of T_μ (of 4.1) in $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ into the similarly defined (via $\tilde{\mu}$) torus ${}^{\mathrm{ad}}\tilde{T}_{\tilde{\mu}}$ of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$; cf. [SGA3, Vol. II, 3.6 of p. 48] for the last part. This proves the Claim.

But now $\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0}), \sigma_1\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1\big)$ w.r.t. the new $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure defined by $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$, is exactly $\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{V_0}), \sigma\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1\big)$ with the old $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure defined by $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$. This ends the second proof of b) of 2), as $\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V_0, \sigma\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1, F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V_0), F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V_0)\big)$ is of Borel type and cyclic diagonalizable (cf. 4.1.4).

c) of 2) can be obtained entirely as b) of 2): we just have to replace everywhere $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$ by $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$ and to consider parabolic and Levi subgroups of $G_{W(k)}$ instead of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}$ (Levi subgroups of $G_{W(k)}$ are in one-to-one correspondence to the Levi subgroups of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}$ and so the part of the proof of the above Fact referring to the adjoint context of 3.6.18.7.3 still applies).

For the proof of a) of 3) we first remark that μ_y, if exists, is unique (F_z^0 is uniquely determined, cf. the argument of 3.2.8 for the uniqueness of F_z^1) and so, due to the uniqueness assertion, we can assume $k = \bar{k}$. From Fact 2 of 2.2.9 3) we get: if μ_y exists then $[\mu_y] = [\mu_{W(k)}]$. So the existence of μ_y results directly from b) of 2) (i.e. a cocharacter μ_y of $G_{W(k)}$, subject to $[\mu_y] = [\mu_{W(k)}]$, is uniquely determined by the cocharacter $\tilde{\mu}_y : \mathbb{G}_m \to G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}$ obtained by composing it with –see 4.2.7– $q_{\mathrm{qu}W(k)}$, cf. (DER) of 2.2.6 1)).

Using 3.4.11 and 2.3.13.1, for the part of b) of 3) not involving cyclic diagonalizability, we just have to show that for any $g \in P^0_0(W(k))$, there is $h \in G^0_{W(k)}(W(k))$ normalizing F^1/pF^1 and such that $g\varphi_y = h\varphi_y h^{-1}$; here P^0_0 is the parabolic subgroup of $G^0_{W(k)}$ whose image in $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}$ is $\tilde{P}_0$. But the existence of h can be obtained following entirely the proof of b) of 2) (i.e. following the above Fact and Claim). In other words, the same arguments as above involving Levi subgroups allow us to assume that the image of g in $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}(W(k))$ belongs to $\tilde{P}^0_0(W(k))$. Using this, we define $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ in the same way we defined $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ above; as a reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is uniquely determined by its special fibre and as a torsor of a reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ which is trivial over $\mathbb{F}_p$, is trivial, the proof of the Claim applies entirely in the context of the reductive group $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. So a natural variant of the first paragraph after the proof of the Claim, applies.

It is an easy exercise to see that the Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal $(M_y, F^1_z, \varphi_y, G_{W(k)})$ is cyclic diagonalizable. Hint: $\mu_y : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_{W(k)}$ defines an element $\bar{h}^z_1 \in \mathrm{End}(M_y)$ by $\bar{h}^z_1(x) = x$ if $x \in F^1_z$ and $\bar{h}^z_1(x) = 0$ if $x \in F^0_z$; denoting $\bar{h}^z_s := \varphi^{s-1}_y(\bar{h}^z_1)$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we again get that $\bar{h}^z_{d+1} = \bar{h}^z_1$, i.e. $\varphi^d_y(\bar{h}^z_1) = \bar{h}^z_1$, and the whole argument of the proof of 4.1.2 can be repeated. b) of 2) implies that the Shimura filtered Lie σ_k-crystal attached to $(M_y, F^1_z, \varphi_y, G_{W(k)})$ is of parabolic type and so this exercise is (via 3.1.0 b)) as well a particular case of 3.11.1 a).

To see c) of 3) we write $\varphi_y = a_y\mu_y(\frac{1}{p})$, with a_y as a σ_k-linear automorphism of M_y. M_y and $G_{W(k)}$ get a natural $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure $M_y(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and respectively $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, by considering elements fixed by a_y (see 2.2.9 8)). The degree of definition of (M_y, F^1_z, φ_y) is d (cf. end of 3.11.2 D and the Fact of 4.3.1.1); so μ_y can be viewed as a cocharacter of $\tilde{G}_{W(k(v))}$ and so we can view $\bar{h}^z_s$'s as belonging to $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{W(k(v))})$. Moreover, $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M_y(\mathbb{Z}_p)[\frac{1}{p}])$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$. $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is (see 4.2.3) an inner form of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, defined by a class

$$\gamma \in H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p), G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})).$$

Using standard arguments (to be compared with [Va2, 5.2.17.2] and the proof of 2.3.13), we get that the image of γ in $H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{un}}_p), G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}))$ is 0 (i.e. is the trivial class), where $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{un}}_p$ is the maximal unramified algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. From the proof of b) of 2) we get that the image γ^{ad} of γ in $H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p), G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}))$ is 0; this implies: $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is naturally isomorphic to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (so $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, cf. [Ti2]).

We now check the following statement: there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a multiple of d such that the extension to $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})[\frac{1}{p}]$ of the representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ on L^*_p becomes isomorphic to the extension to $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})[\frac{1}{p}]$ of the representation of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ on $M_y(\mathbb{Z}_p)[\frac{1}{p}]$ and, under such

an isomorphism $\rho(y,n)$, v_α goes into t_α, $\forall\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'$, $\tilde{\psi}$ goes into ψ_y, and $\bar{h}_s$ goes into $\bar{h}_s^z$, $\forall s\in S(1,d)$.

First we need (this takes care of the part of the statement not mentioning $\bar{h}_s$'s):

– n to be a multiple of d such that the image of γ in $H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})[\frac{1}{p}]),G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}))$ is 0 and $G_{B(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})}$ is split.

It is the proof of b) of 2), which takes care of the part involving $\bar{h}_s$'s and $\bar{h}_s^z$'s; the two obstacles of getting this, i.e. the fact that the map $G(B(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}))\to G^{\mathrm{ad}}(B(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}))$ is not necessarily surjective and the fact that we do not want to use the fact –it is argued in 3.11.2 C– that the centralizer in $G_{B(k(v))}$ of all $\bar{h}_i$'s is connected, are overcome by the fact that the centralizer C of $\bar{h}_i$'s in the parabolic subgroup of $G_{B(k(v))}$ whose Lie algebra is $W_0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k(v))}),\varphi)$ is reductive (cf. the above part pertaining to Levi subgroups) and splits (as $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ does) over $B(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$. So the above considerations pertaining to γ (and its images) apply to a similarly defined class $\gamma_c\in H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(B(k(v))),C(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}))$. So second we need:

– γ_c has 0 image in $H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(B(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})),C(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}))$.

The fact that the extension of $\rho(y,n)$ to $B(k)$ takes $(\varphi\otimes 1)^n$ into φ_y^n is obvious, as φ^n and φ_y^n are expressible in terms of $\bar{h}_i$'s and respectively of $\bar{h}_i^z$'s. This proves c) of 3).

From 4.1.2 we get that the isomorphism class of the extension of (M,F^1,φ) to k is determined by the elements $\bar{h}_i$'s and can be computed working just with rational coefficients (like over $B(k(v))$). Using this and the above proof of c) of 3), we get that the isomorphism class of the cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ_k-crystal (M_y,F_z^1,φ_y) is the same as of the extension of (M,F^1,φ) to k and so it is independent of y. This ends the proof of b) of 3).

The proof of part d) of 3) will be presented in §5 as it is related to Milne's conjecture mentioned in 1.15. Here we just remark that we do not need to assume $k=\bar{k}$ (cf. 2.3.9.2).

4.4.2. Definition. The unique lift $z:\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))\to\mathcal{N}$ (resp. $z:\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))\to\mathcal{N}/H_0$) of a G-ordinary point $y:\mathrm{Spec}(k)\to\mathcal{N}$ (resp. of a G-ordinary point $y:\mathrm{Spec}(k)\to\mathcal{N}/H_0$) with the property that its attached Shimura filtered Lie σ_k-crystal is of parabolic type is called the G-canonical or the Shimura-canonical lift of y.

4.4.2.1. Remark. The right translation by an element of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ of a G-canonical lift, is again a G-canonical lift (cf. a) of 4.4.1 2) and Fact 6 of 2.3.11). This allows us to replace H_0 in the above definition by any compact subgroup $\tilde{H}_0$ of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$.

4.4.3. Definition. Let $y:\mathrm{Spec}(k)\to\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/H_0$ (resp. $z:\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))\to\mathcal{N}/H_0$) be an arbitrary k-valued (resp. $W(k)$-valued) point. Let $A_y:=y^*(\mathcal{A}_{H_0})$ (resp. $A_z:=z^*(\mathcal{A}_{H_0})$). An endomorphism f_y (resp. f_z) of A_y (resp. of A_z) is said to be a G-endomorphism if, as an element of $\mathrm{End}\big(H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(A_y/W(k))\big)$, is an endomorphism of the quasi Shimura σ_k-crystal $(M_y,\varphi_y,\tilde{G}_{W(k)})$ attached to y (see end of 2.2.9 1') and 2.3.10). We denote the set of G-endomorphisms of A_y (resp. of A_z) by $\mathrm{End}_G(A_y)$ (resp. by $\mathrm{End}_G(A_z)$). $\mathrm{End}_G(A_y)$ and $\mathrm{End}_G(A_z)$ have natural structures of Lie algebras over $\mathbb{Z}$.

An automorphism a_y (resp. a_z) of A_y (resp. of A_z) is said to be a G-automorphism if, as an automorphism of $H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(A_y/W(k))$, is an element of $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}(W(k))$. Notation $\mathrm{Aut}_G(A_y)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Aut}_G(A_z)$). $\mathrm{Aut}_G(A_y)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_G(A_z)$ have a group structure. Warnings:

– in general, $\mathrm{Aut}_G(*)$, as a set, is not a subset of $\mathrm{End}_G(*)$; here $* \in \{A_y, A_z\}$;

– $\mathrm{End}_G(A_y)$, $\mathrm{End}_G(A_z)$, $\mathrm{Aut}_G(A_y)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_G(A_z)$ depend on the choice of $\mathcal{A}_{H_0}$.

Similarly we speak about G-$R_{\mathbb{Z}}$–endomorphisms (i.e. about elements of $\mathrm{End}_G(A_y)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_{\mathbb{Z}}$), with $R_{\mathbb{Z}}$ an arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra, or about G-$\mathbb{Q}$–automorphisms.

4.4.4. Corollary. *Let* $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{U}/H_0$ *be a* G*-ordinary point and let* $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$ *be its* G*-canonical lift. Then*

$$\mathrm{End}_G(A_y) = \mathrm{End}_G(A_z)$$

and

$$\mathrm{Aut}_G(A_y) = \mathrm{Aut}_G(A_z).$$

In other words, any G*-endomorphism (resp. any* G*-automorphism) of* A_y *lifts to an endomorphism (resp. to an automorphism) of* A_z*.*

Proof: This is a direct consequence of a) of 4.4.1 2). See also 3.1.1.2.

4.4.5. Corollary. *If* $(f, L_{(p)}, v, \mathcal{B})$ *is a standard PEL situation, then any* G*-canonical lift* $z : \mathrm{Spec}\big(W(\mathbb{F})\big) \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$ *of a* G*-ordinary point* $y : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}) \to \mathcal{U}$ *gives birth to a special point* $z_0 : \mathrm{Spec}(B(\mathbb{F})) \to \mathrm{Sh}_{H_0\times H}(G, X)$ *(i.e.* A_z *has complex multiplication).*

Proof: We view $\mathrm{End}(A_y)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(M_y)$, with M_y as in 4.4.3. So $\mathrm{End}_G(A_y)$ is the intersection of the centralizer of $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathrm{End}(A_y)$ with the normalizer of the $B(\mathbb{F})$-vector space of the principal polarization p_{A_y} of A_y, obtained from $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$ by pull back through y and viewed as a cycle in the crystalline context. So, as any abelian variety over a finite field has complex multiplication, the $\mathbb{Q}$–group defined by invertible elements of $\mathrm{End}_G(A_y)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}$ contains a maximal torus of rank equal to the rank of G. So, from the identity $\mathrm{End}_G(A_y) = \mathrm{End}_G(A_z)$ of 4.4.4, we get that the Mumford–Tate group of (the generic fibre) of A_z is a torus. This proves the Corollary.

4.4.6. Remark. In §6 and §12 we will see that 4.4.5 remains true without assuming that $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ comes from a standard PEL situation $(f, L_{(p)}, v, \mathcal{B})$. This will easily imply that $\mathcal{U}$ is a locally closed subscheme of $\mathcal{M}_{k(v)}$ (cf. §13).

4.4.7. Remark. We assume $k = \bar{k}$. With the notations of the proof of c) of 4.4.1 3), $M_y(\mathbb{Z}_p) := \{x \in M_y | a_y(x) = x\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure on M w.r.t. which μ_y can be viewed as an injective cocharacter $\mu_y : \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow GL\big(M_y(\mathbb{Z}_p)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v))\big)$; we have $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M_y(\mathbb{Z}_p))$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$. So $\big(M_y, F^1_z, \varphi_y, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}\big)$ is isomorphic to

$$(M_y(\mathbb{Z}_p)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k), {}'F^1_z \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k), \sigma\mu_y(\frac{1}{p})\otimes 1, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'});$$

here we still denote by σ the σ-linear automorphism of $M_y(\mathbb{Z}_p)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v))$ fixing $M_y(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, while $'F_z^1 := F_z^1 \cap M_y(\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v))$.

Moreover, the Zariski closure in $GL(M_y(\mathbb{Z}_p))$ of the subgroup of $GL(M_y(\mathbb{Z}_p)[\frac{1}{p}]$ fixing t_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$, is $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, and the degree of definition of $\bigl(M_y, F_z^1, \varphi_y, G_{W(k)}\bigr)$ is d (we recall that $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_{p^d}$). For all these cf. the proof of c) of 4.4.1 3).

4.4.8. Exercises. 1) We assume 4.4.6. If $y : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}) \to \mathcal{U}$, prove that there is a unique lift (the G-canonical lift of y, cf. 4.4.6) $z : \mathrm{Spec}\bigl(W(\mathbb{F})\bigr) \to \mathcal{N}$ such that A_z has complex multiplication. Hint: if $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_p$ this is well known, cf. 4.6 P1 below and cf. the theory of lifts of ordinary abelian varieties over $\mathbb{F}$ to abelian varieties over $W(\mathbb{F})$ having complex multiplication; if $k(v) \neq \mathbb{F}_p$, first copy the proof of 2.2.18 and then use 3.4.3.0.

2) Show that the property expressed in 1) is a property of Shimura-ordinary F-crystals over perfect fields (we do need to work in the context of lifts of quasi CM type over an algebraically closed field of arbitrary positive characteristic). Hint: use 2.2.18 and the proof of b) of 4.4.1 2).

3) This is just a particular case of 1). We assume $k = \bar{k}$. Let $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}$ be the G-canonical lift of a G-ordinary point $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{U}$. Let $\bigl(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}), \varphi_y\bigr)$ be as in 4.3.1. If $W_0(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}), \varphi_y)$ is a Borel Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)})$, then z is the unique lift of y to $W(k)$, whose attached Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal is a lift of quasi CM type (cf. def. 2.3.17) of the Shimura σ_k-crystal attached to y. Hint: use 3.10.7.

For a theory behind (and a complete solution of) 4.4.8 see 4.4.13.2.

4.4.9. Remark. Coming back to 4.4.4, we would like to mention that not always we have $\mathrm{End}(A_y) = \mathrm{End}(A_z)$. This is always the case when $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_p$, cf. properties $P1$ and 4.6 P2 below. But when $k(v) \neq \mathbb{F}_p$, we can have $\mathrm{End}(A_y) \underset{\neq}{\supset} \mathrm{End}(A_z)$ for some G-ordinary points y. For instance this is so when G is a torus and the Shimura-ordinary type we get is a supersingular type (concrete example: when $\mathrm{Sh}\bigl(\mathrm{GSp}(W,\psi), S\bigr)$ is the elliptic modular curve, G is a torus and the prime v is such that the Shimura-ordinary type is (1,1)). There are many examples with G a torus such that $\mathrm{End}(A_y) \neq \mathrm{End}(A_z)$, and starting from this we can obtain examples with G a reductive group which is not a torus and when not always $\mathrm{End}(A_y)$ is equal to $\mathrm{End}(A_z)$.

However, in §14, we will see that

$$\mathrm{End}(A_y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \mathrm{End}_G(A_y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathrm{End}(A_y)^{\perp_G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

and similarly

$$\mathrm{End}(A_z) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \mathrm{End}_G(A_z) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathrm{End}(A_z)^{\perp_G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q},$$

where $\mathrm{End}(A_y)^{\perp_G}$ (resp. $\mathrm{End}(A_z)^{\perp_G}$) is the set of endomorphisms of A_y (resp. of A_z) with the property that inside $\mathrm{End}\bigl(H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(A_y/W(k))\bigl[\frac{1}{p}\bigr]\bigr)$ they are perpendicular to $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)})$ w.r.t. the trace form on $\mathrm{End}\bigl(H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(A_y/W(k))\bigl[\frac{1}{p}\bigr]\bigr)$.

4.4.10. Problem. Referring again to 4.4.4, study the structure of the Lie algebras $\mathrm{End}_G(A_y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathrm{End}_G(A_y)$ for various G-ordinary points $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{U}/H_0$. The most interesting case is when k is a subfield of $\mathbb{F}$.

4.4.11. Remark. Not only $d(\mathfrak{C})$ but also the numbers $d^{\perp}(\mathfrak{C})$ and $gd(\mathfrak{C})$ (defined in 3.13.1), with $\mathfrak{C}$ the Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal attached to a G-canonical lift $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}$, do not depend on the choice of z (cf. c) of 4.4.1 3)).

4.4.12. Remark. We assume that d) of 4.4.1 3) holds and that $k = \bar{k}$. Let $V(k)$ be a complete DVR which is a finite, faithfully flat extension of $W(k)$. Let $m_{V(k)}\colon \mathrm{Spec}(V(k)) \to \mathcal{N}$ be a morphism. Let $(A_{V(k)}, p_{A_{V(k)}}) := m^*_{V(k)}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and let $(t_\alpha^{V(k)})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}$ be the family of Hodge cycles with which $A_{V(k)}$ is naturally endowed. From 2.3.13.1 and the density part of 4.2.1, we deduce the existence of a $V(k)$-isomorphism

$$L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V(k) = M \otimes_{W(k(v))} V(k) \tilde{\to} H^1_{dR}(A_{V(k)}/V(k))$$

taking $\tilde{\psi}$ into $p_{A_{V(k)}}$ and taking v_α into $t_\alpha^{V(k)}$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$.

4.4.13. The U-ordinariness. 2.2.17.1 raises the question: when a lift of quasi CM type of a Shimura F-crystal over a perfect field is unique? To answer this question we start with some definitions. In what follows k is an arbitrary perfect field.

4.4.13.1. Definitions. a) A potentially cyclic diagonalizable Shimura σ_k-crystal $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ over k is called a U-ordinary σ_k-crystal, if its extension to $\bar{k}$ has a unique lift of quasi CM type.

b) Due to this uniqueness, this lift of quasi CM type is defined (via natural extension) by a direct summand $F^1(\tilde{M})$ of $\tilde{M}$. $F^1(\tilde{M})$ itself or the Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal $(\tilde{M}, F^1(\tilde{M}), \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ is called the U-canonical lift of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$.

c) A U-ordinary Shimura σ_k-crystal $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ is called T-ordinary if the Lie algebra $\mathcal{T} := W(0)(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}), \tilde{\varphi})$ is abelian (due to the potentially cyclic diagonalizable part implicit in the definition of U-ordinariness, this is equivalent –cf. 2.2.19.2– to: $\mathcal{T}$ is the Lie algebra of a maximal torus of $\tilde{G}$).

d) In the same way we defined G-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, we define U-ordinary and T-ordinary points of it, as well as U-canonical lifts of U-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ (or of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/\tilde{H}_0$) with values in perfect fields.

e) a) to c) extend naturally to the context of Shimura (filtered) Lie σ_k-crystals or to the context of generalized Shimura p-divisible objects. In particular we speak about U-ordinary Shimura Lie σ_k-crystals and about their U-canonical lifts (to be compared with 3.11.6.1).

We have the following characterization of U-ordinary σ_k-crystals:

4.4.13.2. Proposition. *A Shimura σ_k-crystal $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ over k is U-ordinary iff it can be extended to a Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal $(\tilde{M}, F^1(\tilde{M}), \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ whose attached Shimura filtered Lie σ_k-crystal is (see def. 2.2.12 d)) of toric type.*

Proof: We first assume the existence of an F^1-filtration $F^1(\tilde{M})$ of $\tilde{M}$ such that the Shimura filtered Lie σ_k-crystal $\big(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}), \tilde{\varphi}, F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})), F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}))\big)$ is of toric type. Let Z be the integral, closed subgroup of $\tilde{G}$ such that the Lie algebra of its generic fibre is $W(0)(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})[\frac{1}{p}], \tilde{\varphi})$. We can assume $k = \bar{k}$. So, the generic fibre of Z has a natural $\mathbb{Q}_p$-structure $Z_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$: the Lie algebra of $Z_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is formed by elements of $\mathrm{Lie}(Z)[\frac{1}{p}]$ fixed by $\tilde{\varphi}$. $Z_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a reductive group having a maximal torus of the same dimension as the rank of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ (cf. 2.2.3 3) and the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-version of 2.2.9 8)).

Let $\tilde{\mu} : \mathbb{G}_m \to \tilde{G}$ be the canonical split of $(\tilde{M}, F^1(\tilde{M}), \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$. As in the proof of 2.2.18 we get that $F^1(\tilde{M})$ is a lift of quasi CM type and that $\tilde{\mu}_{B(k)}$ factors through the center of Z. Let now $F^1_1(\tilde{M})$ be another lift of quasi CM type of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$. Let $\tilde{\mu}_1 : \mathbb{G}_m \to \tilde{G}$ be the canonical split of $(\tilde{M}, F^1_1(\tilde{M}), \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$. Its generic fibre factors through a maximal torus of $Z_{B(k)}$ and so it commutes with $\tilde{\mu}$. This implies $\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu}_1$ (to be compared with Fact 2 of 2.2.9 3)) and so we have $F^1_1(\tilde{M}) = F^1(\tilde{M})$.

To prove the other implication, we can assume $k = \bar{k}$. We just have to show that for any cyclic diagonalizable Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal $(\tilde{M}, F^1(\tilde{M}), \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ whose Shimura filtered Lie σ_k-crystal is not of toric type, there is a lift $F^1_1(\tilde{M})$ of quasi CM type of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ which is different from $F^1(\tilde{M})$. Let $Z_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be as above. It is easy to see (using just topological arguments) that there is $g \in Z_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ which takes $\tilde{M}$ onto itself and which does not normalize $F^1(\tilde{M})$; as any such g is fixed by φ, it moreover normalizes $F^1(\tilde{M})/pF^1(\tilde{M})$. But now, from the very def. 2.2.17, we get that $g(F^1(\tilde{M}))$ is another lift of quasi CM type of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$. This ends the proof.

4.4.13.2.1. Corollary. *A Shimura σ_k-crystal is U-ordinary iff its Shimura adjoint filtered Lie σ-crystal is.*

This is a consequence of 4.4.13.2 and of the Fact of 2.2.13.3.

4.4.13.3. Examples. From 4.4.13.2 and 3.1.0 a) we get: any Shimura-ordinary σ_k-crystal is U-ordinary and any Shimura-canonical lift is a U-canonical lift. This solves all 3 exercises of 4.4.8 (for 4.4.8 1) cf. also 2.3.17). But there are plenty of U-ordinary σ_k-crystals which are not Shimura-ordinary; below we present the simplest type of such examples, directly in the adjoint context.

4.4.13.3.1. New examples. Let $\tilde{G}_0$ be a split, simple, adjoint group over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of some C_n, B_n, E_7 or (with $n \geq 4$) D_n Lie type. Let $\tilde{G} := \mathrm{Res}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^3})/\mathbb{Z}_p} \tilde{G}_{0W(\mathbb{F}_{p^3})}$; so $\tilde{G}_0$ is naturally a subgroup of $\tilde{G}$. $\tilde{G}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^3})}$ is a product of three copies $\tilde{G}_1$, $\tilde{G}_2$ and $\tilde{G}_3$ of $\tilde{G}_{0W(\mathbb{F}_{p^3})}$. Let $\tilde{\mu} : \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow \tilde{G}$ be an injective cocharacter factoring through $\tilde{G}_0$ and such that the pair $(\tilde{G}, [\tilde{\mu}])$ is a Shimura group pair; if $\tilde{G}_0$ is of D_n Lie type, we assume $(\tilde{G}, [\tilde{\mu}])$ is of $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type (i.e. the derived subgroup of the centralizer of $\tilde{\mu}$ in $\tilde{G}_0$ is of D_{n-1} Lie type). We consider a maximal torus $\tilde{T}$ of $\tilde{G}$ through which $\tilde{\mu}$ factors and a Borel subgroup of $\tilde{G}$ containing $\tilde{T}$ and such that $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{B})$ is included in the F^0-filtration of $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})$ produced as usual –see 2.2.8 3)– by $\tilde{\mu}$. Let $w \in \tilde{G}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^3}))$ be a Weyl element (w.r.t. $\tilde{T}$) such that its component in $\tilde{G}_3$ is trivial, while its component in $\tilde{G}_i$ ($i \in \{1,2\}$) takes $\tilde{B}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^3})} \cap \tilde{G}_i$ into its opposite (w.r.t. the maximal torus $\tilde{T}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^3})} \cap \tilde{G}_i$ of $\tilde{G}_i$).

As in 4.1.5 we construct a Shimura adjoint filtered Lie $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^3}}$-crystal

$$\big(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^3})}), w(\sigma\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1), F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^3})})), F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^3})}))\big)$$

which is (to be compared with 4.1.5.2) potentially cyclic diagonalizable, is of toric type but, as its Lie stable p-rank is 0, it is not of parabolic type. So any generalized Shimura filtered $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^3}}$-crystal $\mathfrak{C}$ having it as its attached adjoint is a U-canonical lift, without being a Shimura-canonical lift; if $\tilde{G}$ is of A_1 Lie type, then the U-ordinary $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^3}}$-crystal underlying $\mathfrak{C}$ is in fact a T-ordinary $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^3}}$-crystal.

Similar examples can be constructed modeled on some A_n, $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$, E_6 or D_n^{mixed} type. There are plenty of examples involving as well adjoint factors which are included in the corresponding F^0-filtration, as well as examples which involve other Weyl elements. See [Va8] for a minute analysis and classification of such examples.

4.4.13.4. Remarks. 1) 4.4.13.2 implies that U-ordinary points which are not Shimura-ordinary do not show up in the classical setting of Siegel modular varieties. But they do show up in the context of some of the classical standard PEL situations of [Ko2, ch. 5] (for instance, cf. the C_n Lie type part of 4.4.13.3.1 and 4.12.12.6 below; see also 4.12.12.6.6 3) below). To our knowledge, not even one example of a U-ordinary point which is not Shimura-ordinary has been previously identified as such.

2) 4.4.3-4, 4.4.5-6 and 4.4.9-10 extend to the context of U-ordinary points; in particular, 4.4.5-6 give us completely new ways to construct abelian varieties having complex multiplication (in connection to 4.4.6 and 4.4.9-10 see §6 and respectively §14).

3) The letter T in 4.4.13.1 stands for toric, while the letter U stands for uniqueness as well as for the way (serpent like) the Weyl elements (see 4.4.13.3.1 for a sample) have to act on different Lie algebras of (some) adjoint groups in order to produce U-ordinary (Lie) σ_k-crystals.

4) We do not know when the U-ordinariness is an isogeny invariant or when 3.11.5 holds for U-ordinary $\sigma_{\bar{k}}$-crystals. Also we do not know when we can recognize a U-ordinary (adjoint Lie) σ_k-crystal from its Newton polygon. It seems to us, that the answer would be: only when we are in a Shimura-ordinary context.

5) As in 3.11.3 we define the degree, the T-degree and (when appropriate) the A-degree of definition of a U-ordinary σ_k-crystal $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$. Following 3.1.5, the canonical split cocharacter $\tilde{\mu}$ of its U-canonical lift is also called the canonical split cocharacter of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$. It has interpretations similar to the ones of 3.1.4-6:

INT. *$\tilde{\mu}$ is the unique cocharacter of $\tilde{G}$ defining the filtration class of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ and such that its image fixes the elements of $W(0)(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}), \tilde{\varphi})$ (cf. the proof of 4.4.13.2). It is fixed by any automorphism of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$. Any endomorphism of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ is as well an endomorphism of its U-canonical lift.*

Similarly we define the canonical split cocharacter of any U-ordinary Shimura Lie σ_k-crystal $\mathfrak{L}$. It has an entirely similar interpretation as of INT.

4.4.13.5. Remark. We have the following variant of the Proposition of AE.4:

Corollary. *Let $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(G, X, H)$. If a_g fixes an $\mathbb{F}$-valued U-ordinary point of $\mathcal{N}$, then it fixes its U-canonical lift $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(\mathbb{F})) \to \mathcal{N}$.*

Proof: In such a case g_0 (defined as in [Va2, p. 495] starting from the non-trivial part of the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$-crystal $\mathfrak{C}_z^{\mathrm{ad}}$ attached to z), is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{C}_z^{\mathrm{ad}}$ itself (see 4.4.13.4 5)) and so (cf. the uniqueness part of 2.3.17) a_g fixes z.

4.5. Different stratifications.

4.5.1. Definition. The stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ in $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant, reduced, locally closed subschemes indexed and defined by Newton polygons of Shimura Lie σ_k-crystals attached to points $\mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, is called the canonical Lie stratification.

4.5.2. Definition. The stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ in $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant, reduced, locally closed subschemes, indexed and defined by sequences of length $|\mathcal{H}|$ formed by Newton polygons of the cyclic factors (their number is $|\mathcal{H}|$) of Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystals attached to points $\mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, is called the refined canonical Lie stratification.

4.5.3. Remarks. 1) $\mathcal{U}$ is the open, dense stratum of both stratifications of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ defined above (cf. 4.2.1 and 4.3.4). In the refined context, in all that follows we can as well replace $\mathcal{H}$ by $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$.

2) Let $i \in \mathcal{H}$. Let π_i be the projector of $\mathrm{End}(L_p^*[\frac{1}{p}])$ on $\mathrm{Lie}(G_i)$ having as its kernel the elements of $\mathrm{End}(L_p^*[\frac{1}{p}])$ perpendicular on $\mathrm{Lie}(G_i)$ with respect to the trace form on $\mathrm{End}(L_p^*[\frac{1}{p}])$ (to be compared with [Va2, 4.2] and AE.0). It is fixed by $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and so it can be viewed (cf. [Va2, 4.1] and the identifications of [Va2, top of p. 473]) as a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear combination of Betti realizations of Hodge cycles of abelian varieties over fields of characteristic zero obtained by pulling back $\mathcal{A}_{H_0}$. We can assume as well that π_i is a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear combination of v_α's of 2.3.1. So the $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant part of 4.5.1-2 follows from this and the paragraph after Fact 4 of 2.3.11.

4.5.4. ρ p-divisible objects. We assume $k = \bar{k}$. Any representation

$$\rho : G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \to GL(N),$$

with N a non-zero, free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of finite rank, allows us to attach to any point $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}$, a p-divisible object ${}_\rho\mathfrak{C}_z$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a_\rho, b_\rho]}(W(k))$; here $a_\rho, b_\rho \in \mathbb{Z}$ are defined by the fact that

$$\mu_\rho := \rho_{W(k(v))} \circ \mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to GL\big(N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v))\big)$$

produces a direct sum decomposition

$$N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v)) = \oplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_\rho} F_\rho^i,$$

with all $F^i_\rho \neq 0$, with $a_\rho, b_\rho \in \mathcal{I}_\rho$ such that $\mathcal{I}_\rho \subset S(a_\rho, b_\rho)$, and where $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k(v)))$ acts through μ_ρ on F^i_ρ as the multiplication with β^{-i}. This goes as follows.

Let y be the special fibre of z. We consider a $1_{\mathcal{J}'}$-isomorphism (in the sense of 2.2.9 6)) of the quadruple $\big(M \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k), \mu_{W(k)}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}, \tilde{\psi}\big)$ with the quadruple $\big(M_y, \mu_y, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}, p_{A_y}\big)$, cf. d) of 4.4.1 3) and 4.4.12. If we do not want to use d) of 4.4.1 3), then we can use $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structures as in 2.2.9 8): at the end we get the same thing.

Here $(A_z, p_{A_z}) := z^*(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$, A_y is the special fibre of A_z and $M_y := H^1_{\text{crys}}\big(A_y/W(k)\big)$; $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}$ is the family of de Rham (crystalline) components of the family of Hodge cycles with which A_z is naturally endowed. $\mu_y : \mathbb{G}_m \to GL(M_y)$ is a cocharacter fixing t_α, $\forall\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'$; it produces a direct sum decomposition $M_y = F^1_z \oplus F^0_z$, with F^1_z as the Hodge filtration of M_y defined by A_z and with $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acting through μ_y on F^i_z as the multiplication with β^{-i}.

We can write the Frobenius φ_y of M_y, under this isomorphism, as $g(\sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p})\otimes 1)$ with $g \in G^0_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(W(k)) \subset GL(M)(W(k))$. Now we define

$$_\rho\mathfrak{C}_z := \big(N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k), (F^i_\rho \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k))_{i\in\mathcal{I}_\rho}, \varphi_{y,\rho}\big),$$

with

$$\varphi_{y,\rho} := \rho_{W(k)}(g)(\sigma\mu_\rho(\frac{1}{p})\otimes 1).$$

Obviously, $_\rho\mathfrak{C}_z$ is uniquely determined up to inner isomorphism (regardless of all choices). In §5 we will see that there is a p-divisible object

$$_\rho\mathfrak{C}\mathcal{N}$$

of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[a_\rho,b_\rho]}(\mathcal{N})$ attached to ρ in such a manner that in z it becomes $_\rho\mathfrak{C}_z$. $_\rho\mathfrak{C}_z$ is called the ρ p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a_\rho,b_\rho]}(W(k))$ attached to z.

The p-divisible object $_\rho\mathfrak{C}\mathcal{N}$ defines a Newton polygon stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ in $G(\mathbb{A}^p_f)$-invariant, reduced, locally closed subschemes (cf. §5). We call it the ρ-stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. As here we do not show the existence of $_\rho\mathfrak{C}\mathcal{N}$, we briefly indicate how we can define the ρ-stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ directly, by just sketching the construction of $_\rho\mathfrak{C}\mathcal{N}$. We can work in the étale topology of $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$, around the point through which y factors. In order to apply 2.3.15, we still denote by z the resulting $W(k)$-morphism $\text{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$. Let $a : Y = \text{Spec}(R) \to \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ be as in 2.3.15. We assume a factors through an affine subscheme of $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ whose p-adic completion has a Frobenius lift and it is compatible with the two Frobenius lifts. Let $(M_y \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge, F^1_z \otimes_{W(k)} R^\wedge, g_Y(\varphi_y \otimes 1), \nabla_{R^\wedge})$ have the analogue meaning of 2.3.15 d). Following the same pattern of the construction of $_\rho\mathfrak{C}_z$, we consider the following p-divisible object $_\rho\mathfrak{C}_R$ of $\mathcal{MF}^\nabla_{[a_\rho,b_\rho]}(R)$

$$(N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R^\wedge, (F^i_\rho \otimes_{W(k(v))} R^\wedge)_{i\in\mathcal{I}_\rho}, \rho_R(g_Y)(\varphi_{y,\rho}\otimes 1), \nabla_{R^\wedge}).$$

Here, as $\nabla_{R^\wedge}$ respects the G_R-action, we still denote by $\nabla_{R^\wedge}$ the connection on $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R$ it defines naturally (via the Lie homomorphism $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}) \to \mathrm{End}(N)$ associated to ρ). Using Teichmüller lifts $\mathrm{Spec}(W(\mathbb{F})) \to Y$, we get that the Newton polygon stratification of R/pR defined by ${}_\rho\mathfrak{C}_R$, is the pull back via the special fibre of a of a Newton polygon stratification of the image IM of the special fibre of a in $\mathcal{N}_k/H_0$; it is nothing else but the Newton polygon stratification defined by the Newton polygons of ${}_\rho\mathfrak{C}_z$ and so it is the pull back to IM of the ρ-stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}/H_0$. Standard arguments (based on 4.5.6 1) below and the part of 2.3.9 A referring to $\mathbb{F}$) show that in the last sentence we can replace $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}/H_0$ by $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/H_0$.

4.5.5. Definition. The intersection of all ρ-stratifications of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is called the absolute stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$.

4.5.6. Exercises. 0) The ρ-stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is trivial (i.e. formed just by one stratum) iff μ_ρ factors through the center of the image of $\rho_{W(k(v))}$ in $GL(N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v)))$. Hint: use 3.2.2 and the proof of 4.3.6.

1) Any ρ-stratification is $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant. Hint: adapt 4.5.3 2).

2) The canonical Lie stratification is the Ad-stratification, where $\mathrm{Ad} : G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \to GL(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}))$ is the adjoint representation.

3) If $\mathrm{Ad}_i : G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \to GL(\mathrm{Lie}(G_i))$, $i \in \mathcal{H}$, is the (irreducible) subrepresentation of Ad induced on the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-direct summand $\mathrm{Lie}(G_i)$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$ (see 4.3.1), then the refined canonical Lie stratification is the intersection of all Ad_i-stratifications, $i \in \mathcal{H}$.

4) The absolute stratification is well-defined, i.e. its strata are locally closed subschemes and their number is finite. In fact, always there is a ρ such that the absolute stratification and the ρ-stratification coincide. Hints: for the first part use that the set of $G(B(\mathbb{F}))$-conjugacy classes of semisimple elements obtained as in 2.2.24.1 but working with a $Cl(M_0, \varphi_0, G_{W(\mathbb{F})})$ as in 4.2.6 is finite; for the second part, starting from a finite number ρ_1, ρ_2,..., ρ_m of representations of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ such that the absolute stratification is the intersection of the ρ_i's stratifications, $i \in S(1, m)$, use a sum $\sum_{s=1}^m \rho_s(n_s)$, with all n_s's belonging to $\mathbb{N}$ and such that $n_1 << n_2 << ... << n_m$; here $\rho_s(n_s)$ is the tensor product representation of ρ_s with the one dimensional representation achieving the Tate twist by $\mathbb{Z}_p(n_s)$ (variant, if all images of ρ_s's are semisimple, we can equally well work with a sum $\sum_{s=1}^m n_s\rho_s$).

5) If $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}$, the usual Newton polygon and absolute stratifications coincide. Hint: see 4.5.6.1 below.

6) If $\rho_0 : G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \hookrightarrow GL(L_p^*)$ is the faithful representation introduced in 4.1, then the ρ_0-stratification is the stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ defined by Newton polygons of Shimura F-crystals attached to points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ with values in perfect fields.

7) Show by examples that 5) is not true for an arbitrary SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$. Hint: either use products of Shimura varieties of Hodge type or look at the case when $|\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}| \geq 2$.

8) $\mathcal{U}$ is an open subscheme of the open, dense stratum of any ρ-stratification and so it is the open, dense stratum of the absolute stratification. Hint: use b) of 4.4.1 2).

9) Show that the ρ-stratification depends only on the restriction of ρ to $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Hint: use the part of 4.2.7 involving abelianizations of groups.

All the above Exercises are very easy.

4.5.6.1. Proposition. *We assume G^{ad} has no simple factor of:*

– A_n or D_n Lie type, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, or of

– B_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 4$, which has a simple factor over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ corresponding to an $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$ such that $\varepsilon_i^{\mathrm{c}}(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is not an 1-tuple.

Then the absolute stratification coincides with the refined Lie canonical stratification.

Proof: Let $y_l : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, $l = \overline{1,2}$, be two points of the same stratum of the refined canonical Lie stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. We need to show that they belong to the same stratum of any ρ-stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. Let $(M_l, \varphi_l, G_{W(k)})$ be the Shimura σ_k-crystal attached to y_l. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that φ_l^m acts diagonally on elements of a $B(k)$-basis of $M_l[\frac{1}{p}]$. We can assume that $k = \mathbb{F}$ and so that the Shimura σ_k-crystals involved are defined over finite fields; so we can always choose m so that 2.2.24 applies: we get a semisimple element $b_l \in G(B(\mathbb{F}))$, whose eigenvalues, as an endomorphism of M_l are p to powers m times the slopes of (M, φ_l), multiplicities corresponding. For future references, from now on we still use the general notation k instead of $\mathbb{F}$ (cf. 2.2.24.1).

We choose m to be a multiple of 6 times the product of the lengths of the cyclic adjoint factors of the Shimura Lie σ_k-crystal attached to y_1 (or y_2; 4.2.10 or 4.4.1 2) implies this product does not depend on y_1). The key fact is:

Claim. *Replacing m by a suitable multiple of itself, we can assume b_1 and b_2 are $G(B(k))$-conjugate.*

To prove this claim, let $\tilde{G}$ be the product of the simply connected semisimple group cover of G^{der} and of the connected component of the origin of $Z(G)$. Replacing m by a multiple of itself, b_l is replaced by a positive power of itself; so we can assume b_l lifts to an element $\tilde{b}_l \in \tilde{G}(B(k))$. We consider a representation

$$\tilde{G}_{B(k)} \to GL(V_{B(k)})$$

factoring through $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{der}}_{B(k)}$ and such that when restricted to $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{der}}_{B(k)}$ it is a direct sum, indexed by the simple factors of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{B(k)}$, of the standard embeddings (see [He, §8 of ch. 3]) of the classical groups defined by suitable group covers of these simple factors (these group covers are quotients of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{der}}_{B(k)}$). For instance, if we have a factor $\mathrm{Sp}(\tilde{V}_{B(k)}, \tilde{\psi}_{B(k)})$ of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{der}}_{B(k)}$, with $(\tilde{V}_{B(k)}, \tilde{\psi}_{B(k)})$ a symplectic space over $B(k)$, then we get the natural representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(\tilde{V}_{B(k)}, \tilde{\psi}_{B(k)})$ on $\tilde{V}_{B(k)}$. Similarly, if we have a simple factor of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{B(k)}$ of B_ℓ Lie type, we get the classical orthogonal faithful representation of dimension $2\ell + 1$ of the split $SO(2\ell + 1)$-group (over $B(k)$).

$\tilde{b}_l$ acts diagonally on $V_{B(k)}$; we can choose $\tilde{b}_l$ so that its eigenvalues, as an automorphism of $V_{B(k)}$, are integral powers of p. $\tilde{b}_l$ also acts diagonally (via inner conjugation) on each simple factor $\mathcal{F}$ of the Lie algebra of the image of $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{der}}_{B(k)})$ in $\mathrm{End}(\tilde{V}_{B(k)})$. With its eigenvalues, as an automorphism of $\mathcal{F}$, we construct a Newton polygon $NP_l(\mathcal{F})$. 3.4.1 and 3.4.2.2 imply that the images of b_l in a set of GL-groups of Lie algebras of simple factors of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{B(k)}$ which are permuted transitively by φ_l, up to isomorphism of these groups, are the same. So, the fact that y_1 and y_2 are points of the same stratum of the refined canonical Lie stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, gets restated in: for each such simple factor $\mathcal{F}$ we have

$$NP_1(\mathcal{F}) = NP_2(\mathcal{F}). \tag{EQ}$$

Fact. *Let C be a classical semisimple, split group over $B(k)$ which has a simple adjoint and is not of A_l Lie type with $l \geq 2$ (so C is $SO(n)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$, for some adequate $n \in \mathbb{N}$). Let b^1 and b^2 be semisimple elements of $C(B(k))$ such that their eigenvalues (under the classical representation of C) are integral powers of p. We assume that the Newton polygons defined by the eigenvalues of their actions (via inner conjugation) on* $\mathrm{Lie}(C)$ *are the same. We have:*

a) *if C is of C_n or B_3 Lie type, then b^1 and b^2 are $C(B(k))$-conjugate;*

b) *if $C = SO(2n)$ (i.e. if C is of D_n Lie type) and if the greatest eigenvalue of b^1 and the greatest eigenvalue of b^2 both have multiplicity greater than 1, then b^1 and b^2 are conjugate by a $B(k)$-valued point of the orthogonal group $O(2n)$;*

c) *if $C = SO(2n+1)$ (i.e. if C is of B_n Lie type) and if the greatest eigenvalue of b^1 and the greatest eigenvalue of b^2 both have multiplicity greater than 1, then b^1 and b^2 are $C(B(k))$-conjugate.*

The simple proof of this Lemma is left as an exercise.

Let $m_{\mathrm{iso}} = 2$ be the exponent of the kernel of the natural isogeny from $\tilde{G}_{B(k)}$ into the product of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ab}}_{B(k)}$ with the image of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{der}}_{B(k)}$ in $GL(V_{B(k)})$. As in 4.2.7, we can assume that the images of $\tilde{b}_1$ and $\tilde{b}_2$ in $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ab}}_{B(k)}$ are the same. Let $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$ be such that G_i is of B_n Lie type. We take $\mathcal{F}$ to be included in $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{iB(k)})$. If the i-th cyclic adjoint factor of y_1 or of y_2 is Shimura-ordinary, then from (EQ) and from 4.4.1 2) and 4.2.1 b) we get that we can assume the images of $\tilde{b}_1$ and $\tilde{b}_2$ in the simple factor of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{B(k)}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{F}$ are the same. If the i-th cyclic adjoint factors of y_1 and of y_2 are not Shimura-ordinary and if $\varepsilon^{\mathrm{c}}_i(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is an 1-tuple, then (easy exercise; it is implicitly solved by 4.12.12.6.4.1 a) below) we are in a situation where c) applies. So, from (EQ) and a) and c) we conclude: $\tilde{b}_1^{m^2_{\mathrm{iso}}}$ and $\tilde{b}_2^{m^2_{\mathrm{iso}}}$ are $\tilde{G}(B(k))$-conjugate. This proves the Claim.

The Claim implies: y_1 and y_2 are points of the same stratum of any ρ-stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ (cf. the constructions in 4.5.4). This ends the proof of the Proposition.

4.5.6.2. Examples. A. It seems to us that the restriction on B_n Lie types in 4.5.6.1 is not needed. If $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$ is such that G_i is of B_n Lie type, then G^i is split and so it is the special orthogonal group of a perfect, symmetric bilinear form on a free $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_i}})$-module $V(i)$ of rank $2n+1$. So, viewing $V(i)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module, we consider the representation

$$\tilde{\rho}^{\mathrm{ad}}_i : G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \to GL(V(i))$$

which factors through G_i inducing the tautological representation of G_i on $V(i)$. From the proof of 4.5.6.1 we get:

Corollary. *If G_i is of some B_n Lie type, $\forall i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$, then the absolute stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is the intersection of the $\tilde{\rho}_i$-stratifications, $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$.*

B. On the other hand, 4.5.6.1 does not necessarily hold for the case when G^{ad} has simple factors of A_n Lie type, with $n \geq 2$, or of D_n Lie type, with $n \geq 4$. Here is a very simple example, in the abstract context of Shimura σ_k-crystals involving the A_3 Lie type: the proof of 4.12.12 (cf. also 4.12.12.6) below, tells us that this abstract context can be easily adapted to the context of a SHS. Let $(\tilde{M}, F^1(\tilde{M}), \tilde{\varphi}, GL(\tilde{M}))$ be a Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal over $k = \bar{k}$, with $\tilde{M}$ (resp. $F^1(\tilde{M})$) of rank 4 (resp. of rank 2). Let $g_1, g_2 \in GL(\tilde{M})$ be such that:

– $(\tilde{M}, g_1\tilde{\varphi})$ has slope $\frac{1}{3}$ with multiplicity 3 and slope 1 with multiplicity 1;

– $(\tilde{M}, g_2\tilde{\varphi})$ has slope $\frac{2}{3}$ with multiplicity 3 and slope 0 with multiplicity 1.

The Lie isocrystals $(\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})[\frac{1}{p}], g_1\tilde{\varphi})$ and $(\mathrm{End}(\tilde{M})[\frac{1}{p}], g_2\tilde{\varphi})$ are isomorphic. So the Newton polygon of

$$(\mathrm{Lie}(PSL(M)), g_i\tilde{\varphi})$$

does not depend on $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Similar examples can be constructed for any other A_{2+m} (resp. D_{3+m}) Lie type, with $m \in 1 + 2\mathbb{N}$ (resp. $m \in \mathbb{N}$). For examples involving the A_{2m} Lie types ($m \in \mathbb{N}$), we usually need groups over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ whose adjoints are simple but not absolutely simple.

Warning: in many situations 4.5.6.1 remains true even if G^{ad} has simple factors of some A_n or D_n Lie types; for instance, this is so if $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a split group and $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}} = SU(1, 2)^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

4.5.7. Some sets of Newton polygons. Let $y : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ be an arbitrary point and let $\big(M_0, \varphi_0, G_{W(\mathbb{F})}\big)$ be its attached Shimura $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal. Let $NP(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})$ be the set of Newton polygons defined by $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals of the form $(M_0, g\varphi_0)$, with $g \in G^0_{W(\mathbb{F})}\big(W(\mathbb{F})\big)$. Let $LNP(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})$ be the set of Newton polygons defined by Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals of the form $\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}), g\varphi_0\big)$, with $g \in G^0_{W(\mathbb{F})}\big(W(\mathbb{F})\big)$. Similarly, we define $RLNP(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})$ as the set of sequences of length $|\mathcal{H}|$ of Newton polygons attached to cyclic factors (their number is $|\mathcal{H}|$) of the above Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals. Let

$$N(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}}) := \left|LNP(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})\right|,$$

and let

$$N_1(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}}) := \left|RLNP(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})\right|.$$

We also write $N(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}) = N(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and $RLNP(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}) = RLNP(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}})$.

4.5.8. Remarks. 1) The sets $LNP(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})$ and $RLNP(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})$ and so also the natural numbers $N(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and $N_1(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}})$, depend only on the isomorphism class of the Shimura group pair $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p},\mu^{\mathrm{ad}})$, where $\mu^{\mathrm{ad}}:\mathbb{G}_m\to G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k(v^{\mathrm{ad}}))}$ has the similar meaning as μ of 4.1 but associated to the Shimura variety $\mathrm{Sh}(G^{\mathrm{ad}},X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ (cf. b) of 4.4.1 2)). This justifies our notations and shows that the set $RLNP(G^{\mathrm{ad}},X^{\mathrm{ad}},v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ can be defined directly without any reference to some SHS.

2) In §10 we will use different ρ-stratifications of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ to compute the numbers $N(G^{\mathrm{ad}},X^{\mathrm{ad}},v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and $N_1(G^{\mathrm{ad}},X^{\mathrm{ad}},v^{\mathrm{ad}})$.

4.5.9. The quasi-affineness property. The canonical stratification of the special fibre $\mathcal{M}_{k(v)}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ (cf. [EO] and [Oo3, 7.2]; in [Oo3] is also referred as the EO stratification) gives birth (by pull back) to an f-canonical stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, in $G(\mathbb{A}^p_f)$-invariant, reduced, locally closed, quasi-affine subschemes (as $\mathcal{N}\to\mathcal{M}$ is a finite morphism, $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}\to\mathcal{M}_{k(v)}$ is a finite morphism). We do not deal here with the interplay between the f-canonical and the canonical Lie stratifications of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. We just mention that $\mathcal{U}$ is an open subscheme of the open, dense stratum of the f-canonical stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, cf. b) or d) of 4.4.1 3), the definition of the canonical stratification of $\mathcal{M}_{k(v)}$, and (in case we do not want to use d) of 4.4.1.3) the following Exercise.

Exercise. Let (A_s,p_{A_s}), $s=\overline{1,2}$, be 2 principally polarized abelian varieties over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic. If $A_1[p]$ is isomorphic to $A_2[p]$ then $(A_1[p],p_{A_1})$ is isomorphic to $(A_2[p],p_{A_2})$. Hint: use the classification of [EO] and [Oo3, 7.2] and Corollary of D of 2.2.22 3).

3.9.3 suggests that this open, dense stratum should be $\mathcal{U}$ itself. As the quasi-affineness is well behaved under the operations of passing to finite morphisms or to open subschemes and taking quotients (via finite group actions), from [Oo3, 7.2] we get:

Corollary. *For any compact subgroup $\tilde{H}_0$ of $G(\mathbb{A}^p_f)$, the G-ordinary locus of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/\tilde{H}_0$ is a quasi-affine $k(v)$-scheme.*

The interpretation of the f-canonical stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ in terms of finite, flat group schemes over $\mathbb{F}$ annihilated by p (and liftable to $W(\mathbb{F})$) and endowed with some endomorphisms and principal polarizations should lead (via the use of PEL-envelopes as defined in 2.3.5.3) to the definition of a refined f-canonical stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. 4.5.6.2 B implicitly points out that in general, the refined f-canonical stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ once defined, can be different from the f-canonical stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$.

4.5.10. Remark. We do not stop here to prove that if $y_1,y_2\in\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}(k)$ are two distinct points giving birth to the same point $y\in\mathcal{M}_{k(v)}(k)$, then y_1 and y_2 are always in the same stratum, for any ρ-stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, as a proof of Langlands–Rapoport's conjecture for $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ in the context provided by $(f,L_{(p)},v)$ (see [Mi2] and [Va2, 1.7]) implies that such a situation $y_1\to y\leftarrow y_2$ does not occur (cf. §14; to be compared also with [Va2, 5.6.4] and 4.4.6).

4.5.11. Toric points. Here k is again an arbitrary perfect field. The isomorphism of b) of 4.4.1 2) or just 4.2.10 leads us to define:

a) a map $f_G: W_G\to LNP(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})$ associating to $\omega\in W_G$ the Newton polygon $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$ (of 4.1.5);

b) the set of $G(\omega)$-ordinary points $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ by the rule: the Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal attached to them, over $\bar{k}$, are inner isomorphic to the extension to $\bar{k}$ of the Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal of $\mathfrak{C}_\omega$ of 4.1.5; here inner refers to isomorphisms defined by elements of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}$, cf. 4.2.10.

4.5.11.1. Three open problems. If $\mathcal{N}$ has the completion property, then the set of $G(\omega)$-ordinary points is non-empty. We assume that the set of $G(\omega)$-ordinary points is non-empty (in 4.12.12.6 below we prove that this is always so). The $G(\omega)$-ordinary points are points of a uniquely determined stratum $\mathfrak{s}_\omega$ of the refined canonical Lie stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$; using the last part of 4.1.5.1 and the same argument as in the proof of the first sentence of b) of 4.4.1 3), we get that the $G(\omega)$-ordinary points are points of a uniquely determined stratum $\mathfrak{s}^a_\omega$ of the absolute stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$.

We do not know (if or) when:

ALL all points of $\mathfrak{s}_\omega$ (or of $\mathfrak{s}^a_\omega$) are $G(\omega)$-ordinary points, or when

$D(\omega)$ $G(\omega)$-ordinary points are points of an open, dense subscheme of $\mathfrak{s}_\omega$ (or of $\mathfrak{s}^a_\omega$), or when

ECC (at the opposite pole) each connected component of $\mathfrak{s}_\omega$ (or of $\mathfrak{s}^a_\omega$) has $G(\omega)$-ordinary points.

4.5.11.2. Definition. The points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ with values in perfect fields which are $G(\omega)$-ordinary, for some $\omega \in W_G$, are called toric points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$.

4.5.11.2.1. Exercise. Show that toric points can be defined in terms of Galois representations: a point $y : \mathrm{Spec}(\bar{k}) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is a toric point, iff there is a $W(\bar{k})$-valued point z of $\mathcal{N}$ lifting y such that the natural p-adic Galois representation

$$\rho_z : \Gamma_{\bar{k}} \to GL(H^1_{\acute{e}t}(z^*(\mathcal{A}), \overline{B(k)}))(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

factors through the group of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points of a maximal torus of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$; here we view $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ as a subgroup of $GL(L^*_p)$ and identify (cf. [Va2, top of p. 473]) L^*_p with $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(z^*(\mathcal{A}), \overline{B(k)})$. Hint: use 2.2.13.3, 2.2.16.2, the Criterion of 2.2.22 1), 2.3.17 and the natural variant of 4.2.4 with $\mathbb{F}$ replaced by $\bar{k}$.

4.5.11.3. Remark. We will see in §10 that toric points are "pillars" of the (refined) canonical Lie stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ as well as of all other stratifications of 4.5.15-16 below. The most important ones are the U-ordinary points introduced in 4.4.13.

4.5.12. Problems. 1) The map f_G is usually not injective. Compute the number of elements of its fibres.

2) Compute the refined Lie stable p-ranks of toric points.

4.5.13. CM levels of non-toric points. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider a point y of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ with values in a perfect field k which is not a toric point. We say y is of CM level at least n if there is a morphism $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(\bar{k})) \to \mathcal{N}$ whose special fibre factors through y and whose attached Shimura filtered $\sigma_{\bar{k}}$-crystal is (see defs. of 2.2.22 1)) cyclic diagonalizable of level n. Based on the equivalent of 4.2.4 over $\bar{k}$ instead of $\mathbb{F}$ and on Corollary of 2.2.22 1), the second condition on z can be restated in a more practical form as:

The truncation mod p^n of the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie $\sigma_{\bar{k}}$-crystal $\mathfrak{C}_z$ attached to z is isomorphic to the truncation mod p^n of the extension to $\bar{k}$ of the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to any one of $\mathfrak{C}_\omega$'s of 4.1.5.

We denote by

$$n(y) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, 1 + 2\dim(X)\}$$

the smallest supremum of the set of those n such that y is of CM level at least n; it is a) of 3.15.7 K and 3.15.7 BP0 which motivates the use of $1 + 2\dim(X)$ here. We refer to $n(y)$ as the CM level of y. Based on 2.3.17, we get that $n(y)$ is equal to the CM level of its attached Shimura σ_k-crystal (see 3.13.7). The Expectation of 3.13.7.1 gets restated as: we expect that $n(y) \geq 1$.

4.5.14. Problem. Compute the number of strata and the dimensions of the connected components of the strata of the f-canonical stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ introduced in 4.5.9. The first part of this problem is a particular case of Problem 1 of H of 2.2.22 3).

4.5.15. Other Lie type stratifications. Using the (refined) Lie stable p-ranks attached to points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ with values in fields, we obtain a (refined) Lie stable stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ in $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant, reduced, locally closed subschemes (cf. 3.9.1.1 and the part of 2.3.11 referring to $\mathcal{G}$ and to $\mathfrak{G}_{H_0}$). We can use as well Lie p-ranks (see 4.3.8 1)) to define another Lie type stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$; but the refined Lie stable stratification is in general more refined than it.

Example. The fact F5 of 3.10.7 points out that there are many situations when the stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ by Lie p-ranks has only one stratum, while 4.3.5-6 point out that, if G is not a torus, this is not so for the refined Lie stable stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$.

We have many variants: using the maps ${}_1\overline{\psi_i}^{d_i}$ of 4.3.7 and their variants, or their exterior or symmetric powers, or Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt (adjoint) maps, we can define a whole bunch of Lie type $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant stratifications of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. Before pointing out one such stratification which is very useful, we explain what we mean here by "their variants". In 4.3.7 we have selected an absolutely simple factor of $G_{iW(k)}$ with the property that the F^1-filtration of its Lie algebra defined –via 4.2.10– by any $W(k)$-morphism $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}$, is non-zero; but we have no reason to choose one particular such factor and so the choice of another one produces similar such maps (to be referred as variants of the maps of 4.3.7).

For instance, using the ranks of images of the maps of 4.3.7 and of their variants and not just the ranks of their stable images, we get the Lie non-stable stratification: it is the intersection of as many stratifications of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ as non-compact factors of $G_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ we have. In general it is different from (in some sense unrelated to) the refined Lie stable stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$.

Here is an example in the abstract form. We refer to 4.4.13.3.1. We choose another Weyl element $w_1 \in \tilde{G}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^3}))$ (resp. $w_2 \in \tilde{G}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^3}))$) whose component in $\tilde{G}_1$ is the same as the component of w and whose components in $\tilde{G}_2$ and $\tilde{G}_3$ are trivial (resp. whose components in $\tilde{G}_1$ and $\tilde{G}_2$ are the same as the ones of w and whose component in $\tilde{G}_3$ is defined similarly to its other two components). Then the Shimura adjoint Lie $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^3}}$-crystals obtained as in 4.4.13.3.1 but using these two Weyl elements have the same Lie stable rank (equal to 0) but their set of three maps defined as ${}_1\overline{\psi_i}^{d_i}$ of 4.3.7 are different (in the case of w_2 they are all zero maps, while in the case of w_1 only 2 of them are zero maps).

There are many questions arising and which involve these Lie type stratifications (for instance: how are they related?, what is the number of their strata?, when are all strata smooth or quasi-affine?, etc.). To us, the most interesting ones (and so worth stating them separately) are:

$\mathbf{Q}_1$) When the connected components of a given stratum of the Lie stable stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ (or of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}$) are permuted transitively by $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$?

$\mathbf{Q}_2$) Using all stratifications hinted at in this 4.5.15 or introduced in 4.5.1-5, we can define their intersection. We call it the pseudo-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. It is easy to see that in general it is a significant refinement of the absolute stratification. Examples: one example is already provided in the paragraph above referring to 4.4.13.3.1; a similar example can be obtained without changing "the type" of the Weyl element w of 4.4.13.3.1: referring to 4.4.13.3.1, if we choose a similar type of Weyl element but whose component in $\tilde{G}_1$ (or in $\tilde{G}_2$) is trivial, then this change is fully "recorded" by the pseudo-ultra stratification. Can we in some way keep accurate track of this refinement?

$\mathbf{Q}_3$) The above stratifications are very much related to the incipient theory of deviations of 3.13: the σ_k-linear maps of 3.9.1 and other ones constructed naturally from them –like the ones of 4.3.7– give birth (see above and below for samples) to a big bunch of stable, or of non-stable, or of anti-stable deviations of Shimura (filtered) (Lie) σ_k-crystals. Which other type of "points" of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ (besides the G-ordinary ones) and of their lifts (to $\mathcal{N}$), can be singled out using the σ_k-linear maps of 3.9.1 (and eventually some deviations similar to the ones introduced in 3.13)? Can we in this way single out all toric points (which are not U-ordinary)?

4.5.15.0. Anti-stability. To exemplify the ideas behind these new types of deviations and what we mean by anti-stable, we refer to 3.4.5 (so we work in a context modeled on 3.9.1 and not on its simplified, i.e. reduced, form of 4.3.7). Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let I_0^s be a subset of I_0. As we do need to use explicitly iterates of functions, the σ_k-linear map Ψ_j^0 of 3.4.5 is denoted here just by Ψ_j. We consider the image

$$\Psi_j^n(\oplus_{i \in I_0^s} \mathfrak{g}_i) \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$$

and we take it mod p^m: we get a $W_m(k)$-submodule $\mathrm{Im}_{(n,m,I_0^s)}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_0/p^m\mathfrak{g}_0$ of finite length. Its length $l_{(n,m,I_0^s)}$ is called the (n, m, I_0^s)-deviation of the Shimura σ_k-crystal (M, φ_j, G) or of its cyclic factor $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_j)$. Similarly, for I^s an arbitrary subset of the set I of the paragraph before 3.4.0, we can define the (n, m, I^s)-deviation of (M, φ_j, G) or of the Shimura Lie σ_k-crystal $(\mathfrak{g}, \varphi_j)$.

We can "complicate" the situation even more, by working in a filtered context (M, F^1, φ_j, G) and considering the intersection

$$\mathrm{Im}_{(n,m,I_0^s)} \cap F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0)/p^m F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0);$$

we denote its length by $l_{(n,m,I_0^s,F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0))}$. If $l_{(n,m,I_0^s)} \neq 0$, let

$$d(n, m, I_0^s, F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0)) := \frac{l_{(n,m,I_0^s,F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0))}}{l_{(n,m,I_0^s)}}.$$

If $l_{(n,m,I_0^s)} = 0$, then $d(n, m, I_0^s, F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0)) := 0$. $d(n, m, I_0^s, F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0))$ is called the (n, m, I_0^s)-deviation of (M, F^1, φ_j, G) or of $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \varphi_j, F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0), F^1(\mathfrak{g}_0))$. We have logical variants when I_0^s is replaced as above by I^s.

Working in the adjoint context, i.e. with the Shimura adjoint (filtered) Lie σ_k-crystal attached to (M, F^1, φ_j, G), we similarly define such deviations: they will be denoted by adding ad as an upper right index; like $d^{\mathrm{ad}}(n, m, I_0^s, F^0(\mathfrak{g}_0))$, $l^{\mathrm{ad}}_{(n,m,I_0^s)}$, etc. 3.9.6 points out: for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every subset I^s of I we have

$$l^{\mathrm{ad}}_{(n,1,I^s)} = l_{(n,1,I^s)}.$$

If $I_0^s \neq I_0$ or if I^s is not stable under γ, then these deviations are referred as anti-stable deviations. All the above type of deviations can be defined in the abstract context of Shimura (adjoint) (filtered) Lie F-crystals over perfect fields.

4.5.15.1. A concrete description of the pseudo-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ and some variants. For future references, we now include a canonical description of the pseudo-ultra stratification introduced in 4.5.15 Q_2). We consider two points y_1 and y_2 of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ with values in the same algebraically closed field k. Let (see 4.3.1)

$$\bar{\psi}_j(i) = g_{j,i}\bar{\psi}(i)$$

be the i-th Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint map attached to y_j, $j = \overline{1,2}$, $i \in \mathcal{H}$. Here $g_{j,i} \in G_i(k)$. For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for a subset I^s of the set $I_p(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ of 4.3.1.1, we can define a number $l_{n,m,I^s,y_j} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ as in 4.5.15.0. Using these numbers we define the pseudo-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ as follows. y_1 and y_2 belong to the same stratum of this stratification iff the following two conditions hold:

a) *They belong to the same stratum of the absolute stratification;*

b) $l_{n,1,I^s,y_1} = l_{n,1,I^s,y_2}$, *for any* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and for every subset* I^s *of* $I_p(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$.

In order to define a refinement of it, we need a basic assumption (approach):

Either we assume that the below Expectation holds or we allow stratifications of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ *in potentially infinite number of strata (see 2.1; we recall that our convention in the last case is to describe the reduced, locally closed subschemes of* $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ *or of* $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}$ *defined by such a stratification* $\mathcal{S}$ *of* $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$*, as the passage to an arbitrary* $\bar{k}$ *–i.e. the description of the reduced, locally closed subschemes of* $\mathcal{N}_{\bar{k}}$ *which are implicitly part of the definition of* $\mathcal{S}$*– is automatic).*

Expectation (the finiteness property). *For* $i \in \mathcal{H}$*, the set of inner isomorphism classes of Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint maps of the form* $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{ik}), g_i\bar{\psi}_1(i))$*, with* $g_i \in G_i(k)$*, is finite and does not depend on* k*.*

Based on 3.13.7.1, we do believe that this finiteness property always holds and that it can be proved (for instance) by just refining 3.5.3 slightly. Under this basic assumption we can define the ultra stratification in the same way we defined the pseudo-ultra stratification, by just replacing b) by the following requirement:

c) *For any* $i \in \mathcal{H}$*,* $\bar{\psi}_1(i)$ *and* $\bar{\psi}_2(i)$ *are inner isomorphic.*

If we work only with c) (i.e. we do not impose a) as well), we speak about the quasi-ultra stratification or the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$; it is a stratification which depends only on adjoint Lie F-crystals attached to points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ with values in perfect fields. The argument of why c) always defines locally closed subschemes of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is presented in 4.5.15.2. The Expectation should be compared with [EO] (or [Oo3]), where a similar finiteness property in the context of the p-torsion of principally quasi-polarized p-divisible groups over k is used; in particular, loc. cit. implies (via 3.13.7.2 and 1) of 3.13.7.4 D) that the above finiteness property holds if all G_i's of 4.3.1 are split of some C_n Lie type.

We do not know what is the right connection between the quasi-ultra stratification and the refined canonical Lie stratification. Also, we do not know when the quasi-ultra (or the pseudo-ultra) and the ultra stratifications coincide.

Warning: in what follows, whenever we refer to the (quasi-) ultra stratification, we implicitly assume that we work under the above basic assumption (approach).

4.5.15.2. Formulas pertaining to the quasi-ultra stratification. From their very definition, the pseudo-ultra and the quasi-ultra stratifications are (once checked to exist) $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant (see Fact 6 of 2.3.11). So from the part of 2.3.9 A pertaining to $\mathbb{F}$ we get: to show that the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is well defined, we can work with the quotient $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}/H_0$ (in particular, in what follows we speak about the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k_1}/\tilde{H}_0$ for any compact subgroup $\tilde{H}$ of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ and for every perfect field k_1 which is either algebraically closed or is an algebraic extension of $k(v)$). For the sake of convenience we keep working with $k = \bar{k}$.

The below Formula tells us that the quasi-ultra stratification is a very elementary concept (i.e. it can be easily studied, using few mathematical tools). Its proof is a trivial application of 2.3.15.1 (or of 3.6.14.4). We use the notations of 4.5.15.1. Let $\bar{P}_i(y_1)$ be the parabolic subgroup of G_{ik} having as its Lie algebra the F^0-filtration of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{ik})$ defined naturally via any lift of y_1 to a $W(k)$-valued point of $\mathcal{N}$ (i.e. defined by the reduction mod p of the F^0-filtration of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)})$ defined by any such lift; see 2.3.10 and 2.2.13). Let U_i be an arbitrary smooth, unipotent subgroup of G_{ik} such that we have a natural open embedding

$$U_i \hookrightarrow G_{ik}/\bar{P}_i(y_1).$$

We denote by $\mathbb{T}^0$ the action we get as in 3.13.7.1 starting from the Shimura σ-crystal associated to an arbitrary Shimura-ordinary k-valued point of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. It is 2.3.13.1, 4.2.1 and b) of 4.4.1 2) which allow us to make this choice arbitrarily: we can assume that $\forall i \in \mathcal{H}$, the i-th Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint map associated to any such point is $\bar{\psi}(i)$ (of 4.3.1). $\mathbb{T}^0$ gets decomposed into $|\mathcal{H}|$-actions $\mathbb{T}^0(i)$, $i \in \mathcal{H}$: $\mathbb{T}^0(i)$ is the action of the centralizer $C_i(\mu)_k$ in G_{ik} of the image of μ_k in G_{ik} on

$$(SP(i)) \qquad \mathcal{X}(i) := N_i^+(\mu)_k \setminus G_{ik}/\sigma(N_i^-(\mu))_k$$

defined by restricting $\mathbb{T}^0$ to $C_i(\mu)_k$. Here N_i^- (resp. N_i^+) is the maximal unipotent subgroup of $G_{iW(k(v))}$ with the property that μ acts on its Lie algebra (via inner conjugation) via the identical (resp. the inverse of the identical) character of $\mathbb{G}_m$.

We consider the locally closed subscheme $V_i(1)$ of U_i whose k-valued points are those $u_i \in U_i(k)$ such that the pairs $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{ik}), \bar{\psi}_1(i))$ and $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{ik}), u_i\bar{\psi}_1(i))$ are inner isomorphic. Its existence is implied by the existence of $\mathbb{T}^0$, as the condition on u_i can be reformulated as: $u_i g_{1,i}\bar{\psi}(i)$ and $g_{1,i}\bar{\psi}(i)$ are inner isomorphic. Let

$$d_i(y_1)$$

be the dimension of the connected component of $V_i(1)$ containing the origin of U_i. For $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{c}}$, we have $d_i(y_1) = 0$. Let $z \in \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}(W(k))$ be an arbitrary lift of y_1. Let $\tilde{a}$ and $g_{\tilde{Y}}$ have the same significance as in 2.3.15.1 (applied to z, viewed as a $W(k)$-valued point of $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ lifting the k-valued point –still denoted by y_1– of $\mathcal{N}_k/H_0$ defined by y_1; so y of 2.3.12.1 is denoted here by y_1), with N such that its special fibre is (naturally identifiable with)

$$\prod_{i\in\mathcal{H}} U_i.$$

As the special fibre $\tilde{a}_k$ of $\tilde{a}$ is an étale morphism, we get that the image under $\tilde{a}_k$ of

$$g_{\tilde{Y}}^{-1}\Big(\prod_{i\in\mathcal{H}} V_i(1)\Big),$$

is a locally closed subscheme of $\mathcal{N}_k/H_0$: it is the intersection of the stratum $s(y_1)$ of the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_k/H_0$ to which y_1 belongs with the image of the special fibre of $\tilde{a}$. So the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_k/H_0$ is well defined and we have:

Formula. *The dimension of the stratum of the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ to which y_1 belongs is equal to*

$$(1) \qquad d_{FSHW}(y_1) := \sum_{i\in\mathcal{H}} d_i(y_1) = \sum_{i\in\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}} d_i(y_1).$$

4.5.15.2.1. Variant. Using 2.3.15 instead of 2.3.15.1, we can express even better the numbers $d_i(y_1)$'s. To explain this we need some more notations. Let

$$d(i) := \dim_k(G_{ik}/\bar{P}_i(y_1));$$

for $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{c}}$ it is 0. Let $(M_1, \varphi_1, G_{W(k)})$ is the Shimura σ_k-crystal attached to y_1. From very definitions we get the second equality of

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = dd((M_1, \varphi_1, G_{W(k)})) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}} d(i); \tag{2}$$

the first equality is argued as in [Va2, end of 5.4.7]. We consider the group

$$\mathrm{Inn}(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{ik}), \bar{\psi}_1(i))$$

of inner automorphisms of the i-th Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint map attached to y_1. It is an algebraic group over k. Let $d^i(y_1)$ be its dimension. We have:

Proposition. *$d_i(y_1) + d^i(y_1) = d(i)$, $\forall i \in \mathcal{H}$.*

Proof: We use the notations of 2.3.15 (as above, the resulting $W(k)$-valued point of $\mathcal{N}/H_0$ defined by z is still denoted by z, the lift z_1 mentioned in 2.3.15 a) is as well still denoted by z while its special fibre is denoted by y_1 instead of y). The morphism a is of relative dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(G^0_{\mathbb{Q}}) - \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$. So the pull back of $s(y_1)$ to Y_k is of dimension

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(G^0_{\mathbb{Q}}) - \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) + d_{FSHW}(y_1). \tag{3}$$

The key fact is that the special fibre of g_Y is étale. We get

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(G^0_{\mathbb{Q}}) - \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) + d_{FSHW}(y_1) = \dim_k(S^0(y_1)), \tag{4}$$

where $S^0(y_1)$ is the connected component of the origin of the maximal reduced, locally closed subscheme $S(y_1)$ of G^0_k whose k-valued points are those $g \in G^0(k)$ such that $\bar{\psi}_1(i)$ and $g\bar{\psi}_1(i)$ are inner isomorphic, $\forall i \in \mathcal{H}$. Defining similarly a reduced, locally closed subscheme $S_i(y_1)$ of G_{ik} (it is nothing else but the image of $S(y_1)$ in G_{ik}), the connected component of it containing the origin is the image of $S^0_i(y_1)$ in G_{ik}. We get that

$$\dim_k(S^0(y_1)) = \dim_k(Z(G^0_k)) + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \dim_k(S^0_i(y_1)). \tag{5}$$

Combining the above formulas (1) to (5) and splitting up the discussion in terms of $i \in \mathcal{H}$, we get

$$\dim_k(G_{ik}) - d(i) + d_i(y_1) = \dim_k(S^0_i(y_1)). \tag{6}$$

Let $Z_i(y_1)$ be the subscheme of $S_i(y_1)$ centralizing (via left translations) $\bar{\psi}_1(i)$; we view it as a (non-necessarily reduced) group scheme over k. $S_i(y_1)$ is invariant under right translations by elements of $Z_i(y_1)(k)$. The k-valued points of the quotient variety $S_i(y_1)/Z_i(y_1)$ are in one-to-one correspondence to the set of distinct maps $h\bar{\psi}_1(i)$, with $h \in G_i(k)$, which are inner isomorphic to $\bar{\psi}_1(i)$. As $h\bar{\psi}_1(i)$ and $\bar{\psi}_1(i)$ have the same kernel $\mathrm{Lie}(\bar{P}_i(y_1))$, $\forall h \in G_1(k)$, an inner isomorphism between them is defined by an element of $\bar{P}_i(y_1)(k)$ (cf. also a) of Step 2 of 3.13.7.3). So $S_i(y_1)/Z_i(y_1)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\bar{P}_i(y_1)/\mathrm{Inn}(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{ik}), \bar{\psi}_1(i))$. So all connected components of $S_i(y_1)$ have the same dimension and are smooth and moreover we have

$$\dim_k(S_i^0(y_1)) = \dim_k(\bar{P}_i(y_1)) - d^i(y_1) + \dim_k(Z_i(y_1)). \tag{7}$$

As $\dim_{W(k)}(G_i) = \dim_k(\bar{P}_i(y_1)) + d(i)$, from (6) and (7) we get

$$d(i) - d_i(y_1) - d^i(y_1) = d(i) - \dim_k(Z_i(y_1)). \tag{8}$$

We are just left to show that $d(i) = \dim_k(Z_i(y_1))$. From its definition, $Z_i(y_1)(k)$ is formed by those elements of $G_i(k)$ centralizing the image of $\bar{\psi}_1(i)$. This image is isomorphic (under the inner isomorphism defined by $g_{1,i}^{-1}$) to the image of $\bar{\psi}(i)$. So the equality $d(i) = \dim_k(Z_i(y_1))$ follows from a) and c) of Step 2 of 3.13.7.3. This ends the proof.

Remark. The above proof shows: we can read out which stratum of the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_k$ specializes to which strata by just looking at which orbits of $\mathbb{T}^0$ specialize to which orbits of it.

4.5.15.2.2. Remark. $Z_i(y_1)$ is connected, $\forall i \in \mathcal{H}$, cf. a) of Step 2 of 3.13.7.3. So $S_i^0(y_1) = S_i(y_1)$, $\forall i \in \mathcal{H}$; so if $Z(G_k)$ is connected, $S(y_1)$ itself is connected. Moreover, as $S_i(y_1)$ is smooth, $\forall i \in \mathcal{H}$, we get that $S(y_1)$ is smooth. So, as a (resp. g_Y mod p) is smooth (resp. is étale), we get that $s(y_1)$ is smooth over k. We conclude:

Corollary. *The strata of the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ are regular and formally smooth over $k(v)$.*

4.5.15.2.3. Inequalities. Let $P_i^-(\mu)$ (resp. $P_i^+(\mu)$) be the subgroup of $G_{iW(k(v))}$ on which μ acts via inner conjugation through the trivial and the identity (resp. the trivial and the inverse of the identity) cocharacter of $\mathbb{G}_m$. So $N_i^-(\mu)$ (resp. $N_i^+(\mu)$) as defined in 4.5.15.2, is the unipotent radical of $P_i^-(\mu)$ (resp. of $P_i^+(\mu)$). Let ω be as in 4.1.5. We denote by

$$d^i(\omega)$$

the dimension of the automorphism group of the i-th Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint map $w\bar{\psi}(i)$ attached to $\mathfrak{C}_\omega$.

We assume now that there is a k-valued point of $\mathcal{N}$ such that the truncation mod p of the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie σ-crystal associated to a lift $z \in \mathcal{N}(W(k))$ of y, is inner isomorphic to the truncation mod p of the extension of the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie

$\bar{\sigma}$-crystal associated to $\mathfrak{C}_\omega$ (of 4.1.5) to k. From the first sentence of 3.13.7.3.1, splitting (in our context) its statement in terms of $i \in \mathcal{H}$, we get

$$(9)\qquad \dim_k(P_i^-(\mu)) - \dim_k(N_i^-(\mu)) \geq \dim_k(P_i^+(\mu) \cap w\sigma(\mathrm{Lie}(P_i^-(\mu)))w^{-1}) - d^i(y_1).$$

Combining (9) with the Proposition of 4.5.15.2.1 we get the second (the first one follows from very definitions) inequality of:

$$(10)\ \dim_{W(k)}(w\sigma(N_i^-(\mu))w^{-1} \cap N_i^-(\mu)) \leq d_i(y_1) \leq \dim_{W(k)}(w\sigma(P_i^-(\mu))w^{-1} \cap N_i^-(\mu)).$$

4.5.15.2.4. Remarks. 1) The quasi-ultra stratification is a refinement of the Lie stable stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. From 4.3.4 c) we get: they both have the same open, dense stratum (it is $\mathcal{U}$ of 4.2.1).

2) It is crucial to define quasi-ultra stratifications in terms of inner automorphisms and not just in terms of automorphisms: otherwise, we obtain (in general) less refined stratifications, as 4.5.6.2 B points out. So, 4.5.15.1 c) implies 4.5.15.1 b) but, in general, the converse does not hold. For instance, if $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is split and $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}} = SU(1,2)^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$, 4.5.15.1 b) defines only 2 strata of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, while 4.5.15.1 c) defines 3.

3) It is worth pointing out that in the above study of the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, the word Verschiebung does not show up at all (cf. also 3.13.7). So we view Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint maps as the adjoint Lie analogue (in any relative context pertaining to p-divisible objects with a reductive structure) of truncations mod p of p-divisible groups over $\mathbb{F}_p$-schemes, cf. 3.13.7.9.

A great part of the theory (see 3.13.7 and above) of Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint maps can be redone (particularly see 3.13.7.1.2) entirely in terms of truncations mod p of Shimura σ_k-crystals as defined in 2.2.14; but, in the context of a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$, till the proof of d) of 4.4.1 3) or at least of 4.2.8.1 is not written down, we can get into trouble with the possibility that a connected component of $\mathcal{N}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ might have a special fibre which is not connected, and so the situation would not be satisfactorily enough. Moreover, the language of Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint maps is universal (cf. 3.13.7.8-9; for instance it applies immediately to other classes of varieties or to the E_6, E_7 and D_n^{mixed} types). Also we do believe, that the computations are much easier (transparent) in the adjoint context (not involving any Verschiebung maps).

4) We get significantly better estimates than (9) or (10) above, if we use the non-compact Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint maps attached to points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ (they are definable as the usual ones, starting from 3.13.7.6 and 3.9.6).

5) In general, the inner isomorphism classes of Fontaine truncations mod p of (pull backs via k-valued points) of a global deformation (over a regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-scheme X) of a p-divisible object with a reductive structure of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$, do define locally closed subschemes of X_k regardless of how a and b are: the proof is the same as in 4.5.15.2 (cf. 3.13.7.8-9). However, due to limitations explained in 3.6.8.9, presently we can not say when Proposition of 4.5.15.2.1 generalizes outside (cf. 3.15.6) of the generalized Shimura context.

4.5.15.2.5. A supplement to 4.5.9. Let $(M_y, \varphi_y, G_{W(k)}, p_{M_y})$ be the principally quasi-polarized Shimura σ_k-crystal associated to a point $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$. Let C be the center of the centralizer of $Z(G^0_{W(k)})$ in $GL(M_y)$. We first assume there is a torus C_0 of C containing $Z(G^0_{W(k)})$ and contained in $Sp(M_y, p_{M_y})$. So for any $g \in Z(G^0_{W(k)})(W(k))$ the truncations mod p of $(M_y, \varphi_y, G_{W(k)}, p_{M_y})$ and of $(M_y, g\varphi_y, G_{W(k)}, p_{M_y})$ are isomorphic under an isomorphism defined by a k-valued point of C_0 (cf. 3.13.7.2). As the map $G^0_k(k) \to G^{\mathrm{ad}}_k(k)$ is surjective, we get that any k-valued point of the same connected component of $\mathcal{N}_k$ through which y factors and whose Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint map is inner isomorphic to the one of y, maps (via the special fibre of $i_{\mathcal{N}}$ of 2.3.2) into the same stratum of the canonical stratification of $\mathcal{M}_{k(v)}$ as defined in [EO] (see also [Oo3]; if y is a toric point, then the use of C_0 is entirely avoidable here, cf. Fact of 3.13.7.2).

From b) of 4.4.1 3) and from the Exercise of 4.5.9, we get that this remains true even if such a torus C_0 does not exist. So, as in 4.5.9, we get that each stratum of the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is a quasi-affine scheme.

4.5.15.3. Automorphism invariants. We do not assume anymore k algebraically closed. Let $z_1 \in \mathcal{N}/H_0(k_1)$ be such that the group $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$ obtained as in 2.3.10 is $G_{W(k)}$ itself. Denoting by y_1 its special fibre, let $\bar{\psi}_1(i)$ and $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{ik}), \bar{\psi}_1(i))$ be as in 4.5.15.1 and 4.5.15.2.1 (cf. 3.9.1.1). If k is infinite (resp. finite), let $H_i(y_1)$ be the connected component of the origin (resp. be the trivial subgroup) of $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{ik}), \bar{\psi}_1(i))$. The group

$$\mathrm{aut}_i(y_1) := \mathrm{Inn}(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{ik}), \bar{\psi}_1(i))(k)/H_i(y_1)(k)$$

is called the i-th automorphism invariant of y_1.

Such an automorphism invariant can be defined for any (Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt adjoint map of a) cyclic Shimura (adjoint) Lie σ_k-crystal. In particular, for any $\omega \in W_G$, we denote by $\mathrm{aut}_i(\omega)$ the automorphism invariant of the i-th cyclic adjoint factor of the Shimura filtered $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal $\mathfrak{C}_\omega$-crystal of 4.1.5.

In case $k = \bar{k}$, it seems to us that these invariants are unrelated to the $d_i(y_1)$'s dimensions introduced in 4.5.15.2. Often, $\mathrm{aut}_i(y_1)$ can be naturally interpreted as a subgroup of the group of $\mathbb{F}_p$-valued points of a connected group scheme $A_i(y_1)$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$; it seems to us that even in such cases the dimension of $A_i(y_1)$ is unrelated to $d_i(y_1)$.

Example. We situate ourselves in the abstract context of 3.4.5; so the E_6 and E_7 Lie types are also allowed. We assume $I_0 = I_1 = \{1\}$ and $k = \bar{k}$. With the notations of 3.5, we consider the reductive subgroup P_{00k} of G_{1k} of whose Lie algebra is $\bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{\varepsilon_1} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{\varepsilon_1}$. Using the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structures of 3.11.2 C, we get that it has a natural $\mathbb{F}_p$-structure $P_{00\mathbb{F}_p}$ and that it makes sense to speak about elements of $\bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{\varepsilon_1}$ fixed by σ. The group $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathfrak{g}_0/p\mathfrak{g}_0, \bar{\psi}^0_0)(k)$ is naturally identifiable with the group of elements of $P_{00}(k)$ normalizing the $\mathbb{F}_p$-Lie subalgebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{q}}_{\varepsilon_1}$ of elements fixed by σ. Using the Lemma of Step 2 of 3.13.7.3 we get: $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathfrak{g}_0/p\mathfrak{g}_0, \bar{\psi}^0_0)(k)$ is finite and is a subgroup of the group of $\mathbb{F}_p$-valued points of the image of $P_{00\mathbb{F}_p}$ in the GL-group of this abelian $\mathbb{F}_p$-Lie algebra.

4.5.16. Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné (adjoint, principal or standard) stratification. Again, in what follows we allow stratifications of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ in potentially an infinite number of strata. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider the equivalence relation E_n on the set of $\mathbb{F}$-valued points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ defined by the rule (again 4.2.10 allows us to use the word inner):

E_n *y_1, $y_2 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F})$ are in relation E_n iff the truncations mod p^n (see 3.9.8) of their Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals attached to them are inner isomorphic.*

The case $n = 1$, corresponds to the equivalence relation on $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F})$ defined naturally by the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. It is easy to see that similarly, the equivalence classes of E_n define naturally constructible subsets of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. We call E_n as the level-n Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné equivalence relation on $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F})$; it is (cf. Fact 6 of 2.3.11) $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant and so we still denote by E_n the induced equivalence relation on $\mathcal{N}/H_0(\mathbb{F})$. We need an extra equivalence relation E_∞ on $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F})$:

E_∞ *y_1, $y_2 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F})$ are in relation E_∞ iff their Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals attached to them are inner isomorphic.*

Similarly we define the equivalence relations $E_n(l)$ and $E_\infty(l)$ on $\mathcal{N}()$ or on $\mathcal{N}/H_0(l)$, with l an algebraically closed field containing $k(v)$. Let $E_\infty(k(v)) := E_\infty$. We have:

Theorem. *There is a uniquely determined stratification ST of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ in $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant, reduced, locally closed subschemes having the following properties:*

a) *it has a stratum which is an open, dense subscheme of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$;*

b) *its strata are regular and quasi-affine;*

c) *all connected components of a given stratum have the same dimension;*

d) *If l is $k(v)$ or an algebraically closed field containing $k(v)$, two $\bar{l}$-valued points of $\mathcal{N}_l$ belong to a locally closed subscheme of $\mathcal{N}_l$ which is a stratum of ST iff they are in relation under $E_\infty(l)$.*

Proof: From 3.15.7 BP2 we get that there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ effectively computable and such that $E_n = E_\infty$. We consider the adjoint variant $\mathfrak{G}_{H_0}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ of the Lie p-divisible object $\mathfrak{G}_{H_0}$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(\mathcal{N}/H_0)$ introduced in 2.3.11 (cf. also the first proof of 3.6.18.7.3 A). From b) of 3.15.7 D and 3.15.7 E applied to it, we get: there is an affine $k(v)$-morphism

$$m : Y_{k(v)} \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/H_0 \times \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/H_0$$

of finite type such that y_1, $y_2 \in \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/H_0(\mathbb{F})$ are in relation E_n iff the $\mathbb{F}$-valued point (y_1, y_2) of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/H_0 \times \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/H_0$ lifts to an $\mathbb{F}$-valued point of $Y_{k(v)}$. Let p_1 and p_2 be the $k(v)$-morphisms $Y_{k(v)} \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/H_0$ naturally defined by the projections of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/H_0 \times \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/H_0$ on $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/H_0$, $s = \overline{1,2}$. So y_2 is in relation E_∞ with y_1 iff y_2 is in the image I_{y_1} of the restriction of p_2 to $p_1^{-1}(y_1)$. I_{y_1} is a constructible set. As $E_n = E_\infty$, from the Theorem and Fact 4 of 2.3.11 we get that the local geometry of I_{y_1} in each $\mathbb{F}$-valued point of it is the same. So all connected components of I_{y_1} are regular and have the same dimension; so I_{y_1} has a natural structure of a reduced, locally closed subscheme of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/H_0$ (and not just of a constructible set). From Fact 6 of 2.3.11 we get that the pull back of I_{y_1} to $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant.

The same remains true if we work with an arbitrary algebraically closed field l containing $k(v)$. So ST exists, is uniquely determined by d), is $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant, its strata are regular, and c) holds for it. The second part of a) is implied by b) of 4.4.1 2). The quasi-affineness part of b) is implied by 4.5.15.2.5. This ends the proof.

4.5.16.0. Remarks. 1) We refer to ST as the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné adjoint stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. Warning: its number of strata is in general infinite (cf. 3.9.7.3); however, this is not a serious handicap, as often it is easy to see that locally in the Zariski topology, its strata which are locally closed subschemes of $\mathcal{N}_k$ are obtained as the fibres of a suitable finite number of fibrations.

2) We have two main variants of the Theorem of 4.5.16. In the first (resp. second) one we replace Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals by Shimura (resp. by principally quasi-polarized Shimura) $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals; the first one is stated in Theorem 6 of 1.6.3. Their proofs need only one modification: in the principally quasi-polarized context, if $(\frac{-1}{p}) = 1$ we can not say that we have $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant equivalence relations and so we need to choose H_0 small enough (for instance, we need H_0 such that 2.3.3 (INCL) holds). We get the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné standard (resp. principal) stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. Often we drop the word standard.

If the homomorphism $Z(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p})(W(\mathbb{F})) \to Z(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p})(W(\mathbb{F}))$ that takes an element $a \in Z(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p})(W(\mathbb{F}))$ into $a\bar{\sigma}(a^{-1})$ is surjective and if 4.2.8.1 holds, then the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné adjoint and standard stratifications of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ coincide. Moreover, if $(\frac{-1}{p}) = -1$ they also coincide with the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné principal stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ (to be compared with the proof of Fact 6 of 2.3.11).

4.5.16.1. The third form (i.e. the isomorphism form for a SHS) of the purity principle. We have:

Corollary. *The Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné (adjoint) stratification satisfies the purity property.*

Proof: It is enough to deal with the adjoint context: the arguments for the non-adjoint context are entirely the same. Let SN be the normalization of the Zariski closure $\bar{s}(y_1)$ in $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}/H_0$ of a stratum $s(y_1)$ of the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné adjoint stratification defined by some $y_1 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F})$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $E_n = E_\infty$ and let $m \in \{n, n+3\}$. Let $\mathfrak{L}(m)$ be the reduction mod p^m of the Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to y_1; we view it as an object of $\mathcal{M}_{[0,2]}(W(\mathbb{F}))$ whose underlying $W_m(\mathbb{F})$-module has a natural Lie structure. We consider the scheme $ISO_m(SN)$ parameterizing inner isomorphisms between:

i) the pull back of $\mathfrak{L}(m)$ through the natural morphism $SN \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F})$,

ii) and the pull back of $\mathfrak{G}_{H_0}^{\mathrm{ad}}(1)/p^m\mathfrak{G}_{H_0}^{\mathrm{ad}}(1)$, viewed without filtration, through the natural morphism $SN \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$.

Here we view the pull backs of i) and ii) as F-crystals on SN in coherent sheaves endowed with Lie structures. $ISO_m(SN)$ is an affine SN-schemes (even if SN is not regular): locally in the Zariski topology of SN it is constructed via evaluations at $W_m(SN)$; to be compared with the proof of 3.15.7 D).

We have a natural reduction morphism

$$REDUC : ISO_{n+3}(SN) \to ISO_n(SN).$$

We consider the maximal reduced, closed subscheme of $ISO_n(SN)_{\mathrm{red}}$ through which $REDUC_{\mathrm{red}}$ factors. It is a quasi-finite, affine SN-scheme $SN_{n,n+3}$; the quasi-finiteness follows (via specialization) from b) of 2.2.4 B.

From the part of the above proof referring to local geometry, we get that all connected components of $SN_{n,n+3}$ have the same dimension, are irreducible and normal. Moreover, the number of points of each fibre of $SN_{n,n+3}$ above an $\mathbb{F}$-valued point of SN mapping into $s(y_1)$ (resp. not mapping into $s(y_1)$) depends only on y_1 (resp. is 0). Let SN^n be the normalization of SN in the ring of fractions RF of $SN_{n,n+3}$. From Zariski's Main Theorem we get that $SN_{n,n+3}$ is an open subscheme of SN^n. As $SN^n_{n,n+3}$ and SN^n are affine SN-schemes, the complement CO of $SN^n_{n,n+3}$ in SN^n is either empty or of pure codimension 1. The complement of $s(y_1)$ in $\bar{s}(y_1)$ is the image of CO in $\bar{s}(y_1)$; so it is either empty or of pure codimension 1. This (cf. also Exercise of 3.6.8.1.4) ends the proof.

4.5.16.2. Remarks. 1) It seems to us that the types of 3.9.7 can be used as well to define a stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ (automatically in a finite number of strata).

2) The proof of 4.5.16.1 applies as well to give us that the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ satisfies the purity property.

4.5.17. Non Lie type stratifications. Forgetting the extra (Shimura) structure of F-crystals attached to points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ with values in perfect fields, we can define other (not necessarily of Lie type!) stratifications of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, closer in spirit to the stratifications of $\mathcal{M}_{k(v)}$ (like the one using p-ranks, see [NO], etc.). The slightly unpleasant feature of such stratifications of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$: very often they are not canonical (i.e. they depend on the SHS producing them; on the contrary the Lie type stratifications are canonical, see 4.9 below) or they are not refined enough, as it can be seen through examples in which we have $|\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}| \geq 2$.

4.5.18. Artin–Schreier stratifications. By the sum of two systems of equations in n_1 and respectively in n_2 variables, we mean the system of equations in n_1+n_2 variables obtained by "putting" them together. Let X_p be an arbitrary reduced $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme. A stratification $\mathcal{S}$ of it in reduced, locally closed subschemes is called an Artin–Schreier stratification (to be abbreviated as: an AS stratification), if there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that locally in the Zariski topology of X_p, $\mathcal{S}$ is an AS stratification obtained as in 3.6.8.1.3, using quasi Artin–Schreier systems of equations in m variables (see 3.6.18.4.6 A for the definition of these systems of equations). Similarly we define a refined Artin–Schreier stratification (to be abbreviated as a RAS stratification) of X_p. The smallest such m is called the minimal degree of definition of $\mathcal{S}$ and is denoted by $md(\mathcal{S})$. From the estimate of m_1 in 3.6.8.1 (with $l = 1$) and from the end of 3.6.8.1.3 we get:

Fact. $md(\mathcal{S}) - 1$ *is greater or equal to the minimum number of strata we get by restricting* $\mathcal{S}$ *to open, affine subschemes of* X_p.

Example 1. We assume X_p is a smooth, connected k-scheme and there is a quasi-polarized p-divisible group (D_p, p_{D_p}) over X_p. We consider the stratification $\mathcal{S}(D_p)$ of X_p defined by p-ranks of D_p over geometric points of X_p. From 3.6.18.4 B) and the moduli principle of 3.6.18.4.2 we deduce that it is a RAS stratification (we can take m to be $\dim_k(X_p)\mathrm{rk}(D_p)^2$). Using a suitable direct sum of D_p with an étale p-divisible group of sufficiently high rank, based again on 3.6.18.4 B) and the moduli principle of 3.6.18.4.2, we get that $\mathcal{S}(D_p)$ is a RAS stratification, without assuming that D_p is quasi-polarized. Using Hasse–Witt invariants, we can reobtain this fact (we can take $m = \mathrm{rk}(D_p)$), again without using that D_p is quasi-polarized.

If X_p is a connected component of $\mathcal{M}_{k(v)}$ (of 2.3.2) and we are dealing with the standard principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group over it, from [NO, 4.1] we deduce that this RAS stratification is as well an AS stratification.

Example 2. The Lie p-rank stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is a RAS stratification. As in Example 1, this follows from 3.6.18.7.0 and Corollary of 3.6.18.7.3 (via 3.6.18.4.2).

Warning: not always a RAS stratification is an AS stratification.

Example 3. Let $R := k[x_1, x_2]$. We consider the quasi Artin–Schreier system of equations in 2 variables with coefficients in R defined by the equations $z_1 = x_1x_2z_1^p + x_1z_2^p$ and $z_2 = x_1x_2z_1^p + 2x_1z_2^p$. The RAS stratification of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ defined by it is not an AS stratification: the complement of the open, dense stratum has 2 strata of the same dimension.

Example 4. From 3.9.1.0, following the proof of 3.6.18.4.6 C, we get that the Lie stable stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is a RAS stratification. This is a particular case of the following principle. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and let F_{X_p} be the Frobenius endomorphism of X_p. We consider a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X_p}$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ together with an $\mathcal{O}_{X_p}$-linear map $l : F_{X_p}^{q\,*}(\mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{F}$. Working similarly to 3.9.1 we can define the stable p-ranks of l w.r.t. geometric points of X_p and use them to define a stratification $\mathcal{S}(X_p)$ of X_p in reduced, locally closed subschemes. As in the particular case, we get that it is a RAS stratification. Moreover, 3.6.8.1.4 applies to it. Examples 1 and 2 are as well particular samples of this principle: using Hasse–Witt maps and their versions at the level of End's, in reduced forms similar to the ones of 4.3.7, we get that always a Lie p-rank (resp. a p-rank) stratification in a context involving Shimura p-divisible groups (resp. p-divisible groups) is a RAS stratification.

In general, we can not perform the same construction, if we restrict l to $F_{X_p}^{q\,*}(\mathcal{F}_1)$, with $\mathcal{F}_1$ an $\mathcal{O}_{X_p}$-subsheaf of $\mathcal{F}$ which locally in the Zariski topology is a non-trivial direct summand: to compute similar stable ranks, we come across systems of equations of third type (see 3.6.8.9) and so (see 3.6.8.9.0) in general they can not be used to define (following the pattern of 3.6.8.1.3) stratifications of X_p.

Example 5. We assume X_p is regular. Using systems of equations of the form

$$x_i = f_i x_i^p,$$

$i = \overline{1, m}$, with f_i's as elements of some $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras of global sections of open, affine subschemes of X_p, we get that the natural stratification of X_p defined by any divisor of it with normal crossings, is a RAS stratification. Warning: Example 3 shows that not all RAS stratifications of an $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme can be obtained in such a way, i.e. starting from a divisor with normal crossings.

Example 6. We consider two quasi Artin–Schreier systems of equations S_1 and S_2 with coefficients in a reduced $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra R; let $\mathcal{S}_1$ and respectively $\mathcal{S}_2$ be the RAS stratifications of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ they define. Using the sum of n_1 copies of S_1 with n_2 copies of S_2, for suitable n_1, $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ (which depend only on the number of variables of $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$), we get that the intersection $\mathcal{S}_1 \cap \mathcal{S}_2$ is a RAS stratification. We conclude: always the intersection of a finite number of RAS stratifications is a RAS stratification.

4.5.18.1. Conjecture. *Always a Newton polygon stratification associated to a p-divisible group over X_p (or to a p-divisible object of some Fontaine category) is a RAS stratification.*

The motivation for this Conjecture is based on:

– examples;

– on the proof of 3.15.10;

– on 3.6.20 4);

– and on the fact that taking different exterior powers of objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(*)$, we expect formulas similar to the ones of 3.6.18.4 B) and 3.6.18.7 and which involve (besides different pseudo-multiplicities) as well slopes which are not necessarily integral values; these different exterior powers should be able (at least in many cases; often we might have to use direct sums or products as in Example 1) to "capture the shape" of Frobenius endomorphisms of (the "involved") vector bundles modulo higher powers of p (we recall that in getting the formula of 3.6.18.4 B) only the value of $\Phi \bmod p^2$ was important).

We have a variant of this conjecture in the context (see 3.15.7 D and E) of isomorphism classes of p-divisible objects with a reductive structure. So, using 3.6.8.1.4 we should be able to reobtain the forms of the purity principle presented in 3.15.10 and 4.5.16.1.

4.5.18.2. Remark. One of the advantages of AS or RAS stratifications in comparison with Newton polygon stratifications is: using different determinants or norm maps, we can put different natural scheme structures on the strata, which are not a priori reduced (there is always logic in the choice of m and of the quasi Artin–Schreier systems of equations involved). For instance, referring to Example 3, the third stratum of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is logically defined by the equation $x_1^2 = 0$.

4.5.18.3. Question. *Is it true that locally (in the Zariski or the flat topology) a RAS stratification is obtained from a AS stratification by pull backs?*

We hope to come back to the ideas of section 4.5.18 in a future paper.

4.6. Examples and main properties. Here we list the main properties of the theory of Shimura-ordinary types, of G-ordinary points and of their G-canonical lifts, and present some examples to illustrate them as well as the complexities which arise.

P1 *τ is the (usual) ordinary type iff $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_p$.*

Proof: From the description of τ given in 4.1, we deduce (with the notations of 4.1.1.1) that h^0 has only the eigenvalues 0 and 1 iff $\bar{h}_i = \bar{h}_1$, $\forall i \in S(1,d)$, and this is equivalent to $\sigma(\bar{h}_1) = \bar{h}_1$, i.e. it is equivalent to μ being defined over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. So everything results from the paragraph of 4.1 referring to [Mi3, 4.6-7].

Example 1. In the case of a standard PEL situation $(f, L_{(p)}, v, \mathcal{B})$, if $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is of simple C_ℓ type, we have $E(G,X) = \mathbb{Q}$; here either $\ell \geq 2$ or $\ell = 1$ and all factors of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ are non-compact. So we get only usual ordinary types. Similarly, for the SHS's involving the classical Spin modular varieties of odd dimension and rank 2 considered in [Va2, 5.7.5], we get only usual ordinary types.

P2 *If $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_p$ and if y and z are as in 4.4.1 2), then $z^*(\mathcal{A})$ is the (usual) canonical lift of (cf. P1) the ordinary abelian variety $y^*(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof: This is a direct consequence of c) of 4.4.1 3) and of the description of the σ-crystal (M, φ) of 4.1.1 giving birth to τ.

P3 *If τ is $e(1,1)$, i.e. if τ is the formal isogeny type associated to supersingular abelian varieties of dimension e, then G is a torus.*

Proof: If τ is $e(1,1)$, then the Shimura Lie σ_k-crystal attached to a G-ordinary point $y \in \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}(k)$ has only slopes 0. From this and 4.4.1 1) we get that the deformation dimension of the Shimura σ_k-crystal attached to y is 0. So $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = 0$, cf. 4.5.15.2.1 2). But G is a torus iff $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = 0$, cf. axioms [Va2, (SV1-3) of 2.3]. This ends the proof.

P4 *The slopes of Shimura F-crystals attached to G-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ are of the form $\frac{i}{d}$, with $i \in S(0,d)$.*

Proof: This is a consequence of 4.2.1 a) and 4.1.2.2.

Example 2. If $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ and if G is not a torus, then τ is of the form $r(1,0) + s(1,1) + r(0,1)$, with $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. P1, P3 and P4).

Example 3. We consider the case of Picard surfaces, with f the map defined in [Go]. If $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$, then τ is $2(1,0) + (1,1) + 2(0,1)$.

Example 4. This is the generalization of Example 3. Let E be an imaginary quadratic field. Let V be a $(m+n)$ dimensional E-vector space (with $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$) and let $\mathcal{J}_V : V \times V \to E$ be a non-degenerate hermitian form on V which has signature (m,n) over $\mathbb{R}$. We can assume $m \geq n$. Let $\mathrm{Sh}(G,X)$ be the Shimura variety defined as in [Go], for this situation. Similarly to [Go] it can be embedded in a Siegel modular variety $f : \mathrm{Sh}(G,X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sh}\big(\mathrm{GSp}(W,\psi), S\big)$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(W) = 2(m+n)$. We have $E(G,X) = E$ if $m > n$ and $E(G,X) = \mathbb{Q}$ if $m = n$. Moreover $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{R}} = SU(m,n)_{\mathbb{R}}$. We have:

Fact. *If* v *is a prime of* E *such that* $(v,2)=1$ *and* $k(v)=\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ *and if* $(f,L_{(p)},v)$ *is a SHS, then* τ *is* $2n(1,0)+(m-n)(1,1)+2n(0,1)$.

Proof: To check this we use 4.1. $G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})}$ is a split group. We choose a $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$-basis $\{e_1,...,e_{m+n},f_1,...,f_{m+n}\}$ of $M:=L_p^*\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ such that:

– $\tilde{\psi}(e_i,e_j)=\tilde{\psi}(f_i,f_j)=0$, $\forall i,j\in S(1,n+m)$, while $\tilde{\psi}(e_i,f_j)$ is 0 or 1 depending on i being different or equal to j;

– the $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$-submodule of M generated by all e_i's (resp. by all f_i's) is $G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})}$-invariant;

– there is a Borel subgroup B of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ normalizing the $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$-submodule of M generated by e_1,..., e_i, $\forall i\in S(1,n+m)$, and having the property that the maximal torus of $B_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})}$ normalizing all e_i's is obtained from a torus of B by extension of scalars.

We consider a cocharacter $\mu:\mathbb{G}_m\to B_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})}$ which acts trivially on e_{n+1},..., e_{n+m}, f_1,..., f_n and as the inverse of the identical character on e_1,..., e_n, f_{n+1},..., f_{n+m}. The natural action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}/\mathbb{F}_p)$ on cocharacters of $G_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})}$ takes μ into the cocharacter which acts trivially on e_{m+1},..., e_{n+m}, f_1,..., f_m and as the inverse of the identical character on e_1,..., e_m, f_{m+1},..., f_{n+m}. Using these and 4.1, the Fact follows.

P5 *The slopes of* $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\tau)$ *are precisely* -1, 0 *and* 1 *iff* G *is not a torus and* $k(v^{\mathrm{ad}})=\mathbb{F}_p$, *where* v^{ad} *is the prime of* $E(G^{\mathrm{ad}},X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ *divided by* v.

Proof: If G is not a torus and $k(v^{\mathrm{ad}})=\mathbb{F}_p$, then the image of $\bar{h}_i$ in $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{B(k(v))})$ is non-zero and does not depend on $i\in S(1,d)$; so h^0 acts on $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k(v))})$ as $\bar{h}_1$ does. So the "if" part follows from b) of 4.4.1 2) and 4.1.1.2. If $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\tau)$ has precisely the slopes -1, 0 and 1, then G is not a torus; the fact that $k(v^{\mathrm{ad}})=\mathbb{F}_p$ is argued as in the proof of P1. This ends the proof.

Let $\mathfrak{g}:=\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)})$ and let $\big(\mathfrak{g},\varphi_0,F^0(\mathfrak{g}),F^1(\mathfrak{g})\big)$ be the Shimura filtered Lie σ_k-crystal attached to a G-canonical lift $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))\to\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. From P5 and F2 of 3.10.7 we get:

P6 $\mathfrak{p}_0:=W_0(\mathfrak{g},\varphi_0)$ *is* $F^0(\mathfrak{g})$ *iff* $k(v^{\mathrm{ad}})=\mathbb{F}_p$.

P7 $\mathfrak{p}_0$ *can be the Lie subalgebra of a Borel subgroup of* $G_{W(k)}$.

This is equivalent to: the rank of G is equal to the number of slopes 0 of $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi_0)$. From the formulas of 3.10.6 we deduce that, if this is so, then all simple, adjoint factors of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are of A_ℓ Lie type, $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$. What we actually need is: any cyclic adjoint factor of $(\mathfrak{g},\varphi_0,F^0(\mathfrak{g}),F^1(\mathfrak{g}))$ has maximal A-spreading (cf. F3 of 3.10.7).

Concrete example. Let F be a totally real number field which is a Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\tilde{G}$ be an absolutely simple, adjoint group over F of A_ℓ Lie type, $\ell\geq 2$, such that denoting $G^{\mathrm{ad}}:=\mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\tilde{G}$, $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the adjoint group of $\prod_{i=1}^{[\frac{\ell+1}{2}]}SU(i,\ell+1-i)_{\mathbb{R}}$. So $[F:\mathbb{Q}]=[\frac{\ell+1}{2}]$. We assume the existence of a prime w_1 of F unramified over a rational prime $p>2$ and such that:

– $\tilde{G}$ is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$,

– w_1 is the only prime of F over p, and

– $\tilde{G}$ over the completion F_{w_1} of F w.r.t. w_1 is non-split.

We consider an arbitrary Shimura pair of the form $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$. Its reflex field $E(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is a totally imaginary extension of F. Let w be a prime of it dividing w_1. We have $[k(w) : k(w_1)] = 2$. Argument: $\tilde{G}$ splits over the unramified quadratic extension of F_{w_1} (cf. Fact 1 of 4.3.6) and so this degree is at most 2; on the other hand, due to the assumed non-trivial involution, it can not be 1.

So, from F3 of 3.10.7 we get that for any SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$, with v dividing w and $f : (G, X) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W, \psi), S)$, P7 holds. Such a SHS does exist cf. [Va2, 6.4.2] and 3.2.6; for $p = 3$ cf. also 2.3.5.1.

Example 5. P7 holds for the case considered in Example 3.

Example 6. If in Example 4 we have $m + n \geq 4$, then $\mathfrak{p}_0$ is not the Lie subalgebra of a Borel subgroup. If $m = n$, then $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\tau)$ is always an ordinary type.

P8 *For any rational number $r = a/d$, with $a \in S(1, d)$, there are situations when the slopes of Shimura Lie F-crystals attached to G-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ are precisely $-r, 0$ and r.*

Proof: We take (G, X) to be of B_ℓ (or C_ℓ or $D_\ell^{\mathbb{R}}$) type and such that $G^{\mathrm{ad}} = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} G^1$, with F a totally real number field satisfying $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = d$ and with G^1 an absolutely simple F-group. We assume that for precisely a-embeddings of F into $\mathbb{R}$, $G^1_{\mathbb{R}}$ is non-compact. We also assume the existence of a prime v of $E(G, X) = F$ (cf. [De2, 2.3.12]) such that $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_{p^d}$, with $p > 2$ a prime for which G is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Now for a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ (cf. [Va2, 6.4.2] for $p \geq 5$; see §6 for $p = 3$) defined by an injective map $f : (G, X) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$, the slopes of the Shimura Lie F-crystals attached to G-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ are precisely $-\frac{a}{d}$, 0 and $\frac{a}{d}$ (cf. 3.10.6 i) and Case 1 of 3.10.6 iii); see also b) of 4.4.1 2)).

$\forall r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1]$, we can choose a number field F and a reductive group G^1 over F such that the above assumptions are satisfied. For instance, if we are dealing with the B_ℓ type, fixing F subject to the above requirements, we can take G^1 to be the SO-group of the quadratic form $a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + \ldots x_{2\ell+1}^2$ in $2\ell + 1$ variables over F, with a_1, $a_2 \in F$ such that (cf. approximation theory) for precisely a (resp. $d - a$) embeddings $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, they are both negative (resp. positive). Starting from 3.10.6 iii) and iv), we can construct examples for P8 with (G, X) of A_ℓ or $D_\ell^{\mathbb{H}}$ type; we leave this to the reader.

P9 *If the slopes of Shimura F-crystals attached to G-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ are rational numbers of the interval $(0, 1)$, then no cyclic factor of the Shimura adjoint Lie $\sigma_{\bar{k}}$-crystal attached to a $\bar{k}$-valued G-ordinary point of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is totally non-compact (see def. 3.10.5). The converse of this is not true.*

Proof: The first part is a direct consequence of F5 of 3.10.7. The second part can be seen through trivial examples.

As a corollary we get:

P10 *If there is a totally non-compact cyclic factor of the Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to an $\mathbb{F}$-valued G-ordinary point of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, then the abelian varieties obtained by pulling back $\mathcal{A}$ through G-ordinary points with values in algebraically closed fields, have non-zero p-ranks.*

For future references we now include an extra elementary property. We assume that the representation $G_{\mathbb{C}} \to GL(W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})$ is irreducible. So $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ has only 1 simple, non-compact factor. Let n_f be the number of simple factors of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$. It is well known that it is an odd number, that G^{ad} is a simple $\mathbb{Q}$–group, and that $2e$ is an n_f-th power of a natural number (for instance, see [Pi, §4]). We refer to 4.3.1. $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$ has only 1 element; we denote it by i. As $G^{\mathrm{ab}} = \mathbb{G}_m$, we have $E(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}) = E(G, X)$. As $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ has only 1 simple, non-compact factor, $d_i | d$; so $d \in \{d_i, 2d_i\}$ (cf. Fact 1 of 4.3.6).

P11 *The abelian varieties obtained by pulling back $\mathcal{A}$ through G-ordinary points with values in algebraically closed fields, have non-zero p-ranks: in case $d = d_i$ (resp. in case $d = 2d_i$), these p-ranks are equal to $\frac{e}{2^{d-1}}$ (resp. are $\frac{e}{2^{d-1}}\big(\frac{m+1}{(2m+1)}\big)^d$; here $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that G^{ad} is of A_{2m+1} Lie type). So, these p-ranks are 1 precisely in the case when $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a simple $\mathbb{Q}_p$-group of A_1 Lie type.*

Proof: Based on 4.2.1 a), we can work in the context of 4.1.1. The faithful representation of $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{B(\mathbb{F})}$ on $M \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(\mathbb{F})$ is the tensor product representation of n_f irreducible alternating representations V_1,..., V_{n_f}; here V_i's are $B(\mathbb{F})$-vector spaces of the same dimension. So we can identify $M \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(\mathbb{F})$ with $V_1 \otimes_{B(\mathbb{F})} V_2 \otimes_{B(\mathbb{F})} ... \otimes_{B(\mathbb{F})} V_{n_f}$. The extension $\mu_{B(\mathbb{F})}$ to $B(\mathbb{F})$ of a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_{W(k(v))}$ as in 4.1.1, acts on $M \otimes_{W(k(v))} B(\mathbb{F})$ via one such irreducible representation V_{i_μ} (with $i_\mu \in S(1, n_f)$) and so we can identify μ with a cocharacter of $GL(V_{i_\mu})$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F})$ acts on the image of $\mu_{B(\mathbb{F})}$ via its quotient $\mathrm{Gal}(k(v)/\mathbb{F}_p)$. If $d = d_i$ (resp. if $d = 2d_i$), then two different elements $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathrm{Gal}(k(v)/\mathbb{F}_p)$ can not take this image to factor through the same irreducible representation (resp. can take this image to factor through the same irreducible representation $V_{\tilde{i}_\mu}$ iff $\gamma_1\gamma_2^{-1}$ is the generator $\tilde{\tau}$ of $\mathrm{Gal}(k(v)/\mathbb{F}_{p^{d_i}}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$). It is convenient to identify the set $I_p(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ with the set $SS := S(1, n_f)$ in such a way that for any $j \in SS$, $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{der}}_{B(\mathbb{F})})$ acts on V_j via $\mathrm{Lie}(G_j)$; here we use the notations of 4.3.1.1 with $k = \mathbb{F}$.

We first treat the case $d_i = d$. We consider the natural direct sum decomposition

$$V_j = V_j^1 \oplus V_j^0,$$

with V_j^0 (resp. with V_j^1) as the maximal $B(\mathbb{F})$-vector subspace of V_j on which the extension to $B(\mathbb{F})$ of $\sigma^s\mu(\frac{1}{p})\sigma^{-s}$ acts trivially, $\forall s \in S(1, d)$ (resp. on which at least one of these $\sigma^s\mu(\frac{1}{p})\sigma^{-s}$'s does not act trivially). If $j \in \mathcal{H}_i$, then $\dim_{B(\mathbb{F})}(V_j^1) = \dim_{B(\mathbb{F})}(V_j^0)$. So the case $d_i = d$ follows by elementary computation, once we remark that the $B(\mathbb{F})$-subspace of $V_1 \otimes_{B(\mathbb{F})} V_2 \otimes_{B(\mathbb{F})} ... \otimes_{B(\mathbb{F})} V_{n_f}$ corresponding to the slope 1 for $(M \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbb{F}), \varphi \otimes 1)$ is

$$V_1^{a_1} \otimes_{B(\mathbb{F})} V_2^{a_2} \otimes_{B(\mathbb{F})} ... \otimes_{B(\mathbb{F})} V_{n_f}^{a_{n_f}},$$

where a_j is 1 or 0 depending on the fact that j is or is not in $\mathcal{H}_i$, $\forall j \in SS$.

If $d = 2d_i$, then G^{ad} is of A_{2m+1} Lie type, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and the irreducible representations mentioned are associated to the minimal weight $\bar{\omega}_{m+1}$ of the A_{2m+1} Lie type (for instance, see loc. cit.; see [Bou2, planche I] for weights). Similarly we get a direct sum decomposition

$$V_j = V_j^0 \oplus V_j^{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus V_j^1,$$

with V_j^0 as above and with $V_j^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and V_j^1 normalized by all above mentioned cocharacters, such that $V_j^0 \oplus V_j^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the $B(\mathbb{F})$-vector subspace of V_j perpendicular on V_j^0 w.r.t. the alternating form on it centralized by the natural image of $\text{Lie}(G_{jB(\mathbb{F})})$ in $\text{End}(V_j)$. So this case follows, via a similar trivial computation, once we remark that $\mu_{B(\mathbb{F})}$ (resp. that the extension to $B(\mathbb{F})$ of the cocharacter $\tilde{\tau} \circ \mu \circ \tilde{\tau}^{-1}$ of $G_{W(k(v))}$) is associated to the first (resp. to the last) node of the Dynkin diagram of the A_{2m+1} Lie type: for $j \in \mathcal{H}_i$, $\dim_{B(\mathbb{F})}(V_j^1) = C_{2m}^m$; so the p-ranks in this case are $(C_{2m}^m)^{d_i}(C_{2m+2}^{m+1})^{n_f - d_i} = \frac{e}{2^{d-1}}\big(\frac{m+1}{(2m+1)}\big)^d$.

Remark. If $d = d_i$, then using the fact that V_j^1 and V_j^0 have the same dimension, $\forall j \in \mathcal{H}_i$, we get that the number of slopes $\frac{l}{d}$ of τ is exactly $\frac{C_d^l}{2^{d-1}}e$; here $l \in S(0,d)$, cf. P4. Similarly, if $d = 2d_i$, we can express the number of slopes $\frac{l}{d}$ of τ as a sum involving combinatorial numbers.

Example 7: The case of curves. We assume that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = 1$, i.e. that $G^{\text{ad}} = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} G^1$, with F a totally real number field and with G^1 an absolutely simple F-group of A_1 Lie type such that for exactly one embedding of F into $\mathbb{R}$, $G^1_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a non-compact (so split) group (cf. [De2, 2.3.4]). We impose no restrictions on $(G^{\text{ab}}, X^{\text{ab}})$. Let $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ be a SHS defined by an injective map $f : (G, X) \hookrightarrow (\text{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$. Let v^{ad} be the prime of $E(G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}}) = F$ (cf. [De2, 2.3.12]) divided by v.

Let $G^{\text{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{H}} \text{Res}_{F_i/\mathbb{Q}_p} G^1_i$, where $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{H}} F_i$, with F_i an unramified finite field extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and where G^1_i is an absolutely simple F_i-group. Let $i_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ corresponding to the non-compact factor of $G^{\text{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $d_0 := [F_{i_0} : \mathbb{Q}_p] \geq 1$. Then

$$k(v^{\text{ad}}) = \mathbb{F}_{p^{d_0}}$$

and the slopes of the Shimura adjoint Lie F-crystal attached to a G-ordinary point of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ are precisely $-\frac{1}{d_0}$, 0 and $\frac{1}{d_0}$; the multiplicity of $-1/d_0$ (or of $1/d_0$) is d_0, while the multiplicity of 0 is $3\big(\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(F) - d_0\big) + d_0 = 3\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(F) - 2d_0$ (cf. 3.10.6 i)). So if $d_0 = 1$ P10 applies.

We assume now that moreover the representation $G_{\mathbb{C}} \to GL(W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})$ is irreducible. So $G^{\text{ab}} = \mathbb{G}_m$. So $E(G, X) = E(G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$ is F itself (cf. loc. cit.). Then the p-rank of any abelian variety obtained by pulling back $\mathcal{A}$ through a G-ordinary point with values in an algebraically closed field, is precisely $2^{\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(F) - d_0}$ (cf. P11).

4.6.1. Complements. 1) There are plenty of examples when the Newton polygon of the Shimura-ordinary type of a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ does not have integral slopes. Such examples can be constructed starting from [Va2, 6.5.1.1] and its proof. We briefly recall from loc. cit. how we can construct them, by restricting to very particular situations.

Let F be a totally real number field such that it has precisely one prime v dividing p and this prime is unramified over p. We assume $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$. Let E (resp. K^0) be a totally imaginary quadratic extension of F in which v splits (resp. which has precisely one prime w unramified over v). Let (this is reviewed in 4.6.7.1 below) $\tilde{G}$ be a simply connected group over $F_{(p)}$ such that:

– it splits over $E_{(p)}$;

– its adjoint is an absolutely simple $F_{(p)}$-group of C_l Lie type;

– all its extensions to $\mathbb{R}$ are either compact or split and precisely one such embedding is compact.

Let $(W_{E_{(p)}}, \tilde{\psi})$ be a symplectic space over $E_{(p)}$ such that $\tilde{G}_{E_{(p)}} = Sp(W_{E_{(p)}}, \tilde{\psi})$. Let $L_{(p)} := W_{E_{(p)}} \otimes_{F_{(p)}} K^0_{(p)}$ and let $W := L_{(p)} \otimes_{Z_{(p)}} \mathbb{Q}$. In loc. cit. it is constructed a perfect alternating form $\psi : L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} L_{(p)} \to \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ and an injective map $f : (G, X) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W, \psi), S)$, such that:

– the Zariski closure of G in $GL(L_{(p)})$ is a reductive group $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$, with $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} = \mathrm{Res}_{F_{(p)}/\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \tilde{G}$, and

– under this identification, the representation of $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ on $L_{(p)}$ is obtained naturally via the tautological representation of $\tilde{G}_{E_{(p)}}$ on $W_{E_{(p)}}$, and

– we have $E(G, X) = K^0$.

We assume that the triple $(f, L_{(p)}, w)$ is a SHS (for instance, this is so if $p \not| 6(l+1)$, cf. [Va2, 6.5.1.1 vi)]). We have a direct sum decomposition

$$L^*_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p = L^1_p \oplus L^2_p$$

of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$-modules, corresponding to the 2 primes of E dividing v. Moreover, $L^s_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(w))$ is a direct sum of $[k(w) : \mathbb{F}_p]$ absolutely irreducible $G_{W(k(w))}$-modules, whose simple factors are permuted transitively by $\sigma_{k(w)}$, $s = \overline{1, 2}$. From this and the fact that μ of 4.1 acts trivially precisely on one such simple factor (this can be read out –cf. loc. cit.– from [De2, 2.3.9]), we get that the Shimura-ordinary type defined by $(f, L_{(p)}, w)$ does not have integral slopes.

The examples hinted at above are such that the irreducible representations of the faithful representation $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{C}} \hookrightarrow GL(W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})$ involve no tensors products. So, in some sense, we are at the opposite pole of the situation of P11.

2) In [Va5] we will show that the results of 4.1-6 remain true (under proper formulation) for the integral canonical models to be constructed in §6 for quotients of Shimura varieties of Hodge type w.r.t. large classes of non-hyperspecial subgroups.

3) We reobtained the "ordinary theory" for special fibres of integral canonical models of Siegel modular varieties, w.r.t. primes $p \geq 2$ (for $p = 2$, cf. 4.14.3 below). In particular, we obtained a completely new proof of the density (see [Kob], [NO], [FC] and [EO]) of ordinary points in these special fibres.

4) The whole of 4.1 as well as properties P1 to P11 above remain true under proper formulation in the context of Shimura F-crystals over perfect fields (cf. 3.11.2). The only difference is: we have to change $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_{p^d}$ (with $d \in \mathbb{N}$) with the degree of definition ($= d$), and $k(v^{\mathrm{ad}}) = \mathbb{F}_{p^{d_0}}$, with the A-degree of definition ($= d_0$); moreover, in P3 (resp. P5) we have to change the condition G is (resp. is not) a torus to any one of the equivalent conditions 3.2.2 a) to d) (resp. with the condition that 3.2.2 a) to d) do not hold).

5) In particular, 4.1 and P1 to P11 can be applied to the context of abelian varieties with Hodge cycles without an a priori defined principal polarization (for instance, there is no problem if we have a polarization which is not principal), as long as we are in a context of Shimura F-crystals over perfect fields to which we can perform 4.2.3-4 (so we are in a reductive context even in the étale $\mathbb{Z}_p$-context; in §5 we will see that this is automatically so, cf. 1.15.1).

6) It can happen that we have two standard Hodge situations $(f, L_{(p)}, v_i)$, $i = \overline{1,2}$, with v_1 and v_2 dividing the same rational prime $p \geq 3$, such that their attached Shimura-ordinary types are different. For instance, this is the case if $k(v_1) = \mathbb{F}_p$, while $k(v_2) = \mathbb{F}_{p^d}$, with $d \geq 2$, cf. P1.

4.6.2. An application. Let E be a number field and let A be an abelian variety over E. Let $\mathrm{Spec}(O_E^r) \to \mathrm{Spec}(O_E)$ be the maximal open subscheme of the spectrum of the ring of integers O_E of E, over which A extends to an abelian scheme A^r. Let $E(A)$ be the reflex field of the Shimura variety attached to A (cf. 2.1).

4.6.2.1. The proof of Theorem 7 of 1.7. We now prove Theorem 7 of 1.7. So we assume $E(A) \neq \mathbb{Q}$. We consider an embedding $E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$; let $\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{Z}} := H_1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})$ be the first group of the Betti homology of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$. The Mumford–Tate group of A (of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$) is a reductive subgroup G_A of $GL(\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$. There is $N_0(A) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any prime $p \geq N_0(A)$, the Zariski closure of G_A in $GL(\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ is a reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. If also $p \geq \max\{\dim_E(A), 5\}$, then (cf. [Va2, 5.8.6 and def. 5.8.1]) there is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-well positioned family of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ for the group G_A. Let now v be a prime of O_E^r dividing a rational prime

$$p \geq \max\{N_0(A), 5, \dim_E(A)\}.$$

Let $V := \widehat{O_{(v)}^{\mathrm{sh}}}$. So V is a finite, flat extension of $V_0 := W(\mathbb{F})$. Let A_V be the abelian variety over V, obtained from A^r through the logical morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(V) \to \mathrm{Spec}(O_E^r)$. All Hodge cycles of A_V are defined over $V_1[\frac{1}{p}]$, with V_1 a finite, flat, DVR extension of V (cf. [De4]; in fact it is very easy to see that we can take $V_1 = V$ but this is irrelevant here). We choose a family $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}(V_1)}$ of Hodge cycles of A_{V_1} such that G_A is the subgroup of $GL(\tilde{L}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$ fixing the Betti realizations of its members.

Let $\bar{e} := [V_1 : V_0]$. In what follows, to simplify the presentation, we use similar notations as before, though the situation is entirely unrelated to a fixed SHS. Repeating the arguments of [Va2, 5.2-3], we get an abelian scheme $A_{\tilde{R}\bar{e}}$ endowed with a family $(w_\alpha{}^{\tilde{R}\bar{e}})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}(V_1)}$ of Hodge cycles over the V_0-algebra $\tilde{R}\bar{e}$ used in [Va2, 5.2.1], such that:

i) through the canonical V_0-epimorphism $\tilde{R}\bar{e} \twoheadrightarrow V_1$ defined by a choice of a uniformizer of V_1 (see [Va2, 5.2]), it becomes A_{V_1}, together with $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}(V_1)}$;

ii) through the canonical V_0-epimorphism $\tilde{R}\bar{e} \twoheadrightarrow V_0$, it becomes an abelian variety A_{V_0} for which the quintuple $(M, F^1, \varphi, G_{V_0}, (t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}(V_1)})$ is a Shimura filtered $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$-crystal; here $M := H^1_{\text{crys}}(A_{\mathbb{F}}/V_0)$, F^1 is the Hodge filtration of M defined by A_{V_0}, φ is the Frobenius endomorphism of M, $(t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}(V_1)}$ is the family of de Rham components of the family of Hodge cycles $(w_\alpha^0)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}(V_1)}$ of A_{V_0} obtained naturally from $(w_\alpha^{\tilde{R}_{\bar{e}}})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}(V_1)}$, and G_{V_0} is the Zariski closure in $GL(M)$ of the subgroup of $GL(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixing t_α^0, $\forall\alpha\in\mathcal{J}(V_1)$ (see [Va2, 5.3.4]).

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the Newton polygon of a (any) G_{V_0}-ordinary $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$-crystals produced by (M, φ, G_{V_0}). From 3.1.0 a) we get:

(4.6.2.1.1) *The Newton polygon of the abelian variety over $k(v)$ obtained from A by reduction w.r.t. v, is above $\mathcal{P}$.*

Let E_1 be the composite field of E and $E(A)$ and let w be a prime of E_1 dividing v. Let w_A be the prime of $E(A)$ divided by w. We have:

(4.6.2.1.2) *If $k(w_A) \neq \mathbb{F}_p$, then $\mathcal{P}$ is not the Newton polygon of an ordinary type.*

This results from 4.6 P1: the principal polarization existing in the context of a SHS played no role in obtaining 4.1 and P1 to P11 above (cf. 4.6.1 5)). It is also worth pointing out: as the Newton polygon is an isogeny invariant, [Mu, cor. 1 of p. 234] allows us to assume that A is principally polarized.

Now Theorem 7 of 1.7 is a consequence of (4.6.2.1.1-2): there are infinitely many primes of E_1 dividing primes of $E(A)$ not having residue fields with a prime number of elements.

4.6.2.2. Remark. We have the following precise form of Theorem 7 of 1.7. Let v be a prime of O_E^r such that:

a) it divides a prime $p \geq 3$ such that G_A is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$;

b) there is a prime w of E_1 dividing v and dividing a prime w_A of $E(A)$ for which $k(w_A)$ has more than p elements.

Then A has a non-ordinary reduction w.r.t. v (and moreover there is a Newton polygon $\mathcal{P}$ of an abelian variety B over $\mathbb{F}$, with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}(B) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}}(A)$, which is the Newton polygon of a Shimura-ordinary type –pertaining to G_A– which is not an ordinary type and such that the Newton polygon of the reduction of A w.r.t. v is above $\mathcal{P}$).

This will become transparent in the light of §5-8, cf. 2.3.8 2). In [Va5] we will see that in a), in most situations, we can allow p to be 2 as well.

4.6.2.3. Questions. 1) Let $O^r_{E_1}$ be the normalization of O^r_E in E_1 and let $A^r_{E_1}$ be the abelian scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(O^r_{E_1})$, obtained from A^r by pull back. We do not assume $E(A)$ is not $\mathbb{Q}$. Is it true that for an infinite set of primes $\mathcal{L}$ of $O^r_{E_1}$, $A^r_{E_1}$ has a G_A-ordinary reduction which is not ordinary?

2) For v as in 4.6.2.2, let $(M, F^1, \varphi, G_{V_0})$ be a Shimura $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$-crystal constructed as in 4.6.2.1. How is its CM-deviation (or SCM-deviation) varying in terms of v?

4.6.2.4. Remark. Property P1 supports the ordinary conjecture.

4.6.2.5. Remark. We regard the above non-ordinary reduction criterion as the natural generalization of the (well known) fact that any abelian variety over a number field E, having complex multiplication over $\bar{E}$, has a non-ordinary reduction w.r.t. an infinite set of primes of E.

4.6.2.6. Exercise. Let $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ be a SHS. Let τ be the Shimura-ordinary type attached to it. Show that there is an infinite number of standard Hodge situations $(f, L_{(q)}, v_q)$ (with v_q a prime of $E(G, X)$ dividing an odd rational prime q) which have τ as their attached Shimura-ordinary type. Hint: If the reflex field $E(G, X)$ is a Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, this is obvious; now consider the Galois extension $E_1(G, X)$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by $E(G, X)$, and use 4.1 and Tchebotarev's density theorem.

This simple fact makes 4.6.2.3 1) an interesting question and suggests that the ordinary conjecture should admit variants for Shimura-ordinary types, which are not ordinary. See also 3.13.4 7).

4.6.3. The case when the degree of definition is 1. For the sake of future references we point out the following two particular cases of P1 and P2.

A. The split case. *If $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ is a SHS and $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a split reductive group, then the Shimura-ordinary type we obtain is the ordinary type and the abelian varieties over Witt rings of perfect fields, obtained from $\mathcal{A}$ by pull back through G-canonical lifts of $\mathcal{N}$, are (usual) canonical lifts.*

B. *If $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ is a SHS and if an $\mathbb{F}$-valued $G_{W(\mathbb{F})}$-ordinary point of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ gives birth to a Shimura $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$-crystal whose degree of definition is 1, then all abelian varieties over $W(\mathbb{F})$ obtained from $\mathcal{A}$ by pull back through $W(\mathbb{F})$-valued $G_{W(\mathbb{F})}$-canonical lifts of $\mathcal{N}$, are (usual) canonical lifts and so have complex multiplication.*

4.6.4. An application to [Va2, 6.8.6]. We consider the situation and notations described in [Va2, 6.8.0 and 6.8.6] but we do not assume that we have a non-trivial involution (cf. end of AE.0). So $E(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real number field (resp. is a totally real number field) if the involution is non-trivial (resp. is trivial). We assume we are dealing with a prime v^{ad} of $E(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ dividing a prime $p > 2$. Also we do not assume the $\mathbb{Q}$–rank of G^{ad} is positive: we just assume that all simple factors of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ are non-compact.

A. Exercise. Show that the criterion b) of [Va2, 6.8.2] is satisfied. Hint: the situation gets reduced to a standard PEL situation; so we can use 4.4.5, the density part of 4.2.1 and [Mi1, 4.11-2].

Solution. We use the notations of [Va2, 6.8]; so $V_0 := W(\mathbb{F})$. The idea is: any V_0-valued Shimura-canonical lift z of $\mathcal{M}'_{V_0}$ lifts to a V_0-valued point of $\mathcal{N}_{V_0}$. If the generic fibre of z is a special point of the generic fibre of $\mathcal{M}'_{V_0}$, then this is a consequence of [Mi1, 4.11-2], cf. [Va2, 3.2.3.1 5)] (for the passage from K_0-valued points to V_0-valued points) and cf. the following Lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $f_1 : (T_1, \{h_1\}) \to (T, \{h\})$ be a cover between two 0 dimensional Shimura pairs. Let p be an arbitrary prime such that the subtorus T_0 of T_1 which is the kernel of f_1 is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let $H_{1p} \subset T_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be a compact, open subgroup containing the hyperspecial subgroup H_{0p} of $T_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Let H_p be the image of H_{1p} in $T(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Then any K_0-valued point of $\mathrm{Sh}_{H_p}((T, \{h\})$ lifts to a K_0-valued point of $\mathrm{Sh}_{H_{1p}}((T_1, \{h_1\})$.*

Proof: This is a consequence of the reciprocity map (for instance, see [Va2, 2.7]) and of class field theory, once we remark that we have a natural identification

$$T_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)/H_{1p}T_1(\mathbb{Q}) = T(\mathbb{Q}_p)/H_pT(\mathbb{Q}).$$

This identification is a consequence of the fact that f_1 is a cover and of the fact (see [Mi3, 4.10]) that $T_0(\mathbb{Q}_p) = T_0(\mathbb{Q})H_{0p}$.

We are left to show that the situation gets reduced to a situation in which we know that always the generic fibre of z is a special point. In other words, based on 4.4.5, we are left to show that the situation gets reduced to a standard PEL situation. But this is the statement of [Va2, 6.8.6], cf. end of AE.0: in what follows we present two ways to argue this (and so implicitly two solutions of the Exercise), in some general forms convenient for future references.

First way. In what follows we mainly just recall parts of [Va2, 6.5.1.1] to explain the referred statement of [Va2, 6.8.6]. Working with totally independent notations, we have the following variant of 2.3.5.1 and of its $p = 2$ analogue.

Lemma 2. *Let (G_0, X_0) be an adjoint Shimura pair whose simple factors are of C_n or $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We assume $G_{0\mathbb{R}}$ has no compact factors. Let $p \geq 3$ (resp. $p = 2$) be a prime such that G_0 is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Then there is an injective map $f\colon (G, X) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$, with $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}) = (G_0, X_0)$, and there is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-lattice $L_{(p)}$ of W good w.r.t. f, such that for any prime v of $E(G, X)$ dividing p we get a standard (resp. a $p = 2$ standard) PEL situation $(f, L_{(p)}, v, \mathcal{B})$, for a suitable $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathrm{End}(L_{(p)})$.*

Proof: As in [Va2, 6.5.1], we can assume (G_0, X_0) is a simple Shimura pair. [Va2, i) to v) of 6.5.1.1] tells us how to construct an injective map $f\colon (G, X) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$, with $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}) = (G_0, X_0)$ and how to choose a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-lattice $L_{(p)}$ of W good w.r.t. f, such that, denoting by $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ the Zariski closure of G in $GL(L_{(p)})$ we have:

– the derived group $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{V_0}$ is a product of isomorphic semisimple groups having absolutely simple adjoints, and

– the faithful representation $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{V_0} \hookrightarrow GL(L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} V_0)$ is a direct sum of standard irreducible representations of rank $2n$ of the factors of this product.

Warning: only [Va2, 6.5.1.1 vi)] is worked out under the assumption that p does not divide the number $B(G_0)$ introduced in [Va2, 5.7.2]; so, as we referred to [Va2, i) to v) of 6.5.1.1], we do not need to assume that p does not divide $B(G_0)$. So in what follows we take $p \geq 2$.

[Va2, 6.5.1.1] is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-version of [De2, 2.3.10]. So, as $G_{0\mathbb{R}}$ has no compact factors, [De2, 2.3.13] allows us to assume $G^{\mathrm{ab}} = \mathbb{G}_m$. So the double centralizer C (i.e. the centralizer of the centralizer) of $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ in $GL(L_{(p)})$ is such that its extension to V_0 is a product of as many copies of $GL(V_0^{2n})$ as factors of $G_{0\mathbb{R}}$ (for $p = 2$ cf. also the absolutely irreducible part of 2.3.18 B2); moreover, the representation of C_{V_0} on $L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} V_0$ is a direct sum of standard irreducible representations of rank $2n$ of these factors. C contains $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ and Lie(C) is stable under the involution of End$(L_{(p)})$ defined by ψ. From the classification of [Ko2, top of p. 375] we get that the maximal integral subgroup $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ of the intersection $C \cap GSp(L_{(p)}, \psi)$ has $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ as a subgroup and its generic fibre is generated by G^{der} and by a subtori of $Z(C_{\mathbb{Q}})$ (for $p = 2$ cf. also 2.3.18 B2-3); so based on [Va2, 3.1.6 and 4.3.9] we get that $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ is reductive. So we just have to replace:

– G by the generic fibre G_1 of $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$;

– (G, X) by the Shimura pair (G_1, X_1) having the property that we have a natural injective map $(G, X) \hookrightarrow (G_1, X_1)$;

– to replace f by the resulting injective map $(G_1, X_1) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W, \psi), S)$.

Moreover, we have to take $\mathcal{B}$ as the $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-subalgebra of End$(L_{(p)})$ formed by all elements fixed by G_1.

For $p \geq 3$ it is well known that the resulting quadruple $(f, L_{(p)}, v, \mathcal{B})$ is a standard PEL situation (for instance, cf. [Va2, 5.6.3] and AE.1; see also 2.3.6 and 2.3.8 4)). For $p = 2$, it is 2.3.18 B which guarantees that the quadruple $(f, L_{(2)}, v, \mathcal{B})$ is a $p = 2$ standard PEL situation. This ends the proof of Lemma 2 and so of the first solution of the Exercise.

Second way. This second way is in essence just an elaboration of the first way, which from some points of view is more convenient (and precise). It is entirely detailed in [Va10, 3.0-1 and the paragraph after 3.1]; though [Va10] is a continuation of this paper, the mentioned part of it, is entirely independent of it. However, for the convenience of the reader we recall most of the details, in the following two Steps.

Step 1. Let F be the totally real number field such that G^{ad} is the Weil restriction from F to $\mathbb{Q}$ of an absolutely simple F-group $\tilde{G}$. As p is such that G is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, F is unramified above p (see [Va2, 6.5.1]). Following the pattern of [Va2, 6.6.2], based on [Va2, 4.3.16] and on 4.11.3 below, we can replace F (resp. $\tilde{G}$) by any other totally real number field F_1 unramified above p and containing F (resp. by $\tilde{G}_{F_1}$). To avoid forwarding, we present a second reason why we can perform this replacement: we just have to apply the following general Lemma to the context (of Shimura triples) of [Va2, 6.2.1, 6.8.0 and 6.8.6]; its notations are entirely independent of the previous ones and it will be needed later on in connection to Shimura varieties of special type. For the meaning of EP, EEP and SEP we refer to [Va2, 3.2.3 3) and 4)].

Lemma 3. *Let* $f_1 : (G, X, H) \hookrightarrow (G_1, X_1, H_1)$ *be an injective map of Shimura triples. We assume that* H *is a subgroup of* $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$*, with* p *a prime which is relatively prime to* 2 *times the order of the center of the simply connected semisimple group cover of* G*, that* $E(G, X) = E(G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$ *and that* $G^{\text{der}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ *and* $G^{\text{der}}_{1\mathbb{C}}$ *are products of isomorphic semisimple groups whose adjoints are simple. Let* $f_2 : (G_2, X_2, H_2) \to (G_1, X_1, H_1)$ *be a cover (see defs. of [Va2, 2.4.0 and 3.2.6]), with* G^{der}_2 *a simply connected semisimple group and with* $E(G_2, X_2) = E(G_1, X_1)$ *(cf. [Va2, 3.2.7 10)]). Let* (G'_2, X'_2, H'_2) *be an arbitrary Shimura triple having the same adjoint as* (G_2, X_2, H_2) *and such that* G'^{der}_2 *is a simply connected semisimple group. We also assume that* (G, X, H) *and* (G'_2, X'_2, H'_2) *have integral canonical models* $\mathcal{N}$ *and respectively* $\mathcal{N}'_2$*, that* $\mathcal{N}'_2$ *has the EP and that* $\mathcal{N}'_{2W(\mathbb{F})}$ *is quasi-projective and has the EEP. Then any Shimura triple having the same adjoint as* (G, X, H)*, has an integral canonical model.*

Proof: We consider, as in [Va2, 3.2.7 3)], the fibre product $f_3 : (G_3, X_3, H_3) \to (G, X, H)$ and $f_{32} : (G_3, X_3, H_3) \to (G_2, X_2, H_2)$ of f_1 and f_2. We have

$$G_3 := G \times_{G_1} G_2.$$

The kernel of $f_3 : G_3 \to G$ is the same as of f_2 and so f_3 is a cover. Moreover, f_{32} is an injective map and G^{der}_3 is a simply connected semisimple group. From the assumptions on $\mathcal{N}'_2$ and [Va2, 6.2.3] we get that (G_2, X_2, H_2) has an integral canonical model $\mathcal{N}_2$ having the EP. From the proof of loc. cit. and [Va2, 3.2.14-15] we get that the connected components of $\mathcal{N}_2$ and $\mathcal{N}'_2$ are isomorphic over an étale cover of the normalization of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ in the composite field of $E(G_2, X_2)$ and $E(G'_2, X'_2)$. So $\mathcal{N}_{2W(\mathbb{F})}$ also has the EEP and is quasi-projective. From [Va2, 6.2.2 a)] we get that (G_1, X_1, H_1) has an integral canonical model $\mathcal{N}_1$ and the natural morphism (see [Va2, 3.2.7 4)]) $q_2 : \mathcal{N}_2 \to \mathcal{N}_1$ is a pro-étale cover.

We consider similarly the natural morphism $q : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}_1$. Let $q_{32} : \mathcal{N}'_3 \to \mathcal{N}_2$ be its pull back via q_2. The resulting morphism $q_3 : \mathcal{N}'_3 \to \mathcal{N}$ is a pro-étale cover, and so $\mathcal{N}'_3$ is a regular, formally smooth $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-scheme. From [Va2, 3.2.14-15] we get that the generic fibre of q_{32} is a closed embedding and that q_{32} itself is pro-finite. $\mathcal{N}'_{3W(\mathbb{F})}$ has the EEP, cf. [Va2, 3.2.3.1 5)]. Moreover, from [Va2, 3.2.14] we get that $\text{Sh}_{H_3}(G_3, X_3)$ is naturally an open closed subscheme of the generic fibre of $\mathcal{N}'_3$. So the Zariski closure $\mathcal{N}_3$ of $\text{Sh}_{H_3}(G_3, X_3)$ in $\mathcal{N}'_3$ is a pro-étale cover of $\mathcal{N}$ (as f_3 is a cover, the morphism $\text{Sh}_{H_3}(G_3, X_3) \to \text{Sh}_H(G, X)$ is –see [Mi1, 4.11-13]– surjective). Again from [Va2, 3.2.3.1 5)] we get that $\mathcal{N}_{3W(\mathbb{F})}$ has the EEP and that $\mathcal{N}_3$ has the EP. So, from [Va2, 3.2.3.1 1)] we get that $\mathcal{N}_3$ has also the SEP; this implies the existence of a natural continuous right $G_3(\mathbb{A}^p_f)$-action on $\mathcal{N}_3$ extending the canonical one on its generic fibre. We conclude: $\mathcal{N}_3$ is the integral canonical model of (G_3, X_3, H_3).

From [Va2, 6.2.2-3] we get that any triple having the same adjoint as (G_2, X_3, H_3) (and so as (G, X, H)) has an integral canonical model. This proves Lemma 3.

Step 2. We come back to our context of the Exercise. Based on the replacement part of Step 1 and on [Va10, 3.0-1 and the paragraph after 3.1], we deduce that we can assume we have an embedding $f : (G, X) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W, \psi), S)$ such that:

a) the adjoint pair of (G, X) is $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$;

b) f factors through an injective map $f_1 : (G_1, X_1) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W, \psi), S)$, which is a PEL type embedding (see 2.1);

c) there is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-lattice of W such that for any prime v_1 of $E(G_1, X_1)$ dividing p, we have a standard PEL situation $(f_1, L_{(p)}, v_1, \mathcal{B}_1)$, for a suitable $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-subalgebra $\mathcal{B}_1$ of endomorphisms of $L_{(p)}$;

d) G_1^{ad} is the Weil restriction from F to $\mathbb{Q}$ of an absolutely simple F-group of A_{2l} Lie type;

e) the Zariski closure of G in $GL(L_{(p)})$ is a reductive group.

We recall that the construction of [Va10, 3.0-1 and the paragraph after 3.1] is based on four things:

f) standard Galois cohomology shows that by enlarging F (to F_1) we can assume $\tilde{G}$ is obtained by pull back from a particular (see g) below) adjoint group $\bar{G}$ over Spec($\mathbb{Q}$);

g) the part of [He, p. 445] referring to $SO^*(2n)$ (with $n := l$ to fit the notations of [Va2, 6.8.6]) has a natural $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-version and so we can take $\bar{G}$ to be the adjoint group of the generic fibre of the $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-version $SO^*(2n)_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ of $SO^*(2n)$;

h) the $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-version of [Sa, 3.3]: we have as well a natural $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-version $SU(n,n)_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ of $SU(n,n)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and a natural monomorphism $SO^*(2n)_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \hookrightarrow SU(n,n)_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ which is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-version of the standard one over $\mathbb{R}$ (described at the level of Lie algebras in loc. cit.);

i) 2.3.5.1.

Coming back to properties a) to e), from [De2, 2.3.13], as $G_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ has no compact factors, we deduce that we can assume $G^{\mathrm{ab}} = \mathbb{Q}$. But, from the explicit construction of [Va10, 3.0 D)] and from 2.3.5.1, as in [Va2, end of 6.6.5.1] (see also the first way above), we can enlarge the center of G such that we get a standard PEL situation $(f_0, L_{(p)}, v, \mathcal{B}_0)$, with f factoring through $f_0 : (G_0, X_0) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W, \psi), S)$ in such a way that we have $(G_0^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_0^{\mathrm{ad}}) = (G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ (we have $E(G_0, X_0) = E(G, X) = E(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$). This ends the second solution of the Exercise.

B. The types of Shimura varieties of preabelian type which are neither of abelian type nor of compact type and were postponed in [Va2] (cf. [Va2, 6.8.6] and AE.0), are among the ones treated in A above. This (cf. also [Va2, 1.4]) proves the Second Main Corollary of 1.14.3.

C. Remarks. It is worth recalling (cf. [Va2, 6.2.7]) that any naive attempt of handling B just at the level of elementary arguments involving DVR's, is meaningless.

Also, one could try to get a proof of Lemma 2 of A or to solve 2.3.5.1, starting from the classification of [Sh, §5]: in our opinion such an approach would be much more complicated (if successful at all).

4.6.5. Exercise. Prove d) of 4.4.1 3) for the case $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_p$. Hint: use P1, P2 and Fontaine's comparison theory.

4.6.6. Remark. Using the same ideas as in b) of 2.3.18 B3, d) of 4.4.1 3) follows immediately for the case of a standard PEL situation. We have no problems with the D cases, cf. 4.2.3-4 and the following simple fact: referring to the standard PEL situation $(f, L_{(p)}, v, \mathcal{B})$ of 2.3.5.1, each connected component of the extension to $B(\mathbb{F})$ of the intersection of $GSp(W, \psi)$ with the centralizer of $\mathcal{B}$ in $GL(W)$, has a K_0-valued point which extends to a V_0-valued point of $GSp(L_{(p)} \otimes V_0, \psi)$.

Using this, one can obtain a much simpler and shorter proof of 4.2.1 a) for standard PEL situations: a logical analogue of 3.1.8.1, 3.6.6.0, and [dJ1] or [Fa2, th. 10] is all that is needed to complete a proof of 4.2.1 a) for standard PEL situations in a very small number of pages.

4.6.7. A digression. We consider a Shimura group pair $(G_0, [\mu_0])$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, with G_0 a simple $\mathbb{Z}_p$-group. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest number for which there is a cocharacter $\mu_1 : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_{0W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})}$ such that $(G_0, [\mu_0]) = (G_0, [\mu_1])$ and there is a Borel subgroup B_0 of G_0 with the property that $\mathrm{Lie}(B_{0W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})})$ is normalized by the image of μ_1 and $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on it through μ_1 via the trivial and the inverse of the identical cocharacters (cf. [Mi3, 4.6-7] and 2.2.6). We assume that the Shimura Lie $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}$-crystal $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{0W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})}), \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}\mu_1(\frac{1}{p}))$ defined as in 4.1.1.2 is of A_n, B_n, C_n, $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ or $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type (see 3.10.5). We have:

Lemma. 1) *There is a Shimura quadruple (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1) of adjoint, abelian type such that its attached Shimura group pair (see end of 4.1) is (isomorphic to) $(G_0, [\mu_1])$.*

2) *There is a Shimura quadruple (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1) of adjoint, compact, abelian type such that its attached Shimura group pair has $(G_0, [\mu_1])$ as a product factor.*

Proof: Let m be the number of simple factors of $G_{0W(\mathbb{F})}$. So G_0 is the Weil restriction from $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})$ to $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of an absolutely simple $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})$-group G_0^1. We start (cf. approximation theory involving unequivalent valuations) with a totally real number field F_1 such that $[F_1 : \mathbb{Q}] = m$ and there is a prime v of it dividing p and with $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$; so v is unramified above p. Let F_2 be a totally real quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ in which p splits. Let F be the composite field of F_1 and F_2. We have $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = 2m$. For 1) (resp. for 2)) we take G_1 to be the Weil restriction from F_1 to $\mathbb{Q}$ (resp. from F to $\mathbb{Q}$) of (see below) a suitable absolutely simple F_1-group (resp. F-group) G_1^1.

Let F_1' be the smallest Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing F_1. We identify canonically the quotient set $\mathrm{Gal}(F_1'/\mathbb{Q})/\mathrm{Gal}(F_1'/F_1)$ with $\mathrm{Gal}(k(v)/\mathbb{F}_p)$. So we also identify the set

$$RE_1$$

of embeddings of F_1 into $\mathbb{R}$ with the set of embeddings of $k(v)$ into $\mathbb{F}$. Let U be the set of primes of F_1 which are either archimedean or are v. For $w \in U$, we denote by F_{1w} the completion of F_1 w.r.t. w.

Let $\tilde{G}_1$ be the split, simple, adjoint group over F_1 of the same Lie type as G_0. Let $Aut_0(\tilde{G}_1)$ be the connected component of the origin of $Aut(\tilde{G}_1)$. Let $Aut_1(\tilde{G}_1)$ be the subgroup of $Aut(\tilde{G}_1)$ defined as follows. If G_0 is not of D_4 Lie type, then $Aut_1(\tilde{G}_1)$ is $Aut(\tilde{G}_1)$ itself. If G_0 is of D_4 Lie type (so $Aut(\tilde{G}_1)$ is the semidirect product of $Aut_0(\tilde{G}_1)$ and of S_3), we take $Aut_1(\tilde{G}_1)$ to be the semidirect product of $Aut_0(\tilde{G}_1)$ by a μ_2 subgroup of the symmetric group S_3 such that the element of $H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(F_{1v}), Aut(\tilde{G}_1)_{F_{1v}}(\overline{F_{1w}}))$ defining $G^1_{0F_{1v}}$ is defined by an element of the image of $H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(F_{1v}), Aut_1(\tilde{G}_1)_{F_{1v}}(\overline{F_{1w}}))$ in it (cf. Fact 2 of 4.3.6). Let

$$H^1 := H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(F_1), Aut_1(\tilde{G}_1)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})).$$

We first deal with 1). For each $\tau \in RE_1$, (in order to have 1)) the pull back $G^1_1(\tau)$ of G^1_1 to $\mathbb{R}$ via it is uniquely determined by the pair

$$(G^1_{0W(\mathbb{F})}, [\mu_1(\tau)])$$

we naturally get from $(G_0, [\mu_1])$ via the embedding $W(k(v)) \hookrightarrow W(\mathbb{F})$ corresponding to τ. More precisely, $G^1_1(\tau)$ is compact if $[\mu_1(\tau)]$ is trivial, it is a split, simple, adjoint group of C_n Lie type if G_0 is of C_n Lie type and $[\mu_1(\tau)]$ is non-trivial, it is $SO(2, 2n-1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ if G_0 is of B_n Lie type and $[\mu_1(\tau)]$ is non-trivial, etc. (see [He, p. 518]).

It is known that the map

$$m(Aut_1(\tilde{G}_1), U) : H^1 \to \oplus_{w \in U} H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(F_{1w}), Aut_1(\tilde{G}_1)_{F_{1w}}(\overline{F_{1w}}))$$

is surjective. If $Aut_1(\tilde{G}_1)$ is connected, then the surjectivity of $m(Aut_1(\tilde{G}_1), U)$ is a consequence of [Mi1, B.3 and B.24]. If $Aut_1(\tilde{G}_1)$ is the semidirect product of $Aut_0(\tilde{G}_1)$ and of μ_2, then the surjectivity of $m(Aut_1(\tilde{G}_1), U)$ is a consequence of loc. cit. (applied to $Aut_0(\tilde{G}_1)$) and of the structure of the Brauer group over F_1 (i.e. of the fact that the similarly defined map $m(\mu_2, U)$ is surjective).

We deduce the existence of an absolutely simple F_1-group G^1_1 whose pull back to $F_{1v} = B(k(v))$ is $G^1_{0B(k(v))}$ and whose pull back to $\mathbb{R}$ via τ is $G^1_1(\tau)$ (if G_0 is of D_4 Lie type we need to add that S_3 is the semidirect product of μ_2 by a normal subgroup of it of order relatively prime to $[\mathbb{C} : \mathbb{R}]$; so the natural map $H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}), Aut_1(\tilde{G}_1)(\mathbb{C})) \to H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}), Aut(\tilde{G}_1)(\mathbb{C}))$ is surjective). Let $G_1 := \mathrm{Res}_{F_1/\mathbb{Q}} G^1_1$.

If $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{0W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})}), \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}} \mu_1(\frac{1}{p}))$ is of C_n, B_n or $D^{\mathbb{R}}_{n+4}$ type, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then there is a unique way to get a $G_1(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class X_1 of homomorphisms $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_m \to G_{1\mathbb{R}}$ so that (G_1, X_1) is a Shimura pair. In general, for each $\tau \in RE_1$ there are at most two Shimura group pairs of the form $(G_{1\mathbb{R}}, [\mu^\tau_1])$ (here $G_{1\mathbb{R}}$ is obtained from G_1 via τ), cf. [De2, 1.2.7-8]. So we first choose

$$X_1 = \prod_{\tau \in RE_1} X^\tau_1$$

arbitrarily such that (G_1, X_1) is a Shimura pair; here X^τ_1 is the $G_{1\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class of homomorphisms $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_m \to G_{1\mathbb{R}}$ (defined via τ and) naturally associated to $[\mu_1^\tau]$. For each $\tau \in RE_1$ such that the pair $(G^1_{0W(\mathbb{F})}, [\mu_{11}(\tau)])$ we naturally get from the triple (G_1, X_1, τ) (here we use the identification of RE_1 with $\mathrm{Gal}(k(v)/\mathbb{F}_p)$) is $(G^1_{0W(\mathbb{F})}, [(\mu_1(\tau)^{-1}])$, we replace $[\mu_1^\tau]$ by $[(\mu_1^\tau)^{-1}]$. After all such replacements we get that 1) holds for the prime v_1 of $E(G_1, X_1)$ dividing p and constructed as follows. We consider an embedding $B(\mathbb{F}_{p^q}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and (via it) we view $E(G_1, X_1)$ naturally as a subfield of $B(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})$; we take v_1 such that $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})$ is a faithfully flat $O_{(v_1)}$-algebra. This takes care of 1) (we can take as H_1 the hyperspecial subgroup $G^1_0(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^m}))$ of $G_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) = G^1_1(F_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p) = G^1_1(F_{1v}) = G^1_{0B(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})}$).

For 2) we proceed similarly: just one modification needs to be made. We fix an embedding τ_2 of F_2 into $\mathbb{R}$. Let RE be the set of embeddings of F into $\mathbb{R}$. We identify RE_1 with the subset of RE corresponding to embeddings whose restriction to F_2 is τ_2. For $\tau \in RE \setminus RE_1$ (resp. for $\tau \in RE_1$) we take $G^1_1(\tau)$ to be compact (resp. we take it to be defined as above). $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ is identified naturally with two copies of $F_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$: each such copy corresponds to primes of F dividing p and a fixed prime of F_2 dividing p; identifying the two primes of F_2 dividing p with the two embeddings of F_2 in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and with the two emebeddings τ_2 and $\overline{\tau_2}$ of F_2 and $\mathbb{R}$, the mentioned two coppies of $F_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ corresspond to the two disjoint subsets $RE \setminus RE_1$ and RE_1 of RE. We get that the Shimura group pair attached to a Shimura quadruple (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1) constructed as above is the product of $(G_0, [\mu_1])$ with the pair $(G_0, [\mu_{\mathrm{triv}}])$ defined by the cocharacter $\mu_{\mathrm{triv}} : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_0$ who has a trivial image. This ends the proof.

Corollary 1. *The extension of* $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{0W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})}), \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}\mu_1(\frac{1}{p}))$ *to* $\mathbb{F}$ *is isomorphic to the Shimura adjoint Lie* $\bar{\sigma}$*-crystal attached to a principally quasi-polarized Shimura* $\bar{\sigma}$*-crystal* (N, φ_N, G_N, p_N)*. More precisely,* (N, φ_N, G_N, p_N) *is attached to an* $\mathbb{F}$*-valued Shimura-ordinary point of* $\mathcal{N}$*, where* $\mathcal{N}$ *is the integral canonical model of a particular SHS.*

Proof: This is a consequence of 1) and of the Existence Property of 1.10. For $p = 3$, cf. also §6.

Corollary 2. *All group actions* $\mathbb{T}^0$ *of 3.13.7.1 have* $\dim_k(P^0_k) - \dim_k(N^-_k) = \dim_k(P^0_k) - dd((M, \varphi, G))$ *dimensional orbits.*

Proof: Based on 2) and on Proposition of 4.5.15.2.1, this follows from the following Fact.

Fact. *For any SHS* $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$*, with* (G, X) *of compact type, the quasi-ultra stratification of* $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ *has 0 dimensional strata.*

As $\mathcal{N}/H_0$ is a projective scheme (see 2.3.3.1), the Fact follows from the quasi-affineness part of 4.5.15.2.5. From Lemma 2 of 4.6.4 A we get:

Corollary 3. *We assume the Shimura Lie* $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}$*-crystal* $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{0W(\mathbb{F}_{p^q})}), \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^q}}\mu_1(\frac{1}{p}))$ *is either of* A_n *Lie type or is of totally non-compact* C_n *or* $D^{\mathbb{H}}_n$ *type. Then in the second part of Corollary 1 we can assume we have a standard PEL situation.*

4.6.7.1. Back to 4.6.1 1). We come back to 4.6.1 1). Let U be as in the proof of the Lemma of 4.6.7. Based on the mentioned proof, we deduce the existence of an absolutely simple F-group $\tilde{G}^0_F$ of C_n Lie types which splits over F_v and whose pull backs to $\mathbb{R}$ (via different embeddings of F) are as desired in 4.6.1 1). $\tilde{G}^0_F$ splits above all primes of F but a finite set FS not containing p. For $v_1 \in SF$, $\tilde{G}^0_{F_{v_1}}$ splits over a quadratic extension E_{v_1} of the completion F_{v_1} of F w.r.t. v_1. We now consider a totally imaginary quadratic extension E of F such that no element of FS splits in it and moreover, for all v_1, the completion of E w.r.t. its prime dividing v_1 is E_{v_1} (cf. Krasner's lemma and the approximation theory). We get: the pull back $\tilde{G}^0_E$ of $\tilde{G}^0_F$ to E splits at all places of E. So $\tilde{G}^0_E$ splits. So we can take (cf. [Va2, 3.1.3]) as $\tilde{G}$ a simply connected group over $O_{(v)} = F_{(p)}$ having $\tilde{G}^0_F$ as the adjoint group of its generic fibre.

4.6.8. Remark. Based on 3.13.7.4 B, to check that the finiteness property of 4.5.15.1 holds if all simple factors of $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ are of some A_n, C_n or $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ types, we can assume (cf. Corollary 3 of 4.6.7) that in 4.5.15.1 we are dealing with a standard PEL situation; in such a context it is easy to check it starting from [Oo3]. We will come back to this in §9-10.

So to check that the finiteness property of 4.5.15.1 holds in general, we can assume (cf. the above paragraph, 3.13.7.4 B and Corollary 1 of 4.6.7) that $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ has no compact factors and that (cf. also Fact 1 of 2.3.5.2) $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ has all its simple factors of some B_n or $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type and we can proceed in many ways (like: by induction on n, or by working in the context of symmetric objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,2]}(W(\mathbb{F}))$, etc.). However, the (presently abstract) context of E_6, E_7 and D_ℓ^{mixed} types (see 3.13.7.7) can not be that easily studied and so we defer to §10 for more of a principal approaches (then the one above relying on the use of relative PEL situations in a sense similar to the one of [Va2, 4.3.16]).

4.7. Crystalline coordinates.

4.7.0. G-deformations. We consider an arbitrary point $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$. Let $(A_y, p_{A_y}) := y^*(\mathcal{A}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{H_0}})$. By a G-deformation of (A_y, p_{A_y}) over an artinian local $W(k)$-algebra AL having k as its residue field, we mean a deformation which is obtained from $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ by pull back via a morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(AL) \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$ lifting y.

4.7.1. First properties. Let now $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{U}/H_0$ be a G-ordinary point. For simplifying the notations we assume y has attached to it a principally quasi-polarized Shimura σ_k-crystal of the form $\big(M_y, \varphi_y, G_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}, \psi_y\big)$ (cf. 2.3.10). Let $\mu_y : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_{W(k)}$ be its canonical split (cf. 3.1.6). It produces a direct sum decomposition $M_y = F^1_y \oplus F^0_y$, with $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acting through μ_y on F^i_y as the multiplication with β^{-i}. Let N be the subgroup of $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{W(k)}$ which acts trivially on F^0_y and on M_y/F^0_y. Let R be the $W(k)$-algebra of the formal completion of N at the origin. We choose an isomorphism $f : R \tilde{\to} W(k)[[x_1, \ldots, x_{e_0}]]$, with $e_0 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ (see [Va2, 5.4.7]). We are mainly interested in the case when $k = \bar{k}$; but for a great part of what follows this is not needed. It is easy to see that the group N is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_a^{e_0}$ (i.e. it can be identified with the group scheme defined by its Lie algebra via the exponential map). We can choose the coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_{e_0}$ (i.e. we can choose f) such that the i-th $\mathbb{G}_a$ copy of N is $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[x_i])$. So N as a scheme is $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[x_1, \ldots, x_{e_0}])$. We consider the

Frobenius lift of N which at the $W(k)$-algebras level takes $x_i \to x_i^p$, $i = \overline{1, e_0}$. It induces by completion a Frobenius lift Φ_R of R.

As the case of a torus (of a 0 dimensional Shimura variety of Hodge type) is trivial, we assume from now on that G is not a torus. Let N_0 be the smallest connected subgroup of $G_{W(k)}$ such that:

i) it contains N;

ii) its Lie algebra is taken by $p\varphi_y$ into itself.

For all that follows, we rely heavily on the terminology and formulas of 3.10.6. It is easy to see (to be compared also with 3.4.3.0 and 3.11.2 C) that:

0) N_0 is a product indexed by the number of simple factors of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, cf. 4.3.1.

1) N_0 is a unipotent, smooth subgroup of $G_{W(k)}$.

2) We get a Lie σ_k-crystal $(\mathrm{Lie}(N_0), \varphi_y, F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(N_0)), F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(N_0)))$, with $F^i(\mathrm{Lie}(N_0)) := F^i(\mathrm{End}(M)) \cap \mathrm{Lie}(N_0)$, $i = \overline{0, 1}$. In fact $F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(N_0)) = \{0\}$, and $\mathrm{Lie}(N_0)$ is the Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)})$ corresponding to negative slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)}), \varphi_y)$ (this and 1) can be read out –over $\bar{k}$– from 3.4.3.0, via 3.11.1 c); see also 3.11.2 C and 3.10.7).

3) $N = N_0$ iff the slopes of $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\tau)$ are precisely -1, 0 and 1, cf. 2) and 4.6 P5.

4) N_0 is an abelian group iff the spreading of any cyclic adjoint factor attached to y is 0 or 1, cf. def. in 3.10.4.4 and 4.3.1. This automatically happens if all simple factors of $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ are of B_l, C_l or $D_l^{\mathbb{R}}$ type; but it is not necessarily true if there are simple factors of $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ of A_ℓ or $D_\ell^{\mathbb{H}}$ type ($\ell \in \mathbb{N}$).

5) In general, N_0 is a nilpotent group of order of nilpotency not greater than some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ iff the spreading of any cyclic adjoint factor attached to y is not greater than m (this and the first part of 4) are a consequence of the characteristic 0 analogue of 3.5.4 (6), as it can be easily deduced from 3.10.7).

6) N is a normal subgroup of N_0 (for instance, this follows from 3.1.4).

So if $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ has only simple factors of $D_\ell^{\mathbb{H}}$ type ($\ell \in \mathbb{N}$), then N_0 has the order of nilpotency at most 2. If $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is a simple, adjoint Shimura variety of A_ℓ type, then the order of nilpotency of N_0 is smaller or equal to ℓ and equality can hold (cf. 4.6 P7); in fact the order of nilpotency of N_0 can be any integer in $S(1, \ell)$, cf. 5).

We also regard y as a closed embedding $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$. Let $\hat{O}_y$ be the completion of the local ring of y in $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$. Let $(A_{\hat{y}}, p_{A_{\hat{y}}})$ be the principally polarized abelian scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{O}_y)$ obtained from $(\mathcal{A}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{H_0}})$ through the canonical morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{O}_y) \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$. We consider the following principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered F-crystal

$$_N M_{\hat{y}} := (M_y, F_y^1, \varphi_y, G_{W(k)}, N, \tilde{f}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}, \psi_y)$$

over R/pR. There is a unique connection ${}_N\nabla_{\hat{y}}$ on $M_y \otimes_{W(k)} R$ which is integrable, nilpotent mod p and such that the Frobenius endomorphism

$$\Phi_y := n(\varphi_y \otimes 1)$$

of $M_y \otimes_{W(k)} R$ is ${}_N\nabla_{\hat{y}}$-parallel, cf. 2.2.10. Here $n \in N(R)$ is the universal element defined by the natural morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \to N$; we view it as an R-linear endomorphism of $M_y \otimes_{W(k)} R$.

Similarly we define ${}_{G^{\mathrm{der}}_{W(k)}}M_{\hat{y}}$, starting from a Frobenius lift Φ_{R_1} of the $W(k)$-algebra R_1 of the completion of $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{W(k)}$ at the origin, which takes the kernel of the canonical $W(k)$-epimorphism $R_1 \twoheadrightarrow R$ into itself, inducing Φ_R on R. Let

$$\tilde{e}_0 := \dim_{W(k)}(N_0).$$

As a scheme $N_0 = \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[x_1, ..., x_{\tilde{e}_0}])$, while as a group scheme N_0 is obtained from $\mathbb{G}_a$ groups by a repeated process of forming short exact extensions defining semidirect products (cf. 1), or 5), or [SGA3, Vol. III, 4.1.2 of p. 172]). In other words, such an identification can be chosen so that each closed subscheme $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[x_i])$ of N_0, $i \in S(1, \tilde{e}_0)$, is a $\mathbb{G}_a$ subgroup of N_0, to be referred as the i-th $\mathbb{G}_a$ copy of N_0. Let $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$ be the completion of N_0 in its origin. So $R_0 = W(k)[[x_1, ..., x_{\tilde{e}_0}]]$, with $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[[x_i]])$ as the completion of the i-th $\mathbb{G}_a$ copy of N_0 in its origin, $i = \overline{1, \tilde{e}_0}$. We choose such an identification, so that (cf. also 6)) the natural epimorphism $R_0 \twoheadrightarrow R$ is defined by x_i goes to x_i, if $i \in S(1, e_0)$, and into 0, if $i \in S(1 + e_0, \tilde{e}_0)$. We assume the Frobenius lift Φ_{R_1} of R_1 is such that it also takes the kernel of the natural epimorphism $R_1 \twoheadrightarrow R_0$ into itself, inducing a Frobenius lift of R_0 which takes x_i into x_i^p, $i = \overline{1, \tilde{e}_0}$.

4.7.2. Lemma. *The principally quasi-polarized filtered F-crystal over* $\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{O}_y/p\hat{O}_y)$ *endowed with a family of crystalline tensors, defined by $(A_{\hat{y}}, p_{A_{\hat{y}}})$ and de Rham components of Hodge cycles with which $A_{\hat{y}}$ is naturally endowed, is isomorphic to ${}_NM_{\hat{y}}$.*

Proof: This is a consequence of Fact 4 of 2.3.11 (via 2.2.21). For the sake of convenience we recall the details. As in 2.3.11, we start with a $W(k)$-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1) \xrightarrow{a_1} \mathrm{Spec}(\hat{O}_y)$ lifting the natural morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\hat{O}_y)$ defined by y and such that the principally quasi-polarized filtered F-crystal over R_1/pR_1 endowed with tensors and associated to the pull back through a_1 of $(A_{\hat{y}}, p_{A_{\hat{y}}})$ and de Rham components of the mentioned Hodge cycles of $A_{\hat{y}}$, is isomorphic to ${}_{G^{\mathrm{der}}_{W(k)}}M_{\hat{y}}$. But the pull back of ${}_{G^{\mathrm{der}}_{W(k)}}M_{\hat{y}}$ through the canonical $W(k)$-monomorphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \overset{b_1}{\hookrightarrow} \mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ is ${}_NM_{\hat{y}}$ (due to the compatibility of the Frobenius lifts of R_1 and R with the $W(k)$-epimorphism $R_1 \twoheadrightarrow R$). But the $W(k)$-morphism $c_1 := b_1 \circ a_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(R) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\hat{O}_y)$ is an isomorphism (this is the same as [Va2, 5.4.7-8]). This proves the Lemma.

We denote by $\bar{\Omega}_{R/W(k)}$ the free R-module generated by dx_i, $i = \overline{1, e_0}$. $\bar{\Omega}_{R/W(k)}$ is naturally a direct summand of the R-module $\Omega_{R/W(k)}$. Let δ_N be the connection on $M_y \otimes_{W(k)} R$ annihilating M_y.

4.7.3. Lemma. *The connection* ${}_N\nabla_{\hat{y}}$ *is of the form* $\delta_N + \beta_N$*, where*

$$\beta_N \in \mathrm{Lie}(N_0) \otimes_{W(k)} \Omega_{N/W(k)} \otimes_{W(k)} R = \mathrm{Lie}(N_0) \otimes_{W(k)} \bar{\Omega}_{R/W(k)}.$$

Proof: Similarly to ${}_N M_{\hat{y}}$ we define ${}_{N_0} M_{\hat{y}}$. ${}_N M_{\hat{y}}$ is obtained from ${}_{N_0} M_{\hat{y}}$ by extension through the $W(k)$-epimorphism $R_0 \twoheadrightarrow R$. As this $W(k)$-epimorphism is compatible with the chosen Frobenius lifts, the Lemma is a consequence of [Fa2, rm. ii) after th. 10] applied to (M_y, F_y^1, φ_y) and to the subgroup N_0 of $GL(M_y)$ (cf. also the formulas of 3.6.18.4 B) and of 3.6.18.7.1).

4.7.3.1. Notations. We write

$$\beta_N = \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{e}_0} n_j \otimes \varepsilon_j,$$

with $\{n_j\}$ a $W(k)$-basis of the Lie algebra of the j-th $\mathbb{G}_a$ copy of N_0 and with $\varepsilon_j \in \bar{\Omega}_{R/W(k)}$ (cf. 4.7.3). The elements n_j, $j \in S(1, \tilde{e}_0)$, are viewed as endomorphisms of $M_y \otimes_{W(k)} R$.

4.7.4. Levels of complexity. Let v^{ad} be the prime of $E(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ divided by v. To construct (good) G-multiplicative coordinates and then to extend them to the general case of 4.7.14.1 below, we distinguish five different cases (levels of complexity). They are:

A. $N = N_0$.

B. N_0 is an abelian group different from N.

C. N_0 is a nilpotent group of order of nilpotency two.

D. N_0 is a nilpotent group of order of nilpotency greater than two.

E. The general case referred to in 4.7.14.1 below.

The condition $N = N_0$ is equivalent to $k(v^{\mathrm{ad}}) = \mathbb{F}_p$, cf. 4.7.1 2) and 4.6 P5. First we describe the case A (so $\tilde{e}_0 = e_0$); warning: 7.5-10 below refer just to this case. A quite general theory of crystalline coordinates is elaborated in 4.7.11. In 4.7.12-18 we deal with different aspects of it (in particular, we handle to a great extend cases B to E).

From now on we assume $k = \bar{k}$.

4.7.5. Theorem. *We assume* $N = N_0$*. We have:*

i) *There is a* $W(k)$*-basis* $\{a_i | 1 \le i \le e\}$ *of* F_y^0 *and a* $W(k)$*-basis* $\{b_i | 1 \le i \le e\}$ *of* F_y^1 *and a permutation* π *of* $\{a_1, \ldots, a_e, b_1, \ldots, b_e\}$ *satisfying the conditions:*

(4.7.5.1) ${}_N\nabla_{\hat{y}}(a_i) = 0$, $\forall i \in S(1, e)$;

(4.7.5.2) ${}_N\nabla_{\hat{y}}(b_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{e_0} n_j(b_i) \otimes \varepsilon_j$, $\forall i \in S(1, e)$;

(4.7.5.3) $\Phi_y(a_i) = \pi(a_i)$ *if* $\pi(a_i) \in \{a_1, \ldots, a_e\}$;

(4.7.5.4) $\Phi_y(a_i) = n(\pi(a_i))$ *if* $\pi(a_i) \in \{b_1, \ldots, b_e\}$;

(4.7.5.5) $\Phi_y(b_i) = p\pi(b_i)$ *if* $\pi(b_i) \in \{a_1, \ldots, a_e\}$;

(4.7.5.6) $\Phi_y(b_i) = pn(\pi(b_i))$ *if* $\pi(b_i) \in \{b_1, \dots, b_e\}$.

Furthermore ε_i*'s are closed 1-forms verifying the following equations:*

(4.7.5.7) *If* $i \in S(1,e)$ *is such that* $\pi(b_i) \in \{a_1, \dots, a_e\}$*, then*

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^{e_0} \varphi(n_j)\big(\pi(b_i)\big) \otimes d\Phi_{R*}(\varepsilon_j)\,;$$

(4.7.5.8) *If* $i \in S(1,e)$ *is such that* $\pi(b_i) \in \{b_1, \dots, b_e\}$*, then*

$$dn\big(\pi(b_i)\big) + \sum_{j=1}^{e_0} n_j\big(\pi(b_i)\big) \otimes \varepsilon_j = \sum_{j=1}^{e_0} \varphi(\,n_j)\big(\pi(b_i)\big) \otimes d\Phi_{R*}(\varepsilon_j);$$

(4.7.5.9) *If* $i \in S(1,e)$ *is such that* $\pi(a_i) \in \{b_1, \dots, b_e\}$*, then*

$$dn\,\big(\pi(a_i)\big) + \sum_{j=1}^{e_0} n\big(\,n_j\big(\pi(a_i)\big)\big) \otimes \varepsilon_j = 0.$$

ii) *There are formal power series* $\mu_j \in B(k)[[x_1, \dots, x_{e_0}]]$*,* $j = \overline{1, e_0}$*, such that:*

(4.7.5.10) $\varepsilon_j = d\mu_j$*,* $\forall j \in S(1,e)$*;*

(4.7.5.11) $\forall i \in S(1,e)$ *such that* $\pi(b_i) \in \{a_1, \dots, a_e\}$ *we have*

$$\big(\sum_{j=1}^{e_0} \varphi(n_j)\big(\pi(b_i)\big) d\Phi_{R*}(\mu_j)\big)(0) = 0;$$

(4.7.5.12) $\forall i \in S(1,e)$ *such that* $\pi(b_i) \in \{b_1, \dots, b_e\}$ *we have*

$$\big((n - 1_{M_y \otimes_{W(k)} R})(\pi(b_i)) + p\sum_{j=1}^{e_0} n_j\big(\pi(b_i)\big)\mu_j\big)(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{e_0} \big(\varphi(p\,n_j)\big(\pi(b_i)\big) d\Phi_{R*}(\mu_j)\big)(0);$$

(4.7.5.13) $\forall i \in S(1,e)$ *such that* $\pi(a_i) \in \{b_1, \dots, b_e\}$ *we have*

$$\big((\log\, n)(\pi(a_i)) + \sum_{j=1}^{e_0} n_j(\pi(a_i))\mu_j\big)(0) = 0.$$

Moreover $\mu_j(0, ..., 0) = 0$ *and the series* $q_j = \exp(\mu_j)$ *belongs to* R *and satisfies* $q_j(0, ..., 0) = 1$*,* $\forall j \in S(1, \tilde{e}_0)$*.*

4.7.6. Corollary. *The elements* $q_j - 1 \in R$*,* $j = \overline{1, e_0}$*, form together with* p *a regular system of parameters of* R*, i.e. the* $W(k)$*-homomorphism* $R \to R$ *sending* x_j *to* $q_j - 1$*,* $j = \overline{1, e_0}$*, is an isomorphism. Moreover, if we take the Frobenius lift of* R *to be defined* *by* $q_j \to q_j^p$, $j = \overline{1, e_0}$, *then the Frobenius endomorphism of the underlying* R*-module* $M \otimes_{W(k)} R$ *of* ${}_N M_{\hat{y}}$ *takes* b_j *into* $p\pi(b_j)$ *and takes* a_j *into* $\pi(a_j)$, $\forall j \in S(1, e)$.

4.7.7. Definition. The elements $q_j - 1 \in R$ are called G-canonical or Shimura-canonical coordinates of Spec(R) or of Spf(R).

4.7.8. Corollary. *The moduli formal scheme* $\mathcal{M}_G(y)$ *of* G*-deformations of the principally polarized abelian variety* (A_y, p_{A_y}), *over (spectra of) artinian local* $W(k)$*-algebras having* k *as their residue field, is canonically isomorphic to the formal torus* $\mathcal{T}_{e_0}$ *of the completion of* $\mathbb{G}_m^{e_0}$ *in its origin; the principally polarized abelian variety over (the spectrum of)* $W(k)$, *obtained from* $(\mathcal{A}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{H_0}})$ *by pull back through the* G*-canonical lift of* y, *corresponds to the unit element of* $\mathcal{T}_{e_0}(W(k))$.

4.7.9. Corollary. *We can assume that the permutation* π *is trivial iff* $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_p$, *i.e. iff* A_y *is an ordinary abelian variety. If this is the case then the formal torus of* G*-deformations of* (A_y, p_{A_y}) *is a formal subtorus of the formal torus of deformations of* A_y *or of* GSp*-deformations of* (A_y, p_{A_y}) *(i.e. of deformations of the principally polarized abelian variety* (A_y, p_{A_y})*).*

4.7.10. About the proofs of 4.7.5-9. The proofs of 4.7.5-6 are entirely analogous to the proofs of [De3, 1.4.2 and 1.4.7]. As this is the only part of our work which is similar to the classical theory of ordinary points of special fibres of integral canonical models of Siegel modular varieties, here we just indicate which are the differences occurring. We do not bother to mention what happens when we choose different Frobenius lifts of R (see [De3]).

The first part of b) of 4.4.1 3) guarantees that the filtered σ_k-crystal (M_y, F_y^1, φ_y) is cyclic diagonalizable. We deduce the existence of a $W(k)$-basis $\{a_i | 1 \le i \le e\}$ of F_y^0, of a $W(k)$-basis $\{b_i | 1 \le i \le e\}$ of F_y^1, and of a permutation π of $BS := \{a_1, \ldots, a_e, b_1, \ldots, b_e\}$ such that $\varphi_y(b_i) = p\pi(b_i)$ and $\varphi_y(a_i) = \pi(a_i)$, $\forall i = \overline{1, e}$. We always make choices such that if a subset of $\{b_1, ..., b_e\}$ or of $\{a_1, ..., a_e\}$ is permuted cyclically by π, then this subset has only 1 element.

The differences from [De3, 1.4] come from the fact that π is not always the trivial permutation 1_{BS} of BS.

(4.7.5.1-2) just say what is the action of ${}_N\nabla_{\hat{y}}$ on the $W(k)$-basis BS of M_y, using the expression of ${}_N\nabla_{\hat{y}}$ given in 4.7.3.1. (4.7.5.3-6) just make explicit the action of Φ_y on elements of BS, starting from the above expression of φ_y. The proof of the fact that ε_j are closed 1-forms is as in [De3, 1.4.2], starting from the fact that the connection ${}_N\nabla_{\hat{y}}$ is integrable. (4.7.5.7-9) just write down what means that Φ_y is ${}_N\nabla_{\hat{y}}$-parallel, the Frobenius lift of R being Φ_R (cf. [De3, 1.1.3.3 and 1.4.2.5]; see also (E_1) and (E_2) of 3.6.1.1.1 2)).

To see 4.7.5 ii), we follow closely the proof of [De3, 1.4.2.5 ii)]. First the Poincaré lemma assures the existence of formal power series $\mu_j \in B(k)[[x_1, \ldots, x_{e_0}]]$ such that $d\mu_j = \varepsilon_j$; they are unique up to a constant. Now (4.7.5.7-9) can be rewritten as:

(4.7.10.1) $d\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{e_0} \varphi(n_j)\big(\pi(b_i)\big) d\Phi_{R*}(\mu_j)\Big) = 0;$

(4.7.10.2) $d\Big(n\big(\pi(b_i)\big)+\sum_{j=1}^{e_0} n_j\big(\pi(b_i)\big)\mu_j-\varphi(\,n_j)\big(\pi(b_i)\big)d\Phi_{R*}(\mu_j)\Big)=0;$

(4.7.10.3) $d\Big(\log\, n\pi(a_i)+\sum_{j=1}^{e_0} n_j\big(\pi(a_i)\big)\mu_j\Big)=0.$

$\varphi(p\,n_j)\in M_y\otimes_{W(k)} R$ is non-zero mod p and N is an abelian group; so we have no problems in defining $\log n$. The equations (4.7.10.1-3) are of similar type: they are obtained by taking the d-operator of linear combinations of functions; (4.7.25) is an equation similar to the one obtained by taking the d-operator of [De3, 1.4.2.7]. The values of μ_j's at 0 are determined by the equations (4.7.16-18). To see why this is so, let $t_j:=n_j\mu_j(0)$, $j\in S(1,e_0)$, and let $t:=\sum_{j=1}^{e_0} t_j$, We have $t\in \mathrm{Lie}(N)[\frac{1}{p}]$. To determine $\mu_j(0)$, $j\in S(1,e_0)$, is equivalent to determine t. The equations (4.7.16-18) can be rewritten respectively as:

(4.7.10.4) $\varphi(t)\big(\pi(b_i)\big)=0;$

(4.7.10.5) $\varphi(pt)\big(\pi(b_i)\big)=pt\big(\pi(b_i)\big);$

(4.7.10.6) $t\big(\pi(a_i)\big)=0.$

Let $B_0:=\{a_1,\ldots,a_e\}\cup\big\{\pi(a_i),\ldots,\pi(a_e)\big\}$. t acts trivially on the subspace of M_y generated by B_0, i.e. on $F_y^0\cup\varphi_y(F_y^0)$. It is easy to see that there is a unique $t\in\mathrm{End}(M_y)$ such that $t(F_y^0)=\{0\}$, t acts trivially on M_y/F_y^0 and 4.7.27-29 hold. Argument: $\varphi(t)-t$ is uniquely determined by these requirements and so $\varphi(t)=t$; as t acts trivially on F_y^0 and M_y/F_y^0, we get $t=0$.

There is another way to see that $t=0$: if π is the trivial permutation this is obvious; but, as to be pointed out in 4.7.11 1) below, the situation gets reduced to such a context.

The last fact of ii), to prove that $q_j\in R$ (obviously $q_j(0,...,0)=1$), is similar to [De3, 1.4.5], starting from (4.7.10.1-3) and using the element

$$t_R:=\sum n_j u_j\in\mathrm{Lie}(N)[\frac{1}{p}][[x_1,\ldots,x_{e_0}]].$$

The proof of 4.7.6 is entirely analogous to the proof of [De3, 1.4.7]: we are in a context of a moduli scheme ($\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$) of principally polarized abelian schemes and so the Kodaira–Spencer map

$$gr_N\nabla_{\hat{y}}:\bar{T}_{R/W(k)}\to\mathrm{Hom}_R(F_y^1\otimes_{W(k)} R, F_y^0\otimes_{W(k)} R)$$

of ${}_N\nabla_{\hat{y}}$ (with $\bar{T}_{R/W(k)}:=\bar{\Omega}^*_{R/W(k)}$) is injective, having $\mathrm{Lie}(N)\otimes_{W(k)} R$ as its image (we have a canonical injective $W(k)$-linear map $\mathrm{Lie}(N)\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Hom}_{W(k)}(F_y^1,F_y^0)$).

Now 4.7.8 is obvious. The first statement of 4.7.9 is just a restatement of 4.6 P1. The second statement of 4.7.9 is a particular case of the principle expressed in 4.7.17 below: it is Fact 4 of 2.3.11 which allows us to be in a context involving just Shimura p-divisible groups; it reobtains in the case of a SHS $(f,L_{(p)},v)$, with $k(v)=\mathbb{F}_p$, the main result of [No1].

4.7.11. On the general theory of crystalline coordinates for p-divisible groups over k. We itemize the ideas and results. We recall 2 is invertible in k.

1) Based on [De3, 1.4] and on the results pertaining to a SHS and presented till 4.7.4, the above part 4.7.5-29 is essentially trivial. What we did: we interpreted [De3, 1.4] as a property of deformations of Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystals. In other words, the p-divisible group over k associated to (M_y, φ_y) (of 4.7.1) is a direct sum of two p-divisible groups D_y^1 and D_y^2 such that:

– the slopes of D_y^1 are precisely 0 and 1, while D_y^2 does not have integral slopes;

– the G-deformations of (A_y, p_{A_y}) (see 4.7.0) correspond to deformations of D_y^1, while "keeping" D_y^2 fixed.

So, if

$$M_y = M_y^1 \oplus M_y^2$$

is the direct sum decomposition corresponding to D_y^1 and D_y^2, then (cf. 4.7.1 2))

$$\mathrm{Lie}(N) \subset \mathrm{End}(M_y^1).$$

The main goal of including 4.7.5-29 here is: to get some familiarity with the new type of equations (differential or not) showing up when we pass from (Shimura) ordinary σ_k-crystals to cyclic diagonalizable Shimura σ_k-crystals. The equations 4.7.5.4-5, 4.7.5.7, 4.7.5.9, 4.7.5.11, 4.7.5.13, 4.7.10.1 and 4.7.10.3 are typical to the cyclic diagonalizable situation: they do not show up in the classical setting of [De3, 1.4].

2) Let D be a p-divisible group over k. Let m be the product of the dimensions of D and of its dual D^t. It is known (for instance, see [Il, 4.8]; see also 4.12.13 4) below) that D has a universal deformation $\mathcal{D}$ over

$$R_m := W(k)[[w_1, ..., w_m]].$$

We assume $m \geq 1$. For any $W(k)$-epimorphism

$$q : R_m \twoheadrightarrow R_n := W(k)[[z_1, ..., z_n]],$$

with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \leq m$, we get naturally (from $\mathcal{D}$) a p-divisible group $\mathcal{D}_q$ over R_n. If $n = m$, then $z_i = w_i$, $\forall i \in S(1, m)$.

The two goals. The first goal of the general problem of crystalline coordinates (for p-divisible groups) is to find a good description (if possible canonical) of the p-divisible object $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{D}_q)$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(R_n)$, for epimorphisms q of interest; we have freedom (as part of the good description) for the choice of a Frobenius lift Φ_{R_n} of R_n. If we have $\Phi_{R_n}(z_i) = z_i^p$ (resp. $\Phi_{R_n}(z_i + 1) = (z_i + 1)^p$), $\forall i \in S(1, n)$, we speak about an additive (resp. multiplicative) type situation. These are the most common (and handable) type of situations. The second goal of the problem of crystalline coordinates is to compare, in the case we do not have a canonical description, the different descriptions obtained in the process of achieving the first goal. In what follows we essentially concentrate on the first goal.

The extra Shimura structure. Very often the σ_k-crystal $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi})$ of D gets naturally the structure of a Shimura σ_k-crystal $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}, (\tilde{t}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}})$ with an emphasized family of tensors, and then we take q to be the maximal formally smooth quotient of R_m preserving (in the crystalline sense of 2.2.20 AX) the tensors involved (for concrete examples see [Va2, 5.4-5]); so $n = dd((\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}))$ (cf. 2.2.21 UP and 2.3.17.2). So we get a p-divisible object with tensors $\mathfrak{C}_q$ of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_n)$, defined by q; forgetting the tensors it is $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{D}_q)$. $\mathfrak{C}_q$ is uniquely associated to a uni plus versal Shimura p-divisible group over R_n. The Theorem 3.6.18.5 (as well as its relative counterparts of 3.6.18.7), in essence, achieves the first goal of the theory of crystalline coordinates. What we have to do is explained in the following two steps.

a) *First we choose, according to needs and desires, a Frobenius lift of R_n taking the ideal $I_n := (z_1, ..., z_n)$ into itself, and a Frobenius endomorphism Φ of $\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} R_n$ of the form $g_{R_n}(\tilde{\varphi} \otimes 1)$, with $g_{R_n} \in \tilde{G}(R_n)$ which modulo I_n is the identity. We choose also a filtration $F^1(\tilde{M})$ of $\tilde{M}$ such that $(\tilde{M}, F^1(\tilde{M}), \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ is a Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal. We get, as in 3.6.18, a p-divisible object with tensors*

$$\mathfrak{C} := (\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} R_n, F^1(\tilde{M}) \otimes_{W(k)} R_n, g_{R_n}(\tilde{\varphi} \otimes 1), (\tilde{t}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}})$$

of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R_n)$.

b) *We determine connections which respect the $\tilde{G}_{R_n}$-action and make $\mathfrak{C}/p\mathfrak{C}$ to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_n)$. We look at the resulting Kodaira–Spencer maps (one for each such connection) and we pick up one such connection of whose Kodaira–Spencer map is injective (an isomorphism onto its image) modulo the maximal ideal (I_n, p) of R_n; the choices in a) should be made in such a way that such a connection does exist. Then using 3.6.18.7.0 we lift the picked up connection to a connection which respects the $\tilde{G}_{R_n}$-action and makes $\mathfrak{C}$ to be viewed as a p-divisible object with tensors of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_n)$. So $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_q$, as p-divisible objects with tensors of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_n)$, are isomorphic under an isomorphism which mod I_n is the identity (cf. 2.2.21 UP). Here and in what follows, the respecting of the $\tilde{G}_{R_n}$-action is in the same sense as of 3.6.1.1.1.*

Using these two steps a) and b), we regain (cf. 3.6.18.2) the results of [De3, 2.1], without any computational effort. After a brief digression on K3 surfaces, in 3) to 9) below we deal with cyclic diagonalizable contexts.

2A) Digression: the case of K3 surfaces. A great part of the above ideas of 4.7.11 and of 3.6.18 can be adapted to the context of alternating or symmetric p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(R_n)$ (or of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,2]}(R_n)$) which are versal (cf. also 3.6.1.6 Ph). Below, as a sample, we briefly digress on the geometric context of K3 surfaces (see [Va6] for general abstract contexts; see [Va12] for other geometric context: of cubic fourfolds, etc.)

The case of (the existence of) crystalline coordinates for the deformation space of an ordinary K3 surface S over an arbitrary algebraically closed field $\tilde{k}$ of characteristic $p \geq 2$, is "settled" by [Fa2, th. 10 and the remarks after] (see also [De3, 2.1 B] for the case $p \geq 3$); for $p = 2$, "settled" is used in the sense of the first goal mentioned above: however, the Fact of 4.14.3 E and 2B) below can be combined to achieve as well the second goal. [Fa2, th. 10] is not stated as such; however, starting from [De3, 2.1.6] it can be checked it applies.

To explain this, let $\mathfrak{C}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{C}^1$) be the symmetric principally quasi-polarized, uni plus versal, filtered F-crystal over $\tilde{k}[[t_1, ..., t_{20}]]$ of the universal deformation space of S over $\mathrm{Spf}(\tilde{k}[[t_1, ..., t_{20}]])$ (resp. over $\tilde{k}[[t_1, ..., t_{20}]]$ constructed as the one of [De3, 2.1.7] having H as its underlying $W(k)[[t_1, ..., t_{20}]]$-module but for $p = 2$). Warning: the Frobenius lift of $W(k)[[t_1, ..., t_{20}]]$ we work with takes t_i into t_i^p, $\forall i \in S(1, 20)$. We assume the canonical lift of S is defined by the $W(k)$-epimorphism $W(k)[[t_1, ..., t_{20}]] \twoheadrightarrow W(k)$ annihilating all t_i's. Forgetting the symmetric principally quasi-polarization, $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{C}^1$ are objects of $p-\mathcal{MF}_{[0,2]}(W(k)[[t_1, ..., t_{20}]])$. Moreover, they are ordinary in the sense of [De3, 1.3.3]. So let $\mathfrak{U}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{U}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{U}_0^1 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{U}_1^1 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$) be the slope filtration in (filtered F-crystals) of $\mathfrak{C}$ (resp. of $\mathfrak{C}_1$) obtained as in [De3, 2.1.6] (see top of [De3, p. 109]). So $\mathfrak{U}$ and $\mathfrak{U}^1$ are uni plus versal objects of $p - \mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(W(k)[[t_1, ..., t_{20}]])$ and so we can apply [Fa2, th. 10] to them: we deduce the existence of a unique $W(k)$-isomorphism $I : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[[t_1, ..., t_{20}]]) \tilde{\to} \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[[t_1, ..., t_{20}]])$ which as a $W(k)$-isomorphism of $W(k)[[t_1, ..., t_{20}]]$ leaves invariant the ideal $(t_1, ..., t_{20})$ and which is such that $I^*(\mathfrak{U}^1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{U}$ under an isomorphism ISO which mod $(t_1, ...t_{20})$ is the natural identification. Referring to [De3, 2.1.7], the element c of it is uniquely determined by a, b_1,..., b_{20}. So, as we are in a symmetric principally quasi-polarized context, there is a unique way to extend ISO to an isomorphism $I^*(\mathfrak{C}^1) \tilde{\to} \mathfrak{C}$; modulo $(t_1, ..., t_{20})$ is as well the natural identification.

The case of polarized ordinary K3 surfaces over $\tilde{k}$ of degree relatively prime to p (this excludes entirely the case $p = 2$) can be deduced as well from a) and b) (or from 4.7.5 performed abstractly, i.e. in the context of Shimura-ordinary σ_k-crystals having the A-degree of definition equal to 1; see Theorem of 4) below) and from 2.2.21 UP, by a natural process of moving (i.e. of lifting things) from the context of $SO(2, 19)$-groups to the context of $\mathrm{GSpin}(2, 19)$-groups. In other words, we can work entirely in the context of a Shimura filtered $\sigma_{\tilde{k}}$-crystal $(\tilde{M}, F^1(\tilde{M}), \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}, (\tilde{t}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}})$, such that:

– $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi})$ is ordinary and $(\tilde{M}, F^1(\tilde{M}), \tilde{\varphi})$ is diagonalizable;

– $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is an absolutely simple, split $W(\tilde{k})$-group of B_{10} Lie type;

– $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ab}} = \mathbb{G}_m$;

– the faithful representation $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{der}} \hookrightarrow GL(\tilde{M})$ is the spin representation of the simply connected group cover of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ (so $\dim_{W(k)}(\tilde{M}) = 2^{10}$).

We consider a direct summand $\tilde{M}_1$ of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ normalized by $\tilde{G}$ and such that:

a) $\tilde{M}_1[\frac{1}{p}]$ is normalized by $\tilde{\varphi}$;

b) the representation of $\tilde{G}$ on $\tilde{M}_1$ factors through $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}$, giving birth to the symmetric faithful representation of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ of dimension 21;

c) there is a symmetric perfect form $\tilde{\psi}_1$ on $\tilde{M}_1$ fixed by $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ at the same time.

The existence of $\tilde{M}_1$ can be deduced easily by considering $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structures as in 2.2.9 8) and applying arguments as in 2.3.9 B and E. The mentioned lifting process can be stated as (see §7 and [Va12] for general forms of it):

2B) Proposition (the lifting process in a K3 context). *We assume $\tilde{k}$ is just perfect of odd characteristic. Let R be a local, henselian, regular, formally smooth $W(\tilde{k})$-algebra having $\tilde{k}$ as its residue field and let Φ_R be a Frobenius lift of R. Then any symmetric p-divisible object $(M_R, (F^i(M_R))_{i\in\{0,1,2\}}, \Phi_{M_R}, \nabla, p_{M_R})$ of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,2]}(R)$, with $F^2(M_R)$ of rank 1 and with M_R of rank 21, is isomorphic to the symmetric p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,2]}(R)$ obtained from a filtered F-crystal with tensors of the form*

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{lift}} = (\tilde{M}\otimes_{W(\tilde{k})} R^{\wedge}, F^1(\tilde{M})\otimes_{W(\tilde{k})} R^{\wedge}, \tilde{g}_R(\tilde{\varphi}\otimes 1), \nabla_0, (\tilde{t}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\tilde{\mathcal{J}}}),$$

with $\tilde{g}_R \in \tilde{G}(R^{\wedge})$, by moving from $\tilde{M}$ to $(\tilde{M}_1(1), \tilde{\psi}_1(1))$ (in a way similar to the Fact 2 of 2.2.10 but involving the Tate twist $W(\tilde{k})(1)$).

Proof: We can assume Φ_R is of additive type in the maximal point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$. "Ignoring" ∇ and ∇_0, the existence of $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{lift}}$ is a consequence of the henselian assumption: any element of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}(R^{\wedge})$ lifts to an element of $\tilde{G}(R^{\wedge})$. The existence and uniqueness of ∇_0 are implied by 3.6.18.4.4 1) and 3.6.18.8. But 3.6.18.8 also implies that under the process of moving from $\tilde{M}$ to $\tilde{M}_1(1)$, ∇_0 "becomes" ∇. This ends the proof.

3) We look closer at [De3] to understand the real principles underlying the main results of loc. cit. We see that there are precisely three main ideas. We formulate them in the language of this paper.

a) *We have a Shimura σ_k-crystal which admits a "canonical split": with the notations of 2), this is a cocharacter $\mu: \mathbb{G}_m \to \tilde{G}$ with special properties; in particular, it produces a direct sum decomposition $\tilde{M} = \tilde{F}^1 \oplus \tilde{F}^0$, with $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acting through μ on $\tilde{F}^s$ as the multiplication with β^{-s}, $s = \overline{0,1}$ (to be compared with 3.1.4).*

b) *The resulting Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ is cyclic diagonalizable.*

c) *We can construct good additive coordinates. By this we mean [De3, 1.4.2] (see also 5) below).*

3') Using the cocharacter μ, we get a natural $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$, as in 4.4.7. So $\tilde{M} = M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$, with $M_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module; under this identification, $\tilde{G}$ is obtained, by extension of scalars, from a reductive subgroup $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of $GL(M_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$. Moreover μ is defined over a finite unramified DVR extension $W(k_\mu)$ of $\mathbb{Z}_p$; we always choose the smallest such extension. Let T be the smallest torus of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ such that μ is obtained by pull back from a cocharacter of $\tilde{G}_{W(k_\mu)}$ factoring through $T_{W(k_\mu)}$ (cf. b) of 3) and 2.2.16.1). Let k_μ^{ad} be the smallest subfield of k_μ such that the cocharacter of the image of T in $\tilde{G}^{\text{ad}}$ naturally defined by μ, is defined over $W(k_\mu^{\text{ad}})$. Let C be the centralizer of T in $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. It is a reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

The principle behind the choice of $W(k)$-bases in [De3, 1.4.2] and in 4.7.5 is:

We pick up a maximal torus T_C of C^{der}; only the $C(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-conjugacy class of T_C matters. If C^{der} is split, then the most natural choice for T_C is: a split maximal torus of C^{der}.

When C^{der} is not split, still we have quite natural choices for T_C. For instance, we can take T_C to contain a maximal split torus of C^{der} and to split over the smallest unramified extension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ over which C^{der} splits (cf. [Ti2, 1.10]); more concretely, we can take T_C as a maximal torus of a Borel subgroup of C^{der}. For the most general applications it is important to take T_C arbitrarily. Let T_C^1 be the maximal torus of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ generated by T_C and by the maximal subtorus of $Z(C)$; as in the proof of 3.11.1 we get a Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\varphi}, T_C^1)$. We have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\text{Lie}(T_C^1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)) = \text{Lie}(T_C^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)).$$

Let $\{t_1, ..., t_{\dim_{W(k)}(T_C^1)}\}$ be a $W(k)$-basis of $\text{Lie}(T_C^1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$ formed by elements fixed by $\tilde{\varphi}$.

4) It is easy to see that choosing a multiplicative type Frobenius lift of R_n, in 2) we can not, for an arbitrary cyclic diagonalizable Shimura σ_k-crystal $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$, choose g_{R_n} to be the identity element of $\tilde{G}(R_n)$. Even for the case of the p-divisible group of a supersingular elliptic curve over k (so $m = n = 1$) we can not choose $g_{R_1} = 1_{\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} R_n}$. To get out from this deadlock, in general we have to either use the additive situation (see 5) below) or to work with the multiplicative situation, with a carefully chosen g_{R_n} (see 6) below). In what follows we assume $dd((\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})) > 0$.

By $\tilde{G}$-canonical (multiplicative) coordinates for $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ we mean that, referring to a) and b) of 2), we can choose simultaneously the following five things:

i) a lift $\tilde{F}^1$ of it of quasi CM type;

ii) $n = dd((\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}))$;

iii) a multiplicative type Frobenius lift of R_n ($\Phi_{R_n}(z_i + 1) = (z_i + 1)^p$, $\forall i \in S(1, n)$);

iv) $g_{R_n} = 1_{\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} R_n}$;

v) a connection which respects the $\tilde{G}$-action and of whose Kodaira–Spencer map modulo (I_n, p) is injective.

If the choices i) to v) are possible, we say $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ has $\tilde{G}$-canonical (multiplicative) coordinates. We have:

Theorem. *We consider a cyclic diagonalizable Shimura σ_k-crystal $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$. The following three statements are equivalent:*

a) *it has $\tilde{G}$-canonical (multiplicative) coordinates;*

b) *its attached Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal $\mathfrak{L}$ is ordinary, with its multiplicity of the slope -1 being maximal, i.e. equal to $dd((\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}))$;*

c) *it is a $\tilde{G}$-ordinary σ_k-crystal and its A-degree of definition is 1.*

Proof: If b) holds, then the cyclic adjoint factors of any $\tilde{G}$-ordinary σ_k-crystal $\mathfrak{C}_0$ produced by $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ are either trivial or are totally non-compact of constant type (cf. 3.1.0 c) and 3.10.6). From this and 3.9.2 we get: $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ is a $\tilde{G}$-ordinary σ_k-crystal. As its attached Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal is ordinary, we get (cf. F2 of 3.10.7 and Fact of 3.11.2 D): its A-degree of definition equal to 1. So b) implies c). Obviously c) implies b) (to be compared with 4.6 P4).

From the proof of 3.6.18.4 B) (see P6 of it) we get (cf. also 2)), that b) implies a). In other words, choosing ii) to iv) to hold and choosing $\tilde{F}^1$ to be the $\tilde{G}$-canonical lift of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ (4.4.13.2 shows that in fact we have no other choice for $\tilde{F}^1$), based on 3.6.18.4 P6 (see also 3.6.18.2), we get that we can assume that v) holds as well.

We assume now that a) holds. From 3.6.18.4 P5 we get that subject to the choices i) to iv), the image modulo (I_n, p) of the Kodaira–Spencer map of any $\tilde{G}$-invariant connection, is a k-vector space of dimension at most equal to the multiplicity of the slope -1 of the Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal attached to $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$. So from ii) and v) we get that this multiplicity is equal to $dd((\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}))$. But this implies that all cyclic adjoint factors of $\mathfrak{C}_0$ are either trivial or are totally non-compact of constant type. As above, we get that $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ is a $\tilde{G}$-ordinary σ_k-crystal. From F2 of 3.10.7 we get that b) holds. This proves the Theorem.

In particular, we reobtain in a much faster manner the results of 4.7.6-7.

5) We come back to the general context of 3'). Let $\tilde{N}$ be the commutative, unipotent subgroup of $\tilde{G}$ acting trivially on $\tilde{F}^0$ and on $\tilde{M}/\tilde{F}^0$. We take R_n to be the completion of $\tilde{N}$ in its origin (so $n = \dim_{W(k)}(\tilde{N}) = dd((\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}))$). $\tilde{N}$ has a natural $W(k_\mu^{\mathrm{ad}})$-structure; let $\tilde{N}_{W(k_\mu^{\mathrm{ad}})}$ be the subgroup of $\tilde{G}_{W(k_\mu^{\mathrm{ad}})}$ which over $W(k)$ is $\tilde{N}$. If Φ_{R_n} is of additive type, then we speak about additive coordinates. Due to the condition on the Kodaira–Spencer map of b) of 2), we do not have too many choices in connection to additive coordinates: the p-divisible object with tensors $\mathfrak{C}_q$ of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_n)$ is a Shimura filtered F-crystal of the form $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}, \tilde{N}, \tilde{f})$ (cf. 2.2.21 UP); so the only choice we have is in choosing (the automorphism) $\tilde{f}$ (of R_n). The choice of $\tilde{f}$ corresponds to a choice of an additive type Frobenius lift of R_n. [Fa2, rm. iii) after th. 10] tells us that it does not matter which choice we make. We propose one such choice, which to us looks convenient (at least from the point of view of 8) below).

The maximal subtorus T_C^2 of T_C^1 contained in $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{der}}$ (resp. the image of T in $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}$) might not be split. It splits over an unramified finite DVR extension $W(k_2)$ (resp. $W(k_1)$) of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. As before, we choose the smallest such extension. We have natural $\mathbb{Z}_p$-monomorphisms $W(k_\mu^{\mathrm{ad}}) \hookrightarrow W(k_1) \hookrightarrow W(k_2)$. Let $\tilde{N}_0$ be the smallest integral, closed subgroup of $\tilde{G}$ such that it contains $\tilde{N}$ and its Lie algebra is taken by $p\tilde{\varphi}$ into itself. We consider two variants.

Variant 1. Let $\mathbb{G}_a(i)$, $i \in S(1, n)$, be different $\mathbb{G}_a$ subgroups of $\tilde{N}_{W(k_1)}$, whose Lie algebras are normalized by $T_{W(k_1)}$. Most common, they are not uniquely determined.

Variant 2. Let $\mathbb{G}_a(i)$, $i \in S(1,n)$, be the different $\mathbb{G}_a$ subgroups of $\tilde{N}_{W(k_2)}$, whose Lie algebras are normalized by $T^2_{CW(k_2)}$. They are uniquely determined.

Under both these variants, we feel inclined of choosing Φ_{R_n} such that it takes the subring

$$R(i) := W(k_2)[[z_i]]$$

of R_n defined via (completion in the origin of) the projection (it is a group homomorphism) of $\tilde{N}_{W(k_2)}$ on $\mathbb{G}_a(i)$ having $\prod_{j\in S(1,n)\setminus\{i\}} \mathbb{G}_a(j)$ as its kernel, into itself; it is also natural to take

$$\Phi_{R_n}(z_i) = z_i^p,$$

$\forall i \in S(1,n)$. Under both these variants, we can use T_C (and so T_C^2) to determine uniquely z_i's, through different considerations but this (presently) looks irrelevant to us.

6) We move now to the case when Φ_{R_n} is of multiplicative type (i.e. it is as in iii) of 4)). We work under one of the two variants of 5). Two natural questions arise:

Q *What happens if the conditions of the Theorem of 4) are not satisfied? Is there a best choice of g_{R_n} in such a case?*

We propose here one good choice of g_{R_n} working out in all cases (i.e. in the case of the mentioned Theorem, provided we work under Variant 1, the element g_{R_n} to be introduced below is the identity). We consider

$$a_i \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_a(i))$$

generating this Lie algebra. Warning: we always assume that the $W(k)$-subbasis $\{a_1, ..., a_n, t_1, ..., t_{\dim_{W(k)}(T_C^1)}\}$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})$ extends (by adding elements at the "end") to a $W(k)$-basis $\{e_1, ..., e_{\dim_{W(k)}(\tilde{G})}\}$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})$ as in 2.2.12 c). Up to multiplying each a_i by some invertible element of $W(k)$, this is automatic so under Variant 2; under Variant 1 we need to use the cyclic diagonalizability property in a way entirely similar to 3.4.3.0 (1) (but without having good "control" on the exponents of p), in order to make suitable choices so that this assumption is satisfied.

For $i \in S(1,n)$, let $n_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the infimum of the set of numbers $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}^{m_i}(a_i) \subset F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}))[\frac{1}{p}]$. Let

$$S(1,n)^0 := \{i \in S(1,n) | n_i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

For each $i \in S(1,n) \setminus S(1,n)^0$ we consider an element $b_i \in \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})$ subject to the requirements:

R1 $\tilde{\varphi}(pb_i) = b_i$;

R2 the $W(k)$-span of b_j's is the same as the $W(k)$-span of a_j's, where $j \in S(1,n) \setminus S(1,n)^0$.

Let $g_{R_n} \in \tilde{N}(R_n)$ be defined by the formula

$$g_{R_n} = 1_{\tilde{M}\otimes_{W(k)} R_n} + \sum_{i\in S(1,n)^0} a_i z_i.$$

We have:

Theorem. *We can choose a connection on $\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} R_n$ respecting the $\tilde{G}_{R_n}$-action, making*

$$(\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} R_n, \tilde{F}^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R_n, g_{R_n}(\tilde{\varphi} \otimes 1))$$

to be viewed as a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_n)$ and whose Kodaira–Spencer map is an injection (modulo (I_n, p)).

Proof: As $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\varphi})$ is cyclic diagonalizable we choose a $W(k)$-basis of $\tilde{M}$ as in 2.2.1 d). Using it, we consider the convenient matrix form (as in 3.6.8.6 (CMF)) of the equations describing connections on $\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} R_n/pR_n$ which respect the $\tilde{G}_{R_n}$-action and make $(\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} R_n/pR_n, \tilde{F}^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R_n/pR_n, g_{R_n}(\tilde{\varphi} \otimes 1))$ to be viewed as an object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_n)$. We view (cf. 3.6.8.6.1) this convenient matrix form as an equality between two elements of $LIE/pLIE$, where $LIE := \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}) \otimes_{W(k)} \bar{\Omega}_{R_n/W(k)}$.

We consider the R_n-basis $\{e_i \otimes dz_j | i \in S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(\tilde{G})),\ j \in S(1, n)\}$ of LIE. Due to the cyclic diagonalizability assumption on $\{e_1, ..., e_{\dim_{W(k)}(\tilde{G})}\}$, identifying the coefficients w.r.t. its reduction mod p of the mentioned two elements of $LIE/pLIE$ and taken them mod (I_n, p), we come across systems of equations of first type very close in spirit to the ones of (27) of 3.6.18.4 B). More precisely, if π is the permutation of $S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(\tilde{G}))$ obtained as in 2.2.12 c) (so $\tilde{\varphi}(e_i) = p^{\varepsilon_i} e_{\pi(i)}$ for some $\varepsilon_i \in S(-1, 1)$), the equations (with coefficients in k) are of the form

$$(CYCLIC) \qquad\qquad d_{\pi(i)j} + x_{\pi(i)j} = b_{ij} x_{ij}^p,$$

$i \in S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(\tilde{G}))$, $j \in S(1, n)$. This is in conformity with 3.6.8.6 (CMF) and 3.6.8.6.2 (so the right hand side of (CYCLIC) corresponds to the right hand side of 3.6.8.6 (CMF), etc.). We have:

i) $b_{ij} = 0$ iff $i \notin S(1, n)$ (cf. the definition of $\pi(F^0)$ of 3.6.8.6 and the fact that Φ_{R_n} is of multiplicative type);

ii) $d_{ij} \neq 0$ iff $i = j \in S(1, n)^0$ (cf. the shape of g_{R_n} and the definition of $C_l E_G^{-1}$ in 3.6.8.6).

We fix $j_0 \in S(1, n)^0$ and $i \in S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(\tilde{G}))$ and we look just at the equations involving x_{ij_0}, $x_{\pi(i)j_0}$,..., $x_{\pi^{u_i-1}(i)j_0}$, with $u_i \in \mathbb{N}$ as the smallest number such that $\pi^{u_i}(i) = i$. If $i \notin S(1, n) \setminus S(1, n)^0$ and if $j_0 \notin \{i, \pi(i), ..., \pi^{u_i-1}(i)\}$ then from i) and ii) we get $x_{ij} = 0$. Warning: if $i \in S(1, n) \setminus S(1, n)^0$, then x_{ij} can be non-zero.

So based on the previous paragraph and on ii), we easily get: $\forall i \in S(1,n)^0$, the endomorphism $\bar{e}_i$ of M_y/pM_y defined by evaluating any such connection at $\frac{\delta}{\delta z_i}$ and taking everything modulo (I_n, p), is of the following "F^0-truncated" form

$$(TRUNC) \qquad \Big(\sum_{s=0}^{n_i} b_s(i)\tilde{a}_s(i)\Big) + \sum_{i\in S(1,n)\setminus S(1,n)^0} c_i a_i,$$

where $b_s(i) \in k$, with $b_0(i) \neq 0$, where $c_i \in k$ (are not necessarily zero), and where $\tilde{a}_s(i)$ is the reduction mod p of $e_{a(i,s)}$, with $a(i,s) \in S(1, \dim_{W(k)}(\tilde{G}))$ uniquely determined by the property that $\tilde{\varphi}^s(a_i) \in B(k)e_{a(i,s)}$. We choose one such connection $\nabla + p$ with the property that for any $i \in S(1,n) \setminus S(1,n)^0$, the similarly defined endomorphism $\bar{e}_i$ of M_y/pM_y is a non-zero multiple of b_i/pb_i (cf. R1 and the proof of 3.6.18.2).

The Kodaira–Spencer map of ∇_p: its image modulo the ideal $(z_1, ..., z_n)$ of R_n/pR_n contains the k-span of b_i/pb_i's, $i \in S(1,n) \setminus S(1,n)^0$, and by induction on the (increasing) possible values of n_i's, with $i \in S(1,n)^0$, it contains (cf. (TRUNC)) a_i/pa_i. So the Theorem follows (cf. a) and b) of 2)) from the standard way (see 3.6.18.7) of lifting ∇_p to a connection on $\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} R_n$ having all required properties.

Corollary. Spf(R_n) *has a natural structure of a formal torus: it is defined by the coordinates* $z_i + 1$*,* $i \in S(1,n)$*.*

In the case of the Theorem of 4), we have $k_1 = \mathbb{F}_p$ and so $\tilde{N}_0 = \tilde{N}$. So $S(1,n)^0$ is the empty set and we have

$$g_{R_n} = 1_{\tilde{M}\otimes_{W(k)}R_n}.$$

Moreover, we can choose a_i's such that $\tilde{\varphi}(pa_i) = a_i$, $\forall i \in S(1,n)$. For such a choice of a_i's we can choose the connection ∇ on $\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} R_n$ to have the following standard logarithmic form

$$(LN) \qquad \nabla = \delta + \sum_{i\in S(1,n)} a_i d(ln(z_i + 1)).$$

Here δ is the connection on $\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} R_n$ that annihilates $\tilde{M}$. Obviously such a connection has all the properties mentioned in the Theorem. Accordingly, we view Theorem as the generalization of [Ka3, 3.7.1-3 and 4.3.1-2]: if $\tilde{G} = GL(\tilde{M})$ and if $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\varphi})$ is the filtered σ-crystal associated to the canonical lift of an ordinary p-divisible group over k having its dimension equal to half of its rank, then for a very particular choice of a_i's –subject to the equalities $\tilde{\varphi}(pa_i) = a_i$– we get exactly loc. cit.

In the general case it is still arguable which are the best choices for a_i's and z_i's. Of course the a_i's and z_i's are interrelated. We leave this problem of the best (i.e. the most natural) such choices to get matured on its due time.

7) The ideas of 3.6 (for instance see 3.6.1.3 and 3.6.8.1.2) suggest that $W(k)$-algebras of the form R_m and R_n are not always the right ones for getting crystalline coordinates.

Often they should be replaced by the p-adic completion of ind-étale algebras over smooth $W(k)$-algebras. For instance. we can work with the p-adic completion of the henselization of the localization of $W(k)[z_1,...,z_n]$ w.r.t. its maximal ideal $(p,z_1,...,z_n)$ (cf. also 3.6.20 5)). But these henselizations are still "loosing" a lot of information.

Very often the $W(k)$-algebras we "can get", have "plenty" of k-valued points (i.e. their spectra are regular, AG k-schemes). For instance, working with Shimura σ_k-crystals which are ordinary, using the Fact of 3.6.18.9 (and of 3.6.19), we can construct canonical crystalline coordinates for $W(k)$-algebras whose spectra are the p-adic completion of $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale covers of the p-adic completion of open, affine subschemes of $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)[z_1,...,z_n])$, like the one obtained by inverting all z_i's; so we have uni plus versal deformations of Shimura p-divisible groups over these spectra which are ordinary (in the usual way): they are defined or associated to "prescribed" (see 3.6.1.3 and 3.6.11) filtered F-crystals with tensors.

More generally, referring to the Corollary of 6), instead of $W(k)[[z_1,...,z_n]]$ we can work with the p-adic completion of an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale cover of the spectrum of

$$R_n^{\mathrm{al}} := W(k)[z_1,...,z_n][\frac{1}{\prod_{i\in S(1,n)} z_i+1})],$$

cf. Fact of 3.6.18.9 applied to

$$\mathfrak{C}_q^{\mathrm{al}} := (\tilde{M}\otimes_{W(k)} R_n^{\mathrm{al}}, \tilde{F}^1\otimes_{W(k)} R_n^{\mathrm{al}}, g_{R_n^{\mathrm{al}}}(\tilde{\varphi}\otimes 1)),$$

with $g_{R_n^{\mathrm{al}}}$ having the same expression as g_{R_n} but being "viewed" as an element of $\tilde{G}(R_n^{\mathrm{al}})$. This opens a completely new horizon: variation of crystalline coordinates in families.

When we are in the context of a principally polarized abelian variety, we do not know for which "prescriptions" we still get (principally) polarized abelian schemes over the spectra of these $W(k)$-algebras (in some variants we might have to deal with polarizations which are not necessarily principal). We recall: we do need a polarization in order to get abelian schemes over such spectra (cf. [FC, 1.10 a)]).

We do expect that the study of variations of crystalline coordinates will lead to nice modular properties. We hope to come back to this idea in a future paper.

8) In 3) to 6) we had some choices:

– of μ (and so of $\tilde{F}^1$ and of $\tilde{N}$);

– of T_C;

– and of z_i and a_i, $i\in S(1,n)$.

We do not stop to see if we can make all these choices to result in something canonical (up to isomorphisms of $(\tilde{M},\tilde{\varphi},\tilde{G})$). However, two samples are in order.

U. If $(\tilde{M},\tilde{F}^1,\tilde{\varphi},\tilde{G})$ is U-ordinary, then $\tilde{F}^1$ is uniquely determined and so μ too.

T. If in fact $(\tilde{M},\tilde{F}^1,\tilde{\varphi},\tilde{G})$ is T-ordinary, then T_C is as well uniquely determined. Implicitly, all a_i and z_i's are uniquely determined up to multiplication with elements of $\mathbb{G}_m(W(k_2))$.

One can go even further on (see [Va9]) in the T-ordinary case, to get a canonical choice of a_i's and z_i's. Moreover, we have:

Corollary. *The $\tilde{G}$-canonical multiplicative coordinates of the Theorem of 4) ("defined" by z_i+1's of iii) of 4)) are canonical (up to isomorphisms of the form: z_i+1 goes to $\prod_{j=1}^{n}(z_j+1)^{n_{ij}}$, where $n_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ are the entries of an invertible $n \times n$ matrix).*

Proof: This can be checked in the same manner as in the case of an ordinary p-divisible group (i.e. of when we have $\tilde{G} = GL(\tilde{M})$).

First, the need to allow changes by isomorphisms is implied by the fact that in v) of 4), we did not specified which connection we choose: the set of such connections is in one-to-one correspondence to the set of invertible $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ (this can be deduced from 3.6.18.2 –see equation (24) of it– by remarking that, due to iv) of 4), –in our case– all b_{ijl}'s are 0; see also 3.6.18.7.0). Of course, we can always choose the connection as in (LN) of 6). However, for the sake of flexibility we think it is important not to fix a priori a connection subject to v) of 4).

Second, there is a unique multiplicative type Frobenius lift of R_n for which g_{R_n} is the identity element (here a fixed choice in v) of 4) is implicit). This is entirely the same as [Ka4, A2.2 (2)]: using the direct sum decomposition $M_y = M_y^1 \oplus M_y^2$ of 1), we can add extra variables (their number is $n_y^1 - n$, where n_y^1 is the number of slopes -1 of $(\mathrm{End}(M_y^1), \varphi_y)$) so that the situation gets reduced to loc. cit. (see Fact of 4.14.3 E below for a more of a principle approach; the mentioned place is stated in terms of $p=2$ but a) of it holds for any prime). This ends the proof.

This Corollary motivates the terminology: $\tilde{G}$-canonical.

Coming back to the general case of 3), 6) gives us natural good structures of a formal torus of the moduli formal scheme $\mathsf{M}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ of deformations of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ (see the proof of 3.12.1): we do not stop to see if there is a unique such group structure on $\mathsf{M}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ (the origin being fixed; it is defined by the F^1-filtration $\tilde{F}^1$ of $\tilde{M}$). However, the part of the above Corollary and of its proof referring to isomorphisms and connections still applies to 6); we get that the coordinates of the Corollary of 6) are determined by the choice of $\tilde{F}^1$, a_i's and z_i's, $i \in S(1,n)^0$, up to suitable isomorphisms of R_n which satisfy the following 2 properties (see also 9) below for the second one):

P1. z_i is mapped into $z_i + \tilde{z}_i$, with $\tilde{z}_i \in (z_j)_{j \in S(1,n)\setminus S(1,n)^0}$, $\forall i \in S(1,n)^0$;

P2. $z_i + 1$ is mapped into $\tilde{z}_i + \prod_{j \in S(1,n)\setminus S(1,n)^0}(z_j+1)^{m_{ij}}$, with $\tilde{z}_i \in (z_j)_{j \in S(1,n)^0}$, $\forall i \in S(1,n)\setminus S(1,n)^0$; here $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ are the entries of an invertible $\left|S(1,n)\setminus S(1,n)^0\right| \times \left|S(1,n)\setminus S(1,n)^0\right|$ matrix.

Due to the fact that c_i's of the proof of 6) can be non-zero, we presently can not be more precise (than P1-2) on the structure of the isomorphisms of R_n up to which the coordinates of the Corollary of 6) are determined.

We assume now that there is a reductive subgroup $\tilde{G}_0$ of $\tilde{G}$ such that $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}_0)$ is also a Shimura σ_k-crystal. It is not difficult to see (in connection to 6)) that by working under Variant 1 of 5) we can make all choices to be functorial w.r.t. the monomorphism

$\tilde{G}_0 \hookrightarrow \tilde{G}$, so that the moduli formal scheme of deformations of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}_0)$ is a subtorus of the formal torus $\mathsf{M}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$.

9) We come back to the general case of 6). Let r be the Lie p-rank of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$. We have $r \leq dd((\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}))$. If equality holds then we are in the situation of the Theorem of 4). We assume now that $1 \leq r < dd((\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}))$. In this case there is a uniquely determined quotient

$$q_{n,r} : R_n \twoheadrightarrow R_r := W(k)[[x_1, ..., x_r]]$$

such that (in the sense of 4)) we can take, for a suitable Frobenius lift Φ_{R_r} of R_r, g_{R_r} to be the identity. The uniqueness is obtained immediately, by writing the connection on $\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} R_r$ in a logarithm form $\delta + \sum_{i \in S(1,r)} b_i(r) d(ln(x_i+1))$, with $b_i(r) \in \text{Lie}(\tilde{G}) \otimes_{W(k)} R_r$; as we are dealing with injective Kodaira–Spencer maps and as g_{R_r} is the identity, Φ_{R_r} has to be of essentially multiplicative type and so (cf. 3.6.18.1.1) we can assume that it takes each $x_i + 1$ into $(x_i + 1)^p$. We get immediately that all $b_i(r)$'s belong to $\text{Lie}(\tilde{G})$ and are fixed by $p\tilde{\varphi}$. Based on the proof of 6), we conclude:

$$\text{Ker}(q_{n,r}) = (z_i)_{i \in S(1,n)^0}.$$

Corollary. *Regardless of the choices made, there is a canonical subtorus of* $\mathsf{M}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ *of dimension* r.

10) All of 1) to 9) can be entirely adapted (limitations do apply) to the case when k is just perfect. For instance, the part of b) of 2) involving liftings still makes sense if k is just $\dim_{W(k)}(\tilde{G})$-simply connected (to be compared with 3.6.18.5.4 3)).

4.7.12. Definition. We come back to the situation described in 4.7.5. The lifts of y (to DVR's which are finite extensions of $W(k)$) corresponding to torsion points of the formal torus of G-deformations of A_y, are called G-quasi-canonical lifts of y.

4.7.13. Exercise. We assume 4.4.6 and we refer to 4.7.12. If $k = \mathbb{F}$, prove that the G-quasi-canonical lifts of a G-ordinary point $y : \text{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{U}$ are giving birth to special points of $\mathcal{N}$ (i.e. the abelian varieties obtained from $\mathcal{A}$ by pull back through these G-quasi-canonical lifts have complex multiplication). Show that they are the only lifts of y to DVR's of mixed characteristic, which are special points of $\mathcal{N}$. Hint: if $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_p$, this is well known; if $k(v) \neq \mathbb{F}_p$ then use 4.4.6, 4.7.11 1) and just imitate the standard arguments of the case $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_p$.

4.7.14. Remark. We do not assume anymore $N = N_0$. From 4.7.11 3), 4) and 6) (resp. from 4.7.11 5)) we deduce that for any toric point $y_1 : \text{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ there are good G-multiplicative (resp. G-additive) coordinates of the moduli formal scheme of G-deformations of $(A_{y_1}, p_{A_{y_1}}) := y_1^*(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$. The same thing remains valid if we do not work in a principally polarized context involving (as well de Rham components of) Hodge cycles. Some particular situations are listed below.

a) For any point $y_1 : \text{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ whose attached Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal is isomorphic to the extension to k of the Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal of $\mathfrak{C}_\omega$ of 4.1.5

(i.e. for any k-valued $G(\omega)$-ordinary point y_1 of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$), we get good $G(\omega)$-multiplicative coordinates of the moduli formal scheme of G-deformations of $(A_{y_1}, p_{A_{y_1}})$.

b) For any point $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{U}$, we get good GSp-multiplicative coordinates (resp. good multiplicative coordinates) of the moduli formal scheme of deformations of the principally polarized abelian variety (A_y, p_{A_y}) (resp. of deformations of A_y).

c) For any supersingular elliptic curve E_s over k we get a good additive coordinate t of its moduli formal scheme M_1 of deformations. This reobtains [Og, 3.15]. Warning: in loc. cit., in order to keep track of principal quasi-polarizations, the σ_k-crystal (M_1, φ_1) of E_s is described by a $W(k)$-basis $\{a, b\}$ of M_1 such that $\varphi_1(a) = pb$ and $\varphi_1(b) = -a$, and not by a $W(k)$-basis $\{a_1, b_1\}$ such that $\varphi_1(a_1) = pb_1$ and $\varphi_1(b_1) = a_1$; as we can take $a_1 = \alpha a$ and $b_1 = \alpha b$, with $\alpha \in W(k)$ such that $\sigma_k^2(\alpha) = -1$, the passage from $\{a, b\}$ to $\{a_1, b_1\}$ is automatic.

This suggests, that in the case of perfect fields, we can work as well with variants of the notion of cyclic diagonalizability: for instance, referring to 2.2.1 d), by allowing $\varphi(e_i)$ to be plus or minus $p^{\varepsilon_i} e_{\pi(i)}$ we get the notion of almost cyclic (or circular) diagonalizability.

4.7.11 6) provides us as well with a good multiplicative coordinate t of M_1. It is worth pointing out: in both additive and multiplicative cases, the Frobenius endomorphism of $M_1 \otimes W(k)[[t]]$ takes a into pb and b into $a + tb$ (of course the Frobenius of $W(k)[[t]]$ depends on which case we are).

d) For any abelian variety over k which is a product of abelian varieties A_{y_1} as in a) or b), we get good multiplicative coordinates of its moduli formal scheme of deformations.

4.7.14.1. Remark. More generally than d) we have the Corollary of 1.8. It is a direct consequence of 2.2.18 and of 4.7.11 6).

4.7.14.2. Remarks. Corollary of 1.8 is the most general abelian varieties context in which we presently can prove the existence of useful (crystalline) (additive or multiplicative) coordinates. From 2.2.19 we get that it does not handle the case of all abelian varieties over k. In the cases left, the hopes of obtaining any theory of multiplicative coordinates which has any significance at all (i.e. it is useful and motivated), are based on 3.13. So the problem 13 from [Oo1, p. 13] is still open for the cases left. We leave it to the reader to formulate 4.7.14.1 in the relative context (of abelian varieties over k whose attached σ_k-crystals have the extra structure of a cyclic diagonalizable Shimura σ_k-crystal) (cf. 4.7.11 5) and 6)).

4.7.14.3. Study problem. In what follows we refer to 4.7.14 a). For any good $G(\omega)$-multiplicative coordinates, we can define $G(\omega)$-quasi-canonical lifts of y_1. It looks to us interesting to study them. In particular, if y_1 is a G-ordinary point and $N \neq N_0$, (as we had choices in 4.7.11) we do not know if (or when) these G-quasi-canonical lifts are uniquely determined or if (or when) the facts of 4.7.13 remain true.

4.7.15. Exercise. Coming back to 4.7.3, prove that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, ${}_N\nabla_{\hat{y}} \bmod p^n$ is algebraic, i.e. the differential forms ε_j of 4.7.3.1, $j = \overline{1, e_0}$, are algebraic over $N_{W_n(k)}$.

Hint: use 4.4.7 to reduce to the case $k = \overline{k(v)}$; then use the fact that everything, like the bases $(a_i)_{1\le i\le e}$ and $(b_i)_{1\le i\le e}$, μ_y, etc., are definable over (the Witt ring of) a finite field containing $\overline{k(v)}$; another way: cf. 3.6.1.3.

4.7.16. Problem. Obtain the general form of the main result of [No1] and of [No2] and show that all of [No2, section 2] remains valid in the context of G-ordinary points. Hint: if $N = N_0$ use 4.7.5-29, 4.7.13 and 4.7.17 below and just imitate the arguments of [No1-2]; if $N \neq N_0$ then use 4.7.11 and work formally (i.e. abstractly).

4.7.17. Formal subtori. Every formal subscheme of $\mathcal{M}_G(y)$ of 4.7.8 which can be "defined" starting from a smooth subgroup N_1 of N whose Lie-algebra is taken by $p\varphi_y$ into itself is a formal subtorus. This can be checked using 4.7.5-29 or 4.7.11 8). The same thing remains true in the general context of 4.7.11 4). Here, for the sake of future reference, we state this principle explicitly, just for the abstract context of Shimura σ_k-crystals. We use the notations of 4.7.11 2) to 4) and assume that the equivalent statements of the Theorem of 4.7.11 4) hold. We have:

Theorem. *If there is a reductive subgroup $\tilde{G}_1$ of $\tilde{G}$ such that $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}_1)$ is also a Shimura-ordinary σ_k-crystal, then the formal torus of dimension $n_1 := dd((\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}_1))$ of deformations of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}_1)$ is canonically a formal subtorus of the formal torus of deformations of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$.*

Proof: We consider a family of tensors $(\tilde{t}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_1}$, with $\mathcal{J}_1$ a set containing $\mathcal{J}$, such that $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}_1, (\tilde{t}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_1})$ is a Shimura σ_k-crystal with an emphasized family of tensors. We first remark, that the F^1-filtration of $\tilde{M}$ defining the $\tilde{G}_1$-canonical lift of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}_1)$ is $\tilde{F}^1$, cf. 4.4.13.2-3 and 3.11.1 a). Based on 2.2.21 UP, it is enough to show that there is a filtered F-crystal over the special fibre $\mathrm{Spec}(k[[z_1, ..., z_n]])$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_n)$, with n as in 4.7.11 4), such that:

– it is a p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R_n)$ of the form $(\tilde{M}\otimes_{W(k)} R_n, \tilde{F}^1\otimes_{W(k)} R_n, \tilde{\varphi}\otimes 1, \tilde{\nabla})$, with the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on $\tilde{M}\otimes_{W(k)} R_n$ as in v) of 4.7.11 4);

– the Frobenius lift of R_n takes $z_i + 1$ to $(z_i + 1)^p$;

– $\tilde{\nabla}$ modulo the ideal of R_n generated by $z_{n_1+1}, ..., z_n$ respects the $\tilde{G}_1$-action (i.e. it annihilates $\tilde{t}_\alpha$, $\forall \alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_1$).

The construction of such a filtered F-crystal is trivial: $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{N})$ has a $W(k)$-basis formed by elements fixed by $p\tilde{\varphi}$ an such that a $W(k)$-subbasis of it is a $W(k)$-basis of $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{N}_1)$, where $\tilde{N}_1 := \tilde{G}_1 \cap \tilde{N}$; so we just need to "apply" (LN) of 4.7.11 6) to it. This proves the Theorem.

4.7.18. Problem. State a theorem similar to 4.7.5 in the general case, i.e. when $k(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is not $\mathbb{F}_p$. Hint: use 4.7.4 and 4.7.11 2) to 6).

4.8. The Galois property of G-ordinary points.

4.8.1. Notations. We continue to assume $k = \bar{k}$. We start with a morphism $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{U}/H_0$. Let $\mathrm{Spec}(V) \overset{z_V}{\to} \mathcal{N}/H_0$ be a lift of y, with V a DVR which is a finite, flat extension of $W(k)$. Let $K := V[\frac{1}{p}]$. Let $(A_V, p_{A_V}) := z_V^*(\mathcal{A}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{H_0}})$ and let (A_K, p_{A_K}) be its generic fibre. Let

$$\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(K) \to GL\big(H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)\big)(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

be the p-adic Galois representation associated to A_K. Let $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \hookrightarrow GSp\big(H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p), p_{A_K}\big)$ be the subgroup fixing the p-components of the étale components of the family of Hodge cycles $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}$ with which $A_{\bar{K}}$ is naturally endowed.

4.8.2. Theorem. *There is a finite field extension K_1 of K such that the restriction $\rho_1 := \rho|\mathrm{Gal}(K_1)$ factors through the group of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-valued points of a connected solvable subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.*

Proof: We can assume K_1 is big enough so that the family $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}$ is defined over K_1. In fact, as we assumed $k = \bar{k}$, for this part we can take $K_1 = K$; argument: as V is strictly henselian, A_V has level-m structure, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, $(m, p) = 1$. So ρ_1 factors through $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. We can assume G is not a torus.

Let $(M_y, F_y^1, \varphi_y, G_{W(k)})$ be the Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal attached to the G-canonical lift $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$ of y. Let $\mu_y : \mathbb{G}_m \to GL(M_y)$ be its canonical split. Let $\bar{h}_i$, $i = \overline{1, d}$, be the elements of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)})$ defined as in 4.1 but working with μ_y (cf. also 4.4.7).

Let N_1 be the smallest subgroup of $G_{W(k)}$ such that $\mathrm{Lie}(N_1)$ contains $\mathrm{Lie}(N_0)$ (N_0 was def. in 4.7.1) and the Lie algebra generated by $\bar{h}_i$, $i = \overline{1, d}$. N_1 is an integral, solvable subgroup of $G_{W(k)}$. Let B_{N_1} be a Borel subgroup of $G_{W(k)}$ containing N_1 and such that its Lie algebra is taken by $p\varphi_y$ into itself. The solvability of N_1 and the existence of such Borel subgroups is a consequence of 4.1.4.1 and of b) of 4.4.1 3). Let $\tilde{N}_1^0$ be the Zariski closure in $G_{W(k)}$ of the connected component of the origin of the generic fibre of the intersection $\tilde{N}_1$ of all such Borel subgroups B_{N_1} of $G_{W(k)}$ containing N_1. $\mathrm{Lie}(N_1)$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{N}_1^0)$ are taken by $p\varphi_y$ into themselves.

With the notations of 4.7.1 we get that $\mathrm{Lie}(N_1) \otimes_{W(k)} R$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(B_{N_1}) \otimes_{W(k)} R$ are taken by $n(\varphi_y \otimes 1)$ into themselves. So for the morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(V_1) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ (with V_1 the ring of integers of K_1), defined naturally by z_V, we get (cf. 4.7.2) that the Galois representation ρ_1 leaves invariant $\mathrm{Lie}(N_2)$, $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{N}_2)$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(B_{N_2})$, with

$$N_2 \subset \tilde{N}_2^0 \subset B_{N_2} \subset G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$$

such that B_{N_2} is a Borel subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and N_2 and $\tilde{N}_2^0$ are connected, solvable subgroups; here N_2, $\tilde{N}_2$ and B_{N_2} are respectively the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-étale correspondents (via Fontaine's comparison theory; see [Fa2, th. 5]) of the crystalline ones N_1, $\tilde{N}_1^0$ and B_{N_1}. In order to apply loc. cit. (mainly we need [Fa2, th. 7]), we just have to remark that the $W(k)$-homomorphism $R \to V_1$ lifts to a $W(k)$-homomorphism $R \to R_{V_1}$, where the $W(k)$-algebra R_{V_1} is defined as in [Fa2, §2] starting from an arbitrary uniformizer of V_1.

But as B_{N_2} is its own normalizer in $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, we get that ρ_1 factors through the group of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-valued points of B_{N_2}. Repeating the argument for any Borel subgroup of $G_{W(k)}$ as above, we get that, by extending again K_1 by a finite cover (as $\tilde{N}_1^0$ might be different from $\tilde{N}_1$), ρ_1 factors through the group of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-valued points of $\tilde{N}_2^0$. This proves the Theorem.

4.8.3. Remarks. a) 4.8.2 solves a question of C.-L. Chai. It was R. Pink who pointed out the construction of N_1 (of the proof), provided 4.7.2, c) of 4.4.1 2) and a) and b) of 4.4.1 3) were known. Always the unipotent radical of $\tilde{N}_1^0$ is the unipotent radical N_0 of N_1. Argument: from 4.7.1 2) we get that N_0 is the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup $P_{\le 0}$ of $G_{W(k)}$ whose Lie algebra is $W_0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)}), \varphi_y)$; so, as the integral, closed subgroup $P_{=0}^0$ of $G_{W(k)}$ whose generic fibre has $W_0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{B(k)}), \varphi_y)$ as its Lie algebra is reductive and has a natural $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure $P^0_{=0\mathbb{Z}_p}$ (see 3.11.2 C), we just have to remark that:

– the intersection of all Borel subgroups of $P^{0\mathrm{der}}_{=0\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a finite, flat group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}_p$;

– N_1 is contained (cf. 3.11.2 C) in $Z(P_{=0})N_0$.

Warning: not always we have $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{N}_1^0) = \mathrm{Lie}(N_1) + \mathrm{Lie}(Z^0(G_{W(k)}))$, with $Z^0(G_{W(k)})$ as the maximal torus of $Z(G_{W(k)})$.

b) Using [De4, 3.1 and 3.2 a)] and Fontaine's comparison theory (in a way similar to the proof of the Fact of 2.2.9 1)), we get that we do not need to use $\tilde{N}_1^0$ as an intermediary: ρ_1 factors through $N_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, without having to replace K by K_1.

c) There are plenty of examples in which $\tilde{N}_1^0$ is a Borel subgroup of $G_{W(k)}$ (for instance if we are in the context of 4.6 P7; if moreover, $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-simple group then $N_1 \cap G^{\mathrm{der}}_{W(k)}$ is a Borel subgroup $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{W(k)}$) and plenty of examples when it is not (for instance, if $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a simple, split group of C_ℓ Lie type, with $\ell \ge 2$).

d) A result similar to 4.8.2 can be proved for an arbitrary toric point $\mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. Warning: in such a general context N_1 is not necessarily a solvable group. So, if we still want $\tilde{N}_1$, then we have to define it using parabolic subgroups containing N_1.

There are many cases when the image of N_1 in $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}$ is not contained in a Borel subgroup of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}$; for instance, this is the case if we are in a context modeled on 4.4.13.3.1, with $\tilde{G}_0$ of the mentioned place of A_1 Lie type: in such a case the image of N_1 in $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}$ is $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}$ itself.

e) 4.8.2 and d) are properties of Shimura-ordinary σ_k-crystals (instead of 4.7.2 we have to use 2.2.21). Moreover the condition $k = \bar{k}$ can be weaken: we just need the existence of a Borel subgroup B_{N_1} of $G_{W(k)}$ of the type mentioned above; warning: in such a situation we can not (in general) replace $\tilde{N}_2$ by N_2.

We assume now that k is a finite field, that we are in the context of 4.8.1 and that 4.4.6 holds. We have:

Theorem. *Up to a passage to a finite field extension of k, the existence of such a Borel subgroup B_{N_1} is automatic.*

Proof: We use the previous notations. Based on 4.4.6, by passage from k to a finite field extension of it, we can assume that the generic fibre of $P_{\le 0}$ has a maximal torus whose Lie algebra is generated by elements fixed by φ_y. Let N_3 be the connected subgroup of $G_{B(k)}$ generated by this maximal torus and by the generic fibre of the unipotent radical $P_{<0}$ of $P_{\le 0}$. Let $P^{ét}_{<0} \subset N_4 \subset P^{ét}_{\le 0} \subset G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be the subgroups corresponding to $P_{<0}$, N_3 and respectively $P_{\le 0}$ via Fontaine's comparison theory (applied to z_V). So the algebraic envelope ALE of ρ is a subgroup of $P^{ét}_{\le 0}$ such that its image in $P^{ét}_{\le 0}/P^{ét}_{<0}$ belongs to the image of N_4 in it. So ALE is a subgroup of N_4. This ends the proof.

Using this Theorem, we obtain (for instance, cf. 4.4.5 and 4.6.3 B) plenty of quasi-ordinary crystalline representations in the sense of [Pi, 2.10].

f) We stated this section 4.8 in terms of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-valued points; but everything can be restated in terms of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points.

4.9. The passage from Shimura varieties of Hodge type to Shimura varieties of preabelian type.

Very often (like in the study of K3 surfaces, of cubic fourfolds, etc.), we have to consider integral aspects of Shimura varieties of preabelian type which are not of Hodge type. So here we extend a great part of 4.1-8 to the (often abstract) context of integral canonical models of Shimura quadruples of preabelian type; on the way we show that many properties pertaining to a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ are canonical, i.e. are properties just of $\mathcal{N}$ itself, not depending on who or how f is.

4.9.1. The initial setting. We start with an arbitrary Shimura variety $\mathrm{Sh}(G_0, X_0)$ of adjoint, abelian type. Let (G_0, X_0, H_0, v_0) be a Shimura quadruple with v_0 dividing a rational prime $p \ge 3$. We fix a connected component X_0^0 of X_0 (it does not matter which one, cf. [Va2, 3.3.3]). Let (G_i, X_i, H_i, v_i), $i = \overline{1,3}$, be Shimura quadruples having (G_0, X_0, H_0, v_0) as their adjoint quadruples and such that $X_0^0 \subset X_i$ (cf. [Va2, 2.4]). We assume the existence of two standard Hodge situations $(f_i, L_{i(p)}, v_i)$ defined by $f_i : (G_i, X_i) \hookrightarrow \bigl(\mathrm{GSp}(W_i, \psi_i), S_i\bigr)$, $i = \overline{1,2}$, such that $H_i = G_i(L_{i(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p)$ (for $p \ge 5$ cf. [Va2, 6.4.2]; for $p = 3$ cf. §6]). For them we use the standard notations of 2.3.1-3, except that we put a right lower index i everywhere, $i = \overline{1,2}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{N}_0$ and $\mathcal{N}_3$ the integral canonical models of (G_0, X_0, H_0, v_0) and respectively of (G_3, X_3, H_3, v_3) (cf. [Va2, 6.4.1] for $p \ge 5$; for $p = 3$ see §6). We get natural $O_{(v_0)}$-morphisms $\mathcal{N}_i \xrightarrow{j_i} \mathcal{N}_0$ (cf. [Va2, 3.2.7 4)]), $i = \overline{1,3}$.

4.9.1.1. The definition of $\mathcal{C}_i$'s. Let $V_0 := W(\mathbb{F})$ and let

$$\mathcal{C}_0 := \cap_{i_3 \in FM}\, j_3(\mathcal{N}_{3V_0}),$$

where FM is the set of all finite maps $i_3 : (G_3, X_3, H_3, v_3) \to (G_0, X_0, H_0, v_0)$ such that $X_0^0 \subset X_3$. The fact that $\mathcal{C}_0$ is non-empty is implied by the fact that $\mathcal{C}_0 \times_{V_0\, j} \mathbb{C}$ is non-empty (with $j : V_0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ an arbitrary $O_{(v_0)}$-monomorphism). The fact that $\mathcal{C}_0$ is an open closed subscheme of $\mathcal{N}_{0V_0}$ is implied for $p \ge 5$ by [Va2, 6.4.5.1] (for $p = 3$ cf. §6). Similarly, working with the connected component X_0^0 of X_i, we define an open closed embedding $\mathcal{C}_i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{iV_0}$, $i = \overline{1,3}$.

4.9.2. The new SHS. Let (G_4, X_4, H_4, v_4) be a Shimura quadruple which together with maps $h_i : (G_4, X_4, H_4, v_4) \to (G_i, X_i, H_i, v_i)$, $i = \overline{1, 2}$, is a quasi fibre product of the below finite maps q_1 and q_2, i.e. we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(G_4, X_4, H_4, v_4) \arrow[r, "h_1"] \arrow[d, "h_2"] & (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1) \arrow[d, "q_1"] \\
(G_2, X_2, H_2, v_2) \arrow[r, "q_2"] & (G_0, X_0, H_0, v) \times \big(\mathbb{G}_m, \{x_0\}, \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Z}_p), p\big)
\end{tikzcd}$$

in the category $qf - Sh$ defined in [Va2, 3.2.7 3)], with G_4 as the connected component of the origin of $G_2 \times_{G_0 \times \mathbb{G}_m} G_1$; this diagram satisfies a quasi universal property as in [Va2, 2.4.0]. Here q_i is defined by the adjoint map $(G_i, X_i, H_i, v_i) \to (G_0, X_0, H_0, v_0)$ and via the canonical map $h_i : (G_i, X_i) \to (GSp^{\mathrm{ab}}, S^{\mathrm{ab}})$ defined via f_i, $i = \overline{1, 2}$, where $\{x_0\} := S^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and $GSp^{\mathrm{ab}} = \mathbb{G}_m$. We assume $X_0^0 \subset X_4$, cf. loc. cit. and [Va2, 3.2.7 3)].

We call (G_4, X_4) as a Hodge twist of (G_1, X_1) and (G_2, X_2) and denote it by $(G_1, X_1)\tilde{\times}(G_2, X_2)$.

We consider a Hodge quasi product $(G_1 \times_{\mathcal{H}} G_2, X_1 \times_{\mathcal{H}} X_2)$ of (G_1, X_1) and (G_2, X_2), and a Hodge quasi product $(\mathrm{GSp}(W_1, \psi_1) \times_{\mathcal{H}} \mathrm{GSp}(W_2, \psi_2), S_1 \times_{\mathcal{H}} S_2)$ of $(\mathrm{GSp}(W_1, \psi_1), S_1)$ and $(\mathrm{GSp}(W_2, \psi_2), S_2)$, cf. [Va2, Example 3 of 2.5]. We use similar notations for quadruples. We can assume that

$$X_4 \subset X_1 \times_{\mathcal{H}} X_2 \subset S_1 \times_{\mathcal{H}} S_2.$$

So we get (see 4.9.2.0 below) a new SHS

$$(f_4, L_{1(p)} \oplus L_{2(p)}, v_4)$$

defined by the composite injective map f_4

$$(G_4, X_4) \overset{\tilde{i}_4}{\hookrightarrow} \big(\mathrm{GSp}(W_1, \psi_1) \times_H \mathrm{GSp}(W_2, \psi_2), S_1 \times_H S_2\big) \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathrm{GSp}(W_1 \oplus W_2, \psi_1 \oplus \psi_2), S),$$

where $\tilde{i}_4 := (f_1 \times_{\mathcal{H}} f_2) \circ i_4$, with

$$i_4 : (G_4, X_4) \hookrightarrow (G_1 \times_H G_2, X_1 \times_H X_2)$$

and with

$$f_1 \times_{\mathcal{H}} f_2 : (G_1 \times_{\mathcal{H}} G_2, X_1 \times_{\mathcal{H}} X_2) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{GSp}(W_1, \psi_1) \times_{\mathcal{H}} \mathrm{GSp}(W_2, \psi_2), S_1 \times_{\mathcal{H}} S_2)$$

as the natural inclusions, and where i is a Segre embedding, as used in loc. cit.

Let $\mathcal{N}_4$ be the integral canonical model of (G_4, X_4, H_4, v_4) and let $\mathcal{C}_4$ be the open closed subscheme of $\mathcal{N}_{4V_0}$ defined similarly to $\mathcal{C}_0$. We have a natural $O_{(v_0)}$-morphism $\mathcal{N}_4 \to \mathcal{N}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_2$. It is defined via the composite of i_4 with the natural injective map

$$(G_1 \times_H G_2, X_1 \times_H X_2) \hookrightarrow (G_1 \times G_2, X_1 \times X_2),$$

cf. [Va2, 3.2.16 and 3.2.7 4)]. So we speak about points of $\mathcal{N}_4$ mapping into points of $\mathcal{N}_i$, $i \in \{1,2\}$.

4.9.2.0. The argument. The fact that the triple $(f_4, L_{1(p)} \oplus L_{2(p)}, v_4)$ is indeed a SHS, is related to the proof of Milne's conjecture (see 1.15.1, d) of 4.4.1 3) and 4.4.12). Let $\mathcal{M}_4$ be the integral canonical model of the Shimura quadruple associated naturally to the SHS $(1_{(\mathrm{GSp}(W_1 \oplus W_2), \psi_1 \oplus \psi_2), S)}, L_{1(p)} \oplus L_{2(p)}, p)$. From constructions we have

$$H_4 = G_4(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap GSp(L_{1(p)} \oplus L_{2(p)}, \psi)((L_{1(p)} \oplus L_{2(p)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

So, from [Va2, 3.2.7 4)] we get a natural morphism

$$\tilde{m} : \mathcal{N}_4 \to \mathcal{M}_4.$$

We need to show that for any morphism $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}_4$, working with $\tilde{m}$, the condition $(*)$ of 2.3.4 is satisfied. The idea behind checking this is rooted in [BLR, th. 1 of p. 109] and the density part of 4.2.1. To explain it, we index the main paragraphs by capital letters.

A. [Va2, 3.3.2] allows us to assume z factors through $\mathcal{C}_4$. Moreover, 2.3.12-13 makes sense even without knowing that we are in a reductive context: using canonical splits of filtered σ_k-crystals endowed with tensors, we can perform [Va2, 5.4-5] directly in the context of abelian unipotent groups. This goes as follows. Let $(M, F^1, \varphi_4, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'_4})$ be the p-divisible object with tensors of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(W(k))$ naturally associated to z and $\tilde{m}$ (to be compared with 2.3.4; of course some choices –like of a family of tensors $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'_4}$ of $\mathcal{T}((W_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} W_2)^*)$ such that G_4 is the subgroup of $GL(W_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} W_2)$ fixing them, like of a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice of $W_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} W_2$, etc.– are in order: they can be made as in 2.3.1-2).

We consider the reductive subgroup $\tilde{G}_{4B(k)}$ of $GL(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixing t_α, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}'_4$. Let $\tilde{G}_{4W(k)}$ be its Zariski closure in $GL(M)$. Using the canonical split cocharacter of (M, F^1, φ_4) (see also 2.2.1.2), as in 4.7.1 we define a subgroup N of $\tilde{G}_{4W(k)}$. N is always a smooth group over $W(k)$, identifiable with the affine group scheme defined by $\mathrm{Lie}(N)$, even if by chance $\tilde{G}_{4W(k)}$ is not a reductive subgroup of $GL(M)$. So, 2.2.10 can still be performed in the context of the 7-tuple $(M, F^1, \varphi_4, \tilde{G}_{W(k)}, N, \tilde{f}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'_4})$, and so we can still perform 2.3.12-13 in this context (even if the maximal integral subgroup of $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$ normalizing F^1 is not smooth). The last thing we need to add: we have a variant of the Theorem of 2.3.11 in the context of $(M, F^1, \varphi_4, \tilde{G}_{W(k)}, N, \tilde{f}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'_4})$, as the result [Va2, 4.1.5] (used in [Va2, 5.4.5]) involves arguments purely in characteristic 0.

B. Coming back to our concrete situation, from the above paragraph and the density part of 4.2.1, we deduce that it is enough to check condition $(*)$ of 2.3.4 for z lifting a G_4-ordinary point of $\mathcal{C}_{4k(v_4)}$. The set of such points is the same, regardless of which morphism $\mathcal{N}_4 \to \mathcal{N}_1$ or $\mathcal{N}_4 \to \mathcal{N}_2$ we use to define them –by being mapped into a G_i-ordinary point of $\mathcal{N}_{ik(v_i)}$, $i \in \{1,2\}$–: the arguments of 4.9.3 below, at the level of isocrystals, apply, as the fact that a Shimura F-crystal over a perfect field is or is not Shimura-ordinary can be "read out" (cf. 3.1.0 c)) from the Newton polygon of its attached Shimura adjoint Lie isocrystal. 4.9.2.1 to 4.9.3 below are stated in terms of Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals, starting from the fact that the triple $(f_4, L_{1(p)} \oplus L_{2(p)}, v_4)$ is a SHS; however, without assuming this, it can be performed independently but in the context of adjoint Lie isocrystals over k. Of course, if we desire to simplify the things, we can define such G_4-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{4k(v_4)}$, as points mapping into G_i-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{ik(v_i)}$, $\forall i \in \{1,2\}$ (sort of intersection of subschemes of $G_{4k(v_4)}$: [Va2, 6.4.5.1] and 4.2.1 guarantee that this intersection is still an open, dense subscheme of $\mathcal{N}_{4k(v_4)}$).

Moreover, we can assume z maps into a G_i-canonical lift of $\mathcal{N}_i$, $\forall i \in \{1,2\}$ (again cf. 4.9.3 below, performed with filtered isocrystals over k; b) of 4.4.1 2) implies: Shimura-canonical lifts can be "read out" from attached Shimura adjoint filtered Lie isocrystals). This implies that the Galois representation defined by z (working with $\tilde{m}$) factors through the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued points of a torus of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ (see also 4.5.11.2.1). This is the context in which we can prove directly Milne's conjecture referred to in 1.15 (for details see §5). So condition $(*)$ of 2.3.4 is satisfied for z.

C. To avoid referring to §5, we now present an alternative (independent) proof of why $(*)$ of 2.3.4 is satisfied for z. We consider the Shimura filtered Lie isocrystal (definable as in 2.3.10)

$$(LI_4[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi_4, F^0(LI_4[\frac{1}{p}]), F^1(LI_4[\frac{1}{p}]))$$

associated to z (via $\tilde{m}$); here $LI_4 := \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{4B(k)})$. It is identifiable with a filtered Lie subisocrystal of the direct sum

$$\big(LI_1[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi_1, F^0(LI_1[\frac{1}{p}]), F^1(LI_1[\frac{1}{p}])) \oplus (LI_2[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi_2, F^0(LI_2[\frac{1}{p}]), F^1(LI_2[\frac{1}{p}])\big),$$

where $(LI_i, \varphi_i, F^0(LI_i), F^1(LI_i))$, with $LI_i := \mathrm{Lie}(G_{iW(k)})$, is the Shimura filtered Lie σ_k-crystal associated to the morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}_i$ defined by z (via f_i), $i \in \{1,2\}$. Under this identification, using projections, $\big([LI_4[\frac{1}{p}], LI_4[\frac{1}{p}]], \varphi_4\big)$ can be identified with $\big([LI_i[\frac{1}{p}], LI_i[\frac{1}{p}]], \varphi_i\big)$, $i = \overline{1,2}$. We just need to show that

$$LI_4 := LI[\frac{1}{p}] \cap (LI_1 \oplus LI_2)$$

is the Lie algebra of a reductive subgroup (it is $\tilde{G}_{4W(k)}$) of $G_{1W(k)} \times G_{2W(k)} \subset GL(M)$. [Va2, 4.3.13] takes care of the maximal torus of $Z(\tilde{G}_{4W(k)})$, while [Va2, 3.1.6] allows us to concentrate just on the "der" part of $\tilde{G}_{4W(k)}$, and so on the "adjoint" part of $\tilde{G}_{4W(k)}$. But for the adjoint context, as $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{i\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ does not depend on $i \in \{1,2,4\}$, we can appeal to 4.2.3-5. So, the Galois representation

$$\Gamma_k \to G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{4\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \hookrightarrow Aut(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{4\mathbb{Z}_p}))(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

defined naturally by the $W(k)$-valued point of $\mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2$ obtained naturally from z (we recall that, cf. 2.3.7, $\mathcal{M}_i$ is the extension to $O_{(v_i)}$ of the integral canonical model of the SHS $(1_{(\mathrm{GSp}(W_i,\psi_i),S_i)}, L_{i(p)}, p))$, defines, (by inverting p and) via Fontaine's comparison theory, a Shimura adjoint Lie isocrystal

$$(\mathrm{LIE}, \tilde{\varphi}) := (\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{4B(k)}), \varphi_4) \subset (LI_4[\frac{1}{p}], \varphi_4)$$

over k. Moreover, using 2.3.10 for the $W(k)$-valued point of $\mathcal{N}_i$ defined by z (via f_i), we deduce (via the above identifications) the existence of a $W(k)$-lattice LIE^i_W of LIE, such that the quadruple $(\mathrm{LIE}^i_W, \tilde{\varphi}, F^0(\mathrm{LIE}^i_W), F^1(\mathrm{LIE}^i_W))$ is (naturally identifiable with) the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie σ_k-crystal attached to $(LI_i, \varphi_i, F^0(Li_i), F^1(LI_i))$, $i = \overline{1,2}$. Here $F^j(LIE^i_W) := LIE^i_W \cap F^j(LI_i[\frac{1}{p}])$, $j \in \{0,1\}$ and $i \in \{1,2\}$. We have:

D. Claim. $\mathrm{LIE}^1_W = \mathrm{LIE}^2_W$.

Proof: Let $B^+(W(k))$ and β_0 have the same significance as in [Fa2, §4]: the notations to be used are as in 2.3.18.1 E (but this time $B^+(W((k))$ is defined by completing using the PD-topology). We have (cf. the logical passage in [Fa2, th. 7 of §6] to *End*'s) regardless of what odd prime p we are dealing with, the following $B^+(W(k))$-monomorphisms:

(2)

$$\mathrm{LIE}^i_W \otimes_{W(k)} \beta_0 B^+(W(k)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{4\mathbb{Z}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{LIE}^i_W \otimes_{W(k)} \beta_0^{-1} B^+(W(k)).$$

Strictly speaking, the mentioned passage gives us such an inclusion at the level of Lie algebras of derived groups and not of adjoint groups: it is 3.1.8.1 (TOR) which allows us to move from the derived context to the adjoint context (if T_G is a maximal torus of a semisimple group $\tilde{G}$ over $W(k)$, then $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})$, as a $W(k)$-module, is the direct sum of $\mathrm{Lie}(T_G)$ and of a $W(k)$-submodule of $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})$ which depends only on G^{ad}, cf. the existence of Weyl's decompositions).

So for $p \geq 5$, the Claim is a consequence of [Fa2, th. 7 of §6]. To see that it is also true for $p = 3$ we rely on loc. cit., on 2.3.18.1 E and on 3.11.8.1. So, based on 3.11.8.1, we start with the étale slope type direct sum decomposition

$$\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}) = \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{2\mathbb{Z}_p}) = \mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{4\mathbb{Z}_p}) = \oplus_{\alpha \in SSL} L_\alpha,$$

where SSL is the set of slopes of $(LIE, \tilde{\varphi})$, and with the slope type direct sum decompositions

$$(LIE^i_W, F^0(LIE^i_W), F^1(LIE^i_W), \tilde{\varphi}) = \oplus_{\alpha \in SSL} \mathfrak{C}^i_\alpha,$$

$i \in \{1,2\}$. From the adjoint form of 3.11.8.1 (ZERO) we get that $L_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F})$ can be naturally identified with $W(0)(LIE^i_W, \tilde{\varphi})$, $i \in \{1,2\}$. So we have $\mathfrak{C}^1_0 = \mathfrak{C}^2_0$. Similarly, as (2) is obtained from a similar $B^+(W(k))$-monomorphism associated to a p-divisible group over $W(k)$, by passage to the adjoint context, if $\{-1,1\} \subset SSL$ we get that $\beta_0 L_1$ (resp. $\beta_0^{-1} L_{-1}$) is naturally identifiable with the Lie subalgebra of LIE^i_W corresponding to slope 1 (resp. -1) of $(LIE^i_W, \tilde{\varphi})$, $i \in \{1,2\}$. So $\mathfrak{C}^1_1 = \mathfrak{C}^2_1$ and $\mathfrak{C}^1_{-1} = \mathfrak{C}^2_{-1}$.

We recall from [Fa2, §4] that β_0^2 has an image in $gr^1 := F^1(B^+(V))/F^2(B^+(W(k)))$ which is 3 times a generator of this free $\overline{W(k)}^{\wedge}$-module of rank 1. So using entirely the same arguments as in 2.3.18.1 E, from (2) we get that $\mathfrak{C}_\alpha^i$ is determined by the Γ_k-module L_α, $i \in \{1,2\}$, $\forall \alpha \in (0,1) \cup (-1,0)$. We conclude $\mathfrak{C}_\alpha^1 = \mathfrak{C}_\alpha^2$, $\forall \alpha \in SSL$, and so $LIE_W^1 = LIE_W^2$. This proves the Claim.

E. $G_{iW(k)}$ is the connected component of the origin of the group of Lie automorphisms of LIE_W^i, $i \in \{1,2\}$ (cf. end of 2.2.13). From this and the Claim we get that the Zariski closure in $G_{1W(k)}^{\mathrm{ad}} \times G_{2W(k)}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ of the subgroup of $G_{1B(k)}^{\mathrm{ad}} \times G_{2B(k)}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ whose Lie algebra is LIE, is an adjoint group $\tilde{G}_{4W(k)}^{\mathrm{ad}}$; its projections on $G_{1W(k)}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ and on $G_{2W(k)}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ are isomorphisms (for instance, cf. [Va2, 3.1.2.1 c)]). This takes care of the adjoint part of $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$. The passage from adjoint groups to derived groups is trivial: as the normalization of $\tilde{G}_{4W(k)}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ in the field of fractions of $\tilde{G}_{4B(k)}^{\mathrm{der}}$ is a semisimple group, we get a natural homomorphism from it into $G_{1W(k)}^{\mathrm{der}} \times G_{2W(k)}^{\mathrm{der}}$, and again loc. cit. implies that it is a closed embedding. We conclude (based on [Va2, 3.1.6]): $\tilde{G}_{W(k)}$ is a reductive group. This ends the argument of why $(f_4, L_{1(p)} \oplus L_{2(p)}, v_4)$ is a SHS.

4.9.2.1. Pro-étale covers. For the SHS $(f_4, L_{1(p)} \oplus L_{2(p)}, v_4)$ we use the standard notations of 2.3.1-3, except that we put a right lower index 4 everywhere. We (naturally) get a commutative diagram of pro-étale covers:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}_4 \arrow[r, "m_2"] \arrow[d, "m_1"] & \mathcal{C}_1 \arrow[d, "n_1"] \\
\mathcal{C}_2 \arrow[r, "n_2"] & \mathcal{C}_0
\end{tikzcd}$$

(cf. the definition of $\mathcal{C}_i$, $i \in \{0,1,2,4\}$, and [Va2, 3.2.7 4) and 6.4.5.1]).

4.9.3. Points. Let $z_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0(V_0)$. Let $z_i \in \mathcal{C}_i(V_0)$ be such that $n_i \circ z_i = z_0$, $i = \overline{1,2}$. Let $z_4 \in \mathcal{C}_4(V_0)$ be such that $m_1 \circ z_4 = z_2$ and let $z_3 := m_2 \circ z_4$. We denote by $y_i \in \mathcal{C}_{s_i}(\mathbb{F})$ the $\mathbb{F}$-valued point defined by $z_i \in \mathcal{C}_{s_i}(V_0)$, with $i = \overline{0,4}$, with $s_i = i$ if $i \neq 3$ and with $s_3 = 1$. Let $\mathfrak{C}_{y_i}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ be the Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to y_i, $i = \overline{1,4}$, obtained using the SHS $(f_{s_i}, L_{s_i(p)}, v_{s_i})$, with $L_{4(p)} := L_{1(p)} \oplus L_{2(p)}$. From the construction of f_4, we deduce the existence of a monomorphism $\mathfrak{C}_{y_4}^{\mathrm{ad}} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{y_3}^{\mathrm{ad}} \oplus \mathfrak{C}_{y_2}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ between Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals, which produces (via its composite with the natural projections on the factors of $\mathfrak{C}_{y_3}^{\mathrm{ad}} \oplus \mathfrak{C}_{y_2}^{\mathrm{ad}}$; see 4.9.2.0 E) isomorphisms of $\mathfrak{C}_{y_4}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ with $\mathfrak{C}_{y_2}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ and with $\mathfrak{C}_{y_3}^{\mathrm{ad}}$.

4.9.4. Lemma. *$\mathfrak{C}_{y_i}^{\mathrm{ad}}$, $i = \overline{1,4}$, are all isomorphic.*

Proof: We have just seen that $\mathfrak{C}_{y_4}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{C}_{y_2}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{y_3}^{\mathrm{ad}}$. The fact that $\mathfrak{C}_{y_1}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{y_3}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ are isomorphic results from the fact that z_1 and z_3 are two lifts to $\mathcal{C}_1$ of z_0 and so $z_3 = i_a(z_1)h$, with $h \in G_1(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ and with

$$a : (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1)$$

an automorphism defined by an element of $G_0(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) := G_0(\mathbb{Q}) \cap H_0$ (cf. [Va2, 3.3.1]); here as well as below we denote by i_a the automorphism of $\mathcal{N}_1$ naturally defined by a (cf. [Va2, 3.2.7 4)]). But the Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to y_1 is isomorphic to the one attached to $i_a(y_1)$ (the argument of this is entirely analogous to the ones which produced isomorphisms of $\mathfrak{C}_{y_4}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ with $\mathfrak{C}_{y_2}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ and with $\mathfrak{C}_{y_3}^{\mathrm{ad}}$: we need to "put together" the SHS $(f_1, L_{1(p)}, v_1)$ and the SHS $(f_1 \circ a_1, L_{1(p)}, v_1)$, where we denote by a_1 the isomorphism $(G_1, X_1)\tilde{\to}(G_1, X_1)$ defined by a), and so (see Fact 6 of 2.3.11) it is isomorphic to the one attached to $y_3 = i_a(y_1)h$.

4.9.5. Terminology. We refer to $\mathfrak{C}_{y_1}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ as the Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to y_0. The above Lemma guarantees that it is well defined. For any algebraically closed field k of characteristic p and for every $y_{01} \in \mathcal{C}_0(k)$, we define similarly the Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal attached to y_{01}.

4.9.5.1. Remark. In all above operations, we can keep track of inner isomorphism classes as in 4.2.10: so in 4.9.4 (as well as in 4.9.7 below) we can speak replace "isomorphic" by inner isomorphic.

4.9.6. Translations. If now $z_0^0 \in \mathcal{N}_0(V_0)$ is the translation of z_0 by an element $t_0 \in G_0(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ and we work with (the assumed to exist) z_1^0, z_2^0, z_3^0, z_4^0, and y_1^0, y_2^0, y_3^0, y_4^0 having a similar meaning, we get similarly that $z_3^0 = i_{a^0}(z_1^0)h^0$, with $h^0 \in G_1(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ and with $a^0 : (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1) \overset{\sim}{\to} (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1)$ an automorphism defined by an element of $G_0(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$. We have:

4.9.7. Proposition. *The Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals attached to y_1^0, y_2^0, y_3^0 and y_4^0 are all isomorphic to $\mathfrak{C}_{y_1}^{\mathrm{ad}}$.*

Proof: The argument that the Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals attached to y_1^0, y_2^0, y_3^0 and y_4^0 are isomorphic to each other is as in 4.9.4. The fact that the Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to y_1^0 is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{C}_{y_1}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ can be checked, without any reference to y_2^0 or to y_3^0, as follows. Based on [Va2, 3.3.2] and Fact 6 of 2.3.11 (applied to the SHS $(f_1, L_{1(p)}, v_1)$) we can assume $z_0^1 \in \mathcal{C}_1(V_0)$. We start working with a finite morphism $\mathcal{N}_1/H_{01} \to \mathcal{N}/H_{00}$, with H_{01} as in 2.3.3 and with H_{00} a compact, open subgroup of $G_0(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ containing the image of H_{01}. As $G_0(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ is dense in $G_0(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ (cf. the strong approximation theorem; see [Pr, Theorem A]), we can assume t_0 mod H_{00} is naturally defined by an element $a \in G_0(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$. Choosing H_{01} and H_{00} to be small enough, we can assume a leaves invariant X_0^0. So the part of 4.9.4 referring to automorphisms applies once more: we can assume the images of z_0 and z_0^0 in $\mathcal{N}_0/H_{00}(V_0)$ are the same. We can assume H_{01} and H_{00} are such that $\mathcal{C}_1/H_{010} \to \mathcal{C}_0/H_{000}$ is a Galois cover, with H_{0i0} as the subgroup of H_{0i} leaving $\mathcal{C}_i$ invariant, $i = \overline{0,1}$. So, as in [Va2, 6.2.2 F)] (see also AE.4) we can write $\mathcal{C}_0/H_{000}$ as the quotient of $\mathcal{C}_1/H_{010}$ through the action of a subgroup of automorphisms of (G_1, X_1, H_1). So there is $a_1 \in \mathrm{Aut}((G_1, X_1, H_1))$ such that the images of z_1^0 and of $i_{a_1}(z_1)$ in $\mathcal{C}_1/H_{010}$ are the same. So the Proposition follows from the proof of 4.9.4 and from Fact 6 of 2.3.11 (applied to SHS $(f_1, L_{1(p)}, v_1)$).

4.9.7.1. Remark. A second proof of 4.9.7 can be obtained by combining 2.3.5.6-7.

4.9.8. Theorem. *For any integral canonical model* $\mathcal{N}$ *of a Shimura quadruple* (G, X, H, v) *of preabelian type, with* v *diving a rational prime* $p \geq 3$*, there is a unique* $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$*-invariant stratification (called the refined canonical Lie stratification) of* $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ *in reduced, locally closed subschemes such that:*

a) if G *is not a torus, its strata are indexed by a subset of the set* $RLNP(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ *(defined in 4.5.7 and 4.5.8 1)); if* G *is a torus, then we have only one stratum;*

b) it is functorial w.r.t. finite maps between Shimura quadruples;

c) it has a unique open stratum $\mathcal{U}$ *(so* $\mathcal{U}$ *is dense in* $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$*);*

d) any point $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{U}$*, with* k *a perfect field, has a uniquely determined* G*-canonical lift* $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}$ *(here the uniqueness part is implied by e) and f) below; however, see also 4.9.17.1 below);*

e) the G*-canonical lifts are functorial w.r.t. finite maps between Shimura quadruples;*

f) if $\mathcal{N}$ *and* (G, X, H, v) *are related to a SHS* $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ *as in 4.1, then it is the refined canonical Lie stratification of 4.5.2 and we reobtain the* G*-canonical lifts of 4.4.2;*

g) it is invariant under isomorphisms, i.e. it is invariant under the natural action of the group $\mathrm{Aut}((G, X, H))$ *(defined in [Va2, 3.2.7 9)]) on* $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$*.*

Proof: For the case $p = 3$ we refer to §6. We assume now $p \geq 5$. If G is a torus, then the Theorem is trivial (cf. [Va2, 3.2.8 and 3.3.3]). We assume now G is not a torus. Let

$$(G_0, X_0, H_0, v_0) := (G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, H^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}}).$$

We use the notations of 4.9.1-7. We recall: in order to define the set $RLNP(G_0, X_0, v_0)$, a bijection $f_{\tilde{H}}$ as in 4.3.2 is chosen.

A point $y_0 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{F})$ belongs to the stratum indexed by some $s \in RLNP(G_0, X_0, v_0)$ iff there is $t_0 \in G_0(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ such that the right translate y_0^t of y_0 through t_0 belongs to $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{F})$ and the sequence of Newton polygons defined by cyclic factors of the Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to y_0^t, is the same as that of s; 4.9.4 and 4.9.7 guarantee that this is well defined. The fact that the things do not depend on the connected component X_0^0 of X_0 we chose is implied by [Va2, 3.3.3]. So, from very definitions the refined canonical stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant.

To see that the partition of $\mathcal{N}_0(\mathbb{F})$ in disjoint subsets defines locally closed subschemes of $\mathcal{N}_{0k(v_0)}$ we just have to remark that the pull back (of these disjoint sets) to $\mathcal{N}_1(\mathbb{F})$ through the morphism j_1 (of 4.9.1) are forming the partition of $\mathcal{N}_1(\mathbb{F})$ in subsets corresponding to the refined canonical Lie stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ defined (see 4.5.2) by the SHS $(f_1, L_{1(p)}, v_1)$. So [Va2, 6.4.5.1] applies. This takes care of a) and f).

The stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is obtained from the one of $\mathcal{N}_{0k(v_0)}$ by the pull back operation through the natural morphism $\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}_0$ (see [Va2, 3.2.7 4)]). This takes care of b), while c) results from 4.2.1, via [Va2, 6.4.5.1]. The existence and uniqueness of G-canonical lifts is a direct consequence of the following remark (it is just a particular case of the filtered versions of 4.9.4 and 4.9.7, cf. 4.4.1 1)):

(4.9.8.1) *If in 4.9.4 (resp. in 4.9.7) z_2 (resp. z_2^0) is a Shimura-canonical lift, then z_1 and z_3 (resp. z_1^0 and z_3^0) are also Shimura-canonical lifts.*

So it makes sense to say that z_0 is a G_0-canonical lift of y_0. For a point $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ of the open stratum, with k just a perfect field, we first get a G-canonical lift $\bar{z} : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k_1)) \to \mathcal{N}$ (with k_1 a pro-finite Galois extension of k) of the point $\bar{y} \in \mathcal{N}(k_1)$ factoring through y, which due to the uniqueness property asserted by c) and to (4.9.8.1), it is defined over $W(k)$, i.e. we get a G-canonical lift $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ of y. This takes care of d) and e).

To check that the refined canonical Lie stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is invariant under the action of $\mathrm{Aut}((G, X, H))$, we can assume $G = G_0$ (cf. the definition of $\mathrm{Aut}((G, X, H))$). But in this case the arguments of the proof of 4.9.4 apply entirely; we just need to:

– replace inner automorphisms a_1 of (G_1, X_1) by isomorphisms $a_2 : (G_1, X_1) \tilde{\to} (G_1, X_2)$ (with X_2 uniquely determined by X_1 and a_2), and to

– point out that we can always choose f_1 such that 2.3.6 applies to it (cf. the Existence Property of 1.10); so 2.3.6 applies as well to $f \circ a_2^{-1}$.

This takes care of g) and ends the proof.

4.9.8.2. Corollary. *We assume* $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) > 0$. *Then the complement in* $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ *of the open, dense stratum of the refined canonical Lie stratification is a* $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$*-invariant closed subscheme of* $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$*, different from* $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ *and of pure codimension 1.*

Proof: [Va2, 6.4.2 and 6.4.5.1] makes the reduction (cf. the proof of 4.9.8) of the situation to the case when we have a SHS. But this case is handled by 4.3.6. This ends the proof of the Corollary.

This Corollary fulfills the promise of the end of the first paragraph of [Va2, 3.2.12].

4.9.9. Variants. A result entirely similar to 4.9.8 can be stated in the context of:

i) canonical Lie stratifications (defined for a SHS in 4.5.1);

ii) (refined) Lie (non-) stable stratifications (defined for a SHS in 4.5.15);

iii) quasi-ultra stratifications (defined for a SHS in 4.5.15.2);

iv) Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné adjoint stratifications (defined for a SHS in 4.5.16).

The same proof applies (it is the simple Lemma 4.10.0 below which allows us to speak about the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ and not only of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}$). In §10 we will see that this remains true, even in the context of the absolute and so in the context of the ultra stratifications: using 4.5.6.1 and 4.9.8 we get this just for the C_n types (and for other particular situations pertaining to the B_n types), $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here we just mention, that the argument (of the proof of 4.9.4) used to get 4.9.8 g), applies entirely to give us that the ρ's stratifications (and so also the absolute, the pseudo-ultra, the ultra and the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné adjoint or standard stratification) of 4.5 are $\mathrm{Aut}((G, X, H))$-invariant.

Also 4.5.16 a) to c) and 4.5.16.1 extend automatically to all these Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné adjoint stratifications.

4.9.9.1. Ultimate type of stratifications. For what follows we refer to (the proof of) 4.9.8; see also 2.3.3.2 and 2.3.5.4. We consider the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné adjoint stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{0k(v_0)}$. Its pull back to $\mathcal{N}_1$ is the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné adjoint stratification as defined in 4.5.16. By the ultimate adjoint stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ we mean the pull back to $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ of the maximal (i.e. the most refined) $G_0(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant, $\mathrm{Aut}(G_0, X_0, H_0)$-invariant stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{0k(v_0)}$ whose strata are open, closed subschemes of the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné adjoint stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{0k(v_0)}$.

We now refer to 4.5.16. By the ultimate stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ we mean the most refined $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant, $\mathrm{Aut}(G, X, H)$-invariant stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ whose strata are open, closed subschemes of the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$.

Based on 4.5.16 and 4.5.16.1 and the last sentence of 4.9.9, 4.5.16 a) to c) and 4.5.16.1 extend automatically to all these ultimate (adjoint) stratifications.

4.9.9.2. Grothendieck's specialization category. We refer to 4.5.16 (resp. to 4.9.8). By Grothendieck's specialization category (resp. adjoint category)

$$\mathbb{GSC}(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}) \text{ (resp. } \mathbb{GSAC}(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}))$$

of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ we mean the category:

1) whose objects are the reduced, locally closed subschemes of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ which are strata of the ultimate (resp. ultimate adjoint) stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$;

2) whose set of morphisms from one object (stratum) s_1 to another object (stratum s_2) is empty or has precisely one element depending on the fact that each (it is enough one) connected component of s_1 specializes to some connected component of s_2.

$\mathbb{GSAC}(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})$ depends only on the integral canonical model of $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, H^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}})$. However, there are many very important ways to look at it (and its subcategories) and so it is relevant to think of it of being "of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$". We have a canonical functor

$$\mathbb{GSC}(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}) \to \mathbb{GSAC}(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})$$

which takes a stratum of the ultimate stratification to the corresponding stratum of the ultimate stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. We do not know when it is an isomorphism of categories.

We have a variant of $\mathbb{GSC}(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})$ (resp. of $\mathbb{GSAC}(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})$): we allow the strata to be in the sense of 2.1; so the objects are elements of the class of the ultimate (resp. ultimate adjoint) stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. Another variant, we fix an algebraically closed field k containing $\mathbb{F}$ and we define $\mathbb{GSC}(\mathcal{N}_k)$ (resp. $\mathbb{GSAC}(\mathcal{N}_k)$) using reduced, locally closed subschemes of $\mathcal{N}_k$ which are strata of the ultimate (resp. ultimate adjoint) stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$.

4.9.9.3. Remark. One might worry that the ultimate adjoint stratifications are too refined, i.e. that too many strata of them have very low dimensions. We think this is not relevant, as one of the main goals of them is to solve the Real Problem of 1.6.5; so the categories of 4.9.9.2 do "capture" all the information one might think is lost by passing to too refined stratifications (providing –in the same time– a lot more). On the other hand, from the Example of 3.15.7 H we get (cf. also the regularity part of 4.5.15.6; the passage from SHS's to the preabelian type context is achieved via the proof of 4.9.8):

Corollary. *The dimension of the stratum of the ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ to which an $\mathbb{F}$-valued toric point y of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ belongs is at least the negative sp-invariant of the Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to (see 4.9.5 and 4.9.17 below) y.*

4.9.10. Definition. The points with values in perfect fields of the open, dense stratum of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/\tilde{H}_0$ (with $\tilde{H}_0$ a compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$) are called G-ordinary (or $G_{W(k(v))}$-ordinary or Shimura-ordinary) points.

4.9.11. Corollary. *We have a natural functor from the full subcategory of qf-Sh of whose objects are Shimura quadruples (G, X, H, v) of preabelian type, with $(v, 2) = 1$, to the category of stratified schemes (in reduced, locally closed subschemes) whose morphisms are morphisms of schemes such that the pull back of any stratum is a stratum, taking such a quadruple into the scheme defined by the special fibre of its integral canonical model and endowed with the refined canonical Lie stratification (the action of the functor on morphisms being the logical one, cf. [Va2, 3.2.7 4)]).*

This is a consequence of the proof of 4.9.8. We have variants of it: cf. 4.9.9.

4.9.12. Corollary. *Under the right translation by an element of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$, a G-canonical lift of $\mathcal{N}$ is taken into a G-canonical lift.*

4.9.13. Remark. Let $\tilde{H}_0$ be an arbitrary compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$. As in 4.4.2.1 we define uniquely the G-canonical lift $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}/\tilde{H}_0$ of a G-ordinary point $\mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}/\tilde{H}_0$. In §12 we will see (cf. 4.4.6) that a G-canonical lift $\mathrm{Spec}\big(W(\mathbb{F})\big) \to \mathcal{N}/\tilde{H}_0$ gives birth to a special point $\mathrm{Spec}(B(\mathbb{F})) \to \mathrm{Sh}_{\tilde{H}_0}(G, X)$.

4.9.14. Refined canonical stratifications. We start with a question. With the notations of 4.5, is it true that the f-canonical stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ defined in 4.5.9 is indeed canonical, i.e. it does not depend on the SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ producing it?

The expectation (cf. 4.5.9) of the existence of a refined f-canonical stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, suggests (in general) a negative answer to this question. It seems to us, that the supposed existing refined f-canonical stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ (cf. 4.5.9), still depends in general on the SHS producing it (and so that we can not stop mentioning "f-"). However, one could proceed as in 4.9.8 to define a refined canonical stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ which does not depend on f (sort of intersection of all refined f-canonical stratifications of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$); using this one could define the refined canonical stratification of the special fibre of any integral canonical model as in 4.9.8.

4.9.15. An expectation. Even before one truly defines the refined canonical stratifications modeled on [Oo3] (i.e. in the sense of 4.5.9 and 4.9.14), we formulate here our feelings:

Expectation. *The quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ coincides with or is a refinement of the refined canonical stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, whenever this last stratification can be satisfactorily defined.*

For instance, it is easy to see that in the case of Siegel modular varieties they coincide (cf. [Oo3] and 1) of 3.13.7.4 D)).

4.9.16. Exercise. a) Show that the theory of good G-multiplicative (or G-additive) coordinates presented in 4.7.14 extends to the case of Shimura-ordinary points of an integral canonical model $\mathcal{N}$ as in 4.9.8.

b) If the prime v^{ad} of $E(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ divided by v has $\mathbb{F}_p$ as its residue field, then the G-multiplicative coordinates we get are canonical (i.e. are uniquely determined).

Hint: for the canonical part, use 4.7.11 4) and the idea of the proof of 4.9.4.

4.9.17. Some extensions. Let (G, X, H, v), p and $\mathcal{N}$ be as in 4.9.8. Let $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$, with k an algebraically closed field of characteristic p. Till the end of 4.9.17.5, we assume G is not a torus. Let $\mathcal{N}_0$ be the integral canonical model of the quadruple $(G_0, X_0, H_0, v_0) := (G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, H^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and let $y_0 \in \mathcal{N}_0(k)$ be the composite of y with the natural morphism $\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}_0$. Let $\mathcal{C}_0$ be the open closed subscheme of $\mathcal{N}_0$ defined as in 4.9.1.1, starting from a fixed connected component of X. Let $h \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ be such that the translation y_{01} of y_0 by h belongs to $\mathcal{C}_0(k)$ (cf. [Va2, 3.3.2]). The Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal $\mathfrak{C}_{y_{01}}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ (cf. 4.9.5) is called the Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal attached to y. It is attached to a Shimura σ_k-crystal.

The reason why is this well defined is nothing else but 4.9.4, 4.9.7 and the proof of 4.9.8 (performed for $W(k)$ instead of V_0). In the case of a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ we recover the definition (see 2.3.10) of a Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal attached to a point $y \in \mathcal{N}(k)$.

4.9.17.0. The quasi-affine, regular property. We have:

Corollary. *Each stratum $\mathcal{S}_0$ of the quasi-ultra (or ultimate adjoint, or Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné adjoint) stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is regular and quasi-affine. Moreover, any stratum of the ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is quasi-affine.*

Proof: The regularity part follows from 4.5.15.2.2, 4.5.16 and the proof of 4.9.8 (cf. also 4.9.9). The quasi-affineness part follows from 4.5.15.2.5 and the proof of 4.9.8 (cf. also 4.9.9).

4.9.17.0.0. Remark. Based on global forms of 2.3.9 and on 2.3.15.1 (or just based on the existence of actions $\mathbb{T}$ as in 3.13.7.1; their orbits are always integral subschemes of reductive groups and so are quasi-affine), in §5 we will reobtain the quasi-affineness part of the above Corollary, without appealing (see 4.5.9) to [Oo3].

4.9.17.1. Remark. In the situation of 4.9.17, we similarly define the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie σ_k-crystal attached to a point $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}$. So the canonical lifts $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{N}$ can be recognized (see 4.4.1 2)) as follows: their Shimura adjoint filtered Lie σ_k-crystals are (cyclic diagonalizable and) of parabolic (and Borel) type.

4.9.17.2. Definitions. A point $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k_1) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, with k_1 a perfect field, is called a toric point if the Shimura adjoint Lie $\sigma_{\overline{k_1}}$-crystal attached to the $\overline{k_1}$-valued point of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ naturally defined by y is isomorphic to the extension to $\overline{k_1}$ of the Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to a Shimura $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal $\mathfrak{C}_\omega$ showing up in 4.1.5, for an adequately chosen SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$. If $\mathfrak{C}_\omega$ is a U-ordinary (resp. T-ordinary) $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal, then y is called an U-ordinary (resp. T-ordinary) point. Similarly to 4.4.0 we define Borel and reductive points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$.

4.9.17.2.1. Some implications. 3.11.6 B) implies that any k_1-valued Borel point is a Shimura-ordinary point and the converse holds if $k_1 = \overline{k_1}$. Also 2.2.19.2 and the Criterion of 2.2.22 1) imply that any toric point is a reductive point.

4.9.17.3. Extension of 4.5.11 and 4.5.13. 4.9.4 and 4.9.7 show that the notion of toric point is intrinsic (canonical). In particular, if (G, X, H, v) is obtained from a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$, then we "regain" the toric points defined in 4.5.11.2. Moreover, 4.12.12.6 below shows that there are plenty of toric points which are not G-ordinary. As in 4.5.11.2.1, the toric points can be defined in terms of Galois representations (for $p = 3$ cf. also the Criterion of 2.2.22 1)).

Moreover, due to the same reasons, we can speak about CM levels of non-toric points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ with values in algebraically closed fields and so, by natural extension, with values in fields.

4.9.17.4. Remark. Based on 4.9.17, it makes sense to speak, as in 3.6.16 1), about $\mathcal{N}$ having the completion property: we just have to rephrase 3.6.15 A in terms of Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystals attached to geometric points of $\mathcal{N}$.

4.9.17.5. *U*-canonical lifts. From 4.4.13.2 we get, as in the part of the proof of 4.9.8 referring to G-canonical lifts, that any U-ordinary point $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k_1) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, with k_1 a perfect field, has a uniquely determined lift $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k_1)) \to \mathcal{N}$ (called the U-canonical lift of y) with the property that the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie $\sigma_{\overline{k_1}}$-crystal attached to the $W(\overline{k_1})$-valued point of $\mathcal{N}$ factoring through z, is of toric type. As in 4.9.8 b), U-canonical lifts are functorial w.r.t. finite maps between Shimura quadruples.

4.9.18. Comment. We think the terminology (refined) canonical Lie stratification is justified. The word Lie is meant to distinguish it from the other ρ-stratifications introduced in 4.5.4 (for a SHS), while the word canonical is meant to emphasize that in the case of a Shimura quadruple (G, X, H, v) emerging from a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$, the (refined) (canonical) Lie stratification we get for $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ does not depend on the SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ producing it.

4.9.19. Exercise. Let (G_i, X_i, H_i, v_i), $i = \overline{1,2}$, be two Shimura quadruples of preabelian type, with v_1 and v_2 dividing the same prime $p \geq 3$. Let w be a prime of $E(G_1 \times G_2, X_1 \times X_2)$ dividing v_1 and v_2. We get a Shimura quadruple

$$(G_1 \times G_2, X_1 \times X_2, H_1 \times H_2, w).$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_i$ be the extension to $\mathrm{Spec}(O_{(w)})$ of the integral canonical model $\mathcal{N}_i$ of (G_i, X_i, H_i, v_i), $i = \overline{1,2}$. It is known that

$$\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_1 \times_{O_{(w)}} \mathcal{M}_2$$

is the integral canonical model of $(G_1 \times G_2, X_1 \times X_2, H_1 \times H_2, w)$ (cf. [Va2, 3.2.16]). Show that the refined canonical Lie stratification of $\mathcal{M}_{k(w)}$ is the product stratification of the refined canonical Lie stratifications of $\mathcal{M}_{1k(v)}$ and of $\mathcal{M}_{2k(v)}$. Hint: first reduce to the case when $G_1 \times G_2$ is an adjoint group and then use [Va2, 6.5.1].

4.9.20. Exercise. With the notations of 4.9.17, let H_0 be a compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ such that either $p \not| t(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and $H_0 \times H$ is a subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ smooth for (G, X) or $p | t(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and $H_0 \times H$ is p-smooth for (G, X). Show that for any morphism $f_s : \mathrm{Spec}(R) \to \mathcal{N}/H_0$, with R a faithfully flat, regular, formally smooth $W(k)$-algebra, we can define uniquely the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie F-crystal (over $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$) attached to f_s.

A proof of this exercise will be given in §5. In what follows we need it only in the case when R/pR is integral and the first fundamental group of $\mathrm{Spec}(R/pR)$ is trivial: this case can be treated entirely as in (the filtered versions of) 4.9.3-4 and 4.9.7 (where we had $R/pR = \mathbb{F}$).

4.9.21. The relative Oort–Moonen problem. Let (G, X, H, v) be a Shimura quadruple of preabelian type, with v dividing a prime $p > 2$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be its integral canonical model (cf. [Va2, 6.4.1] for $p > 3$ and cf. §6 for $p = 3$). Let F be a number field containing $E(G, X)$. Let $H_0 \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ be as in 4.9.20. Let Z be an irreducible subvariety of $\mathrm{Sh}_{H_0 \times H}(G, X)_F$. Let $Z_{(v)}$ be the Zariski closure of Z in $(\mathcal{N}/H_0)_{F_{(v)}}$, with $F_{(v)}$ as the normalization of $O_{(v)}$ in F.

Problem. We assume there is a prime w of F dividing v and a G-ordinary point $y : \mathrm{Spec}(\overline{k(v)}) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(w)}/H_0$ factoring through the special fibre of $Z_{(v)}$, such that $Z_{(v)}$ has (see below) formally quasi-linear components at y. Show that Z is of preabelian type, i.e. for any embedding $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, every irreducible component of $Z \times_F \mathbb{C}$ is a subvariety of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}/H_0$ of preabelian type (in the sense of [Mo, 3.7]).

Due to the existence of G-multiplicative coordinates (see 4.9.16) the notion of having formally quasi-linear components at y can be defined as in [Mo]. It seems to us that we can use 4.7.11 and 4.7.17, to prove that this notion is independent of all choices referred to in 4.7.11 8); if this turns out not to be the case, then we have to define this notion by working w.r.t. all –allowed, i.e. logical– choices mentioned in 4.7.11 8).

For not being too long, we simplify the things by just giving an example (without being particular about the precise choices defining G-multiplicative coordinates) in the case when w is unramified over v. If the closed subscheme (defined naturally by $Z_{(v)}$) of the spectrum of the completion of the local ring of the point $y_1 : \mathrm{Spec}(\overline{k(v)}) \to \mathcal{N}_{W(\overline{k(v)})}/H_0$ defined by y, is a formal subtorus of the formal torus (of G-multiplicative coordinates) defined (see 4.9.16) by the G-ordinary point y_1, then (by very definitions) $Z_{(v)}$ has formally quasi-linear components at y.

4.9.21.1. Exercise. Using [Mo, 5.2] show that the relative Oort–Moonen problem is true if $k(v^{\text{ad}}) = \mathbb{F}_p$ (here v^{ad} is the prime of $E(G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$ divided by v). Hint: use 4.7.11 4) and [Va2, 6.5.1.1] (referring to the Existence Property of 1.10, if $k(v_0) = \mathbb{F}_p$, then based on arguments of loc. cit. we can assume $k(v^0) = \mathbb{F}_p$).

4.9.22. Definition. A simple, adjoint Shimura variety $\text{Sh}(G, X)$ is said to be of $\mathbb{Z}$-End type if there is a principally polarized abelian variety (A, p_A) over $\mathbb{C}$, with $\text{End}(A) = \mathbb{Z}$, whose attached (see 2.1) Shimura variety has $\text{Sh}(G, X)$ as its adjoint variety.

We refer to the situation of 4.9.2. Let E be a number field. Let H_{00} be the subgroup of $G_0(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ generated by the images of H_{01} and H_{02}. We recall, cf. 2.3.3, that H_{0i} is a compact, open subgroup of $G_i(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ subject to some conditions, $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Accordingly, we assume H_{00} is compact as well. We consider two morphisms

$$a(i) : \text{Spec}(E) \to \text{Sh}_{H_{0i} \times H_i}(G_i, X_i),$$

$i \in \{1, 2\}$, giving birth to the same morphism $\text{Spec}(E) \to \text{Sh}_{H_{00} \times H_0}(G_0, X_0)$. Let A_i be the abelian variety over E naturally associated to $a(i)$ and the embedding f_i of 4.9.1 (implicitly, a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice L_i of W_i such that we get a perfect form $\psi_i : L_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L_i \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a priori chosen; see 2.3.2). We have:

4.9.23. Corollary. *The ordinary conjecture is true for A_1 iff it is true for A_2.*

Proof: We can assume E is big enough so that G^{ab}_{iE}, $i = \overline{1, 2}$, are split tori. We consider only those primes of E such that:

a) they are unramified over rational primes;

b) their residue fields have a prime number of elements;

c) $A_1 \times A_2$ has good reduction w.r.t. them.

The set of such primes has Dirichlet density 1. Let w be such a prime. Above, the role of p is just to fix some notations (without introducing others); so, based on [Va2, 5.8.6] (to be compared with the proof of 4.6.2.1) we deduce that we can assume $k(w) = \mathbb{F}_p$. From a) and c) we deduce the existence of a $O_{(v_i)}$-morphism $b(i) : \text{Spec}(W(\mathbb{F})) \to \mathcal{N}_i$ whose generic fibre factors through $a(i)$. From 4.9.4 we get that the Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to $b(1)$ is ordinary iff the Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to $b(2)$ is so. From b) and 4.3.1.1 we get that at the level of T-degrees of definition we have $d_T(v_1) = d_T(v_2) = 1$. So from end of 4.3.1.1 and 4.6 P1 we get: A_1 has an ordinary reduction w.r.t. w iff A_2 has. The Corollary follows.

The ordinary reduction is true for a (finite) product of abelian varieties over a number field iff it is true for each member of it. Based on this and on [Va2, 6.5.1], from 4.9.23 and [Pi, 7.2] we get:

4.9.23.1. Corollary. *Let A be an abelian variety over a number field E. We assume the Shimura variety attached to it is such that all simple factors of its adjoint variety are of $\mathbb{Z}$-End type and are not of C_n type with $n \geq 3$. Then the ordinary conjecture is true for A.*

4.9.23.2. Exercise. Show that there are situations to which 4.9.23.1 applies, with A an absolutely simple abelian variety such that $\mathrm{End}(A_{\bar{E}}) \neq \mathbb{Z}$. Hint: use Mumford curves and 2.3.5.1; for more sophisticated examples, use [Va2, 6.4.2 and 6.5-6] and move from the A_1 Lie type to the B_n Lie types, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ congruent to 1 or 2 mod 4.

4.10. Hecke orbits of G-ordinary points. Let (G, H, H, v) be a Shimura quadruple of preabelian type, with $(v, 2) = 1$, and let $\mathcal{N}$ be its integral canonical model over $O_{(v)}$. Let $\mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ be the open, dense subscheme of G-ordinary points (cf. 4.9.8). We have:

4.10.0. Lemma. *The field of fractions $FF(\mathcal{C}_0)$ of any connected component $\mathcal{C}_0$ of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ contains $\mathbb{F}$.*

Proof: For $p = 3$ we refer to §6. Let now $p \geq 5$. From 2.3.9 A we get that the Lemma holds for a SHS. We can assume (G, H, H, v) is adjoint. So, from [Va2, 6.4.1-2, 6.2.2, 6.2.3.1 and 6.2.3] we get that $FF(\mathcal{C}_0)$ contains a subfield of $\mathbb{F}$ whose Galois group is an M-torsion group for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$. As such a subfield must be $\mathbb{F}$ itself, the Lemma follows.

4.10.1. Conjecture. *$\mathcal{U}$ is the smallest non-empty open subscheme of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ which is $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-invariant.*

4.10.1.1. Reformulation. Standard arguments (based on 4.10.0) show that another way to formulate 4.10.1 is: the Hecke orbit $o(y)$ of a $\bar{k}$-valued G-ordinary point y (of $\mathcal{U}_{\bar{k}}$) with values in $\bar{k}$, i.e. the set of points obtained from y through $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-translations, is dense in $\mathcal{N}_{\bar{k}}$. In other words, $o(y)$ is never contained in a closed subscheme of $\mathcal{N}_{\bar{k}}$ which is not $\mathcal{N}_{\bar{k}}$ itself.

4.10.2. Motivations. The expectations of the validity of 4.10.1 are based on:

a) 4.2.8.1;

b) exercise 8) of 4.5.6;

c) the part d) (or c)) of 4.4.1 3), and b) and c) of 4.4.1 2);

d) the validity of 4.10.1 in the case when $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)$ is a Siegel modular variety [Ch2];

e) 4.10.3 and 4.10.5.1 below.

4.10.3. Proposition. *The above conjecture is true if* $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) \leq 1$.

Proof: If $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = 0$, i.e. if G is a torus, this is obvious (cf. [Va2, 3.2.8 and 3.3.1]). If $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = 1$, then 4.2.8.1 holds: the case of non-compact Shimura curves, i.e. of Shimura curves having the same adjoint curve as the elliptic modular curve, is a consequence of [FC, ch. IV, 5.10 and 6.12]. From 4.9.12 and from the fact the $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-orbit of the $B(\bar{k})$-valued point of $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)_{B(\bar{k})}$ defined by the G-canonical lift of y is dense in $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)_{B(\bar{k})}$, we get that $o(y)$ is dense in $\mathcal{N}_{\bar{k}}$. This ends the proof.

4.10.4. Variants. There are variants of 4.10.1. For instance by working not with the full group $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ of Hecke operators but just with $G(\mathbb{Q}_q)$, where q is a rational prime, different from p and such that G is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_q$ (cf. [Ch2]), or more generally with an adequate (i.e. of interest) subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$. However in such a variant we have to be careful: $G(\mathbb{Q}_q)$ might not permute transitively the connected components of $\mathrm{Sh}_H(G,X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ (for instance, this happens when $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is anisotropic).

Another variant consists in replacing G-ordinary points and $\mathcal{U}$ respectively by $G(\omega)$-ordinary points and by the $\mathfrak{s}_\omega$ (or by the $\mathfrak{s}_\omega^a$) stratum (of 4.5.11.1). Again some precautions have to be taken: (theoretically) we might not get dense Hecke orbits. So in some of these variants 4.10.1 needs to be reformulated.

4.10.5. Remark. The gluing principle of 3.6.19 D can be interpreted as a local Hecke correspondence. Using this we will prove in [Va7] the following IOTA (if one then all) criterion:

4.10.5.1. Criterion. *Let (G,X,H,v) be a quadruple of preabelian type, with $k(v^{\mathrm{ad}}) = \mathbb{F}_p$. We assume that either $p \geq 3$ or $p = 2$ and the Shimura quadruple (G,X,H,v) is associated to a $p = 2$ SHS. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the G-ordinary locus of the integral canonical model $\mathcal{N}$ of (G,X,H,v) (for $p = 2$ cf. 4.14.3 A below). If the $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-orbit of a (one given) $\mathbb{F}$-valued G-ordinary point of $\mathcal{U}$ is dense in $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ (i.e. it is dense in $\mathcal{U}$), then the $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$-orbit of any G-ordinary point of $\mathcal{U}$ is dense in $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$.*

This criterion recovers all previous known cases of density of Hecke orbits in positive characteristic and provides plenty of new examples of such densities (like the case of classical Spin modular varieties, see [Va2, 5.7.5] and [Va4]). The philosophy behind it can be roughly formulated as follows:

Ph. *We refer to 4.7.11 7) and we assume $S(1,n)^0$ is the empty set. Under the mentioned interpretation, in the $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale topology of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_n^{\mathrm{al}}/pR_n^{\mathrm{al}})$, the Hecke correspondences associated to $\mathfrak{C}_q^{\mathrm{al}}$ and two distinct k-valued points y_1 and y_2 of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_n^{\mathrm{al}}/pR_n^{\mathrm{al}})$, are "very close" to the translation by the k-valued point "$y_2 - y_1$" of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_n^{\mathrm{al}}/pR_n^{\mathrm{al}})$ (w.r.t. its natural group structure as a torus). Here "very close" refers to the fact that we need to change the initial Frobenius lift of (the p-adic completion of) R_n^{al} in order to get exactly the mentioned translation.*

4.11. The functorial behavior of G-ordinary points.

4.11.1. Two questions. We consider now a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ defined by an injective map $f : (G,X) \hookrightarrow \big(\mathrm{GSp}(W,\psi), S\big)$ which factors as the composite $f_1 \circ f_2$ of two injective maps, with $f_2 : (G,X) \hookrightarrow (G_1, X_1)$ and $f_1 : (G_1,X_1) \hookrightarrow \big(\mathrm{GSp}(W,\psi), S\big)$. Let v_1 be the prime of $E(G_1,X_1)$ divided by v. We assume the triple $(f_1, L_{(p)}, v_1)$ is as well a SHS. We still use for it the standard notations of 2.3.1-3 but everything having a right lower index 1, except $L_{(p)}$. We have a natural morphism $i_1 : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}_1$ (cf. [Va2, 3.2.7 4)]). Two natural questions arise:

Q1. *When i_1 takes G-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ into G_1-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$?*

Q2. *If i_1 takes G-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ into G_1-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$, is it true that a G-canonical lift of a G-ordinary point y of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is mapped by i_1 into a G-canonical lift of $i_1 \circ y$?*

$Q1$ can be reformulated (cf. 4.2.1): when the open, dense stratum of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is the pull back through i_1 of the open, dense stratum of $\mathcal{N}_{1\,k(v_1)}$?

The case $k(v_1) = \mathbb{F}_p$ is completely handled by 4.6 P1 and P2: the answer to $Q1$ is yes when $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_p$, and then $Q2$ also has a positive answer. What about $k(v_1) = \mathbb{F}_{p^{q_1}}$ with $q_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $q_1 \geq 2$? As $p \geq 3$, by combining 4.4.8 2), 2.3.17 and 3.1.1 c) we get:

4.11.1.1. Corollary. *The answer to Q2 is always yes.*

Related to Q1 we have:

4.11.2. Theorem. *The morphism i_1 maps G-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ into G_1-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ (and so G-canonical lifts of $\mathcal{N}$ into G_1-canonical lifts of $\mathcal{N}_1$) iff there is a triple (T, μ, B_1), with T a torus of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, with μ an injective cocharacter of $T_{W(k(v))}$ and with B_1 a parabolic subgroup of $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$, such that:*

i) Under extension via an $O_{(v)}$-monomorphism $W(k(v)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, μ becomes $G(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to the cocharacters μ_x^*, $x \in X$;

ii) Under the direct sum decomposition $L_p^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v)) = F^1 \oplus F^0$ produced by μ ($\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m\big(W(k(v))\big)$ acts through μ on F^i as the multiplication with β^{-i}), F^1 is normalized by $B_{1W(k(v))}$ (i.e. $\mathrm{Lie}(B_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(v)) \subset F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{1W(k(v))}))$);

iii) $B_1 \cap G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ contains a Borel subgroup B of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$.

Moreover, if such a triple (T, μ, B_1) does exist, then $k(v) = k(v_1)$.

Proof: Let (T, μ, B_1) be a triple of the mentioned form, such that i) to iii) hold. The fact that i_1 takes G-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ into G_1-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ results from 4.1-2: the Shimura-ordinary type of the SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ (resp. of the SHS $(f_1, L_{(p)}, v_1)$) can be computed, cf. 4.1.1, starting from the data of the triple (T, μ, B) (resp. (T, μ, B_1)); so these two Shimura-ordinary types are equal.

We now assume i_1 takes G-ordinary points into G_1-ordinary points. Let $z : \mathrm{Spec}\big(W(\mathbb{F})\big) \to \mathcal{N}$ be a G-canonical lift of a G-ordinary point $y : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, and let $\mathfrak{C} := (M_y, F_z^1, \varphi_y, G_{W(\mathbb{F})})$ be the Shimura filtered $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to z. We get another Shimura filtered $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal $\mathfrak{C}_1 := (M_y, F_z^1, \varphi_y, G_{1W(\mathbb{F})})$; it is the one attached to $y_2 \circ z$ and (cf. 4.11.1.1) is a Shimura-canonical lift.

The canonical split cocharacter $\mu_y : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_{W(k)}$ of $\mathfrak{C}$ when composed with the monomorphism $G_{W(k)} \hookrightarrow G_{1W(k)}$, is the canonical split of $\mathfrak{C}_1$ (cf. 3.1.5). So the degree of definition of $\mathfrak{C}$ is equal to the degree of definition of $\mathfrak{C}_1$ and so (cf. 4.4.7) $k(v) = k(v_1)$.

Writing $\varphi_y = a\mu_y(\frac{1}{p})$, a becomes a σ_k-linear automorphism of M_y. Then $M_y^a := \big\{x \in M_y | a(x) = x\big\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure on M_y. We get monomorphisms $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \overset{\tilde{f}_2}{\hookrightarrow} \tilde{G}_{1\,\mathbb{Z}_p} \hookrightarrow GL(M_y^a)$ and a cocharacter $\mu_y : \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow \tilde{G}_{W(k(v))}$. All these are as in 4.4.7. Let $\tilde{B}$ be a Borel subgroup of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ normalizing F_z^1 and such that μ_y factors through $\tilde{B}_{W(k(v))}$. Let $\tilde{B}_1$ be a parabolic subgroup of $\tilde{G}_{1\,\mathbb{Z}_p}$ normalizing F_z^1 and containing $\tilde{B}$. The existence of $\tilde{B}$ is a direct consequence of b) of 4.4.1 2), while we can take $\tilde{B}_1$ such that the extension to $W(\mathbb{F})$ of its Lie algebra is the Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{1W(\mathbb{F})})$ corresponding to non-negative slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{1W(\mathbb{F})}), \varphi_y)$, cf. the parabolic property of 4.4.1 1) and cf. the existence of the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structures of 3.11.2 C. Let $\tilde{T}$ be a maximal torus of $\tilde{B}$ such that μ_y factors through $\tilde{T}_{W(k(v))}$, cf. 3.11.2 B.

$\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is an inner form of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ (argument: Fontaine's comparison theory implies $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is an inner form of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$). So it makes sense to speak about inner isomorphisms $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \tilde{\to} G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ or $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \tilde{\to} G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. The same applies in the context of $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and $\tilde{G}_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$.

Claim*. *We have inner isomorphisms $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \tilde{\to} G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and $\tilde{G}_{1\mathbb{Z}_p} \tilde{\to} G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$, through which $\tilde{f}_2$ becomes the natural monomorphism $\bar{f}_2 : G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \hookrightarrow G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$ as subgroups of $GL(L_p^*)$.*

So i) to iii) hold for the triple (T, μ, B_1) corresponding to the triple $(\tilde{T}, \mu_y, \tilde{B}_1)$ under these isomorphisms. The Claim is implied by d) of 4.4.1 3) (applied to $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ and z), via Lang's theorem; as we postponed the proof of d) of 4.4.1 3), we present another argument for why a triple (T, μ, B_1) of the mentioned form exists such that i) to iii) hold.

The fact that in c) of 4.4.1 3) we can take $n = 1$ or not for the SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ and for the point y, is expressed in terms of a torsor of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ being trivial or not. But the resulting torsor of $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (i.e. of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$) is trivial, cf. b) of 4.4.1 2). So it corresponds to a torsor of the group $Z(\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}) = Z(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$. As this last group is included in $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (and so in $\tilde{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $\tilde{B}_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}$), we can consider the twist $(T_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, B_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, B_{1\mathbb{Q}_p})$ of the triple $(\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \tilde{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \tilde{B}_{1\mathbb{Q}_p})$. We view $T_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, $B_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ as subgroups of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $B_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}$ as a subgroup of $G_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Let B (resp. B_1) be the Zariski closure of $B_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (resp. of $B_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}$) in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ (resp. in $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$). It is a Borel (resp. a parabolic) subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ (resp. of $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$), cf. Fact of 2.2.3 3). Let T be the Zariski closure of $T_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. It is a maximal torus (based on [Va2, 4.3.9] this can be read out from the adjoint context). But there is a unique cocharacter μ of $T_{W(k(v))}$ with the property that i) to iii) hold for (T, μ, B_1) (this can be checked easily in the adjoint context). This ends the proof of the Theorem.

4.11.3. Example. We consider the case when f_2 satisfies the conditions:

a) The connected components of the origin of $Z(G)$ and of $Z(G_1)$ coincide;

b) $G^{\mathrm{ad}} = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} G^s$, with F a totally real number field and with G^s an absolutely simple F-group;

c) f_2 induces an injective map $f_2^{\mathrm{ad}} : (G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}) \hookrightarrow (G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_1^{\mathrm{ad}})$ which is the injective map $f_{2\,F_1} : \mathrm{Sh}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sh}^{F_1}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ defined in [Va2, 6.6.1] (with F_1 a totally real number field containing F) (i.e. $G_1^{\mathrm{ad}} = \mathrm{Res}_{F_1/\mathbb{Q}} G^s_{F_1}$ and $f_2^{\mathrm{ad}} : G^{\mathrm{ad}} \hookrightarrow G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is the canonical inclusion).

Then $E(G_1, X_1) = E(G, X)$ and 4.11.2 i) to iii) hold for the triple (T, μ, B_1), where (T, μ, B) is a triple constructed as in 4.1 for the SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ and such that B contains T, and where B_1 is the unique Borel subgroup of $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$ containing B. We get (cf. also 4.11.1.1):

Fact. *i_1 takes G-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ into G_1-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ and G-canonical lifts of $\mathcal{N}$ into G_1-canonical lifts of $\mathcal{N}_1$.*

This Fact will be used in §6 and §12 (in connection to 1.15.8).

4.11.4. A third question. There is an extra natural question related to 4.11.2:

Q3. *Does i_1 automatically take G-ordinary points into G_1-ordinary points if we know only that $k(v) = k(v_1)$?*

4.11.5. Remark. If $k(v) = k(v_1) \neq \mathbb{F}_p$ the answer to Q3 is (in general) no. There are many examples with G a torus which provide a negative answer to Q3. If $k(v) = k(v_1) = \mathbb{F}_p$ then a triple (T, μ, B_1) such that 4.11.2 i) to iii) hold, automatically exists and this motivates the form of 4.6 P1 and P2.

4.11.6. Example. Here we concentrate just on Shimura σ-crystals (4.12.12.6 below tells that everything below can be realized geometrically). What follows is very much related to the part of the proof of 4.3.6 involving the completion property. We assume $G^{\mathrm{ab}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a split torus and $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is an absolutely simple, non-split group of A_ℓ Lie type (so $\ell \geq 2$); so $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ splits over $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$. Let B be a Borel subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Let T be a maximal torus of it. As $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ splits over $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$, so does B and T. We assume we have a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ such that $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}} = SU(a, \ell+1-a)^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$, for some $a \in S(1, \ell) \setminus \{\frac{\ell+1}{2}\}$. We also assume that the reflex field $E(G, X)$ (it is a quadratic totally imaginary extension of $\mathbb{Q}$) has precisely one unramified prime v above p; so $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$. Let $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \to T_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})}$ be a cocharacter such that the conditions of 4.1 are satisfied (for $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$).

Let $\omega \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\big(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})\big)$ normalizing $T_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})}$ and taking $B_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})}$ into its opposite $B^{\mathrm{opp}}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})}$ (see 4.1.4.1). Let $\sigma_1 := \omega\bar{\sigma}$; we view it as a $\bar{\sigma}$-linear automorphism of $L^*_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} W(\mathbb{F})$. Let $T_1 \hookrightarrow \tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be an inclusion of reductive groups which over $W(\mathbb{F})$ becomes the inclusion $T_{W(\mathbb{F})} \hookrightarrow G_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ and which is defined at the level of Lie algebras by

$$\mathrm{Lie}(T_1) := \mathrm{Lie}\big(T_{W(\mathbb{F})}\big)^{\sigma_1} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}\big(G_{W(\mathbb{F})}\big)^{\sigma_1} =: \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$$

(the upper right index σ_1 means we take the elements fixed by σ_1). We get:

– *the Shimura $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals $\big(L^*_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F}), \sigma_1\mu_{W(\mathbb{F})}(\frac{1}{p}), T_{1\,W(\mathbb{F})}\big)$ and $\big(L^*_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F}), \sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1, G_{W(\mathbb{F})}\big)$ are both Shimura-ordinary, with the degree of definition equal to 2.*

But the Newton polygon of $\big(L^*_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F}), \sigma_1\mu_{W(\mathbb{F})}(\frac{1}{p}), T_{1\,W(\mathbb{F})}\big)$ is different from the Newton polygon of $\big(L^*_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F}), \sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1, G_{1\,W(\mathbb{F})}\big)$. Argument (cf. 3.9.2 and 3.1.0 a)): the Lie stable p-ranks of $\big(L^*_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F}), \sigma\mu(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1, G_{W(\mathbb{F})}\big)$ and of $\big(L^*_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\mathbb{F}), \sigma_1\mu_{W(\mathbb{F})}(\frac{1}{p}), G_{W(\mathbb{F})}\big)$ are different, the second one being 0.

4.11.6.1. Remark. Always i_1 takes G-ordinary points into toric points, cf. 4.5.11.2.1. It is quite common, even if $k(v) \neq k(v_1)$, that i_1 takes G-ordinary points into U-ordinary points; see §6 for examples.

4.11.7. Example. We assume that, as in 4.3.1, we have a product decomposition $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{1\mathbb{Z}_p} = \times_{i\in\mathcal{H}} G_{1i}$ indexed by the same set $\mathcal{H}$ as for G. We also assume that the image of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_i)$ in $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{1\mathbb{Z}_p})$ lies in the direct summand $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{1i})$. As in 4.3.1.1 we define, for $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$, the i-th A-degree $d_{1A}(i)$ of definition of $(f_1, L_{(p)}, v_1)$. We can have $k(v^{\mathrm{ad}}) = k(v_1^{\mathrm{ad}})$, without having $d_A(i) = d_{1A}(i)$, $\forall i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$; easy examples can be obtained with $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ a simple, adjoint Shimura pair of $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type with non-trivial involution and with $(G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_1^{\mathrm{ad}})$ a simple, adjoint Shimura pair of A_{2n} type. We have the following general result:

Fact. *If there is $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$ such that $d_A(i) \neq d_{1A}(i)$, then a G-ordinary point of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is not mapped by i_1 into a G_1-ordinary point of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$.*

This is implied by the cyclic diagonalizability part of b) of 4.4.1 3) and by 4.4.13.3.

4.11.8. Exercise. We assume that $k = \bar{k}$, that i_1 takes G-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ into G_1-ordinary points of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$, that neither G nor G_1 are tori and that the A-degree of definition of a (any) G_1-canonical lift $\mathrm{Spec}(W(\mathbb{F})) \to \mathcal{N}_1$ is 1. Show that: if $y : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is a G-ordinary point, then the formal torus (of the moduli formal scheme) of G-deformations of (A_y, p_{A_y}) is a subtorus of the formal torus of G_1-deformation of (A_y, p_{A_y}); here we use the language and notations of 4.7.0 and 4.7.14. Hint: use 4.11.2, 4.7.17 and 4.7.11 4).

This is a generalization, in the case of an arbitrary SHS whose A-degree of definition is 1, of the main result of [No1]. There are variants (cf. 4.7.11 8)) of this exercise for an arbitrary A-degree of definition; warning: we do not know yet how useful they are (as they are not a priori canonical).

4.12. Integral and generalized Manin problems and applications.

Let $e \in \mathbb{N}$ and let p be a rational prime. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p.

The (original) Manin problem asked for the proof of the predicted classification of isocrystals over k attached to (principally polarized) abelian varieties over k of dimension e. This problem was positively solved by the Honda–Serre–Tate's theory (cf. [Ta, p. 98] and [Mu, cor. 1 of p. 234]). For a second, more recent proof, see [Oo2]; see also 4.12.12.4.

4.12.0. Overview of 4.12. The main goal of this section is to formulate (and solve in some cases: see 4.12.4, the proof of 4.12.12, and 4.12.13 2) and 3); see §11 for the general case) different integral Manin problems for (special fibres of) integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type w.r.t. primes dividing an odd rational prime. For the solution of these integral problems in the cases in which we know the completion property, we rely heavily on 3.6.15 A and 4.4.1.

It turns out that the combination of the proof of 4.12.12 (we have in mind its application 4.12.12.6) with 3.9.7.2 and with a refinement of 4.3.6, implies the strong form of the generalized Manin problem for the integral canonical models of 4.9.8 (see 4.12.12.6.4; see 4.12.12.6.3.4 for the abstract extension to the generalized Shimura context); it is one of the main four ingredients needed to solve all these integral Manin problems (see 4.12.12.7 i) to iv)).

Though we first treat the case $p \geq 3$, as a roundabout we show that (see 4.12.12.1 and 4.14.3 J below) the same ideas apply for $p = 2$.

These integral Manin problems have many applications: below we include relatively few of them; see §9-14 for many more.

From now on, except for a special reference, $p \geq 3$.

4.12.1. The integral Manin problem for Siegel modular varieties. Determine all isomorphism classes of principally quasi-polarized σ_k-crystals attached to principally polarized abelian varieties over k of dimension e.

4.12.2. The integral Manin problem for a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$. Determine all isomorphism classes of principally quasi-polarized Shimura σ_k-crystals and of Shimura Lie σ_k-crystals over k attached to k-valued points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$.

4.12.3. The integral Manin problem for a Shimura quadruple (G, X, H, v) of preabelian type, with v dividing a rational prime $p \geq 3$. Determine all isomorphism classes of Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystals attached (cf. 4.9.17) to k-valued points of the special fibre $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ of the integral canonical model $\mathcal{N}$ of the quadruple (G, X, H, v).

4.12.4. Solution of 4.12.1. Let $(\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$ define a Siegel modular variety, with $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(W) = 2e$. Let $L_{(p)}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-lattice of W such that $\psi : L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} L_{(p)} \to \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is a perfect form. We get a SHS $(1_{(\mathrm{GSp}(W,\psi),S)}, L_{(p)}, p)$. Let $\{w_i | i \in S(1, 2e)\}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-basis of $L^*_{(p)}$ such that for $i, j \in S(1, 2e)$ satisfying $j \geq i$, $\tilde{\psi}(w_i, w_j)$ is equal to 1 if $j - i = e$ and is equal to 0 otherwise. Let $M_0 := L^*_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} W(k)$ and let $F^1 \subset M_0$ be the $W(k)$-submodule of M_0 generated by w_i, $i \in S(1, e)$. Let φ be the σ_k-linear endomorphism of M_0 defined by: $\varphi(w_i) = pw_i$, if $i \in S(1, e)$, and $\varphi(w_i) = w_i$, if $i \in S(e + 1, 2e)$. We have:

Answer: *The set $\mathcal{S}_e(k)$ of isomorphism classes of σ_k-crystals attached to principally polarized abelian varieties over k of dimension e is precisely the set of isomorphism classes of principally quasi-polarized σ_k-crystals defined by elements of the set*

$$\{(M_0, g\varphi, \tilde{\psi}) | g \in Sp(M_0, \tilde{\psi})(W(k))\}.$$

To argue this answer, we recall the following obvious isogeny property:

The isogeny property. *If $(M'_0, g\varphi, \tilde{\psi})$, with M'_0 a $W(k)$-lattice of $M_0[\frac{1}{p}]$, is the principally quasi-polarized σ_k-crystal attached to a principally polarized abelian variety $(A', p_{A'})$ over k, then there is a principally polarized abelian variety (A, p_A) over k, $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$-isogeneous to $(A', p_{A'})$ and of whose associated principally quasi-polarized σ_k-crystal, under this $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$-isogeny, is identifiable with $(M_0, g\varphi, \tilde{\psi})$.*

From [Ta, p. 98] and [Mu, cor. 1 of p. 234] we deduce the existence of an abelian variety $\tilde{A}$ over k whose attached isocrystal is $(M_0[\frac{1}{p}], g\varphi)$ and which has a principal polarization $\tilde{p}_{\tilde{A}}$. But for any two principal quasi-polarizations ψ_1 and ψ_2 of $(M_0[\frac{1}{p}], g\varphi)$, the triples $(M_0[\frac{1}{p}], g\varphi, \psi_1)$ and $(M_0[\frac{1}{p}], g\varphi, \psi_2)$ are isomorphic. Argument: using Dieudonné's classification of isocrystals and duals, the situation gets reduced to the case when $(M_0[\frac{1}{p}], g\varphi)$

is of pure slope $\frac{1}{2}$; in such a case, using the standard argument which shows that any two non-degenerate alternating forms on an even dimensional $B(k)$-vector space are isomorphic, we can write $(M_0[\frac{1}{p}], g\varphi, \psi_1)$ as a direct sum of principally quasi-polarized isocrystals of rank 2 (for them the statement is trivial). So we can assume $\tilde{\psi}$ is defined by $\tilde{p}_A$. So the above answer follows from the mentioned isogeny property.

If we assume 3.6.15 A, then the answers to 4.12.2-3 are provided by 4.4.1 as follows.

4.12.5. Solution of 4.12.2 in the case $\mathcal{N}$ has the completion property. Let $M_0 := M \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k)$, $F_0^1 := F^1 \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(k)$ and let $\varphi_0 := \varphi \otimes 1$ be the Frobenius endomorphism of M_0, where M, F^1 and φ have the same significance as in 4.1. Using 4.1.6, we have:

Answer*: *The set $\mathcal{S}_{(f,L_{(p)},v)}(k)$ of isomorphism classes of principally quasi-polarized Shimura σ_k-crystals attached to k-valued points of $\mathcal{N}$ is precisely the set of isomorphism classes of principally quasi-polarized Shimura σ_k-crystals defined by elements of the set*

$$\{(M_0, g\varphi_0, G_{W(k)}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'}, \tilde{\psi}) | g \in G^0_{W(k)}(W(k))\}.$$

Answer: *The set* $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{S}_{(f,L_{(p)},v)})(k)$ *of isomorphism classes of Shimura Lie σ_k-crystals attached to k-valued points of $\mathcal{N}$ is precisely the set defined by elements of the set* $\{(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)}), g\varphi_0) | g \in G^0_{W(k)}(W(k))\}$.

The proofs of these two answers are a direct consequence of 3.6.15 A and of d) of 4.4.1 3) (resp. and of c) of 4.4.1 2)) for the first answer (resp. for the second one), cf. also 4.4.12. As we postponed the proof of d) of 4.4.1 3), we invite the reader to formulate a version of the first answer, inspired from 3.6.15 A, for k-valued points of a fixed connected component $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{N}_k$ (in fact 4.2.8.1 takes care of all connected components of $\mathcal{N}_k$ at once); we can also use 4.4.7 and 2.3.13.1: we get the above starred answer (for $\mathcal{C}$) but with $(M, F^1, \varphi, G_{W(k(v))}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'})$ replaced by another Shimura-canonical lift of a Shimura-ordinary σ_k-crystal with an emphasized family of tensors indexed by $\mathcal{J}'$ (so we do not know a priori that it is $1_{\mathcal{J}'}$-isomorphic to $(M, F^1, \varphi, G_{W(k(v))}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}'})$).

4.12.6. Solution of 4.12.3 in the case the integral canonical model $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ of the adjoint quadruple of (G, X, H, v) has the completion property. We refer to 4.9.17.4 for terminology. Let $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ be the reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ whose generic fibre is G and whose group of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-valued points is H, cf. [Ti2, 3.4.1] and [Va2, 3.1.3]. Let v^{ad} be the prime of $E(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ divided by v. Let $\mu^{\mathrm{ad}} : \mathbb{G}_m \to G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k(v^{\mathrm{ad}}))}$ be a cocharacter which under an $O_{(v^{\mathrm{ad}})}$-monomorphism $W(k(v^{\mathrm{ad}})) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, is $G^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to the cocharacters $\mu_x : \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{C}}$, with $x \in X^{\mathrm{ad}}$, defined in [Va2, 2.2]. We have:

Answer: *The set $\mathcal{S}_{(G,X,H,v)}(k)$ of isomorphism classes of Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystals attached to k-valued points of $\mathcal{N}$ is precisely the set of isomorphism classes of Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystals defined by elements of the set*

$$\{(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}), g(\sigma\mu^{\mathrm{ad}}(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1) | g \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}(W(k))\}.$$

To argue this, we consider a SHS $(f_1, L_{1(p)}, v_1)$ such that $(G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_1^{\mathrm{ad}}, H_1^{\mathrm{ad}}, v_1^1) = (G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, H^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}})$, cf. [Va2, 6.4.2] and 2.3.6 (for $p = 3$ cf. §6). The connected components of $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ are permuted by $G^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$, cf. [Va2, 3.3.2]. So the answer follows from 4.9.17.4 and b) of 4.4.1 2), once we remark that each connected component of $\mathcal{N}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ is naturally a pro-étale cover of a connected component of $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ (cf. [Va2, 6.4.5.1]).

4.12.6.1. Fact. *$\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ has the completion property, provided 3.6.15 A is true for a standard Hodge situation, involving a Shimura quadruple having the same adjoint as (G, X, H, v).*

Proof: We first assume G^{ad} is a $\mathbb{Q}$–simple, adjoint group. Let $o(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ be the order of the center of the simply connected group cover of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{C}}$. The proof of the Fact in the case when $p \not| o(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is a direct consequence of 4.9.17 and of b) of 4.4.1 2): if $p \not| o(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ then the natural homomorphism $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{W(k)}(W(k)) \to G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}(W(k))$ is surjective.

As we assumed $p \geq 3$, p divides $o(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ only in the case when $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is of A_l Lie type, with p dividing $l + 1$. But in such a case there is an injective map $f : (G_1, X_1) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$, with $(G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_1^{\mathrm{ad}}) = (G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and with G_1^{der} a simply connected, semisimple group, such that we have a standard PEL situation $(f, L_{(p)}, v_1, \mathcal{B})$, with v_1 dividing v^{ad} and with the homomorphism $G_{1W(k)}(W(k)) \to G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}(W(k))$ surjective, cf. 2.3.5.1. The surjectivity part results from the fact that for any simple factor of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}$ there is a general linear group over $W(k)$ which is included in $G_{1W(k)}$ and which has the given factor as its adjoint group, cf. 2.3.5.1 and [Ko2, (A) of p. 395]. The completion property in the context of $\mathcal{N}_1$ is stated (see 3.6.15 A) in terms of elements of $G^0_{1W(k)}(W(k))$; but from the proof of 2.3.16 we deduce that provided we ignore the principal quasi-polarizations, it can be stated as well in terms of elements of $G_{1W(k)}(W(k))$. So, again directly from def. 4.9.17 we get the validity of the above statement in this case, provided $\mathcal{N}_1$ has the completion property. This proves the weaker form of the Fact obtained by replacing "for a standard Hodge situation" by "for a certain standard Hodge situation" (it is [Va2, 6.5.1] and 2.3.5.2 which allow us to eliminate the assumption that G^{ad} is $\mathbb{Q}$–simple).

We now prove the Fact in its general form, without making any distinction on how p is and without assuming G^{ad} is $\mathbb{Q}$–simple. Let $\tilde{T}$ be the image of T of 4.1 in $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. We have (cf. (DER) of 2.2.6 1); see 4.2.7 for the def. of m_{qu})

$$G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(W(k)) = m_{\mathrm{qu}W(k)}(G_{W(\mathbb{F})}(W(k)))\tilde{T}(W(k)).$$

From this and the adjoint variant 3.11.7 of 3.11.1 c) (see also 3.5.5) we get (the notations are as of 4.12.6):

Subfact. *Any Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal* $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}), g(\sigma\mu^{\mathrm{ad}}(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1))$, *with $g \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}(W(k))$, is inner isomorphic to* $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}), g^1(\sigma\mu^{\mathrm{ad}}(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1))$ *for some $g^1 \in G^{\mathrm{der}}_{W(k)}(W(k))$.*

From this Subfact the Fact follows.

4.12.7. The Manin problem for a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$. Classify all principally quasi-polarized Shimura isocrystals (resp. all Shimura adjoint Lie isocrystals) over k defined by principally quasi-polarized Shimura σ_k-crystals (resp. by Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystals) attached to k-valued points of $\mathcal{N}$.

4.12.8. The Manin problem for a Shimura quadruple (G, X, H, v) of preabelian type, with v dividing a rational prime $p \geq 3$. Classify all adjoint Lie isocrystals over k defined by Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystals attached to k-valued points of the integral canonical model of (G, X, H, v).

4.12.9. Remarks. 1) To our knowledge the result (answer) of 4.12.4 has not been stated as such for Siegel modular varieties of dimension greater than 3. It says:

Fact. *Any principally quasi-polarized σ_k-crystal (i.e. any principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group) over k, is associated to a principally polarized abelian variety over k, and any principally quasi-polarized filtered σ_k-crystal (i.e. any principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group over $W(k)$), is associated to a principally polarized abelian scheme over $W(k)$.*

2) 4.12.5-6 form implicitly a solution of the problems 4.12.7-8, provided we assume the completion property. But it is very much desirable, to have a more concrete (and finite) answer to 4.12.7-8, similar to the answer of the (original) Manin problem in terms of formal isogeny types. From numerical point of view, we will deal with this in §10 (see 4.12.10 below for a sample); from the point of view of toric points, solutions of 4.12.7-8 can be obtained starting from 4.12.12.6.4 below.

4.12.10. Example. Let $n \geq m$ be natural numbers and let $l := m + n$. Let $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ be a SHS such that $G^{\text{der}}_{\mathbb{R}} = SU(n,m)_{\mathbb{R}}$, $G^{\text{der}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = SL(N)$, with N a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of rank l, and $\mathcal{N}$ has the completion property. Then the set of isomorphism classes of Shimura adjoint Lie isocrystals over k defined by Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystals attached to k-valued points of $\mathcal{N}$, is the set obtained by taking $\mathfrak{sl}(*)$ of isomorphism classes $(*)$ of isocrystals $(\mathcal{I}, \varphi_{\mathcal{I}})$ over k having only slopes which are rational numbers of the interval $[0, 1]$, whose total sum (involving multiplicities) is m, and with $\mathcal{I}$ a free $B(k)$-vector space of dimension l. This is a consequence of 4.12.6 and of Subfact of 4.12.6.1 (cf. also 4.9.17).

For more examples see §10 and [Va4].

4.12.11. Problems. 1) Let (G, X, H, v) be a Shimura quadruple of preabelian type, with v dividing a rational prime $p \geq 3$. Let $k_1(v)$ be a finite field extension of $k(v)$ and let H_0 be a compact, open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ as in 4.9.20. Let σ_1 be the Frobenius automorphism of $W(k_1(v))$. Determine all Shimura adjoint Lie σ_1-crystals over $k_1(v)$ attached (see 4.9.20) to $k_1(v)$-valued points of $\mathcal{N}/H_0$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is the integral canonical model of the quadruple (G, X, H, v). In particular, determine conditions on H_0 and $k_1(v)$, which assures $\mathcal{N}/H_0(k_1(v))$ is not empty.

2) For a fixed subgroup H_0 of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ as in 1), determine the smallest algebraic field extension $k_1(v)$ of $k(v)$ with the property that any isomorphism class of Shimura adjoint

Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals (resp. isocrystals) over $\mathbb{F}$ attached to $\mathbb{F}$-valued points of $\mathcal{N}$, is defined (by extension to $\mathbb{F}$ and by inverting p) by a Shimura adjoint Lie σ_1-crystal (resp. isocrystal) attached to a $k_1(v)$-valued point of $\mathcal{N}/H_0$.

3) The same problems 1) and 2) but for a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ (in this case the reference to 4.9.20 can be substituted by 2.3.10).

4.12.11.1. Remark. If there is a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$, with $H = G(L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p)$, and if either all Hodge cycles of $\mathcal{A}$ are as well Hodge cycles of $\mathcal{A}_{H_0}$ or $k_1(v)$ is big enough and we have restrictions on the Lie types of the simple factors of G^{ad} similar in nature to the ones of 2.3.9, then in §14 we will see that the answer to problem 4.12.11 1) is of the same form as the one given in 4.12.6 but with k replaced by $k_1(v)$ and with g running through a subset $\mathcal{G}\big(k_1(v), \mathcal{N}/H_0\big)$ of $G^{\text{ad}}_{W(k_1(v))}(W(k_1(v)))$. Also in §14 we will deal with the problem of determining this subset and of what can be said when no assumption on H_0 is made.

4.12.12. Theorem. *Any p-divisible group D over k has a uni plus versal deformation (to a p-divisible group) over a smooth, affine $W(k)$-scheme $\mathcal{M}_D$.*

Proof: Let (M, φ) be the σ_k-crystal defined by D and let (M, F^1, φ) be the filtered σ_k-crystal associated to an arbitrary lift of D to a p-divisible group over $W(k)$. If F^1 is not a proper summand of M we can take $\mathcal{M}_D = \text{Spec}(W(k))$. So we can assume we have a Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal $(M, F^1, \varphi, GL(M))$. Let $a := \dim_{W(k)}(F^1)$ and $b := \dim_{W(k)}(M/F^1)$. We have $ab \neq 0$. We can assume $\varphi = g\varphi_0$, with $g \in SL(M)(W(k))$ and with φ_0 a Frobenius endomorphism of M such that $(M, F^1, \varphi_0, GL(M))$ is a $GL(M)$-canonical lift. So F^1 is left invariant by φ_0 and the slopes of the isocrystal defined by (M, φ_0) are 0 and 1 with multiplicities b and respectively a. If $ab = 1$, then D is the p-divisible group of an elliptic curve and so the Theorem is well known. We assume now that $ab \geq 2$.

We consider a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ such that:

a) $G^{\text{der}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is $SU(a, b)_{\mathbb{R}}$;

b) G splits over $\mathbb{Q}_p$; so $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $G^{\text{der}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = SL(\mathbb{Z}_p^{a+b})$;

c) there is a torus T of G of dimension 0 or 1 depending on the fact that a is or is not equal to be b, such that $T_{\mathbb{R}}$ is compact and the monomorphisms $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{G}_m \to G_{\mathbb{R}}$ defining elements of X factor through $G_{1\mathbb{R}}$, where G_1 is the subgroup of G generated by G^{der}, by T and by the 1 dimensional split torus of scalar automorphisms of W;

d) $E(G, X)$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ or a totally imaginary quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ depending on the fact that a is or is not equal to b;

e) there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the representation $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \to GL(L^*_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a direct sum of $2m$ irreducible representations ρ_1, ρ_2,..., ρ_{2m} such that the restriction of ρ_i to $G^{\text{der}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is the representation associated to the minimal weight $\bar{\omega}_1$ (resp. $\bar{\omega}_{a+b-1}$) if $i \in S(1, m)$ (resp. if $i \in S(m{+}1, 2m)$): here we use the standard notations for weights (see [Bou2, planche I]); in other words, we have a direct sum decomposition as $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$-modules

$L^*_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p = \oplus_{i=1}^{2m} L^i$, with $L^i = \mathbb{Z}_p^{a+b}$, such that $A \in G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = SL(\mathbb{Z}_p^{a+b})(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ acts as A on L^1,..., L^m and as the inverse of the transpose of A on L^{m+1},..., L^{2m};

e') moreover, ρ_i is the dual of ρ_{i+m} w.r.t. ψ, i.e., for $i, j \in S(1, 2m)$, with $|j-i| \neq m$, L^i is perpendicular on L^j w.r.t. ψ;

f) (G, X) is of compact type.

Argument. The existence of such a SHS is just a particular case of 2.3.5.1: in fact we get a standard PEL situation $(f, L_{(p)}, v, \mathcal{B})$, with $\mathcal{B}$ as the $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(L_{(p)})$ formed by endomorphisms fixed by $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$. We just have to remark a couple of things. To explain them, we start with an arbitrary adjoint Shimura pair (G_0, X_0) of compact type such that G_0 splits over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $G_{0\mathbb{R}}$ is $SU(a,b)^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$. It can be constructed as follows. Let l be a prime different from p and let K_1 be a totally imaginary quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ splitting at p and l. Let (G^1, X^1) be a Shimura pair constructed as in [Go] starting from a non-degenerate hermitian form on a K_1-vector space V of dimension $a+b$ which has signature (a, b) over $\mathbb{R}$ (i.e. when extended to $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$). G_1 splits over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and over $\mathbb{Q}_l$. We twist $G^{1\mathrm{ad}}$ by an inner form, corresponding to a central division algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ of dimension $(a+b)^2$ which splits at p and at ∞ and which is ramified at l: we get G_0. G_0 splits over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, has rank 0 over $\mathbb{Q}_l$ (for instance, cf. [Bo2, 23.1]), and so over $\mathbb{Q}$; moreover, as $G_{0\mathbb{R}} = G^{1\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$, we get a Shimura pair (G_0, X_0), with $X_0 := X^{1\mathrm{ad}}$.

The reflex field $E(G_0, X_0)$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ if $a = b$ and a totally imaginary quadratic extension K_0 of $\mathbb{Q}$ splitting above p if $a \neq b$ (cf. [De2, 2.3.6] and the fact that G_0 is absolutely simple). We apply 2.3.5.1 to (G_0, X_0): we get a standard PEL situation $(f, L_{(p)}, v, \mathcal{B})$, with $f : (G, X) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W, \psi), S)$ an injective map for which we have $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}) = (G_0, X_0)$. This takes care of a) and f) (cf. [BHC] for the f) part). As 2.3.5.1 is just a restatement of [Va2, 6.5.1.1 and Case 2 of 6.6.5.1] (which refers to the case $p = 3$ as well), we just need to point that we can arrange the things so that b), c), d), e) and e') hold as well. In loc. cit. we considered a totally imaginary quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$: we take it to split above p and in case $a \neq b$ (in order to get c)) we need it to be K_0.

We first treat the case $a = b$. In this case c) and d) follow from [De2, 2.3.13]: the centralizer C_1 in $GSp(L_{(p)}, \psi)$ of the Zariski closure $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ of G_1 in $GL(L_{(p)})$ is a reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ which splits over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ (we need to choose $\tilde{\psi}$ in [Va2, 6.5.1.1 v)] in the similar way to the one mentioned in [Va2, Case 2 of 6.6.5.1], so that e') and e) hold mod p); so we take G to be generated by G_1 and (cf. [Har, 5.5.3]) by the generic fibre of a maximal torus of C_1 which splits over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ (as in the proof of Lemma 2 of 4.6.4 we argue that $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is reductive). So $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is split and so b) follows. As $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is split, e) and e') follow directly from their mod p versions.

From now on, till the end of the argument, we assume $a \neq b$. d) is a consequence of [Va2, 6.5.1.1 c)]: the $\mathbb{Q}$–algebra K_S of the proof of [De2, 2.3.10] and used in [Va2, 6.5.1.1 and Case 2 of 6.6.5.1] is K_0 itself; so, cf. [De1, 2.3.9], $E(G^{\mathrm{ab}}, X^{\mathrm{ab}}) = K_0$ and so $E(G, X) = K_0$. c) follows from [Va2, 6.5.1.1 iv)]: we take T to be the subtorus of dimension 1 of the torus defined by invertible elements of $K_S \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K_0$ which over $\mathbb{R}$ is compact and c) holds (the fact that we can take T to be of dimension 1 and not 2 is implied by our choice $K_S = K_0$); obviously G_1 splits over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. To get b) and e), we just have to take G to be defined as above, via C_1. This ends the argument of the existence of a SHS subject to the requirements a) to f).

The completion property. We assume H_0 is small enough so that all Hodge cycles of $\mathcal{A}$ are Hodge cycles of $\mathcal{A}_{H_0}$ as well. So $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ acts on the p-divisible group of the extension of $\mathcal{A}_{H_0}$ to $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$. We deduce (cf. e)) that this p-divisible group is a direct sum of $2m$ p-divisible groups $\mathcal{D}_1$,..., $\mathcal{D}_{2m}$ of rank $a+b$: $\mathcal{D}_i$ "corresponds" to L^i. Each one of them is a uni plus versal deformation in each k-valued point of $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ and has dimension a or b; moreover, $\forall i \in S(1,m)$, $\mathcal{D}_i^t$ is $\mathcal{D}_{i+m}$ (cf. e')). We can assume $\mathcal{D}_1$ has dimension a in each k-valued point of $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$.

Let f_1 be the restriction of f to G_1. The triple $(f_1, L_{(p)}, v)$ is as well a SHS (the argument is the same as the one of b) of Fact 1 of 2.3.5.2). Let $\mathcal{N}_1$ be the integral canonical model associated to it.

We start checking that $\mathcal{N}$ has the completion property. $\mathcal{N}_1$ is naturally an open closed subscheme of $\mathcal{N}$ (cf. [Va2, 3.2.15]). From this, [Va2, 3.3.2], and the fact (see 2.3.3.1) that $\mathcal{N}/H_0$ is a projective $O_{(v)}$-scheme we get: it is enough to check that $\mathcal{N}_1$ has the completion property. So based on e) and e') we can treat the situation as if $m=1$: the representation of $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$ on L^1,..., L^m are isomorphic, cf. above constructions (this can be checked over $\mathbb{C}$ and so we just have to trace K_S and K_0 in the proof of [De2, 2.3.10]). Accordingly, in what follows, not to complicate the notations we keep in mind that we can treat situation as if $m=1$ but we still deal with the checking that $\mathcal{N}$ itself has the completion property. We follow the ideas of 4.12.4. First, the analogous isogeny property can be easily checked in this context. With the notations of 4.12.4, we obviously have:

The isogeny property for $(f, L_{(p)}, v, \mathcal{B})$. *We assume we have a triple $(A', p_{A'}, \mathcal{B})$ which is obtained from $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and its natural family of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-endomorphisms (still denoted by $\mathcal{B}$) by pull back via a k-valued point y' of $\mathcal{N}$. If any invertible element of $\mathcal{B}$, when viewed naturally (via de Rham components) as an automorphism of M_0', is also an automorphism of M_0, then all these elements (assumed to be de Rham components of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-automorphisms of A) are de Rham components of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-automorphisms of A.*

We consider a lift $(A_{W(k)}, p_{A_{W(k)}}, \mathcal{B})$ to $W(k)$ of the triple $(A, p_A, \mathcal{B})$ we get (its existence is argued using Grothendieck–Messing's theory of [Me, ch. 4-5] and standard arguments on representations of products of matrix $W(k)$-algebras; see also [Va2, 4.3.11], AE.1 and e) of Exercise of 2.3.18 B3). For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, with $(s,p)=1$, we endow $(A_{W(k)}, p_{A_{W(k)}})$ with the level-s symplectic similitude structure obtained naturally from the one (see 2.3.2) of $(A', p_{A'})$ via the mentioned $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$-isogeny. The fact that the triple $(A_{W(k)}, p_{A_{W(k)}}, \mathcal{B})$ together with the mentioned level-s symplectic similitude structures is obtained from $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B})$ and its natural level-s symplectic similitude structures by pull back through a $W(k)$-valued point of $\mathcal{N}$, is a consequence of the following four things:

– the Hasse principle holds for G even if $a+b$ is even, see the first two paragraphs of [Ko2, ch. 7] (referring to the notations of loc. cit., in our case $F_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ and so $D = F^{\times}$; our K_0 is nothing else but F of loc. cit.);

– the triples $(H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(A'/W(k)), p_{A'}, \mathcal{B})$ and $(H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(A/W(k)), p_A, \mathcal{B})$ are isomorphic (this is just the simplest case of the equivalent for p of b) of 2.3.18 B3); so (cf. also 4.6.8 and Lang's theorem) the triples (see 2.3.1 and 4.1 for the first one) $(L^{;}_{p}\tilde{\psi}, \mathcal{B})$ and $(H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\overline{B(k)}}, \mathbb{Z}_p), p_{A_{W(k)}}, \mathcal{B})$ are isomorphic: this takes care of the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-context;

– [Ko2, 4.3] takes care of the $\mathbb{R}$-context;

– the choice of the level-s symplectic similitude structures takes care of the $\mathbb{Q}_l$-context, for any prime l different from p.

Conclusion: the triple $(A, p_A, \mathcal{B})$ is as well obtained from $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B})$ by pull back via a k-valued point y of $\mathcal{N}$.

Dieudonné's classification of isocrystals over k implies that any isocrystal over k of a p-divisible group E is isomorphic to the isocrystal of a cyclic diagonalizable filtered σ_k-crystal over k whose F^1-filtration has the same rank as the dimension of E. So, from this and from the above isogeny property, as we can treat the situation as if $m = 1$, we get: to show that $\mathcal{N}$ has the completion property, we just need to check that for any cyclic diagonalizable Shimura σ_k-crystal $\mathfrak{C}_N = (N, F^1_N, \varphi_N, GL(N))$, with N a free $W(k)$-module of rank $a+b$ and with F^1_N a direct summand of it of rank a, there is a k-valued y_N point of $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ such that the Shimura σ_k-crystal of $y^*_N(\mathcal{D}_1)$ (see 2.2.9 9)), is isomorphic to $(N, \varphi_N, GL(N))$. It is 2.3.17, which allows us to pass on to a Shimura filtered context: there is a $W(k)$-valued point z_N of $\mathcal{N}$ lifting y_N and such that the Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal of $z^*_N(\mathcal{D}_1)$ is isomorphic to $(N, F^1_N, \varphi_N, GL(N))$.

Let T_N be a maximal torus of $GL(N)$ such that $(N, F^1_N, \varphi_N, T_N)$ is a Shimura σ_k-crystal (cf. 2.2.16). We consider the Galois representation associated to it; it can be viewed as a homomorphism

$$\rho_N : \Gamma_k \to \tilde{T}_N(\mathbb{Z}_p),$$

where $\tilde{T}_N$ is a suitable maximal torus of the image GL^1 of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ in the GL-group GL^1 of the first $\mathbb{Z}_p^{a+b}$-summand L^1 of $L^*_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p$. $\tilde{T}_{NW(\mathbb{F})}$ is naturally equipped with a cocharacter μ_N: identifying T_N with $\tilde{T}_{NW(k)}$ (cf. 2.2.16.2.1), it is the cocharacter defining the canonical split of $(N, F^1_N, \varphi_N, T_N)$; it acts trivially on a $W(k)$-submodule of $L^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$ of rank b. Let (cf. [Har, 5.5.3]) T_0 be a maximal $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-torus of $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ such that:

g) over $\mathbb{R}$ it is the extension of a compact torus by a 1 dimensional split torus;

h) its extension to $\mathbb{Z}_p$ has an image T_1 in GL^1 which is $GL^1(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-conjugate to $\tilde{T}_N$.

Let $g \in GL^1(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be such that $gT_Ng^{-1} = T_1$. We consider a cocharacter

$$\mu(T_0) : \mathbb{G}_m \to T_{0\mathbb{C}}$$

such that:

i) viewed as a cocharacter of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ it is $G(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to cocharacters μ_x, $x \in X$;

j) viewing $T_{1\mathbb{C}}$ as a quotient of $T_{0\mathbb{C}}$, its natural cocharacter defined by $\mu(T_0)$ is obtained from the cocharacter $g\mu_N g^{-1}$ of $T_{1W(\mathbb{F})}$ by extension of scalars (under an $O_{(v)}$-monomorphism $W(\mathbb{F}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$).

$\mu(T_0)$ is uniquely determined: if $a = b$ then $T_1 = T_{0\mathbb{Z}_p}$; if $a \neq b$ then this is a consequence of the structure of $G_{1\mathbb{Z}_p}$ (it is naturally isomorphic to $GL^1 \times \mathbb{G}_m$).

As T_0 is the extension of a 1 dimensional split torus by a torus which is compact over $\mathbb{R}$, from i) we deduce that the image of $\mu(T_0)$ is defined over $\mathbb{R}$. We consider the subgroup of $T_{0\mathbb{R}}$ generated by this image and by the split subtorus of $T_{0\mathbb{R}}$. It is nothing else but $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{G}_m$, cf. i). So we get naturally a monomorphism

$$h_0 : \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow T_{0\mathbb{R}}.$$

From [De2, 1.2.8] (see also the general result of [Ko2, 4.3]) we get: the $G(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class of h_0 (viewed as a cocharacter of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$) is X itself; in fact the map

$$(G_1, X_1) \to (G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}) = (G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_1^{\mathrm{ad}})$$

is a cover (cf. its construction; the kernel of $G_1 \to G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is $\mathrm{Res}_{K_0/\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}_m$) and so $X_1 = X = X^{\mathrm{ad}}$ (see [Mi1, 4.11]). We deduce the existence of a special quadruple (see [Va2, 3.2.10])

$$(T_{0\mathbb{Q}}, \{h_0\}, T_0(\mathbb{Z}_p), v_p) \hookrightarrow (G, X, H, v),$$

with v_p a prime of $E(T_0, \{h_0\})$ dividing v, which has the property that the cocharacter of $T_{0W(k(v_p))}$ we naturally get (as in 4.1) is modeled on μ_N (i.e. its extension to $\mathbb{C}$ via an inclusion $W(k(v_p)) \subset \mathbb{C}$ extending the natural inclusion $O_{(v_p)} \subset \mathbb{C}$, is $\mu(T_0)$ itself). Now we can easily check (cf. 4.1.1 and 4.2.3.1) that any k-valued point of $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ factoring through the integral canonical model of this special quadruple, can be chosen as the desired k-valued point y_N. We conclude: $\mathcal{N}$ (and so –as we can treat the situation as if $n = 1$– also $\mathcal{N}_1$) has the completion property.

So there is $y \in \mathcal{N}_{W(k)}/H_0$ such that $y^*(\mathcal{D}_1)$ is isomorphic to D (cf. 4.12.5); as $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_p$, to get 4.12.5 for our SHS's $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ and $(f_1, L_{(p)}, v)$ we can refer to 4.6.5 instead of d) of 4.4.1 3. This ends the proof.

4.12.12.0. Variants and comments. If we do not require 4.12.12 f) to hold, we can take $m = 1$, i.e. we can work out the things in the context of (G^1, X^1) itself, without performing any inner twist.

What was important in 4.12.12? Answer: that over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ we get a "right" direct sum decomposition of $L^*_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ into irreducible $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$-representations so that we can "single out" a p-divisible group $\mathcal{D}_1$ as above which is "related to" D. In fact we do not need a direct sum decomposition: we just need an adequate irreducible subrepresentation; however, if we do have such a "right" direct sum decomposition of $L^*_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p$, then it is much easier to check that an isogeny property (analogue to the of 4.12.4) holds. So 4.12.12 e) can be replaced by more general versions, inspired from [Va2, 6.5-6].

In future we will use the above paragraph to show that 4.12.12 remains true (under proper formulation) for any generalized Shimura p-divisible object (M_0, φ_0, G_0) over k: for the case when G_0 together with its filtration class is related to Shimura varieties of preabelian type see §7; for the case when the situation is related to Shimura varieties of special type see [Va6] (cf. also 3.15.6). Moreover it seems to us that the condition $k = \bar{k}$ is not (really) needed: in other words, (at least) in many situations it is enough to work with a perfect field (as the combination of Theorem 2 of 3.15.1, 3.15.3 1) and 4.12.12 suggests).

4.12.12.1. The case $p = 2$. The natural question arises: what about the case $p = 2$? Defining the completion property for $p = 2$ as in 3.6.16 1) and 4.9.17.4, we have:

Answer: *4.12.4 remains true for $p = 2$. Also, 4.12.5 remains true for the case of a $p = 2$ SHS $(f, L_{(2)}, v)$ whose integral canonical model $\mathcal{N}$ has the completion property.*

Moreover, the general case of the proof of the Fact of 4.12.6.1 involving a Subfact, holds as well for $p = 2$. The same proofs (for $p \geq 3$) apply to get these results for $p = 2$. We also have:

4.12.12.2. Corollary. *The result of 4.12.12 remains true for $p = 2$: any 2-divisible group over an algebraically closed field $\tilde{k}$ of characteristic 2 has a uni plus versal deformation (to a 2-divisible group) over a smooth, affine $W(\tilde{k})$-scheme.*

Proof: This is a consequence of 4.12.12 and 2.3.18. We just need to remark that we can still define ρ_N so that it can be used in the same manner, cf. the part of 2.3.18.2 referring to "isolating aside" the slopes 0 and 1 (in 4.14 A below it is pointed out that we can still appeal to 4.1.1 and 4.2.3.1 for $p = 2$).

Similarly, the variant of 4.12.12 mentioned in 4.12.12.0 and involving $m = 1$, holds as well for $p = 2$. The surjectivity part of the Claim of 2.4.1 implies (cf. also 2.3.18.1 D) that 4.12.9 1) holds for $p = 2$ (even for principally quasi-polarized filtered σ_k-crystals).

4.12.12.3. Tate deformation Hopf algebras and Shimura p-divisible groups. Let $\tilde{k}$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p \geq 2$. Let $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}^1, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}, (\tilde{t}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ be the not necessary quasi-split Shimura filtered $\sigma_{\tilde{k}}$-crystal associated to a Shimura p-divisible group $\tilde{D}$ over $W(\tilde{k})$. Let $\tilde{d} := dd((\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}))$. Let $\tilde{R}$ be the p-adic completion of the henselization $\tilde{R}(h)$ of the localization of $W(\tilde{k})[x_1, ..., x_{\tilde{d}}]$ w.r.t. its prime ideal $(x_1, ..., x_{\tilde{d}})$. There is (cf. Theorem 2 of 3.15.1) a uni plus versal Shimura p-divisible group $D_{\mathrm{def}}(\tilde{D})$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R})$, which in a $W(\tilde{k})$-valued point $\tilde{z}$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R})$ is $\tilde{D}$. Warning: $D_{\mathrm{def}}(\tilde{D})$ is not uniquely determined; here uniqueness is up to automorphisms of $D_{\mathrm{def}}(\tilde{D})$ and of $\tilde{R}$.

We refer to $D_{\mathrm{def}}(\tilde{D})$ as a Tate deformation Shimura p-divisible group of $\tilde{D}$. Writing $D_{\mathrm{def}}[p^n] = \mathrm{Spec}(R_n(\tilde{D}))$, we refer to $R_n(\tilde{D})$ as a level-n Tate deformation Hopf algebra of $\tilde{D}$. We think it is an interesting (and extremely important) problem to study (classify) these finite, flat, Hopf $\tilde{R}$-algebras.

We have a similar problem (much harder) to understand (classify) the similarly defined Hopf $\tilde{R}(h)$-algebras (for this problem we assume that at least one such $D_{\mathrm{def}}(\tilde{D})$ is definable over $\tilde{R}(h)$ itself).

4.12.12.4. Exercise. Use the proof of 4.12.12 to get a proof of the original Manin problem. Hint: using products of principally polarized abelian varieties, the problem gets reduced to the case when we are dealing with a Newton polygon NP having only the slopes α and $1-\alpha$, with $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$; writing $\alpha = \frac{a}{a+b}$, with $(a,b)=1$, $a,b \in \mathbb{N}$, $b > a$, we can assume the multiplicities of α and $1-\alpha$ for NP are both $a+b$ and so we can use the SHS's mentioned in the proof of 4.12.12 (or in 4.12.12.0).

It is worth pointing out that this proof does not appeal to [Mu, cor. 1 of p. 234].

4.12.12.5. Corollary. *Let k_1 be an algebraically closed field of arbitrary positive characteristic p. Let R_1 be a complete, noetherian, local $W(k_1)$-algebra having k_1 as its residue field. Let D_1 be a p-divisible group over* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$. *Then D_1 is a direct summand of the p-divisible group of a principally polarized abelian scheme (A_1, p_{A_1}) over* $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$ *of dimension not greater than the rank of D_1.*

Proof: If D_1 is étale or of multiplicative type, then we can take (A_1, p_{A_1}) to be the pull back (via the morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$) of the canonical lift of an ordinary abelian variety over $\mathbb{F}_p$ which has a principal polarization and is of dimension equal to the rank of D_1. The other cases are a consequence of the part of 4.12.12.0 and of 4.12.12.2 referring to $m=1$; so based on Serre–Tate's deformation theory we can take (A_1, p_{A_1}) such that:

a) its principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group is isomorphic to the direct sum of D_1 and of its dual, together with its natural principal polarization;

b) the projector which achieves the direct sum decomposition of a) is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear combination of endomorphisms of A_1 which preserve this decomposition.

4.12.12.6. The existence of toric points. The proof of 4.12.12 can be adapted to show the existence of "as many types of toric points as expected". Let $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ be a SHS. Let ω and $\mathfrak{C}_\omega$ be as in 4.1.5. Let (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1) be a Shimura quadruple having the same adjoint as (G, X, H, v). We have:

Corollary. *Any connected component $\mathcal{C}_1$ of the extension to $W(\mathbb{F})$ of the integral canonical model $\mathcal{N}_1$ of (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1) has $\mathbb{F}$-valued points whose attached Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals are isomorphic to the Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to $\mathfrak{C}_\omega$.*

Proof: As the connected components of $\mathcal{N}_{1W(\mathbb{F})}$ are permuted transitively by $G_1(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ (see [Va2, 3.3.2]), based on 4.9.2.1 and the proof of 4.9.8, we can assume G_1 is an adjoint group. We can assume $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ is such that f is a good embedding w.r.t. p, cf. 2.3.6 (see [Va2, 6.4.2] for $p \geq 5$ and §6 for its $p=3$ analogue). Starting from the pair (T^ω, μ_ω) of 4.1.5.4, we construct as in the proof of 4.12.12, a Shimura quadruple

$$(T^\omega_{\mathbb{Q}}, h^{\mathrm{poss}}_\omega, T^\omega_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p), v_p(\omega)^{\mathrm{poss}}),$$

with $T^\omega_{\mathbb{Q}}$ a maximal torus of G which is a $\mathbb{Q}$–form of the generic fibre of T^ω and whose Zariski closure in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ is a torus, and with $T^\omega_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ as the hyperspecial subgroup of $T^\omega_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Let X^{poss}_ω be the $G(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class of h^{poss}_ω. We get a Shimura pair $(G, X^{\mathrm{poss}}_\omega)$. The resulting injective map (cf. [De1, 1.6])

$$(G, X^{\mathrm{poss}}_\omega) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W,\psi), S)$$

is denoted by f_{ω}^{poss}. Denoting by v_{ω}^{poss} the prime of $E(G, X_{\omega}^{\text{poss}})$ divided by $v_p(\omega)^{\text{poss}}$, we get another triple

$$(f_{\omega}^{\text{poss}}, L_{(p)}, v_{\omega}^{\text{poss}});$$

2.3.6 and our assumption on f imply that it is still a SHS. Let $\mathcal{N}_{\omega}^{\text{poss}}$ be its integral canonical model.

X_{ω}^{poss} might not be X itself; however, from [De2, 1.2.7-8], as μ_{ω} and μ are $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(W(\mathbb{F}))$-conjugate, we get that $(G^{\text{ad}}, X_{\omega}^{\text{poss}\,\text{ad}}) = (G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$. So the Corollary follows, once we show that any $W(\mathbb{F})$-valued point z_0 of $\mathcal{N}_{\omega}^{\text{poss}}$ factoring through the integral canonical model of $(T_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\omega}, h_{\omega}^{\text{poss}}, T^{\omega}(\mathbb{Z}_p), v_p(\omega)^{\text{poss}})$ (see [Va2, 3.2.8]), has attached to it a Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal isomorphic to the Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal attached to $\mathfrak{C}_{\omega}$.

As this is a statement which can be reformulated purely in the étale $\mathbb{Z}_p$-context, in order to benefit from previous notations, we argue it by working in the context of the SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ itself. So we use the notations of 4.2.1-4, with z_0 factoring through the integral canonical model $\mathcal{T}$ of a special quadruple $(T, \{h\}, H_T, v_T) \hookrightarrow (G, X, H, v)$ having the property that the Zariski closure of T in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ is a maximal torus. So $V_0 := W(\mathbb{F})$. Denoting by $f|T$ the restriction of f to $(T, \{h\})$, the triple $(f|T, L_{(p)}, v_T)$ is a SHS (cf. 2.3.6 and [Va2, 4.3.13]). We apply 4.1.1 and 4.2.3-4 to it. Let $(v_{\alpha})_{\mathcal{J}_T}$, with $\mathcal{J}_T$ a set containing $\mathcal{J}'$, be the set of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(W^*)$ formed by adding the elements of Lie(T) to $\mathcal{J}'$. Working in the étale context we construct abstractly (as in 4.1.1) starting from $(f|T, L_{(p)}, v_T)$, a Shimura filtered $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal

$$(M_T \otimes_{W(k(v_T))} V_0, F_T^1 \otimes_{W(k(v_T))} V_0, \varphi_T, T_{V_0}, (v_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_T}).$$

Working in the crystalline cohomology context, we consider (as in 4.2.3-4) the Shimura filtered $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal $(M_0, F_0^1, \varphi_0, T_{V_0}, (t_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_T})$ attached to the V_0-valued point (defined by) z_0 of $\mathcal{T}$. Using the fact that two maximal tori of $G_{V_0}^{\text{ad}}$ are $G_{V_0}^{\text{ad}}(V_0)$-conjugate and that $N_{T_1}(K_0) = N_{T_1}(V_0) T_1(K_0)$, with T_1 as the image of T_{V_0} in $G_{V_0}^{\text{ad}}$ and with N_{T_1} as its normalizer in $G_{V_0}^{\text{ad}}$, we deduce that we can assume that, in the present situation of $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ and z_0, g_0 of 4.2.4 is a K_0-valued point of T_1. So the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal $(\text{Lie}(G_{V_0}^{\text{ad}}), \varphi_0)$ defined by the natural inclusion of G_{V_0} in $GL(M_0)$ is inner isomorphic to the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal $(\text{Lie}(G_{V_0}^{\text{ad}}), g\varphi_T)$ defined by the natural inclusion of G_{V_0} in $GL(M_T \otimes_{W(k(v_T))} V_0)$, with $g \in T_1(V_0)$. Using $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structures (as in 2.2.9 8) and as in the proof of b) of 4.4.1 2)), we can assume g is the identity element of $T_1(V_0)$ (variant: the proof of 3.11.1 c) can be entirely adapted to get that $(\text{Lie}(G_{V_0}^{\text{ad}}), \varphi_T)$ and $(\text{Lie}(G_{V_0}^{\text{ad}}), g\varphi_T)$ are isomorphic under an isomorphism defined by an element of $T_1(V_0)$). This ends the proof.

4.12.12.6.0. Remarks. 1) If any homomorphism $h_s : \text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{G}_m \to GSp(W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}, \psi)$ defined by an element of S (we recall that $f : (G, X) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W, \psi), S))$ and factoring through $G_{\mathbb{R}}$, is defined by an element of X, then $X_{\omega}^{\text{poss}} = X$, $\forall \omega \in W_G$.

[Ko2, 4.3] points out: this is always the case if f is a PEL type embedding, with all simple factors of G^{ad} of some A_n or C_n Lie type. Using [De2, 1.2.8] we can construct many more examples. For instance, the above paragraph applies in the context of [Va2, 5.7.5]. Warning: [Va2, 2.5.1] points out that not always h_s is defined by an element of X.

2) [Va2, 3.2.7.1 f) and 3.3.3] imply that replacing the injective map

$$(T^{\omega}_{\mathbb{Q}}, h^{\text{poss}}_{\omega}, T^{\omega}(\mathbb{Z}_p), v_p(\omega)^{\text{poss}}) \hookrightarrow (G, X^{\text{poss}}_{\omega}, H, v^{\text{poss}}_{\omega})$$

by its composite with an isomorphism

$$(G, X^{\text{poss}}_{\omega}, H, v^{\text{poss}}_{\omega}) \tilde{\to} (G, X, H, v)$$

defined by an element of $G^{\text{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$, we can always assume $X^{\text{poss}}_{\omega} = X$ and so $v^{\text{poss}}_{\omega} = v$. So in the above proof of 4.12.12.6, references to 4.9.8 can be entirely avoided, as long as we are just dealing with $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$.

The operations of the proof of 4.12.12.6 keep track of inner automorphisms of $\text{Lie}(G^{\text{ad}}_{W(k)})$ (cf. the def. of T_{μ_ω} in 4.1.5.4 and 4.2.10) and so we conclude (cf. 4.1.5.5):

4.12.12.6.1. Corollary. *The number of strata of the quasi-ultra stratification of* $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ *is at least equal to the number of elements of the quotient set* $W_G/R_G(v)$.

4.12.12.6.2. Examples. A. We first consider the case when all simple factors of G^{ad} are of A_1 Lie type. So the number of non-compact simple factors of $G^{\text{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_1)$. From 3.13.7.6.0 and 4.12.12.6.1 we get that the number of strata of the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ is precisely $2^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_1)}$.

These strata are in one-to-one correspondence to functions

$$f_s : S(1, \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_1)) \to \{0, 1\}$$

in such a way that the dimension of the stratum $\mathfrak{s}$ corresponding to f_s is precisely

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_1) - \sum_{l=1}^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_1)} f_s(l)$$

(cf. 3.13.7.6.0 and 4.5.15.2.1). A stratum $\mathfrak{s}_1$ corresponding to f_{s_1} specializes to another stratum $\mathfrak{s}_2$ corresponding to f_{s_2} iff $f_{s_2}(l) \geq f_{s_1}(l)$, $\forall l \in S(1, \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_1))$ (cf. 3.13.7.6.0). In particular there is only one open (resp. closed stratum: it is of dimension 0). In fact this closed stratum has a non-empty intersection with each connected component of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$. To see this it is enough to show that the connected components of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ are permuted transitively by $G_1(\mathbb{A}^p_f)$. It is enough to show –cf. [Va2. 3.3.2]– that each connected component of $\mathcal{N}_{1W(\mathbb{F})}$ has a connected special fibre.

We can assume $(G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$ is (cf. the proof of 4.9.8) simple and is not (cf. [Va2, 6.4.11]) of compact type. So [BHC, 11.4 and 11.6] implies: $(G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$ is the adjoint variety of a Hilbert–Blumenthal variety. Based on the proof of 4.9.8 we can assume

$(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ is a SHS associated to a Hilbert–Blumenthal variety. But this case follows from [Ch1]. As a conclusion: for the A_1 Lie type, the star of 4.2.8.1 can be removed.

This example represents the logical generalization of [GO]: loc. cit. deals only with Hilbert–Blumenthal varieties.

B. We assume all simple factors of $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ are such that:

ASS *$\forall i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$, the i-th cyclic factor of a Shimura-ordinary point of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is one among the list of 3.13.7.6.2.*

For instance this is the case if:

EX All simple factors of $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ are of B_n, C_n or $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type, or of A_{2l+1} Lie type such that all non-compact, simple factors of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ are isomorphic to $SU(l+1, l+1)^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$, or of $D_{2l}^{\mathbb{H}}$ type which involve groups which split over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, or of A_{2l} or $D_{2l+1}^{\mathbb{H}}$ type which involve groups which over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ are absolutely simple but do not split $(l \in \mathbb{N})$.

From 3.13.7.6.1 C, 4.5.15.2.1 and 4.12.12.6.1 we get (under ASS; cf. also the list of 3.13.7.6.2 and the cyclic diagonalizability property of 3.13.7.6.3):

Corollary. *There is a reduced, closed subscheme $\mathfrak{s}_1^0$ of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ of dimension* 0 *such that any stratum of the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ of dimension* 0 *is a scalar extension of $\mathfrak{s}_1^0$. All points of $\mathfrak{s}_1^0$ are toric. Moreover, the Shimura adjoint Lie $\sigma_{\bar{k}}$-crystals attached to $\bar{k}$-valued points of $\mathfrak{s}_0$ are all inner isomorphic.*

Similarly, all Shimura $\sigma_{\bar{k}}$-crystals endowed with natural families of tensors (indexed by the set $\mathcal{J}'$ of 2.3.1) attached to $\bar{k}$-valued points of $\mathfrak{s}^0$ belonging to a fixed connected component of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ are all $1_{\mathcal{J}'}$-isomorphic; here $\mathfrak{s}^0$ has the same significance for $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ as $\mathfrak{s}_1^0$ for $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$.

C. We briefly go through two examples of pivotal points which are not among those of B, just to illustrate what type of computations we will have to perform in §9-10 in order to handle the cases not covered by B. We consider a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$. We assume $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is $SU(a,b)^{\mathrm{ad}}$ and that G^{ad} splits over $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

If $c := \frac{b}{a} \in \mathbb{N}$, then any $W(k)$-valued toric point of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ whose Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal is isomorphic to $(\mathrm{End}(M_1), \varphi_1)$, where (M_1, φ_1) is the direct sum of a copies of the σ_k-crystal (M_2, φ_2) which has a lift (M_2, F_2^1, φ_2) which is (isomorphic to) the circular diagonalizable filtered σ_k-crystal having (see B of 2.2.22 3)) the type $(1, 0, 0, ..., 0)$ (the number of 0's is c), is pivotal. This is a consequence of the Proposition of 4.5.15.2.1. We just need to remark that:

– the group of automorphisms GA_2 of the truncation mod p of (M_2, φ_2) has (this is an easy exercise) dimension c (and so the group of automorphisms of (M_1, φ_1) has dimension $ca^2 = ab = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$);

– the group of automorphisms GA_2' of the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt map of $(M_2, \varphi_2, GL(M_2))$ has the same dimension as GA_2 (the natural homomorphism $GA_2 \to GA_2'$ has finite kernel and from 3.13.7.1.1 and 3.13.7.2 we get that it is an epimorphism).

Similarly, if $(a,b)=(2,3)$ one can check that starting from the type $(1,0,1,0,0)$ and taking $(M_1,\varphi_1)=(M_2,\varphi_2)$ we get pivotal points.

4.12.12.6.3. The null strata. We refer to 4.9.8. The stratum of the refined Lie canonical stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ whose geometric points are such that the Newton polygons of their attached Shimura adjoint Lie F-crystals are having only the slope 0, is called the null stratum of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$; its points with values in fields are called null points (of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$). It is the natural generalization of the usual supersingular strata of special fibres of integral canonical models of Siegel modular varieties. We now prove 1.12.1 A) (in some sense we just refine a little bit the proof of 4.3.6).

Based on the proof of 4.9.8, we can assume we are dealing with the integral canonical model $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ of a SHS $(f,L_{(p)},v)$. So we refer to 4.1 and 4.3.1 with $k=\mathbb{F}$; we assume B contains T. Based on 4.12.12.6.0, it is enough to show the existence of $\omega_0\in N(W(\mathbb{F}))$ (see 4.1.5) such that the Shimura Lie $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$-crystal attached to $\mathfrak{C}_{\omega_0}$ has all slopes equal to 0. First, we consider the examples provided by EX of 4.12.12.6.2 B. For each $i\in\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$, let $\omega_0^i\in G_{iW(\mathbb{F})}(W(\mathbb{F}))$ normalizing the image of $T_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ in $G_{iW(\mathbb{F})}$ and such that:

– looking at the simple factors of $G_{iW(\mathbb{F})}$ only one component in them of ω_0^i is non-trivial; let G_{i_0} be this factor corresponding to the non-trivial component ω_{00}^i of ω_0^i (it is defined by picking up an element i_0 of the set $\mathcal{H}_i$ of 4.3.1.1) (warning: we do not assume G_{i_0} is such that the image of $\mu_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ in it is non-zero);

– ω_{00}^i takes the image of $B_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ in G_{i_0} into its opposite w.r.t. the image of $T_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ in G_{i_0}.

We take $\omega_0\in N(W(\mathbb{F}))$ such that its image in $G_{iW(\mathbb{F})}$ is ω_0^i, $\forall i\in\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$. The Newton polygon of the Shimura Lie $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$-crystal attached to $\mathfrak{C}_{\omega_0}$ has only one slope: it is 0; this is an immediate consequence of the choice of ω_0 (see the numbering in 3.4.3.2 and the item XI in [Bou2, planche I to IV]). This takes care of the B_n, C_n and $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ types entirely. To take care of the other types, we can assume $(G^{\mathrm{ad}},X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is simple of A_n $(n\geq 2)$ or $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ $(n\geq 4)$ type. It is enough to deal with a fixed $i\in\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$.

Second, we assume that G^i of 4.3.1.1 is either non-split (i.e. we are in the case when the i-th cyclic adjoint factor associated to a G-ordinary point of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ is with involution) of A_n or D_{2n+1} Lie type or it is split of D_{2n} Lie type, with $n\geq 2$. In this case the same choice of ω_i (and the same argument) makes the thing work as in the case when we were working with the examples provided by EX of 4.12.12.6.2 B.

So we are left with the cases: G^i is split of A_n or D_{2n+1} Lie type or is non-split of D_{2n} Lie type, with $n\geq 2$. We use the same type of Weyl element ω_0^i: we assume i_0 has been fixed and we still denote by $\omega_{00}^i\in G_{i_0}(W(\mathbb{F}))$ the (unique) non-trivial component of ω_0^i. Let $j_i\in\mathbb{N}$ be the smallest number such that the cocharacter $\omega_0^i\mu_{iW(\mathbb{F})}(\omega_0^i)^{-1}$ of $T_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ is fixed by $\bar\sigma^{j_i}$. It is enough to show that we can choose ω_{00}^i such that the product

$$PDIT:=\prod_{s=1}^{j_i}\bar\sigma^s\omega_0^i\mu_{iW(\mathbb{F})}(\omega_0^i)^{-1}\bar\sigma^{-s}$$

is trivial (one can view this additively as well). Warning: here, in order to benefit from 4.1.2 (IT), we choose a Frobenius endomorphism of $M\otimes_{W(k(v))}W(\mathbb{F})$ of the form $\bar{\sigma}\omega_0^i\mu(\frac{1}{p})$ and not of the usual form $\omega_0^i\bar{\sigma}\mu(\frac{1}{p})$.

Third, we assume G^i is split of A_n Lie type. We identify G_{i_0} with the adjoint group of the SL_{n+1}-group $G_{i_0}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ of a free $W(\mathbb{F})$-module M_{i_0} of rank $n+1$. We consider a $W(\mathbb{F})$-basis $\{e_1,...,e_{n+1}\}$ of M_{i_0} such that:

– each element of it is normalized by the maximal torus of $G_{i_0}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ which has the same image in G_{i_0} as $T_{W(\mathbb{F})}$;

– $\forall i\in S(1,n+1)$, $< e_1,...,e_i >$ is normalized by the Borel subgroup of $G_{i_0}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ which has the same image in G_{i_0} as $B_{W(\mathbb{F})}$.

We take ω_{00}^i to be the image in $G_{i_0}(W(\mathbb{F}))$ of any element $\omega_0^{i\mathrm{sc}}$ of $G_{i_0}^{\mathrm{sc}}(W(\mathbb{F}))$ which takes $< e_i >$ into $< e_{i+1} >$, $i=\overline{1,n+1}$, with $e_{n+2}:=e_1$. The fact that $PDIT$ is trivial is a consequence of the circular property of $\omega_0^{i\mathrm{sc}}$ as well as of 3.4.2.1-2.

Last, we assume G_i is split of D_{2n+1} Lie type or non-split of D_{2n} Lie type, with $n\geq 2$. The proof of 4.3.6 (via the proof of Fact 2 of it) allows us to shift our attention to the non-split A_3 Lie type and so the above part applies. This ends the proof of 1.12.1 A).

4.12.12.6.4. The strong form of the generalized Manin problem. We now prove 1.12.1 B) and C). The arguments of 4.12.12.6.3 were presented in the abstract form. So they apply in the general context to give us that in each class of Shimura σ_k-crystals there is an element defined by a Shimura σ_k-crystal whose attached Shimura Lie σ_k-crystal has all slopes 0. We have:

Corollary. *We refer to the notations of 3.9.7.2 (with $k=\bar{k}$). There is $w_0\in R_0(W(k))$ normalizing T which depends only on the Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal attached to $(M,g\varphi,R_0)$ such that the Newton polygons of (M,φ) and of $(M,hg\varphi)$ are the same as of the cyclic diagonalizable Shimura σ_k-crystal $(M,w_0g\varphi,T)$.*

Proof: Let $w_0\in R_0(W(k))$ normalizing T be such that the Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal attached to the Shimura σ_k-crystal $(M,w_0g\varphi,R_0)$ has all slopes 0. The Corollary follows from the Corollary of 3.9.7.2 and from the class invariant part of 2.2.24.1 applied to $Cl(M,g\varphi,R_0)$.

Corollary can be entirely adapted to the generalized Shimura context provided by the ρ-representations of 4.5.4 (cf. 3.9.7.2.1 1)). So 1.12.1 B) follows from it and from 4.12.12.6.0. To detail this, as in 4.12.12.6.3 we can assume we are dealing with the integral canonical model $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ of a SHS $(f,L_{(p)},v)$. We refer to 4.5.4. We can assume y is not a null point (cf. 1.12.1 A)). We can assume that the parabolic subgroup P_y of $G_{W(k)}$ whose Lie algebra is $W_0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{W(k)}),\varphi_y)$ has a maximal torus T_y normalizing F_z^1 (cf. 2.3.17 and 3.9.7.1). We can assume μ_y factors through T_y. Let R_y be the Levi subgroup of P_y containing T_y. Let UR_y be the unipotent radical of P_y. Let $g_y\in P_y(W(k))$ be such that the quadruple $(M_y,F_z^1,g_y\varphi_y,T_y)$ is a Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal. Let $w_y\in R_y(W(k))$

normalizing T_y and such that all slopes of $(\mathrm{Lie}(R_y), w_y g_y \varphi_y)$ are 0. Writing $\varphi_y = a_y \mu_y(\frac{1}{p})$, we consider a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structure $(N_y, G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'})$ as in 2.2.9 8) (so N_y is the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule of M_y formed by elements fixed by a_y); w.r.t. it we can write σ_k instead of a_y.

For what follows, (in connection to the ρ-stratifications of 4.5.4) it is more convenient to work directly with the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structures provided by 2.2.9 8), without appealing to d) of 4.4.1 3). ${}_\rho\mathfrak{C}_z$ (of 4.5.4) is isomorphic to $(N_y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k), \sigma_k \rho_{B(k)}(\mu_y(\frac{1}{p})))$. So its Newton polygon is the same as the Newton polygon of the following generalized Shimura p-divisible object

$$ {}_\rho\mathfrak{C}_y^{\mathrm{mod}} := \Big(N_y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k), \rho_{W(k)}(w_y g_y)\sigma_k \rho_{B(k)}(\mu_y(\frac{1}{p})), \mathrm{Im}(\rho_{W(k)})\Big) $$

(cf. the mentioned adaptations of the Corollary; so this is a consequence of the $P_{>0}(B(k))$-conjugacy part of 3.9.7.2 –$P_{>0}$ of 3.9.7.2 is denoted here by UP_y– and of 2.2.24.1 applied to $\big(N_y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k), \rho_{W(k)}(*)\sigma_k \rho_{B(k)}\big(\mu_y(\frac{1}{p})\big), R_y\big)$, with $* \in \{g_y, w_y g_y\}$), for any ρ as in 4.5.4. The upper index "mod" stands for modification (of the non-filtered version of ${}_\rho\mathfrak{C}_z$).

From 4.12.12.6 we deduce the existence of a k-valued toric point y_1 of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ such that its attached Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal is inner isomorphic to the one attached to $(M_y, F_z^1, w_y g_y \varphi_y, G_{W(k)})$ (the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-structures of 3.11.2 B applied in the context of $(M_y, F_z^1, w_y g_y \varphi_y, T_y)$ allow us to work over k and not just over $\mathbb{F}$; of course, here we can assume that $k = \mathbb{F}$). But it is an easy exercise to see that the Newton polygon of ${}_\rho\mathfrak{C}_{z_1}$, with z_1 a $W(k)$-valued point of $\mathcal{N}$ lifting y_1 and such that its attached Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal is cyclic diagonalizable, is the same as the Newton polygon of ${}_\rho\mathfrak{C}_y^{\mathrm{mod}}$, for any ρ as in 4.5.4. It can be solved as follows. If we assume d) of 4.4.1 3), then we just need to use arguments with semisimple elements as in 2.2.24.1 and as in the proof of 4.2.7, in the context of the class $Cl({}_\rho\mathfrak{C}_y^{\mathrm{mod}})$. If we do not assume d) of 4.4.1 3), then we just need to add that the rational variant of 4.4.12 obtained by combining c) of 4.4.1 3) with 2.3.13.1, allows us still to appeal to the mentioned arguments. We conclude: y and y_1 are k-valued points of the same stratum of the absolute stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$.

1.12.1 C) follows from 1.12.1 B) and the above paragraph (cf. also 4.1.5-6, 4.2.10 and 4.5.11). As in the elimination process of 3.13.7.3.1 B, we get that in fact in 1.12.1 C) we can replace the Weyl group of $G^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ by the Weyl group of the extension to $\mathbb{C}$ of the product of the simple, non-compact factors of $G^{1\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Exercise. Show that the map f_G of 4.5.11 a) is surjective. Hint: combine the Corollary with 4.5.15.2.5 or with the arguments of the proof of 4.4.1 referring to the independence part of b) of 4.4.1 3).

4.12.12.6.4.1. Examples. The estimate of 1.12.1 C) is very gross in general. However, there are examples in which its bound is attained (for instance, this happens when all factors of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ are split of A_1 Lie type and $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{c}}$ is the empty set). We assume now that we have a SHS $(f, L_{(v)}, p)$, with $(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ simple of B_n Lie type and with $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ having at most 2 non-compact factors. We have:

Corollary. *The absolute stratification of* $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ *has:*

a) $n+1$ *strata if* $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ *has only one non-compact factor;*

b) $(n+1)^2$ *strata if* $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ *has two non-compact factors and* $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$ *has* 2 *elements;*

c) $n([\frac{n}{2}]+2)-[\frac{n}{2}]([\frac{n}{2}]+1)$ *strata if* $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ *has two non-compact factors and* $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$ *has* 1 *element.*

Proof: We use 4.5.6.2 A and 4.12.12.6.4. Let m (resp. l) be the number of simple (resp. of non-compact, simple) factors of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$. We refer to [Bou2, planche II] for the description of the Weyl group of the B_n Lie type; below we use it freely. Everything boils down to the following situation. For $s \in S(1,m)$, we consider a free $W(\mathbb{F})$-module V_s of rank $2n+1$ and a $W(\mathbb{F})$-basis $\mathcal{B}_s = \{e^s_1, e^s_2, ..., e^s_{2n+1}\}$ of it. We consider a cocharacter μ of $GL(V_1 \oplus_{W(\mathbb{F})} ... \oplus_{W(\mathbb{F})} V_m)$ which:

– acts trivially on e^s_j, if $j \geq 3$ or if $s > l$;

– acts as the multiplication with p^j on $e^s_{\frac{3-j}{2}}$, if $s \in S(1,l)$ and $j \in S(1,2)$.

We have a permutation π of $S(1,m)$ and we look at the Newton polygons of $h\mu(p)$ where

$$h \in GL(V_1 \oplus_{W(\mathbb{F})} ... \oplus_{W(\mathbb{F})} V_m)(W(\mathbb{F}))$$

fixes e^s_{2n+1} and takes each pair $(e^s_{2q_1-1}, e^s_{2q_1})$ into a pair of one of the following two forms $(e^{\pi(s)}_{2q-1}, e^{\pi(s)}_{2q})$ or $(e^{\pi(s)}_{2q}, e^{\pi(s)}_{2q-1})$, with q, $q_1 \in S(1,n)$, $\forall s \in S(1,m)$. Here $h\mu(p)$ is viewed as a $B(\mathbb{F})$-valued point of $GL(V_1 \oplus_{W(\mathbb{F})} ... \oplus_{W(\mathbb{F})} V_m)$ and Newton polygons are obtained as usual (using $\frac{1}{q}$ times valuations of the eigenvalues of h^q, with $q \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough). For each non-empty subset C of $S(1,m)$ permuted transitively by π we want to first count the number $n(C)$ of Newton polygons of the restriction $r(C)$ of $h\mu(p)$ to $V(C) := (\oplus_{s\in C} V_s)[\frac{1}{p}]$, with h varying as described, and second we want to take the product of all these numbers $n(C)$. All Newton polygons we get are 0-symmetric and so it is enough to deal with non-negative slopes.

If $C \cap S(1,l)$ is the empty set, then $n(C) = 1$. If $C \cap S(1,l)$ has only one element s_0, then the Newton polygon of $r(C)$ has either only slope 0 or the only positive slope of it is $\frac{1}{|C|s}$ with multiplicity $|C|\,s$, where s is the number of pairs of the form $(e^{s_0}_{2q-1}, e^{s_0}_{2q})$, $q \in S(1,n)$, or of the form $(e^{s_0}_{2q}, e^{s_0}_{2q-1})$, $q \in S(2,n)$, which are in the orbit or $(e^{s_0}_1, e^{s_0}_2)$. So $n(C) = n+1$. This takes care of a) and b).

If $l = 2$ and $S(1,2) \subset C$, then we split the computation of $n(C)$ as follows. We count:

i) 1 for the Newton polygon having all slopes 0;

ii) n for Newton polygons which have only one positive slope $\frac{2}{|C|a}$ with multiplicity $a\,|C|$, $a \in S(1,n)$; they correspond to the case when e^1_1 and e^2_1 are in the same orbit under h but this orbit does not contain e^1_2 (and so does not contain e^2_2);

iii) $n-1$ for Newton polygons which have only one positive slope $\frac{1}{|C|a}$ with multiplicity $a\,|C|$, $a \in S(1,n-1)$; they correspond to the case when the orbit of e^1_j under h

does not contain e^1_{2-j} or e^2_j or e^2_{2-j} but e^2_{2-j} and e^2_j are in the same orbit under h for some fixed $j \in S(1,2)$;

iv) 1 for each pair $(a,b) \in S(1,n) \times S(1,n)$, with $a+b \leq n$ and $a < b$.

For each pair as in iv) we get a Newton polygon which has 2 positive slopes $\frac{1}{|C|a}$ and $\frac{1}{|C|b}$ with multiplicities $a\,|C|$ and respectively $b\,|C|$; it corresponds to the cases when the orbit of e^j_1 under h does not contain e^j_2 or e^{3-j}_1 or e^{3-j}_2 but has a_j elements, $j = \overline{1,2}$, with (a_j, b_j) equal to (a,b) or with (b,a). Warning: the case corresponding to $a = b$ gives birth to Newton polygons which were already counted (see ii)). We end up with

$$1 + n + (n-1) + \sum_{j=1}^{[\frac{n}{2}]}(n-2j)$$

distinct Newton polygons. This sum is exactly the number of c). This ends the proof.

If $n = 1$ (or $n = 2$ or $n = 3$ or $n = 4$ or $n = 5$) then in c) we get 2 (or 4 or 7 or 10 or 14) strata.

4.12.12.6.4.2. Remark. We refer to 4.5.8 1). $N(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is the number of strata of the canonical Lie stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ and $N_1(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is the number of strata of the refined canonical Lie stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$. This follows from 1.12.1 B). So, in 4.9.8 a) we can replace "indexed by a subset of $RLNP(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}})$" by: indexed by $RLNP(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}})$.

4.12.12.6.4.3. Remark. We consider a p-divisible group with a reductive structure $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ of $p - \mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$. Then there is $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}(W(k))$ such that $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ has a lift which is cyclic diagonalizable and all the slopes of its attached $b-a$-Lie σ_k-crystal are zero. The proof of this is entirely the same as 4.12.12.6.3. We can assume $\tilde{G}_{B(k)}$ is adjoint and the Lie algebras of its simple factors are permuted transitively by $\tilde{\varphi}$. As in 3.2.3, we can assume there is a maximal torus $\tilde{T}$ of $\tilde{G}$ such that its Lie algebra is normalized by $\tilde{\varphi}$; so we look for $\tilde{g}$'s normalizing $\tilde{T}$. If $\tilde{G}$ is of G_2, F_4, E_7, E_8, B_n or C_n Lie type, then (cf. item IX in [Bou2, planches II, III, and VI to IX]) we can use the same type of Weyl elements as in the part of 4.12.12.6.3 referring to 4.12.12.6.2 EX. If $\tilde{G}$ is of A_n or D_n Lie type, we can use the same type of Weyl elements as in the cases of 4.12.12.6.3 corresponding to the same Lie types.

If $\tilde{G}$ is of E_6 Lie type we refer to [Va6] and [Va8]. Here we will just mention that in many cases, the situation gets reduced to the A_5 Lie type and so we can apply the previous paragraph (the "embedding" of A_5 into E_6 is provided by "removing" the second node of the Dynkin's diagram of the E_6 Lie type). In particular, this reduction is possible if $(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}), \tilde{\varphi})$ is a cyclic Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal of E_6 type. So we conclude:

Corollary (the abstract strong –Weyl– form of the generalized Manin problem). *The Corollary of 4.12.12.6.4 holds for the generalized Shimura context.*

4.12.12.6.5. Extra types of points. We refer to 4.12.12.6. A point y of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ with values in an algebraically closed field is called:

– non-integral, if its Lie p-rank (defined as in 4.3.6, via 4.9.17) is 0;

– quasi-pivotal, if it is a toric and a non-integral point at the same time;

– super-null, if it is a toric and a null point and the same time;

– pivotal, if it is super-null and belongs to a stratum of the quasi-ultra stratification of dimension 0;

– non-null, if it is not a null point;

– partially null, if there is $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$ such that the i-th cyclic adjoint factor attached to y has all slopes 0;

– totally non-null, if it is not partially null;

– partially U-ordinary (resp. partially Shimura-ordinary), if there $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$ such that the i-th cyclic adjoint factor attached to y is U-ordinary (resp. has a lift which is of parabolic type);

– quasi U-ordinary, if it is a toric and totally non-null point at the same time;

– *isom*-canonical, if its attached Shimura adjoint F-crystal is *isom*-canonical;

– quasi-final, if its attached Shimura adjoint F-crystal is quasi-final.

By natural passage, we use the same terminology for points of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ with values in a field. Each null point is non-integral and so each super-null point is quasi-pivotal. The toric points of the Corollary of 4.12.12.6.2 B are pivotal.

Definition. A lift $z \in \mathcal{N}_{1W(k)}$ of a partially U-ordinary point $y \in \mathcal{N}_{1k}$ is called a partial U-canonical lift, if $\forall i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$ such that the i-th cyclic adjoint factor attached to y is U-ordinary, the lift of it defined naturally by z is a U-canonical lift.

4.12.12.6.6. More on quasi U-ordinariness and on the A_1 Lie type case.

1) 4.4.13.2 implies: any U-ordinary point of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ is quasi U-ordinary. This generalizes 4.6 P3.

2) If all factors of G^{ad} are of A_1 Lie type, then the converse of 1) holds. More precisely, we have:

Fact. *Any quasi U-ordinary point of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ is a T-ordinary point.*

Proof: We assume $k = \bar{k}$. As we are dealing with the A_1 Lie types, for any k-valued quasi U-ordinary point y of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$, the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}_{=0}$ of $\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)})$ corresponding to the slope 0 of its attached Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}), \varphi_y)$, is (cf. also 2.2.19.2 and the Criterion of 2.2.22 1)) the Lie algebra of a maximal torus of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}$. So $(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}), \varphi_y)$ has lifts which are of toric type. So the Fact follows from 4.4.13.2 and def. 4.4.13.1 c).

3) We refer to 4.12.12.6. We denote by

$$NSU(\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)})$$

the number of strata of the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ having U-ordinary points. If G^{ad} has all simple factors of A_1 Lie type, based on 2) it can be computed as follows (see [Va9] for general computations). We can refer just to the context of a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$, with all factors of G^{ad} of A_1 Lie type (cf. 4.12.12.6.2 A). We use the notations of 4.3.1.1, with $k = \mathbb{F}$.

We fix $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$. Let $d(i)$ be as in 4.5.15.2.1: it is the number of elements $j \in \mathcal{H}_i$ such that the image of the composite of the cocharacter $\mu_{W(k)}$ of $G_{W(k)}$ with the natural projection of $G_{W(k)}$ on G_j is non-trivial; let $\mathcal{S}_i$ be the subset of $\mathcal{H}_i$ formed by such j's. We consider the i-th cyclic adjoint filtered Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystal $(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{iW(\mathbb{F})}), \varphi_z, F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{iW(\mathbb{F})})), F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{iW(\mathbb{F})}))$ attached to a Shimura-canonical lift $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(\mathbb{F})) \to \mathcal{N}$ (see 4.2.4). Based on b) of 4.4.1 2), we can assume φ_z is $\varphi \otimes 1$ (see 4.1.1). We work under 4.1.4.1.

Based on 2) and 4.12.12.6.2 A, we need to determine the number of elements ω_i of the Weyl group $W_G(i)$ of $\prod_{j \in \mathcal{S}_i} G_j$ such that, denoting by $g_{\omega_i} \in \prod_{j \in \mathcal{S}_i} G_j(W(\mathbb{F}))$ an arbitrary representative of it normalizing the image $T(i)$ of $T_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ in $G_{iW(\mathbb{F})}$,

$$\mathfrak{L}(\omega_i) := (\mathrm{Lie}(G_{iW(\mathbb{F})}), g_{\omega_i}(\varphi \otimes 1), F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{iW(\mathbb{F})})), F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{iW(\mathbb{F})}))$$

is of toric type. We consider an arbitrary $j \in \mathcal{S}_i$. Let H_j be the $\mathbb{G}_a$ subgroup of G_j which is normalized by $T(i)$ and such that $\mathrm{Lie}(H_j) \subset F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{iW(\mathbb{F})}))$. Let x be an arbitrary generator of $\mathrm{Lie}(H_j)$. $\big(g_{\omega_i}(\varphi \otimes 1)\big)^{2d(i)}$ takes x into a multiple of $p^{n(\omega_i)}x$ by an invertible element of $W(\mathbb{F})$; here

$$n(\omega_i) \in S(-d(i), d(i)).$$

Based on the circular property expressed by 3.4.2.1 and on the 0-symmetric property of 2.2.3 1), we have: $\mathfrak{L}(\omega_i)$ is T-ordinary iff $n(\omega_i) \neq 0$. The number of elements $\omega_i \in W_G(i)$ such that $n(\omega_i) \neq 0$ is precisely the number of $2d(i)$-tuples $(x_1, ..., x_{2d(i)})$ formed by elements of the set $\tilde{I} := \{-1, 1\}$ and having the following three properties:

P1. The product $x_s x_{s+d(i)}$ does not depend on $s \in S(1, d(i))$;

P2. The sum of its entries is non-zero;

P3. Its first entry is 1.

P1 just expresses that g_{ω_i} has just $d(i)$ non-zero components in the simple factors of $G_{iW(\mathbb{F})}$, while P3 pays attention to the choice of H_j. So we need to find the number $n_{d(i)}$ of $d(i)-1$-tuples formed by elements of the set $\tilde{I}$ such that the sum of their entries is different from -1. If $d(i)$ is odd, we have:

$$n_{d(i)} = 2^{d(i)-1}.$$

If $d(i)$ is even, we have:

$$n_{d(i)} = 2^{d(i)-1} - C_{d(i)-1}^{\frac{d(i)}{2}}.$$

Allowing $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$ to vary, we conclude:

$$NSU(A_1) \qquad\qquad NSU(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}) = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}} n_{d(i)}.$$

4) Referring to 3), the Newton polygon of $\mathfrak{L}(\omega_i)$ is uniquely determined by the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{2d(i)} x_i$. So to count the number of strata of the refined canonical Lie stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, we need to count the number of such sums which are non-negative, for $2d(i)$-tuples $(x_1, ..., x_{2d(i)})$ which are subject to P1 and have entries in $\tilde{I}$. As this number is obviously $[\frac{d(i)+3}{2}]$, from 4.12.12.6.4.2, 4.5.6.1 and the proof of 4.9.8 we get that the number of strata of the absolute stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ is

$$NP(A_1) \qquad\qquad N_1(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}}) = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}} [\frac{d(i)+3}{2}].$$

4.12.12.6.7. piv-**invariants.** We refer to 4.5.15.2.1. From its proof we get that locally in the Zariski topology of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}/H_0$, the $\mathbb{F}$-valued pivotal points define a locally closed subscheme. As the Weyl group W_G of 4.1.5 is finite, the number of isomorphism classes of Shimura adjoint Lie $\bar{\sigma}$-crystals attached to $\mathbb{F}$-valued pivotal points of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is finite (4.12.12.6.2 B already points out many situations in which it is 1). We deduce that the number of $\mathbb{F}$-valued pivotal points of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}/H_0$ is finite. Based on 4.9.9, this extends to $\mathcal{N}_{1\mathbb{F}}/H_{01}$, with H_{01} an arbitrary compact, open subgroup of $G_1(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$. We denote by

$$\mathrm{piv}_{(G_1, X_1, v_1)}(H_{01})$$

the number of $\mathbb{F}$-valued pivotal points of $\mathcal{N}_{1\mathbb{F}}/H_{01}$ and refer to it as the piv-invariant of H_{01} w.r.t. the triple (G_1, X_1, v_1). Its independence on H_1 is checked as in [Va2, 6.4.6 3)].

4.12.12.6.7.1. The case of curves. We assume $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = 1$. So $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)$ is a Shimura curve; in particular, G^{ad} is a simple $\mathbb{Q}$–group of A_1 Lie type. From 4.12.12.6.2 A we get that the quasi-ultra stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ has precisely 2 strata: the Shimura-ordinary locus and its complement, to be referred as the pivotal locus. Based on 4.12.12.6.2 B), this matches 4.12.12.6.5; we get that all points of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ with values in fields are toric. We also get that $\mathrm{piv}_{(G_1, X_1, v_1)}(H_{01})$ counts the number of $\mathbb{F}$-valued of $\mathcal{N}_{1\mathbb{F}}/H_{01}$ which are not Shimura-ordinary. See [Ih, (6) of p. 17] for the computation of $\mathrm{piv}_{(G_1, X_1, v_1)}(H_{01})$ in many particular cases.

4.12.12.7. A second approach to (integral) Manin problems. Besides the above approach (see 4.12.4 and 4.12.12) to solving integral Manin problems and based on:

i) the isogeny property stated in [Va2, 1.7] (see also 1.15.7), on

ii) Theorem 14 of 1.12.1, on

iii) d) of 4.4.1 3), and on

iv) the passage from the Hodge type to the preabelian type (see 4.9.4, 4.9.7-9 and 4.12.5-6),

there is a second approach. As there is nothing else to be done to replace iii) or iv), we need to refer just to a second approach to the completion property of 3.6.15 A. It relies on:

v) the possibility of determining which strata of the ultimate adjoint stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ are closed;

vi) the assumed existence of pivotal points (based on 4.12.12.6 and on 3.13.7.3, in §10 we will include computations pointing out that –at least in majority of cases– 4.12.12.6.2 B holds in general);

vii) the Expectation of 3.13.7.1.

The use of pivotal points is an idea first developed (in connection to Newton polygons) for Siegel modular varieties in [Oo2]. Part of the philosophy behind v) to vii) can be formulated as the following question:

Q *When the category* $\mathbb{GSC}(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})$ *has a final object?*

The density part of 4.2.1 and b) of 4.4.1 2) can be interpreted as:

Corollary. $\mathbb{GSC}(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})$ *has an initial object.*

4.12.12.8. Dieudonné's invariants. Let (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1) and H_{01} have the same significance as in 4.12.12.6 and 4.12.12.6.7. Let $q_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $k(v_1) = \mathbb{F}_{p^{q_1}}$. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$. The number

$$D_q(G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1, H_{01})$$

of strata of the ultimate adjoint stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ containing $\mathbb{F}$-valued points lifting $\mathbb{F}_{p^{qq_1}}$-valued points of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}/H_{01}$, is referred as the level-q Dieudonné invariant of H_{01} w.r.t. the triple (G_1, X_1, v_1).

4.12.13. Variants. We take $p \geq 2$.

1) Theorem 2 of 3.15.1 (or 3.6.7.1 and 3.11.1 for $p \geq 3$) can be interpreted as the solution of an integral Manin problem: Any Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal $(M, F^1, \varphi, \tilde{G})$ can be connected (through the p-adic completion of an $\mathbb{N}$-pro-étale scheme over a smooth, affine $W(k)$-scheme, having a special fibre which is a connected, AG k-scheme) with a $\tilde{G}$-canonical lift $(M, F^1, \varphi_1, \tilde{G})$. Moreover, Theorem 2 of 3.15.1 and 3.6.18.8.3 point out that often there are variants of these over any perfect field of characteristic p.

We have as well variants for quasi (even pseudo) Shimura filtered F-crystals over perfect fields (cf. 3.6.1.6).

2) The proofs of 4.12.12 and 4.12.12.2 show that (cf. also 2.3.3.1), in 4.12.12 and 4.12.12.2, if D and its dual are not étale, then instead of an affine $W(k)$-scheme $\mathcal{M}_D$ we can work with a connected, projective, smooth scheme $\mathcal{P}_D$ over $W(k)$, such that the p-divisible group over it is uni plus versal in each k-valued point of $\mathcal{P}_D$. In other words, in the case when D is the p-divisible group of an elliptic curve, we can still use the first paragraph of 4.12.12.0 in the context of a ($p=2$) SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$, with G^{ad} an absolutely simple $\mathbb{Q}$–group of A_1 Lie type whose $\mathbb{Q}$–rank is 0 and which splits over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

This usage goes as follows. It is 2.3.5.1 (and 2.3.18 A for $p=2$) which guarantees the existence of such a ($p=2$) SHS. Moreover, as its construction (see [Va2, 6.5.1.1 and 6.6.5]) is based on [De2, 2.3.10], from [De2, 2.3.13] we get that we can assume $G^{\text{ab}} = \mathbb{G}_m$. So $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is the GL-group of a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of rank 2. We consider a non-trivial subrepresentation of it of its representation on $L^*_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ which is defined by a direct summand DS of rank 2. It is 4.3.6 (or 4.12.12.6.1) which guarantees that there are k-valued points of $\mathcal{N}$, such that the p-divisible groups associated to them via Fontaine's comparison theory and this DS (see 4.12.12.0), are isomorphic to the p-divisible group of an arbitrary a priori given elliptic curve over k.

Moreover, choosing H_0 small enough, we can assume $\mathcal{P}_D$ is of general type (for instance, see [Mi4, 1.2 of §2]).

3) We have a variant of Theorem 13 of 1.12 in the principally quasi-polarized context: its proof is entirely the same (though slightly easier due to the fact that we do not have to split the discussion –see the proof of 4.12.12– in terms of some reflex field being $\mathbb{Q}$ or not; we always can assume it is $\mathbb{Q}$).

4) There are simple proofs of Serre–Tate's deformation theory: for instance, see Drinfeld's proof in [Ka3, ch. 1]. Also in [MFK, ch. 6.3] a simple theory of deformations of abelian varieties is presented. So, based on this last two loc. cit. and 4.12.12.5 we reobtain the local deformation theory of p-divisible groups over a perfect field k_1, i.e. we reobtain [Il, 4.8] (standard Galois descent allows us to descend from $\overline{k_1}$ to k_1). Warning: this approach avoids entirely the use of [Me], [BBM], [BM] or of [Il].

Let $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let $R := W(k)[[x_1, ..., x_m]]$ and let Φ_R be a Frobenius lift of it.

4.12.14. The general local integral Manin problem for Siegel modular varieties. We consider a SHS of the form $(1_{(\text{GSp}(W,\psi),S)}, L_{(p)}, p)$. So, with the standard notations, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}$. The problem is to determine all principally quasi-polarized p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ associated to principally quasi-polarized p-divisible groups over R, obtained from the one of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ by pull back through morphisms $\text{Spec}(R) \to \mathcal{N}$.

4.12.15. The general local integral Manin problem for a SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$. Let $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ be a SHS. The problem is: which principally quasi-polarized p-divisible objects with tensors of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ are associated to the pull back of the principally quasi-polarized p-divisible group of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and of (de Rham components of) Hodge cycles $(w_\alpha^{\mathcal{A}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ through morphisms $\text{Spec}(R) \to \mathcal{N}$?

4.12.16. The general local integral Manin problem for a Shimura quadruple (G, X, H, v) of preabelian type, with $(v, 2) = 1$. The problem is to determine which Lie p-divisible objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[-1,1]}(R)$ are isomorphic to Shimura adjoint filtered Lie F-crystals over R/pR attached to (cf. 4.9.20) morphisms $\text{Spec}(R) \to \mathcal{N}$.

4.12.17. The solution of 4.12.14. The answer is: any principally quasi-polarized p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$. Argument: 3.6.18.7.1 a) (applied in the context of a principally quasi-polarized pseudo Shimura σ_k-crystal $(M_0, g\varphi, Sp(M_0, \psi), \psi)$), allows us to assume we are dealing with a principally quasi-polarized p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$. So the answer follows from 4.12.4, the deformation theory of abelian varieties and 2.2.21 UP.

4.12.18. The solution of 4.12.15 in the case $\mathcal{N}$ has the completion property. We use the notations of 4.12.5. The answer is: any such p-divisible object of the form

$$(M_0 \otimes_{W(k)} R, F_0^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R, g_R(\varphi_0 \otimes 1), (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}, \tilde{\psi}),$$

with g_R an arbitrary element of $G^0_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(R)$. As in 4.12.17, this is an easy consequence of 3.6.18.7.1 a) (applied in the context of a principally quasi-polarized pseudo Shimura σ_k-crystal $(M_0, g\varphi_0, G^0_{W(k)}, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}, \tilde{\psi})$), 4.12.5 and 2.2.21 UP (cf. also Fact 4 of 2.3.11).

4.12.19. The solution of 4.12.16 in case $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ (of 4.12.6) has the completion property. We use the notations of 4.12.6. The answer is: any such p-divisible object of the form

$$(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_R), g^{\mathrm{ad}}_R(\sigma\mu^{\mathrm{ad}}(\frac{1}{p}) \otimes 1), F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_R)), F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_R))),$$

with $g^{\mathrm{ad}}_R \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(R)$. Here $F^0(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_R))$ and $F^1(\mathrm{Lie}(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_R))$ are obtained as usual from (the extension to R of) the cocharacter μ^{ad} of 4.12.6.

To argue this, we consider a SHS $(f_1, L_{1(p)}, v_1)$ as in 4.12.6. Using the same argument as the one of 4.12.6.1 handling the general form of its Fact, we can assume g^{ad}_R is of the form $g_1 g^{2\mathrm{ad}}_R$, with $g^{2\mathrm{ad}}_R$ liftable to an R-valued element g_R of G^{der}_{1R} congruent to the identity modulo the ideal $(x_1, ..., x_m)$ of R and with $g_1 \in G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{W(k)}(W(k))$. Using this we deduce the existence of a principally quasi-polarized p-divisible object $\mathfrak{C} = (M_1 \otimes_{W(k)} R, F_1^1 \otimes_{W(k)} R, g_R(\varphi_1 \otimes 1), (v_{1\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'}, \tilde{\psi})$ with tensors of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R)$ as in 4.12.18 (the index 0 being replaced by 1), and whose attached Shimura adjoint Lie F-crystal is isomorphic to the one of the above answer. We can assume the Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal $(M_1, F_1^1, \varphi_1, G_{1W(k)}, (v_{1\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'})$ is attached to a $W(k)$-valued point z_1 of $\mathcal{N}_1$, cf. 4.12.6. As in 4.12.18, 3.6.18.7.1 a) allows us to assume the existence of a $G_{1W(k)}$-invariant connection on $M_1 \otimes_{W(k)} R$ making $\mathfrak{C}$ to be viewed as a principally quasi-polarized p-divisible object with tensors of $\mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}_{[0,1]}(R)$. So the answer follows by passage to associated Shimura adjoint F-crystals, once we remark (cf. 2.2.21 UP and the Fact 4 of 2.3.11 applied to $(f_1, L_{1(p)}, v_1)$) that $\mathfrak{C}$ is obtained via pull back from a uni plus versal Shimura filtered F-crystal of the form $(M_1, F_1^1, \varphi_1, G_{1W(k)}, N_1, \tilde{f}, (v_{1\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}'})$.

4.12.16-18 admit a non-filtered version (cf. 2.2.1.6). Not to be too long this is not going to be presented here.

4.12.20. Exercise. State and prove the analogues of 4.12.14-15 and 4.12.17-18 for $p = 2$. Hint: just use 2.3.18, 3.14 B and 4.12.12.1.

4.12.21. General (integral) Manin problems. Given an arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-scheme Y we would like to understand which principally quasi-polarized p-divisible groups (resp. which isogeny classes of principally quasi-polarized p-divisible groups) over Y are coming from principally polarized abelian schemes (resp. from isogeny classes of principally polarized abelian schemes) over Y of relative dimension e. This forms the general integral Manin problem (resp. the general Manin problem) for the Siegel modular variety of 4.12.4. Similarly we can define the general (integral) Manin problem for an arbitrary SHS $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$: we need to replace p-divisible groups by Shimura p-divisible groups (see 2.2.20; in §5-10 we will gradually extend the referred definition: we will define Shimura p-divisible groups over any $O_{(v)}$-scheme). We can also define the general (integral) Manin problem in the context of 4.12.3: again 4.9.20 can be extended to any $O_{(v)}$-scheme (see §5 for details).

A general (integral) Manin problem looks very hard in such a generality. In the cases when we are dealing with (the p-adic completion of) a (regular, formally) smooth, separated scheme Y (over DVR's of index of ramification 1 over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ or $O_{(v)}$) we hope that some partial solutions can be obtained based on 3.6.

4.13. Local forms of the invariance principle. There are two main local forms of this principle: one in the adjoint context and one in the context of Shimura p-divisible groups; their proofs are very much the same and so they are combined. Let $p \geq 3$ be a rational prime.

We start with the first form. Let (G_i, X_i, H_i, v_i), $i = \overline{1,2}$, be two Shimura quadruples of preabelian type, with v_i dividing p. Let $\mathcal{N}_i$ be the integral canonical model of (G_i, X_i, H_i, v_i). Let $H_{0i} \subset G_i(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ be a subgroup such that either $p|t(G_i^{\text{ad}})$ and $H_{0i} \times H_i$ is a subgroup of $G_i(\mathbb{A}_f)$ p-smooth for (G_i, X_i) or p does not divide $t(G_i^{\text{ad}})$ and $H_{0i} \times H_i$ is smooth for (G_i, X_i) (cf. AE.4.2 a)). Let $y_i : \text{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}_{ik}/H_{0i}$, $i = \overline{1,2}$, be such that the non-trivial part of the Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal attached to y_1 is isomorphic to the non-trivial part of the Shimura adjoint Lie σ_k-crystal attached to y_2. We fix such an isomorphism $\mathcal{I}$. Let O_{y_i} be the local ring of y_i (in $\mathcal{N}_{iW(k)}/H_{0i}$) and let $O_{y_i}^{\text{h}\wedge}$ be the p-adic completion of its henselization. We assume $k = \bar{k}$. Let $\mathfrak{C}_{y_i}^{\text{ad}}$ be the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie F-crystal over $O(p)_{y_i}^{\text{h}} := O_{y_i}^{\text{h}\wedge}/pO_{y_i}^{\text{h}\wedge}$ attached (see 4.9.20) to the canonical morphism $\text{Spec}(O_{y_i}^{\text{h}\wedge}) \to \mathcal{N}_{iW(k)}/H_{0i}$. Let

$$\mathfrak{C}_{y_i}^{\text{nt}}$$

be its non-trivial part (it is defined as in 3.10.1; it is a direct factor of $\mathfrak{C}_{y_i}^{\text{ad}}$). The refined canonical Lie stratification of $\mathcal{N}_{ik(v_i)}$ makes $\text{Spec}(O(p)_{y_i}^{\text{h}})$ to be a stratified scheme.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have:

4.13.1. Theorem. *There is an isomorphism*

$$\rho : \text{Spec}(O_{y_1}^{\text{h}\wedge}) \tilde{\to} \text{Spec}(O_{y_2}^{\text{h}\wedge})$$

inducing an isomorphism

$$\rho(p) : \text{Spec}(O(p)_{y_1}^{\text{h}}) \tilde{\to} \text{Spec}(O(p)_{y_2}^{\text{h}})$$

of stratified schemes and such that $\rho^*(\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{nt}}_{y_2}/p^n\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{nt}}_{y_2})$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{nt}}_{y_1}/p^n\mathfrak{C}^{\mathrm{nt}}_{y_1}$*, through an isomorphism respecting the Lie structures and lifting* $\mathcal{I}$ *mod* p^n*.*

We present now the second form. Let $(f^i, L^i_{(p)}, v^i)$, $i = \overline{1,2}$, be two standard Hodge situations. Using the standard notations of 2.3.1-3 for the SHS $(f^i, L^i_{(p)}, v^i)$ but putting everywhere an upper right index i, let $y_i : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathcal{N}^i_k/H^i_0$ be a morphism. Here k is an arbitrary perfect field containing $k(v^1)$ and $k(v^2)$. We assume H^i_0 is small enough so that all Hodge cycles of $\mathcal{A}^i$ are as well Hodge cycles of $\mathcal{A}^i_{H^i_0}$. We have:

4.13.2. Theorem. *We assume the principally quasi-polarized Shimura* σ_k*-crystal attached to* y_1 *is isomorphic to the principally quasi-polarized Shimura* σ_k*-crystal attached to* y_2*. We fix such an isomorphism* $\mathcal{I}$*. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\rho : \mathrm{Spec}(O^{\mathrm{h}\wedge}_{y_1})\tilde{\to}\mathrm{Spec}(O^{\mathrm{h}\wedge}_{y_2})$$

inducing an isomorphism

$$\rho(p) : \mathrm{Spec}(O(p)^{\mathrm{h}}_{y_1})\tilde{\to}\mathrm{Spec}(O(p)^{\mathrm{h}}_{y_2})$$

of stratified schemes and such that the pull back through ρ*, of the crystalline counterpart of the kernel of the multiplication by* p^n *of the principally quasi-polarized Shimura p-divisible group* $\mathcal{D}_{y_2}$ *over* $\mathrm{Spec}(O^{\mathrm{h}}_{y_2})$ *obtained from the principally quasi-polarized Shimura p-divisible group over* $\mathcal{N}^2/H^2_0$ *(see Fact 3 of 2.3.11) by pull back through the canonical morphism* $\mathrm{Spec}(O^{\mathrm{h}}_{y_2}) \to \mathcal{N}^2/H^2_0$*, is isomorphic to the crystalline counterpart of the kernel of the multiplication by* p^n *of the principally quasi-polarized Shimura p-divisible group* $\mathcal{D}_{y_1}$ *over* $\mathrm{Spec}(O^{\mathrm{h}}_{y_1})$*, defined similarly as* $\mathcal{D}_{y_2}$ *(here* $O^{\mathrm{h}}_{y_i}$*,* $O(p)^{\mathrm{h}}_{y_i}$ *have the same meaning as in 4.13.1), through an isomorphism lifting* $\mathcal{I}$ *mod* p^n*.*

Proofs: In connection to 4.13.1 we refer to definitions 4.9.17 and 4.9.20 (in the case of a SHS, 4.9.20 is trivial, just introducing some terminology). For the parts involving stratifications, we just need to take n big enough and to apply 3.15.7 BP1. So 4.13.1-2 are a direct consequence of (the second variant of) 3.6.14.4 (for 4.13.1 the passage from Lie algebras of reductive groups to Lie algebras of their adjoints, in the context of crystalline counterparts, is entirely trivial).

4.13.3. Remarks. **1)** The form of 4.13.2, with $n = \infty$, where instead of taking henselization we take the completion, was obtained previously: the Serre–Tate's deformation theory implies this form for a standard PEL situation; the general case can be obtained by just copying [Va2, 5.4].

2) The condition $k = \bar{k}$ in 4.13.1 can be weaken: see §5 (cf. 4.9.20).

3) We have variants of 4.13.1-2, where instead of refined canonical Lie stratifications, we use its variants listed in 4.9.9.

4.14. Final remarks. Here we include some remarks which are sort of overview of great parts of [Va2] and of this paper.

4.14.1. The generic approach. Many results presented here or in [Va2] are trivial or very easy, from the generic point of view, i.e. working with a prime p big enough. But the main inconvenience of this simple approach is that we do not have at all effective upper bounds (of how big p has to be); so, from the point of view of concreteness, it is void. We now list some results which generically "are very easy" and motivate why this is so.

a) [Va2, 3.4.1 and 3.4.7] is all we need to get generically the existence of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of Hodge type.

b) [Va2, 6.2.2 a), 6.2.3 and 6.8] is all we need to extend this to Shimura varieties of preabelian type.

c) [Va2, 6.4.4 and 6.4.11] generically are obvious.

d) The density part of 4.2.1 is obvious generically (due to the existence of smooth toroidal compactifications of canonical models of Shimura varieties and of c)).

e) Using [Va2, 3.2.11] and d) we get (via 3.1.0 a) and c)) the generic form of 4.2.1 itself.

f) Using [Va2, 3.2.11] and d), 4.6 P1 is obvious generically.

For e) and f) cf. also the proof of 4.12.12 or cf. 4.12.12.6.

4.14.2. The special type case. There are plenty of generalized Shimura (filtered) F-crystals and of Shimura (filtered) Lie F-crystals involving the E_6, E_7 and D_n^{mixed} types (see 3.6.1.6 and 3.10.5 for terminology). So, even before the proof of the existence of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of special type and of the validity of the expectation of [Va2, a) and b) of 3.2.7 8)] (in loc. cit., strictly speaking just a variant of [Va2, 5.6.5 f)] is satisfied, cf. 2.2.8 3) and the beginning paragraph of 3.4; see also AE.0), we can speak about stratifications (as in 4.5) of schemes of moduli of Shimura (adjoint) filtered Lie F-crystals (often attached to generalized Shimura filtered F-crystals) of special type. For the study of these stratifications we refer to [Va6]. Here we just mention two things:

1) All formulas of 4.5.15.2 hold for the generalized Shimura context, whenever we have global deformations which are uni plus versal; based on 3.15.6 D (such deformations do exist and moreover) the arguments of 4.5.15.2.5 need no modification at all.

2) All of 4.7.11 and of 4.7.12-17 (as well as their $p = 2$ version; see 4.14.3 E below) can be entirely adapted to the generalized Shimura context (again, based on 3.15.6 D and E no modification of arguments are needed; 3.15.6 B shows that even the part of 4.7.11 6) referring to [Ka4, A2.2 (2)] can be entirely adapted). In particular, the third paragraph of 4.7.11 2A) can be performed without any reference (via some lifting process) to p-divisible groups.

4.14.3. The case of a $p = 2$ SHS. From 2.3.18 and 3.14 we get that most of the results of 4.1-13 remain true for a $p = 2$ SHS $(f, L_{(2)}, v)$ (see also [Va5]). However some of them need some reformulation: below we refer to such a $p = 2$ SHS. Warning: below we do not always state explicitly at each step the implicit references to 3.14.

A. All results of 4.1-3 remain true: no extra reformulation is needed (cf. 3.14 C). Warning: 4.2.8.1* remains true (the argument of [Va2, 6.4.1.1 2)] applies for $p = 2$ as well) in the compact type case but we can not say presently anything very precise about non-compact type cases. Also, the quadratic form in two variables of the proof of Fact 2 of 4.3.6, has to be replaced by $x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + \alpha x_2^2$, where $\alpha \in \tilde{k}$ is such that the equation $x^2 + x + \alpha$ has no roots in $\tilde{k}$.

B. 4.4.1 1) and 3) as well as b), c) and d) of 4.4.1 2) remain true without any modification. We do expect that d) of 4.4.1 3) and 4.4.12 also hold. But b) of 4.4.1 2) and its version for U-ordinary points (see 4.4.13.1 d)) as well as 4.4.8 1) and 3) need substantial reformulation.

As G-canonical lifts for $p \geq 3$ were defined at the level of filtrations in the crystalline cohomology context (cf. a) of 4.4.1 2)), for $p = 2$, for their (desired) uniqueness (and the parts of 4.4-11 involving it, like 4.4.5-6) we have to proceed very carefully, cf. 2.3.18.1.

B1. As a first warning, using the existence of (to be mentioned in E below) G-crystalline (additive or multiplicative) coordinates we get:

Warning. *For any k-valued G-ordinary point y of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$, denoting its attached Shimura σ_k-crystal by $(M_y, \varphi_y, G_{W(k)})$, a lift $z : \mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \to \mathcal{M}_{W(k(v))}$ of y (viewed as a k-valued point of $\mathcal{M}_{k(v)}$) such that $(M_y, F_0^1, \varphi_y, G_{W(k)})$, with $(M_y, F_0^1, \varphi_y, p_{M_y})$ as the principally quasi-polarized filtered σ_k-crystal attached to z, is a Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal, does not necessarily factor through $\mathcal{N}_{W(k(v))}$.*

Easy examples can be obtained with G a torus or starting from 4.14.3.1 below.

So even if $k(v) = \mathbb{F}_2$ (i.e. even when we can use 4.6 P1 cf. D below), we can not get (at least theoretically) the uniqueness part by specifying that we choose the usual canonical lift.

We explain the situation, in the slightly more general case when $k(v^{\mathrm{ad}}) = \mathbb{F}_2$. We use the notations and terminology of 4.7.0, 4.7.8 and 4.7.11 1). The formal moduli scheme of G-deformations of (A_y, p_{A_y}) can be naturally identified with a closed formal subscheme of the formal torus (see E below) of deformations of the 2-divisible group D_y^1 (of 4.7.11 1)). This formal subscheme is the translation of a formal subtorus by a 2-torsion point $P_{2-\mathrm{tor}}$: this is nothing else but the $p = 2$ analogue of 4.7.17 (cf. also E below). This formal subtorus is uniquely determined but not $P_{2-\mathrm{tor}}$, provided it is non-zero. So if $P_{2-\mathrm{tor}}$ is the identity element, then we can define the G-canonical lift of y uniquely but not otherwise. We do not know when such a 2-torsion point is not the identity; we just point out that this problem is very much related to variants of Milne's conjecture of 1.15.1. In §5 and §6 we will prove, using such variants of Milne's conjecture, that in many situations such a torsion point is the identity.

So, in general, we have to allow either a finite number of such G-canonical lifts or to try to use something else. The most logical "something else" would be to use 3.14 I; however, as pointed out in the above paragraph, without quite a lot of work, we can not check that we can apply 3.14 I in our geometric contexts of $p = 2$ SHS's.

As a second warning we have: some G-canonical lifts might be defined only up to passage from k to an abelian extension whose Galois group is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(S)$, cf. the proof of 2.3.18.1 B and its logical variant in the principally quasi-polarized context. It can checked that $m \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ (the case $k(v^{\mathrm{ad}}) = \mathbb{F}_p$ can be deduced from 2.3.18.1.1 and the above part on 2-torsion points; the general case can be deduced by adapting the proof of B2 below: see also the Exercise 4.14.3.1 below).

Presently we do not know what in general would be the most practical "something else". For instance, we could try to choose one such G-canonical lift to which a "bigger" (or specified) part of the $\mathbb{Z}$-Lie algebra of G-endomorphisms (or of the $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra of endomorphisms or of the group of G-automorphisms or of automorphisms) of A_y, lifts, or (this seems the easiest way) in terms of 2-divisible groups (i.e. of Galois representations with $\mathbb{Z}_2$-coefficients); in case k is not 1-simply connected, we could try to specify that the G-canonical lift has to be defined over $W(k)$, etc.

However we do have:

B2. Proposition. *If the Lie p-rank* $p - \mathrm{Lie}_G(y)$ *(see 4.3.8 1)) is* 0 *(i.e. if each point of* $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ *with values in a field is non-integral in the sense of 4.12.12.6.5), then we can define a unique* G*-canonical lift of* y.

Proof: We refer again to the direct sum decomposition $M_y = M_y^1 \oplus M_y^2$ of 4.7.11 1); so in what follows N and N_0 are defined as in 4.7.1. We have a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathrm{End}(M_y) = \mathrm{End}(M_y^1) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(M_y^1, M_y^2) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(M_y^2, M_y^1) \oplus \mathrm{End}(M_y^2).$$

From 3.14 I we get that the F^1-filtration F^1 of M_y defined by any G-canonical lift z of y can be similarly decomposed as $F^1 = F_1^1 \oplus F_2^1$, with $F_j^1 \subset M_y^j$, $j = \overline{1,2}$. So we have a direct sum decomposition of $F^0(\mathrm{End}(M))$ in four direct summands similar to the one of $\mathrm{End}(M)$. Accordingly, the moduli scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ of deformations of $D_y^1 \oplus D_y^2$ is "decomposed into four pieces". Using the language of homomorphisms of $W(k)$-algebras, by this we mean: there are natural $W(k)$-epimorphisms $q_i : R \twoheadrightarrow R_i$, $i = \overline{1,4}$, with R, R_1,..., R_4 as $W(k)$-algebras of formal power series, such that the tangent space of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is a direct sum of the images (via these $W(k)$-epimorphisms) of the tangent spaces of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_i)$, $i = \overline{1,4}$; q_1 is defined by the moduli scheme of deformations of D_y^1, q_4 is defined by the moduli scheme of deformations of D_y^2, etc. Here, as well as in what follows, the tangent spaces are defined w.r.t. the $W(k)$-epimorphism $R \twoheadrightarrow W(k)$ defining the trivial deformation of $D_z^1 \oplus D_z^2$ and factoring through q_i, $i = \overline{1,4}$; D_z^i is a fixed lift of D_y^i, $i = \overline{1,2}$, such that the p-divisible group of $z^*(\mathcal{A})$ is naturally decomposed as a direct sum $D_z^1 \oplus D_z^2$ (cf. also 2.3.18.1.1).

We can view these four pieces also as projections. To exemplify it, we concentrate on the first factor corresponding to q_1. We first consider a complete, local $W(k)$-subalgebra R^4 of R generated by $W(k)$ and by a maximal set of regular parameters of R belonging to the kernel of q_4, then we consider a complete, local $W(k)$-subalgebra R^{34} of R^4 generated by $W(k)$ and by a maximal set of regular parameters of R^4 belonging to the kernel of the natural $W(k)$-epimorphism $R^4 \twoheadrightarrow R_3$ defined by q_3, and then we consider a complete, local $W(k)$-subalgebra R^{234} of R^{34} generated by $W(k)$ and by a maximal set of regular parameters of R^{34} belonging to the kernel of the natural $W(k)$-epimorphism $R^{34} \twoheadrightarrow R_2$ defined by q_2. The composite $q^1 : R^{234} \to R_1$ of the $W(k)$-monomorphism $R^{234} \hookrightarrow R$ with q_1, is a $W(k)$-isomorphism.

Let O_y be the local ring of y, viewed as a k-valued point of $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$; we view it as a $W(k)$-algebra. The hypothesis $p - \mathrm{Lie}_G(y) = 0$ gets translated in: $\mathrm{Lie}(N)$ has a trivial projection on $\mathrm{End}(M_y^1)$; so also $\mathrm{Lie}(N_0)$ has a trivial projection on $\mathrm{End}(M_y^1)$. We first consider the particular case when $\mathrm{Lie}(N) \subset \mathrm{End}(M_y^2)$. In this case, as $\mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{O_y})$ is integral, we are just deforming D_z^2, while fixing D_z^1 and so 2.3.18.1 C applies directly.

We now come back to the general case. We assume the existence of another $W(k)$-valued point z_1 (different from z) of $\mathcal{N}_{W(k)}$ lifting y and whose attached Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal is $(M_y, F^1, \varphi_y, G_{W(k)})$. From 2.3.18.1.1 (cf. also E below) we get that it corresponds to (i.e. it is defined by) a 2-torsion point of the formal torus of deformations of D_y^1. So, from the point of view of the moduli scheme of deformations of $D_y^1 \oplus D_y^2$, it is defined by a $W(k)$-valued point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ factoring through $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$. So, as the 2-torsion points are "recorded" by the tangent space of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$, the tangent map of the $W(k)$-morphism obtained by composing the natural $W(k)$-morphism $m_y : \mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{O_y}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ with the natural $W(k)$-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R^{234})$, is non-trivial. But this contradicts the mentioned trivial projection (the image of the Kodaira–Spencer map naturally associated to m_y can be identified with a direct summand of $\mathrm{Lie}(N_0)$, cf. 4.7.2 and its logical $p = 2$ version). This proves the Proposition.

B3. B2 applies entirely to U-canonical lifts. So in 4.9.17.5 we do not get the uniqueness of U-canonical lifts of U-ordinary points (in G below we do not repeat this). So 4.4.8 1) and 3) have to be modified as well. However, the above part on the uniqueness of G-canonical lifts applies as well to non-integral, U-ordinary points; so Proposition of B2 points out the following thing: for $p = 2$ it is easier to understand U-canonical lifts of U-ordinary points which in some sense are far from being ordinary.

Moreover, we have:

Corollary. *We consider a quasi-pivotal point $y \in \mathcal{N}_{k(v)}(k)$. Then any $W(k)$-valued point z of $\mathcal{N}$ lifting y is uniquely determined by the Hodge filtration F_z^1 of M_y defined by $z^*(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof: The proof of B2 takes care of the case when the Shimura filtered σ_k-crystal $(M_y, F_z^1, \varphi_y, G_{W(k)})$ attached to z is cyclic diagonalizable. It is the Claim of 2.4.1 which allows us to pass the uniqueness to any mentioned z. This ends the proof.

B4. Also 4.4.4 has to be modified, in case a G-canonical lift is not uniquely determined by its filtration in the crystalline cohomology context. The easiest way:

Corollary. *Referring to the notations of 4.4.4 we have:*

$$4\mathrm{End}_G(A_y) \subset \mathrm{End}_G(A_z)$$

and the elements of $\mathrm{Aut}_G(A_y)$ *which restricted to* $A_y[4]$ *are identity, are also elements of* $\mathrm{Aut}_G(A_z)$.

Proof: This is a consequence of Serre–Tate's deformation theory.

Accordingly, 4.4.5-6 remain true. The rest, i.e. 4.4.7 and 4.4.9-12, does not need modifications.

C. 4.5 involves just Newton polygons, parabolic groups and Shimura (adjoint Lie) F-crystals; so it needs no modification at all besides the fact that the split group of B_n (resp. of D_n) Lie type of 4.5.6.1 has to be defined using the quadratic form $x_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_{2i-1}x_{2i}$ (resp. $\sum_{i=1}^n x_{2i-1}x_{2i}$), to be compared with 2.3.18 B2. For the fact that all of 4.5.15.2 remains true for $p = 2$, cf. 2.3.18 and 3.14 C and J. It seems to us that the automorphism invariants of 4.5.15.3 have "jumps" in the case $p = 2$ provided we are dealing with the B_n and the C_n Lie types with $n \geq 2$ (cf. the special cases in [BT, 4.2.3]; see also 3.5.4 and 3.14 C and end of J). Here by "jumps" we mean: irregular behavior (unpredictable by looking just at odd primes).

D. Modulo some modifications, the part of 4.6 till 4.6.3 inclusive, except the parts of 4.6 P2 and 4.6.3 A referring to canonical lifts, remains true without modifications. In 4.6.1 1) (resp. in connection to 4.6 P8), for $p = 2$ we presently need to restrict to the C_1 (resp. to the A_ℓ) Lie type. Out of 4.6.2, only 4.6.2.2 and 4.6.2.6 make any sense for $p = 2$. Moreover, P2 and 4.6.3 A can be modified as follows: any abelian variety over $W(\bar{k})$ obtained via a $W(\bar{k})$-valued G-canonical lift of $\mathcal{N}$, is isogeneous to the (usual) canonical lift of its special fibre (see 2.3.18.1 E).

Warning: Exercise 4.6.4 A remains true (cf. its first proof) for $p = 2$; but (presently) it does not lead to the construction of integral canonical models of (some) Shimura varieties of preabelian type which are not of abelian type (cf. the limitations of [Va2, 6.8.1]). 4.6.4 B makes no sense for $p = 2$. 4.6.5 can be checked in many situations (see §5, §6 and [Va5]) but for its complete $p = 2$ analogue we have to postpone to [Va3]. 4.6.6 holds for $p = 2$ standard PEL situations (cf. b) of 2.3.18 B3: the argument pertaining to $B(\mathbb{F})$- and $W(\mathbb{F})$-valued points of 4.6.6 holds for $p = 2$ as well); so d) of 4.4.1 3) holds for all $p = 2$ standard PEL situations of 2.3.18 B.

Lemma of 4.6.7 holds for $p = 2$. So 3.14 J implies that 4.6.7-8 applies for $p = 2$ as far as 4.14.4.1.1 and 4.14.4.1.2 2) below allow. More precisely, the first part of Corollary 1 (resp. of Corollary 3) of 4.6.7 does hold for $p = 2$, as [Va2, 6.5-6] can be performed abstractly, with no reference to $p = 2$ SHS's (resp. as we have 2.3.18 B and Lemma 2 of 4.6.4; see also 4.14.4.1.1); moreover, Corollary 2 of 4.6.7 holds for $p = 2$ for the A_n, and the totally non-compact C_n and $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ types (of 3.10.5); for the totally non-compact C_n and $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ types, cf. also 4.14.4.1.2 2).

In connection to the B_n and $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ types, 4.6.8 for $p = 2$ has to be interpreted just in terms of principally quasi-polarized Shimura F-crystals, with no reference to a SHS.

E. Related to 4.7 there are just three things to be modified. First, part of [De3, 1.4.2] and of [Ka4, A2.2] are dealing just with odd primes; so to get 4.7.5 we have to proceed as explained in 4.7.11 (see 2) and 4) of it especially: they handle the case $p = 2$ as well). Second, in 4.7.8 the unit element can correspond to any one of the G-canonical lifts of y. Accordingly, in 4.11.8 (as well as in geometric variants of the end of 4.7.11 8) and of 4.7.17) we have to speak not about formal subtori but about the translate of a formal subtorus by a 2-torsion point. Moreover, in 4.7.11 2) for $p = 2$, we define $q : R_m \to R_n$ to be a maximal formally smooth quotient of R_m preserving the tensors involved (i.e. we are in a universal context as of 2.2.21). Similarly, $q_{n,r}$ of 4.7.11 9) is uniquely determined up to translation with a 2-torsion point.

Third, [Og, 3.14] implies that in the part of 4.7.11 8) referring to Theorem of 4.7.11 4), with $p = 2$, the Frobenius lift Φ_{R_n} of R_n which makes g_{R_n} to be the identity element, is not unique; we recall $n = \dim_{W(k)}(\tilde{N})$. We have:

Fact. *The number of such Frobenius lifts is precisely* 2^n*, and so it is finite.*

Proof: Referring to the last paragraph of 4.7.11 6), we choose (as in 3.6.18.2 in the context where all b_{ijl}'s are 0) a connection ∇ on $\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} R_n$ which is of the form

$$\delta + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i a_i dz_i,$$

with δ the connection that annihilates $\tilde{M}$ and with $x_i \in R_n$ such that the Kodaira–Spencer map of ∇ is injective modulo the maximal ideal of R_n; for the convenience of the computations we assume $x_i = \frac{1}{z_i+1}$ (cf. (24) of 3.6.18.2 and (LN) of 4.7.11 6)) and that $\tilde{\varphi}(pa_i) = a_i$, $\forall i \in S(1,n)$, cf. end of 4.7.11 6). So $x_i dz_i = d(\ln(z_i + 1))$. Such a connection allows us to treat the situation as if $n = 1$: as the product of any two elements of $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{N})$, viewed as endomorphisms of $\tilde{M}$, is 0, based on [Og, 3.14], we get immediately that the number of Frobenius lifts of R_n which makes g_{R_n} to be the identity element is at least 2^n. All such lifts are obtained (cf. loc. cit.) by mapping for i running through an arbitrary subset of $S(1,n)$, $z_i + 1$ into $-(z_i + 1)^2$ (and not into $(z_i + 1)^2$).

To check that the number of Frobenius lifts of R_n which makes g_{R_n} to be the identity element is precisely 2^n we just need to remark the following two things:

a) Φ_{R_n} is uniquely determined by its Teichmüller lift $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R_n)$, i.e. there is no Frobenius lift of R_n different from Φ_{R_n} which makes g_{R_n} to be the identity element and whose Teichmüller lift $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R_n)$ is the same as of Φ_{R_n};

b) any Teichmüller lift as in a) defines a 2-torsion point of the n dimensional formal torus $\mathrm{Spf}(R_n)$.

We first argue b). Using a direct sum decomposition $\tilde{M} = \tilde{M}_1 \oplus \tilde{M}_2$ as in 4.7.11 1), we can replace $\tilde{M}$ by $\tilde{M}_1$. So, using the $p = 2$ variant of 4.7.17, we can assume $\tilde{G} = GL(\tilde{M})$ and this last case is well known (see 2.3.18.1 B and 2.3.18.1.1). The argument for a) goes as follows. Due to the injectivity of the Kodaira–Spencer map, any such Frobenius lift $\Phi^1_{R_n}$ has to be of essentially multiplicative type and so (cf. 3.6.18.1.1) of multiplicative type. So, as in the part of 4.7.11 8) referring to "up to an isomorphism", we can assume the existence of $\tilde{z}_i \in R_n$, $\forall i \in S(1,n)$, such that:

– $\Phi^1_{R_n}(\tilde{z}_i+1)=(\tilde{z}_i+1)^2$, $\forall i\in S(1,n)$;

– $R_n=W(k)[[\tilde{z}_1,...,\tilde{z}_n]]$;

– $\tilde{z}_i\in(z_1,...,z_n)$, $\forall i\in S(1,n)$ (here is the place where the part on Teichmüller lifts is used);

– w.r.t. $\tilde{z}_i$'s, ∇ gets the same logarithmic form as above.

From 2.2.21 UP we get that the $W(k)$-isomorphism of R_n that takes z_i into $\tilde{z}_i$ is the identity isomorphism, and so $\Phi^1_{R_n}$ is not different from Φ_{R_n}. This proves the Fact.

In another order of ideas, the uniqueness part of [Og, 3.15] for $p=2$ is a consequence of 2.3.18.1 C.

F. The whole of 4.8 remains true for $p=2$, as Fontaine's comparison theory with rational coefficients is still true in the case of good reduction and mixed characteristic $(0,2)$, see [Fa1-2]. This is the reason we stated 4.8 in terms of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-valued points (however, see 4.8.3 f) and the involved 2.3.18.1 E).

G. Also 4.9 can be adapted to a great extend to the case of a $p=2$ SHS $(f,L_{(2)},v)$. The limitations are as follows. First, in 4.14.3.2 below we include just $p=2$ versions of [Va2, 6.2.2] and not of [Va2, 6.1.1]. Second, we keep quiet (i.e. we postpone a precise statement, till B1 is "sorted out" fully) regarding the fact that $(f_4,L_{1(2)}\oplus L_{2(2)},v_4)$ is or is not a $p=2$ SHS; accordingly we keep quiet about a $p=2$ analogue of 4.9.20.

So we get 4.9.8 using just: integral canonical models of Shimura quadruples having the same adjoint as the Shimura quadruple (G,X,H,v) (underlying $(f,L_{(2)},v)$), involving reductive groups over $\mathbb{Q}$ whose derived subgroups are quotients of G^{der}, and whose existence is guaranteed by 4.14.3.2.1 below; warning: 4.9.8 can be worked out just in a rational context (i.e. working with Shimura isocrystals attached to points). Unfortunately, for the variants of 4.9.8 listed in 4.9.9, the rational approach is not enough (except for the case of the canonical Lie stratifications and, when appropriate, of ρ-stratifications). Also, in connection to 4.9.10-13 and 4.9.19-21 we have to deal only with such types of integral canonical models. Moreover, in connection to 4.9.20-21, due to limitations of 4.14.4.1 b) below, often we need to state explicitly that we assume $\mathcal{N}$ is a pro-étale cover of $\mathcal{N}/H_0$.

4.9.14-6 and 4.9.17.0 hold for the case of a $p=2$ SHS. Warning: based on reasons explained in B1-2, the toric points of 4.9.17.3 can not be always defined for $p=2$ in terms of Galois representations. The notions of 4.9.21 make sense for $p=2$. 4.9.21.1 holds for $p=2$ as far as allowed by 4.14.4.1.1 and by 4.14.4.1.2 2) below. 4.9.23 does not pertain to a fixed prime.

H. 4.10 holds for $p=2$, provided we use integral canonical models $\mathcal{N}$ of the type mentioned in G (i.e. are constructed via 4.14.3.2.1 below, etc.).

I. No modifications are needed to 4.11 (except referring in 4.11.1 to 2.2.1.5.1 instead of [Va2, 3.2.7 4)]); due to reasons explained in 4.14.3 B1, presently 4.11.1.1 and 4.11.2-4 have to be interpreted in a sense which allows a finite number of G-canonical lifts of a G-ordinary point.

J. Related to 4.12 see 4.12.12.1-2 and 4.12.20. In connection to 4.12.12.6, 4.12.12.6.1, 4.12.12.6.2 B, 4.12.12.6.3, 4.12.12.6.4 and 4.12.12.6.4.1-2 we presently assume (cf. the limitations of the rational approach of paragraph G) that $(G, X, H, v) = (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1)$ and that we are in a context where $X_\omega^{\rm poss} = X$, $\forall \omega \in W_G$: it is 4.12.12.6.0 2) which allows us to always assume this second part.

In particular, based on 4.12.12.6.0 2), the following sections 4.12.12.6.2, 4.12.12.6.2 B, 4.12.12.6.3, 4.12.12.6.4 and 4.12.12.6.4.1-2 hold as well for a $p = 2$ standard PEL situation. Moreover, the Corollary of 4.12.12.6.4 and 4.12.12.6.4.3 hold under no restriction for $p = 2$, as their arguments involve just Weyl elements and Newton polygons. 4.12.15 and 4.12.18 hold for a $p = 2$ SHS (as the Theorem of 2.3.11 does).

K. The second variant of 3.6.14.4 can be entirely adapted for $p = 2$, by just working in the context of Shimura F-crystals. So 4.13.1-2 remain true without any modification except the one (see end of G): often we need to state explicitly that we assume $\mathcal{N}_i$ is a pro-étale cover of $\mathcal{N}_i/H_{0i}$, $i = \overline{1,2}$. Accordingly, in connection to 4.13.2 we do not need to assume that there is a $W(k)$-valued point z_i of $\mathcal{N}_i$ lifting y_i, $i = \overline{1,2}$, such that the principally quasi-polarized Shimura 2-divisible groups over $W(k)$ obtained by pull backs via z_1 and z_2, are isomorphic: based on the surjectivity part of the Claim of 2.4.1, it is enough to start with z_1 and z_2 such their attached principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered σ_k-crystals are isomorphic.

4.14.3.1. Exercise. We refer to 2.4.1. Show that the number of points of each fibre of $m_D^G(k)$ is at least $2^{s(-1)}$ and at the most $2^{dd((M,\varphi,G))}$. Here $s(-1)$ is the number of slopes -1 of the Shimura Lie σ-crystal attached to (M, φ, G). Hints: for the at least part, use the $p = 2$ variant of 4.7.11 9); for the at most part use first a similar specialization argument as in 2.3.18.1 B to reduce the situation to a Shimura-ordinary F-crystal over k and then use 2.3.18.1.1 and arguments at the level of dimensions of k-vector spaces.

4.14.3.2. The passage from the Hodge type to the abelian type. We follow very closely [Va2, 6.2.2] and its corrections of AE.4 and AE.4.1. We start with the situation of [Va2, 6.2.1]. Let $f : (G, X) \to (G_1, X_1)$ be a cover such that $E(G, X) = E(G_1, X_1)$. We consider a map $(G, X, H, v) \to (G_1, X_1, H_1, v)$ defined by f between two Shimura quadruples, with v a prime of $E(G, X)$ dividing a rational prime $p = 2$. As usual $\mathbb{F} = \overline{k(v))}$.

Due to 2.2.1.4, the proof of [Va2, 6.2.3] holds for $p = 2$: the only place where we used the fact that we were dealing with odd primes in the mentioned proof, was in the construction of a Galois-descent datum; but 2.2.1.4 guarantees that this datum can still be constructed for $p = 2$.

[Va2, 6.2.2 a)] for $p = 2$ does apply only to the E_6 and A_{2n} Lie types, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, cf. also [Va2, 6.4.6 6)] and AE.4.2. Accordingly, in Lemma 3 of 4.6.4, the part "2 times" can be removed. We have the following $p = 2$ analogue of [Va2, 6.2.2 b)]:

4.14.3.2.1. Theorem. *We assume the existence of a $p = 2$ SHS $(f_2, L_{(2)}, v_2)$, such that $(G_2^{\rm ad}, X_2^{\rm ad}, H_2^{\rm ad}, v_2^{\rm ad}) = (G_1^{\rm ad}, X_1^{\rm ad}, H_1^{\rm ad}, v_1^{\rm ad})$, $G^{\rm der} = G_2^{\rm der}$ and the centralizer of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}}$ in $GL(L_{(2)})$ is a reductive group (here we use the standard notations for $(f_2, L_{(2)}, v_2)$*

except that we put a lower right index 2 *everywhere except for* $L_{(2)}$*). We also assume* $\mathcal{N}_{2k(v_2)}$ *has enough quasi-pivotal points, i.e. we assume each connected component of* $\mathcal{N}_{2k(v_2)}$ *has* $\mathbb{F}$*-valued quasi-pivotal points. Then* (G, X, H, v) *and* (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1) *have integral canonical models* $\mathcal{N}$ *and respectively* $\mathcal{N}_1$*; moreover, the natural morphism (cf. 2.2.1.5.1)* $\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}_1$ *is a pro-étale cover.*

Proof: The existence of $\mathcal{N}$ has been already argued. As $\mathcal{N}_2$ is a quasi-projective integral model (in the sense of [Va2, 3.2.3 2']); the argument is as in the proof of [Va2, 6.4.2]), [Va2, 6.2.3] implies $\mathcal{N}$ is a quasi-projective integral model. To show that $\mathcal{N}_1$ exists, we follow the proof of [Va2, 6.2.2 b)]: we construct $\mathcal{N}_1$ as the quotient of $\mathcal{N}$ via a group action of a pro-finite, abelian group which is an M-torsion group, for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$; here we just mention that 2 does not divide M iff G^{ad} has all its simple factors of some A_{2n} Lie type, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. also [Va2, 6.2.3.1]).

As any connected component $\mathcal{C}^0$ of $\mathcal{N}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ can be identified with a connected component $\mathcal{C}_2$ of $\mathcal{N}_{2W(\mathbb{F})}$, the fact that $\mathcal{N}_1$ has the EP, follows once we show that the mentioned group action is free (cf. [Va2, 6.2.2 A) to D)]). We choose a connected component X^0 of X. We can assume it is a connected component of X_2 as well (cf. [Va2, 3.3.3]). We can choose $\mathcal{C}^0 = \mathcal{C}_2$ such its set of complex points contains those defined by equivalence classes of the form $[x, 1]$, $x \in X^0$. We follow entirely the pattern of AE.4.1. Let (G_2', X_2'), h, y, GA, (A_y, p_{A_y}), $(A_y', p_{A_y'})$, M, a and a_M have the same significance as in AE.4.1. We assume h does not fix all geometric points of $\mathcal{C}_2$. If y is a quasi-pivotal point, then we reach a contradiction as in AE.4.1 (cf. 4.14.3 B3). So, based on our hypothesis on the existence of quasi-pivotal points, we get that h does not act trivially on any connected component of $\mathcal{C}_{2\mathbb{F}}$. If y is not a quasi-pivotal point, then as in AE.4.1 we get that a_M leaves invariant the F^1-filtration of M defined by any $W(\mathbb{F})$-valued point z of $\mathcal{C}_2$ lifting y. Based on 2.3.5.6.1 B (INTR) (see also end of 2.3.18 A) and on the proof of 2.4.2, we get that h acts trivially on the connected component of $\mathcal{C}_{2\mathbb{F}}$ to which y belongs. Contradiction. This ends the proof.

For future references, we also mention here explicitly the following $p = 2$ version of the corrected version of [Va2, 6.2.2.1] mentioned in the Proposition of AE.4. Let

$$g \in \mathrm{Aut}(G_2, X_2, H_2) \subset Aut(G_2)(\mathbb{Q})$$

such that it takes X^0 into X^0 and normalizes a compact, open subgroup H_{02} of $G_2(\mathbb{A}_f^2)$. So g gives birth (via 2.2.1.5.1) to an automorphism a_g of the image $\mathcal{C}_{2H_{02}}$ of $\mathcal{C}_2$ into $\mathcal{N}_{2W(\mathbb{F})}/H_{02}$.

4.14.3.2.2. Proposition. *We assume the order of* a_g *is finite. If* a_g *acts freely on* $\mathcal{C}_{2H_{02}}$ *but fixes an* $\mathbb{F}$*-valued point* y *of it, then the power of* p *dividing the order of* a_g *is bounded above independently of* H_{02} *and* g*.*

Proof: Let g_0 have the same significance as in [Va2, p. 495]; so it is an automorphism of the non-trivial factor of the Shimura adjoint Lie $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$-crystal attached to y. Let $z \in \mathcal{C}_{2H_{02}}(W(\mathbb{F}))$ be a lift of y and let $\mathfrak{C}_z := (M_y, F_z^1, \varphi, G_{2W(\mathbb{F})})$ be its attached Shimura filtered $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$-crystal. Let $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be the completion of the local ring of y and let $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$

be obtained as in 2.4, starting from $\mathfrak{C}_z$. From the Claim 2.4.1 and from 4.14.3.1 we get that the $W(\mathbb{F})$-morphism $FIL_0 : \mathrm{Spec}(R^{PD}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_1^{PD})$ is such that each $W(\mathbb{F})$-valued point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R_1^{PD})$ lifts to precisely 2^m $W(\mathbb{F})$-valued points of $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{PD})$, where $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ is at most $dd((\mathfrak{C}_z))$.

We can assume g_0 has an order a power of p. As AE.6.1 points out, we can not always argue immediately that g_0 is an inner automorphism even if g is. However, as the group of outer automorphisms of any adjoint group over $W(\mathbb{F})$ is finite, we get that there is $b(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{2W(\mathbb{F})}) \in \mathbb{N}$ which does not depend on g or on H_{02} such that $g_0^{b(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{2W(\mathbb{F})})}$ is an inner automorphism. So $g_0^{b(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{2W(\mathbb{F})})t(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{2W(\mathbb{F})})}$ is the identity automorphism. But this together with the existence of m implies that the order o of the $W(\mathbb{F})$-automorphism of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ defined by a_g is bounded above independently of H_{02} and g. We have (cf. 2.4.2):

$$o \leq 2b(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{2W(\mathbb{F})})t(G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{2W(\mathbb{F})}).$$

This ends the proof.

4.14.3.2.3. Back to 2.3.18 A. We use the notations of the beginning of 2.3.18. We assume the centralizer of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}}$ in $GL(L_{(2)})$ is a reductive group. We also assume $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ has enough quasi-pivotal points; for instance, this is so if the connected components of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}$ are permuted transitively by $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$, cf. 4.14.3 J (in particular, this applies if (G, X) is of compact type). From 4.14.3.2.1 we get that $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ exists and moreover the $O_{(v)}$-morphism $q_{\mathcal{N}}$ (resp. $q_{\mathcal{N}_1}$) obtained as in 2.3.3.2 (resp. as in 2.3.5.5) exists and is a pro-étale cover of its image (resp. is a pro-étale cover).

Similarly, Fact 2 of 2.3.5.2 holds for $p = 2$ due to the mentioned $p = 2$ version of [Va2, 6.2.3] (see 4.14.3.2).

4.14.3.3. References for 1.13.4. 1.13.4 a) follows from 4.14.3 A and B. For 1.13.4 b) cf. 4.14.3.2.1 (or 4.14.4.1.1 below). 1.13.4 c) (resp. d) follows from 4.14.3 C (resp. J). 1.13.4 e) follows from 4.14.3 B and D.

4.14.4. Generalized Serre lemma. 4.14.3.2.1-2 point out that [Va2, 6.4.6 6)] has a version in mixed characteristic $(0, 2)$. To state it as a Corollary, we need to adapt AE.4.2 and 4.4.13.5 to the context of 4.14.3.2.1.

We refer to the mentioned context. Due to the limitations of 4.14.3 G, here we define a non-integral, U-ordinary point of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ to be a point with values in a field k_1 such that a $G_1(\mathbb{A}_f^2)$-translate of it lifts, after potentially replacing k_1 by $\overline{k_1}$ and identifying connected components of $\mathcal{N}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ with ones of $\mathcal{N}_{2W(\mathbb{F})}$, to a non-integral, U-ordinary point of $\mathcal{N}_{2\mathbb{F}}$. We have:

4.14.4.1. Corollary. *Let H_{01} be a compact subgroup of $G_1(\mathbb{A}_f^2)$ such that $H_{01} \times H_1$ is smooth for (G_1, X_1).*

a) *Then $\mathcal{N}_1$ is a pro-étale cover of $\mathcal{N}_1/H_{01} \times H_1$ above all points of $\mathcal{N}_{1k(v_1)}$ which are non-integral, U-ordinary points.*

b) *We assume the centralizer of $G_{2\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}}$ in $GL(L_{(2)})$ is a reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{2k(v_2)}$ has enough quasi-pivotal points. If $H_{01} \times H_1$ is 2-smooth for (G_1, X_1), then $\mathcal{N}_1$ is a pro-étale cover of $\mathcal{N}_1/H_{01} \times H_1$.*

Proof: The proof of a) is entirely the same as 4.4.13.5, cf. 4.14.3 B3. b) is obtained from 4.14.3.2.1 in the same way (see 2.3.8.5) AE.4.2 b) was deduced from AE.4.1.

4.14.4.1.1. A conclusion. The concrete conclusion of the methods developed above in connection to the $p = 2$ theory of Shimura varieties, can be gathered in the following particular case of 4.14.4.1 b):

Corollary. *We consider a Shimura quadruple (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1) of abelian type, with v_1 dividing 2. We assume each simple factor of $(G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_1^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is either of some A_n Lie type or is of some C_n or $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type defined by an adjoint group whose extension to $\mathbb{R}$ has no simple, compact factors. We consider a $p = 2$ standard PEL situation $(f, L_{(2)}, v, \mathcal{B}))$, with $(G, X, H, v) = (G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_1^{\mathrm{ad}}, H_1^{\mathrm{ad}}, v_1^{\mathrm{ad}})$ (cf. Lemma 2 of 4.6.4 and the $p = 2$ version –see 2.3.18 A– of 2.3.5.1). We also assume $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ has enough quasi-pivotal points (for instance this holds if (G, X) is of compact type). Then (G_1, X_1, H_1, v_1) has an integral canonical model which is quasi-strongly smooth.*

Proof: As we are dealing with a $p = 2$ standard PEL situation $(f, L_{(2)}, v, \mathcal{B}))$, the centralizer of $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}}$ in $GL(L_{(2)})$ is a reductive group and so, as in Fact 2 of 2.3.5.5, we deduce the existence of a standard cover defined by $(f, L_{(2)})$. So the Corollary follows from 4.14.3.2.1.

4.14.4.1.2. Remarks. 1) The extra condition of 4.14.3.2.1 and 4.14.4.1 b) referring to centralizers, can be eliminated, cf. 2.3.5.6.1 A. However, in connection to the $p = 2$ theory of Shimura varieties to be fully developed in §6 and [Va5], this is irrelevant (as the $p = 2$ SHS's to be "constructed" will follow the pattern of [Va2, 6.5-6]).

2) We take $p \geq 2$. We consider a Shimura quadruple (G_0, X_0, H_0, v_0) of adjoint, abelian type, with v_0 dividing p, such that any simple factor (G_1, X_1) of (G_0, X_0) is of C_n or $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type and satisfies the following property:

The essentially non-compact property. *Every simple factor SF of $G_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is such that the Shimura group pair $(G_{0\mathbb{Z}_p}, [\mu_0])$ defined by (G_0, X_0, H_0, v_0) is such that $\mu_{0B(\mathbb{F})}$ has either a trivial image in $SF_{B(\mathbb{F})}$ or has a non-trivial image in all simple factors of $SF_{B(\mathbb{F})}$.*

Then Lemma 2 of 4.6.4 can be entirely adapted to it: we just have to replace ($p = 2$) standard PEL situations by ($p = 2$) SHS's. The only two modifications in its proof needed to be made are as follows. First, C_{V_0} is a product of $GL(V_0^{2n})$ copies whose number may exceed (in general) the number of simple factors of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Second, we can work everything in the context of $G^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ instead of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, where $G^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is the subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ generated by the maximal torus of $GSp(L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p, \psi)$ whose extension to V_0 is contained in the center of C_{V_0} and by each factor of $G^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ having a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-simple adjoint whose generic fibre is an SF as above. So the alternating representation of $G^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ on $L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ gets decomposed into alternating subrepresentations, each one of them being a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-version of the ($p = 2$) standard PEL situations; Warning: some of them are related to $\mathbb{Z}_p$-groups which are tori (i.e. are not necessarily of C_n or D_n Lie type). So [Va2, 5.6.3] (cf. also AE.1) for $p \geq 3$ and 2.3.18 B for $p = 2$ can be applied entirely (using the language of abelian schemes endowed with $\mathbb{Z}_p$-endomorphisms and not with $Z_{(p)}$-endomorphisms) to get that $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$ is a ($p = 2$) SHS.

Moreover, we have a version of 4.14.4.1.1 in the context of abelian quadruples having (G_0, X_0, H_0, v_0) as their adjoints.

3) [Va2, 6.4.11 D] still holds for the compact type cases of 2) or of 4.14.4.1.1, cf. the part of 2.2.5.1 referring to [Va2, 3.2.12] and the fact that the arguments of [Va2, 6.4.1.1 2)] hold as well for $p = 2$.

4.14.4.2. Serre lemma via the p-adic approach. We now show that 4.14.4.1 b) and AE.4.2 imply the classical Serre lemma of [Mu, p. 207]. Let (A, p_A) be a polarized abelian variety over an algebraically closed field $\tilde{k}$ of arbitrary characteristic. Let $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$. Let a be an automorphism of (A, p_A) acting trivially on $A[N]$. We need to show that a is the trivial automorphism.

We can assume p_A is a principal polarization (cf. Zarhin's trick; see [M-B, p. 205]). If $\tilde{k}$ is of characteristic 0, then we can assume it is $\mathbb{C}$ and so the fact that a is trivial can be easily checked starting from the classical theorem of Riemann. But, with the notations of [Va2, 4.1], this can be restated as: the subgroup $K(N)$ of 2.3.3 is smooth for $(GSp(W, \psi), S)$ (for instance, this can be read out from Artin's method; see [FC, p. 23-4]: these two pages have an analogue which considers level-N symplectic similitude structures). Moreover, as the image of $K(N)$ in $PSp(W, \psi)(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ is an open subgroup having no torsion, it is p-smooth for $(GSp(W, \psi), S)$ as well; here l is either an odd prime dividing N or is 2, depending on the fact that 4 does not or does divide N. So assuming that the characteristic of $\tilde{k}$ is a prime p not dividing N, from AE.4.2 (resp. from 4.14.4.2 b)) for $p \geq 3$ (resp. for $p = 2$) applied to Siegel modular varieties, we get $\mathcal{M}/K^p(N)$ is smooth over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is a pro-étale cover of it; here $\mathcal{M}$ is as in [Va2, 3.2.9] and $K^p(N)$ is as in 2.3.3. But this implies (for instance, via [FC, p. 23-4]) that a is the trivial automorphism.

We are left with the cases: $N = p$ is odd or $N = 4$ and $p = 2$. These cases are trivial: as a has finite order, the automorphism of $H^1_{\text{crys}}(A/W(\tilde{k}))$ defined by a is trivial and so a acts trivially on $A(N^m)$, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. also 2.3.18.1 D for $N = 4$). So a is trivial.

There is one extra thing worth pointing out. The mentioned Artin's method can be used to get that, provided p does not divide N, there is $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{M}/K(N^l)$ is smooth over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is a pro-étale cover of it: there is $q(a, A) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that a does not act trivially on $A[N^{q_A}]$; the fact that the stack of principally polarized abelian schemes of dimension equal to $\dim_{\tilde{k}}(A)$ is of finite type over Spec($\mathbb{Z}$) (see [FC, p. 23-4]) implies that we can choose $q(a, A)$ to be independent of a and A. Using this we get the existence of integral canonical models of Siegel modular varieties, without appealing to Serre lemma. This also shows that any automorphism of a polarized abelian variety over a field has finite order.

4.14.4.3. Comment. In the classical situation of Siegel modular varieties, most common one works with some level-N structure (not necessarily a symplectic or symplectic similitude one), see [FC], [MFK], etc., or a very slight variant of it (see the classical case of elliptic modular curves). However this has considerable limitations and disadvantages in the general context of Shimura varieties of preabelian type. This forced us to introduce in [Va2, 2.11] the abstract notion of smooth subgroup for a given Shimura pair; Definition 1 of AE.4 is just a logical continuation of loc. cit. Some of the advantages we gain working in this abstract, general context can be read out from the easiness of stating different results: see [Va2, 6.4.4 and 6.4.6 1) and 2)], AE.4.1-2, 4.14.4.1, etc.

4.14.5. Some extensions. Let k be a perfect field. The greatest part of the terminology used in all previous sections can be extended to (filtered) σ_k-$\mathcal{S}$-crystals. In particular we get σ_k-$\mathcal{S}$-crystals which are U-ordinary, T-ordinary, G-ordinary, potentially (or quasi or strongly) cyclic diagonalizable (of level n), etc. Similarly, in a geometric context we get U-ordinary, T-ordinary, G-ordinary, null points, etc; see [Va9] for an extensive study. Also, notions like deviations (see 3.13 and 4.5.15.0), a-Lie σ_k-crystals attached to σ_k-$\mathcal{S}$-crystals and $[a,b]$-filtered Lie σ_k-crystals attached to filtered σ_k-$\mathcal{S}$-crystals (see 2.2.3 2)), CM levels, NP variation functions, etc., do extend as well. These extensions from the context of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(W(k))$ to the contexts of other categories $\mathcal{MF}_{[a,b]}(W(k))$ are automatic.

4.14.6. The complete list of invariants. Let $(f, L_{(v)}, v)$ be a $(p=2)$ SHS. In 2.3 and 4.1-5 (cf. also 4.14.3 for $p=2$) we introduced many invariants attached to it. Here, for the sake of future references, we list them all. These invariants are: rational numbers, n-tuples of non-negative integers, sets, stratifications, F-crystals over perfect fields, groups, etc. Grouping them in some logical way, they are:

a) $E(G,X)$ and e (see 2.3.1);

b) $\mathcal{F}(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and $q_{G^{\mathrm{ad}}}$ (see 2.3.5.2);

c) $d(f)$, $d_0(f)$, $d_1(f)$,..., $d_6(f)$, $d(f_p)$, $d_0(f_p)$, $d_3(f_p)$ and $d_4(f_p)$ (see 2.3.6.1);

d) $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ (see 2.3.11);

e) μ, T_μ, $\mathfrak{C}_{(f,v)}$, τ, $r(f,v)$, $FL(f,v)$, $IL(f,v)$, $SKL(f,v)$, $CL(f,v)$, $SDL(f,v)$, $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\tau)$, $r(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and $SS(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ (see 4.1, 4.1.1 and 4.1.1.2);

f) $d = d(v)$ (see 4.1.1.1);

g) $\mathcal{L}$, $\bar{\varphi}$, $I_{\bar{\varphi}}$, d_l's and $m_{(f,v)}(\tau_q)$'s (see 4.1.1.4 and 4.1.2.2; here $l \in I_{\bar{\varphi}}$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$ divides d and $\tau_q \in \mathcal{T}_q$);

h) W_G, $R_G(v)$, μ_ω, T_{μ_ω}, $\mathfrak{C}_\omega$, $\mathcal{P}_\omega$, $\mathrm{Lie}_G(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$ and τ_ω's (see 4.1.5 and 4.1.5.4-5; here $\omega \in W_G$);

i) $k(v_G^{\mathrm{sp}})$, d_ω's, $SDD(f,v)$ and $\mathcal{MM}$ (see 4.1.5.2-3 and 4.1.6; here $\omega \in W_G$);

j) $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$, $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{c}}$, $\mathcal{H}_i$'s, d_i's, $\gamma_p(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and $I_p(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ (see 4.3.1 and 4.3.1.1; here $i \in \mathcal{H}$);

k) $d_A(i)$'s, $\varepsilon_i(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$'s, $\varepsilon_i^{\mathrm{c}}(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$'s, $d_A(v)$ and $d_T(v)$ (see 4.3.1.1-2); here $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$);

l) $R(\tau)$, $R^r(\tau)$, $a_i(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$, $a(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$, $a^r(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$, $D_i^+(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$'s and $D_i^-(v^{\mathrm{ad}})$'s (see 4.3.1.2; here $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$);

m) $SLSp - rk(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})$ (see 4.3.3);

n) $NP(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})$, $LNP(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})$, $RLNP(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})$, $N(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and $N_1(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}, v^{\mathrm{ad}})$ (see 4.5.7);

o) the standard stratifications of 4.5 and 4.9.9.1: the canonical Lie, the refined canonical Lie, the ρ-stratification, the absolute, the f-canonical, the Lie stable, the refined Lie stable, the Lie non-stable, the pseudo-ultra, the quasi-ultra (i.e. the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt), the ultra, the Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné (adjoint, principal or standard), the ultimate and the ultimate adjoint stratifications of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ and the level-n Faltings–Shimura–Dieudonné equivalence relation of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}(\mathbb{F})$ (here $n \in \mathbb{N}$);

p) f_G (see 4.5.11 a));

q) $d(i)$'s and $d^i(\omega)$'s (see 4.5.15.2.3; here $i \in \mathcal{H}$);

r) $\mathbb{GSC}(\mathcal{N}_k)$ (see 4.9.9.2; here k is either $k(v)$ or an algebraically closed field containing $k(v)$);

s) $\mathrm{aut}_i(\omega)$'s (see 4.5.15.3; here $i \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\omega \in W_G$);

t) $NSU(\mathcal{N}_{k(v)})$ and $W_G(i)$ (see 4.12.12.6.6 3); here $i \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{nc}}$);

u) $c_p(\tilde{H}_0)$, $c_p(\tilde{H}_0, v)$ and $\mathrm{piv}_{(G,X,v)}(\tilde{H}_0)$ (see 2.3.3 and 4.12.12.6.7; here $\tilde{H}_0$ is as in 2.3.3);

v) $D_q(G, X, H, v, \tilde{H}_0)$ (see 4.12.12.8).

4.14.6.1. Class invariants. Let $\mathbb{F}(\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X))$ be the algebraically closed field of transcendental degree $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ over $\mathbb{F}$. So any point of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ with values in an algebraically closed field, factors through a point of $\mathcal{N}_{k(v)}$ with values in a subfield of $\mathbb{F}(\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X))$. Another reason to introduce $\mathbb{F}(\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X))$ is: we do not stop to check that all class invariants to be defined below are preserved by extensions (to algebraically closed fields). We consider the class

$$CL_{(f,v)} := Cl(M \otimes_{W(k(v))} W(\mathbb{F}(\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X))), \varphi \otimes 1, G_{W(\mathbb{F}(\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)))}),$$

see 2.2.22 2) and 4.1.1. We assume G is not a torus; so $CL_{(f,v)}$ has at least 2 elements (cf. 4.3.6 and its proof).

The maximal CM level of $CL_{(f,v)}$ is denoted by $CML(CL_{(f,v)})$ (see 3.13.7). The smallest $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

– the isomorphism deviation of any representative of an arbitrary element of $CL_{(f,v)}$ is less or equal to n, is denoted by $ISOM(CL_{(f,v)})$ (cf. 3.15.7 BP1);

– the Newton polygon (resp. the Newton polygon Lie) deviation of any representative of an arbitrary element of $CL_{(f,v)}$ is less or equal to n, will be denoted by $NP(CL_{(f,v)})$ (resp. by $NPL(CL_{(f,v)})$ (its existence is implied by [Ka2, 1.4.4] or by the existence of $ISOM(CL_{(f,v)})$);

– the number of slopes of any representative of an arbitrary element of $CL_{(f,v)}$ is less or equal to n, is denoted by $NSL(CL_{(f,v)})$;

– the denominator of any representative of an arbitrary element of $CL_{(f,v)}$ is less or equal to n, is denoted by $DEN(CL_{(f,v)})$;

– the cyclic rank (see 3.9.1.0) of any representative of an arbitrary element of $CL_{(f,v)}$ is less or equal to n, is denoted by $CR(CL_{(f,v)})$.

The non-negative integers $CML(CL_{(f,v)})$, $CR(CL_{(f,v)})$, $NP(CL_{(f,v)})$, $NPL(CL_{(f,v)})$, $NSL(CL_{(f,v)})$, $DEN(CL_{(f,v)})$ and $ISOM(CL_{(f,v)})$ are referred as the standard class invariants of $(f, L_{(p)}, v)$.

4.14.6.2. Types of points. For most useful types of points (with values in fields, in some cases –like the one of Borel points– assumed to be perfect) of special fibres of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type we refer to 4.9.17.2-3 and to 4.12.12.6.3-4; cf. also 4.14.3 for the case of special fibres in characteristic 2.

4.14.6.3. Remark. For each point w of $\mathcal{N}/H_0$ with values in some field F, we can attach to $w^*(\mathcal{A}_{H_0}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{H_0}})$ an incredible amount of invariants. See [Va4] and [Va10] for samples.

AE Addenda and errata to [Va2]

We have the following addenda and errata to [Va2]; all references below till the beginning of AE.1 are made to loc. cit. We use “$\rightsquigarrow$” as a substitute for “should be replaced by”. AE.0 is a list of replacements. In AE.1-2 and AE.5 we mention three inexactitudes. Two mistakes are handled in AE.3-4. AE.6 consists of some extra specifications meant to help the reader less familiar with (group) schemes.

AE.0. Always by “the closure” in some scheme we meant “the Zariski closure”. On p. 404 l. 17 (resp. l. 23) “prove” $\rightsquigarrow$ “proof” (resp. “connected component” $\rightsquigarrow$ “maximal subtorus”). On p. 410 l. 7 “DMF” $\rightsquigarrow$ “DMS”. In 1.4.1 (resp. in 2.7), “4.6.10” $\rightsquigarrow$ “6.4.10” (resp. “$\overline{T(Q)}$” $\rightsquigarrow$ “$\overline{T(\mathbb{Q})}$”). In 2.4.3 and of 3.2.7 9), “type” $\rightsquigarrow$ “type and all its simple factors are such that [De2, 1.2.8 (ii)] applies” and “(of finite index)” $\rightsquigarrow$ “(it is of finite index if G is an adjoint group)”. At the bottom of p. 415 (resp. in 2.6), “an” $\rightsquigarrow$ “a suitable” (resp. “action” $\rightsquigarrow$ “action (via conjugation)”). On p. 423 l. 15 and l. 29 “$\widehat{Y_2}$” $\rightsquigarrow$ “$\widehat{Y}_2$”. In 3.1.4, “its centralizer” $\rightsquigarrow$ “the connected component of the origin C of its centralizer”.

In 3.2.1.1 3) (resp. on. p. 427 l. 3), “3.2.1 3)” $\rightsquigarrow$ “3.2.1 4)” (resp. “almost” $\rightsquigarrow$ “almost healthy”). In 3.2.2 1) (resp. in 3.2.2.2), “this” $\rightsquigarrow$ “this and its proof” (resp. “of the limit” $\rightsquigarrow$ “of the projective system”). In 3.2.2.4 b) (resp. in 3.2.3.1 1)), “3.2.3.2.1” $\rightsquigarrow$ “3.2.2.3.1” (resp. “3.2.2 1)” $\rightsquigarrow$ “3.2.2 3)”).

On p. 435, “for 2) above” $\rightsquigarrow$ “as in 3.2.3.1 2)”. In b) of 3.2.7 8), “for Shimura” $\rightsquigarrow$ “for generalized Shimura”, “, f) and g)” $\rightsquigarrow$ “, and a variant of f) and g)”, and “$, F^1$” should be deleted. On p. 451 l. 1, “[M,” $\rightsquigarrow$ “[Ma,”. On p. 454 l. 13 (resp. l. 40), “$g^{-1}\circ k$ of $H_1(A,\mathbb{Q})\otimes\mathbb{A}_f$” $\rightsquigarrow$ “$g^{-1}\circ k : H_1(A,\mathbb{Q})\otimes\mathbb{A}_f \tilde{\rightarrow} W\otimes\mathbb{A}_f$” (resp. “isomorphism” $\rightsquigarrow$ “isomorphisms”). In 4.1.3, “$F^0(H^1_{dR}(A/Z)\otimes H^1_{dR}(A/Z)^*)^{\otimes m(\alpha)}$” $\rightsquigarrow$ “$F^0((H^1_{dR}(A/Z)\otimes H^1_{dR}(A/Z)^*)^{\otimes m(\alpha)})$”. In 4.2, “the elements of their centers are semisimple endomorphism of W” $\rightsquigarrow$ “their centers are generated by semisimple endomorphisms of W and the trace forms on their centers are perfect” and “(cf. [Bou1, ch. 1.1, th.4])” should be deleted.

On p. 461 l. 34, “3.4.6 3)” $\rightsquigarrow$ “4.3.6 3)” and “to its” $\rightsquigarrow$ “by its”. On p. 463 l. 19 (resp. 22), “a natural” $\rightsquigarrow$ “naturally an” (resp. “[[[” $\rightsquigarrow$ “[[”). On p. 464 l. 1 and l. 24, “component” $\rightsquigarrow$ “components”. On p. 464 l. 13, “automorphism” $\rightsquigarrow$ “automorphisms”. On p. 464 l. 34 (resp. l. 41), “subgroup” $\rightsquigarrow$ “$\mathbb{G}_a$ subgroup” (resp. “isomorphism:” $\rightsquigarrow$ “isomorphism onto its image $\mathbb{G}_{a,\alpha}$:”). On p. 468 l. 24, “4.3.4” $\rightsquigarrow$ “4.3.2”. In 4.3.14, “the closure of G_0 in $GSp(L,\psi)$” $\rightsquigarrow$ “a reductive group having the Zariski closure of G_0 in $GSp(L,\psi)$ as a reductive subgroup containing its derived subgroup” and “4.3.13” $\rightsquigarrow$ “4.3.13 (cf. also 4.3.9)”. On p. 471 l. 19, “situation” $\rightsquigarrow$ “PEL situation”. In 4.3.17, “group $\tilde{H}$” $\rightsquigarrow$ “subgroup $\tilde{H}$”.

On p. 472 l. 7, “3.2.7” $\rightsquigarrow$ “3.2.7.1”. In 5.2.1, “$n \in \mathbb{N}$.” $\rightsquigarrow$ “$n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.”. On p. 475 l. 2 and 4, in order to be match [Fa2], “p-adic” should be removed. In (5.2.7), “p” $\rightsquigarrow$ “$p\beta$”. In 5.2.3, “$\xi := f$” $\rightsquigarrow$ “$\xi := f_e$”. In 5.2.13, “∇-parallel” $\rightsquigarrow$ “annihilated by ∇”. On p. 476 l. 12 (resp. l. 33), “theory” $\rightsquigarrow$ “comparison theory” (resp. “have used” $\rightsquigarrow$ “used”). On p. 477 l. 37, “positive” $\rightsquigarrow$ “non-negative”. On p. 479 l. 1 (resp. l. 19), “as defined” $\rightsquigarrow$ “defined” (resp. “ring homomorphism” $\rightsquigarrow$ “V_0-homomorphisms”). On p. 480 l. 11 (resp. l. 40), “$\nu|Y := i_0^*\nu$” $\rightsquigarrow$ “$\nu|Y_0 := i_0^*(\nu)$” (resp. “$pi^{e-1}T$” $\rightsquigarrow$ “$\pi^{e-1}T$”).

On p. 488 l. 9 (resp. l. 24), “groups” $\rightsquigarrow$ “groups of classical Lie type” (resp. “(cf. [De2, 1.3.6])” should be deleted). On p. 489, “4.3.10.1)” $\rightsquigarrow$ “4.3.10.1 1)”, “$\mathcal{A}(G, X, W) = 1$”) $\rightsquigarrow$ “$\mathcal{A}(G, X, W)$ is 1 or 2 depending on the fact that l mod 4 is or is not 1 or 2”, and “$G_2^{\mathrm{der}} = G_1^{\mathrm{der}}$, but G_2^{ab} is a torus of dimension 2 (the representation ${G_1^{\mathrm{der}}}_{\mathbb{C}} \to GL(W_{\mathbb{C}})$ is not irreducible, just the representation ${G_1^{\mathrm{der}}}_{\mathbb{R}} \to GL(W_{\mathbb{R}})$ is irreducible)” $\rightsquigarrow$ “G_2^{der} is isogeneous to G_1^{der} times an SL_2-group, and G_2^{ab} is a torus of dimension 1 (the representation ${G_1^{\mathrm{der}}}_{\mathbb{R}} \to GL(W_{\mathbb{R}})$ is not irreducible; see [Sa, p. 458])”. The last sentence of 5.8.1 should be deleted. On p. 491 l. 15 (resp. l. 32), “A_{p+1}” $\rightsquigarrow$ “A_{p-1}” (resp. “this” $\rightsquigarrow$ “the corollary”).

In 6.2.2 D), “and so S_0 is a pro-étale cover of S_1. This implies that” $\rightsquigarrow$ “and so, provided the morphism $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_1$ is a pro-étale cover, S_0 is a pro-étale cover of S_1 and”. In 6.2.2 E) “complex points are” $\rightsquigarrow$ “set of complex points contains those”. On p. 498 l. 32, “3.2. 7 10)” $\rightsquigarrow$ “3.2.7 10)”. In 6.2.6 2), “6.2.4.1” $\rightsquigarrow$ “6.2.4”. In 6.4.1.1 2), “[FC, ii)” $\rightsquigarrow$ “[FC, iv)”. On p. 503, “6.4.1.1 2) and of [Mi4, 4.13]” $\rightsquigarrow$ “[Mi4, 4.13], via the same argument used in the first key fact of the proof of 3.2.3.2 b)”. On p. 504 l. 6 (resp. l. 12), “isomorphism” $\rightsquigarrow$ ‘isomorphisms” (resp. “preabelian.” $\rightsquigarrow$ “preabelian type.”). On p. 504 l. 36, “6.2.2.” $\rightsquigarrow$ “6.2.2 a).”. In 6.4.9, “healthy” $\rightsquigarrow$ “any healthy”. On p. 506 l. 15, “simple” $\rightsquigarrow$ “simply”.

On p. 507 l. 21, “forms” $\rightsquigarrow$ “alternating forms” and “$\tilde{G}_{3\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$” $\rightsquigarrow$ “$\tilde{G}^{c+\mathrm{der}}_{3\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$”. On p. 507 l. 30, “6.6.5.1” $\rightsquigarrow$ “i)” and “component” $\rightsquigarrow$ “component of the origin”. On p. 508 l. 1, “i” $\rightsquigarrow$ “i”. In 6.6.2 d) and in 6.6.4, “is the centralizer” $\rightsquigarrow$ “is contained and has the same derived subgroup as the centralizer”. In b) of p. 510, “$B(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{E_{(p)}})$” $\rightsquigarrow$ “$B(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{E})$”. In e) of p. 511 “is the centralizer of a torus $\tilde{T}$ of $\tilde{G}_{E_{(p)}}$” $\rightsquigarrow$ “is the derived subgroup of the centralizer of a torus $\tilde{T}$ of $\tilde{G}_{E_{(p)}}$ in $\tilde{G}_{E_{(p)}}$”. In 6.6.5.1, “4.3.6.2)” $\rightsquigarrow$ “4.3.6 2)” (resp. “keeping the” $\rightsquigarrow$ “keeping”) and “. In fact, referring” $\rightsquigarrow$ “: referring”. On p. 509, “so that G^s splits over the completion of F_1 with respect to any finite prime of the ring of integers of F_1” should be deleted. In 6.6.6, “group” $\rightsquigarrow$ “group of classical Lie type”. In 6.7.1, “If there is a prime l such that G is ramified over $\mathbb{Q}_l$ (for instance if F or $E(G, X)$ is different from $\mathbb{Q}$)” $\rightsquigarrow$ “If there is a prime l which mod 4 is 2 or 3 or if there are two distinct primes l such that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ is unramified,”. In 6.8.2, “condition” $\rightsquigarrow$ “conditions”.

In 6.8.6, “full prove” $\rightsquigarrow$ “complete proof”, “$E(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}) = \mathbb{Q}$” $\rightsquigarrow$ “$E(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ is a totally real number field”, “$E(G, X) = \mathbb{Q}$” $\rightsquigarrow$ “$E(G, X) = E(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$, “It is an easy” $\rightsquigarrow$ “If $E(G, X) = \mathbb{Q}$ it is an easy”, “of $\mathbb{Q}$” $\rightsquigarrow$ “of a totally real number field”, “the situation can not be reduced” $\rightsquigarrow$ “the situation still gets reduced”, “moreover the ideas” $\rightsquigarrow$ “On the other hand, the ideas” and “this second case” $\rightsquigarrow$ “these two cases”.

AE.1. In [Va2, 4.3.11], as we worked with the natural trace form on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gsp}$ of a group of symplectic similitudes, the condition p does not divide half of the rank r_L of L needs to be added in order to have it perfect (i.e. in order to have the fourth paragraph of [Va2, p. 469] applying). If p is odd and divides r_L, then, provided we work with Sp's groups instead of GSp's groups, it still applies entirely, cf. [Va2, 3.1.6]. So, its only application (for $p \geq 3$), i.e. [Va2, 5.6.3], does not need to be modified. The Claim of [Va2, 4.3.11] is still true, under a very slight (compulsory) restriction, even if $p = 2$ and $4|r_L$, see a) and c) of 2.3.18 B3.

AE.2. The argument of the first sentence of [Va2, 6.4.6 5)] ought to be enlarged. As in [Va2, 3.2.7 11)], we consider two injective maps $(G_1, X_1, H_1) \hookrightarrow (G, X, H)$ and $(G_2, X_2, H_2) \hookrightarrow (G, X, H)$, such that all simple factors of $(G_1^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_1^{\mathrm{ad}})$ and $(G_2^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_2^{\mathrm{ad}})$ are of preabelian and respectively of special type and we have a natural identification $G^{\mathrm{ad}} = G_1^{\mathrm{ad}} \times G_2^{\mathrm{ad}}$. [Va2, 6.4.1] (resp. [Va2, 3.4.1]) points out that (G_1, X_1, H_1) (resp. that (G_2, X_2, H_2)) has an integral canonical model $\mathcal{N}_1$ (resp. has a quasi-projective normal integral model $\mathcal{N}_2$ having the EP). As in [Va2, 3.2.16] we get that $(G_1 \times G_2, X_1 \times X_2, H_1 \times H_2)$ has a quasi-projective normal integral model $\mathcal{N}_{12}$ having the EP. Using the fact that the intersection $G_1^{\mathrm{der}} \cap G_2^{\mathrm{der}}$ (taken inside G^{der}) is a finite group scheme of order relatively prime to p, from [Va2, 6.2.3] and [Va2, A) to E) of 6.2.2 b)] we get that (G, X, H) has a normal integral model $\mathcal{N}$ over the normalization of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ in $E(G_2 \times G_1, X_2 \times X_1)$, which is a quotient of $\mathcal{N}_{12}$ through a free (see [Va2, 3.4.5.1]) action. From [Va2, 3.2.12] we get: if (G, X, H) has an integral canonical model, then $\mathcal{N}$ is smooth; so also $\mathcal{N}_{12}$ and $\mathcal{N}_2$ are smooth. So we can replace (G, X, H) by $(G_1 \times G_2, X_1 \times X_2, H_1 \times H_2)$. So [Va2, 6.4.6 5)] follows from [Va2, 6.2.2-3 and 6.4.1] or from [Va2, 6.2.4 and 6.4.1].

AE.3. The condition of [Va2, 4.3.6 3)] on the existence of Lie is not enough; the reason is: it misses data needed to relate H'_{1R/I_1+I_2} with H'_{2R/I_1+I_2}. Loc. cit. was thought as taking aside part of the argument of [Va2, 4.3.7 4)]; so in loc. cit. we had in mind the context of [Va2, 4.3.7 4)] (see the Proposition below). It turns out that [Va2, 4.3.7 4) and 5)] as well needs some mild assumptions (they can be read out from the Proposition below and so they will not be restated explicitly).

The impact of this is (cf. what follows below): the sentence (between parantheses) containing "it is instructive not to do so" (used before [Va2, Case a) of the proof of 4.3.10]) should be deleted (however, i) below and combinations of i) and iii) below allow its usage under some restrictions). Warning: this deletion does not necessarily need to be performed in the context of the similar sentence (used before [Va2, Part 1) of the proof of 4.3.10]) or in connection to [Va2, 4.3.10.2], cf. iii) below. However, the proof of Proposition below is very much the same as parts of the proof of [Va2, 4.3.10 b)] and so (cf. also AE3.1 3) below) one might still prefer to perform such a deletion. So what follows is just of group theoretical interest; the reader interested just in applications to Shimura varieties can skip to AE.4.

Coming back to [Va2, 4.3.6 3)], its conclusion holds if the O-algebras $R/(I_j \cap_{i \in SI} I_i)$, for any $j \in I$ and for every non-empty subset SI of $I \setminus \{j\}$, are still faithfully flat, or if O is of equal characteristic 0. In both situations we get that H'_{1R/I_1+I_2} and H'_{2R/I_1+I_2}

coincide (for the second one cf. also the part below referring to ii)). Let p be an arbitrary rational prime. We start with a definition.

Definition. A faithful representation $\rho_T : T \hookrightarrow GL(V)$ of a split torus over an arbitrary field $\tilde{k}$ is called p Lie recoverable, if T is generated by a finite family $(T_j)_{j\in J}$ of subtori of it such that for any $j \in J$, the representation of T_j on V does not involve two distinct characters of T_j whose difference inside the group of characters of T_j (viewed additively) is divisible by p. If J has just 1 element, then we also say ρ_T is elementary p Lie recoverable.

We situate ourselves in the context of the first paragraph of [Va2, 4.3.6 3)]. We assume that there is a noetherian, local, integral O-subalgebra R_0 of R such that R is the strict henselization of R_0, that M is obtained by extension of scalars from a free R_0-module M_0 and that H' is obtained by pull back from a reductive subgroup H'_0 of $GL(M_0)_{R_0[\frac{1}{\pi}]}$. We also assume the existence of a projector Π of $\mathrm{End}(M)$ on Lie fixed by H'. So we have a direct sum decomposition $\mathrm{End}(M) = \mathrm{Lie} \oplus \mathrm{Ker}(\Pi)$ preserved by the action of H' on $\mathrm{End}(M[\frac{1}{\pi}])$. Let l (resp. l_R) be the residue field of O (resp. of R). Let $\tilde{k}$ be the algebraic closure of the field of fractions $\tilde{k}_0$ of R_0.

If H'_0 is non-trivial and semisimple, then $s({H'}_{0\tilde{k}}, M_0 \otimes_{R_0} \tilde{k}) \in \mathbb{N}$ is defined by: it is the maximal dimension of indecomposable ST-submodules of $M_0 \otimes_{R_0} \tilde{k}$, with ST-running through all standard semisimple subgroups of $H'_{0\tilde{k}}$ whose adjoints are PSL_2's groups. Here "standard" is used in the same manner as in [Va2, 4.3.3] but at the level of subgroups and not of Lie subalgebras of $\mathrm{Lie}({H'}_{0\tilde{k}})$. If O is of characteristic 0, then $s({H'}_{0\tilde{k}}, M_0 \otimes_{R_0} \tilde{k}) = s(\mathrm{Lie}({H'}_{0\tilde{k}}), M_0 \otimes_{R_0} \tilde{k})$ (cf. Weyl's complete reductibility theorem and the standard connections between subrepresentations of $\mathrm{Lie}({H'}_{0\tilde{k}})$ and of $H'_{0\tilde{k}}$). In [Va2, 4.3.10.2], by $s(\mathfrak{g}_0, W)$ in case $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is over a field of positive characteristic, we meant $s(G_0, W)$.

Proposition. *The Zariski closure of H'_0 in $GL(M_0)$ is a reductive group if moreover any one of the following three conditions hold:*

i) l is of characteristic p, H' is a torus and the representation $\rho_{\tilde{k}}$ of ${H'}_{0\tilde{k}}$ on $M_0 \otimes_{R_0} \tilde{k}$ is p Lie recoverable;

ii) l is of characteristic 0;

iii) H'_0 is non-trivial and semisimple, the characteristic of l is an odd prime $p \geq s({H'}_{0\tilde{k}}, M_0 \otimes_{R_0} \tilde{k})$ and moreover the semisimplification AUT^{ss} of the connected component AUT^0 of the origin of $Aut(\mathrm{Lie} \otimes_R l_R)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is smooth of dimension equal to the relative dimension of H'_0.

Proof: It is enough to show H'_R is a reductive subgroup of $GL(M)$. As in [Va2, 4.3.6 3)] we can concentrate most of the time just on 1, $2 \in I$.

The first step is to check that the pull backs of H'_{1R/I_1+I_2} and H'_{2R/I_1+I_2} to $\mathrm{Spec}(R/I_1 + I_2)_{\mathrm{red}}$ coincide. For ii) this is a consequence of [Bo2, 7.1]. For i) this can be checked as follows. The generic fibre GF of H'_0 splits over a Galois field extension of

$\tilde{k}_0$ contained in the residue field of any point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R/I_i)$ of codimension 0, $\forall i \in I$. So we can assume GF is a split torus. So, based on arguments similar to the ones of [Va2, 3.1.6] pertaining to T_R^2 of loc. cit., we can assume $\rho_{\tilde{k}}$ is elementary p Lie recoverable; but this case follows from the very definitions. In other words: we can recover the maximal direct sum decomposition of $M \otimes_R R/I_i$ normalized by H_i' from the representation of $\mathrm{Lie} \otimes_R R/I_i$ on $M \otimes_R R_i$; moreover, the character of the representation of H_i' on any fixed member of it can be read out from the representation of GF on $M_0 \otimes_{R_0} \tilde{k}_0$ ($i = \overline{1,2}$).

For the time being we assume that the pull backs of H'_{1R/I_1+I_2} and H'_{2R/I_1+I_2} to $\mathrm{Spec}(R/I_1 + I_2)_{\mathrm{red}}$ coincide even for iii); so let $H'_{12\mathrm{red}}$ be the reductive subgroup of $GL(M \otimes_R (R/I_1 + I_2)_{\mathrm{red}})$ with which these two pull backs coincide. Let $R_{12} := R/I_1 + I_2$ and let N be its ideal of nilpotent elements. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $N^n = \{0\}$. We consider a maximal tori T_i of $H'_{iR_{12}}$, $i = \overline{1,2}$. We can assume that T_1 and T_2 lift the same maximal torus T_{12} of $H'_{12\mathrm{red}}$, cf. [SGA3, Vol. II, 3.6 of p. 48]. Loc. cit. implies as well the existence of $g \in GL(M \otimes_R R_{12})$ which mod N is the identity and $gT_1g^{-1} = T_2$ as tori of $GL(M \otimes_R R_{12})$.

The second step is to show, by induction on $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$, that we can assume $\mathrm{Lie}(T_1)$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(T_2)$, as R_{12}-submodules of $\mathrm{End}(M \otimes_R R_{12})$, coincide modulo N^j. The passage from j to $j+1$ goes as follows. We can assume g mod N^j is the identity. The existence of g implies the existence of $A \in N^j\mathrm{End}(M \otimes_R R_{12})$ such that under the automorphism $1_{\mathrm{End}(M \otimes_R R_{12})} + \mathrm{ad}(A)$ of $\mathrm{End}(M \otimes_R R_{12})$, $\mathrm{Lie}(T_1)$ mod N^{j+1} is mapped onto $\mathrm{Lie}(T_2)$ mod N^{j+1}. But due to the existence of Π, modulo N^{j+1} we can assume $A \in N^j\mathrm{Lie} \otimes_R R_{12}$. As the Lie algebra of H_1' is $\mathrm{Lie} \otimes_R R/I_1$, we can assume g mod N^{j+1} is an R_{12}/N^{j+1}-valued point of H_1'. So, by replacing T_1 by an $H_1'(R_{12})$-conjugate of it, we can assume that the restrictions mod N^{j+1} of $\mathrm{Lie}(T_1)$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(T_2)$ are the same. So, by induction, we can assume $\mathrm{Lie}(T_1) = \mathrm{Lie}(T_2)$.

The third step is to check that $\mathrm{Lie}(T_1) = \mathrm{Lie}(T_2)$ implies $T_1 = T_2$. For i) this is a consequence of Definition: as above, we can recover the maximal direct sum decomposition of $M \otimes_R R_{12}$ normalized by T_1 as well as the characters through which T_1 acts on its members from $\mathrm{Lie}(T_1)$ (and GF). The same applies for ii).

For iii) we have to proceed as in [Va2, p. 465]:

– if $p > 2s({H'}_{0\tilde{k}}, M_0 \otimes_{R_0} \tilde{k})$, then we can apply the part of the previous paragraph referring to i) (argument: we need to consider as in loc. cit. the standard 1 dimensional split subtori of T_1 in order to get that we are in the context of elementary p Lie recoverable representations of their fibres over $\tilde{k}$; so the representation of $T_{1\tilde{k}}$ on $M_0 \otimes_{R_0} \tilde{k}$ is p Lie recoverable);

– if $s({H'}_{0\tilde{k}}, M_0 \otimes_{R_0} \tilde{k}) \leq p \leq 2s({H'}_{0\tilde{k}}, M_0 \otimes_{R_0} \tilde{k})$, then we have to use as in loc. cit. standard $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ Lie subalgebras of $\mathrm{Lie} \otimes_R R_{12}$ in order to be able to recover the maximal direct sum decomposition of $M \otimes_R R_{12}$ normalized by T_1, from the representations of $\mathrm{Lie}(T_1)$ and of $\mathrm{Lie} \otimes_R R_{12}$ on it.

What follows next for ii) and iii) is very much the same as [Va2, p. 464 and p. 466]: using exponential maps (for iii) this is allowed as $p \geq s({H'}_{0\tilde{k}}, M_0 \otimes_{R_0} \tilde{k})$), we can recover from T_1 and $\mathrm{Lie} \otimes_R R_{12}$ the $\mathbb{G}_a$ subgroups of ${H'_i}_{R_{12}}$ normalized by T_1 and so, based on [SGA3, Vol. III, 4.1.2 of p. 172] we get that an open subscheme of ${H'_1}_{R_{12}}$ coincides with an open subscheme of ${H'_2}_{R_{12}}$ and so ${H'_1}_{R_{12}} = {H'_2}_{R_{12}}$. The rest of the argument that H'_R is a reductive group is based on amalgamated sums and is as in [Va2, 4.3.6 3)]. This paragraph forms the fourth step.

So to end the proof, we need to argue the first step for iii). The natural homomorphism from ${H'_i}_{l_R}$ to AUT^{ss} is an isogeny (it is nothing else but the natural central isogeny ${H'_i}_{l_R} \to {H'^{\mathrm{ad}}_i}_{l_R}$; as p is odd this can be checked easily using standard $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ Lie subalgebras of $\mathrm{Lie} \otimes_R l_R$). We get: $\mathrm{Lie}(T_1) \otimes_{R/I_1} l_R$ is the Lie algebra of a maximal torus of ${H'_2}_{l_R}$ and so we can assume it is the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Lie}(T_2) \otimes_R l_R$. As in the above part referring to the subcases corresponding to the fact that p is or is not greater than $2s({H'}_{0\tilde{k}}, M_0 \otimes_{R_0} \tilde{k})$, we get ${T_1}_{l_R} = {T_2}_{l_R}$. As in the previous paragraph, we get: ${H'_1}_{l_R}$ and ${H'_2}_{l_R}$ coincide. But the role of l_R is just to fix notations: the same applies to any point $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{l}_R) \to \mathrm{Spec}(R_{12})$, with $\tilde{l}_R$ an algebraically closed field, lifting a point of the special fibre of $\mathrm{Spec}(O)$; we just need to mention that $\mathrm{Lie} \otimes_R \tilde{l}_R = \mathrm{Lie} \otimes_R l_R \otimes_{l_R} \tilde{l}_R$ (cf. the uniqueness theorem of [SGA3, Vol. III, p. 313-4] and the fact that H'_0 is over $R_0[\frac{1}{\pi}]$).

AE.3.1. Remarks. 1) In practice we use i) and iii) intermingled. The last part of the above paragraph points out that the conditions of iii) are such that they can be checked over O, using an arbitrary split semisimple group over O whose extension to R/I_1 is isomorphic to H'_1 (it does not matter which element $1 \in I$ we use); so all three parts of the Proposition can be used to get right versions of [Va2, 4.3.7 4) and 5)]. Moreover, it is easy to see (based on [Bo2, 7.1] and on arguments involving non-degenerate trace forms) that the two assumptions of the second paragraph before the Proposition, are not needed in connection to ii).

2) In [Va2, 4.3.6 3)] we could add: the fact that H' is split can be obtained via [SGA3, Vol. III, 1.5 of p. 329] applied over $R/I_1 + I_2$ (using the fact that we are in a local, strictly henselian context) and then making π invertible; so the sentence of [Va2, 4.3.6 3)] referring to it should have been placed after the argument that H'_{12} exists (i.e. after showing that we have ${H'_1}_{R_{12}} = {H'_2}_{R_{12}}$).

3) The part of iii) referring to AUT^0 automatically holds if the Killing form on $\mathrm{Lie}(H_1)$ is perfect: in such a case AUT^0 is semisimple (cf. [Va2, Part 1 of p. 463-4]).

AE.4. Let p be a rational prime. A great part of the proof of [Va2, Lemma of p. 496] is wrong and in fact there are contraexamples to it (for instance, with H_O of A_{p-1} Lie type). There are two mistakes in its proof. First, in the case $p = 2$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mu_2$, we need to assume that the special fibre of $\mathcal{G}$ is a subscheme of $P_{O/pO}$; as mentioned in loc. cit. this case is trivial: we can assume O is complete and so [SGA3, Vol. II, 3.6 of p. 48] implies $\mathcal{G}$ is contained in gP_Og^{-1}, with $g \in H_O(O)$ congruent to the identity mod 2. Second, the last part of it referring to an action of μ_p on $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O})_{O_2}$ makes no sense. We did not have time to rethink the whole issue (i.e. to list all cases when it holds), so here we just mention that [Va2, 3.4.5.4 3)] implies that [Va2, Lemma of p. 496] holds at least if $p - 1$ is greater than the relative dimension of each factor of H_O/P_O defined by a simple factor of H_O (here we can assume O is strictly henselian).

However, [Va2, 6.2.2.1] still holds in different forms (some weaker and some slightly stronger). We take a longer root, in order to fully exploit what can be done about it. We start with an arbitrary Shimura pair (G, X). The "root of the problem" is in [Va2, 2.11]. In loc. cit. we defined subgroups of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ smooth for (G, X): such a subgroup H is said to be smooth for (G, X) if it is compact and $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)$ is a pro-étale cover of $\mathrm{Sh}_H(G, X)$. Warning: in order to avoid always taking quotients (see [De2, 2.1.12]), we allow H to have elements which act trivially on $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)$. For instance any compact, neat subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ is smooth for (G, X). However, smooth subgroups are far more general than compact, neat subgroups. Here we mention just two examples.

Example 1. If G is a torus, then any compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ is smooth for (G, X) (cf. [Va2, 3.2.8]).

Example 2. We assume G is a $\mathbb{Q}$–simple, adjoint group. We assume $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ has two non-trivial factors G_1 and G_2 and there is a non-trivial, finite subgroup H of $G_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Then H is smooth for (G, X). This is a consequence of the structure of the set $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)(\mathbb{C})$ (see [De2, 2.1.1]): as $G(\mathbb{Q})$ has trivial intersection with any $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$-conjugate of H, any $g \in H$ acts freely on this set and so on $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)$. We get: there are compact, open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ which contain H, are smooth for (G, X) but are not neat.

We propose some extra classes of compact subgroups of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ which are "between" the neat ones and the ones smooth for (G, X). Let H be a compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$.

Definition 1. a) H is called S-smooth for (G, X) (here S stands for strongly) if it is smooth and for each connected component $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathrm{Sh}_H(G, X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ there is a compact, open subgroup H_1 of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ containing the subgroup $H(\mathcal{C})$ of H leaving invariant $\mathcal{C}$ and such that the set of complex points of the connected component of $\mathrm{Sh}_{H_1}(G, X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ dominated naturally by $\mathcal{C}$ can be identified (see [De2, p. 266-7]) with $\Sigma \setminus X^0$, with X^0 a connected component of X and with Σ an arithmetic subgroup of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{Q})$ which does not have 2-torsion.

b) We say H is p-smooth if it is smooth and for each connected component $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathrm{Sh}_H(G, X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ there is a rational prime $l(\mathcal{C})$ different from p such that the image of any pro-p subgroup $H_p(\mathcal{C})$ of $H(\mathcal{C})$ (with $H(\mathcal{C})$ as in a)) in $G^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{Q}_{l(\mathcal{C})})$ is trivial. If $\mathcal{S}$ is a set of rational primes having at least two elements, we say H is $\mathcal{S}$-smooth, if it p-smooth for any $p \in \mathcal{S}$.

Let v be a prime of $E(G, X)$ dividing p. Let O be a faithfully flat, DVR $O_{(v)}$-algebra. We consider a smooth (resp. normal) integral model $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathrm{Sh}_H(G, X)$ over O. b) suggests the following variant of [Va2, def. 3.4.8].

Definition 2. a) $\mathcal{N}$ is said to be quasi-strongly smooth (resp. quasi-strongly normal) if for any compact, open subgroup H_0 of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ such the subgroup $H_0 \times H$ of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ is p-smooth for (G, X), $\mathcal{N}$ is a pro-étale cover of $\mathcal{N}/H_0$.

b) If $p = 2$ we say $\mathcal{N}$ is A-strongly smooth (resp. A-strongly normal), if $\mathcal{N}$ is a pro-étale cover of $\mathcal{N}/H_0$, for any subgroup H_0 of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ such the subgroup $H_0 \times H$ of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ is S-smooth for (G, X) (here A stands for almost).

Referring to [Va2, Lemma of p. 496], there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ easy computable in terms of H_O, such that $H_O(O)$ has no elements of order p^n. This is an immediate consequence of the following two facts, applied in the context of any faithful representation of H_O (for instance, the adjoint one):

– $H_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F})$ has no semisimple elements of order p and all its unipotent elements have an order dividing a fixed power of p;

– [Va2, 3.4.5.2] and its variant for $p = 2$ (if $p = 2$, any O-endomorphism of a free O-module of finite rank of order a power of 2 and which mod 4 is congruent to the identity, is the trivial endomorphism).

We now start correcting the parts of [Va2] relying on [Va2, Lemma of p. 496]. In what follows, (G, X, H, v), (G_1, X_1, H_1, v), (G_2, X_2, H_2), X^0, $\mathcal{M}_1$, $\mathcal{M}_2$, $p > 2$, L_p, (W, ψ), V_0 and $\mathcal{C}^0 = \mathcal{C}_2$ are as in [Va2, 6.2.2 b) and its proof]. To conform with the notations of this paper, we denote L_p by $L_{(p)}$. Let $G_{2\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ be the reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ obtained by taking the Zariski closure of G_2 in $GL(L_{(p)})$. We take $O := V_0$ and $H_O := G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{2V_0}$. We consider $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(G_2, X_2, H_2)$; we do not assume it is inner (i.e. is defined by an element of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{2\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$). Moreover, H_2^p is a compact, open subgroup of $G_2(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ normalized by g and such that the morphism $\mathcal{M}_2 \to \mathcal{M}_2/H_2^p$ is a pro-étale cover. On [Va2, p. 494-7], "$\mathcal{M}_2/H_2^p \times H_2$" $\rightsquigarrow$ "$\mathcal{M}_2/H_2^p$" and "$\mathcal{M}_{2V_0}/H_2^p \times H_2$" $\rightsquigarrow$ "$\mathcal{M}_{2V_0}/H_2^p$". The $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice L of [Va2, p. 494] is as in [Va2, 5.1.2].

We assume g is such that the automorphism a_g of $\mathcal{N}_{2V_0}/H_2^p$ it defines naturally leaves invariant the image $\mathcal{C}(H_2^p)$ in $\mathcal{N}_{2V_0}/H_2^p$ of the (chosen) connected component $\mathcal{C}_2$ of $\mathcal{N}_{2V_0}$. Warning: this does not necessarily imply that g leaves invariant X^0. We also assume a_g acts freely on the generic fibre of $\mathcal{C}(H_2^p)$. We have four different possibilities (which can be combined) in connection to [Va2, 6.2.2.1]:

a1) we require $X_2 = X_2^{\mathrm{ad}}$ and that in fact a_g acts freely on the generic fibre of $\mathcal{N}_{2V_0}/H_2^p$;

a2) we require the order $o(a_g)$ of a_g to be divisible by p^{n+n_1}, where p^{n_1} is the greatest power of p dividing the order of the image of g into the group of outer automorphisms of the product of all simple, non-compact factors of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$;

a3) we require that g over $\mathbb{R}$ normalizes X^0 and each simple factor of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathbb{R}}$;

a4) g is defined by an element of $G^{\mathrm{ad}}_{2\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ which is contained in a compact subgroup $H_2^{p\mathrm{ad}}(g)$ of $G_2^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ containing the image of H_2^p in $G_2^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ and having the property that $H_2^{p\mathrm{ad}}(g) \times H_2^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is p-smooth for $(G_2^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_2^{\mathrm{ad}})$.

If we work under a3), then there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ relatively prime to p such that g^m is an inner automorphism of G_2^{ad}. This is a consequence of the structure of the group of outer automorphisms of an absolutely simple group of classical Lie type and (in case $p = 3$ and G^{ad} has simple factors of D_4 Lie type) of the fact that g leaves invariant X^0.

If g is inner and we work under a1), then either g is not an element of finite order or a_g does not fix any $\mathbb{F}$-valued point of $\mathcal{C}(H_2^p)$. Argument: if it is of finite order, then we can assume (cf. [Va2, 3.4.5.1]) that this order is a power of p and so g over $\mathbb{R}$ (as

$p \geq 3$ there is no invertible element of $\mathbb{R}$ of order p) is contained in a maximal compact subgroup of $G_2^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{R})$; so it fixes an element of $X_2 = X_2^{\mathrm{ad}}$ (cf. also [De2, 1.2.8]) and so a_g does not act freely on the generic fibre of $\mathcal{N}_{2V_0}/H_2^p$. Contradiction.

Proposition. *If we work under a2), then a_g acts freely on $\mathcal{C}(H_2^p)$. In particular, this applies if g is inner and $G_{V_0}^{\mathrm{ad}}(V_0)$ has no element of order p.*

Proof: If a_g fixes an $\mathbb{F}$-valued point y of $\mathcal{C}(H_2^p)$, then we can define g_0 as in [Va2, p. 495]; its order is the same as the order of g. As in loc. cit. we can assume $o(a_g)$ is a power of p and that $g_0^{p^{n_1}}$ is inner. As p^n divides $o(g_0^{p^{n_1}})$ we reach a contradiction to the definition of n.

Remarks. For another form of this Proposition, see 4.4.13.5; see §13 for extra improvements of the Proposition (similar to the one of 4.4.13.5) obtained based on the extra fact that in [Va2, p. 495], g_0 was defining an automorphism of a Shimura adjoint σ-crystal over $\mathbb{F}$ (see def. 2.2.11 4) and end of 2.2.22 2)). This Proposition does not suffice in applications to [Va2, 6.2.2 b)]; so to considerable strengthen it, we need to bring into the picture some extra tools.

AE.4.1. We can assume G_1 is adjoint (the action of a subgroup of a group acting freely is free); so $(G_1, X_1) = (G_2^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_2^{\mathrm{ad}})$. Based on [Va2, 6.2.3 and 6.4.2] we can assume G_1 is $\mathbb{Q}$–simple. The only cases of [Va2, 6.2.2 b)] not covered by [Va2, 6.2.2 a)] are those in which p is odd and G_2^{ad} has factors of A_{pm-1} Lie type. So based on [Va2, 6.5.1.1 and 6.6.5.1], we can assume we are in the context of a standard PEL situation $(f_2, L_{(p)}, v_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$ (as defined in 2.3.5, cf. also 2.3.5.1), where v_2 is a prime of $E(G_2, X_2)$ dividing the prime v^{ad} of $E(G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}}) = E(G_2^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_2^{\mathrm{ad}})$ divided by v.

We consider an injective map $(G_2, X_2) \hookrightarrow (G_2', X_2')$, with G_2' as the subgroup of $GL(W)$ generated by G_2 and by the center of the centralizer of G_2 in $GL(W)$. The Zariski closure of G_2' in $GL(L_{(p)})$ is a reductive group $G'_{2\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ and moreover we get a cover

$$q_2' : (G_2', X_2') \to (G_2^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_2^{\mathrm{ad}});$$

the first part can be seen immediately inside $GL(L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} V_0)$, starting from the classification provided by [Ko2, top of p. 375 and p. 395], while the second part is a consequence of the fact that the center $Z(G_2')$ of G_2' is the group scheme defined by invertible elements of an étale $\mathbb{Q}$–algebra AL of endomorphisms of W. $\mathcal{M}_2$ is an open closed subscheme of the integral canonical model $\mathcal{M}_2'$ of the Shimura quadruple $(G_2', X_2', G'_{2\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathbb{Z}_p), v_2)$ (cf. [Va2, 6.2.3 and 3.2.15]).

So, based on [Mi1, 4.13], we can assume that a connected component of $\mathcal{M}_{1V_0}$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{C}^0 = \mathcal{C}_2$ (see [Va2, p. 494]) by a group of automorphisms GA of $\mathcal{C}_2$ which are defined by right translation by elements of a subgroup of the group GR of $\mathbb{A}_f^p$-valued points of the center $Z(G_2')$ of G_2'; based on [De2, 2.1.12], in fact we can replace GR by the familiar group (of the class field theory)

$$Z(G_2')(\mathbb{A}_f^p)/Z(G_2')(L_{(p)}),$$

cf. also [Va2, 3.3.1]. We can assume $AL \subset \mathcal{B}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Q}$.

We consider an element $h \in Z(G'_2)$ defining an element of GA. We assume it fixes a point $y \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbb{F})$. y gives birth to a quadruple

$$Q_y = (A_y, p_{A_y}, \mathcal{B}_2, (k_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N},\,(N,p)=1}),$$

where (A_y, p_{A_y}) is a principally polarized abelian variety over $\mathbb{F}$ of dimension $\frac{1}{2}\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(W)$, endowed with a family of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-endomorphisms (still denoted by $\mathcal{B}_2$) and having (in a compatible way; see [Va2, top of p. 455]) level-N symplectic similitude structure k_N, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(N,p) = 1$, such that some axioms are satisfied, cf. the standard interpretation of $\mathcal{N}_2$ as a moduli scheme (to be compared also with [Ko2, ch. 5]). We have a similar modular interpretation for $\mathbb{F}$-valued and V_0-valued points of $\mathcal{N}'_2$, provided we work in a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-context; in such a context we speak about principally $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-polarized abelian varieties, $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-isomorphisms (i.e. isomorphisms up to $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-isogenies as defined in 2.1), etc. So the translation of y by h gives birth to a similar quadruple $Q'_y = (A'_y, p_{A'_y}, \mathcal{B}_2, (k'_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N},\,(N,p)=1})$, with $(A'_y, p_{A'_y})$ a principally $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-polarized abelian variety over $\mathbb{F}$ which is $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-isogeneous to (A_y, p_{A_y}). Due to this $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-isogeny, we can identify $H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(A'_y/V_0)$ with $M := H^1_{\mathrm{crys}}(A_y/V_0)$.

The fact that h fixes y means that these quadruples are isomorphic, under a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-isomorphism $a : A_y \tilde{\to} A'_y$. The automorphism a_M of M we get (via a and the mentioned identification), as an element of $\mathrm{End}(M)$, belongs to the $\mathbb{Q}$–vector space generated by the crystalline realizations of the $\mathbb{Q}$–endomorphisms of A_y naturally defined by elements of $\mathrm{Lie}(Z(G'_2))$: this can be read out from the étale context with $\mathbb{Q}_l$-coefficients, where l is an arbitrary prime different from p. So a_M leaves invariant any F^1-filtration of M defined by a V_0-valued point lift z of $\mathcal{C}_2$ lifting y. Such a lift is determined by the mentioned filtration, cf. the deformation theory (see [Me, ch. 4-5]) of polarized abelian varieties endowed with endomorphisms; see also [Va2, 5.6.4]. So from the modular interpretation of $\mathcal{N}_2$ we get: h fixes all these lifts. So h acts trivially on $\mathcal{C}_2$. We conclude: $\mathcal{C}_2$ is a pro-étale cover of $\mathcal{C}_2/GA$. This completes the corrected proof of [Va2, 6.2.2 b)].

AE.4.1.1. The use of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-isogenies in AE.4.1 can be entirely avoided. This goes as follows. Let $G_3 := G_2^{\mathrm{ad}} \times \mathbb{G}_m$; identifying $\mathbb{G}_m$ with the quotient of G_2 by its subgroup G_2^0 acting trivially on ψ, we get naturally an epimorphism $q_2 : G_2 \twoheadrightarrow G_3$. Let (G_3, X_3) be the Shimura pair such that q_2 defines a finite map

$$q_2 : (G_2, X_2) \to (G_3, X_3).$$

Fact. *Let* $A := \mathrm{Ker}(q_2)$. *For any field* l *of characteristic* 0, *the group* $H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(l), A(\bar{l}))$ *is a* 2*-torsion group (which in general –like for* $l = \mathbb{R}$*– is non-trivial).*

Proof: From the structure of G_2^0 (for instance, see [Ko2, ch. 5 and 7]) we get that A is a product of Weil restriction of scalars from some totally real number fields to $\mathbb{Q}$ of 1 dimensional tori which over $\mathbb{R}$ are compact (if $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Q}$ is a simple $\mathbb{Q}$–algebra, then the mentioned product has only one factor). The Fact follows.

So q_2 is not a cover but from the point of view of free actions (see [Va2, 3.4.5.1]) it is "close enough". In other words, the image of $\mathcal{C}_{2\mathbb{C}}$ in the quotient of $\mathrm{Sh}_{H_2}(G_2, X_2)_{\mathbb{C}}$ by $A(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ is a (potentially infinite) Galois cover of the image of $\mathcal{C}_{2\mathbb{C}}$ in $\mathrm{Sh}_{H_3}(G_3, X_3)_{\mathbb{C}}$ whose Galois group is a 2-torsion group, cf. also [Va2, 3.3.1]; here $H_3 := H_2^{\mathrm{ad}} \times \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2\mathbb{C}}$ is obtained via extension of scalars through an arbitrary $O_{(v_2)}$-monomorphism $V_0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. So, referring to AE.4.1, eventually by replacing h by h^2, we can assume $h \in G_2(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$. So AE.4.1 can be performed "in terms" of q_2 and not of q_2'.

However, the context of q_2' is more convenient for generalizations (see the whole of 2.3.5.5-6) and moreover it can be adapted (see the proof of 4.14.3.2.1) for $p = 2$.

AE.4.2. [Va2, 6.2.2.1] was used in [Va2, 6.2.4.1] and so implicitly in [Va2, 6.4.4]. For the meaning of $\mathrm{mid}(X)$ and of the torsion number $t(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ we refer to 2.1. We have:

Exercise. Let $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{X})$ be an adjoint Shimura pair, with all simple factors of $\tilde{G}$ of classical Lie type. We assume $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is unramified. Then the inequality $\mathrm{mid}(X) + 3 \le p$ implies: p does not divide $t(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$. If $\mathrm{mid}(X) + 2 = p$, then it can happen that $p | t(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$. Hints: for the first part use the classification of [He, p. 518] and the fact that a GL_n-group over the Witt ring WR of a perfect field of characteristic p, with $n + 1 < p$, can not have WR-valued points of order p; for the second part, use $\mathbb{Q}$–forms of $SU(1, p-2)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ or of $SO(2, p-2)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

So, in connection to the part of the first paragraph of AE.4 referring to [Va2, 3.5.4 3)], it is shorter and more convenient to work with $t(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ rather than $\mathrm{mid}(X)$; accordingly, in all that follows we state our results in terms of $t(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$'s. However, it is useful to keep in mind that for Shimura varieties whose adjoint varieties are products of simple, adjoint varieties which are either of $B_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ type or of A_{p-2} type involving $\mathbb{Q}$–simple groups which over $\mathbb{R}$ have only simple factors of the form $SU(a, p-1-a)_{\mathbb{R}}$, with $a \in \{0, 1\}$, one can do a little bit better (with respect to the odd prime p). We have the following correction of [Va2, 6.2.4.1 and 6.4.4]:

Correction. a) *In [Va2, 6.2.4.1] we need to either assume* $p \not| t(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$ *or to replace strongly smooth by quasi-strongly smooth.*

b) *In [Va2, 6.4.4] we need to assume* $H_{\mathcal{S}} \times H^{\mathcal{S}}$ *is* $\mathcal{S}_1$*-smooth for* (G, X)*, where* $\mathcal{S}_1$ *is the set of odd rational primes belonging to* $\mathcal{S}$ *and dividing* $t(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$.

b) is a consequence of a), cf. the proof of [Va2, 6.4.4]. The proof of a) is very much the same as AE.4.1 (cf. also Proposition of AE.4); the only difference: fixing a connected component $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathrm{Sh}_H(G, X)_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have "control" only on one prime $l(\mathcal{C})$ different from p (cf. a) of Definition 1). As the part of AE.4.1 involving G_2' has not been previously used (i.e. known outside the context of standard PEL situations), a) is detailed (as an application) in 2.3.5.8. From a) we get:

Corollary. *We refer to AE.4. If we work under a4), then* a_g *acts freely on* $\mathcal{C}(H_2^p)$.

For the sake of completeness we repeat here the philosophy of [Va2, 6.4.6 6)] in a slightly corrected form as suggested by Correction.

Ph. *To generalize Serre's lemma [Mu1, p. 207] to the context of an integral canonical models $\mathcal{N}$ of a Shimura quadruple (G, X, H, v) of preabelian type (with v dividing a rational prime $p \geq 5$) and of a compact subgroup H_0 of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$, it is enough to check things in characteristic zero (i.e. to check that* $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)$ *is a pro-étale cover of* $\mathrm{Sh}_{H_0 \times H}(G, X)$*) as well as, in case $p|t(G^{\mathrm{ad}})$, that $H_0 \times H$ is in fact p-smooth (and not just smooth) for (G, X).*

AE.5. For a detailed (slightly corrected) version of [Va2, 5.5.1] we refer to 2.4.

AE.6. In Step 6 of [Va2, 3.1.3.1] we could add that Y_2' is obtained from $\widehat{Y}_2$ as in Step 4 of loc. cit. In the proof of [Va2, 3.1.6], we could add first that the kernel of ρ is the extension of a finite, flat group scheme by a torus, before concluding that it is a finite, flat group scheme (i.e. that this torus is trivial). Also we could add that the fastest way to see that G_R^1 is a reductive group, is to remark that we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to G_R^{0\mathrm{der}} \to G_R^1 \to T_R/C_R \to 0.$$

In [Va2, 3.2.1.1 15) and 16) and the proof of 3.2.2.1], we do not need to add quasi-separatedness to the quasi-compactness assumptions, despite the fact that in [EGA IV, §8] this is always done. It is [FC, 2.7 of p. 9] which implies that in connection to abelian schemes over normal schemes, quasi-separatedness condition can be dropped. Similarly, as Y_1 is normal and geometrically connected over the field K_V of characteristic 0, in [Va2, top of p. 431] we can still appeal to the fundamental exact sequence of [SGA1, p. 253], even if Y_{1K_V} is not quasi-compact.

It is worth pointing out that in [Va2, proof of 3.2.2.1], the existence of O_1' in the case when Y is not quasi-compact, can be seen as well using Weil divisors, starting from the embedding of $\mathcal{A}_{d(A_{U_1}),1,N}$ in the projective scheme $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{d(A_{U_1}),1,N}$ as of [FC, §5 of ch. V] and using [FC, iv) of 10.1 of p. 88]. Before the fourth sentence of [Va2, proof of 3.2.2.3] we could add that we can assume Z is local, and using descent that it is strictly henselian; so the last paragraph of [Va2, proof of 3.2.2.1] can be imitated in the context of Z as well (to bring the things back to the level of O-schemes of finite type).

We could add to [Va2, 4.2] that $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is algebraizable (see [Bo2, 7.6]) and so (as we are in characteristic 0 and $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive) the restriction of Tr to it is non-degenerate. We could add in the beginning of the proof of the Claim 1 of [Va2, 4.3.10 a)], that $\mathfrak{g}(M)$ is free (as $\pi(\mathfrak{g})$ is enveloped by M). In [Va2, 4.3.10.2], we could add that any strictly henselian DVR is a Nagata ring (easy consequence of [Ma, Cor. 2 of p. 234]). Related to [Va2, p. 463], we could add that $\mathfrak{g}(M)$ is naturally isomorphic to its dual and so it is irrelevant if we use $R[[\mathfrak{g}(M)]]$ or $R[[\mathfrak{g}(M)^*]]$.

Related to [Va2, end of 6.2.2 C)], we could add that the forwarding to [Va2, 6.2.3] refers to the logical version of loc. cit. over $\mathbb{C}$. Related to the unramified part of the proof of [Va2, 6.4.5], we could add the reference to [Va2, 3.4.5.2] (as $p > 2$). It is not stated explicitly, but on [Va2, p. 495] the argument that g_0 is not an outer automorphism did use the hypothesis $p > 2$: there are no outer automorphisms of odd order of a simple, adjoint group G over $\mathbb{C}$ leaving invariant a parabolic subgroup P of G with the property that G/P is the dual of a hermitian symmetric domain; however if G/P is the dual of the hermitian symmetric domain associated to $SU(n,n)_{\mathbb{R}}$ or to $SO(2,2n-2)_{\mathbb{R}}$, then we can get such outer automorphisms of order 2. In the Fact of [Va2, 6.8.0] we could add that the pro-finite group is as well abelian.

AE.6.1. We could add to the theory of [Va2, 4.3], that in applications to Shimura varieties of Hodge type, we need to consider only DVR's of mixed characteristic which are complete and have a residue field k which is the algebraic closure of a finite field and we need to check just that condition [Va2, 4.3.5] holds (in a suitable context) for any O-algebra R which is local, integral, strictly henselian and has k as its residue field. This is so due to the fact that the rings Re and Re^1 of [Va2, 5.2.17.1] have all these properties (cf. [Va2, 5.2.1.1] for the strictly henselian part). Moreover, based on [Va2, 4.3.7 5') and 6)] we can assume R is as well complete and excellent. Warning: the way the proof of [Va2, 4.3.10 b)] is organized (we assumed that $G_0(M)_{R^0}$ is already semisimple), we still need to work in a reduced context (i.e. we still need to replace above integral by reduced).

Appendix

It is desirable to make the connection between the present work and [RR]. There are three results here related to [RR]. They were obtained independently and their main part precedes [RR], cf. [Va1] and 1.15.10. These results are:

A) The part of 3.1.0 expressed in 3.6.6.1 2). It is related to the main results of [RR, ch. 3-4]. Loc. cit. obtains 3.6.6.1 2) (as well as its variant in a generalized Shimura context) in more general contexts. However our ideas work (without any change) to even more general contexts (see below).

B) 3.2.10 (FORM). Its idea is very much the same as of the general result [RR, 1.17].

C) 4.5.4 and 4.5.6.1. The idea of 4.5.4 is the same underlying [RR, def. 3.3]. The Proposition 4.5.6.1 is related to the main result 3.6 of [RR]. It can be used to restate this main result in a simpler way, provided we restrict the class of groups. We use the notations of [RR, ch. 2-3]. We have:

The Lie criterion (preliminary form). *If all factors of* G^{ad} *are of* B_3, C_n *(*$n \in \mathbb{N}$*),* F_4 *or* G_2 *Lie type, then for two points* s_1, s_2 *of* S*, we have* $\bar{b}(s_1) = \bar{b}(s_2)$ *iff the sequence of Newton polygons of the cyclic factors of the Lie isocrystal over* $\bar{s}_1$ *(defined by* $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ *or, in the sense of [RR, 3.3], corresponding to the adjoint representation of* G *on its Lie algebra) is equal to the sequence of Newton polygons of the cyclic factors of the Lie isocrystal over* $\bar{s}_2$*.*

The proof of this is entirely the same as of 4.5.6.1: it is 2.2.24.1 (or [RR, 3.4 (i)]) which allows us to use semisimple elements as in the proof of 4.5.6.1. The "specialty" of F_4 and G_2 Lie types (from which the part of the Criterion pertaining to them follows) is: if we order the positive roots w.r.t. a chosen basis of the root system of any of these Lie types, on "the top part" we have a total ordering. To be quick, we explain what we mean by "the top part" directly in terms of the positive roots listed in [Bou2, planche VIII and IX]. We denote by $>$ the ordering we referred to. For the G_2 Lie type we have:

$$3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 > 3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > \alpha_1 + \alpha_2.$$

For the F_4 Lie type we have:

$$2\alpha_1+3\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4 > \alpha_1+3\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4 > \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+4\alpha_3+2\alpha_4 > \alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3+2\alpha_4.$$

All the above roots are $>$ than all positive roots which are not mentioned.

We do not know when this criterion is true without its assumption on the Lie types of the simple factors of G^{ad}; 4.5.6.2 and the Fact of the proof of 4.5.6.1 point out that there are simple variants (the easiest ones are for the B_n Lie types; see 4.5.6.2 A) of this criterion in many cases involving factors of G^{ad} of A_n, B_n or D_n Lie types ($n \geq 2$). In practice it is easier to work with the above criterion (or variants of it) than with the partial ordering on $B(G)$ defined in [RR, 2.3]. Moreover, Exercise 4.5.6 4) points out that, from the point of view of stratifications, [RR, 3.6] brings nothing new to the specialization theorem. Loc. cit. is just a restatement of the specialization theorem as provided by [RR, 2.2] and by the Newton map as defined in [RR] (in particular it does not represent a new proof of this theorem). [RR, ch. 1, 2.2, 2.4, 3.6-12] contains lots of useful theoretical tools (for instance, the language is practical and the proof of [RR, 4.2] is short). Unfortunately, [RR] is of extremely little use w.r.t. the Real Problem of 1.6.5.

As a conclusion: the work of §1-4 is integral and so more refined (handling deformation aspects and subtler things mod p, like 3.9 and 3.13) and more restricted (we work in a context of good reduction and of generalized Shimura p-divisible objects), while [RR] works rationally and so less refined but more general.

However, the greatest part of the ideas and results presented in 3.6 can be used (i.e. extended) to even more general contexts. What follows (except Theorem 2 below) is an afterthought, suggested by [RR, 4.2]. Though 3.9.9 and 1) of 3.15.7 B point out that a lot can be done in the non-smooth context, for the time being let's refer just to the smooth one. We start with an arbitrary filtered σ_k-$\mathcal{S}$-crystal

$$\mathfrak{C} = (\tilde{M}, (F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i \in S(\tilde{a}+1,\tilde{b})}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G});$$

we do not assume that the W-condition holds for it or that $\tilde{G}$ is a reductive group (see 3.6.1.5). We work with $p \geq 2$. There are four steps in achieving this extension.

Step 1. Part A. If the W-condition holds for $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\tilde{G}$ is a quasi-split reductive group, then 3.2.3, 3.6.6, 3.6.6.0, 3.6.6.2 and the proof of 3.11.1 (relying on 3.4.11) remain valid, with no essential change (besides the logical one in connection to the part of 3.13.7.8 involving N_j's, with $j < 0$). So the obstructions of proving 3.1.0, 3.4-5, 3.6.1.2-3 and 3.11.1 in this context come just from:

– 3.6.8.9 (cf. the discussion in 3.6.1.6),

– from 3.5.6,

– and from 3.13.7.9 (cf. its end part postponing the general definition of Lie stable p-ranks).

The obstruction coming from 3.5.6 is not a very serious one (as we expect 3.5.6 to be a known result and), as it can be substituted (cf. 3.6.6.0) by the expectation of 3.6.1.6. Moreover, the obstruction coming from end of 3.13.7.9 is just for the time being. So the serious obstruction is in achieving suitable global deformations of $\mathfrak{C}$, as predicted by 3.6.1.6. We hope to come back to this in a future paper, as we think it is one of the most urgent thing needed to be "sorted out" in connection to Fontaine categories.

However, from the point of Newton polygons, the "lack of deformations" can be substituted by the specialization theorem (or by loc. cit.). Let $g_0 \in G(W(k))$ be such that $\tilde{\varphi}_0 := g_0\tilde{\varphi}$ takes the Lie algebra of a Borel subgroup of $\tilde{G}$ into itself (this is as in 3.2.3). As in 3.6.6 (cf. also 3.6.6.2) and 3.6.6.0 we get that there is an affine, open, dense $U = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ of $\tilde{G}$ through which the origin of $\tilde{G}$ factors, such that for any $u \in U(W(\bar{k}))$, $u(\tilde{\varphi}_0 \otimes 1)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_0 \otimes 1$ are isomorphic under an isomorphism defined by an element of $\tilde{G}(W(\bar{k}))$ which has the analogue shape of the element h of 3.13.7.8. We would like to add just four extra things.

T1. First, the fact that we use inner conjugations just by elements of $G(W(k))$ of the mentioned shape, is irrelevant from the point of view of the proof of 3.6.6 (see the end of the paragraph before the Expectation of 3.13.7.8).

T2. Second, it is irrelevant what shape the exponential maps have (cf. also end of 3.14 A); in connection to the generalization of 3.6.6 the only important think is that the property IND of the proof of 3.6.6 still holds.

T3. Third, in the proof of 3.6.6 the particular shape of parabolic subgroups involved played no role at all.

T4. Fourth, the case when we have (see the proof of 3.6.6) $|I_0| = 1$ and $\left|\tilde{I}_0\right| = 3$ (so we are dealing with the D_4 Lie type) is, as mentioned in 3.6.6.2, entirely the same as the case when we have $|I_0| = 1$ and $\left|\tilde{I}_0\right| = 2$. The explicit checking of this is left as an exercise for the diligent reader. We just add: the case $|I_0| = 1$ and $\left|\tilde{I}_0\right| = 3$ can be handled easily as well by computers.

This goes as follows. We need to check that, for $|I_0| = 1$ and $\left|\tilde{I}_0\right| = 3$, a suitable morphism $m_U : \tilde{U} \to U$ is such that its image has the right dimension. m_U is either constructed as the morphism m_H of the proof of 3.6.6 or (which is even better) as the orbit map of $\mathbb{T}_H$ of 3.13.7.8 defined by the identity element of $H(k)$. m_U is the extension to k of a morphism $m_{U,3} : \tilde{U}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^3}} \to U_{\mathbb{F}_{p^3}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^3}$, and $\tilde{U}$ is a connected, smooth group scheme of dimension less than $2(24+4) = 56$ (see [Bou, planche IV] for the fact that the dimension of an absolutely simple adjoint group of D_4 Lie type is 28); if we construct m_U via $\mathbb{T}_H$ of 3.13.7.8, then we can replace 56 by 52 as well as below we can replace 55 by 51. Moreover, the number of possibilities for such morphisms m_U is finite (as they depend only on the choice of some parabolic subgroups of an absolutely simple, split, adjoint group over $\mathbb{F}_{p^3}$ of D_4 Lie type) and each such morphism m_U is defined by an orbit of a group action. Let $c(m_U) \in \mathbb{N}$ be the number of connected components of the maximal reduced subgroup $\tilde{U}^{\mathrm{st}}$ of $\tilde{U}$ mapped by m_U into a point. Let $c \in \mathbb{N}$ be the maximum of all such possible $c(m_U)$'s.

Let $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$ be such that:

a) any reductive group over $\mathbb{F}_{p^3}$ of dimension at most 55 splits over $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$ and

b) any étale, finite, flat group scheme GS over $\mathbb{F}_{p^3}$ of rank at most c, over $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$ is defined by an abstract group.

If any such morphism m_U is not dominant, then the number $NR(q)$ of $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$-valued points of $\tilde{U}^{\mathrm{st}}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^3}}$ is

$$(POINTS) \qquad\qquad c(m_U)P(p^q)$$

where $P(x)$ is a polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ which belongs to a finite list of polynomials of order of degree at least equal to $\dim_k(\tilde{U}) - \dim_k(U) + 1$. The finite list depends only on the number 55 and is obtained easily by combining [Bo2, 21. 15-17] (see also [GLS, Table 2.2 of p. 39]) with the fact that the number of split, reductive groups over $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$ of dimension at most 55 is finite, and with the fact that each reduced, connected, affine, algebraic group over $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$ is the semidirect product of a reductive group with a unipotent group which is obtained from $\mathbb{G}_a$ via short exact sequences. By choosing q big enough (depending only on c and on 55), it can be easily checked by computers that none of these possible expressions for $NR(q)$ is the right one.

Lower bounds for such q's are effectively computable provided c is effectively computable. In practice is not very easy to compute $c(m_U)$'s; however, (SES) of 3.13.7.1 can be easily used to substitute b) by two other conditions b') and b"). For b') we just need to add replace in b) "of rank at most c" by the requirement that GS has a normal series of length at most 55 whose factors are direct sums of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. For b") we just need to substitute in b) c by c' which is the maximum of effectively computable numbers $c'(m_U)$.

Part B. Either 3.15.7 BP2 or the estimates of [Ka2, 1.4-5] (to be compared as well with 3.15.8 or with the proof of [Ka2, 2.3.1-2]) imply that there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$, not depending on $\tilde{G}$, such that the Newton polygon of $(\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} W(\bar{k}), \tilde{h}(\tilde{\varphi}_0 \otimes 1))$ depends only on the reduction mod p^n of $\tilde{h} \in \tilde{G}(W(\bar{k}))$. We can assume U is small enough so that we have an isomorphism

$$m_U : \mathrm{Spec}(W_n(R/pR)) \to U_{W_n(k)}$$

inducing the natural identification on special fibres. We fix some $\tilde{h} \in G(W(k))$ and we consider the following object of $p - \mathcal{M}(W(R/pR))$

$$(\tilde{M} \otimes_{W(k)} W(R/pR), h_{\mathrm{univ}}\tilde{h}(\tilde{\varphi}_0 \otimes 1)),$$

with $h_{\mathrm{univ}} \in \tilde{G}(W(R/pR))$ an element which mod p^n is the universal element defined naturally via m_U. From the proof (of [Ka2, p. 143]) of the specialization theorem worked just mod p^n and from the existence of U we get directly:

Theorem 1. *The Newton polygon of* $(\tilde{M}\otimes_{W(k)}, \tilde{h}\tilde{\varphi}_0))$ *is above the Newton polygon* NP_0 *of* $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}_0)$.

Theorem 1 is equivalent to [RR, 4.2]. For future references we state here the following refinement of Theorem 1 for the generalized Shimura context. We assume $\mathfrak{C} = (\tilde{M}, (F^i(\tilde{M}))_{i\in S(\tilde{a}+1,\tilde{b})}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G})$ is a generalized Shimura p-divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}]}(W(k))$. Let $A_{\tilde{G}}$, $B_{\tilde{G}}$, $C_{\tilde{G}}$ and $D_{\tilde{G}}$ be the subsets of $\tilde{G}(W(k))$ defined as in 3.1.3 and 3.2.10 (either Theorem 1 or the combination of the arguments of 3.7 with 3.15.6 D allows us to define $A_{\tilde{G}}$ and $B_{\tilde{G}}$).

Theorem 2. 1) *We have:* $A_{\tilde{G}} = B_{\tilde{G}} = C_{\tilde{G}} = D_{\tilde{G}} g_0$.

2) $g \in G(W(k)$ *belongs to these sets iff the (refined) Lie stable p-rank of* $(\tilde{M}, g\tilde{\varphi}_0, \tilde{G})$ *is equal to the one of* $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}_0, \tilde{G})$.

3) *If* $g \in A_{\tilde{G}}$*, then there is a unique lift of* $(\tilde{M}, g\tilde{\varphi}_0, \tilde{G})$ *such that the Shimura adjoint filtered Lie* σ*-crystal attached to it is of parabolic type (i.e. is Shimura-ordinary).*

The proof of this is entirely the same as the implications of 3.2.4. We just need to point out: either the deformation part of 3.15.6 or Theorem 1 implies that we can still use the specialization part of 3.2.5-6 as for the context of Shimura σ-crystals (cf. also 3.7). No doubt, once the Faltings–Shimura–Hasse–Witt invariants are defined (see 3.13.7.9), in connection to Theorem 2, the extra hypothesis of being in a generalized Shimura context, will be automatically removed.

One extra thing: if $p \geq \tilde{b} - \tilde{a} + 2$, then the Galois property of 4.8.2 holds in the generalized Shimura context of $\mathfrak{C}$: no arguments need to be changed, cf. the deformation theory of 3.15.6 and [Fa2, th. 5]. In fact [CF] can be used to eliminate the assumption $p \geq \tilde{b} - \tilde{a} + 2$ (we recall that 4.8.2 is stated with $\mathbb{Q}_p$-coefficients).

Step 2. If the W-condition holds for $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\tilde{G}$ is a reductive group which is not quasi-split, then 3.8 still applies. So, whenever we can define $\tilde{G}$-canonical lifts over $\bar{k}$, they are in fact defined over k. Moreover, there is $g \in G(W(k))$ such that the Newton polygon of $(\tilde{M}, g\tilde{\varphi})$ is the same as the Newton polygon NP_0 obtained as in Theorem 1 but for the pull back of $\mathfrak{C}$ to $\bar{k}$.

Step 3. If the W-condition holds for $\mathfrak{C}$ but $\tilde{G}$ is not a reductive group, we have to proceed as follows. For most problems (like isomorphisms classes, etc.) it matters only how $\tilde{G}^{\wedge}$ looks like. This, most common, reduces the problem to the case when $\tilde{G}$ is the extension of a reductive group by a nilpotent group. So to work out 3.4-5 and 3.6.6 in this situation we have to combine the case of a reductive group with the case of a nilpotent group. For how this is accomplished see [Va5] (cf. 4.6.1 2)). Warning: from the point of view of Newton polygons, by giving up the condition that $\tilde{G}$ is smooth, we can assume $\tilde{G}_{B(k)}$ is reductive; however, from the point of subtler things (mod powers of p) we can not make this assumption (to be compared with 3.9.7.2-3).

Step 4. The last step is to deal with the case when the W-condition does not hold for $\mathfrak{C}$ (to be compared with 2.2.9 1) and 1')). This, at a first glance, looks as an obstacle in getting 3.4-5 and 3.6.6 in this context. However, if $\tilde{G}$ is reductive, Theorem 1 should be provable in this context, either by using global deformations or by shifting the focus from $\mathfrak{C}$ to

$$\mathrm{Lie}(\mathfrak{C}) := (\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}), (F^j(\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G}))_{j \in S(L\tilde{a}, L\tilde{b})}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{G}/Z(\tilde{G})).$$

Here $L\tilde{a}, L\tilde{b} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $L\tilde{b} \geq L\tilde{a}$, are the logical values; the quotient group $\tilde{G}/Z(\tilde{G})$ acts on $\mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{G})$ via inner conjugation. How "successful" we are (i.e. of what we achieved) in this shift of focus, can be read out from 2.2.16.5; for instance, if $\tilde{G}/Z(\tilde{G})$ is an adjoint group and if $(\mathrm{Lie}(Z(\tilde{G})), \tilde{\varphi})$ has only slopes 0, then we are in the context of 1) or 2). We hope to come back to this step in a future paper.

As an afterthought, we point out: using [RR] and unpublished work of T. Zink, in [We] it is also checked the density part of 4.2.1 involving its a) part, in the cases mentioned in 4.6.6 as well as in the case $p = 2$ of the A and C cases of [Ko2].

References

[BBM] P. Berthelot, L. Breen and W. Messing, *Théorie de Dieudonné crystalline*, LNM **930** (1982), Springer–Verlag.

[Be] P. Berthelot, *Comologie cristalline des schémas de caractéristique* $p > 0$, LNM **407** (1974), Springer–Verlag.

[BHC] A. Borel and Harish-Chandra, *Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups*, Ann. of Math. (3) **75** (1962), p. 485–535.

[Bl] D. Blasius, *A p-adic property of Hodge cycles on abelian varieties*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **55**, Part 2 (1994), p. 293–308.

[BLR] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert and M. Raynaud, *Néron models*, Springer–Verlag (1990).

[BM] P. Berthelot and W. Messing, *Théorie de Dieudonné crystalline III*, in the Grothendieck Festschrift I, Birkhäuser, Progr. in Math. **86** (1990), p. 173–247.

[Bo1] A. Borel, *Properties and linear representations of Chevalley groups*, LNM **131** (1970), Springer–Verlag, p. 1–55.

[Bo2] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Grad. Text Math. **126** (1991), Springer–Verlag.

[Bou1] N. Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Chapters **1–3**, Springer–Verlag (1989).

[Bou2] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chapitre **4–6**, Hermann (1968).

[Bou3] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chapitre **7–8**, Hermann (1975).

[BT] A. Borel and J. Tits, *Homomorphismes "abstraits" de groupes algèbriques simples*, Ann. of Math. (3) **97** (1973), p. 499–571.

[Bu1] A. Buium, *Geometry of p-jets*, Duke Math. J., Vol. **82**, no. 2 (1996), p. 349–367.

[Bu2] A. Buium, *Geometry of Fermat Adèles*, preprint (1999), p. 1–65.

[CF] P. Colmez and J.-M. Fontaine, *Construction des reprsentations p-adiques semi-stables*, Inv. Math. **140**, no. 1 (2000), p. 1–43.

[Ch1] C.-L. Chai, *Arithmetic Compactifications of Hilbert modular spaces*, Appendix to A. Wiles "The Iwasawa conjecture for totally real number fields", Ann. of Math. (3) **131** (1990), p. 541–554.

[Ch2] C.-L. Chai, *Every ordinary symplectic isogeny class in positive characteristic is dense in the moduli*, Inv. Math. **121**, no. 3 (1995), p. 439–479.

[Ch3] C.-L. Chai, *Newton polygons as lattice points*, Amer. J. Math. **122**, no. 5 (2000), 967–990.

[Cr] J. E. Cremona, *Algorithms for modular elliptic curves*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1992).

[De1] P. Deligne, *Travaux de Shimura*, Sém. Bourbaki, Exposé 389, LNM **244** (1971), Springer–Verlag.

[De2] P. Deligne, *Variétés de Shimura: Interpretation modulaire, et techniques de construction de modèles canoniques*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **33**, Part 2 (1979), p. 247–290.

[De3] P. Deligne, *Cristaux ordinaires et coordonées canoniques*, LNM **868** (1981), Springer–Verlag, p. 80–137.

[De4] P. Deligne, *Hodge cycles on abelian varieties*, Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties, LNM **900** (1982), Springer–Verlag, p. 9–100.

[De5] P. Deligne, *Catégories tannakiennes*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Progr. Math. **87**, Vol. II (1990), Birkhäuser, p. 111–196.

[De6] P. Deligne, *Le groupe fondamental de la droite projective moins trois points*, Galois groups over $\mathbb{Q}$, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **16** (1989), p. 79–297.

[Dem] M. Demazure, *Lectures on p-divisible groups*, LNM **302** (1972), Springer–Verlag.

[Di] J. Dieudonné, *Groupes de Lie et hyperalgèbres de Lie sur un corps de caractérisque* $p > 0$ *(VII)*, Math. Annalen **134** (1957), p. 114–133.

[EGA III] A. Grothendieck et al., *Etude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schéma. I.*, Publ. Math. IHES **11** (1961).

[EGA IV] A. Grothendieck et al., *Etude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schéma*, Publ. Math. IHES **20** (1964), **24** (1965), **28** (1966), **32** (1967).

[EO] T. Ekedahl and F. Oort, *Connected subsets of a moduli space of abelian varieties*, preprint (1994).

[Fa1] G. Faltings, *Crystalline cohomology and p-adic Galois representations*, Algebraic Analysis, Geometry, and Number Theory, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press (1990), p. 25–79.

[Fa2] G. Faltings, *Integral crystalline cohomology over very ramified valuation rings*, J. of Am. Math. Soc., Vol. **12**, no. 1 (1999), p. 117–144.

[Fa3] G. Faltings, *Almost étale extensions*, preprint series 1998 (3), MPI, Bonn.

[FC] G. Faltings and C.-L. Chai, *Degeneration of abelian varieties*, A series of modern surveys in math., Vol. **22** (1990), Springer–Verlag.

[FI] J. -M. Fontaine and L. Illusie, *p-adic periods: a survey*, Bombay (1989), Prépublic. of Univ. Paris-Sud 90–53.

[FL] J. -M. Fontaine and G. Laffaille, *Construction de représentations p-adiques*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **15**, no. 4 (1982), p. 547–608.

[Fo] J.-M. Fontaine, *Groupes p-divisibles sur les corps locaux*, J. Astérisque **47–48** (1977).

[GLS] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons and R. Soloman, *The classification of the finite simple groups, Number 3*, Surv. and Monog., Vol. **40** (1998), No. 3, A. M. S.

[Go] B.B. Gordon, *Canonical models of Picard modular surfaces*, The Zeta function of Picard modular surfaces, Les Publications CRM, Montreal (1992), p. 1–28.

[GO] E. Z. Goren and F. Oort, *Stratifications of Hilbert modular varieties*, J. Alg. Geom. **9**, no. 1 (2000), p. 111–154.

[Gr] A. Grothendieck, *Groupes de Barsotti–Tate et cristaux de Dieudonné*, Sém. Math. Sup. **45**, Pr. de l'Université de Montréal (1970).

[Ha] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Grad. Text Math. **52** (1977), Springer–Verlag.

[Har] G. Harder, *Über die Galoiskohomologie halbeinfacher Matrizengruppen II*, Math. Z. **92** (1966), p. 396–415.

[He] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, New–York (1978).

[Hr] E. Hrushovski, *The First Order Theory of the Frobenius*, manuscript (4/5/1999).

[Hu1] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Grad. Text. Math. **9** (1997), seventh edition, Springer–Verlag.

[Hu2] J. E. Humphreys, *Conjugacy classes in semisimple algebraic groups*, Math. Surv. and Monog., Vol. **43** (1995), A. M. S.

[HK] R. Hain and M. Kim, *The crystalline fundamental group and the De Rham–Witt complex*, preprint (4/2001).

[Ih] Y. Ihara, *Shimura curves over finite fields and their rational points*, Applications of Curves over finite fields, Cont. Math. **245** (1999), p. 15–23.

[Il] L. Illusie, *Déformations des groupes de Barsotti–Tate*, J. Astérisque **127** (1985), p. 151–198.

[IKO] T. Ibukiyama, T. Katsura and F. Oort, *Supersingular curves of genus two and class numbers*, Comp. Math. **57** (1986), p. 127–152.

[Ja] J.C. Jantzen, *Representations of algebraic groups*, Academic Press (1987).

[dJO] J. de Jong and F. Oort, *Purity of the stratification by Newton polygons*, J. of Amer. Math. Soc. **13**, no. 1 (2000), p. 209–241.

[dJ1] J. de Jong, *Finite locally free group schemes in characteristic p and Dieudonné modules*, Inv. Math. **114**, no. 1 (1993), p. 89–137.

[dJ2] J. de Jong, *Crystalline Dieudonné module theory via formal and rigid geometry*, Publ. Math. IHES **82** (1995), p. 5–96.

[dJ3] J. de Jong, *Homomorphisms of Barsotti–Tate groups and crystals in positive characteristic*, Inv. Math. **134**, no. 2 (1998), p. 301–333.

[Ka1] N. Katz, *Travaux de Dwork*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Exp. 409, LNM **383** (1983), Springer–Verlag.

[Ka2] N. Katz, *Slope filtration of F-crystals*, Journées de Géométrie algébrique de Rennes 1978, J. Astérisque **63**, Part 1 (1979), p. 113–163.

[Ka3] N. Katz, *Serre–Tate local moduli*, LNM **868** (1981), Springer–Verlag, p. 138–202.

[Ka4] N. Katz, *Appendix to expose V*, LNM **868** (1981), Springer–Verlag, p. 128–137.

[KMRT] M.-A. Knus, A. Merkurjev, M. Rost and J.-P. Tignol, *The book of involutions*, Coll. Public., Vol. **44** (1998), A. M. S.

[Kob] N. Koblitz, *p-adic valuations of zeta function over families of varieties defined over finite fields*, Comp. Math. **31**, no. 2 (1975), p. 119–218.

[Ko1] R.E. Kottwitz, *Isocrystals with additional structure*, Comp. Math. **56**, no. 2 (1985), p. 201–220.

[Ko2] R.E. Kottwitz, *Points on some Shimura varieties over finite fields*, J. of Am. Math. Soc., Vol. **5**, no. 2 (1992), p. 373–444.

[La] G. Laffaille, *Groupes p-divisibles et modules filtrés: le cas peu ramifié*, Bull. Soc. Math. de France **108** (1980), p. 187–206.

[LO] K.-Z. Li and F. Oort, *Moduli of supersingular abelian varieties*, LNM **1680** (1998), Springer–Verlag.

[LR] R. Langlands and M. Rapoport, *Shimuravarietaeten und Gerben*, J. reine angew. Math. **378** (1987), p. 113–220.

[Ma] H. Matsumura, *Commutative algebra*, The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc. (1980).

[Man] J.I. Manin, *The theory of formal commutative groups in finite characteristic*, Russian Math. Surv. **18**, no. 6 (1963), p. 1–83.

[M-B] L. Moret-Bailly, *Pinceaux de variétés abéliennes*, J. Astérisque **129** (1985).

[Me] W. Messing, *The crystals associated to Barsotti–Tate groups, with applications to abelian schemes*, LNM **264** (1972), Springer–Verlag.

[MFK] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty and F. Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory*, A series of modern surveys in math., Vol. **34** (1994), third edition, Springer–Verlag.

[Mi1] J.S. Milne, *The points on a Shimura variety modulo a prime of good reduction*, The Zeta function of Picard modular surfaces, Les Publications CRM, Montreal (1992), p. 153–255.

[Mi2] J.S. Milne, *On the conjecture of Langlands and Rapoport*, manuscript (9/1995).

[Mi3] J.S. Milne, *Shimura varieties and motives*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **55** (1994), Part 2, p. 447–523.

[Mi4] J.S. Milne, *Canonical models of (mixed) Shimura varieties and automorphic vector bundles*, in Automorphic forms, Shimura varieties and L-functions, Vol. I, Perspectives in Math. **10** (1990), Academic Press, p. 283–414.

[MM] T. Matsusaka and D. Mumford, *Two fundamental theorems on deformations of polarized varieties*, Am. J. Math. **86** (1964), p. 668–683.

[Mo] B.J.J. Moonen, *Special points and linearity properties of Shimura varieties*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Utrecht (1995).

[Mu] D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, Tata Inst. of Math. Research (1988).

[No1] R. Noot, *Hodge classes, Tate classes, and local moduli of abelian varieties*, Thesis Rijksuniv. Utrecht (1992).

[No2] R. Noot, *Models of Shimura varieties in mixed characteristc*, J. Alg. Geom. **5**, no. 1 (1996), p. 187–207.

[Nor] P. Norman, *Lifting abelian varieties*, Inv. Math. **64** no. 3 (1981), 431–443.

[NO] P. Norman and F. Oort, *Moduli of abelian varieties*, Ann. of Math. (3) **112** (1980), p. 413–439.

[Og] A. Ogus, *Elliptic crystals and modular motives*, manuscript (3/8/2000), to appear in Adv. in Math.

[Oo1] F. Oort, *Some questions in algebraic geometry*, preprint Utrecht Univ. (1995).

[Oo2] F. Oort, *Newton polygons and formal groups: conjectures by Manin and Grothendieck*, Ann. of Math. (2) **152** (2000), p. 183–206.

[Oo3] F. Oort, *A stratification of a moduli space of polarized abelian varieties in positive characteristic*, Asp. of Math. E **33** (1999), p. 47–64.

[Pi] R. Pink, *l-adic algebraic monodromy grpups, cocharacters, and the Mumford–Tate conjecture*, J. reine angew. Math. **495** (1998), p. 187–237.

[Pr] G. Prasad, *Strong approximation for semi-simple groups over function fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **105** (1977), p. 553–572.

[Ra] M. Raynaud, *Anneaux Locaux Henséliens*, LNM **169** (1970), Springer–Verlag.

[Ro] J. J. Rotman, *An introduction to the theory of groups*, Grad. Text Math. **52** (1995), fourth edition, Springer–Verlag.

[RR] M. Rapoport and M. Richartz, *On the classification and specialization of F-isocrystals with additional structure*, Comp. Math. **103** (1996), p. 153–181.

[Sa] I. Satake, *Holomorphic embeddings of symmetric domains into a Siegel space*, Am. J. Math. **87** (1965), p. 425–462.

[Sam] P. Samuel, *Lectures on unique factorization domains*, Tata Inst. of Math. Research (1964).

[Se1] J.-P. Serre, *Sur les groupes de Galois attachés aux groupes p-divisibles*, Proc. Conf. Local Fields, Springer–Verlag, Heidelberg (1967), p. 118–131.

[Se2] J.-P. Serre, *Groupes algébriques associés aux modules de Hodge–Tate*, Journées de Géom. Alg. de Rennes, J. Astérisque **65** (1979), p. 155–188.

[SGA1] A. Grothendieck, et al. *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental*, LNM **224** (1971), Springer–Verlag.

[SGA3] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, et al. *Schemes en groupes*, Vol. I-III, LNM **151–153** (1970), Springer–Verlag.

[SGA4] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, et al. *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas*, Vol. I, LNM **269** (1972), Springer–Verlag.

[Sh] G. Shimura, *Moduli of abelian varieties and number theory*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. **9** (1966), p. 312–332, A. M. S., Providence, R. I.

[Shi1] A. Shiho, *Crystalline fundamental groups. I. Isocrystals on log crystalline site and log convergent site*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **7**, no. 4 (2000), p. 509–656.

[Shi2] A. Shiho, *Crystalline fundamental groups. II*, preprint (1/2001).

[Ta] J. Tate, *Classes d'isogénie des variétés sur un corps fini (d'après J. Honda)*, Séminaire Bourbaki 1968/69, Exp. 352, LNM **179** (1971), p. 95–110.

[Ti1] J. Tits, *Classification of algebraic semisimple groups*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. **9** (1966), p. 33–62, A. M. S., Providence, R. I.

[Ti2] J. Tits, *Reductive groups over local fields*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **33** (1979), Part 1, p. 29–69.

[Va1] A. Vasiu, *Integral canonical models for Shimura varieties of Hodge type*, thesis, Princeton Univ. (1994).

[Va2] A. Vasiu, *Integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type*, Asian J. Math., Vol. **3**, no. 2 (1999), p. 401–518.

[Va3] A. Vasiu, *Toroidal smooth compactifications of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type*, to be submitted for publication.

[Va4] A. Vasiu, *Examples of Shimura varieties and of their integral canonical models*, to be submitted for publication.

[Va5] A. Vasiu, *The $p = 2$ and $p = 3$ theory of Shimura varieties of preabelian type and the existence of integral canonical models of quotients of Shimura varieties of Hodge type with respect to non-hyperspecial subgroups*, to be submitted for publication.

[Va6] A. Vasiu, *Generalized Shimura p-divisible objects of special type*, to be submitted for publication.

[Va7] A. Vasiu, *Density of Hecke orbits in positive characteristic*, to be submitted for publication.

[Va8] A. Vasiu, *On the classification of cyclic diagonalizable p-divisible objects*, to be submitted for publication.

[Va9] A. Vasiu, *On the U-ordinariness in geometric situations*, to be submitted for publication.

[Va10] A. Vasiu, *Shimura varieties and the Mumford–Tate conjecture, part I*, version 1/15/2000, to be submitted for publication.

[Va11] A. Vasiu, *On the classification of versal generalized Shimura p-divisible objects*, to be submitted for publication.

[Va12] A. Vasiu, *Standard arithmetics of classes of polarized projective varieties: examples, results and problems*, to be submitted for publication.

[Va13] A. Vasiu, *Points of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type and the Langlands-Rapoport conjecture*, preprint, ETH, FIM, Zürich, Sep. 1996, p. 1–76.

[Vo] P. Vojta, *Nagata's embedding theorem*, preprint (appendix to planned book; 1997).

[We] T. Wedhorn, *Ordinariness in good reductions of Shimura varieties of PEL-type*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **32** (1999), p. 575–618.

[Wi] J.-P. Wintenberger, *Un scindage de la filtration de Hodge pour certaines varietés algebriques sur les corps locaux*, Ann. of Math. (2) **119**, (1984), p. 511–548.

address:

Adrian Vasiu
University of Arizona
Department of Mathematics
617 N. Santa Rita
P.O. Box 210089
Tucson, AZ-85721-0089, U.S.A.

e-mail: adrian@math.arizona.edu